

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Effect of increased protein intake on renal acid load and renal hemodynamic responses

Karianna F. M. Teunissen-Beekman^{1,2}, Janneke Dopheide^{1,2}, Johanna M. Geleijnse^{1,3},
Stephan J. L. Bakker^{1,4}, Elizabeth J. Brink¹, Peter W. de Leeuw⁵ & Marleen A. van Baak^{1,2}

1 Top Institute Food and Nutrition, Wageningen, The Netherlands

2 Department of Human Biology, NUTRIM School for Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

3 Division of Human Nutrition, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands

4 Department of Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen and University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

5 Department of Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Center and Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht, The Netherlands

Keywords

Acid load, carbohydrate, glomerular filtration rate, kidney, protein.

Correspondence

Marleen A van Baak, Department of Human Biology, NUTRIM School for Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism, Maastricht University, Maastricht, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD, The Netherlands.

Tel: +31 (0)6 38 81630

Fax: +31 (0)43 36 70 976

E-mail: m.vanbaak@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Funding Information

This study was funded by the Top Institute (TI) Food and Nutrition (project number A-1003), Wageningen, The Netherlands. TI Food and Nutrition is a public private partnership of science, industry, and government conducting strategic research in food and nutrition (www.tifn.nl).

Received: 14 August 2015; Revised: 15 December 2015; Accepted: 21 December 2015

doi: 10.14814/phy2.12687

Physiol Rep, 4 (5), 2016, e12687, doi: 10.14814/phy2.12687

Abstract

Increased protein intake versus maltodextrin intake for 4 weeks lowers blood pressure. Concerns exist that high-protein diets reduce renal function. Effects of acute and 4-week protein intake versus maltodextrin intake on renal acid load, glomerular filtration rate and related parameters were compared in this study. Seventy-nine overweight individuals with untreated elevated blood pressure and normal kidney function were randomized to consume a mix of protein isolates (60 g/day) or maltodextrin (60 g/day) for 4 weeks in energy balance. Twenty-four-hour urinary potential renal acid load (uPRAL) was compared between groups. A subgroup (maltodextrin $N = 27$, protein mix $N = 25$) participated in extra test days investigating fasting levels and postprandial effects of meals supplemented with a moderate protein- or maltodextrin-load on glomerular filtration rate, effective renal plasma flow, plasma renin, aldosterone, pH, and bicarbonate. uPRAL was significantly higher in the protein group after 4 weeks ($P \leq 0.001$). Postprandial filtration fraction decreased further after the protein-supplemented breakfast than after the maltodextrin-supplemented breakfast after 4 weeks of supplementation ($P \leq 0.001$). Fasting and postprandial levels of glomerular filtration rate, effective renal plasma flow, renin, aldosterone, angiotensin-converting enzyme, pH and bicarbonate did not differ between groups. In conclusion, 4 weeks on an increased protein diet (25% of energy intake) increased renal acid load, but did not affect renal function. Postprandial changes, except for filtration fraction, also did not differ between groups. These data suggest that a moderate increase in protein intake by consumption of a protein mix for 4 weeks causes no (undesirable) effects on kidney function in overweight and obese individuals with normal kidney function.

Introduction

Increased protein diets are often advised to overweight and obese persons because these diets may reduce adiposity (Santesso et al. 2012). A blood pressure lowering effect

of 4 weeks of increased protein consumption (3×20 g/day) compared with 4 weeks of maltodextrin consumption (3×20 g/day) in overweight individuals with mild blood pressure elevation was reported previously (Teunissen-Beekman et al. 2012). Because overweight and obese

persons often have elevated blood pressure (Kang 2013), this may be an extra benefit from consuming a high-protein diet for this group. However, small beneficial health effects of high-protein diets need to be weighed against potential harms (Santesso *et al.* 2012), like gradual loss of kidney function (Martin *et al.* 2005). Among the mechanisms held responsible for the effects of dietary protein on the kidney are hyperfiltration (Palatini 2012) and increased renal acid load (van den Berg *et al.* 2011; Goryaya and Wesson 2012). Previous food-based studies have shown that diets with increased protein content increase glomerular filtration rate (GFR) acutely (Viberti *et al.* 1987; Chan *et al.* 1988; Simon *et al.* 1998) and after chronic consumption (Skov *et al.* 1999; Frank *et al.* 2009; Juraschek *et al.* 2013) in individuals with normal kidney function. We investigated GFR and acid load in response to a $\approx 10\%$ increase in energy intake from protein in overweight individuals with mild blood pressure elevation and normal kidney function. Chronic and postprandial responses of renal acid load, renal hemodynamics, renal function parameters, and the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system were compared between protein- and maltodextrin-supplemented diets (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012, 2013). Supplements consisting of protein isolates (a mix of milk, egg-white, soy and pea protein isolates) were chosen and compared to maltodextrin to minimize for confounding effects of other nutrients present in food. In contrast to previous studies (Viberti *et al.* 1987; Chan *et al.* 1988; Simon *et al.* 1998), only a moderate difference in protein intake was tested during the postprandial tests because this difference would be more realistically achieved during a high protein diet with a 10% increase in energy from dietary protein. We hypothesized that the renal acid load and fasting GFR would be higher on the protein-supplemented diet than on the maltodextrin-supplemented diet and that the protein-supplemented breakfast would induce a greater postprandial increase in GFR and effective renal plasma flow (ERPF) than the maltodextrin-supplemented breakfast both at the start and at the end of the 4-week diet intervention. Because the kidneys are involved in blood pressure regulation, postprandial changes in renin and aldosterone were also measured. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) activity was studied, because (milk)proteins are hypothesized to reduce blood pressure via ACE inhibition (McGregor and Poppitt 2013).

