



Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2016 March 1; 55(10): 3398–3402. doi:10.1002/anie.201510972.

Synthesis of Enantioenriched Indolines by a Conjugate Addition/ Asymmetric Protonation/Aza-Prins Cascade Reaction

Blake E. Daniels[‡], Jane Ni[‡], and Sarah E. Reisman^{*}

The Warren and Katharine Schlinger Laboratory of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering,
Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA
91125 (USA)

Abstract

A conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation/aza-Prins cascade reaction has been developed for the enantioselective synthesis of fused polycyclic indolines. A catalyst system generated from ZrCl₄ and 3,3'-dibromo-BINOL enables the synthesis of a range of polycyclic indolines in good yields and high enantioselectivity. A key finding is the use of TMSCl and 2,6-dibromophenol as a stoichiometric source of HCl to facilitate catalyst turnover. This transformation is the first in which a ZrCl₄•BINOL complex serves as a chiral Lewis acid-assisted Brønsted acid.

Keywords

Lewis acid-assisted Brønsted acid; catalysis; cascade; indoline; enantioselective

Cascade reactions, in which a single substrate undergoes a series of chemical transformations, provide rapid access to molecular complexity.¹ Many beautiful examples of cascade reactions have emerged from total synthesis endeavors, both in the context of biomimetic and de novo synthetic strategies.² More recently, attention has turned to developing cascade reactions involving asymmetric catalysis, which can convert simple, achiral starting materials to complex products with control over both the absolute and relative stereochemistry.³ These transformations powerfully merge the attributes of asymmetric catalysis and cascade reactions for the synthesis of stereochemically rich small molecules.

In 2010, we reported a new method to prepare enantioenriched pyrroloindolines (**5**) from C3-substituted indoles (**1**) and 2-amidoacrylates (**2**) using SnCl₄ and catalytic (*R*)-BINOL (**L1**) (Scheme 1, a).^{4,5} Mechanistic investigations suggest that this reaction proceeds by a reversible conjugate addition of **1** to **2**, followed by a highly selective, catalyst-controlled protonation of enolate **3**, in which a SnCl₄•**L1** complex serves as a chiral Lewis acid-assisted Brønsted acid (LBA).⁶ Subsequent studies in our group determined that persistent indolinium ion **4** can be trapped with hydride to provide direct access to the corresponding indoline **6**.⁷ Recognizing that iminium ions such as **4** can serve as electrophiles for C–C

^{*}reisman@caltech.edu, Homepage: <http://reismangroup.caltech.edu>.

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this research.

bond formation, we sought to develop an enantioselective cascade reaction that would terminate with an aza-Prins cyclization.^{8,9} Here we report the successful realization of this concept, which has resulted in a direct synthesis of complex polycyclic indolines in a single step from simple indole derivatives (Scheme 1, **b**).

We began our studies with 3-(but-3-en-1-yl)-1-methylindole (**7a**), a substrate in which the pendant alkene is poised to undergo intramolecular attack to form a six-membered ring (Table 1). Exposure of a mixture of indole **7a** and amidoacrylate **2a** to SnCl₄ (1.2 equiv) and (*R*)-BINOL (**L1**, 20 mol %) – the standard conditions developed for the previously reported pyrroloindoline formation⁴ – provided hexahydrocarbazole **8** in low yield but with promising levels of diastereo- and enantioselection (Table 1, entry 1). Presumably **8** arises from a conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation/aza-Prins cyclization cascade, with chloride trapping of the resulting carbocation. A screen of additional Lewis acids revealed that ZrCl₄ provides slightly higher yield of **8**, although the major diastereomer (**8a**) was produced with lower ee. Notably, Zr(O^tBu)₄ and related metal alkoxides failed to catalyze the reaction.¹⁰ A further survey of alternate chiral diols in combination with ZrCl₄ determined that (*R*)-3,3'-dibromo-BINOL (**L3**) delivers hexahydrocarbazole **8** in 40% yield and 7:1 dr; **8a** was formed with 76% ee (entry 7).

