



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2015 November ; 21(11): 1863–1869. doi:10.1016/j.bbmt.2015.07.032.

Indications for Autologous and Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation: Guidelines from the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation

Navneet S Majhail¹, Stephanie H Farnia², Paul A Carpenter³, Richard E Champlin⁴, Stephen Crawford⁵, David I Marks⁶, James L Omel⁷, Paul J Orchard⁸, Jeanne Palmer⁹, Wael Saber^{10,11}, Bipin N Savani¹², Paul A Veys¹³, Christopher N Bredeson, MD, MSc¹⁴, Sergio A Giral^{#15}, and Charles F LeMaistre^{#16}

¹Blood & Marrow Transplant Program, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

²Payer Policy, National Marrow Donor Program, Minneapolis, MN

³Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA

⁴Department of Stem Cell Transplantation and Cellular Therapy, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

⁵Cigna LifeSource Transplant Network, Pittsburgh, PA

⁶Adult BMT Unit, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

⁷Grand Island, NE

⁸Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

⁹Division of Hematology/Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ

¹⁰Division of Hematology and Oncology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

¹¹Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research, Milwaukee, WI

¹²Division of Hematology/Oncology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

¹³Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London, UK

¹⁴Malignant Hematology & Stem Cell Transplantation, The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, ON

¹⁵Adult Bone Marrow Transplant Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

¹⁶Sarah Cannon, Nashville, TN

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Address for correspondence: Navneet Majhail, MD, MS, Blood & Marrow Transplant Program, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Ave, R35, Cleveland, OH 44195, Phone: 216 444 2199, majhain@ccf.org.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of interest: None of the authors has any relevant financial conflicts of interest to declare

Abstract

Approximately 20,000 hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) procedures are performed in the United States annually. With advances in transplantation technology and supportive care practices, HCT has become safer and patient survival continues to improve over time. Indications for HCT continue to evolve as research refines the role for HCT in established indications and identifies emerging indications where HCT may be beneficial. The American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (ASBMT) established a multi-stakeholder task force consisting of transplant experts, payer representatives and a patient advocate to provide guidance on 'routine' indications for HCT. This white paper presents the recommendations from the Task Force. Indications for HCT were categorized as (1) Standard of care, where indication for HCT is well defined and supported by evidence, (2) Standard of care, clinical evidence available, where large clinical trials and observational studies are not available but HCT has been shown to be effective therapy, (3) Standard of care, rare indication, for rare diseases where HCT has demonstrated effectiveness but large clinical trials and observational studies are not feasible, (4) Developmental, for diseases where pre-clinical and/or early phase clinical studies show HCT to be a promising treatment option, and (5) Not generally recommended, where available evidence does not support the routine use of HCT. The ASBMT will periodically review these guidelines and will update them as new evidence becomes available.

Keywords

Hematopoietic cell transplantation; Autologous transplantation; Allogeneic transplantation; Indications; Clinical Trials; Standard of care; Routine care

Introduction

Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HCT) using hematopoietic progenitor cells from the patient (autologous HCT) or a donor (allogeneic HCT) is a potentially curative therapy for many life-threatening cancers and non-malignant disorders. Approximately 20,000 HCTs are performed in the United States (US) each year.¹ The number of annual procedures is projected to increase due to several advancements in the field of HCT,² such as routine use of reduced intensity conditioning regimens which allows HCT in older patients who have a high incidence of hematologic malignancies, emerging indications for HCT, and introduction of alternative graft sources such that nearly all patients who need a transplant now have a donor. At the same time, early and long-term HCT outcomes continue to improve with significant improvements in patient selection for HCT, transplantation technology and preventive and supportive care practices.³⁻⁶

The American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (ASBMT), in response to a need identified by patients, providers, payers and policy makers, established a Task Force to provide guidance on indications for HCT, that is, which indications may be considered as routine care versus indications where evidence is emerging or insufficient. The Task Force consisted of clinical experts, payers, and patient advocates and was charged with providing consensus guidelines for clinically appropriate indications for HCT based on best prevailing evidence. This white paper presents the recommendations from the Task Force.

