

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript ACS Nano. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 April 13.

Published in final edited form as:

ACS Nano. 2015 August 25; 9(8): 7895-7903. doi:10.1021/nn5060317.

Nucleobase Rec ognition By Truncated a-Hemolysin Pores

Mariam Ayub^{1,a}, David Stoddart^{2,a}, and Hagan Bayley^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3TA, United Kingdom

Abstract

The α -hemolysin (α HL) protein nanopore has been investigated previously as a base detector for the strand sequencing of DNA and RNA. Recent findings have suggested that shorter pores might provide improved base discrimination. New work has also shown that truncated-barrel mutants (TBM) of aHL form functional pores in lipid bilayers. Therefore, we tested TBM pores for the ability to recognize bases in DNA strands immobilized within them. In the case of TBM 6, in which the barrel is shortened by ~16 A, one of the three recognition sites found in the wild-type pore, R1, was almost eliminated. With further mutagenesis (Met113 \rightarrow Gly), R1 was completely removed, demonstrating that TBM pores can mediate sharpened recognition. Remarkably, a second mutant of TBM 6 (Met113 \rightarrow Phe) was able to bind the positively charged β -cyclodextrin, $am_7\beta$ CD, unusually tightly permitting the continuous recognition of individual nucleoside monophosphates, which would be required for exonuclease sequencing mediated by nanopore base identification.

Graphical abstract



*Corresponding Author: hagan.bayley@chem.ox.ac.uk. ²Present address: Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford OX4 4GA, United Kingdom ^aThese authors contributed equally to this work

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Details of experimental procedures and the data displayed in Figures 1-5. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Notes

Author Contributions

All the authors conceived the ideas and designed the experiments. MA and DS performed the experiments and analyzed the data. All the authors have contributed to and have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Hagan Bayley is the Founder, a Director and a share-holder of Oxford Nanopore Technologies, a company engaged in the development of nanopore sequencing technology. Work in the Bayley laboratory at the University of Oxford, including this work, is supported in part by Oxford Nanopore Technologies.

Keywords

alpha-hemolysin; nanopore; base identification; truncated pore; toroidal lipid pore

Engineered protein pores are being developed for use in biotechnology, including applications in molecular sensing.^{1–3} There has been particular interest in a new generation of nanopore DNA sequencers that would operate cheaply and quickly at the single-molecule level,^{4, 5} and recently this approach has proved successful.^{6–10} The aim of the present study was to examine truncated-barrel mutants (TBM) of aHL11 for their ability to identify RNA and DNA bases.¹²⁻¹⁸ with the view to facilitate the electrical read-out of the sequences of nucleic acid molecules. The 5 nm-long transmembrane β barrel of the α HL pore comprises the base recognition region of the protein and the wild-type (WT) pore contains three broad recognition sites, R1, R2 and R3.¹⁵ Previous work has shown that individual nucleobases, presented in a fixed DNA homopolymer or heteropolymer background, can be identified at each of the three sites.^{15, 16, 19, 20} However, base identification is context dependent and the signal (I_{RES%}) from a given base will be shifted when neighboring bases are changed. Context-dependent signals produce additional information that is useful for sequence determination.^{6, 8, 10, 21–23} However, the signal will be uninterpretable unless the number of reading heads and their width is restricted. The Mycobacterium smegmatis MspA pore has favorable properties for reading DNA sequences on single strands, because changes in the ionic current are dominated by a single reading head that spans 3-4 bases.^{9, 10, 24} In the present work, we attempted to reduce the number of reading heads in the aHL pore by using truncated pores²⁵ and thereby demonstrate an approach that might be generally useful for improving protein pores as sequence readers.

Our recent work has demonstrated that the aHL pore is able to withstand substantial truncations in the β barrel region and still form single channels in lipid bilayers.¹¹ The β barrel contains 14 antiparallel β strands, with each protomer of the heptameric pore lipid of contributing two adjacent strands, which are connected by a turn (amino acids Gly-126 through Ile-132, Figure 1). The strands themselves largely consist of alternating hydrophilic and hydrophobic amino acids, with the side chains of the hydrophilic amino acids pointing into the lumen of the pore, and the side chains of the hydrophobic amino acids pointing into the lipid bilayer (Figure 1B). To truncate the β barrel, rings of inward and outward facing residues from each of the two strands were sequentially deleted by PCR mutagenesis (leaving the turn sequence intact) to form truncated barrel mutant (TBM) proteins. The rest of the TBM sequences were unaltered, except the charged residues at the central constriction (E111 and K147), which were mutated to neutral asparagines (NN). In TBM 2, amino acids V124 and T125 were deleted from the "down" strand and amino acids G133 and G134 were deleted from the "up" strand. TBM 4 and 6 were formed by deleting additional pairs of amino acids from each β strand (Figure 1B). As the mutant proteins have been demonstrated to adopt WT-like folds,¹¹ it is estimated that with each sequential truncation, the protein becomes ~5 Å shorter in length, Figure 1C). To test the integrity of the barrel in TBM mutants, cyclodextrin¹¹ (CD) binding experiments were also carried out using β cyclodextrin (\beta CD), heptakis-(6-deoxy-6- amino)-\beta-cyclodextrin (am7\beta CD) and \gammacyclodextrin (γ CD). CD binding within the β barrel of the α HL pore,^{26–28} is sensitive to

small perturbations in the structure of the pore²⁸ or the cyclodextrin itself.²⁹ Interestingly, while the TBM 6 bound $am_7\beta$ CD weakly, the mutation Met-113 \rightarrow Phe, which strengthens β CD binding in the untruncated pore,²⁸ dramatically improved $am_7\beta$ CD binding to TBM 6, allowing $am_7\beta$ CD to remain bound to TBM 6/M113F for more than 1.5 h (at potentials of +60 to +140 mV).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Defining recognition elements within the TBM pores

