

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Osteoarthritis Cartilage. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2015 April; 23(4): 571–580. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.12.020.

# Insights into Osteoarthritis Progression Revealed by Analyses of Both Knee Tibiofemoral Compartments

Ching-Heng Chou<sup>1,2,8</sup>, Ming Ta Michael Lee<sup>1,2,10,11</sup>, I-Wen Song<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Liang-Suei Lu<sup>1,2</sup>, Hsain-Chung Shen<sup>5</sup>, Chian-Her Lee<sup>6</sup>, Jer-Yuarn Wu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yuan-Tsong Chen<sup>1,9</sup>, Virginia Byers Kraus<sup>7,8</sup>, and Chia-Chun Wu<sup>5</sup>

Ching-Heng Chou: cc380@duke.edu; Ming Ta Michael Lee: mikelee@src.riken.jp; I-Wen Song: iwsong16@yahoo.com.tw; Liang-Suei Lu: liangsuei@gmail.com; Hsain-Chung Shen: doc20231@gmail.com; Chian-Her Lee: chianherlee@yahoo.com.tw; Jer-Yuarn Wu: jywu@ibms.sinica.edu.tw; Yuan-Tsong Chen: chen0010@ibms.sinica.edu.tw; Virginia Byers Kraus: vbk@duke.edu; Chia-Chun Wu: doc20281@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup>National Center for Genome Medicine, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Translational Resource Center for Genomic Medicine, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>4</sup>Graduate Institute of Life Sciences, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>5</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>6</sup>Department of Orthopedics, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Department of Orthopedics, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taiwan

<sup>7</sup>Duke Molecular Physiology Institute, Department of Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

<sup>8</sup>Department of Pathology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

<sup>9</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

<sup>10</sup>Graduate Institute of Chinese Medical Science, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

<sup>11</sup>Laboratory for International Alliance, RIKEN Center for Genomic Medicine, Yokohama, Japan

#### Authors' contributions

#### Conflict of interest

Correspondence can be sent to: Chia-Chun Wu, M.D., Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital, 325, Sec.2, Chenggung Rd., Neihu Dist., Taipei 11472, Taiwan, TEL:+886-2-87927185 FAX: +886-2-87927186, doc20281@gmail.com. Both Ching-Heng Chou and M.T. Michael Lee are first authors and contributed equally to this study

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

CH Chou carried out the RNA isolation, qRT-PCR, microarray data analysis, statistical analysis, histological evaluations and drafted the manuscript. CC Wu, HC Shen, CH Lee, IW Song, and LS Lu participated in sample collection. CC Wu, JY Wu, YT Chen, VB Kraus, MTM Lee conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version to be published. CC Wu had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Abstract

**Objective**—To identify disease relevant genes and pathways associated with knee Osteoarthritis (OA) progression in human subjects using medial and lateral compartment dominant OA knee tissue.

**Design**—Gene expression of knee cartilage was comprehensively assessed for three regions of interest from human medial dominant OA (n=10) and non-OA (n=6) specimens. Histology and gene expression were compared for the regions with minimal degeneration, moderate degeneration and significant degeneration. Agilent whole-genome microarray was performed and data were analyzed using Agilent GeneSpring GX11.5. Significant differentially regulated genes were further investigated by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) to identify functional categories. To confirm their association with disease severity as opposed to site within the knee, 30 differentially expressed genes, identified by microarray, were analyzed by quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction on additional medial (n=16) and lateral (n=10) compartment dominant knee OA samples.

**Results**—A total of 767 genes were differentially expressed two-fold (*P* 0.05) in lesion compared to relatively intact regions. Analysis of these data by IPA predicted biological functions related to an imbalance of anabolism and catabolism of cartilage matrix components. Up-regulated expression of IL11, POSTN, TNFAIP6, and down-regulated expression of CHRDL2, MATN4, SPOCK3, VIT, PDE3B were significantly associated with OA progression and validated in both medial and lateral compartment dominant OA samples.

**Conclusions**—Our study provides a strategy for identifying targets whose modification may have the potential to ameliorate pathological alternations and progression of disease in cartilage and to serve as biomarkers for identifying individuals susceptible to progression.

# Keywords

(1) Osteoarthritis Progression; (2) Cartilage; (3) Microarray; (4) Lateral Knee Compartment

## Introduction

Although the progression of osteoarthritis (OA) is generally currently unpredictable, altered biomechanical and biochemical properties of the joint organ facilitate progression of disease [1–4]. It is widely accepted that the molecular homeostasis of the joint depends on both the structural integrity of articular cartilage and the appropriate biomechanical stresses [5, 6]. A detailed examination of molecular changes in chondrocytes, the only cell type of articular cartilage during OA disease progression, is of pivotal importance for choosing molecules that could potentially be targeted to achieve a therapeutic benefit.

Joint tissues readily available for research are generally acquired at the time of knee joint replacement and suffer from full-thickness or severe cartilage loss of the medial weightbearing compartment. This level of OA severity is often associated with an abnormal external knee adduction moment and imbalanced load distribution on the medial compartment of the knee [7]. Therefore, results of molecular analyses of advanced medial compartment knee OA tissue may not only represent direct effects of disease, but also site-

related effects driven by altered mechanical loading. In addition, few studies have profiled human tissues for genes associated with OA progression; this is largely due to difficulties obtaining joint tissues with either early- or intermediate-stages of OA severity [8, 9]. Another drawback to using patient tissues for gene expression profiling is large inter-patient differences due to variability in genetic background, duration of disease, age and gender.

To overcome these obstacles, we have pursued a two-pronged approach. First we have profiled cartilage gene expression changes relative to a gradient of histological OA severity across the tibial plateau in knees with medial compartment dominant disease [13, 14]. This model system was based on evaluation of regions of cartilage and bone across the knee joint from the uninvolved (lateral compartment of the knee) to the involved surfaces (medial compartment of the knee), evaluating for disease severity and site associated gene expression changes. Because initiation of OA usually occurs in a focal subregion where the articular surface is affected most by asymmetric mechanical loading [15], other regions of the articular cartilage in the joint remain macroscopically and structurally normal or much less damaged. With disease progression, regions adjoining the damaged cartilage are affected until the entire joint surface is involved in advanced OA [16]. In our previous study we demonstrated that specific regions across the tibial plateau yielded a gradient of disease severities; intra-individual comparisons of these regions provided a means of overcoming the inter-individual background variation in gene expression studies [13]. This model system also provided a means to overcome the difficulty of obtaining early stage disease tissue by providing relatively normal tissue from the lateral compartment for comparison with the more diseased regions medially.

