BJCP

Can paracetamol (acetaminophen) be administered to patients with liver impairment?

Kelly L. Hayward,^{1,2} Elizabeth E. Powell,^{2,3} Katharine M. Irvine² & Jennifer H. Martin^{4,5}

¹ Pharmacy Department, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Queensland, ² Centre for Liver Disease Research, The University of Queensland, Queensland, ³Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Queensland, ⁴School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, New South Wales and ⁵The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, Queensland, Australia

Correspondence

Professor Jennifer H. Martin, Chair of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia. Tel.: (02) 4921 1286 Fax: (02) 4960 2088 E-mail: jen.martin@newcastle.edu.au --

Keywords

analgesia, cirrhosis, NAFLD, pharmacokinetics --

Received

27 January 2015 Accepted 9 October 2015 Accepted Article Published Online 13 October 2015

Although 60 years have passed since it became widely available on the therapeutic market, paracetamol dosage in patients with liver disease remains a controversial subject. Fulminant hepatic failure has been a well documented consequence of paracetamol overdose since its introduction, while short and long term use have both been associated with elevation of liver transaminases, a surrogate marker for acute liver injury. From these reports it has been assumed that paracetamol use should be restricted or the dosage reduced in patients with chronic liver disease. We review the factors that have been purported to increase risk of hepatocellular injury from paracetamol and the pharmacokinetic alterations in different pathologies of chronic liver disease which may affect this risk. We postulate that inadvertent under-dosing may result in concentrations too low to enable efficacy. Specific research to improve the evidence base for prescribing paracetamol in patients with different aetiologies of chronic liver disease is needed.

Introduction

'The oft-cited warning that drugs known to produce hepatic injury should not be given to patients with liver disease has little foundation in fact'– Hy Zimmerman, 1999.

Paracetamol, (also known as acetaminophen, N-acetylp-aminophenol or APAP) is a commonly used mild analgesic and antipyretic available in numerous prescription and over-the-counter formulations. It is considered a first line analgesic for many patients with chronic liver disease (CLD), primarily due to concern about the side effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAID) and opioidderived agents [2, 3]. However its association with alanine transaminase (ALT) elevation, considered a surrogate marker for liver injury, has led to uncertainty about its safety margin in patients with pre-existing liver disease [4].

While the use of liver function tests (LFTs) and other surrogate markers for clinical outcomes are often required, ALT in particular as a surrogate marker of liver injury is problematic as this enzyme fluctuates throughout the day in healthy individuals, in relation to exercise, concurrent intake of vitamins and some medications [5]. It is also

upregulated in other disease states such as diabetes and heart failure [5]. Therefore minor transient elevations have not been strongly correlated with hepatotoxicity. This is in contrast to the very high transaminase concentrations seen in patients with drug induced liver injury (DILI). Case reports of DILI in people using 'therapeutic' doses of paracetamol are predominantly in patients who are heavy drinkers, fasting, malnourished, underweight or have concurrent febrile illness [6-14]. We have not found any case reports of hepatotoxicity secondary to therapeutic doses of paracetamol in adults with pre-existing CLD who did not have at least one of these risk factors. One large systematic review inclusive of over 40 000 patients found that the rate of increased serum transaminases and hepatotoxicity was higher in retrospective studies compared with prospective trials, suggesting that some retrospective cases may have been accidental overdoses [15].

While it is noteworthy that a double-blind, two period crossover study of 20 patients with chronic stable liver disease (eight with cirrhosis) tolerated paracetamol at a dosage of 4 g day⁻¹ for 13 days without adverse effects [16], there is a general lack of prospective long term studies examining its use in CLD. The limited evidence base in

addition to the complex pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics within the heterogeneous CLD group has contributed to inconsistent paracetamol dosage recommendations of varying magnitude, frequency and daily maximum for acute and chronic pain. Reluctance to prescribe the standard dose of paracetamol may result in poorer efficacy and accelerate progression to alternative analgesics which have well-documented side effects in CLD [2, 17].

For ethical reasons it is unlikely that any large randomized controlled trial (RCT) will be undertaken to understand paracetamol dose–response behaviour in liver disease, and therefore prescribing advice must be extrapolated from a combination of existing pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic data, simulated populations, surrogate and actual observed clinical outcomes. We therefore sought to review existing literature for evidence of pharmacokinetic alterations and paracetamol toxicity in different CLD aetiologies, to identify the limitations in the research and to make better-informed recommendations on prescribing paracetamol in CLD.

The evolution of paracetamol in clinical care

Phenacetin and acetanilide, two aniline derivatives with analgesic and antipyretic properties, were first described by Harmon Morse in 1878 and Cahn & Hepp in 1886, respectively [18, 19]. Both were much later found to be prodrugs for the active compound N-acetyl-p-aminophenol [20–24]. The drug was given the approved name 'paracetamol' in 1957 and has been included in the published British Pharmacopoeia since 1963 [25].

In the early 1970s the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) outlined a safe adult dosage of up to 1000 mg every 4-6 h, not to exceed 4000 mg day⁻¹, , for no longer than 10 days. The recommendation was based primarily on animal toxicology data, one bioavailability study ($n = 15$ healthy adult males) and four Industry sponsored placebo-controlled post-partum analgesia trials that investigated single dose efficacy over $4-6$ h in 338 women ($n = 112$ paracetamol 1000 mg; $n = 113$ paracetamol 650 mg; $n = 113$ placebo) [26-28].

While most of the original data remains unpublished, the efficacy of this dosing regimen has subsequently been supported by clinical trials in a plethora of indications and extensive post-marketing experience. Single dose trials and one large meta-analysis inclusive of over 4000 patients have demonstrated that paracetamol dosed at 1000 mg provides superior analgesia compared with 650 mg [27, 29], 500 mg [30–33] and placebo [27, 29, 34], but no less improvement than 2000 mg [35]. Supra-therapeutic doses of up to 8 g daily in healthy adults and 6 g daily in stroke patients have been shown

to be relatively safe when used for up to 3 days [36, 37], although one case of chronic use at 6 g daily for 14 years has been associated with the later development of cirrhosis [38]. Long term safety data indicated no adverse clinical or biochemical effects in 1039 individuals taking paracetamol 1950-4000 mg daily for up to 1 year [39] or 178 patients taking 2600 mg day⁻¹ of paracetamol for 2 years in osteoarthritis trials [40].

Pharmacokinetics and metabolism

Paracetamol is almost completely metabolized by the liver. Pharmacology studies in healthy young men and women suggest that only a very small amount $(2-9%)$ of paracetamol is excreted unchanged [36, 41]. The remainder undergoes phase 1 sulphation predominately via SULT1A1 and SULT1A3/4 [42], glucuronidation predominantly via UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 [43, 44] and oxidation via the cytochrome P450 system (Figure 1). Glucuronide and sulphate conjugates are non-toxic, inactive and readily excreted in the bile (minor) and urine (major) [42]. Phase 1 oxidation via CYP2E1 and CYP2A6 results in the production of thiol and catechol metabolites, respectively [36]. Isoenzymes CYP3A4 and CYP1A2 have been theorized to play a role in paracetamol metabolism based on data from in vitro studies. However a human model has found their involvement to be negligible compared with 2E1 [45]. The thiol produced via 2E1, N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI), is a reactive oxidative intermediate that has been linked to hepatocellular injury due to its radical affinity and covalent binding to cysteine molecules on liver proteins [42]. Under most circumstances a healthy liver is equipped to detoxify NAPQI by phase 2 conjugation with glutathione (GSH) spontaneously and possibly via glutathione-s-transferase pi 1 (GSTP1) to form inert mercapturate and cysteine metabolites [42]. Alternatively NAPQI may be reduced back to paracetamol via NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) [46–48].