We hypothesized that the modest yields of **8** might result from poor catalyst turnover due to incorporation of chloride into the product. As illustrated by the simplified catalytic cycle in Figure 1 (conjugate addition step is not shown), upon protonation of Zr-enolate **12** with ZrCl₄·**L3**, indolinium ion **13** and complex **11** are formed. In order to regenerate the active catalyst, ZrCl₄·**L3**, an equivalent of HCl must be added to **11**. Since chloride from the catalyst is captured in the aza-Prins step, we suspected that this might inhibit the regeneration of ZrCl₄·**L3**. Guided by the pioneering studies of Yamamoto and co-workers on the chiral LBA-catalyzed protonation of silyl enol ethers,^{6a,b} we hypothesized that the combination of a silyl chloride and achiral phenol could serve as our stoichiometric source of “HCl”. In order to maintain high enantio- and diastereoselectivity in the reaction, it would be necessary to identify a phenol that would not promote a non-selective, background protonation of the enolate.

To test this hypothesis, a variety of phenols and silyl chlorides were investigated for their ability to improve the yield and selectivity of the reaction. The addition of simple, achiral phenols – in the absence of silyl chloride – improved the yields in some cases, but did not increase the enantioselectivity of the transformation (Table 2, entry 3). However, we were pleased to find that the combination of 2,6-disubstituted phenols and TMSCl improved both the yield and enantioselectivity of the reaction (entry 4). In general, 2,6-dihalogenated phenols provided the highest combination of yield and selectivity. For *N*-methyl substrate **7a**, the most synthetically useful results were obtained with 2,6-dichloro-4-methylphenol, which provided **8a** in 84% yield and 87% ee (major diastereomer, entry 6). However, for substrates with slightly larger protecting groups on the indole nitrogen, superior results were obtained with 2,6-dibromophenol (entries 8–11). For example, with *N*-Bn substrate **7c**, hexahydrocarbazole **8c** is obtained in 82% yield, 5:1 dr and 86% ee (major diastereomer) when 2,6-dibromophenol is used as the stoichiometric proton source (entry 11). To the best

of our knowledge, this is the first example of a chiral diol-ZrCl₄ complex acting as a chiral Lewis acid-assisted Brønsted acid catalyst for a highly enantioselective reaction.¹⁰

A series of 3-(but-3-en-1-yl)-indoles were prepared and evaluated in the conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation/aza-Prins cascade reaction (Table 3). This transformation tolerates a range of indole substitution, including substituents at C4, C5, C6, and C7. Although the reaction is compatible with halogenated indoles (e.g. **8i**), substrates bearing more electron-withdrawing functional groups are less reactive, providing products in lower yield.¹¹

Substrates in which the pendant alkene is linked through the indole nitrogen also undergo the cascade reaction (Table 4). Notably, a substrate bearing a tethered aryl nucleophile at C3 undergoes cyclization exclusively by the alkene; no product resulting from attack of the arene at the iminium ion can be detected.

The stereochemical assignments of **8c** and **10b** were confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction.¹² Treatment of diastereomerically pure **8c** with DBU in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature results in equilibration to a 1.9:1 mixture of diastereomers **8c** and *ent*-**8c'** (Figure 2). Analysis by SFC using a chiral stationary phase confirmed that diastereomer *ent*-**8c'**, resulting from epimerization, is the enantiomer of the minor diastereomer produced directly in the conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation/aza-Prins cyclization. This finding is consistent with the catalyst-controlled protonation mechanism proposed in Figure 1.

To probe the reversibility of the initial conjugate addition step, deuterium labelled acrylate *Z*-**2a-d** was prepared. The reaction between **7c** and *Z*-**2a-d** was conducted under standard conditions, and stopped after 30 minutes. The recovered acrylate was a 1:1 mixture of *Z*- and *E*-isomers. A control experiment determined that *Z*-**2a-d** does not isomerize in the absence of indole under otherwise identical conditions. These findings support a mechanism involving a reversible conjugate addition, which enables the isomerization of *Z*-**2a-d** via rotation around the single C–C bond of intermediate **16**.

It is also interesting to note the divergence in the stereochemical outcome of the aza-Prins cyclizations of the C3- and *N*-linked substrates (**8** and **10**, respectively). For polycyclic indoline **10**, the configuration at the chlorine-bearing carbon results from equatorial trapping of the carbocation **18**, and is consistent with a process that is driven by orbital alignment.^{13,14} In contrast, the configuration at the chlorine-bearing carbon of **8** results from cyclization through an anti-periplanar arrangement between the alkene and iminium ion (**19**), followed by *axial* trapping of **20** by chloride. This diastereoselection is opposite to that observed by Hanessian and coworkers in their studies of aza-Prins reactions of structurally similar *N*-acyloxy iminium ions.¹⁴ However, Rychnovsky and coworkers have reported that TMSBr-mediated oxonia-Prins reactions of α -bromoethers result in axial trapping.¹⁵ In the present case, the origin of the axial-selective trapping is unclear.¹⁶

In conclusion, a conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation/aza-Prins cyclization reaction to prepare enantioenriched indolines has been developed. This reaction provides direct access to functionalized heterocyclic products in a single step from simple indole derivatives, and is the first example of a transformation in which a ZrCl₄•BINOL complex serves as a chiral

LBA. This transformation demonstrates the utility of asymmetric cascade catalysis for rapidly generating molecules of complexity.