The TBM 2, 4 and 6 pores were examined for the ability to discriminate single adenine bases, within immobilized poly(dC) oligonucleotides, in a similar manner to that previously established.^{14, 15, 30} A set of fourteen poly(dC) oligonucleotides was used, each containing a single adenine nucleobase. The adenine substitutions were in positions 7 to 20 relative to a3' biotin tag (Figure S1, S7 and Table S1), positions that span the entire length of the β barrel in full-length α HL pores. The residual current difference, I_{RES%} (with respect to poly(dC)), was plotted against the position of the adenine nucleobase for each of the truncated pores (Figure 2 and Table S2).

With each sequential truncation, the recognition region of the protein is reduced. The last nucleobase recognized by the full-length NN pore is at position 19 (relative to the 3' biotin-tag) and after this position it is assumed that the immobilized DNA chain protrudes from the β barrel into the *trans* compartment.¹⁵ However, in the truncated mutants, TBM 2, 4 and

6, the last nucleobase positions recognized are 18, 17 and 15 respectively. This suggests that the DNA protrudes from the β barrel sooner in the truncated mutants, and as expected the length of the recognition region has been reduced. However, adenine recognition by the truncated mutants is remarkable; the progressive changes in the patterns suggest that recognition site R₁ (near the central constriction) has been weakened, with R₂ and R₃ remaining, despite the removal of amino acids from the *trans* entrance of the pore. This suggests that recognition at sites R₂ and R₃ is not solely due to the interaction of nucleobases with specific amino acid side chains located at the bottom of the β barrel in the full-length pore. In the case of R₃, the DNA conformation upon exit from the pore or interaction with lipid head groups may affect the ionic current.

The main goal of our experiments was to reduce the complexity of nucleobase recognition as it was felt that pores with more than two recognition sites would elicit current signals that would be too complex to be reliably interpreted.

From our initial findings, TBM 6 appeared to have very weak nucleobase recognition at the central constriction (R_1). Previous work has suggested that amino acid substitutions at position 113 (which, along with amino acids 111 and 147, comprises the central constriction) influence nucleobase recognition at R_1 with the M113G mutant providing the weakest nucleobase recognition.¹⁹ Given this, the combined TBM 6/M113G mutant (Figure S1) was made in an attempt to remove the residual R_1 recognition site from the TBM 6 mutant and create a pore with just two recognition sites, TBM 6/M113G. It was indeed observed that the R_1 recognition site was removed by this mutation, while R_2 and R_3 remain largely unchanged by comparison with the TBM 6 pore (Figure 2E and Table S3).

Probing recognition site R₃ of aHL TBM pores for four-base discrimination

As well as examining the β barrel of each of the TBM mutant pores for the ability to discriminate single adenine bases, the lowermost recognition site, R₃, was also tested for the capability to discriminate all four bases. Sets of four poly(dC) oligonucleotides were used, which contained a single G, T, A or C nucleobase substitution at a position designed to to interact with recognition site R₃. The position of the nucleobase substitution for each set differed, and was placed at a peak of recognition site R₃, which differs for each truncated

differed, and was placed at a peak of recognition site R_3 , which differs for each truncated pore (Figure 2). The set used to probe TBM 2 and 4, had the substitutions at position 16, and the set used to probe TBM 6 and TBM 6/M113G, had the substitutions at position 13. Although each of the TBM pores contained an R_3 recognition site that provided strong discrimination of adenine *versus* cytosine, only TBM 6 (and the 6/M113G derivative) retained an R_3 site capable of distinguishing the other nucleobases. Furthermore, the dispersion of the four current levels differs in the full-length pore and the truncated pores (Figure 3, Table 1 and Table S4). This implies that the amino acid deletions towards the *trans* entrance may have an effect on recognition site R_3 .