Where creatinine clearance may be used to guide dose moderation in patients with renal insufficiency, the Child-Pugh and Model for End Stage Liver Disease (MELD) classification systems for liver disease severity cannot be used to predict changes in drug clearance. Furthermore, pharmacokinetic changes, whilst theoretically predictable, do not always translate into clinically significant toxicity. Several small studies have shown that the excretion of paracetamol in patients with CLD (mild, moderate and severe liver disease including hepatitis C, primary biliary cirrhosis and alcoholic cirrhosis) is slower than in healthy adults with a half-life approximately 1–2 h longer [49–53]. Existing biotransformation studies in this group are sparse (Table 1) although they indicate that paracetamol does not accumulate in CLD despite a higher plasma concentration [51]. Theoretically this increased exposure may correspond with greater efficacy, but this has not been studied. The proportion

Figure 1

Pathways of paracetamol metabolism. GSTP1 glutathione-s-transferase pi 1; NQO1 NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1

Table 1

Half-life (h \pm SD) and 24 h metabolite recovery (as % of dose \pm SD) following a single dose of paracetamol

*cysteine and mercapturate metabolites were reported separately, but are added here. †In a cohort study, participants (healthy adults n=5; heavy drinkers n=5) received paracetamol alone at baseline and then paracetamol with ethanol 1 week later. The authors reported similar metabolism between groups and therefore combined them. ‡half-life in severe disease significantly greater than mild disease and control subjects (P < 0.001). §mercapturate and cysteine conjugates significantly reduced with co-administration of ethanol (P < 0.001). ¶glucuronide conjugates significantly reduced compared with control and hepatitis B groups (P < 0.001). ** significant increase in thiol metabolites compared with control and hepatitis B groups ($P < 0.0001$). †thalf-life significantly less in control subjects compared with liver disease (combined mild-moderate and severe) ($P = 0.01$). §§Mean data from 11 participants due to sampling error.

of thiol metabolites also appears to remain unchanged with increasing severity of disease [51, 52, 54–56], suggesting that the presence of liver disease alone may not increase exposure to NAPQI and therefore does not increase risk of toxicity. It should be noted that these studies did not specifically address paracetamol metabolism in the setting of fatty liver disease or obesity, which are known to affect pharmacokinetics variably [57].

Paracetamol toxicity

Side effects with paracetamol when it is used appropriately both short and long term in a healthy adult are very rare. A review of eight observational studies has suggested a dose–response increase in all-cause mortality, cardiovascular, renal and gastrointestinal adverse events for people taking therapeutic doses of paracetamol, although half of the studies did not adjust for concomitant NSAID use [58]. In excess, paracetamol is known to have a broad toxic spectrum ranging from nausea and anorexia to severe liver damage and death as the sulphation pathway becomes acutely saturated [59] and NAPQI is produced in excess. The sulphydryl donor N-acetylcysteine (NAC), which serves as a precursor for glutathione and hence speeds the detoxification of NAPQI in this setting, is the only approved agent on the market for treatment of paracetamol overdose [42, 60, 61]. Early diagnosis, modern medicine and use of NAC have considerably improved outcomes for patients who present early with toxicity [42, 60, 61].

While many of the first liver toxicity reports did not adequately report dose, involved large drug quantities or standard doses at what is now understood to be an inappropriate frequency, these cases nevertheless raised the concern that paracetamol should preferably be avoided or the dose reduced in patients with liver disease. This fear was compounded in 2006 when a RCT reported a statistically significant rise in ALT in healthy adult volunteers [62], the majority of whom were Hispanic, following 14 days of paracetamol administration (dosed at 1 g every $4-6$ h) with or without co-administration of an opioid (morphine, hydromorphone or oxycodone). ALT reached three times the upper limit of normal (ULN) in 41 of 106 paracetamol-treated patients (39%) and none of 39 placebo-treated subjects, irrespective of opioid. However, 8 years later the methodology and results of the study by Watkins et al. [62] have been challenged by an investigation [63] which demonstrated the time course of aminotransferase fluctuation in 205 healthy adult volunteers administered the same regimen of paracetamol over at least 16 days. In contrast to the earlier report, only three patients had an ALT greater than three times the ULN during the course of the study while 77% ($n = 157$) either had no ALT elevation or a minor elevation that resolved (ALT returned to <ULN) by day 16. The investigators followed 47 of the remaining 48 patients whose ALT persisted above 47 U I^{-1} at day 16. They continued to consume paracetamol until LFTs returned to normal. All but one patient (postulated to be due to systemic infection) had resolution by day 40. It has been suggested [63] that had the Watkins et al. [62] study protocol permitted data collection beyond 14 days and not discontinued treatment in patients with transaminases >three times the ULN, ALT may have returned to baseline. Indeed, given no patient exhibited clinical signs of toxicity in the Watkins et al. [62] paper, is possible that the ALT rise may have been a result of an interacting ethnic genetic variability in metabolism and was likely to be of little clinical significance [64–67]. Transient elevation of liver transaminases is not uncommon with numerous medications, including statins and some antibiotics, and marginal ALT elevations in patients who are institutionalized for studies have also been

linked to institutionalization, not necessarily treatment intervention [68].

Nevertheless, the conservative recommendations that followed the publication of the Watkins et al. [62] paper made by leading bodies of 'at risk' populations persist. For example, the American Liver Foundation recommended patients not exceed 3 g of paracetamol daily and the American Geriatric Society suggested no more than $2-3$ g daily in older patients with hepatic insufficiency or a history of alcohol abuse. McNeil Laboratories self-initiated packaging changes to recommend a 3 g daily maximum on their Tylenol products, and the FDA's pursuit to discourage prescribers from recommending products that contain more than 325 mg paracetamol per dosage unit is ongoing. It must be noted that these generalized recommendations may not be applicable to all patients, and sub-therapeutic dosing may accelerate progression to stronger analgesics.

Glucuronidation capacity

Most glucuronosyltransferases enzymes (UGTs) can metabolize paracetamol and unlike sulphotransferase enzymes, glucuronidation is a non-saturable pathway [43, 69]. A study of repeated paracetamol dosing at 4 g, 6 g and 8 g daily over 3 days in 24 healthy young men and women of normal weight has demonstrated a higher concentration of the glucuronide conjugate and a lower concentration of sulphate and thiol conjugates than was anticipated from single dose data, suggestive of time-dependent changes in paracetamol metabolism [36, 70]. As paracetamol may induce UGT1A6 [36], chronic ingestion may reduce exposure to the reactive intermediate in healthy adults, as a greater proportion of the drug is metabolized via the non-toxic phase 1 glucuronidation pathway. However, extrapolating these data into the CLD population is difficult as some metabolic pathways are variably upor down-regulated according to disease aetiology and severity, discussed in the Section entitled "Drug Interactions and Other Confounding Factors".

