

# Prevalence of Cervical-Vaginal Infections in the Pap-Smear Samples in Iran

Cheraghi Maria<sup>1</sup>, Rahimi Zahra<sup>2</sup> & Parsa Sara<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Social Determinant of Health Research Center, Department of Public Health, Health School, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Health School Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Health Center of Dashte-Azadegan, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Dashte-Azadegan, Iran

Correspondence: Zahra Rahimi, Department of Epidemiology, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Tel: 061-2422-1700. E-mail: zrahimi57@gmail.com

Received: October 18, 2013 Accepted: December 4, 2013 Online Published: December 19, 2013

doi:10.5539/gjhs.v6n1p201

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v6n1p201>

## Abstract

Cervical-vaginal infection is one of the most common problems in clinical medicine. We aimed to determine the prevalence of cervical-vaginal infections in pap-smear samples from women in urban and rural areas. Method: It was a cross-sectional study which had been done on 1448 non-pregnant women who had attended 12 health centers in the Dashte-Azadegan city during 2007-2011, Iran. After explaining the aim of the study, all subjects had signed informed consent, questionnaires regarding demographic and reproductive characteristics, and contraceptive methods used were completed by the researcher. Also, pap-smear samples were prepared by a trained obstetrician and sent to the pathology laboratory. All data were analyzed using SPSS (version 19). Descriptive and analytical statistics (chi-square test) were also applied. Results: The result showed that 55.9% and 44.1% of subjects were respectively in urban and rural areas. The mean age of women was 28±8.075. Pap smear results had shown that 8.8% of samples were infected with one of the microorganisms such as Chlamydia, Candida, Gardnerella, and Trichomonas. A significant association was seen between contraceptive methods, education levels and place of residence with cervical-vaginal infections. Conclusion: The most prevalent pathogens by descending order were: Candida, Trichomonas and Gardnerella. The prevalence of cervical-vaginal infections was consistent with the results of many studies but it was different with the results of some studies. This could be due to the special conditions of social, economic and cultural of each area.

**Keywords:** cervical infections, vaginal infections, pap-smear, prevalence, Iran

## 1. Introduction

Cervical-vaginal infections are one of the most common problems in clinical medicine. Gardnerella vaginalis, Candidiasis and Trichomoniasis are responsible for 90% of the cases of diseases of infectious origin (Adad et al., 2001). Trichomonas vaginalis is a flagellate protozoan considered to be sexually transmissible, and can create an anaerobic environment that favors bacterial vaginosis (BV) such as Gardnerella vaginalis (Adad et al., 2001; Heller et al., 2006). The organism like Chlamydia trachomatis may present as vaginal discharge whereas Candida albicans and T. vaginalis have an established role in vaginitis (Sehgal & Nalini, 1990). Vulvovaginal candidiasis is the second cause of vaginitis, but it may be caused by several candida species, principally C. albicans. It is not true that C. albicans is resistant to antifungal drugs. The resistance rate to antifungals is variable and depends on the population, of type of antifungal drug, if azole or polyene (Martins et al., 2012). In general, cervical-vaginal infections are associated with significant morbidity, complications, and costly treatment (Heller et al., 2008). The cytological diagnosis (Pap-smear) was used for screening of cervical cancer. In addition, G. vaginalis, T. vaginalis and C. albicans infections can also be easily diagnosed from cervical cytology, by identification either of the organism or of characteristic cytological cellular changes (Konje et al., 1991, Escoffery et al., 2001). Since the pap-smear exam is easy to perform, well tolerated, and relatively inexpensive, the large number of women was examined by Pap-smear annually (Nokiani et al., 2008). Thus, this technique can become an important tool and the easiest method for detection of cervical-vaginal infections, especially, in developing countries (West et al., 1988). Various studies conducted to determine the frequency of the most common agents for cervical-vaginal infections have shown different results. A study in Nigeria indicated the prevalence of 9.76% for

*G. vaginalis*, 2.52% for *T. vaginalis* and 2.20% for candidiasis (Konje et al., 1991). Another study reported the rate of 3.3% for Trichomoniasis (Adeoye & Akande, 2007). Even prevalence of 33% is obtained about Gardnerella (West et al., 1988). In Iran, the prevalence rate of *G. vaginalis*, *T. vaginalis* and candidiasis was 9.4%, 7.3%, and 15% respectively (Parhizgar & Moshafaa, 2002).