Continuous four-base mononucleotide discrimination using a cyclodextrin adapter

Successful identification of nucleoside monophosphates has been obtained with engineered aHL pores carrying cyclodextrin adapters, which can be non-covalently bound within the pore¹² or covalently attached for continuous base identification.^{13, 18} This approach has been further proposed as an exosequencing platform, where bases are cleaved from a DNA strand by a processive exonuclease and identified as individual nucleotides by the nanopore. To test the TBMs for this purpose, two further mutants of TBM 6, TBM (Met113 \rightarrow Phe) 6/ M113F and (Met113 -> Asn) 6/M113N were prepared to test the ability to detect individual DNA and RNA mononucleotides (NMPs) (Figures 4A, S2). These mutations in the fulllength α HL pore have been shown to bind cyclodextrin (CD) adapters strongly (~10⁴ times longer than the wild-type (WT) aHL pore).^{31, 32} Cyclodextrins in turn bind dNMPs and rNMPs, allowing their identification by current recording for potential exosequencing.^{12, 13, 18} In the present study, the cyclodextrin heptakis-(6-deoxy-6-amino)-βcyclodextrin ($am_7\beta CD$) was added to the *trans* compartment, and the positively charged amino groups promoted an extended residence time for the CD, at positive applied potentials.^{12, 13, 18} The TBM 6 and 6/M113N pores released am₇βCD quickly (TBM 6: $k_{off} = 4600 \pm 300 \text{ s}^{-1}$; $K_{D} = 143 \pm 8 \text{ mM}$; TBM 6/M113N: $k_{off} = 2200 \pm 200 \text{ s}^{-1}$; $K_{D} = 104$ \pm 4 mM) (Figure S3 and Table S5). Upon the addition of all four dNMPs (dGMP, dAMP, dTMP, dCMP) or rNMPs (rGMP, rAMP, rUMP, rCMP) to the cis compartment, additional current blockades were observed originating from the CD blockade level, which represented the binding of NMPs to $am_7\beta$ CD. The difference in residual current between the two most widely dispersed current peaks were: TBM 6, $I_{RES\%}$ OVERALL = 2.6 ±0.4% and 6/ M113N, $I_{RES\%}$ OVERALL = 2.8 ±0.6% for dNMPs (Table S6). By contrast, the TBM 6/ M113F pore showed the remarkable ability to bind $am_7\beta CD$ for over 1.5 h, thereby overcoming the difficult issue of using covalent chemistry to attach CDs (Figure 4B).^{12, 13, 18, 31, 33, 34} In the absence of $am_7\beta$ CD, the 6/M113F pore remained open and passed a current, I_O TBM $6/M113F = 113.0 \pm 6.0$ pA (n = 9, independent experiments) at +120 mV, in 1 M KCl, 25 mM Tris.HCl, at pH 6.0. The addition of 40 μ M am₇ β CD to the *trans* compartment produced a blocked level (lasting for >1.5 h) with a residual current level

 $I_{RES-am7\beta CD} = 65.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ pA}$ (n = 9, independent experiments) (Figure S3, typical openstates and CD binding traces for TBM 6, 6/M113N and 6/M113F pores).

Upon the addition of dNMPs or rNMPs to the *cis* compartment, additional current blockades were observed originating from the CD-blockade level corresponding to the binding of NMPs (Figure 4C). Both dNMPs and rNMPs could be discriminated in I_{RES%} histograms (Figure 5A–B and Table 1) with I_{RES%} ^{OVERALL} = $6.0 \pm 1.4\%$ for dNMPs and I_{RES%} ^{OVERALL} = $5.5 \pm 1.2\%$ for rNMPs (Table S6). The products of the sequential differences (δ) between each of the four residual current levels in the histograms were used to measure the ability of the different mutant pores to discriminate between the four DNA and RNA nucleotides.¹⁹ A pore that is unable to discriminate between all NMPs has $\delta = 0$ (*i.e.*, the current levels of two or more NMPs overlap). For the experiments shown in Figure 5A and B, $\delta^{dNMP} = 5.4 \pm 0.4$ and $\delta^{rNMP} = 4.4 \pm 0.6$ were obtained. These results gave a significantly improved dispersion of the four standard nucleotides compared to previous studies using the full-length α HL pore.^{13, 18}

The NMPs also showed variations in mean dwell time (τ_{off}) within the CD adapter, which were used to derive the dissociation rate constants k_{off} ($1/\tau_{off}$) (Figure 5C).^{13, 18, 31, 34, 35} At higher potentials, the binding of the charged nucleotides to the cyclodextrin adapter was promoted, resulting in a general decrease in k_{off} (Figures S5–S6 and Tables S7–S8), which suggests that an optimal potential would be required to obtain k_{off} values suitable for continuous nucleotide identification and accurate base calling to accommodate the rate of cleavage by the exonuclease.

CONCLUSION

Truncated α HL pores were examined for their ability to identify RNA and DNA bases with the view to facilitate the cheap electrical read-out of the sequences of nucleic acid molecules. First, nucleobase discrimination in ssDNA was examined by using 3'biotinylated oligonucleotides bound to streptavidin.¹⁵ Truncations in the β barrel of the α HL pore reduced the number of bases showing measurable interactions with the pore. The TBM 6 protein showed a weakened R₁ recognition site and it was possible to weaken this recognition site still further by additional site-directed mutagenesis to generate the TBM 6/ M113G mutant pore, which displayed just two recognition sites: R₂ and R₃. Subsequent analysis of the TBM 6/M113G pore showed that recognition site R₃ was still capable of four nucleobase discrimination in ssDNA, although the order of the current levels differed from that found with the full-length α HL pore. Such changes in the order of the current levels have been noted previously.^{15, 16, 19}