Experimental Section

General procedure for the conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation/Prins cyclization cascade: To a flame-dried flask were added indole (0.20 mmol, 1.00 equiv), acrylate (0.24 mmol, 1.20 equiv), (*R*)-3,3'-dibromo-BINOL (0.04 mmol, 0.20 equiv), and 2,6-dibromophenol (0.20 mmol, 1.00 equiv) under an N₂ atmosphere. The flask was charged with CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL), followed by addition of TMSCl (0.2 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and ZrCl₄ (0.32 mmol, 1.60 equiv unless specifically indicated). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction was quenched by diluting with 1 mL MeCN and 1 mL 1 M HCl, followed by addition of 5 mL H₂O. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 5 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO_{3(aq)} (10 mL). The aqueous layer was back extracted with EtOAc (10 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Brian Stoltz, Dr. Scott Virgil, and the Caltech Center for Catalysis and Chemical Synthesis for access to analytical equipment. Victor W. Mak is gratefully acknowledged for checking of the experimental procedure. We also thank Dr. Michael Takase and Larry Henling for assistance with X-ray structure analysis. NMR spectra were obtained on a spectrometer funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (RR027690). J.N. is grateful for a pre-doctoral fellowship from NSERC. S.E.R. is an American Cancer Society Research Scholar. Financial support from the NIH (NIGMS RGM097582A) and the donors of the American Chemical Society PRF is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Tietze LF. *Chem. Rev.* 1996; 96:115. [PubMed: 11848746]
2. (a) Grondal C, Jeanty M, Enders D. *Nat. Chem.* 2010; 2:167. [PubMed: 21124474] (b) Nicolaou KC, Edmonds DJ, Bulger PG. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:7136.
3. (a) Volla CMR, Atodiressei I, Rueping M. *Chem. Rev.* 2014; 114:2390. [PubMed: 24304297] (b) Wasilke J-C, Obrey SJ, Baker RT, Bazan GC. *Chem. Rev.* 2005; 105:1001–1020. [PubMed: 15755083] (c) Fogg DE, Dos Santos EN. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004; 248:2365.
4. Repka LM, Ni J, Reisman SE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:14418. [PubMed: 20873714]
5. For a review of catalytic asymmetric dearomatization reactions, including indole dearomatization, see: Zhou C-X, Zhang W, You S-L. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:12662.
6. Seminal reports: Nakamura S, Kaneeda M, Ishihara K, Yamamoto H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000; 122:8120. Ishihara K, Nakamura S, Kaneeda M, Yamamoto H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:12854. Ishihara K, Kaneeda M, Yamamoto H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:11179. For a review, see: Yamamoto H, Futatsugi K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2005; 44:1924.
7. Ni J, Wang, H H, Reisman SE. *Tetrahedron.* 2013; 69:5622. [PubMed: 24039305]