General Principles

This paper is intended to serve as a guide to the current consensus on the use of HCT to treat a specific indication, both within and external to the clinical trial setting. The Task Force emphasizes that the guidelines not be used to determine whether HCT should be pursued as a treatment for an individual patient. Whether or not to proceed with transplantation in an individual patient is a clinical decision that is best made between the patient and his/her provider after a careful consideration of the alternatives, risks and benefits of the procedure. The Task Force recognizes that most transplant centers have a regular forum (e.g., tumor board or patient selection/care conference) where HCT as a treatment option for individual patients is discussed. However, this document may serve as a foundation for discussion among patients, providers, payers and policy makers about coverage for HCT for specific indications.

The following guiding principles were followed by Task Force in the development of these guidelines:

- The medical decision making process for a transplant procedure is complex and includes several factors besides the underlying indication for transplantation. Some examples of such variables include patient's overall health and performance status, comorbidities, disease risk/status (e.g., remission state and responsiveness to treatment), and graft and donor source. Clinicians routinely consider such factors when evaluating a patient with a specific indication for HCT.
- Recommendations for some diagnoses consider disease risk (e.g., acute myeloid leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia). Disease risk is not defined as a part of this guidance document, and clinicians are instead referred to other guidelines such as those proposed by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)
- For the purposes of these guidelines, the definition of HCT as proposed by the ASBMT and the National Marrow Donor Program (NMDP)/Be The Match was followed.^{7, 8} HCT is defined as an episode of care starting with a preparative regimen and continuing through hematopoietic stem cell infusion (HSCI) and recovery. HSCI is the infusion of a product (bone marrow, peripheral blood stem cells, cord blood) that contains hematopoietic progenitor cells, often characterized by CD34 expression.
- The European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT) and the British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation (BSBMT) have published recommendations for HCT indications.⁹ The EBMT and BSBMT guidelines were reviewed in the process of developing ASBMT guidelines.
- The Task Force considered a formal systematic evidence review of the literature but determined that it would not be feasible in the process of formulating our expansive guidelines. Clinical trials and observational studies generally focus on specific questions within a disease or a group of diseases (e.g., comparing outcomes in a subset of patients with a disease or investigating approaches for preventing relapse and minimizing morbidity and mortality of transplantation). Extrapolating the evidence to broad indication categories would be challenging. In general, for indications categorized as

“Standard of care”, “Standard of care, clinical evidence available” or “Standard of care, rare indication” (see below), the level of evidence and consensus was comparable to NCCN category 2A recommendation (“Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform consensus that the intervention is appropriate”).¹⁰ All NCCN recommendations are category 2A, unless otherwise noted.

– Where available, published systematic evidence reviews or guidelines were used as the basis for our recommendations for categorizing indications. The ASBMT has published evidence based revised for several indications (Table 1). Similarly, other organizations have addressed the use of HCT for various indications (e.g., NCCN guidelines, and position papers from the ASBMT Practice Guidelines Committee, Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR) working committees and/or EBMT working parties).

– Overall, the recommendations are based on best available evidence from clinical trials or, where clinical trials are not available, registry, multicenter or single center observational studies. An appendix included as a supplement to this paper lists key references on individual indications for HCT. While the list is not exhaustive, the reference list highlights evidence that was partly used as the basis for our recommendations.

– The guidelines focus on generally agreed upon indications for the HCT procedure itself and do not go into other specific aspects of transplantation which were considered to be beyond the scope of this white paper. We do not provide recommendations on type of conditioning regimen, graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis regimen, donor source and graft source or recommendations on use of post-HCT maintenance therapy for specific indications. Readers are referred to other published systematic evidence reviews and guidelines for this information.

– The Task Force recommendations are not designed to define comprehensive insurance benefits for HCT. Another ASBMT white paper provides recommendations on defining a standard episode of care for HCT and provides guidance on a general approach to coverage for indications of HCT.⁸ Our guidelines can complement the evidence review that payers conduct as part of their technology assessment to determine coverage policies.