The ability of a truncated pore to detect mononucleotides was also examined. Such detection is a requisite for single-molecule nanopore exo-sequencing,^{1, 4, 12, 13, 18} where bases are cleaved from a DNA or RNA strand by a processive exonuclease and identified as individual nucleotides by the nanopore.^{1–3} Full identification of mononucleotides has been obtained with engineered α HL pores carrying cyclodextrin adapters, which can be non-covalently bound within the pore^{12, 18} or covalently attached for continuous base identification.^{13, 18} Remarkably, in the present work, the cyclodextrin adapter am₇\betaCD was found to bind

essentially irreversibly to the mutant truncated pore TBM 6/M113F, allowing all four dNMPs and all four to be distinguished without breaks in current recording. This is as effective as working with a covalently attached cyclodextrin, an approach that requires difficult chemistry.^{13, 18, 33}

Early work on base identification in DNA strands used the α HL pore, but it has been shown that the MspA pore gives a wider dispersion of current levels^{9, 24} suggesting that radical protein engineering (rather than point mutation of known pores) to produce sharper reading heads might improve current peak separation still further. The present work shows that a pore in which three recognition sites have been reduced to two can be quickly developed demonstrating the potential of such an approach, which should be generally applicable to a variety of pore-forming proteins. If further reduction in the length of the α HL pore is required to facilitate base identification, then shorter barrels¹¹ (*e.g.* TBM 8) that do not form completely stable pores in lipid bilayers, may be inserted into solid-state apertures, to form protein•solid-state nanopore hybrids.³⁶ An additional advantage to the protein•solid-state hybrid system is that it is amenable to parallelization, which would make the throughput of nanopore sequencing competitive with highly parallel second generation sequencing technologies. Indeed, an array of 10⁶ nanopores, each sequencing 100 bases per second could sequence a human genome cheaply in around 10 minutes, a feat which would make genomic medicine readily available.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Protein preparation

The α HL truncated barrel mutant (TBM) proteins were produced as described previously.¹¹ Aliquots of the purified protein were stored at -80° C.

Mutagenesis

The NN mutant α HL gene was prepared with a kit for site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange II XL, Catalog no. 200522-5, Stratagene) and verified by sequencing. The α HL truncated barrel mutants (TBM 2, 4 and 6) were generated from the NN gene in a pT7 vector^{37, 38} by PCR mutagenesis and ligation-free *in vivo* recombination,³⁹ and their sequences were verified. α HL TBMs NN/M113G/ 120-125/ 133-138 (TBM 6/M113G), NN/M113N/ 120-125/ 133-138 (TBM 6/M113N) and NN/M113F/ 120-125/ 133-138 (TBM 6/M113F) were also prepared by PCR mutagenesis and ligation-free recombination by using the NN/ 120-125/ 133-138 gene (TBM 6) in pT7 vector as a template (Figure S3).

Planar bilayer recording

Electrical recordings were carried out with a planar lipid bilayer apparatus with bilayers of 1,2- diphytanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPhPC, Avanti Polar Lipids). Bilayers were formed⁴⁰ across an aperture (~100 µm in diameter) in a 25-µm thick polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon) film (Goodfellow, Cambridge, Cat.⁴⁰ across an aperture (~100 µm in diameter) in a 25-µm thick polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon) film (Goodfellow, Cambridge, Cat.⁴⁰ across an aperture (~100 µm in diameter) in a 25-µm thick polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon) film (Goodfellow, Cambridge, Cat. #FP301200/10), which separated the apparatus into *cis* and *trans* compartments. The

transmembrane potential is given as the potential on the *trans* side (*i.e.* the *trans* potential minus the *cis* potential, which was at ground). A positive current is one in which positive charge moves through the bilayer from the *trans* to *cis* side.

The aperture was first pre-treated with hexadecane in n-pentane (10 mg mL⁻¹). Electrolyte solution (0.5 mL: 1 M KCl, 25 mM Tris.HCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) was added to both compartments. Then, DPhPC in n-pentane (5 μ L, 10 mg mL⁻¹) was added to both sides. The pentane was allowed to evaporate and a bilayer was formed by lowering and raising the electrolyte level past the aperture. All current recordings were performed with a patch clamp amplifier (Axopatch 200B, Molecular Devices). α HL heptameric pores were added to the *cis* compartment.

Single base identification with the streptavidin-immobilization technique

ssDNA molecules, with a biotinyl group covalently attached to the 3' end through a linker (Figure S1 and Table S1), were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). Solutions of the biotinylated ssDNAs, 100 μ M in 1 M KCl, 10 mM Tris.HCl, pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA, were pre-incubated with equal volumes of 25 μ M streptavidin (Sigma-Aldrich) in the same buffer for at least 5 min. Oligonucleotides were added to the *cis* compartment to a final concentration of 400 nM. A voltage protocol was then initiated. First, +160 mV was applied for 900 ms to drive the negatively charged, DNA into the pore. The capture of a ssDNA molecule by an α HL pore is observed as a stepwise decrease in the open pore current level (I_O) to a lower, stable, current level (I_B). A potential of -140 mV was then applied for 50 ms to eject the immobilized DNA from the pore. The applied potential was then stepped to 0 mV for 50 ms. This one-second sequence was repeated for at least 100 cycles for each ssDNA sequence added. The amplified signal arising from the ionic current was low-pass filtered at 1 kHz and sampled at 5 kHz with a computer equipped with a Digidata 1440A digitizer (Molecular Devices).