For the second aspect of this approach, we now profile cartilage gene expression changes relative to a gradient of histological OA severity across the tibial plateau in knees with lateral compartment dominant disease (LOA). Whereas medial compartment dominant knee OA (MOA) is often associated with an abnormal external knee adduction moment and imbalanced load distribution on the medial compartment of the knee, load plays less of a role in development of OA in lateral compartment disease [11, 12]. This may be due to the fact that even in valgus knees, the medial compartment remains relatively overloaded until the valgus deformity exceeds 15° [10]. This overcomes a third drawback described above by enabling us to examine gene expression changes relative to worsening OA severity in the lateral compartment that has been shown to be relatively disassociated from loading stress.

For the current study, we hypothesized that this model system, encompassing a full range of histological severity across the tibial plateau, could enable us to identify gene expression changes directly associated with knee OA progression. We hypothesized that the pattern of gene expression for genes directly associated with disease severity, as opposed to joint site or mechanical load, could be the "mirror image", i.e., in medial compartment dominant disease the greatest gene expression changes associated with OA progression should occur in the most degenerative medial cartilage whereas the inverse should be true for lateral compartment disease. Because load may play less of a role in development of lateral compartment dominant disease than medial compartment OA [7, 12], the identification of the severity associated genes expressed in common between medial and lateral compartment

dominant disease may more readily identify genes related to biological factors directly associated with OA progression that are independent of load.

Therefore, to identify gene expression patterns directly associated with effects of disease and to differentiate them from patterns of expression that are driven by external mechanical loading and/or site-specific alternations, we evaluated whether OA-related genes identified in medial compartment dominant knee OA joints could be validated in lateral compartment dominant knee OA joints could be validated in lateral compartment dominant knee of articular cartilage in OA and non-OA knee joints and validated gene expression of the 30 most differentially regulated genes in additional independent medial (n=16) or lateral (n=10) compartment dominant knee OA joint cartilage specimens. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) was used to discern the gene expression patterns most reflecting the different stages of OA progression. This study identified molecular targets that are involved in the homeostasis of cartilage integrity and provides potential pathways for therapeutic druggable targets or biomarkers in OA.

# Materials and Methods

### Human knee joint tissues

A total of 42 human tibial plateaus were obtained during total knee joint replacement surgery from patients with medial or lateral compartment dominant knee OA (N=26 medial OA, 10 for microarray analysis and 16 for validation of gene expression, mean age 68.9  $\pm$  7.4 years, 70% female; N=10 lateral OA, mean age 73.9  $\pm$  11.45 years, 50% female) and non-OA joints acquired at the time of tumor surgery and above the knee amputation (N=6 non-OA, mean age 39  $\pm$  11.4 years, 50% female). The anatomic orientation was indicated on the freshly isolated specimens by marker pen to ensure consistency of sampling at prespecified regions of interest. All specimens were stored immediately in liquid nitrogen. The study was approved by the institutional review board of all the participating hospitals and Academia Sinica, Taiwan, Written informed consent was obtained from all of the participants.

### Regions of interest, cartilage harvest and RNA isolation

The processes of cartilage harvest, sectioning, grinding, and RNA extraction were performed as previously described [13]. Briefly, regions of interest were sectioned and powdered under liquid nitrogen; 100 mg of articular cartilage powder was used for RNA isolation with 5 ml of Trizol (Invitrogen, CA). The RNA concentration and quality (RNA integrity number (RIN) and 28S/18S ratio) were determined by a Nano-Drop (NanoDrop Technologies, DE) and the RNA 6000 Nano Assay on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA) respectively. An adjacent section was preserved for histological evaluation using the OARSI grading system [17] by a scorer blinded to the results of the molecular analyses.

### **Microarray analysis**

400 ng of total RNA per sample was used for one round of cRNA synthesis and amplification. Cyanine 3-labeled cRNAs were purified and hybridized to Agilent whole human genome 44k microarray chips (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). All

procedures were carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. The array signal intensities were further analyzed by the Agilent GeneSpring GX software (version 11.5). All data sets were normalized by quantile normalization and probes with low signal intensities less than 72 were excluded. Significant differentially expressed genes between samples in OA patients were identified by Repeated Measures ANOVA. Probes with a threshold of 2 fold-change and a P-value 0.05 in gene expression were further analyzed by the hierarchical clustering method, with Euclidean distance and centroid linkage according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Pathways analysis

Significant differentially expressed genes were uploaded into IPA (Ingenuity Systems; www.ingenuity.com) to identify functional annotations and predict biological interactions. The biological interaction scores were defined by the IPA statistical algorithm. The analysis was based on the z-score and p-value (red dots), which were calculated by the IPA regulation z-score algorithm and the Fischer's exact test. A positive or negative z-score of more than 2 or less than 2, and p-value less than  $0.05 (-\log_{10} 1.3)$  indicates that a biological function is significant and predicts that the biological process or disease is trending towards an increase (z-score 2) or decrease (z-score -2). In our study, some predictions with the z-score between 1.5 and 2.0 (positive or negative) were also considered due to their biological functions relevant to joint tissue metabolism. P values were corrected for multiple comparisons with the Benjamini-Hochberg test.

#### qRT-PCR validation

To validate results from microarray analysis, 16 medial compartment and 10 lateral compartment dominant OA joint tissue samples were analyzed by qRT-PCR for expression of 30 genes and GAPDH (endogenous control) (Supplementary Table 1) across the three regions of interest. The qRT-PCR was performed using the Taqman probes (Invitrogen, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and using the methods of data analysis as described in our previous study [14].

# Results

#### Pathological evaluation of regions of interest

In specimens with medial compartment dominant OA selected for microarray analysis, histological evaluation confirmed a gradient of disease severity across the tibial plateau (least degeneration laterally and most degeneration medially) (Figure 1A). By the OARSI histological scoring system, the mean severity ranged from  $4.4 \pm 0.8$  at the minimally damaged outer lateral tibial plateau (oLT), to  $14.8 \pm 3.4$  at the moderately damaged inner lateral tibial plateau (iLT), and  $19 \pm 1.7$  at the severely damaged inner medial tibial plateau (iMT). In contrast, OARSI scores of specimens from non-OA donors were  $2.7 \pm 2.1$ ,  $4.0 \pm 0$ , and  $4.3 \pm 0.8$  in oLT, iLT and iMT regions respectively. These data further demonstrate the histological similarity of cartilage from macroscopically normal regions of OA joints (oLT) and all the regions of the non-OA samples. Thus, for the purposes of these analyses, the oLT region of the knee with medial compartment dominant OA could be considered normal or a

very early stage of OA. The histological scores of each sample used in the microarray analyses are shown in Figure 1D.