– These guidelines will supplement the Referral Guidelines: Recommended Timing for Transplant Consultation developed jointly by the ASBMT and NMDP/Be The Match (available at www.asbmt.org and BeTheMatchClinical.org/guidelines).¹¹

Rare Diseases

Where sufficient evidence from large studies was not available (e.g., rare diseases), non-analytic studies and expert opinion were utilized and the recommendations represent prevalent routine clinical practice for those indications. Rather than provide a long and evolving list of unique rare diseases, the indications table shows a concise categorical list together with selected unique diagnoses for which transplant is most frequently offered. It is recognized that there is a large number of rare disorders for which transplantation may be utilized, and the appropriateness of HCT may depend on the phenotype and the degree of

progression of the disease in an individual patient. To address these scenarios in their entirety is beyond the scope of this report. Gathering additional data in these situations will be important in better understanding the benefits and limits of transplantation. Towards this goal and when possible, multi-institutional studies will prove important, preferably in centers with expertise in assessing disease specific outcomes. For rare indications, providers are advised to discuss with individual patients the risks and benefits of the HCT procedure while considering the available literature and clinical experience.

Donor and Graft Source in Patient Selection for HCT

In the present era, a suitable donor source can be found for the majority of patients who may benefit from HCT.¹² Several clinical factors have to be considered when determining the optimal donor and graft source for a given patient, including but not limited to underlying disease, disease stage, and the urgency with which transplantation needs to be pursued. For example, a specific donor and graft source may not be suitable for some patients (e.g., umbilical cord blood is not recommended as a donor/graft source for patients with myelofibrosis unless pursued as part of a clinical trial). Although HLA-identical sibling donor remains the preferred donor source, survival after transplantation is comparable among patients receiving hematopoietic progenitor cells from HLA-identical sibling and matched unrelated donors for several diseases.¹³⁻²¹ Similarly, studies show that survival after umbilical cord blood transplantation is similar to other graft sources and emerging data demonstrate acceptable outcomes with haploidentical donor transplantation.²²⁻³¹ The literature on donor and graft sources continues to evolve rapidly over time. With this background, the Task Force did not differentiate recommendations for transplant indications based on donor source (i.e., related donor, unrelated donor, umbilical cord blood or haploidentical donor) or graft source (i.e., bone marrow, peripheral blood stem cells or umbilical cord blood). This is in contrast to guidelines published by the EBMT and the BSBMT. Nonetheless, the Task Force recognizes that donor source and graft source are important considerations when determining the risks and benefits of HCT for an individual patient.

Age in Patient Selection for HCT

Age by itself should not be a contraindication to transplantation in patients who may benefit from this procedure. Selected older patients with limited comorbidities and good functional status can safely receive HCT with a relatively low and acceptable risk of non-relapse mortality.³²⁻³⁴ Instead of chronological patient age, evaluations such as functional status, HCT Comorbidity Index (HCT CI) score, EBMT risk score and Pre-transplantation Assessment of Mortality (PAM) risk score can assist in determining risks of non-relapse mortality and transplant candidacy for individual patients.

Definitions for Classifying Indications

The definitions for categorizing indications for transplantation are presented below. Tables 2 and 3 list the recommendations for HCT in pediatric and adult diseases.

Standard of Care (S)

This category includes indications that are well defined and are generally supported by evidence in the form of high quality clinical trials and/or observational studies (e.g., through CIBMTR or EBMT).

Standard of Care, Clinical Evidence Available (C)

This category includes indications for which large clinical trials and observational studies are not available. However, HCT has been shown to be an effective therapy with acceptable risk of morbidity and mortality in sufficiently large single- or multi-center cohort studies. HCT can be considered as a treatment option for individual patients after careful evaluation of risks and benefits. As more evidence becomes available, some indications may be reclassified as “Standard of Care”.

Standard of Care, Rare Indication (R)

Indications included in this category are rare diseases for which clinical trials and observational studies with sufficient number of patients are not currently feasible because of their very low incidence. However, single- or multi-center or registry studies in relatively small cohorts of patients have shown HCT to be effective treatment with acceptable risks of morbidity and mortality. For patients with diseases in this category, HCT can be considered as a treatment option for individual patients after careful evaluation of risks and benefits.