Material and Methods

This randomized, double blind, parallel group trial on the effects of protein on blood pressure (PROPRES) has been described previously (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012, 2013). All 94 participants followed a standard dietary

advice (Energy: 15% protein, 55% carbohydrate, 30% fat) starting 2 weeks before the intervention and ending after the intervention period. During the 4-week intervention period the groups exchanged 3×20 g/day of carbohydrates in their diet with 3×20 g/day of a protein mix (20% pea protein, 20% soy protein, 30% egg-white protein and 30% milk protein) or with 3×20 g/day of maltodextrin. As an example, protein intake would be increased from 79 g/day to 139 g/day for a diet of 2000 kcal per day. Twenty-four-hour urine was collected before the start (day 0) and at the end of the intervention period. Postprandial renal and humoral responses to protein- and maltodextrin-supplemented meals were compared in a subgroup ($N = 52$) of the PROPRES study on day 1 and after 4 weeks of supplementation. The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki was approved by the local medical ethical committee of Maastricht University Medical Centre. All participants gave written informed consent. Inclusion and exclusion criteria and the method of randomization are described elsewhere (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012, 2013).

Test days

Participants attended test days on day 1 and after 4 weeks of supplementation for measurements of variables in the fasting state and in response to protein- or maltodextrin-supplemented meals. Meals were supplemented with 20 g of the protein mix or 20 g maltodextrin. Renal hemodynamic responses were measured at 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, and 240 min after breakfast. Postprandial changes in active renin, ACE, and aldosterone were also measured during 4 h after breakfast and were continued for 8 more hours at 300, 360, 420, 480, 540, 600, 660, and 720 min. Lunch and dinner were consumed after 4 and 8 hours. Further details on measurements and on the composition of the meals and supplements can be found elsewhere (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012, 2013).

Renal hemodynamic responses

After participants arrived at the university a catheter was inserted in the left and right antecubital vein and retrogradely in a dorsal vein of the right hand. The right hand was heated in a hot box in order to arterialize the blood in the hand vein. For the determination of GFR and ERPF, inulin (Inutest 25%, Fresenius Kabi, Graz, Austria) and para-aminohippurate (PAH, MSD, Haarlem, the Netherlands) were continuously infused in the right arm vein and blood was drawn from the left arm vein (van der Zander *et al.* 2006).

Filtration fraction (FF) was calculated as GFR/ERPF. Effective renal blood flow (ERBF) was calculated as

ERPF/(1-hematocrit). Hematocrit was measured with a microhematocrit reader. Renal vascular resistance (RVR) was calculated from ERBF and MAP as: MAP/ERBF . Measurements of blood pressure can be found elsewhere (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2013).

Renin, ACE, aldosterone

Aldosterone and renin were analyzed in EDTA-plasma and ACE was analyzed in serum. Analyses were done by MLM medical labs (Mönchengladbach, Germany). ACE activity was determined with the ACE-color method (Fujirebio, Tokyo, Japan). Renin was measured with the LIAISON Direct renin assay using chemiluminescent immunoassay technology (Diasorin, Saluggia, Italy). Aldosterone was measured with an ELISA (IBL international GMBH, Hamburg, Germany).

Acid load and urinary excretions

Blood pH and bicarbonate concentration were measured in arterialized blood with a blood gas analyzer (ABL 510, Radiometer Medical A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark).

Renal acid load was determined by calculating the 24-h urinary potential renal acid load (uPRAL), which reflects urinary net acid excretion (NAE) without its organic anion component (Krupp *et al.* 2014). UPRAL was calculated as follows: $\text{uPRAL (mEq/day)} = [\text{Cl (mmol/day)} + \text{SO}_4 \text{ (mmol/day)} * 2 + \text{PO}_4 \text{ (mmol/day)} * 1.8] - (\text{Na (mmol/day)} + \text{K (mmol/day)} + \text{Mg (mmol/day)} * 2 + \text{Ca (mmol/day)} * 2)$ (Krupp *et al.* 2014).