#### Analysis of gene expression

Whereas gene expression profiles in non-OA tissue could not be segregated by region, gene expression profiles in OA tissue varied significantly by region. Significant numbers of genes (N=767) were differentially expressed greater than 2-fold in the iLT and iMT regions compared with the oLT region: 156 in iLT only, 272 in iMT only, and 339 genes were differentially expressed in both regions A total of 222 genes (144 upregulated and 78 downregulated) were expressed in a gradient pattern across the tibial plateau, i.e. they were up or down regulated at an intermediate level in iLT regions and further up or down regulated in iMT regions. We considered this subset of genes to be the most likely candidates associated with OA progression (Figures 1B–C, Supplementary Table 2, and with annotations by IPA in Supplementary Table 3).

Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of OA and non-OA samples was performed on the gene expression data of the differentially regulated genes to identify mechanistic pathways of disease development and progression. Except for two OA-iLT samples that clustered with the OA-MT samples, OA-oLT, OA-iLT, and OA-iMT samples grouped as distinct and separate clusters. In contrast, non-OA samples did not cluster by sample site but were more akin to the OA-oLT samples than to the OA-iLT and OA-iMT samples (Figure 1D).

#### Predicted biological functions

To more comprehensively explore the effects of gene expression changes associated with damaged cartilage and to predict the potential biological associations, differentially regulated genes with changes greater than 1.5-fold were further examined by IPA. Figure 2 shows predicted biological functions associated with OA including molecular and cellular regulation and physiological system disease. Connective tissue and vessel development were the most strongly predicted functional pathways activated in iLT cartilage regions (z-score 1.5 or -1.5; these included adhesion of connective tissue (z-score = 3.63, p-value =  $1.41 \times$  $10^{-6}$ ), ossification of bone (z-score = 2.0, p-value =  $2.08 \times 10^{-7}$ ), and vessel development (five functional annotations with z-scores over 2.0 and one below -2.0). Biological functions predicted to be inhibited in cartilage (z-score -1.5) were related to cell signaling, cellular metabolism, movement, and quantity and growth; these included binding of endothelial cells (z-score = -2.59, p-value =  $3.18 \times 10^{-7}$ ), metabolism of acylglycerol (z-score = -2.27, pvalue =  $8.96 \times 10^{-6}$ ), migration of cells (z-score = -2.4, p-value =  $8.88 \times 10^{-25}$ ), quantity of leukocytes (z-score = -2.1, p-value =  $1.08 \times 10^{-9}$ ), and proliferation of chondrocytes (zscore = -1.88, p-value =  $1.10 \times 10^{-5}$ ). All of these predicted biological functions point to an imbalance of anabolism and catabolism of cartilage matrix components.

In iMT regions, biological functions predicted to be activated in damaged cartilage (z-score

1.5) were related to tissue morphology, cellular growth and tissue development; these included morphology of cells (z-score = 2.51, p-value =  $4.36 \times 10^{-10}$ ), formation of connective tissue cells (z-score = 2.31, p-value = $2.14 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and adhesion of connective tissue cells (z-score = 3.88, p-value =  $2.35 \times 10^{-7}$ ). These predictions support our

histological results characterizing the structural degeneration of OA cartilage. In contrast, biological functions predicted to be inhibited in damaged cartilage (z-score -1.5) were related to cellular movement, a connective tissue disorder, immunological disease, metabolism, cell cycle and cell death, proliferation of chondrocytes (z-score = -1.82, p-value = $1.67 \times 10^{-7}$ ), and development of body axis (z-score = -2.32, p-value = $3.92 \times 10^{-15}$ ). These countermeasures might indicate that cells in damaged cartilage try to protect against the invasion of other cells and reduce immune responses, but the growth rate of major cells (chondrocytes) was inhibited as well.

In addition to surveying the most differentially regulated genes, we also examined our microarray data for the set of protease genes (581) listed in the Degradome database (online at http://degradome.uniovi.es/dindex.html) (Supplementary Table 4). We observed 46 protease or protease inhibitor genes that were differentially regulated more than 2-fold in cartilage at intermediate and late stages of OA. Pathway analysis of the 30 most differentially regulated genes (15 up- and 15 down-regulated) and the 46 differentially regulated protease genes identified a novel signaling network in OA entitled "post-translational modification, protein degradation, and protein synthesis". Interestingly, except for VIT, all of the most up- and down-regulated genes could be directly or indirectly linked to this network (Supplementary Figure 1). This pathway provides a comprehensive network encompassing regulation of protein quality and quantity in either cells or cartilage in OA progression.

We further investigated the network specifically associated with the early events in OA, those differentially expressed in regions of intermediate OA severity; IPA analysis identified changes of extracellular matrix properties and microenvironment, which may induce bone remodeling, bone cell differentiation and neovascularization reaching to the deep layer of cartilage (Supplementary Figure 2). In addition to the predicted biological functions of differentially regulated genes, several predicted canonical pathways also showed consistent changes in expression with OA progression across early, intermediate and late stages of cartilage degradation; these pathways included a major inflammatory response pathway (IL-6 and acute phase response signaling) in intermediate stage cartilage, a bone development pathway (Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin and BMP signaling pathways) in late stage cartilage damage, and changes in the quality of cartilage and bone (role of osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and chondrocytes) in both intermediate and late stage cartilage damage (Supplementary Table 5). All of these data reveal that these differentially regulated genes, identified through our model system, are plausibly associated with the pathogenesis of OA and disease progression.

# qRT-PCR validation of differentially regulated genes in medial (MOA) and lateral compartment OA (LOA)

Based on the results of the histological and biological function evaluation of the initial samples with medial compartment dominant OA, we defined oLT, iLT and iMT regions as early (E), intermediate (IM), and late (L) stages of OA respectively (Figures 3 A and C). This disease severity pattern was mirrored" for lateral compartment dominant disease (Figures 3 B and D). We hypothesized that gene expression effects specific to disease, as