Developmental (D)

Developmental indications include diseases where pre-clinical and/or early phase clinical studies show HCT to be a promising treatment option. HCT is best pursued for these indications as part of a clinical trial. As more evidence becomes available, some indications may be reclassified as “Standard of Care, Clinical Evidence Available” or “Standard of Care”.

Not Generally Recommended (N)

Transplantation is not currently recommended for these indications where evidence and clinical practice do not support the routine use of HCT. The effectiveness of non-transplant therapies for an earlier phase of a disease does not justify the risks of HCT. Alternatively, a meaningful benefit is not expected from the procedure in patients with an advanced phase of a disease. However, this recommendation does not preclude investigation of HCT as a potential treatment and transplantation may be pursued for these indications within the context of a clinical trial.

Data Reporting to CIBMTR

US transplant centers report clinical and outcomes data on all allogeneic HCT procedures and the majority of centers report data on autologous HCT procedures to the CIBMTR. This data reporting and capture is critical to understanding appropriate indications for HCT and its utilization and patient outcomes.

Process for Updating Guidelines

The task force recognized the need for periodically updating these guidelines in order to keep abreast with ongoing research in the field. New evidence may result in inclusion of new indications that have not been previously recognized or may lead to reclassification of recommendation category for an existing indication. The ASBMT's Practice Guidelines Committee will periodically review these guidelines and update them as necessary, but a minimum of once every two years.

Public Comments

The draft manuscript was reviewed by the ASBMT's Practice Guidelines Committee and was posted on ASBMT's website for public comments. The document was modified based on feedback received by the ASBMT community.

An online supplement to this manuscript includes a bibliography that lists key publications that support the Task Force recommendations. An up to date version of the guidelines and bibliography supporting the recommendations is available at the ASBMT's website (www.asbmt.org/?page=GuidelineStatements).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

1. Pasquini, MC.; Zhu, X. Current uses and outcomes of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: 2014 CIBMTR Summary Slides. Available at: <http://www.cibmtr.org>
2. Majhail NS, Murphy EA, Denzen EM, et al. The National Marrow Donor Program's Symposium on Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation in 2020: a health care resource and infrastructure assessment. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2012; 18:172–182.
3. Gooley TA, Chien JW, Pergam SA, et al. Reduced mortality after allogeneic hematopoietic-cell transplantation. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2010; 363:2091–2101. [PubMed: 21105791]
4. Hahn T, McCarthy PL Jr, Hassebroek A, et al. Significant improvement in survival after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation during a period of significantly increased use, older recipient age, and use of unrelated donors. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2013; 31:2437–2449. [PubMed: 23715573]
5. Majhail NS, Tao L, Bredeson C, et al. Prevalence of hematopoietic cell transplant survivors in the United States. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2013; 19:1498–1501.
6. McCarthy PL Jr, Hahn T, Hassebroek A, et al. Trends in use of and survival after autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation in North America, 1995-2005: significant improvement in survival for lymphoma and myeloma during a period of increasing recipient age. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2013; 19:1116–1123.
7. LeMaistre CF, Farnia S, Crawford S, et al. Standardization of terminology for episodes of hematopoietic stem cell patient transplant care. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2013; 19:851–857.
8. Majhail NS, Giralt S, Bonagura A, et al. Guidelines for Defining and Implementing Standard Episode of Care for Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation within the Context of Clinical Trials.

Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. 2015

9. [02/01/2015] British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation indications table. Available at <http://bsbmt.org/indications-table/>
10. [02/01/2015] NCCN Guidelines and Clinical Resources: NCCN categories of evidence and consensus. Available at http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/categories_of_consensus.asp
11. NMDP/Be The Match and ASBMT Referral Guidelines: Recommended Timing for Transplant Consultation. 2015. www.asbmt.org and BeTheMatchClinical.org/guidelines
12. Gragert L, Eapen M, Williams E, et al. HLA match likelihoods for hematopoietic stem-cell grafts in the U.S. registry. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2014; 371:339–348. [PubMed: 25054717]
13. Zhang MJ, Davies SM, Camitta BM, et al. Comparison of outcomes after HLA-matched sibling and unrelated donor transplantation for children with high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2012; 18:1204–1210.
14. Saber W, Opie S, Rizzo JD, Zhang MJ, Horowitz MM, Schriber J. Outcomes after matched unrelated donor versus identical sibling hematopoietic cell transplantation in adults with acute myelogenous leukemia. *Blood*. 2012; 119:3908–3916. [PubMed: 22327226]
15. Saber W, Cutler CS, Nakamura R, et al. Impact of donor source on hematopoietic cell transplantation outcomes for patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). *Blood*. 2013; 122:1974–1982. [PubMed: 23847196]
16. Eapen M, Rubinstein P, Zhang MJ, et al. Comparable long-term survival after unrelated and HLA-matched sibling donor hematopoietic stem cell transplantations for acute leukemia in children younger than 18 months. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2006; 24:145–151. [PubMed: 16382124]
17. Schetelig J, Bornhauser M, Schmid C, et al. Matched unrelated or matched sibling donors result in comparable survival after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation in elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the cooperative German Transplant Study Group. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2008; 26:5183–5191. [PubMed: 18768435]
18. Valcarcel D, Sierra J, Wang T, et al. One-antigen mismatched related versus HLA-matched unrelated donor hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in adults with acute leukemia: Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research results in the era of molecular HLA typing. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2011; 17:640–648.
19. Gupta V, Tallman MS, He W, et al. Comparable survival after HLA-well-matched unrelated or matched sibling donor transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first remission with unfavorable cytogenetics at diagnosis. *Blood*. 2010; 116:1839–1848. [PubMed: 20538804]
20. Walter RB, Pagel JM, Gooley TA, et al. Comparison of matched unrelated and matched related donor myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation for adults with acute myeloid leukemia in first remission. *Leukemia*. 2010; 24:1276–1282. [PubMed: 20485378]
21. Peters C, Schrappe M, von Stackelberg A, et al. Stem-cell transplantation in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A prospective international multicenter trial comparing sibling donors with matched unrelated donors-The ALL-SCT-BFM-2003 trial. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2015; 33:1265–1274. [PubMed: 25753432]
22. Weisdorf D, Eapen M, Ruggeri A, et al. Alternative donor transplantation for older patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: a center for international blood and marrow transplant research-eurocord analysis. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2014; 20:816–822.
23. Marks DI, Woo KA, Zhong X, et al. Unrelated umbilical cord blood transplant for adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first and second complete remission: a comparison with allografts from adult unrelated donors. *Haematologica*. 2014; 99:322–328. [PubMed: 24056817]