Glutathione depletion

Detoxification of NAPQI by conjugation with hepatic glutathione may be impaired in the setting of malnutrition, recent fasting or advanced cirrhosis wherein the synthesis of glutathione may be impaired [71]. Therefore these patients may theoretically be at higher risk of paracetamol toxicity. A number of small studies in healthy adults have indicated that nutritional deficiency decreases availability of glutathione precursors in muscle and plasma [72], reduces free glutathione but not total glutathione concentrations in the plasma [73] and decreases synthesis rate but not concentration of glutathione in red blood cells [74]. A diet high in dairy products has been positively correlated with glutathione

BJCP

Reduced glutathione concentrations and reduction in the GSH : GSSG ratio are suggestive of oxidative stress, reflecting reduced hepatic capacity to recover from insult [77]. Measurement of human hepatic glutathione is achievable only through invasive tissue biopsy and thus is difficult to study on a large scale. Hepatic and peripheral plasma glutathione concentrations are correlated in rats [78, 79] and humans with various liver diseases [80, 81]. Following paracetamol administration, a reduction in glutathione stores of at least 70% was required before liver damage became evident in mice [60], although no such study has been performed in humans. One study in alcoholic patients found that the median plasma glutathione concentration was not related to paracetamol intake, although a statistically significant inverse correlation was found between γ-glutamyl transferase (GGT) and plasma glutathione [82].

Irrespective of causation, a plethora of studies have shown plasma and hepatic glutathione concentrations to be variably reduced or unchanged in patients with diverse liver diseases as outlined in Table 2 [71, 83–94]. Although reduction of glutathione concentrations has been demonstrated in most liver disease aetiologies, there has been no change demonstrated in the ratio of thiol metabolites retrieved in urine, nor evidence of liver injury in these patients. Thus, in the absence of contributory factors, such as malnutrition, there is no strong evidence of impaired glutathione conjugation in CLD and therefore it is unlikely that glutathione store depletion alone increases risk of hepatotoxicity in the clinical setting. Indeed, case reports published on hepatotoxicity secondary to therapeutic doses of paracetamol [6, 11, 14] are often in severely underweight, malnourished or fasting patients suggesting that acute nutritional deficiency is likely a more important consideration than underlying liver disease when considering paracetamol for these patients.

Ethanol consumption

There have been a handful of cases of hepatotoxicity following self-reported therapeutic doses of paracetamol in heavy drinkers [10, 95]. Irrespective of their body mass index, alcohol-dependent subjects are frequently malnourished [96] affecting glutathione availability. Ethanol is also an inducer of CYP2E1, the same enzyme that produces the toxic metabolite NAPQI. Significant CYP2E1 induction measured using the chlorzoxazone test was demonstrated in healthy male volunteers within 1 week of consuming 40 g of ethanol per day and

continued to increase for at least 4 weeks while ethanol consumption remained constant [97]. However, as ethanol is a competitive substrate for the enzyme and also a stimulator of NQO1 [47, 98–100], concurrent intake of ethanol and paracetamol has actually been shown to reduce paracetamol-induced toxicity in mice [47, 101, 102] and rats [99, 103] and has been associated with a decrease in the elimination of thiol metabolites in humans [55, 100]. Furthermore, concurrent acute alcohol ingestion with paracetamol overdose appears protective against hepatotoxicity irrespective of paracetamol dose [104].

However there is an increased risk of NAPQI formation during the acute alcohol withdrawal phase, as 2E1 activity can take between 3 to 8 days to return to normal [97, 105]. Without a competitive substrate, production of thioether metabolites has been shown to transition from suppressed to over-expressed within 8 h of ethanol cessation [106]. While small, single dose studies have reported conflicting results of unchanged or increased production of thiol metabolites in heavy drinkers with or without cirrhosis who consumed paracetamol within 48 h of ethanol cessation [106, 107], stronger evidence from a number of RCTs has demonstrated that paracetamol ingestion during this 'high risk' withdrawal period does not predispose patients to liver injury [66, 90, 108–110], as summarized in Table 3. A meta-analysis of published RCTs inclusive of 551 paracetamol-treated heavy drinkers vs. 350 placebo-treated heavy drinkers found no significant evidence of ALT elevation from baseline to day 4 [111].

It would thus appear that paracetamol is relatively safe to use in the average heavy drinker (who is not malnourished and either has no cirrhosis or early compensated cirrhosis) at doses up to 4 g daily when clinically indicated for short periods of time, but the lack of data in decompensated alcoholic liver disease (ALD) makes dosing recommendations difficult in this group. While 3-4 g daily may be reasonable short term for acute pain, a maximum daily dose of $2-3$ g in alcoholic cirrhosis may be a safer recommendation if analgesia is required for more than 14 days [112]. Definitive evidence to guide recommendations here is lacking, and alternative analgesics also have relative contraindications.

Non-alcoholic liver diseases

Paracetamol has been studied in small groups of adults with liver disease of various aetiologies at different stages of disease progression (Table 4). The drug has similar metabolism and elimination without clinical or biochemical signs of accumulation or toxicity following both single and repeated dosing for up to 2 weeks [16, 50–53, 113], but there are scant quality prospective studies to support its use beyond this time frame. There

Table 2

Hepatic tissue and plasma glutathione concentrations in liver disease

95% CI were calculated from original data in all studies except Bernhard et al., to facilitate comparison between studies. *NALD (non-alcoholic liver disease) group included patients with chronic active hepatitis $n = 7$; chronic persisting hepatitis $n = 3$; steatosis $n = 2$; and cirrhosis $n = 8$. AIC, autoimmune cholestatic liver disease; AIH, autoimmune hepatitis; ALD, alcoholic liver disease; GSH, glutathione; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; P = significance vs. control group; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Table 3

Controlled paracetamol consumption in chronic alcoholic patients

Table 4

Controlled paracetamol consumption in other chronic liver diseases

appears to be no significant increase in excretion of thiol metabolites, indicating production of NAPQI is not amplified in mild, moderate or severe liver disease [51, 52, 54]. Similarly, two studies in patients with compensated and decompensated cirrhosis (total $n = 549$, including alcohol-related liver disease, chronic hepatitis C infection and cryptogenic cirrhosis) admitted to tertiary care facilities found that use of over-the-counter analgesia including paracetamol was not associated with hospitalization for liver-related events, while frusemide, lactulose and alcohol consumption were positively related [114, 115]. Of 45 patients treated for chronic active hepatitis, there were no differences in LFTs or disease manageability between 17 patients who were taking paracetamol prior to admission and those who were not [116]. However,

216 / 81:2 / Br | Clin Pharmacol

cumulative paracetamol intake \geq 7.5 g in the days prior to admission has been found to decrease prothrombin index and factor V activity, and increase bilirubin in acute viral hepatitis, specifically hepatitis A [117].