opposed to a site within the joint, would track with the histological severity of disease; by this reasoning, we would expect that disease specific gene regulation would be maximal in the compartment of maximal histological damage, independent of site (medial or lateral compartment). To test this hypothesis, human osteoarthritic tibial plateaus with either medial (MOA n=16) or lateral (LOA n=10) compartment dominant OA were obtained during total knee joint replacement surgery from knee OA patients. In the MOA samples, the mean histological severity ranged from  $4.6 \pm 1.2$  at the minimally damaged oLT region, to 14.5  $\pm$  3.5 at the moderately damaged iLT region, and 19.3  $\pm$  1.8 at the severely damaged iMT region. In LOA samples, the mean severity ranged from  $5.8 \pm 2.4$  at the minimally damaged outer medial tibial plateau (oMT), to  $11.2 \pm 2.7$  at the moderately damaged inner medial tibial plateau (iMT), and  $18.4 \pm 3.8$  at the severely damaged inner lateral tibial plateau (iLT). Except for BHLHE22 (due to failure of the qPCR probe design), all the genes with the greatest up- and down-regulated expression in microarray could be validated in independent MOA samples by qRT-PCR (Table 1). Analysis of LOA samples demonstrated that the expression pattern of 3 up-regulated genes and 5 down-regulated genes reproduced results with MOA samples (i.e. in a "mirror image pattern"), and their expression occurred in a gradient pattern corresponding to the gradient of disease severity; these included upregulated IL11, POSTN, TNFAIP6, and down-regulated CHRDL2, MATN4, SPOCK3, VIT, PDE3B. Additionally, up-regulated CDH10 and GALNTL1 could be validated in moderately damaged cartilage (Figure 3E), whereas up-regulated TNFSF11, LOXL2, and down-regulated LMO3, DACT1, MMP3, RSPO3, ADCY1, C4BPA and NRXN2 could be validated in severely damaged cartilage (Figure 3F).

#### Upstream regulators of differentially regulated genes

Upstream regulator analysis may identify proximal transcriptional control mechanisms responsible for OA-related gene regulation (differentially regulated genes) and forecast upstream targets that may be involved in the pathogenesis of OA. This analysis may identify potential therapeutic and biomarker targets. A total of 105 significant upstream regulators were predicted by IPA based on significant z-scores (Supplementary Table 6). Table 2 shows the top upstream regulators, currently available drugs targeting these upstream regulators and potential expressing cells identified by IPA analysis and their predicted activation state. These analyses revealed that TNF, TGFB1, PPARG, ERBB2 and SMAD4 would be potential upstream regulators at intermediate and late stages of OA severity. These regulatory molecules might be released by chondrocytes in cartilage, immune cells (e.g. macrophages) in synovial fluid, synovium, or bone cells (e.g. osteoblasts) in underlying subchondral bone.

# Discussion

For this study we evaluated histological and transcriptomic changes of three regions of interest across the tibial plateau encompassing a wide range of disease severities. All the most strongly differentially expressed genes except one, identified by microarray in medial compartment dominant disease, could be validated by qRT-PCR in independent medial compartment dominant knee OA specimens. Eight of these most differentially expressed genes in medial compartment dominant knee OA were mirrored in lateral compartment

dominant knee OA; namely, independent of site, each of these genes was expressed in a gradient pattern across the tibial plateau, either positively or negatively with respect to histological disease severity. The genes expressed in the lateral compartment dominant disease mirrored the pattern of gene expression in the medial compartment dominant disease suggesting that these genes are disease severity related rather than site dependent. Although some of these genes, according to the available literature, have not previously been associated with OA progression in humans, their involvement is plausible given their known functions. For instance, although its role in OA progression is still unclear, IL-11 is a member of the IL-6-type cytokine family whose up-regulation is associated with antiinflammation in human cartilage [22]. The role of POSTN in OA progression is also unknown; POSTN is a matricellular glutamate-containing protein that plays multiple roles in bone metabolism and is an important regulator of bone formation [23] suggesting that POSTN may be an important initiator of subchondral bone turnover. TNFAIP6 (tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 6) is a third example of a gene whose role in OA progression is unclear. TNFAIP6 is a secretory protein that contains a hyaluronan-binding domain; increased levels of this protein are found in the synovial fluid of patients with OA and OA progression [24]. Therefore, the present study provides strong proof of the concept that this model system recapitulates states of OA progression and provides disease-relevant molecular signatures.

To date, gene expression studies have been conducted in several animal models to identify genes associated with progressive degeneration of articular cartilage [30–35]. Surgical destabilization of the medial meniscus (DMM) was performed in mice lacking ADAMTS-5 activity to identify OA initiation and progression candidates involved in cartilage destruction independent of ADAMTS-5 [36]. Identified genes included *II-11, Mmp3, Ptgs2, Crlf1, Inhba, Capn2, Press46, KIk8 and Phdla2.* With the exception of *Press46* and *KIk8*, all of these genes are among the differentially expressed genes in our study. Moreover, both IL-11 and MMP3 are among the top-dysregulated genes that could be validated in both LOA and MOA joint cartilage. Interestingly, some of the genes significantly associated with disease progression in the current study (such as ADAMTS1, ASPN and TNFSF11) were previously identified to be among our 19 human genes associated with the interaction of articular cartilage and subchondral bone [13].

Of the eight genes that could be validated as disease associated in both MOA and LOA samples, 7 genes have been implicated in the organization of cartilage extracellular matrix, cartilage development or OA pathogenesis [18–24]. The single exception, the PDE3B gene, has not been implicated previously in OA. Nevertheless, its involvement in OA is plausible based on previous data showing that activation of PDE3B likely serves as a regulatory feedback mechanism of cAMP and calcium related responses and plays an important role in regulating energy homeostasis [25]. Although several candidate biomarkers have been investigated and some potential structure-modifying OA drugs (SMOADs) and disease-modifying OA drugs (DMOADs) have shown efficacy in OA models, currently, the practical use of these biomarkers is still limited and no DMOADs have been proved to slow or stop disease progression or repair damaged cartilage [26, 27]. A discovery of upstream regulators of differentially regulated genes could be a way of aiding development of pharmaceutical interventions. Based on IPA analysis, 105 molecules were predicted to be upstream

regulators mediating the observed phenotypic or functional outcomes of cartilage damage. The molecules regulating gene expression changes in chondrocytes from intermediate stage cartilage (N=28) may potentially be associated with driving a catabolic and increased joint tissue turnover phenotype in chondrocytes. These same molecules represent potential candidate biomarkers and candidates whose inhibition might engender new DMOAD development. Drugs designed to target upstream regulators identified from late stage cartilage could be potential DMOADs to suppress the dominant catabolic phenotype and disease progression before irreversible joint failure. Moreover, the upstream regulators involving both intermediate and late stage cartilage may affect the homeostasis of whole joint tissue and represent additional interesting targets. For example, TGF $\beta$  (z-scores 3.08 in IM, 5.68 in L) and SMAD4 (z-scores 2.08 in IM and 2.79 in L) were predicted as significant upstream regulators in our study. TGF $\beta$  could be released from underlying subchondral bone cells in response to OA progression in early stages; this is plausible given that  $TGF\beta$ signaling is associated with initiation of pathological OA changes including turnover of subchondral bone, a high level of angiogenesis and cartilage loss [28]. Activated TGF<sup>β</sup> could result in phosphorylation of SMAD4 and its translocation to the nucleus to modify gene expression to change the balance between cartilage matrix catabolism and anabolism in chondrocytes [29]. Therefore, drugs or biomarkers designed to target TGFB/SMAD4 signaling cascades could be potential chondroprotective therapeutics.