Data were analyzed and prepared for presentation with pClamp software (version 10.1, Molecular Devices). Event searches were performed to obtain the average residual current level for each ssDNA blockade (I_{RES}). The mean I_B value for each oligonucleotide (I_{RES}) was determined by a performing a Gaussian fit to a histogram of the I_B values. The percent residual current blockade was $I_{RES\%} = (I_{RES}/I_O) \times 100$. In general, when comparing several oligonucleotide species, a single oligonucleotide species was first added to the *cis* chamber and the current traces required for the determination of I_{RES} recorded. Subsequently, a second, third and then fourth oligonucleotide was added, and additional currents recorded. When such experiments were repeated, the oligonucleotides were added to the chamber in a different order.

Nucleoside monophosphate base identification with a cyclodextrin adapter

The mononucleotides, 2-deoxyguanosine 5-monophosphate sodium salt (dGMP, >99%, Acros); 2-deoxycytosine 5-monophosphate disodium salt (dCMP, >95%, Fluka); 2-deoxythymidine 5-monophosphate disodium salt (dTMP, >97%, Fluka); 2-deoxyadenosine 5- monophosphate disodium salt (dAMP, >95%, Fluka); uridine 5-monophosphate disodium salt (rUMP, 99%, Fluka); cytosine 5-monophosphate (rCMP, >98%, Fluka); adenosine 5-

monophosphate (rAMP, 99%, Acros); guanosine 5-monophosphate disodium salt (rGMP, 97%, Acros) and heptakis(6-deoxy-6-amino)- β -cyclodextrin.7HCl (am₇ β CD, >99%, Cyclolab) were used without further purification.

TBM 6/M113F pores and dNMPs or rNMPs (10 μ M) were added to the *cis* compartment, which was connected to ground. Once a single channel was obtained, am₇ β CD (40 μ M) was added to the *trans* compartment. Both compartments contained 0.5 mL of buffer: 1 M KCl, 25 mM Tris.HCl, pH 6.0. After the addition of am₇ β CD to the *trans* compartment, a permanent drop in the current was observed (~70%). Data were typically acquired for measurement, at +120 mV. The amplified signal (arising from the ionic current passing through the pore) was low-pass filtered at 5 kHz and sampled at 25 kHz with a computer equipped with a Digidata 1440A digitizer (Molecular Devices). The data were analyzed and presented by using pClamp software (version 10.1, Molecular Devices). Events were detected by using the 'Event Detection' feature. The mean residual current value (I_{RES}) for each NMP interacting with am₇ β CD was determined by performing a Gaussian fit to a histogram of the current values for individual blockades (I_B). The current blockade for each NMP was further described by I_{RES%}, where I_{RES%} = (I_{RES}/I_{CD}) x 100, where I_{CD} is the current in the presence of the cyclodextrin. The mean dwell time (τ_{off}) for each NMP was determined by fitting an exponential function to a dwell time histogram.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health and Oxford Nanopore Technologies. D.S. was supported by a BBSRC Doctoral Training Grant. The authors thank Ellina Mikhailova for the preparation of the NN gene and α HL heptamers.

References

- Bayley H, Cremer PS. Stochastic Sensors Inspired by Biology. Nature. 2001; 413:226–230. [PubMed: 11557992]
- Howorka S, Siwy Z. Nanopore Analytics: Sensing of Single Molecules. Chem Soc Rev. 2009; 38:2360–2384. [PubMed: 19623355]
- 3. Majd S, Yusko EC, Billeh YN, Macrae MX, Yang J, Mayer M. Applications of Biological Pores in Nanomedicine, Sensing, and Nanoelectronics. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2010; 21:439–476.
- 4. Bayley H. Sequencing Single Molecules of DNA. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2006; 10:628–637. [PubMed: 17113816]
- Branton D, Deamer DW, Marziali A, Bayley H, Benner SA, Butler T, Di Ventra M, Garaj S, Hibbs A, Huang X, et al. The Potential and Challenges of Nanopore Sequencing. Nat Biotechnol. 2008; 26:1146–1153. [PubMed: 18846088]
- 6.
- www.nanoporetech.com/news/press-releases/view/39. Press Release Oxford Nanopore Technologies: Oxford Nanopore Introduces DNA 'Strand Sequencing' on the High-Throughput Gridion Platform and Presents Minion, a Sequencer the Size of a USB Memory Stick. *Oxford Nanopore Technologies: Press Release* 2012.
- 7. Pennisi E. Search for Pore-Fection. Science. 2012; 336:534–537. [PubMed: 22556226]