Recognition of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is relatively new. Hence there are a lack of patient data in this group. Despite evidence of increased NQO1 activity in NAFLD and NASH [118], a review of paracetamol-induced liver injury in seven rodent models of obesity and NAFLD suggested a similar or increased risk of toxicity compared with controls [119]. Patients with pre-existing NAFLD without cirrhosis were reported to have a higher risk of acute liver injury (OR 7.5) following paracetamol overdose [120]. Obese patients without fatty liver disease

Drug interactions and other confounding factors

During the progressive stages of liver disease xenobiotic metabolism is altered with significant overall reduction of CYP450 enzymes [123]. NQO1 protein expression and activity has been shown to increase in NASH and NAFLD, while activity of GST, UGT and SULT are variably dysregulated [118, 124]. Glutathione peroxidase, reductase and transferase activity is regulated differently and inconsistently in NAFLD, ALD, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [89, 92] and glutathione stores are also altered (Table 2). The clinical consequence of these alterations on paracetamol metabolism in patients with these liver diseases has not been studied.

Increased protein expression and activity of CYP2A6 has been reported in patients with progressive NAFLD, hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis and alcoholic cirrhosis [125–128]. However increase in catechol metabolite production has not been demonstrated, suggesting that paracetamol metabolism via this pathway is not necessarily affected in these disease states.

CYP2E1 function appears to remain preserved despite reduced protein expression in progressive NAFLD [128]. Similarly, activity remains unchanged while disease remains mild or compensated. In contrast, activity decreases with worsening disease (Pugh score > 6 , Child Class B or C) and in cholestatic cirrhosis [123, 129]. While this may suggest that NAPQI formation will be reduced in decompensated cirrhosis or in people with cholestatic liver disease, this has not been studied.

It has been proposed that direct and indirect drug interactions may affect risk of paracetamol toxicity by moderation of the CYP450 isozyme system or changes to gastric emptying. Induction of 2E1 by isoniazid has been well-documented, but studies in healthy volunteers have shown that isoniazid may inhibit NAPQI formation as a competitive substrate for the enzyme in much the same way as alcohol [130, 131]. Other small studies on enzyme inducers such as phenobarbital, phenytoin, rifampicin, omeprazole and carbamazepine have suggested that their effect on 2E1 is not significant, and thus they are unlikely to be responsible for excessive NAPQI formation when taken concurrently with paracetamol [45, 132, 133].

Some guidelines recommend that adult patients of low body weight (<50 kg) receive a reduced maximum daily dose of paracetamol (15 mg kq^{-1} four times a day) [134]. However weight as an independent risk factor

for paracetamol toxicity, in the absence of chronic disease and malnutrition, has not been well studied.

Prescribing in other groups

The complex pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic relationship of drug–patient and drug–disease interactions causes debate around appropriate paracetamol prescribing in children, older persons and some other chronic disease states. Metabolism and clearance of paracetamol in the healthy elderly population has been found to be comparable with young adults, though persons over 80 years have a greater overall exposure following intravenous administration [135–138]. One study has demonstrated an increase in glucuronide conjugates in 12 male children with NAFLD, but no significant changes in terms of clearance, half-life, AUC or C_{max} [139]. The nature of the pain being treated should also be taken into consideration regardless of population, as recent evidence suggests paracetamol used in patients (aged 45 ± 16 years) without CLD may be no better than placebo for acute low back pain [140].

Future research

A helpful future study would be a large, long term (> 1 month) prospective observational study of CLD patients with need for therapeutic analgesia, to provide correlation data between dose, concentration, efficacy and toxicity with paracetamol. This will improve dose guidance for individual patients. Furthermore, whilst glutathione content has been relatively well-examined in numerous hepatic diseases, there remains scant knowledge of enzyme dysregulation (including CYP, UGT, SULT, NQO1) in most CLD aetiologies. Further research in the latter area will assist with development of recommendations regarding paracetamol dosing.

It is noted that one of the mechanisms of action of paracetamol is an inhibitory effect on COX enzymes, which may be linked to long term renal and cardiovascular outcomes. While this review has primarily focussed on the need for studies on hepatic toxicity with normal dosing in liver disease, studies on cardiovascular health with paracetamol are also needed.

Conclusion

Recent reviews have concluded that paracetamol is a safe and effective first line agent in almost all patients regardless of liver disease aetiology. Although the need for dose reduction in the healthy population seems largely unnecessary, it may be warranted in certain severe or decompensated hepatic disease states, particularly if patients are malnourished, are not eating or have a dry weight less than 50 kg. Whilst a cautious and conservative approach

has previously been recommended for all CLD patients, prescribers should be encouraged to consider appropriate dosing for each individual patient, taking into account their underlying disease state and the pharmacological covariates.

As the prevalence of lifestyle related liver diseases such as ALD and NAFLD is likely to increase over the coming decades, it is important that clinicians are able to use existing analgesics safely and effectively. To that effect, studies aimed at improving our understanding of changes to paracetamol metabolism, efficacy and toxicity will be invaluable.

Competing Interests

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at ww.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare no support from any organization for the submitted work, no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