Our study provides a comprehensive gene expression profile related to the pathogenesis of OA and OA disease progression. Overall, our study provides a strategy, using a novel model system, for identifying targets whose modification may have the potential to ameliorate pathological alterations and progression of disease in cartilage and to serve as biomarkers for identifying individuals susceptible to progression.

# Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Translational Resource Center for Genomic Medicine (TRC) of National Research Program for Biopharmaceuticals (NRPB), for the support in project management.

#### Role of the funding source

This study was supported by the Academia Sinica Genomic Medicine Multicenter Study (40-05-GMM), the National Research Program for Genomic Medicine, National Science Council, Taiwan (Translational Resource Center for Genomic Medicine: NSC101-2325-B-001-035, National Center for Genome Medicine: NSC101-2319-B-001-001 and MTML: NSC101-2320-B-001-020-MY3), NIH/NIA Claude D. Pepper OAIC 5P30 AG028716 and P01 AR50245 (VBK), and an OARSI scholarship (to C-H Chou).

# References

- Roemhildt ML, Beynnon BD, Gauthier AE, Gardner-Morse M, Ertem F, Badger GJ. Chronic in vivo load alteration induces degenerative changes in the rat tibiofemoral joint. Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society. 2013; 21(2):346–357.
- 2. Chowdhury TT, Schulz RM, Rai SS, Thuemmler CB, Wuestneck N, Bader A, Homandberg GA. Biomechanical modulation of collagen fragment-induced anabolic and catabolic activities in

chondrocyte/agarose constructs. Arthritis research & therapy. 2010; 12(3):R82. [PubMed: 20462435]

- Young AA, Smith MM, Smith SM, Cake MA, Ghosh P, Read RA, Melrose J, Sonnabend DH, Roughley PJ, Little CB. Regional assessment of articular cartilage gene expression and small proteoglycan metabolism in an animal model of osteoarthritis. Arthritis research & therapy. 2005; 7(4):R852–861. [PubMed: 15987487]
- Appleyard RC, Burkhardt D, Ghosh P, Read R, Cake M, Swain MV, Murrell GA. Topographical analysis of the structural, biochemical and dynamic biomechanical properties of cartilage in an ovine model of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society. 2003; 11(1):65–77.
- Guilak F. Biomechanical factors in osteoarthritis. Best practice & research Clinical rheumatology. 2011; 25(6):815–823. [PubMed: 22265263]
- 6. Bader DL, Salter DM, Chowdhury TT. Biomechanical influence of cartilage homeostasis in health and disease. Arthritis. 2011; 2011:979032. [PubMed: 22046527]
- Andriacchi TP. Dynamics of knee malalignment. The Orthopedic clinics of North America. 1994; 25(3):395–403. [PubMed: 8028883]
- Aigner T, Fundel K, Saas J, Gebhard PM, Haag J, Weiss T, Zien A, Obermayr F, Zimmer R, Bartnik E. Large-scale gene expression profiling reveals major pathogenetic pathways of cartilage degeneration in osteoarthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2006; 54(11):3533–3544. [PubMed: 17075858]
- Leijten JC, Emons J, Sticht C, van Gool S, Decker E, Uitterlinden A, Rappold G, Hofman A, Rivadeneira F, Scherjon S, et al. Gremlin 1, frizzled-related protein, and Dkk-1 are key regulators of human articular cartilage homeostasis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2012; 64(10):3302–3312. [PubMed: 22576962]
- Scott CE, Nutton RW, Biant LC. Lateral compartment osteoarthritis of the knee: Biomechanics and surgical management of end-stage disease. The bone & joint journal. 2013; 95-B(4):436–444. [PubMed: 23539693]
- Lynn SK, Reid SM, Costigan PA. The influence of gait pattern on signs of knee osteoarthritis in older adults over a 5–11 year follow-up period: a case study analysis. The Knee. 2007; 14(1):22– 28. [PubMed: 17092727]
- Sharma L, Chmiel JS, Almagor O, Felson D, Guermazi A, Roemer F, Lewis CE, Segal N, Torner J, Cooke TD, et al. The role of varus and valgus alignment in the initial development of knee cartilage damage by MRI: the MOST study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2013; 72(2):235– 240. [PubMed: 22550314]
- 13. Chou CH, Lee CH, Lu LS, Song IW, Chuang HP, Kuo SY, Wu JY, Chen YT, Kraus VB, Wu CC, et al. Direct assessment of articular cartilage and underlying subchondral bone reveals a progressive gene expression change in human osteoarthritic knees. Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society. 2013; 21(3):450–461.
- Chou CH, Wu CC, Song IW, Chuang HP, Lu LS, Chang JH, Kuo SY, Lee CH, Wu JY, Chen YT, et al. Genome-wide expression profiles of subchondral bone in osteoarthritis. Arthritis research & therapy. 2013; 15(6):R190. [PubMed: 24229462]
- Carter DR, Beaupre GS, Wong M, Smith RL, Andriacchi TP, Schurman DJ. The mechanobiology of articular cartilage development and degeneration. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004; (427 Suppl):S69–77. [PubMed: 15480079]
- Lee JH, Fitzgerald JB, Dimicco MA, Grodzinsky AJ. Mechanical injury of cartilage explants causes specific time-dependent changes in chondrocyte gene expression. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2005; 52(8):2386–2395. [PubMed: 16052587]
- Pritzker KP, Gay S, Jimenez SA, Ostergaard K, Pelletier JP, Revell PA, Salter D, van den Berg WB. Osteoarthritis cartilage histopathology: grading and staging. Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society. 2006; 14(1):13–29.
- Nakayama N, Han CY, Cam L, Lee JI, Pretorius J, Fisher S, Rosenfeld R, Scully S, Nishinakamura R, Duryea D, et al. A novel chordin-like BMP inhibitor, CHL2, expressed preferentially in chondrocytes of developing cartilage and osteoarthritic joint cartilage. Development. 2004; 131(1): 229–240. [PubMed: 14660436]