Twenty-four-hour urinary excretions of electrolytes, sulfate, and phosphate are reported elsewhere (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012). Twenty-four-hour urinary excretion of ammonium was measured chromatographically (Alliance HT 2795; Waters, Milford, MA). Ammonium and ammonia play an important role in the elimination of acid from the body (Garibotto *et al.* 2009). Twenty-four-hour urinary pH and titratable acid were measured with an automated titrator (855 Robotic Titrosampler; Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland). Acid excretion was not measured directly because 24-h bicarbonate excretion was not available in our study. Protein intake (g/day) was calculated as: $[\text{24-h urinary urea nitrogen excretion (UUN; g/day)}] + 0.031 * [\text{body weight (kg)}] * 6.25$.

Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics and fasting values of all variables were tested with an independent samples *t*-test. Changes in fasting variables, uPRAL, and 24-h urinary ammonium after 4 weeks of supplementation were compared between groups with an univariate ANCOVA with baseline

measurements as a covariate. To control for errors introduced by incorrect 24-h urine collection, uPRAL and 24-h urinary ammonium were also analyzed when including only participants who had a change <20% of 24-h urinary creatinine during the intervention (Stuveling *et al.* 2004).

Postprandial data on each test day were analyzed by a linear mixed-model analysis using a random intercept model. Analyses started off with the full model including group, time, fasting measurement, BMI, age and sex. BMI, age, and sex were removed from the model one-by-one starting with the least significant covariate to test whether they significantly contributed to the model with a -2 log likelihood test. Significant covariates were kept in the final model. Aldosterone and renin were naturally log-transformed before analyses because of their non-normal distributions.

Incremental areas under the curve (iAUCs) were calculated and tested for deviance from zero with a one-sample *t*-test to see whether postprandial increases/decreases were significant.

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (version 20; IBM). A *P* value of ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

From the 94 PROPRES participants, 79 were included in the present analyses because uPRAL could not be calculated in some participants due to missing electrolyte concentrations. Baseline characteristics of 43 participants in the maltodextrin group and 36 participants in the protein group included in analyses are shown in Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the total group and the subgroup participating in the postprandial tests were described previously (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012, 2013). Baseline characteristics and 24-h urinary excretions did not significantly differ between the subgroup participating in the postprandial tests and the total group for (data not shown). Protein intake did not differ between groups at the start of the intervention and was significantly higher in the

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

	Maltodextrin group	Protein group	<i>P</i> -value
Gender (m/f)	30/13	25/11	–
Age (year)	55 ± 1	55 ± 1	0.83
BMI (kg/m ²)	29 ± 0.5	28 ± 0.4	0.10
SBP (mmHg)	143 ± 2	143 ± 2	0.90
DBP (mmHg)	92 ± 1	93 ± 1	0.80

Values are mean ± SEM. Between-group differences were tested with an independent samples *t*-test. DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

protein group after 4 weeks of supplementation compared to the maltodextrin group (protein intake (g/day): maltodextrin group 91 ± 3 g/day or 1.03 ± 0.03 g/kg/day, protein group 128 ± 5 g/day or 1.53 ± 0.05 g/kg/day; all $P < 0.001$). The exchange of 60 g/day protein or 60 g/day maltodextrin supplements for 60 g/day dietary carbohydrates was isocaloric because body weight did not change significantly during the intervention (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012).

Renal hemodynamic responses

Fasting renal hemodynamic values did not differ between groups at baseline and after 4 weeks of supplementation (Table 2). Fasting GFR and ERPF measurements correlated well with each other (Pearson $r = 0.69$). Food intake increased ERPF and ERBF, and decreased FF and RVR (iAUC, $P \leq 0.05$), whereas the iAUC of the postprandial GFR did not differ from zero. Postprandial responses of ERPF, GFR, ERBF, and RVR did not differ between groups on either test day (Fig. 1A–H). Postprandial FF remained significantly higher after the maltodextrin-supplemented breakfast than after the protein-supplemented breakfast after 4 weeks of supplementation (Fig. 1F).

Renin, aldosterone, ACE

Baseline renin was significantly lower in the maltodextrin group compared with the protein group (Table 2). Twelve-hour postprandial renin was increased after the maltodextrin-supplemented breakfast on day 1 and after both breakfasts at 4 weeks (iAUC, $P \leq 0.05$). Postprandial

renin was higher in the maltodextrin group than in the protein group at day 1 of supplementation, but not after 4 weeks (Fig. 2A–B). ACE (data not shown) and aldosterone (Fig. 2C–D) decreased similarly in both groups on both test days (iAUC, $P \leq 0.05$).