Since maintenance and promotion of women's health has a special value in health care, it is necessary to have the accurate information about cervical-vaginal infections to design and implement health plans. Considering few studies on the cervical-vaginal infections in pap-smear samples in Iran, this study aims to determine prevalence of cervical-vaginal infections according to age, the level of education, place of residence, the number of pregnancies, parity and abortion, and contraceptive methods.

## 2. Methods

It was a cross - sectional study which had done on 1448 non-pregnant women those had attended 12 health centers in the Dashte- Azadegan city in Khuzestan province, Iran, during 2007-2011.

We had invited 1448 non-pregnant women to participate this study, all of them had attended 12 health centers (including 8 rural health centers and 4 urban health centers) and they had written informed consent. We had confirmation Ethics Committee from Ahvaz Jundishapour university of Medical Sciences AJMSU. Inclusion criteria were married women, age to be less than 70 years old and had to have lived in Dasht-e- Azadegan city, and all Virgins, pregnant and menstruating women were excluded from this study.

### 2.1 The Pap-Smear Sampling Method

Papanicolaou test (also called Pap smear, Pap test, cervical smear, or smear test) is a screening test used to detect potentially pre-cancerous and cancerous processes in the endocervical canal (transformation zone) of the female reproductive system. Unusual findings are often followed up by more sensitive diagnostic procedures, and, if warranted, interventions that aim to prevent progression to cervical cancer. The test was invented and named after the prominent Greek doctor Georgios Papanikolaou. In taking a Pap smear, a speculum is used to open the vaginal canal and allow the collection of cells from the outer opening of the cervix of the uterus and the endocervix. The cells are examined under a microscope to look for abnormalities

### 2.2 Data Analysis

All data were analysed using SPSS (version 19). To analyze, descriptive statistics such as frequency tables, mean, and standard deviation was used. As well as chi-square test was applied to find the association between two variables. The significance level of 0.05 was considered for statistical analysis.

## 3. Results

This study had shown that 1448 women enrolled among those attended at health centers in rural (44.1%) or urban (55.9%) areas. The mean age of women was  $28 \pm 8.075$ . The mean number of gravidity, parity, and abortion was respectively  $4 \pm 2.92$ ,  $3.6 \pm 3.2$ , and  $0.4 \pm 0.89$ . Most of participants had up to 30 years of age, were illiterate or had only elementary education, living in urban areas and had of 4-5 years of work time (Table 1).

Table 1. General characteristics of study participants (n=1448)

| Variable                  | Number               | Percent |      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------|------|
| <b>Level of education</b> | Illiterate           | 417     | 28.8 |
|                           | Elementary           | 443     | 30.6 |
|                           | <High school         | 253     | 17.5 |
|                           | High school or equal | 272     | 18.8 |
|                           | University degree    | 63      | 4.4  |
| <b>Age</b>                | <20                  | 147     | 10.2 |
|                           | 21-30                | 631     | 43.6 |
|                           | 31-40                | 466     | 32.2 |
|                           | 41-50                | 163     | 11.3 |
|                           | >50                  | 41      | 2.8  |
| <b>Place of residence</b> | Urban                | 809     | 55.9 |
|                           | Rural                | 839     | 44.1 |

Pap smear results showed that approximately 8% of samples were infected with one of microorganisms such as *Chlamydia*, *Candida*, *Gardnerella*, and *Trichomonas*. The highest rate of cervical-vaginal infection was due to *Candida* so that 6% of infections were included. The most prevalence of cervical-vaginal infections was in the age group of 21-30 years and lowest rate was observed in the age group above 50 years. A significant association was not seen between age groups and cervical-vaginal infections (Table 2).

Table 2. Distribution of participants according to fertility characteristics (n=1436)

| Variable        |     | Number | Percent |
|-----------------|-----|--------|---------|
| <b>Gravity</b>  | No  | 54     | 3.8     |
|                 | 1-3 | 941    | 65.6    |
|                 | 4-6 | 345    | 24      |
|                 | >6  | 96     | 6.6     |
| <b>Parity</b>   | No  | 65     | 4.5     |
|                 | 1-3 | 988    | 68.8    |
|                 | 4-6 | 315    | 21.9    |
|                 | >6  | 68     | 4.8     |
| <b>Abortion</b> | No  | 1193   | 83.1    |
|                 | 1-3 | 236    | 16.4    |
|                 | >3  | 7      | 0.5     |

It was reported use of the following contraceptive methods: Low-dose estrogen (LD), Combined oral contraceptives - also called of "the pill" (TP), Long-term hormonal contraceptive applied intramuscularly (DMPA), Intrauterine device (IUD), Condom, Tubal ligation (TL), Natural, None (not considered necessary), Menopause and other. Among the contraceptives methods that were cited, LD was the most common, being used by 34.3% of women participating in the study, followed by the condom used by 15.5% of them. A significant proportion of women (11.7%) reported not using any contraceptive method, by not find it necessary (Table 3). Also a significant association was between contraceptive methods and cervical-vaginal infections ( $p < 0.001$ ).