- Cherf GM, Lieberman KR, Rashid H, Lam CE, Karplus K, Akeson M. Automated Forward and Reverse Ratcheting of DNA in a Nanopore at 5-a Precision. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30:344–348. [PubMed: 22334048]
- Manrao EA, Derrington IM, Laszlo AH, Langford KW, Hopper MK, Gillgren N, Pavlenok M, Niederweis M, Gundlach JH. Reading DNA at Single-Nucleotide Resolution with a Mutant MspA Nanopore and Phi29 DNA Polymerase. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30:349–353. [PubMed: 22446694]
- Laszlo AH, Derrington IM, Ross BC, Brinkerhoff H, Andrew A, Nova IC, Craig JM, Langford KW, Samson JM, Daza R, et al. Decoding Long Nanopore Sequencing Reads of Natural DNA. Nat Biotechnol. 2014; 32:829–833. [PubMed: 24964173]
- 11. Stoddart D, Ayub M, Hofler L, Raychaudhuri P, Klingelhoefer JW, Maglia G, Heron A, Bayley H. Functional Truncated Membrane Pores. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014
- Astier Y, Braha O, Bayley H. Toward Single Molecule DNA Sequencing: Direct Identification of Ribonucleoside and Deoxyribonucleoside 5'-Monophosphates by Using an Engineered Protein Nanopore Equipped with a Molecular Adapter. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:1705–1710. [PubMed: 16448145]
- Clarke J, Wu HC, Jayasinghe L, Patel A, Reid S, Bayley H. Continuous Base Identification for Single-Molecule Nanopore DNA Sequencing. Nat Nanotechnol. 2009; 4:265–270. [PubMed: 19350039]
- Ashkenasy N, Sanchez-Quesada J, Bayley H, Ghadiri MR. Recognizing a Single Base in an Individual DNA Strand: A Step toward DNA Sequencing in Nanopores. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2005; 44:1401–1404.
- Stoddart D, Heron AJ, Mikhailova E, Maglia G, Bayley H. Single-Nucleotide Discrimination in Immobilized DNA Oligonucleotides with a Biological Nanopore. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106:7702–7707. [PubMed: 19380741]
- Stoddart D, Maglia G, Mikhailova E, Heron AJ, Bayley H. Multiple Base- Recognition Sites in a Biological Nanopore: Two Heads Are Better Than One. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010; 49:556–559.
- 17. Ayub M, Bayley H. Individual RNA Base Recognition in Immobilized Oligonucleotides Using a Protein Nanopore. Nano Lett. 2012; 12:5637–5643. [PubMed: 23043363]
- Ayub M, Hardwick SW, Luisi BF, Bayley H. Nanopore-Based Identification of Individual Nucleotides for Direct RNA Sequencing. Nano Lett. 2013; 13:6144–6150. [PubMed: 24171554]
- Stoddart D, Heron AJ, Klingelhoefer J, Mikhailova E, Maglia G, Bayley H. Nucleobase Recognition in Ssdna at the Central Constriction of the α-Hemolysin Pore. Nano Lett. 2010; 10:3633–3637. [PubMed: 20704324]
- 20. Ayub M, Bayley H. Single Molecule RNA Base Identification with a Biological Nanopore. Biophys J. 2012; 102:429a–429a.
- 21. Stoddart D, Maglia G, Mikhailova E, Heron A, Bayley H. Multiple Base-Recognition Sites in a Biological Nanopore– Two Heads Are Better Than One. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010; 49:556–559.
- Loman NJ, Watson M. Successful Test Launch for Nanopore Sequencing. Nat Meth. 2015; 12:303–304.
- Jain M, Fiddes IT, Miga KH, Olsen HE, Paten B, Akeson M. Improved Data Analysis for the Minion Nanopore Sequencer. Nat Meth. 2015; 12:351–356.
- Manrao EA, Derrington IM, Pavlenok M, Niederweis M, Gundlach JH. Nucleotide Discrimination with DNA Immobilized in the MspA Nanopore. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6:e25723. [PubMed: 21991340]
- Stoddart D, Ayub M, Hofler L, Raychaudhuri P, Klingelhoefer JW, Maglia G, Heron A, Bayley H. Functional Truncated Membrane Pores. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014; 111:2425–2430. [PubMed: 24469792]
- 26. Gu LQ, Braha O, Conlan S, Cheley S, Bayley H. Stochastic Sensing of Organic Analytes by a Pore-Forming Protein Containing a Molecular Adapter. Nature. 1999; 398:686–690. [PubMed: 10227291]
- Gu LQ, Cheley S, Bayley H. Capture of a Single Molecule in a Nanocavity. Science. 2001; 291:636–640. [PubMed: 11158673]