REFERENCES

- 1 Zimmerman HJ. Hepatotoxicity: the adverse effects of drugs and other chemicals on the liver, Second Edition, (eds) John J. Seigafuse S. Teston D. Goldsbury CJ, Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 1999; 744.
- 2 Dwyer JP, Jayasekera C, Nicoll A. Analgesia for the cirrhotic patient: a literature review and recommendations. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 29: 1356–60.
- 3 Imani F, Motavaf M, Safari S, Alavian SM. The therapeutic use of analgesics in patients with liver cirrhosis: a literature review and evidence-based recommendations. Hepat Mon 2014; 14: e23539.
- 4 Acetaminophen information: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2015 [Updated 08 May 2015; Cited 19 May 2015]. Available from: [http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/](http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/InformationbyDrugClass/ucm165107.htm) [DrugSafety/InformationbyDrugClass/ucm165107.htm.](http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/InformationbyDrugClass/ucm165107.htm)
- 5 Giboney PT. Mildly elevated liver transaminase levels in the asymptomatic patient. Am Fam Physician 2005; 71: 1105–10.
- 6 Lee PJ, Shen M, Wang S, Spiegler P, Caraccio T, DeMuro JP, Malone B. Possible hepatotoxicity associated with intravenous acetaminophen in a 36-year-old female patient. P T 2015; 40: 123–32.
- 7 Gumbrevicius G, Sveikata A, Sveikatiene R, Stankevicius E. Paracetamol and simvastatin: a potential interaction resulting in hepatotoxicity. Medicina 2012; 48: 379–81.
- 8 Moling O, Cairon E, Rimenti G, Rizza F, Pristera R, Mian P. Severe hepatotoxicity after therapeutic doses of acetaminophen. Clin Ther 2006; 28: 755–60.
- 9 Pearce B, Grant IS. Acute liver failure following therapeutic paracetamol administration in patients with muscular dystrophies. Anaesthesia 2008; 63: 89–91.
- 10 Zimmerman HJ, Maddrey WC. Acetaminophen (paracetamol) hepatotoxicity with regular intake of alcohol: analysis of instances of therapeutic misadventure. Hepatology 1995; 22: 767–73.
- 11 Eriksson LS, Broome U, Kalin M, Lindholm M. Hepatotoxicity due to repeated intake of low doses of paracetamol. J Intern Med 1992; 231: 567–70.
- 12 Horsmans Y, Sempoux C, Detry R, Geubel AP. Paracetamolinduced liver toxicity after intravenous administration. Liver 1998; 18: 294–5.
- 13 Mortensen ME, Cullen JL. Comment: hepatotoxicity associated with chronic acetaminophen administration in patients without risk factors. Ann Pharmacother 2002; 36: 1481–2.
- 14 Claridge LC, Eksteen B, Smith A, Shah T, Holt AP. Acute liver failure after administration of paracetamol at the maximum recommended daily dose in adults. BMJ 2010; 341: 1269–70.
- 15 Dart RC, Bailey E. Does therapeutic use of acetaminophen cause acute liver failure? Pharmacotherapy 2007; 27: 1219–30.
- 16 Benson GD. Acetaminophen in chronic liver disease. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1983; 33: 95–101.
- 17 Hirschfield GM, Kumagi T, Heathcote EJ. Preventative hepatology: minimising symptoms and optimising care. Liver Int 2008; 28: 922–34.
- 18 Morse H. Ueber eine neue darstellungsmethode der acetylamidophenole [about a new method of synthesis of acetylamidophenole]. Ber Deutscher Chem Ges 1878; 11: 232–3.
- 19 Cahn A, Hepp P. Das antifebrin, ein neues fiebermittel [antifebrin, a new antipyretic]. Zentralbl Klin Med 1886; 7: 561–4.
- 20 Smith JN, Williams RT. Studies in detoxication: the metabolism of acetanilide in the rabbit. Biochem J 1948; 42: 538–44.
- 21 Smith JN, Williams RT. Studies in detoxication: the metabolism of phenacetin (p-ethoxyacetanilide) in the rabbit and a further observation on acetanilide metabolism. Biochem J 1949; 44: 239–42.
- 22 Brodie BB, Axelrod J. The estimation of acetanilide and its metabolic products, aniline, N-acetyl p-aminophenol and p-amino-phenol (free and total conjugated) in biological fluids and tissues. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1948; 94: 22–8.
- 23 Brodie BB, Axelrod J. The fate of acetanilide in man. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1948; 94: 29–38.
- 24 Boreus LO, Sandberg F. A comparison of some pharmacological effects of acetophenetidin and N-acetyl p-aminophenol. Acta Physiol Scand 1953; 28: 261–5.
- 25 Boyd EM, Bereczky GM. Liver necrosis from paracetamol. Br J Pharmacol Chemother 1966; 26: 606–14.

- 26 U.S. Food and Drug Administration Nonprescription Drugs Advisory Committee. An archeological review of the regulatory history of over-the-counter (OTC) single ingredient acetaminophen: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2002 [Updated 19 Sept 2002; Cited 14 Sept 2015]. Available from: [http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/](http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/02/briefing/3882b1_02_A-History%20of%20OTC%20Single%20Ingredient.pdf1) ac/02/briefi[ng/3882b1_02_A-History%20of%20OTC%](http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/02/briefing/3882b1_02_A-History%20of%20OTC%20Single%20Ingredient.pdf1) [20Single%20Ingredient.pdf1](http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/02/briefing/3882b1_02_A-History%20of%20OTC%20Single%20Ingredient.pdf1).
- 27 Hopkinson JH SM, Bare WW, Levin HM, Posatko RJ. Acetaminophen (500 mg) versus acetaminophen (325 mg) for the relief of pain in episiotomy patients. Curr Ther Res 1974; 16: 194–200.
- 28 U.S. Food and Drug Administration Division of Nonprescription Clinical Evaluation, Chang C. Singleingredient acetaminophen dose–response data in adults: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2009 [Updated 29 June 2009; Cited 14 Sept 2015]. Available from: [http://](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/drugs/drugsafetyandriskmanagementadvisorycommittee/ucm172043.pdf) [www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/drugs/](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/drugs/drugsafetyandriskmanagementadvisorycommittee/ucm172043.pdf) [drugsafetyandriskmanagementadvisorycommittee/](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/drugs/drugsafetyandriskmanagementadvisorycommittee/ucm172043.pdf) [ucm172043.pdf](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/drugs/drugsafetyandriskmanagementadvisorycommittee/ucm172043.pdf).
- 29 McQuay HJ, Edwards JE, Moore RA, Evaluating analgesia: the challenges. Am J Ther 2002; 9: 179–87.
- 30 McQuay HJ, Poppleton P, Carroll D, Summerfield RJ, Bullingham RE, Moore RA. Ketorolac and acetaminophen for orthopedic postoperative pain. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1986; 39: 89–93.
- 31 Seymour RA, Kelly PJ, Hawkesford JE. The efficacy of ketoprofen and paracetamol (acetaminophen) in postoperative pain after third molar surgery. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1996; 41: 581–5.
- 32 Nystrom E, Gustafsson I, Quiding H. The pain intensity at analgesic intake, and the efficacy of diflunisal in single doses and effervescent acetaminophen in single and repeated doses. Pharmacotherapy 1988; 8: 201–9.
- 33 Quiding H, Oikarinen V, Sane J, Sjoblad AM. Analgesic efficacy after single and repeated doses of codeine and acetaminophen. J Clin Pharmacol 1984; 24: 27–34.
- 34 Yuan CS, Karrison T, Wu JA, Lowell TK, Lynch JP, Foss JF. Dose-related effects of oral acetaminophen on cold-induced pain: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1998; 63: 379–83.
- 35 Skoglund LA, Skjelbred P, Fyllingen G. Analgesic efficacy of acetaminophen 1000 mg, acetaminophen 2000 mg, and the combination of acetaminophen 1000 mg and codeine phosphate 60 mg versus placebo in acute postoperative pain. Pharmacotherapy 1991; 11: 364–9.
- 36 Gelotte CK, Auiler JF, Lynch JM, Temple AR, Slattery JT. Disposition of acetaminophen at 4, 6, and 8 g/day for 3 days in healthy young adults. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007; 81: 840–8.
- 37 den Hertog HM, van der Worp HB, van Gemert HM, Algra A, Kappelle LJ, van Gijn J, Koudstaal PJ, Dippel DW. The paracetamol (acetaminophen) in stroke (PAIS) trial: a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. Lancet Neurol 2009; 8: 434–40.
- 38 Watelet J, Laurent V, Bressenot A, Bronowicki JP, Larrey D, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Toxicity of chronic paracetamol ingestion. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007; 26: 1543–4.
- 39 Kuffner EK, Temple AR, Cooper KM, Baggish JS, Parenti DL. Retrospective analysis of transient elevations in alanine aminotransferase during long-term treatment with acetaminophen in osteoarthritis clinical trials. Curr Med Res Opin 2006; 22: 2137–48.
- 40 Williams HJ, Ward JR, Egger MJ, Neuner R, Brooks RH, Clegg DO, Field EH, Skosey JL, Alarcon GS, Willkens RF, Paulus HE, Russell IJ, Sharp JT. Comparison of naproxen and acetaminophen in a two-year study of treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum 1993; 36: 1196–206.
- 41 Miners JO, Osborne NJ, Tonkin AL, Birkett DJ. Perturbation of paracetamol urinary metabolic ratios by urine flow rate. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1992; 34: 359–62.
- 42 McGill MR, Jaeschke H. Metabolism and disposition of acetaminophen: recent advances in relation to hepatotoxicity and diagnosis. Pharm Res 2013; 30: 2174–87.
- 43 Mutlib AE, Goosen TC, Bauman JN, Williams JA, Kulkarni S, Kostrubsky S. Kinetics of acetaminophen glucuronidation by UDP-glucuronosyltransferases 1A1, 1A6, 1A9 and 2B15. Potential implications in acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity. Chem Res Toxicol 2006; 19: 701–9.
- 44 Court MH, Duan SX, von Moltke LL, Greenblatt DJ, Patten CJ, Miners JO, Mackenzie PI. Interindividual variability in acetaminophen glucuronidation by human liver microsomes: identification of relevant acetaminophen UDPglucuronosyltransferase isoforms. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2001; 299: 998–1006.
- 45 Manyike PT, Kharasch ED, Kalhorn TF, Slattery JT. Contribution of CYP2E1 and CYP3A to acetaminophen reactive metabolite formation. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2000; 67: 275–82.
- 46 Aleksunes LM, Goedken M, Manautou JE. Up-regulation of NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 during human liver injury. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 1937–40.
- 47 Lee SM, Cho TS, Kim DJ, Cha YN. Protective effect of ethanol against acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity in mice: role of NADH:quinone reductase. Biochem Pharmacol 1999; 58: 1547–55.
- 48 Moffit JS, Aleksunes LM, Kardas MJ, Slitt AL, Klaassen CD, Manautou JE. Role of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 in clofibrate-mediated hepatoprotection from acetaminophen. Toxicology 2007; 230: 197–206.
- 49 Arnman R, Olsson R. Elimination of paracetamol in chronic liver disease. Acta Hepatogastroenterol 1978; 25: 283–6.
- 50 Andreasen PB, Hutters L. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) clearance in patients with cirrhosis of the liver. Acta Med Scand Suppl 1979; 624: 99–105.
- 51 Forrest JA, Adriaenssens P, Finlayson ND, Prescott LF. Paracetamol metabolism in chronic liver disease. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1979; 15: 427–31.