Acid load

Fasting and postprandial plasma pH and bicarbonate did not differ between groups (Fig. 3A–D), and the iAUCs did not significantly differ from zero. Baseline uPRAL (day 0) was significantly lower in the protein group compared with the maltodextrin group, whereas baseline-corrected uPRAL after 4 weeks was significantly higher in the protein group compared with the maltodextrin group (Fig. 4A). In addition, urinary pH was 0.3 ± 0.1 higher in the maltodextrin group after 4 weeks ($P = 0.004$), whereas urinary titratable acid did not differ between groups. Ammonium excretion was also significantly higher in the protein group after 4 weeks (Fig. 4B). When only participants with $<20\%$ change in 24-h urinary creatinine were analyzed (maltodextrin group $N = 27$, protein group $N = 29$), baseline uPRAL no longer differed between groups, whereas differences in baseline-corrected uPRAL and ammonium after 4 weeks sustained.

Discussion

In contrast to our hypothesis, fasting GFR and other variables of renal hemodynamics did not differ between the protein and maltodextrin group after 4 weeks of supplementation, despite a 52 g/day higher protein intake in the

Table 2. Fasting renal hemodynamics, hormones, and measures of systemic acidity

	Maltodextrin			Protein		
	Day 1	4 weeks	<i>N</i>	Day 1	4 weeks	<i>N</i>
Body surface area (m ²)	2.0 ± 0.0		27	2.0 ± 0.0		25
ERPF (mL/min)	440 ± 21	437 ± 20	24	433 ± 17	412 ± 15	24
GFR (mL/min)	137 ± 5	134 ± 5	24	130 ± 5	127 ± 5	24
FF	0.32 ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.01	24	0.30 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.01	24
ERBF (mL/min)	788 ± 36	763 ± 39	23	785 ± 34	710 ± 28	23
RVR (mmHg·min/mL)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	24	0.14 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	24
Renin (pmol/L)	$0.54 \pm 0.06^*$	0.52 ± 0.08	25	$0.85 \pm 0.12^*$	0.75 ± 0.09	25
ACE (U/L)	16 ± 1	16 ± 1	26	15 ± 1	14 ± 1	25
Aldosterone (nmol/L)	0.38 ± 0.02	0.37 ± 0.03	27	0.45 ± 0.07	0.40 ± 0.05	25
pH	7.41 ± 0.00	7.40 ± 0.00	25	7.41 ± 0.00	7.41 ± 0.00	25
HCO ₃ (mmol/L)	24 ± 1	25 ± 1	25	25 ± 1	25 ± 1	25

Values are mean \pm SEM. ERPF, effective renal plasma flow; FF, filtration fraction; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; ERBF, effective renal blood flow; RVR, renal vascular resistance.

* $P < 0.05$ for between-group differences. Between-group differences on day 1 were tested with an independent samples *t*-test. Between-group differences after 4 weeks were tested with ANCOVA correcting for the fasting value on day 1.




Figure 1. Mean (\pm SEM) of 4-h postprandial responses of renal function to breakfasts supplemented with protein or maltodextrin on day 1 of supplementation (left) and after 4 weeks of supplementation (right). Panels are ERPF (A, B), GFR (C, D), FF (E, F), and RVR (G, H). On day 1 ERPF, GFR and FF $n = 25$ maltodextrin group, $n = 25$ protein group; RVR $n = 24$ maltodextrin group, $n = 24$ protein group. After 4 weeks ERPF, GFR, FF, and RVR $n = 26$ maltodextrin group, $n = 24$ protein group. * $P < 0.05$ for the difference between maltodextrin group (black) and protein group (white) over the whole 4-h period according to the mixed model. ERPF, effective renal plasma flow; FF, filtration fraction; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; RVR, renal vascular resistance.

protein group, suggested by 206 mmol/24-h higher urea excretion in the protein group (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012). Therefore, this study revealed no indication that GFR would be affected by consumption of 60 g protein extra per day for 4 weeks. Increased protein consumption has been reported to increase fasting GFR in energy-balanced diets (Frank *et al.* 2009; Juraschek *et al.* 2013). In one study GFR and FF increased in healthy young

men after 7 days on a high-protein diet (181 g protein/day) versus a normal protein diet (88 g protein/day) (Frank *et al.* 2009). In the Omniheart trial, 6 weeks on an increased protein diet (+10% of energy from protein) increased fasting GFR compared to diets replacing protein with either carbohydrate or fat in individuals with untreated elevated blood pressure (Juraschek *et al.* 2013). High-protein weight-loss diets also increased fasting GFR




Figure 2. Mean (\pm SEM) of 12 h postprandial responses of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system to meals supplemented with protein or maltodextrin on day 1 of supplementation (left) and after 4 weeks of supplementation (right). Panels are renin (A, B) and aldosterone (C, D). On day 1 renin $n = 25$ maltodextrin group, $n = 25$ protein group; aldosterone $n = 27$ maltodextrin group, $n = 25$ protein group. After 4 weeks renin and aldosterone $n = 27$ maltodextrin group, $n = 25$ protein group. Vertical lines indicate breakfast, lunch, and dinner. $*P < 0.05$ for the difference between maltodextrin group (black) and protein group (white) over the whole 12-h period according to the mixed model.