- 28. Banerjee A, Mikhailova E, Cheley S, Gu LQ, Montoya M, Nagaoka Y, Gouaux E, Bayley H. Molecular Bases of Cyclodextrin Adapter Interactions with a Protein Nanopore. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:8165–8170. [PubMed: 20400691]
- Li WW, Claridge TDW, Li Q, Wormald MR, Davis BG, Bayley H. Tuning the Cavity of Cyclodextrins: Altered Sugar Adaptors in Protein Pores. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:1987–2001. [PubMed: 21244029]
- Purnell RF, Mehta KK, Schmidt JJ. Nucleotide Identification and Orientation Discrimination of DNA Homopolymers Immobilized in a Protein Nanopore. Nano Lett. 2008; 8:3029–3034. [PubMed: 18698831]
- Gu LQ, Cheley S, Bayley H. Capture of a Single Molecule in a Nanocavity. Science. 2001; 291:636–640. [PubMed: 11158673]
- 32. Banerjee A, Mikhailova E, Cheley S, Gu LQ, Montoya M, Nagaoka Y, Gouaux E, Bayley H. Molecular Bases of Cyclodextrin Adapter Interactions with Engineered Protein Nanopores. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:8165–8170. [PubMed: 20400691]
- Wu HC, Astier Y, Maglia G, Mikhailova E, Bayley H. Protein Nanopores with Covalently Attached Molecular Adapters. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:16142–16148. [PubMed: 18047341]
- 34. Gu LQ, Cheley S, Bayley H. Prolonged Residence Time of a Noncovalent Molecular Adapter, β-Cyclodextrin, within the Lumen of Mutant α-Hemolysin Pores. J Gen Physiol. 2001; 118:481– 494. [PubMed: 11696607]
- 35. Sanchez-Quesada J, Ghadiri MR, Bayley H, Braha O. Cyclic Peptides as Molecular Adapters for a Pore-Forming Protein. J Am Chem Soc. 2000; 122:11757–11766.
- 36. Hall AR, Scott A, Rotem D, Mehta KK, Bayley H, Dekker C. Hybrid Pore Formation by Directed Insertion of [Alpha]-Haemolysin into Solid-State Nanopores. Nat Nanotechnol. 2010; 5:874–877. [PubMed: 21113160]
- 37. Gu LQ, Dalla Serra M, Vincent JB, Vigh G, Cheley S, Braha O, Bayley H. Reversal of Charge Selectivity in Transmembrane Protein Pores by Using Non-Covalent Molecular Adapters. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000; 97:3959–3964. [PubMed: 10760267]
- Gu LQ, Cheley S, Bayley H. Prolonged Residence Time of a Noncovalent Molecular Adapter, B-Cyclodextrin, within the Lumen of Mutant α-Hemolysin Pores. J Gen Physiol. 2001; 118:481– 494. [PubMed: 11696607]
- Howorka S, Bayley H. Improved Protocol for High-Throughput Cysteine Scanning Mutagenesis. Biotechniques. 1998; 25:766–772.
- 40. Montal M, Mueller P. Formation of Bimolecular Membranes from Lipid Monolayers and a Study of Their Electrical Properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1972; 69:3561–3566. [PubMed: 4509315]



Figure 1.

The α -hemolysin (α HL) protein nanopore. (A) Cartoon representation of the α HL pore (pdb: 7AHL). The aHL protein forms heptameric nanopores in lipid bilayers. The pore consists of an upper cap domain which contains a roughly spherical, water-filled vestibule, and a transmembrane domain. The E111N and K147N mutations 15 at the top of the β barrel are highlighted in red, which replace the charged residues (Glu and Lys) at the central constriction. (B) The transmembrane domain is a 14-stranded, antiparallel β barrel. Each of the seven protomers contributes a pair of adjacent β strands (separated by a turn sequence; amino acids 126-132) to the barrel. The amino acid sequence of the transmembrane portion of the β strands for the most part alternates between hydrophilic residues (which face inwards towards the water-filled lumen of the pore) and hydrophobic residues (which face outwards towards the hydrocarbon core of the bilayer). To truncate the β barrel, rings of inward and outward facing residues from each of the two strands were deleted by PCR mutagenesis (leaving the turn sequence intact) to form truncated barrel mutant (TBM) proteins.¹¹ The three nucleobase recognition sites within the β barrel, R₁, R₂ and R₃, are also indicated. The three nucleobase recognition sites within the β barrel, R₁, R₂ and R₃, are also indicated^{15, 16, 19} (C) Cut-through representations of the truncated mutants, TBM 2, 4 and

6, used in the present study. The length indicated is the distance between the C α atoms of amino acids N17 (located at the top of the cap domain), of the 3rd subunit, and T129 (located at the bottom of the transmembrane domain), of the 7th subunit.¹¹

Ayub et al.



Figure 2.

The effect of β barrel truncations on adenine recognition along the length of the β barrel. (A) Schematic representation of a homopolymeric DNA oligonucleotide (blue circles), immobilized inside the TBM 6α HL pore (grey, cross-section) through the use of a 3' biotin-TEG (yellow) \bullet streptavidin (red) complex. The nucleobase recognition sites (R₁, R₂) and R_3) within the β barrel of the untruncated pore are shown alongside.^{15, 16, 19} The differences in residual current (IRES%) between blockades caused by poly(dC) oligonucleotides containing a single adenine base (Ax) and poly(dC) (Table S2) for the aHL pores NN (pink) and (B) TBM 2 (blue), (C) TBM 4 (red) and (D) TBM 6 (green) are plotted. IRES% values are mean values derived from Gaussian fits to event histograms. IRES% = $(I_{RES}/I_O) \times 100$. $I_{RES\%}$ is defined as the difference in residual current between an A_x oligonucleotide and poly(dC) (I_{RES%} Ax oligo - I_{RES%} pC) from an individual experiment. The means of the individual IRES% values are plotted with s.d. values as error bars. A crosssection of the β barrel domain of the truncated α HL pores, filled with DNA indicating the positions of the immobilized bases, is shown in each case. (E) The differences in residual current ($I_{RES\%}$) between blockades caused by poly(dC) oligonucleotides containing a single adenine base and poly(dC) for the aHL pores TBM 6 (green) and TBM 6/3G (purple) (Table S3). A cross-section of the β barrel domain of the truncated α HL pore is shown (indicating the position of the mutation M113G) filled with DNA indicating the position of the immobilized bases. The data were collected by using a voltage protocol as described in Experimental Methods. Briefly, +160 mV was applied for 900 ms to drive the negatively charged, DNA into the pore, followed by -140 mV for 50 ms, to eject the immobilized DNA and a final step to 0 mV for 50 ms.