- Mann HH, Ozbek S, Engel J, Paulsson M, Wagener R. Interactions between the cartilage oligomeric matrix protein and matrilins. Implications for matrix assembly and the pathogenesis of chondrodysplasias. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2004; 279(24):25294–25298. [PubMed: 15075323]
- Hartmann U, Hulsmann H, Seul J, Roll S, Midani H, Breloy I, Hechler D, Muller R, Paulsson M. Testican-3: a brain-specific proteoglycan member of the BM-40/SPARC/osteonectin family. Journal of neurochemistry. 2013; 125(3):399–409. [PubMed: 23418755]
- 21. Manabe R, Tsutsui K, Yamada T, Kimura M, Nakano I, Shimono C, Sanzen N, Furutani Y, Fukuda T, Oguri Y, et al. Transcriptome-based systematic identification of extracellular matrix proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008; 105(35): 12849–12854. [PubMed: 18757743]
- 22. Yan D, Kc R, Chen D, Xiao G, Im HJ. Bovine lactoferricin-induced anti-inflammation is, in part, via up-regulation of interleukin-11 by secondary activation of STAT3 in human articular cartilage. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013; 288(44):31655–31669. [PubMed: 24036113]
- 23. Merle B, Garnero P. The multiple facets of periostin in bone metabolism. Osteoporosis international: a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2012; 23(4):1199–1212.
- Wisniewski HG, Colon E, Liublinska V, Karia RJ, Stabler TV, Attur M, Abramson SB, Band PA, Kraus VB. TSG-6 activity as a novel biomarker of progression in knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society. 2014; 22(2):235–241.
- Degerman E, Ahmad F, Chung YW, Guirguis E, Omar B, Stenson L, Manganiello V. From PDE3B to the regulation of energy homeostasis. Current opinion in pharmacology. 2011; 11(6):676–682. [PubMed: 22001403]
- 26. Bay-Jensen AC, Hoegh-Madsen S, Dam E, Henriksen K, Sondergaard BC, Pastoureau P, Qvist P, Karsdal MA. Which elements are involved in reversible and irreversible cartilage degradation in osteoarthritis? Rheumatology international. 2010; 30(4):435–442. [PubMed: 19816688]
- 27. Evans CH, Kraus VB, Setton LA. Progress in intra-articular therapy. Nature reviews Rheumatology. 2014; 10(1):11–22. [PubMed: 24189839]
- 28. Zhen G, Wen C, Jia X, Li Y, Crane JL, Mears SC, Askin FB, Frassica FJ, Chang W, Yao J, et al. Inhibition of TGF-beta signaling in mesenchymal stem cells of subchondral bone attenuates osteoarthritis. Nature medicine. 2013; 19(6):704–712.
- Finnson KW, Parker WL, ten Dijke P, Thorikay M, Philip A. ALK1 opposes ALK5/Smad3 signaling and expression of extracellular matrix components in human chondrocytes. Journal of bone and mineral research: the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2008; 23(6):896–906.
- 30. Nam J, Perera P, Liu J, Rath B, Deschner J, Gassner R, Butterfield TA, Agarwal S. Sequential alterations in catabolic and anabolic gene expression parallel pathological changes during progression of monoiodoacetate-induced arthritis. PloS one. 2011; 6(9):e24320. [PubMed: 21931681]
- Poulet B, Ulici V, Stone TC, Pead M, Gburcik V, Constantinou E, Palmer DB, Beier F, Timmons JA, Pitsillides AA. Time-series transcriptional profiling yields new perspectives on susceptibility to murine osteoarthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2012; 64(10):3256–3266. [PubMed: 22833266]
- 32. Wei T, Kulkarni NH, Zeng QQ, Helvering LM, Lin X, Lawrence F, Hale L, Chambers MG, Lin C, Harvey A, et al. Analysis of early changes in the articular cartilage transcriptisome in the rat meniscal tear model of osteoarthritis: pathway comparisons with the rat anterior cruciate transection model and with human osteoarthritic cartilage. Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society. 2010; 18(7):992–1000.
- 33. Appleton CT, Pitelka V, Henry J, Beier F. Global analyses of gene expression in early experimental osteoarthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2007; 56(6):1854–1868. [PubMed: 17530714]
- Peffers MJ, Liu X, Clegg PD. Transcriptomic signatures in cartilage ageing. Arthritis research & therapy. 2013; 15(4):R98. [PubMed: 23971731]
- 35. Loeser RF, Olex AL, McNulty MA, Carlson CS, Callahan MF, Ferguson CM, Chou J, Leng X, Fetrow JS. Microarray analysis reveals age-related differences in gene expression during the

development of osteoarthritis in mice. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2012; 64(3):705–717. [PubMed: 21972019]

 Bateman JF, Rowley L, Belluoccio D, Chan B, Bell K, Fosang AJ, Little CB. Transcriptomics of wild-type mice and mice lacking ADAMTS-5 activity identifies genes involved in osteoarthritis initiation and cartilage destruction. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2013; 65(6):1547–1560. [PubMed: 23436205]



**Figure 1. Microarray gene expression analysis of OA and non-OA articular cartilage** (A) Representative histological images of cartilage for RNA isolation from the outer lateral tibial plateau (oLT), inner lateral tibial plateau (iLT) and inner medial tibial plateau (iMT) from specimens with medial compartment dominant OA. (B) Cumulative frequency distribution of the number of differentially expressed genes with a minimum of 2-fold, 3-fold, 4-fold, 6-fold, and 8-fold changes from iLT and iMT samples (gray and blue lines). Fold change (*x*-axis) is plotted against the number of differentially expressed genes (bars) and also against the cumulative frequency (lines). (C) Venn diagram indicating the overlap

of differentially expressed genes from iLT and iMT samples. (D) Heat map and unsupervised hierarchical clustering of OA and non-OA samples were performed for genes whose differential expression exceeded 2-fold (767 genes from 894 probes). Distances between samples were detected with a Euclidean algorithm and clustered with an average linkage method. The OA-oLT (pink), OA-iLT (gray) and OA-iMT (blue) samples clustered separately from one another and non-OA samples, except for two iMT samples. Non-OAoLT (green), non-OA-iLT (brown) and non-OA-MT samples manifested a mixed pattern. The cartilage integrity of each sample was determined by the OARSI histological grading system and these scores were merged with the heatmap (gray dots and line). Samples with scores under 6 clustered into a subgroup (e.g. OA-oLT and all non-OA samples) separate from OA-iLT and OA-iMT samples.