Figure 3. Mean (\pm SEM) of 12 h postprandial responses of blood pH and bicarbonate to meals supplemented with protein or maltodextrin on day 1 of supplementation (left) and after 4 weeks of supplementation (right). Panels are pH (A, B) and bicarbonate (C, D). On day 1 and after 4 weeks pH and bicarbonate $n = 26$ maltodextrin group, $n = 25$ protein group. Vertical lines indicate breakfast, lunch, and dinner. Postprandial responses did not differ between the maltodextrin group (black) and protein group (white) according to the mixed model.




Figure 4. Mean (\pm SEM) of 24-h uPRAL (panel A) and ammonium excretion (panel B) at baseline and after 4 weeks of supplementation in the protein group (white) and maltodextrin group (black). For uPRAL: baseline $n = 41$ maltodextrin group, $n = 35$ protein group. After 4 weeks $n = 38$ maltodextrin group, $n = 35$ protein group. For ammonium: baseline $n = 43$ maltodextrin group, $n = 35$ protein group. After 4 weeks $n = 39$ maltodextrin group, $n = 35$ protein group. Between-group differences on day 0 were tested with an independent samples *t*-test. Between-group differences after 4 weeks were tested with ANCOVA correcting for the fasting value on day 0. uPRAL, urinary potential renal acid load.

(Skov *et al.* 1999; Tirosch *et al.* 2013). An explanation why this study did not find an increase in fasting GFR could be because we increased protein intake with protein isolate, whereas others used protein-rich foods (Skov *et al.* 1999; Frank *et al.* 2009; Juraschek *et al.* 2013; Tirosch *et al.* 2013). Also, participants in three of these studies had lower baseline GFR (Skov *et al.* 1999; Juraschek *et al.* 2013; Tirosch *et al.* 2013) compared to our participants. Participants in one study had a similar baseline GFR (Frank *et al.* 2009) as those in our study, however, the contrast in protein intake was higher than in our study (93 g/day vs. 60 g/day) (Frank *et al.* 2009), and the extra protein consisted mainly of animal protein (Frank *et al.* 2009), while we used 60% animal protein and 40% plant protein. Plant protein may have a smaller or no effect on GFR. In a crossover trial, consumption of bread containing 80 g/day of wheat gluten versus 93 g/day of carbohydrates for 1 month showed no differences in creatinine

clearance in individuals with hyperlipidemia (Jenkins *et al.* 2001), whereas a crossover study comparing 4 weeks of animal protein consumption with plant protein consumption found higher GFR and ERPF after the animal protein diet in type 1 diabetic patients (Kontessis *et al.* 1995).

Changes in uPRAL and ammonium excretion showed that, renal acid load was increased in the protein group after 4 weeks, whereas fasting blood pH and bicarbonate did not differ between groups. This suggests that systemic acid-base balance was not changed by the high-protein diet. Associations between protein intake and renal acid load have been reported (Remer and Manz 1994), and it has been suggested that increased renal excretion of ammonia and acids might lead to tubulointerstitial injury and decreased kidney function in the long term in patients with diabetic nephropathy (van den Berg *et al.* 2011). This study found no effects of 4 weeks of increased protein intake on kidney function in our study population with normal kidney function. In addition, albumin excretion was borderline significantly lower in the protein group compared to the maltodextrin group (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012). In agreement with our study, randomized trials have not confirmed disturbances in renal function after high protein diets in individuals with normal kidney function (Bie and Astrup 2015; Campbell and Rains 2015). Six months on a high-protein (25% of energy from protein) weight-loss diet was found to increase GFR without adverse changes in albuminuria (Skov *et al.* 1999). Two years on a low-carbohydrate high-protein diet (22% of energy from protein) was found to improve GFR and urinary microalbumin-to-creatinine ratios to the same extent as a Mediterranean diet and a low fat diet (19% of energy from protein) (Tirosch *et al.* 2013). Another 2-year weight-loss study found no effects on GFR or albuminuria of a low-carbohydrate high-protein diet (Friedman *et al.* 2012). This suggests that, high-protein diets do not adversely affect kidney function in individuals with normal kidney function over a period of 2 years, although effects on the longer term still cannot be excluded. In type 2 diabetic patients with early renal disease, 1 year on a moderate protein weight-loss diet (110 g protein/day) compared with a standard protein diet (97 g protein/day) was not harmful for kidney function (Jesudason *et al.* 2013). Despite evidence supporting our findings of no adverse effects of increased protein intake on kidney function in individuals with normal renal function or early renal disease, our findings might have been confounded by higher sodium intake in the maltodextrin group (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012). High sodium intake can adversely affect renal function via an interaction with aldosterone and by inducing hyperfiltration (Lambers Heerspink *et al.*