24. Eapen M, Rubinstein P, Zhang MJ, et al. Outcomes of transplantation of unrelated donor umbilical cord blood and bone marrow in children with acute leukaemia: a comparison study. *Lancet*. 2007; 369:1947–1954. [PubMed: 17560447]
25. Laughlin MJ, Eapen M, Rubinstein P, et al. Outcomes after transplantation of cord blood or bone marrow from unrelated donors in adults with leukemia. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2004; 351:2265–2275. [PubMed: 15564543]
26. Rocha V, Labopin M, Sanz G, et al. Transplants of umbilical-cord blood or bone marrow from unrelated donors in adults with acute leukemia. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2004; 351:2276–2285. [PubMed: 15564544]
27. Luo Y, Xiao H, Lai X, et al. T-cell-replete haploidentical HSCT with low-dose anti-T-lymphocyte globulin compared with matched sibling HSCT and unrelated HSCT. *Blood*. 2014; 124:2735–2743. [PubMed: 25214441]
28. Wang Y, Liu QF, Xu LP, et al. Haploidentical vs identical-sibling transplant for AML in remission: a multicenter, prospective study. *Blood*. 2015; 125:3956–3962. [PubMed: 25940714]
29. Solomon SR, Sizemore CA, Sanacore M, et al. Total Body Irradiation-Based Myeloablative Haploidentical Stem Cell Transplantation Is a Safe and Effective Alternative to Unrelated Donor Transplantation in Patients Without Matched Sibling Donors. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2015; 21:1299–1307.
30. Bashey A, Zhang X, Sizemore CA, et al. T-cell-replete HLA-haploidentical hematopoietic transplantation for hematologic malignancies using post-transplantation cyclophosphamide results in outcomes equivalent to those of contemporaneous HLA-matched related and unrelated donor transplantation. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2013; 31:1310–1316. [PubMed: 23423745]
31. Brunstein CG, Gutman JA, Weisdorf DJ, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for hematologic malignancy: relative risks and benefits of double umbilical cord blood. *Blood*. 2010; 116:4693–4699. [PubMed: 20686119]
32. Michaelis LC, Hamadani M, Hari PN. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in older persons: respecting the heterogeneity of age. *Expert review of hematology*. 2014; 7:321–324. [PubMed: 24785114]
33. McClune BL, Weisdorf DJ. Reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic stem cell transplantation for older adults: is it the standard of care? *Current opinion in hematology*. 2010; 17:133–138. [PubMed: 20071984]
34. Wildes TM, Stirewalt DL, Medeiros B, Hurria A. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for hematologic malignancies in older adults: geriatric principles in the transplant clinic. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN*. 2014; 12:128–136. [PubMed: 24453296]
35. Oliansky DM, Rizzo JD, Aplan PD, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the therapy of acute myeloid leukemia in children: an evidence-based review. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2007; 13:1–25.
36. Oliansky DM, Appelbaum F, Cassileth PA, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the therapy of acute myelogenous leukemia in adults: an evidence-based review. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2008; 14:137–180.
37. Oliansky DM, Antin JH, Bennett JM, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the therapy of myelodysplastic syndromes: an evidence-based review. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2009; 15:137–172.
38. Oliansky DM, Gordon LI, King J, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the treatment of follicular lymphoma: an evidence-based review. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2010; 16:443–468.
39. Oliansky DM, Czuczman M, Fisher RI, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the treatment of diffuse large B cell lymphoma: update of the 2001

- evidence-based review. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2011; 17:20–47. e30.
40. Oliansky DM, Camitta B, Gaynon P, et al. Role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia: update of the 2005 evidence-based review. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2012; 18:505–522.
 41. Oliansky DM, Larson RA, Weisdorf D, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the treatment of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: update of the 2006 evidence-based review. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2012; 18:18–36. e16.
 42. Shah N, Callander N, Ganguly S, et al. Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Multiple Myeloma: Guidelines from the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2015; 21:1155–1166.
 43. Perales MA, Ceberio I, Armand P, et al. Role of Cytotoxic Therapy with Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation in the Treatment of Hodgkin Lymphoma: Guidelines from the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2015; 21:971–983.

Table 1

List of evidence based reviews performed by the ASBMT (available at www.asbmt.org/?page=GuidelineStatements)

Review	Year published
Acute myeloid leukemia in children ³⁵	2007
Acute myeloid leukemia in adults ³⁶	2008
Myelodysplastic syndrome ³⁷	2009
Follicular lymphoma ³⁸	2010
Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma ³⁹	2011
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children ⁴⁰	2012
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adults ⁴¹	2012
Multiple myeloma ⁴²	2015
Hodgkin lymphoma ⁴³	2015

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Indications for HCT in pediatric patients (generally age <18 years)

Indication and Disease Status	Allogeneic HCT	Autologous HCT
<i>Acute myeloid leukemia</i>		
CR1, low risk	N	N
CR1, intermediate risk	C	N
CR1, high risk	S	N
CR2+	S	N
Not in remission	C	N
Acute promyelocytic leukemia, relapse	R	R
<i>Acute lymphoblastic leukemia</i>		
CR1, standard risk	N	N
CR1, high risk	S	N
CR2	S	N
CR3+	C	N
Not in remission	C	N
<i>Chronic myeloid leukemia</i>		
Chronic phase	C	N
Accelerated phase	C	N
Blast phase	C	N
<i>Myelodysplastic syndromes</i>		
Low risk	C	N
High risk	S	N
Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia	S	N
Therapy related	S	N
<i>T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma</i>		
CR1, standard risk	N	N
CR1, high risk	S	N
CR2	S	N
CR3+	C	N
Not in remission	C	N
<i>Lymphoblastic B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (non-Burkitt)</i>		
CR1, standard risk	N	N
CR1, high risk	S	N
CR2	S	N
CR3+	C	N
Not in remission	C	N
<i>Burkitt's lymphoma</i>		
First remission	C	C
First or greater relapse, sensitive	C	C