Figure 3.

D) and 6/M113G (panel E) pores were interrogated with 5'-



Figure 4.

Continuous nucleobase discrimination in a truncated α HL pore with a cyclodextrin adapter. (A) Left: Schematic representation of individual DNA mononucleotides (blue circles), binding inside the TBM 6 pore (grey, cross-section) equipped with a cyclodextrin adapter (am₇ β CD, orange). Right: Cartoon schematics of the TBM 6 β -barrel domain, showing the interaction of am₇ β CD (orange) with the mutants M113F (red) and M113N (purple) as determined for the untruncated pore mutants.³² (B) Representative single-channel trace of the TBM 6/M113F pore, in the presence of 40 μ M am₇ β CD. The recording was made in 1 M KCl, 25 mM Tris.HCl, pH 7.5, at +120 mV. (C) Single-channel recording from the TBM 6/M113F•am₇ β CD pore showing continuous deoxyribonucleoside monophosphate (dNMP) detection (*cis*: 10 μ M dGMP, 10 μ M dAMP, 10 μ M dCMP and 10 μ M dTMP). Data were acquired at +120 mV. The amplified signal was low-pass filtered at 5 kHz and sampled at 25 kHz with a computer equipped with a Digidata 1440A digitizer (Molecular Devices).





Figure 5.

Residual current histogram of nucleotide binding events within the TBM $6/M113F \cdot am_7\beta CD$ pore. Data were acquired in 1 M KCl, 25 mM Tris.HCl, pH 7.5, at +120 mV in the presence of 40 μ M $am_7\beta CD$ (*trans*). (A) dNMP results corresponding to the experiment shown in Figure 4B. (B) rNMP results from a typical experiment in the presence of 10 μ M rGMP, 10 μ M rAMP, 10 μ M rCMP and 10 μ M rUMP (all *cis*). (C) k_{off} values for each NMP detected with the TBM $6 \cdot am_7\beta CD$, TBM $6/M113F \cdot am_7\beta CD$ and TBM 6 M113N $\cdot am_7\beta CD$ pores. Values of k_{off} were determined by using $k_{off} = 1/\tau_{off}$, where τ_{off} is the mean dwell time for each rNMP in the pore. Each experiment was conducted at least three times.

Table 1

In order to calculate the overlap of the residual current distribution, each individual nucleobase peak seen in the IRES% histograms (Figure 3 and 5) was fitted to a single Gaussian. The Gaussians were then normalized so that the probability of detecting a single nucleobase was equal. The overlap between each of the neighboring bases was calculated from the area of overlap of the normalized Gaussians. Overlaps ranged between 0 (no overlap) and 1.0 (identical distributions).

NN	G		Α		Т		С				
G	1.00		0.75		0.42		0.00				
Α	0.75		1.00		0.67		0.25				
Т	0.42		0.67		1.00		0.58				
С	C 0.00		0.25		0.58		1.00				
TRM 2		C		4		т		C			
	G G		1.00		0.47		0.47		0.53		
A		0.47		1.00		1.00		0.00			
T		0.47		1.00		1.00		0.00			
C		0.53		0.00		0.00		1.00			
TBM 4		G		A		Т		С			
G		1.00		1.00		1.00		0.00			
A		1.00		1.00		1.00		0.04			
Т		1.00		1.00		1.00		0.04			
С		0.04		0.04		0.00		1.00			
TBM 6		G		Α		Т		С			
G		1.00		0.46		0.00		0.54			
А		0.46		1.00		0.54		0.00			
Т		0.00		0	.54	1.00		0.00			
С		0.54		0	.00 0.00		.00	1.00			
TBM 6M113G				G		A		Т		С	
G				1	.00	0	.50	0.	0.28		0.72
А				0	.50	1	.00	0.	0.78		0.22
Т			0	.28	0	0.78		1.00		0.00	
С				0	.72	0	.22	0.00			1.00
				_					_		
TBM 6/M113F			dGMP		dAMI		<u>'</u>	dCMP			
dGMP				1.00			0.57		\downarrow	0.00	
dAMP					0.57		1.00		\downarrow	0.36	
dCMP			0.00		0.36		\downarrow	1.00			
dTMP				0.73		0.81		\downarrow	0.81		
TBM 6/M113F				ι.	rGMP		rAMP		- 1	rCMP	

ACS Nano. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 April 13.

dTMP

0.73

0.81

0.81

1.00

rUMP