2012). However, we found no evidence for between-group differences or increases in either aldosterone or GFR after the intervention in the maltodextrin group (Table 2). Therefore, we do not expect that higher sodium intake in the maltodextrin group confounded our results.

In contrast to our second hypothesis, postprandial responses of GFR and ERPF did not differ after the protein- and maltodextrin-supplemented meals. Also, GFR did not increase significantly after the protein-supplemented breakfast and only exceeded baseline levels from 90 to 120 min after breakfast. This increase may be too late to be picked up by iAUC analyses. Many studies have reported that protein-induced increases of postprandial GFR and ERPF (Bosch *et al.* 1986; Hostetter 1986; Viberti *et al.* 1987; Simon *et al.* 1998). Two of these studies did not report AUCs, but found a significant increase in GFR and ERPF by comparing separate time points with baseline measurements (Viberti *et al.* 1987) or with a control condition (Hostetter 1986). Another potential explanation for the lack of such effect in this study is the relatively low dose of protein consumed. Moreover, compliance was not complete, as estimated for protein intake from 24-h UNN excretion. Studies that reported increases in GFR gave 70–90 g of protein (Bosch *et al.* 1986; Viberti *et al.* 1987; Kontessis *et al.* 1990; Simon *et al.* 1998), whereas the protein content of the protein-supplemented breakfast in our study was 43 g. Differences in protein sources tested may also lead to different outcomes. Egg-white protein, cheese, tofu and soy were found to have no acute effects on GFR and ERPF (Kontessis *et al.* 1990; Nakamura *et al.* 1990), whereas meat or tuna increased GFR (Bosch *et al.* 1986; Hostetter 1986; Viberti *et al.* 1987; Kontessis *et al.* 1990; Nakamura *et al.* 1990; Simon *et al.* 1998). The sulfur-containing amino acids methionine and cysteine could play a role in the increased GFR after meat and tuna intake (Brosnan and Brosnan 2006). However, the protein mix in this study also contained a considerable amount of methionine and cysteine, which is reflected in increased urinary sulfate excretion in the protein group during the test days (data not shown) and after 4 weeks of supplementation (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012). Finally, the high baseline GFR in our study participants may point toward an exhausted nephron reserve, which results in the inability to increase GFR any further. However, we found no relationship between baseline GFR and the maximal GFR response after consumption of a high-protein breakfast (Pearson $r = 0.02$) or maltodextrin breakfast (Pearson $r = -0.35$). Meal consumption increased ERPF in our study, with no difference between meal types. This increase in ERPF was accompanied by a decrease in RVR and parallels the decrease in blood pressure and total peripheral resistance reported earlier (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2013). Thus, increased

regional blood flow in the kidneys partly contributed to the postprandial decrease in blood pressure and total peripheral resistance.

On the first day of supplementation, but not after 4 weeks, renin increased to a higher level after the maltodextrin-supplemented breakfast. The meal-induced increase in renin could be a homeostatic response to the meal-induced decrease in blood pressure, which was previously reported (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2013). This idea is strengthened by the finding that renin was significantly higher in the maltodextrin group on day 1, when blood pressure was significantly lower (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2013), whereas postprandial responses of renin and blood pressure did not differ after 4 weeks (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2013). Higher postprandial renin levels could be a result of the higher insulin response (Perlstein *et al.* 2007) in the maltodextrin group (Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2013). However, postprandial renin no longer differed between groups after 4 weeks of supplementation despite higher postprandial insulin in the maltodextrin group, which makes this explanation less likely. In addition, we found no between-group differences in ERBF response on either test day, which contrasts with findings on insulin-induced renal vasodilation (Perlstein *et al.* 2007). Aldosterone decreased below baseline during the day but showed no clear meal-induced responses. This postprandial change could reflect the circadian rhythm of aldosterone, which peaks at 0600 and then lowers during the day until 00:00 (Hurwitz *et al.* 2004). Consumption of beef containing 1 g/kg bodyweight of protein was found to increase aldosterone after two hours (Krishna *et al.* 1988), but our protein dose was much smaller.