Figure 2. Representative molecular and cellular functions identified in iLT and MT regions compared to oLT regions

To predict potential biological associations of the differentially expressed genes, microarray data from iLT (A) and iMT (B) regions of medial compartment dominant OA were further examined by Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) (http://www.ingenuity.com). Predicted biological functions included molecular and cellular regulation and physiological system disease. The analysis was based on the z-score and p-value (red dots), which were calculated by the IPA regulation z-score algorithm and the Fischer's exact test. A positive or negative z-score with more than 2 or less than 2 fold difference respectively and p-value less than 0.05

 $(-\log_{10} \ 1.3)$  indicate that a biological process or disease increases (z-score 2, represented by orange dotted lines) or decreases (z-score -2, represented by blue dotted lines) significantly.



# Figure 3. Validation of top OA genes in medial and lateral compartment dominant knee osteoarthritis (OA)

A total of 3 regions of interest were chosen for RNA isolation and histological analysis indicated by the black-boxed areas. The macroscopic appearance and histological scores of tibial plateau regions of interest are shown for human medial compartment dominant knee OA (MOA, N=16, panels **A and C**) and lateral compartment dominant knee OA (LOA, N=10, panels **B and D**). The cartilage from the outer lateral tibial plateau (oLT), inner lateral tibial plateau (iLT) and inner medial tibial plateau (iMT) from MOA knees were designated early (E), intermediate (IM) and late (L) stages of OA respectively based on their histological scores. The cartilage from the outer medial tibial plateau (oMT), inner medial tibial plateau (iMT), and inner lateral tibial plateau (iLT) from LOA knees were designated E, IM and L respectively. The histological severity scores across MOA and LOA regions were significantly different. (nonparametric repeated measures test, P < 0.001 for MOA, P <0.001 for LOA). (**E**–**F**) 10 and 17 genes were validated in IM and L stage of OA samples respectively in both MOA and LOA (n=16 MOA, n=10 LOA). The 8 genes shown left of the dashed line were validated in both IM and L stages of OA in both MOA and LOA; error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Chou et al.

					N	fedial com	partment O	Α		Lateral compa	artment OA
Accesion no.	Gene symbol	Gene name	Ρ	iLT (OA)	iMT (OA)	iLT (N)	iMT (N)	iLT (OA)	iMT (OA)	iMT (OA)	iLT (OA)
Genes with increa	ısed expression ir	ı inner lateral or medial tibial cartilage		Microar	ray (FC)	Microar	ray (FC)	gRT-PCR	(FC), n=16	qRT-PCR	(FC), n=10
NM_000641	IL11	interleukin 11	4.69E-06	5.63	180.87	1.28	1.99	63.93 <i>§</i>	450.07 <i>§</i>	$3.14^{*}$	11.07
NM_139072	DNER	delta/notch-like EGF repeat containing	1.02E-07	42.53	67.22	10.82	4.60	19.93\$	23.71 <i>§</i>	1.61	-1.15
NM_003108	SOX11	SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 11	2.79E-05	14.54	29.84	9.52	2.20	7.45\$	5.57*	$2.80^{*}$	-1.28
NM_006475	NTSOQ	periostin	7.52E-05	5.31	19.70	2.90	1.09	2.31	$4.30^{\$}$	3.42 <i>§</i>	10.83
NM_004878	PTGES	prostaglandin E synthase	4.44E-05	5.51	12.73	1.30	1.87	$6.00^{\$}$	8.02\$	1.97¶	$1.87^{*}$
NM_00066	C8B	complement component 8	1.65E-04	7.55	10.81	1.23	1.30	3.06¶	2.94 🛚	-1.77	-6.09 Å
NM_024812	BAALC	brain and acute leukemia, cytoplasmic	1.16E-07	3.80	10.75	1.86	1.51	7.29\$	10.87\$	1.76	-1.30
NM_006727	CDH10	cadherin 10, type 2	4.48E-05	7.89	10.60	1.24	1.46	15.84 <i>§</i>	18.91	2.39 *	-1.03
NM_001001557	GDF6	Homo sapiens growth differentiation factor 6	2.61E-03	5.50	9.87	1.49	1.29	1.88	4.61 *	1.43	-1.07
NM_003701	<b>TNFSF11</b>	tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 11	1.78E-05	4.07	9.50	1.39	1.17	3.99	7.69¶	1.40	2.31¶
NM_020692	<b>GALNTL1</b>	UDP-N-acetyl-alpha-D-galactosamine:polypeptide N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase-like 1	1.63E-05	5.57	9.17	2.36	1.18	27.11§	23.55 <i>§</i>	2.15*	1.08
NM_001495	GFRA2	GDNF family receptor alpha 2	8.70E-06	4.07	8.99	1.45	1.53	7.50\$	7.84§	1.84	1.12
NM_007329	DMBT1	deleted in malignant brain tumors 1	2.06E-05	3.95	8.39	1.10	1.72	4.77\$	4.40∬	1.50	-2.09
NM_002318	LOXL2	lysyl oxidase-like 2	9.73E-05	4.73	7.73	1.76	1.64	3.32 <i>§</i>	4.21 §	1.58	2.39 *
NM_007115	TNFAIP6	tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 6	3.11E-03	2.86	7.14	-1.00	-1.08	5.01	$9.18^{\$}$	2.85 <i>§</i>	4.42 <i>§</i>
Genes with decres	ased expression i.	n inner lateral or medial tibial cartilage									
NM_015424	CHRDL2	chordin-like 2	5.79E-05	-4.39	-24.62	-3.52	-1.62	-23.23§	-112.37§	-4.40	-383.46§
NM_003833	MATN4	matrilin 4	1.23E-05	-26.28	-16.73	-2.50	-1.74	-31.68 §	-36.39\$	-2.57*	-22.55 <i>§</i>
NM_001204352	SPOCK3	sparc/osteonectin, cwcv and kazal-like domains proteoglycan (testican) 3	1.18E-03	-3.83	-7.45	-14.34	-5.88	-59.51 §	-78.33§	-3.94 🛚	-29.07 §
NM_053276	VIT	vitrin	1.10E-05	-4.50	-7.34	-5.15	-3.23	-23.24§	-51.19 §	-3.13¶	-7.04 *
NM_152414	BHLHE22	basic helix-loop-helix family, member e22	6.55E-03	-4.01	-7.33	-1.48	-4.08	NA	NA	NA	NA
NM_000922	PDE3B	phosphodiesterase 3B, cGMP-inhibited	6.49E-07	-5.03	-7.32	-3.35	-2.38	-8.85 §	-17.15 §	-3.02 1	\$86.6-

Top up- and down-regulated genes comparing outer lateral tibial cartilage (intact cartilage) to inner lateral or medial tibial cartilage (damaged cartilage).