Table 3. Distribution of participants according to the current method of contraception (n=1443)

| The methods of contraception       | Number | Percent |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| <b>LD</b>                          | 495    | 34.3    |
| <b>TP</b>                          | 102    | 7.1     |
| <b>DMPA</b>                        | 63     | 4.4     |
| <b>IUD</b>                         | 47     | 3.2     |
| <b>CONDOM</b>                      | 224    | 15.5    |
| <b>TL</b>                          | 10     | 7.6     |
| <b>Natural</b>                     | 128    | 8.9     |
| <b>None</b>                        | 169    | 11.7    |
| <b>Menopause</b>                   | 13     | 0.9     |
| <b>Other (MP, CYCLO, and etc.)</b> | 92     | 6.4     |

As can be seen in Table 4, high prevalence of cervical-vaginal infection was seen respectively in illiterate women and women with the primary education. Also a significant association was between education levels and cervical-vaginal infections ( $p = 0.018$ ). The prevalence of cervical-vaginal infections was more in rural women than urban women and there was a significant association between residence and cervical-vaginal infections ( $p < 0.001$ ).

Table 4. Distribution of cases of cervical-vaginal infections based on demographic characteristics and contraceptive methods used

| Variables                    | The type cervical infection |                  |                |                     |                    |             | Total | P value |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------|---------|
|                              | None pathogen               | <i>Chlamydia</i> | <i>Candida</i> | <i>Gardenerella</i> | <i>Trichomonas</i> | Other*      |       |         |
| <b>Level of education</b>    |                             |                  |                |                     |                    |             |       | 0.018   |
| Illiterate                   | 187 (44.8%)                 | 1 (2.0%)         | 3 (0.7%)       | 187 (44.8%)         | 6 (1.4%)           | 185 (44.4%) | 417   |         |
| Elementary                   | 192 (43.3%)                 | 1 (0.2%)         | 4 (0.9%)       | 192 (43.3%)         | 4 (0.9%)           | 212 (47.9%) | 443   |         |
| Middle school                | 85 (33.6%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 3 (1.2%)       | 85 (33.6%)          | 2 (0.8%)           | 147 (58.1%) | 253   |         |
| High school or equal         | 100 (36.8%)                 | 1 (0.4%)         | 1 (0.4%)       | 100 (36.8%)         | 2 (0.7%)           | 160 (58.8%) | 272   |         |
| University degree            | 100 (36.8%)                 | 0 (0.0%)         | 0 (0.0%)       | 21 (33.3%)          | 0 (0.0%)           | 40 (63.5%)  | 63    |         |
| <b>Age</b>                   |                             |                  |                |                     |                    |             |       | 0.31    |
| <20                          | 57 (38.8%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 14 (9.5%)      | 1 (0.7%)            | 2 (1.4%)           | 73 (49.6%)  | 147   |         |
| 21-30                        | 257 (40.7%)                 | 0 (0.0%)         | 40 (6.3%)      | 7 (1.1%)            | 4 (0.6%)           | 323 (51.2%) | 631   |         |
| 31-40                        | 187 (40.1%)                 | 2 (0.4%)         | 24 (5.2%)      | 2 (0.4%)            | 4 (0.9%)           | 247 (53.0%) | 466   |         |
| 41-50                        | 65(39.9%)                   | 1 (0.6%)         | 10 (6.1%)      | 1 (0.6%)            | 4 (2.5%)           | 82 (50.3%)  | 163   |         |
| >50                          | 19 (46.3%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 3 (7.3%)       | 0 (0.0%)            | 0 (0.0%)           | 19 (46.3%)  | 41    |         |
| <b>Place of residence</b>    |                             |                  |                |                     |                    |             |       | <0.001  |
| Urban                        | 271 (33.5%)                 | 2 (0.2%)         | 34 (4.2%)      | 8 (1.0%)            | 11 (1.4%)          | 483 (59.7%) | 809   |         |
| Rural                        | 314 (49.1%)                 | 1 (0.2%)         | 57 (8.9%)      | 3 (0.5%)            | 3 (0.5%)           | 261 (40.8%) | 639   |         |
| <b>Contraceptive methods</b> |                             |                  |                |                     |                    |             |       | <0.001  |
| LD                           | 212 (42.8%)                 | 2 (0.4%)         | 40 (8.1%)      | 7 (1.4%)            | 7 (1.4%)           | 239 (48.3%) | 495   |         |
| TP                           | 45 (44.1%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 7 (6.9%)       | 1 (1.0%)            | 3 (2.9%)           | 46 (45.1%)  | 102   |         |
| DMPA                         | 34 (54.0%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 1 (1.6%)       | 0 (0.0%)            | 0 (0.0%)           | 28 (44.4%)  | 63    |         |
| IUD                          | 10 (21.3%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 2 (4.3%)       | 2 (4.3%)            | 0 (0.0%)           | 33 (70.1%)  | 47    |         |
| CONDOM                       | 89 (39.7%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 11 (4.9%)      | 0 (0.0%)            | 2 (0.9%)           | 122 (54.5%) | 224   |         |
| TL                           | 42 (38.2%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 8 (7.3%)       | 0 (0.0%)            | 2 (1.8%)           | 58 (52.7%)  | 110   |         |
| Natural                      | 50 (39.1%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 8 (6.3%)       | 1 (0.8%)            | 0 (0.0%)           | 69 (53.8%)  | 128   |         |
| None                         | 58 (34.3%)                  | 1 (0.6%)         | 11 (6.5%)      | 0 (0.0%)            | 0 (0.0%)           | 99 (58.6%)  | 169   |         |
| Menopause                    | 4 (30.8%)                   | 0 (0.0%)         | 0 (0.0%)       | 0 (0.0%)            | 0 (0.0%)           | 9 (69.2%)   | 13    |         |
| Other                        | 36 (40.0%)                  | 0 (0.0%)         | 3 (3.3%)       | 0 (0.0%)            | 0 (0.0%)           | 53 (56.7%)  | 92    |         |