A limitation of our study was that our findings could be a result of increased protein intake as well as a result of decreased carbohydrate intake. This limitation was inevitable because increased intake of one macronutrient will always result in decreased intake of at least one of the other macronutrients under isocaloric conditions (Altorf-van der Kuil *et al.* 2010; Teunissen-Beekman *et al.* 2012).

In conclusion, this study shows that a moderate increase in protein intake to $\approx 25\%$ of energy by replacing 60 g of maltodextrin per day by 60 g of a protein isolate mixture (20% pea protein, 20% soy protein, 40% milk protein, 40% egg-white protein) per day for 4 weeks increases the systemic acid load compared to maltodextrin intake, with no evidence for any effects on kidney function in healthy obese individuals with mildly elevated blood pressure and normal kidney function.

Acknowledgments

This publication has been realized with the support of MSD (gift of PAH). The views expressed in this publication

are those expressed by the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of MSD. The authors thank Harrie Robins, Jeroen Smets, Sander Offermans, and Frank Engel for their help in the PROPRES trial. The authors also thank Jet Bost for assessing plasma PAH and inulin levels.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Altorf-van der Kuil, W., M. F. Engberink, E. J. Brink, van Baak M. A., S. J. Bakker, G. Navis, et al. 2010. Dietary protein and blood pressure: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 5: e12102.
- van den Berg, E., F. A. Hospers, G. Navis, M. F. Engberink, E. J. Brink, J. M. Geleijnse, et al. 2011. Dietary acid load and rapid progression to end-stage renal disease of diabetic nephropathy in Westernized South Asian people. *J. Nephrol.* 24:11–17.
- Bie, P., and A. Astrup. 2015. Dietary protein and kidney function: when higher glomerular filtration rate is desirable. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 102:3–4.
- Bosch, J. P., S. Lew, S. Glabman, and A. Lauer. 1986. Renal hemodynamic changes in humans. Response to protein loading in normal and diseased kidneys. *Am. J. Med.* 81:809–815.
- Brosnan, J. T., and M. E. Brosnan. 2006. The sulfur-containing amino acids: an overview. *J. Nutr.* 136:1636S–1640S.
- Campbell, A. P., and T. M. Rains. 2015. Dietary protein is important in the practical management of prediabetes and type 2 diabetes. *J. Nutr.* 145:164S–169S.
- Chan, A. Y., M. L. Cheng, L. C. Keil, and B. D. Myers. 1988. Functional response of healthy and diseased glomeruli to a large, protein-rich meal. *J. Clin. Invest.* 81:245–254.
- Frank, H., J. Graf, U. Amann-Gassner, R. Bratke, H. Daniel, U. Heemann, et al. 2009. Effect of short-term high-protein compared with normal-protein diets on renal hemodynamics and associated variables in healthy young men. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 90:1509–1516.
- Friedman, A. N., L. G. Ogden, G. D. Foster, S. Klein, R. Stein, B. Miller, et al. 2012. Comparative effects of low-carbohydrate high-protein versus low-fat diets on the kidney. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 7:1103–1111.
- Garibotto, G., D. Verzola, A. Sofia, S. Saffioti, F. Menesi, E. Vigo, et al. 2009. Mechanisms of renal ammonia production and protein turnover. *Metab. Brain Dis.* 24:159–167.
- Gojara, N., and D. E. Wesson. 2012. Dietary management of chronic kidney disease: protein restriction and beyond. *Curr. Opin. Nephrol. Hypertens.* 21:635–640.
- Hostetter, T. H. 1986. Human renal response to meat meal. *Am. J. Physiol.* 250:F613–F618.
- Hurwitz, S., R. J. Cohen, and G. H. Williams. 2004. Diurnal variation of aldosterone and plasma renin activity: timing relation to melatonin and cortisol and consistency after prolonged bed rest. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (1985) 96: 1406–1414.
- Jenkins, D. J., C. W. Kendall, E. Vidgen, L. S. Augustin, M. van Erk, A. Geelen, et al. 2001. High-protein diets in hyperlipidemia: effect of wheat gluten on serum lipids, uric acid, and renal function. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 74:57–63.
- Jesudason, D. R., E. Pedersen, and P. M. Clifton. 2013. Weight-loss diets in people with type 2 diabetes and renal disease: a randomized controlled trial of the effect of different dietary protein amounts. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 98:494–501.
- Juraschek, S. P., L. J. Appel, C. A. Anderson, and E. R. Miller 3rd. 2013. Effect of a high-protein diet on kidney function in healthy adults: results from the OmniHeart trial. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 61:547–554.
- Kang, Y. S. 2013. Obesity associated hypertension: new insights into mechanism. *Electrolyte Blood Press.* 11:46–52.
- Kontessis, P., S. Jones, R. Dodds, R. Trevisan, R. Nosadini, P. Fioretto, et al. 1990. Renal, metabolic and hormonal responses to ingestion of animal and vegetable proteins. *Kidney Int.* 38:136–144.
- Kontessis, P. A., I. Bossinakou, L. Sarika, E. Iliopoulou, A. Papanтониου, R. Trevisan, et al. 1995. Renal, metabolic, and hormonal responses to proteins of different origin in normotensive, nonproteinuric type I diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 18:1233.
- Krishna, G. G., G. Newell, E. Miller, P. Heeger, R. Smith, M. Polansky, et al. 1988. Protein-induced glomerular hyperfiltration: role of hormonal factors. *Kidney Int.* 33:578–583.
- Krupp, D., L. Shi, and T. Remer. 2014. Longitudinal relationships between diet-dependent renal acid load and blood pressure development in healthy children. *Kidney Int.* 85:204–210.
- Lambers Heerspink, H. J., G. Navis, and E. Ritz. 2012. Salt intake in kidney disease—a missed therapeutic opportunity? *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 27:3435–3442.
- Martin, W. F., L. E. Armstrong, and N. R. Rodriguez. 2005. Dietary protein intake and renal function. *Nutr. Metab. (Lond)* 2:25.
- McGregor, R. A., and S. D. Poppitt. 2013. Milk protein for improved metabolic health: a review of the evidence. *Nutr. Metab. (Lond)* 10:46.
- Nakamura, H., M. Yamazaki, Y. Chiba, N. Tamura, T. Momotsu, S. Ito, et al. 1990. Glomerular filtration response to acute loading with protein from different sources in healthy volunteers and diabetic patients. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* 162:269–278.
- Palatini, P. 2012. Glomerular hyperfiltration: a marker of early renal damage in pre-diabetes and pre-hypertension. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 27:1708–1714.