					M	edial comp	artment OA			Lateral compai	tment OA
Accesion no.	Gene symbol	Gene name	Ρ	iLT (OA)	iMT (OA)	iLT (N)	iMT (N)	iLT (OA)	iMT (OA)	iMT (OA)	iLT (OA)
NM_018640	LM03	LIM domain only 3(rhombotin-like 2)	1.69E-04	-3.88	-7.19	-3.41	-2.41	-11.25	-15.30 §	-2.52	-7.33\$
NM_016651	DACT1	antagonist of beta-catenin, homolog 1	3.19E-06	-5.20	-5.81	-1.79	-1.60	-11.27 §	-16.80 §	-1.23	-9.39\$
NM_002422	MMP3	matrix metallopeptidase 3	3.48E-04	-3.46	-5.42	-4.41	-2.46	-9.41	-34.96 §	-1.33	-11.35 §
NM_032784	RSPO3	R-spondin 3	1.11E-05	-3.28	-5.24	-1.84	-1.62	-4.16	-8.71 §	-1.75	-16.73 %
NM_021116	ADCY1	adenylate cyclase 1	2.06E-05	-3.66	-5.17	-1.37	-2.26	-6.25 §	-11.16	-1.48	₩60.1-
NM_153692	HTRA4	HtrA serine peptidase 4	1.90E-02	-4.20	-4.77	1.01	1.45	-1.97	-3.66	1.01	-1.47
NM_001205315	STEAP4	STEAP family member 4	7.79E-05	-4.20	-4.53	-1.17	-2.01	-7.21§	-11.09	-1.55	-3.00
NM_000715	C4BPA	complement component 4 binding protein, alpha	3.32E-05	-4.75	-4.46	-3.25	-2.47	-16.04 §	-23.12¶	-1.46	-9.74¶
NM_138732	NRXN2	neurexin 2	3.16E-06	-4.27	-3.57	-1.51	-1.17	−7.47¶	-10.09 §	-2.86	-7.56*

iLT= inner lateral tibail plateau, iLT= inner lateral tibial plateau, iMT= inner medial tibial plateau, N= non-OA sampels, FC = Fold change, NA = not analyzed,

<sup>§</sup>P<0.001,

Osteoarthritis Cartilage. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 April 01.

¶P<0.01, \* P<0.05 Author Manuscript

Summary of representative predicted upstream regulator identified in iLT and MT regions compared to oLT regions.

		i	LT	iN	IT		Ex	pressing	g cells/	tissue	ş
Regulator	Type	z-score	p-value	z-score	p-value	Drug(s)	P/S	S/SF	OB	С	Μ
$\operatorname{CEBPA}^{*}$	TR	-2.42	4.4E-08							х	×
CEBPB	TR	-2.26	5.9E-08				x		x		х
<b>COMMD1</b>	Т	-2.24	8.3E-05								x
IL13	CK	-2.17	1.5E-04			x	x	x			
tretinoin	СН	-2.03	4.4E-09								
NCOA1	TR	-2.01	1.1E-06								х
HOXD3	TR	-2.00	7.2E-04								
TGFB1	GF	3.08	1.1E-14	5.68	5.2E-26		x	x			х
TNF	CK	2.57	5.2E-19	3.80	4.1E-28	x	x	x		x	x
ERBB2	К	2.19	1.7E-10	2.57	1.3E-14	x	x				
PINI	Ц	2.00	1.2E-02	2.24	4.4E-03						х
SMAD4	TR	2.08	4.5E-04	2.79	7.9E-08						х
MTTP	Т	2.00	1.6E-03	2.00	2.7E-05	x					
ENPP2	Е	2.00	8.9E-05	2.00	1.9E-04			x			х
TGM2	Е	-2.53	3.8E-02	-2.78	2.2E-05						х
NCOA3	TE	-2.42	4.6E-04	-2.21	2.7E-04						х
PPARG*	LNR	-2.41	1.0E-11	-2.16	1.1E-15	x		x		×	х
CXCL12*	CK	-2.38	4.2E-03	-2.03	5.7E-03		х	x	х	x	х
MEDAG*	other	-2.24	3.8E-07	-2.00	4.3E-05						
PARP2	Ц	-2.22	8.4E-07	-2.00	7.5E-05	x					
1DH1	Ц	-2.00	5.0E-05	-2.00	1.3E-03		x	x			х
IGF2	GF			3.23	2.6E-10		x			x	
HIF1A	TR			2.83	1.7E-07			x			х
<b>CTNNB1</b>	TR			2.47	6.7E-14						х
$\operatorname{IGF1}^{*}$	GF			2.75	2.8E-11		х		х	x	х
GDF2	GF			2.71	8.0E-06						

		iI	Ţ	i	TT		Ex	pressing	g cells/	tissue	s
Regulator	Type	z-score	p-value	z-score	p-value	Drug(s)	$\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{S}$	S/SF	OB	C	М
F2	Ь			2.51	6.1E-08	x	x				
EGFR	К			2.48	2.7E-12	x	x		x	x	
prostaglandin E2	CH			2.47	5.6E-10						
TGFB2	GF			2.37	4.9E-05	х	x				
WNT3A	CK			2.36	5.2E-11						
progesterone	CH			2.31	1.9E-19						
$BMP2^*$	GF			2.31	3.0E-07				x	×	
FGF2	GF			2.29	6.2E-12	x	x	x		x	
$BMP6^*$	GF			2.21	3.8E-05						
NCOR2	TR			2.18	4.3E-09		х				
MAPK3	К			2.16	7.9E-07					x	
PDGF BB	CO			2.10	3.0E-08						
EGF	GF			2.07	1.0E-08		x				
let-7a-5p	mR			-3.25	2.7E-03						
*BON	GF			-2.43	3.6E-06						x
miR-16-5p	mR			-2.43	2.3E-03						
APC	Щ			-2.21	6.8E-07		x				
CR1L	other			-2.16	4.3E-07		x				
TR=transcription reg	ulator										
T=transporter											
CK=cytokine											
CH=chemical											
GF=growth factor											
K=kinase											
E=enzyme											
LNR=ligand-depend	ent nucle	ar receptor									
P=peptidase											
CO=complex											

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Anthor Manacript	S/SF=Synovium/Synovial Fluid	M=Macrophage	
MR=mature microRNA	OB=Osteoblasts	*	
P/S=Plasma/Serum	C=Cartilage/Chondrocyte	=FC 2 or -2	

Osteoarthritis Cartilage. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 April 01.

Author Manuscript