BJCF

- 53 Jorup-Ronstrom C, Beermann B, Wahlin-Boll E, Melander A, Britton S. Reduction of paracetamol and aspirin metabolism during viral hepatitis. Clin Pharmacokinet 1986; 11: 250–6.
- 54 Tylenol^(R) (acetaminophen) professional product information. McNeil Consumer Healthcare; 2010.
- 55 Critchley JA, Dyson EH, Scott AW, Jarvie DR, Prescott LF. Is there a place for cimetidine or ethanol in the treatment of paracetamol poisoning? Lancet 1983; 1: 1375–6.
- 56 Leung NW, Critchley JA. Increased oxidative metabolism of paracetamol in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett 1991; 57: 45–8.
- 57 Hanley MJ, Abernethy DR, Greenblatt DJ. Effect of obesity on the pharmacokinetics of drugs in humans. Clin Pharmacokinet 2010; 49: 71–87.
- 58 Roberts E, Delgado Nunes V, Buckner S, Latchem S, Constanti M, Miller P, Doherty M, Zhang W, Birrell F, Porcheret M, Dziedzic K, Bernstein I, Wise E, Conaghan PG. Paracetamol: not as safe as we thought? A systematic literature review of observational studies. Ann Rheum Dis 2015. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-206914.
- 59 Slattery JT, Levy G. Acetaminophen kinetics in acutely poisoned patients. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1979; 25: 184–95.
- 60 Mitchell JR, Jollow DJ, Potter WZ, Gillette JR, Brodie BB. Acetaminophen-induced hepatic necrosis. IV. Protective role of glutathione. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1973; 187: 211–7.
- 61 Prescott LF, Park J, Ballantyne A, Adriaenssens P, Proudfoot AT. Treatment of paracetamol (acetaminophen) poisoning with N-acetylcysteine. Lancet 1977; 2: 432–4.
- 62 Watkins PB, Kaplowitz N, Slattery JT, Colonese CR, Colucci SV, Stewart PW, Harris SC. Aminotransferase elevations in healthy adults receiving 4 grams of acetaminophen daily: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2006; 296: 87–93.
- 63 Heard K, Green JL, Anderson V, Bucher-Bartelson B, Dart RC. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial to determine the course of aminotransferase elevation during prolonged acetaminophen administration. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol 2014; 15: 39.
- 64 Yuan Y, Thabane M, Thabane L, Hunt RH. Acetaminophen and aminotransferase elevations. JAMA 2006; 296: 2798.
- 65 Krenzelok EP, Royal MA. Confusion: acetaminophen dosing changes based on no evidence in adults. Drugs R&D 2012; 12: 45–8.
- 66 Heard K, Green JL, Bailey JE, Bogdan GM, Dart RC. A randomized trial to determine the change in alanine aminotransferase during 10 days of paracetamol (acetaminophen) administration in subjects who consume moderate amounts of alcohol. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007; 26: 283–90.
- 67 Vuppalanchi R, Chalasani N. Therapeutic doses of acetaminophen frequently cause elevated aminotransferases in healthy volunteers: is it significant? Gastroenterology 2007; 132: 1624–6.
- 68 Narjes H, Nehmiz G. Effect of hospitalisation on liver enzymes in healthy subjects. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2000; 56: 329–33.
- 69 Prescott LF. Drug conjugation in clinical toxicology. Biochem Soc Trans 1984; 12: 96–9.
- 70 Hendrix-Treacy S, Wallace SM, Hindmarsh KW, Wyant GM, Danilkewich A. The effect of acetaminophen administration on its disposition and body stores of sulphate. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1986; 30: 273–8.
- 71 Bianchi G, Bugianesi E, Ronchi M, Fabbri A, Zoli M, Marchesini G. Glutathione kinetics in normal man and in patients with liver cirrhosis. J Hepatol 1997; 26: 606–13.
- 72 Hammarqvist F, Andersson K, Luo JL, Wernerman J. Free amino acid and glutathione concentrations in muscle during short-term starvation and refeeding. Clin Nutr 2005; 24: 236–43.
- 73 Martensson J. The effect of fasting on leukocyte and plasma glutathione and sulfur amino acid concentrations. Metabolism 1986; 35: 118–21.
- 74 Lamers Y, O'Rourke B, Gilbert LR, Keeling C, Matthews DE, Stacpoole PW, Gregory JF. Vitamin B-6 restriction tends to reduce the red blood cell glutathione synthesis rate without affecting red blood cell or plasma glutathione concentrations in healthy men and women. Am J Clin Nutr 2009; 90: 336–43.
- 75 Choi IY, Lee P, Denney DR, Spaeth K, Nast O, Ptomey L, Roth AK, Lierman JA, Sullivan DK. Dairy intake is associated with brain glutathione concentration in older adults. Am J Clin Nutr 2015; 101: 287–93.
- 76 Lu SC. Glutathione synthesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1830; 2013: 3143–53.
- 77 Owen JB, Butterfield DA. Measurement of oxidized/reduced glutathione ratio. Methods Mol Biol 2010; 648: 269–77.
- 78 Adams JD Jr, Lauterburg BH, Mitchell JR. Plasma glutathione and glutathione disulfide in the rat: regulation and response to oxidative stress. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1983; 227: 749–54.
- 79 Koksal GM, Sayilgan C, Aydin S, Oz H, Uzun H. Correlation of plasma and tissue oxidative stresses in intra-abdominal sepsis. J Surg Res 2004; 122: 180–3.
- 80 Shigesawa T, Sato C, Marumo F. Significance of plasma glutathione determination in patients with alcoholic and nonalcoholic liver disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1992; 7: 7–11.
- 81 Barbaro G, Di Lorenzo G, Ribersani M, Soldini M, Giancaspro G, Bellomo G, Belloni G, Grisorio B, Barbarini G. Serum ferritin and hepatic glutathione concentrations in chronic hepatitis C patients related to the hepatitis C virus genotype. J Hepatol 1999; 30: 774–82.
- 82 Seifert CF, Anderson DC. Acetaminophen usage patterns and concentrations of glutathione and gamma-glutamyl