The results showed that there was no significant association between cervical-vaginal infections with the number of gravidity, parity, and abortion ( $p>0.05$ ).

#### 4. Discussion

This study has shown that most cervical-vaginal infections were attributable to *Candida* and then *Trichomonas* and *Gardnerella*. Other studies indicated the prevalence of *Candida* was 25.2%, the rate of *Trichomonas* was 1.37%, and 25% for *Gardnerella* (Namazi et al., 2005; Molana & Ghasi-Saeidi, 2000; Sadeghi & Naeini, 2001). These differences are due to different study populations, various climate, socio-economic and cultural conditions, different sensitivity of diagnostic tests, applying different diagnostic methods (Parhizgar & Moshafaa, 2002; Namazi et al., 2005). Also the studies demonstrated that *T. vaginalis* is a STD pathogen and related to low socioeconomic levels (Adad et al., 2001).

About contraceptive methods used, the highest rates of *Candida* infections were seen in women who were using LD. The results of other studies confirm this finding (Namazi et al., 2005) because combined oral contraceptive play an important role in the incidence of vaginal yeast infections (Parhizgar & Moshafaa, 2002). The highest prevalence of *Gardnerella* infections was related to LD and IUD users, which consistent with the result of other studies (Parhizgar & Moshafaa, 2002). In current study, similar to another study (Habibipour & Bayat, 2009), the majority of participants were using LD as the contraceptive method while another study in Tabriz showed that most women used IUD for contraception (Namazi et al., 2005).

Our study showed that there was no significant association between cervical-vaginal infections with the gravidity, parity and abortion. The study in Tabriz also reported no association between the number of abortion and these infections whereas some surveys reported an association between parity, Candida and Trichomonas (Namazi et al., 2005, Nourian et al., 2011).

The findings indicate that women aged up to 30 years had the highest prevalence rates of cervical-vaginal infection by Candida and Gardnerella, while the highest rates of prevalence of cervical-vaginal infection by Chlamydia and Trichomonas were observed in the women aged 41 to 50 years. None of the other pathogens, except Candida was found in the women over 50 years of age old. This is consistent with the result of many studies (Adad et al., 2001; Namazi et al., 2005; Gouya and Nabaei, 2005). This is probably due to high sexual activity and, possibly greater tendency of change of sexual partners in the this age group (Parhizgar and Moshafaa, 2002). In present study a significant association was not seen between age groups and cervical-vaginal infections but there was an association between education levels, place of residence with cervical-vaginal infections.