Indication and Disease Status	Allogeneic HCT	Autologous HCT
First or greater relapse, resistant	C	N
<i>Hodgkin lymphoma</i>		
CR1	N	N
Primary refractory, sensitive	C	C
Primary refractory, resistant	C	N
First relapse, sensitive	C	C
First relapse, resistant	C	N
Second or greater relapse	C	C
<i>Anaplastic large cell lymphoma</i>		
CR1	N	N
Primary refractory, sensitive	C	C
Primary refractory, resistant	C	N
First relapse, sensitive	C	C
First relapse, resistant	C	N
Second or greater relapse	C	C
<i>Solid tumors</i>		
Germ cell tumor, relapse	D	C
Germ cell tumor, refractory	D	C
Ewing's sarcoma, high risk or relapse	D	S
Soft tissue sarcoma, high risk or relapse	D	D
Neuroblastoma, high risk or relapse	D	S
Wilm's tumor, relapse	N	C
Osteosarcoma, high risk	N	C
Medulloblastoma, high risk	N	C
Other malignant brain tumors	N	C
<i>Non-malignant diseases</i>		
Severe aplastic anemia, new diagnosis	S	N
Severe aplastic anemia, relapse/refractory	S	N
Fanconi's anemia	R	N
Dyskeratosis congenita	R	N
Blackfan-Diamond anemia	R	N
Sickle cell disease	C	N
Thalassemia	S	N
Congenital amegakaryocytic thrombocytopenia	R	N
Severe combined immunodeficiency	R	N
T cell immunodeficiency, SCID variants	R	N
Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome	R	N
Hemophagocytic disorders	R	N
Lymphoproliferative disorders	R	N

Indication and Disease Status	Allogeneic HCT	Autologous HCT
Severe congenital neutropenia	R	N
Chronic granulomatous disease	R	N
Other phagocytic cell disorders	R	N
IPEX syndrome	R	N
Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis	D	R
Systemic sclerosis	D	R
Other autoimmune and immune dysregulation disorders	R	N
Mucopolysaccharidoses (MPS-I and MPS-VI)	R	N
Other metabolic diseases	R	N
Osteopetrosis	R	N
Globoid cell leukodystrophy (Krabbe)	R	N
Metachromatic leukodystrophy	R	N
Cerebral X-linked Adrenoleukodystrophy	R	N

Recommendation categories (see text for definition): Standard of care (S); Standard of care, clinical evidence available (C); Standard of care, rare indication (R); Developmental (D); Not generally recommended (N)

Rather than provide a long and evolving list of unique rare diseases, the indications table shows a concise categorical list together with selected unique diagnoses for which transplant is most frequently offered

Table 3

Indications for hematopoietic cell transplantation in adults (generally age ≥ 18 years)

Indication and Disease Status	Allogeneic HCT	Autologous HCT
<i>Acute myeloid leukemia</i>		
CR1, low risk	N	C
CR1, intermediate risk	S	C
CR1, high risk	S	C
CR2	S	C
CR3+	C	C
Not in remission	C	N
<i>Acute promyelocyte leukemia</i>		
CR1	N	N
CR2, molecular remission	C	S
CR2, not in molecular remission	S	N
CR3+	C	N
Not in remission	C	N
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Acute lymphoblastic leukemia</i>		
CR1, standard risk	S	C
CR1, high risk	S	N
CR2	S	C
CR3+	C	N
Not in remission	C	N
<i>Chronic myeloid leukemia</i>		
Chronic phase 1, TKI intolerant	C	N
Chronic phase 1, TKI refractory	C	N
Chronic phase 2+	S	N
Accelerated phase	S	N
Blast phase	S	N
<i>Myelodysplastic syndromes</i>		
Low/intermediate-1 risk	C	N
Intermediate-2/high risk	S	N
<i>Therapy related AML/MDS</i>		
CR1	S	N
<i>Myelofibrosis & myeloproliferative diseases</i>		
Primary, low risk	C	N
Primary, intermediate/high risk	C	N
Secondary	C	N
Hypereosinophilic syndromes, refractory	R	N
<i>Plasma cell disorders</i>		