transferase in alcoholic subjects. Pharmacotherapy 2007; 27: 1473–82.

- 83 Burgunder JM, Lauterburg BH. Decreased production of glutathione in patients with cirrhosis. Eur J Clin Invest 1987; 17: 408–14.
- 84 Altomare E, Vendemiale G, Albano O. Hepatic glutathione content in patients with alcoholic and non alcoholic liver diseases. Life Sci 1988; 43: 991–8.
- 85 Bernhard MC, Junker E, Hettinger A, Lauterburg BH. Time course of total cysteine, glutathione and homocysteine in plasma of patients with chronic hepatitis C treated with interferon-alpha with and without supplementation with N-acetylcysteine. J Hepatol 1998; 28: 751–5.
- 86 Van de Casteele M, Zaman Z, Zeegers M, Servaes R, Fevery J, Nevens F. Blood antioxidant levels in patients with alcoholic liver disease correlate with the degree of liver impairment and are not specific to alcoholic liver injury itself. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2002; 16: 985–92.
- 87 Saricam T, Kircali B, Koken T. Assessment of lipid peroxidation and antioxidant capacity in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Turk J Gastroenterol 2005; 16: 65–70.
- 88 Cemek M, Dede S, Bayiroglu F, Caksen H, Cemek F, Mert N. Relationship between antioxidant capacity and oxidative stress in children with acute hepatitis A. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 6212–5.
- 89 Czeczot H, Scibior D, Skrzycki M, Podsiad M. Glutathione and GSH-dependent enzymes in patients with liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Acta Biochim Pol 2006; 53: 237–42.
- 90 Kuffner EK, Green JL, Bogdan GM, Knox PC, Palmer RB, Heard K, Slattery JT, Dart RC. The effect of acetaminophen (four grams a day for three consecutive days) on hepatic tests in alcoholic patients - a multicenter randomized study. BMC Med 2007; 5: 13.
- 91 Lee KT, Tsai SM, Wang SN, Lin SK, Wu SH, Chuang SC, Wu SH, Ma H, Tsai LY. Glutathione status in the blood and tissues of patients with virus-originated hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Biochem 2007; 40: 1157–62.
- 92 Das KS, Balakrishnan V, Mukherjee S, Vasudevan DM. Evaluation of blood oxidative stress-related parameters in alcoholic liver disease and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 2008; 68: 323–34.
- 93 Narasimhan S, Gokulakrishnan K, Sampathkumar R, Farooq S, Ravikumar R, Mohan V, Balasubramanyam M. Oxidative stress is independently associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in subjects with and without type 2 diabetes. Clin Biochem 2010; 43: 815–21.
- 94 Kaffe ET, Rigopoulou EI, Koukoulis GK, Dalekos GN, Moulas AN. Oxidative stress and antioxidant status in patients with autoimmune liver diseases. Redox Rep 2015; 20: 33–41.
- 95 Manchanda A, Cameron C, Robinson G. Beware of paracetamol use in alcohol abusers: a potential cause of acute liver injury. N Z Med J 2013; 126: 80–4.
- 96 Teixeira J, Mota T, Fernandes JC. Nutritional evaluation of alcoholic inpatients admitted for alcohol detoxification. Alcohol Alcohol 2011; 46: 558–60.
- 97 Oneta CM, Lieber CS, Li J, Ruttimann S, Schmid B, Lattmann J, Rosman AS, Seitz HK. Dynamics of cytochrome P4502E1 activity in man: induction by ethanol and disappearance during withdrawal phase. J Hepatol 2002; 36: 47–52.
- 98 Altomare E, Leo MA, Sato C, Vendemiale G, Lieber CS. Interaction of ethanol with acetaminophen metabolism in the baboon. Biochem Pharmacol 1984; 33: 2207–12.
- 99 Sato C, Lieber CS. Mechanism of the preventive effect of ethanol on acetaminophen-induced hepatoxicity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1981; 218: 811–5.
- 100 Banda PW, Quart BD. The effect of mild alcohol consumption on the metabolism of acetaminophen in man. Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol 1982; 38: 57–70.
- 101 Wong LT, Whitehouse LW, Solomonraj G, Paul CJ. Effect of a concomitant single dose of ethanol on the hepatotoxicity and metabolism of acetaminophen in mice. Toxicology 1980; 17: 297–309.
- 102 Tredger JM, Smith HM, Read RB, Portmann B, Williams R. Effects of ethanol ingestion on the hepatotoxicity and metabolism of paracetamol in mice. Toxicology 1985; 36: 341–52.
- 103 Altomare E, Leo MA, Lieber CS. Interaction of acute ethanol administration with acetaminophen metabolism and toxicity in rats fed alcohol chronically. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1984; 8: 405–8.
- 104 Waring WS, Stephen AF, Malkowska AM, Robinson OD. Acute ethanol coingestion confers a lower risk of hepatotoxicity after deliberate acetaminophen overdose. Acad Emerg Med 2008; 15: 54–8.
- 105 Dupont I, Berthou F, Bodenez P, Bardou L, Guirriec C, Stephan N, Dreano Y, Lucas D. Involvement of cytochromes P-450 2E1 and 3A4 in the 5-hydroxylation of salicylate in humans. Drug Metab Dispos 1999; 27: 322–6.
- 106 Thummel KE, Slattery JT, Ro H, Chien JY, Nelson SD, Lown KE, Watkins PB. Ethanol and production of the hepatotoxic metabolite of acetaminophen in healthy adults. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2000; 67: 591–9.
- 107 Skinner MH, Matano R, Hazle W, Blaschke TF. Acetaminophen metabolism in recovering alcoholics. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol 1990; 12: 513–5.
- 108 Dart RC, Green JL, Kuffner EK, Heard K, Sproule B, Brands B. The effects of paracetamol (acetaminophen) on hepatic tests in patients who chronically abuse alcohol - a randomized study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2010; 32: 478–86.
- 109 Kuffner EK, Dart RC, Bogdan GM, Hill RE, Casper E, Darton L. Effect of maximal daily doses of acetaminophen on the liver of alcoholic patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Arch Intern Med 2001; 161: 2247–52.
- 110 Bartels S, Sivilotti M, Crosby D, Richard J. Are recommended doses of acetaminophen hepatotoxic for recently abstinent alcoholics? A randomized trial. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2008; 46: 243–9.
- 111 Rumack B, Heard K, Green J, Albert D, Bucher-Bartelson B, Bodmer M, Sivilotti ML, Dart RC. Effect of therapeutic doses of acetaminophen (up to 4 g/day) on serum alanine aminotransferase levels in subjects consuming ethanol:

BJCF

systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Pharmacotherapy 2012; 32: 784–91.