## 5. Conclusion

The prevalence of cervical-vaginal infections was consistent with the results of many studies but it was different with the results of some studies. This could be due to the special conditions of social, economic and cultural of each region. Our finding has shown that a high prevalence of cervical-vaginal infections in women with aged up to 30 years, so it is necessary to have the accurate information about cervical-vaginal infections to design and implement health plans.

## References

- Adad, S. J., De Lima, R. V., Sawan, Z. T., Silva, M. L., De Souza, M. A., Saldanha, J. C., ... Murta, E. F. (2001). Frequency of Trichomonas vaginalis, Candida sp and Gardnerella vaginalis in cervical-vaginal smears in four different decades. *Sao Paulo Med J*, 119, 200-5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-31802001000600004>
- Adeoye, G. O., & Akande, A. H. (2007). Epidemiology of Trichomonas vaginalis among women in Lagos metropolis, Nigeria. *Pak J Biol Sci*, 10, 2198-201. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2007.2198.2201>
- Escoffery, C. T., Sinclair, P. A., & Guthrie, W. (2001). Vaginitis emphysematosa associated with an abnormal Pap smear. *West Indian Med J*, 50, 234-5.
- Gouya, M. M., & Nabaei, S. (2005). Frequency of some sexually transmitted infections in a family planning clinic. *Iran University of Medical Sciences Journal*, 14.
- Habibipour, R., & Bayat, S. (2009). The survey on vaginal candidiasis species in people referred to hospitals of Hamadan city in, 2008. *Infectious and Tropical Diseases Journal*, 14, 57-60.
- Heller, D. S., Maslyak, S., & Skurnick, J. (2006). Is the presence of Trichomonas on a Pap smear associated with an increased incidence of bacterial vaginosis? *J Low Genit Tract Dis*, 10, 137-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00128360-200607000-00002>
- Heller, D. S., Pitsos, M., & Skurnick, J. (2008). Does the presence of vaginitis on a Pap smear correlate with clinical symptoms in the patient? *J Reprod Med*, 53, 429-34.
- Konje, J. C., Otolurin, E. O., Ogunniyi, J. O., Obisesan, K. A., & Ladipo, O. A. (1991). The prevalence of Gardnerella vaginalis, Trichomonas vaginalis and Candida albicans in the cytology clinic at Ibadan, Nigeria. *Afr J Med Med Sci*, 20, 29-34.
- Molana, Z., & Ghasi-Saeidi, K. (2000). Prevalence of Gardnerella Vaginalis in pregnant and non-pregnant women referred to clinics of Babol. *Gorgan University of Medical Sciences Journal*, 2.
- Martins, H. P., da Silva, M. C., Paiva, L. C., Svidzinski, T. I., & Consolaro, M. E. (2012). Efficacy of fluconazole and nystatin in the treatment of vaginal Candida species. *Acta Dermato Venereologica*, 92(1), 78-82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2340/00015555-1194>
- Namazi, A., Sehatti, F., Pour, M. A., & Mazloumi, A.-A. (2005). Prevalence and risk factors for candida infection in women referred to health centers in Tabriz. *Yazd University of Medical Sciences Journal*, 15, 61-67.
- Nokiani, F. A., Akbari, H., Rezaei, M., Madani, H., & Ale Agha, M. E. (2008). Cost-effectiveness of pap smear in Kermanshah, Iran. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, 9, 107-10.
- Nourian, A. A., Shaabani, N., & Rahmanpour, H. (2011). Prevalence of low birth weight in pregnant women infected with Trichomonas Vaginalis. *Zanjan University of Medical Sciences Journal*, 19, 84-92.

- Parhizgar, S., & Moshafaa, A.-A. (2002). Prevalence of servical-vaginal infections in pap-smear samples, Yasouj, 1998-2000. *Armaghan-e-Danesh*, 7.
- Sadeghi, M., & Naeini, K. M. (2001). Prevalence of Trichomonosis in women referred to health centers for pap-smear exam in Charmahal-Bakhtiari province. *Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences Journal*, 3, 37-41.
- Sehgal, S. C., & Nalini, V. (1990). The role and prevalence of Gardnerella vaginalis in anaerobic vaginosis. *Infection*, 18, 83-5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01641420>
- West, R. R., O'dowd, T. C., & Smail, J. E. (1988). Prevalence of Gardnerella vaginalis: an estimate. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*, 296, 1163-4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.296.6630.1163>

### Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).