- Perlstein, T. S., M. Gerhard-Herman, N. K. Hollenberg, G. H. Williams, and A. Thomas. 2007. Insulin induces renal vasodilation, increases plasma renin activity, and sensitizes the renal vasculature to angiotensin receptor blockade in healthy subjects. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 18:944–951.
- Remer, T., and F. Manz. 1994. Estimation of the renal net acid excretion by adults consuming diets containing variable amounts of protein. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 59:1356–1361.
- Santesso, N., E. A. Akl, M. Bianchi, A. Mente, R. Mustafa, D. Heels-Ansdell, et al. 2012. Effects of higher- versus lower-protein diets on health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 66:780–788.
- Simon, A. H., P. R. Lima, M. Almerinda, V. F. Alves, P. V. Bottini, and J. B. de Faria. 1998. Renal haemodynamic responses to a chicken or beef meal in normal individuals. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 13:2261–2264.
- Skov, A. R., S. Toubro, J. Bulow, K. Krabbe, H. H. Parving, and A. Astrup. 1999. Changes in renal function during weight loss induced by high vs low-protein low-fat diets in overweight subjects. *Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord.* 23:1170–1177.
- Stuveling, E. M., S. J. Bakker, H. L. Hillege, J. G. Burgerhof, P. E. de Jong, R. O. Gans, et al. 2004. C-reactive protein modifies the relationship between blood pressure and microalbuminuria. *Hypertension* 43:791–796.
- Teunissen-Beekman, K. F., J. Dopheide, J. M. Geleijnse, S. J. Bakker, E. J. Brink, P. W. de Leeuw, et al. 2012. Protein supplementation lowers blood pressure in overweight adults: effect of dietary proteins on blood pressure (PROPRES), a randomized trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 95:966–971.
- Teunissen-Beekman, K. F., J. Dopheide, J. M. Geleijnse, S. J. Bakker, E. J. Brink, P. W. de Leeuw, et al. 2013. Blood pressure decreases more after high-carbohydrate meals than after high-protein meals in overweight adults with elevated blood pressure, but there is no difference after 4 weeks of consuming a carbohydrate-rich or protein-rich diet. *J. Nutr.* 143:424–429.
- Tirosh, A., R. Golan, I. Harman-Boehm, Y. Henkin, D. Schwarzfuchs, A. Rudich, et al. 2013. Renal function following three distinct weight loss dietary strategies during 2 years of a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 36:2225–2232.
- Viberti, G., E. Boggetti, M. J. Wiseman, R. Dodds, J. L. Gross, and H. Keen. 1987. Effect of protein-restricted diet on renal response to a meat meal in humans. *Am. J. Physiol.* 253:F388–F393.
- van der Zander, K., A. J. Houben, D. J. Webb, E. Udo, B. Kietzelaer, L. Hofstra, et al. 2006. Selective endothelin B receptor blockade does not influence BNP-induced natriuresis in man. *Kidney Int.* 69:864–868.