Indication and Disease Status	Allogeneic HCT	Autologous HCT
Myeloma, initial response	D	S
Myeloma, sensitive relapse	C	S
Myeloma, refractory	C	C
Plasma cell leukemia	C	C
Primary amyloidosis	N	C
POEMS syndrome	N	R
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	C
<i>Hodgkin lymphoma</i>		
CR1 (PET negative)	N	N
CR1 (PET positive)	N	C
Primary refractory, sensitive	C	S
Primary refractory, resistant	C	N
First relapse, sensitive	S	S
First relapse, resistant	C	N
Second or greater relapse	C	S
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma</i>		
CR1 (PET negative)	N	N
CR1 (PET positive)	N	C
Primary refractory, sensitive	C	S
Primary refractory, resistant	C	N
First relapse, sensitive	C	S
First relapse, resistant	C	N
Second or greater relapse	C	S
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Follicular lymphoma</i>		
CR1	N	C
Primary refractory, sensitive	S	S
Primary refractory, resistant	S	N
First relapse, sensitive	S	S
First relapse, resistant	S	N
Second or greater relapse	S	S
Transformation to high grade lymphoma	C	S
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Mantle cell lymphoma</i>		
CR1/PR1	C	S
Primary refractory, sensitive	S	S
Primary refractory, resistant	C	N
First relapse, sensitive	S	S

Indication and Disease Status	Allogeneic HCT	Autologous HCT
First relapse, resistant	C	N
Second or greater relapse	C	S
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>T-cell lymphoma</i>		
CR1	C	C
Primary refractory, sensitive	C	S
Primary refractory, resistant	C	N
First relapse, sensitive	C	S
First relapse, resistant	C	N
Second or greater relapse	C	C
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma</i>		
CR1	N	N
Primary refractory, sensitive	N	C
Primary refractory, resistant	R	N
First or greater relapse, sensitive	R	C
First or greater relapse, resistant	R	N
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Burkitt's lymphoma</i>		
First remission	C	C
First or greater relapse, sensitive	C	C
First or greater relapse, resistant	C	N
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma</i>		
Relapse	C	C
Relapse after autologous transplant	C	N
<i>Plasmablastic lymphoma</i>		
CR1	R	R
Relapse	R	R
<i>Chronic lymphocytic leukemia</i>		
High risk, first or greater remission	C	N
T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia	R	R
B-cell, prolymphocytic leukemia	R	R
Transformation to high grade lymphoma	C	C
<i>Solid tumors</i>		
Germ cell tumor, relapse	N	C
Germ cell tumor, refractory	N	C
Ewing's sarcoma, high risk	N	C
Breast cancer, adjuvant high risk	N	D

Indication and Disease Status	Allogeneic HCT	Autologous HCT
Breast cancer, metastatic	D	D
Renal cancer, metastatic	D	N
<i>Non-malignant diseases</i>		
Severe aplastic anemia, new diagnosis	S	N
Severe aplastic anemia, relapse/refractory	S	N
Fanconi's anemia	R	N
Dyskeratosis congenita	R	N
Sickle cell disease	C	N
Thalassemia	D	N
Hemophagocytic syndromes, refractory	R	N
Mast cell diseases	R	N
Common variable immunodeficiency	R	N
Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome	R	N
Chronic granulomatous disease	R	N
Multiple sclerosis	N	D
Systemic sclerosis	N	D
Rheumatoid arthritis	N	D
Systemic lupus erythematosus	N	D
Crohn's disease	N	D
Polymyositis-dermatomyositis	N	D

Recommendation categories (see text for definition): Standard of care (S); Standard of care, clinical evidence available (C); Standard of care, rare indication (R); Developmental (D); Not generally recommended (N)

Rather than provide a long and evolving list of unique rare diseases, the indications table shows a concise categorical list together with selected unique diagnoses for which transplant is most frequently offered