- 112 Chandok N, Watt KD. Pain management in the cirrhotic patient: the clinical challenge. Mayo Clin Proc 2010; 85: 451–8.
- 113 Dargère S, Collet T, Crampon D, Galais MP, Cohen D, Ollivier I, Fatome A, Lefilliatre P. Lack of toxicity of acetaminophen in patients with chronic hepatitis C: a randomized controlled trial. Gastroenterology 2000; 118: A947.
- 114 Khalid SK, Lane J, Navarro V, Garcia-Tsao G. Use of overthe-counter analgesics is not associated with acute decompensation in patients with cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 7: 994–9.
- 115 Fenkel JM, Coron RN, Daskalakis C, Vega M, Rossi S, Herrine SK, Navarro VJ. Over-the-counter analgesics in cirrhotic patients: a case–control study examining the risk of hospitalization for liver-associated events. Scand J Gastroenterol 2010; 45: 1101–9.
- 116 Neuberger J, Davis M, Williams R. Long-term ingestion of paracetamol and liver disease. J R Soc Med 1980; 73: 701–7.
- 117 Yaghi C, Honein K, Boujaoude J, Slim R, Moucari R, Sayegh R. Influence of acetaminophen at therapeutic doses on surrogate markers of severity of acute viral hepatitis. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2006; 30: 763–8.
- 118 Hardwick RN, Fisher CD, Canet MJ, Lake AD, Cherrington NJ. Diversity in antioxidant response enzymes in progressive stages of human nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Drug Metab Dispos 2010; 38: 2293–301.
- 119 Michaut A, Moreau C, Robin MA, Fromenty B. Acetaminophen-induced liver injury in obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Liver Int 2014; 34: 171–9.
- 120 Nguyen GC, Sam J, Thuluvath PJ. Hepatitis C is a predictor of acute liver injury among hospitalizations for acetaminophen overdose in the United States: a nationwide analysis. Hepatology 2008; 48: 1336–41.
- 121 Rutherford A, Davern T, Hay JE, Murray NG, Hassanein T, Lee WM, Chung RT, Acute Liver Failure Study Group. Influence of high body mass index on outcome in acute liver failure. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006; 4: 1544–9.
- 122 Radosevich JJ, Patanwala AE, Erstad BL. Hepatotoxicity in obese versus nonobese patients with acetaminophen poisoning who are treated with intravenous n-acetylcysteine. Am J Ther 2013. doi:10.1097.mjt.0000434043.62372.00.
- 123 George J, Murray M, Byth K, Farrell GC. Differential alterations of cytochrome P450 proteins in livers from patients with severe chronic liver disease. Hepatology 1995; 21: 120–8.
- 124 Hardwick RN, Ferreira DW, More VR, Lake AD, Lu Z, Manautou JE, Slitt AL, Cherrington NJ. Altered UDPglucuronosyltransferase and sulfotransferase expression and function during progressive stages of human nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Drug Metab Dispos 2013; 41: 554–61.
- 125 Kirby GM, Batist G, Alpert L, Lamoureux E, Cameron RG, Alaoui-Jamali MA. Overexpression of cytochrome P-450 isoforms involved in aflatoxin B1 bioactivation in human liver with cirrhosis and hepatitis. Toxicol Pathol 1996; 24: 458–67.
- 126 Bechtel YC, Haffen E, Lelouet H, Brientini MP, Paintaud G, Miguet JP, Bechtel PR. Relationship between the severity of alcoholic liver cirrhosis and the metabolism of caffeine in 226 patients. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2000; 38: 467–75.
- 127 Lelouet H, Bechtel YC, Paintaud G, Brientini MP, Miguet JP, Bechtel PR. Caffeine metabolism in a group of 67 patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2001; 39: 25–32.
- 128 Fisher CD, Lickteig AJ, Augustine LM, Ranger-Moore J, Jackson JP, Ferguson SS, Cherrington NJ. Hepatic cytochrome P450 enzyme alterations in humans with progressive stages of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Drug Metab Dispos 2009; 37: 2087–94.
- 129 Frye RF, Zgheib NK, Matzke GR, Chaves-Gnecco D, Rabinovitz M, Shaikh OS, Branch RA. Liver disease selectively modulates cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006; 80: 235–45.
- 130 Zand R, Nelson SD, Slattery JT, Thummel KE, Kalhorn TF, Adams SP, Wright JM. Inhibition and induction of cytochrome P4502E1-catalyzed oxidation by isoniazid in humans. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1993; 54: 142–9.
- 131 Epstein MM, Nelson SD, Slattery JT, Kalhorn TF, Wall RA, Wright JM. Inhibition of the metabolism of paracetamol by isoniazid. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1991; 31: 139–42.
- 132 Toes MJ, Jones AL, Prescott L. Drug interactions with paracetamol. Am J Ther 2005; 12: 56–66.
- 133 Anderson GD. A mechanistic approach to antiepileptic drug interactions. Ann Pharmacother 1998; 32: 554–63.
- 134 Ofirmev^(R) (acetaminophen) Injection product information. Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals; 2014.
- 135 Miners JO, Penhall R, Robson RA, Birkett DJ. Comparison of paracetamol metabolism in young adult and elderly males. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1988; 35: 157–60.
- 136 Wynne HA, Cope LH, Herd B, Rawlins MD, James OF, Woodhouse KW. The association of age and frailty with paracetamol conjugation in man. Age Ageing 1990; 19: 419–24.
- 137 Bannwarth B, Pehourcq F, Lagrange F, Matoga M, Maury S, Palisson M, Le Bars M. Single and multiple dose pharmacokinetics of acetaminophen (paracetamol) in polymedicated very old patients with rheumatic pain. J Rheumatol 2001; 28: 182–4.
- 138 Liukas A, Kuusniemi K, Aantaa R, Virolainen P, Niemi M, Neuvonen PJ, Olkkola KT. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous paracetamol in elderly patients. Clin Pharmacokinet 2011; 50: 121–9.
- 139 Barshop NJ, Capparelli EV, Sirlin CB, Schwimmer JB, Lavine JE. Acetaminophen pharmacokinetics in children with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2011; 52: 198–202.
- 140 Williams CM, Maher CG, Latimer J, McLachlan AJ, Hancock MJ, Day RO, Lin CW. Efficacy of paracetamol for acute lowback pain: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2014; 384: 1586–96.