8. (a) Kijkink J, Speckamp WN. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975:4047.(b) Schoemaker HE, Speckamp WN. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1978:1515.(c) Evans DA, Thomas EW. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979; 20:411.(e) Demailly G, Solladie G. *J. Org. Chem.* 1981:3102.
9.
For selected examples of cascade reactions involving aza-Prins cyclizations, see: Chio FKI, Guesné SJJ, Hassall L, McGuire T, Dobbs AP. *J. Org. Chem.* 2015; 80:9868. [PubMed: 26375043] Sun Y, Chen P, Zhang D, Baunach M, Hertweck C, Li A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014; 53:9012. Altman RA, Nilsson BL, Overman LE, de Alaniz JR, Rohde JM, Taupin V. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:7519. [PubMed: 20958075] Knowles RR, Lin S, Jacobsen EN. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:5030. [PubMed: 20369901] Yadav JS, Borkar P, Chakravarthi P, Reddy BVS, Sarma AVS, Basha SJ, Sridhar B, Grée R. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:2081. [PubMed: 20192165] Frank KE, Aubé J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:7239. Ruggeri RRB, Hansen MM, Heathcock CH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988; 110:8734. Dijkink J, Speckamp WN. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977; 18:935.
10.
Highly enantioselective reactions catalyzed by Lewis-acidic Zr-BINOLate complexes have been reported; for a review, see: Yamasaki S, Kanai M, Shibasaki M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2001; 7:4066. [PubMed: 11686584]
11.
For example, 3-(but-3-en-1-yl)-1-methyl-5-trifluoromethylindole provided less than 5% yield of the cascade product under standard conditions.
12.
See Supporting Information.
13. Alder RW, Harvey JN, Oakley MT. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:4960. [PubMed: 11982351]
14. (a) Hanessian S, Tremblay M, Marzi M, Del Valle JR. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:5070. [PubMed: 15960507] (b) Hanessian S, Tremblay M, Petersen JFW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:6064. [PubMed: 15137772]
15. (a) Jasti R, Anderson CD, Rychnovsky SD, D S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:9939. [PubMed: 15998101] (b) Jasti R, Vitale J, Rychnovsky SD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:9904. [PubMed: 15303848]
16.
It is possible that in the cyclization of **8**, the chloride trapping is directed by the pendant amide through coordination to zirconium; however, this requires a transition structure with an unusually large ring size.




Figure 1.
 Proposed catalytic cycle (conjugate addition is omitted for simplicity).





Figure 2. Mechanistic investigations of the conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation/aza-Prins cyclization. Standard conditions: **7c** (1.0 equiv), *Z*-**2a-d** (1.0 equiv), (*R*)-3,3'-dibromo-BINOL (20 mol %), ZrCl_4 (1.6 equiv), 2,6-dibromophenol (1.0 equiv), TMSCl (1.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 23 °C.




Scheme 1.

Tandem conjugate addition/asymmetric protonation reactions of indoles.

Table 1

Reaction optimization: Lewis Acid and chiral diol screen.^[a]



Entry	Lewis Acid	Diol	Yield 8 (%)[b]	dr	ee 8a (%)[c]
1	SnCl ₄	(R)-BINOL (L1)	19	10:1	88
2	TiCl ₄	(R)-BINOL	27	6:1	0
3	SbCl ₅	(R)-BINOL	0	--	--
4	ZrCl ₄	(R)-BINOL	30	9:1	40
5	Zr(O ^t Bu) ₄	(R)-BINOL	0	--	--
6	ZrCl ₄	(R)-6,6'-dibromo-BINOL (L2)	38	9:1	30
7	ZrCl ₄	(R)-3,3'-dibromo-BINOL (L3)	40	7:1	76
8	ZrCl ₄	(S)-VANOL (L4)	33	6:1	74
9	ZrCl ₄	(4R, 5R)-Ph-TADDOL (L5)	37	>10:1	66


^[a]Reactions conducted with 0.20 mmol 7a and 0.24 mmol 2a.

^[b]Combined isolated yield of two diastereomers.

^[c]The ee of the major diastereomer was determined by SFC using a chiral stationary phase.

Table 2

Reaction optimization: achiral phenol and silyl chloride screen.^[a]



Entry	R	Phenol	Silyl Chloride	Yield (%) ^[b]	ee 8 (%) ^[c]
1	Me	–	–	30	40
2	Me	phenol	–	33	35
3	Me	2,6-dimethylphenol	–	66	19
4	Me	2,6-dimethylphenol	TMSCI	72	50
5	Me	2,6-dimethylphenol	TBSCI	68	44
6	Me	2,6-dichloro-4-methylphenol	TMSCI	84	87
7	Me	2,6-dibromophenol	TMSCI	56	86
8	Allyl	2,6-dichloro-4-methylphenol	TMSCI	75	86
9	Allyl	2,6-dibromophenol	TMSCI	70	90
10	Bn	2,6-dichloro-4-methylphenol	TMSCI	74	85
11	Bn	2,6-dibromophenol	TMSCI	82 ^[d]	86


^[a]Reactions conducted with 0.20 mmol **7a** and 0.24 mmol **2a**.

^[b]Combined isolated yield of two diastereomers.

^[c]The ee of major diastereomer was determined by SFC using chiral stationary phase.

^[d]Product formed as 5:1 mixture of **8c**:**8c'**.

Table 3

Substrate scope of 3-(but-3-en-1-yl)-indoles.^{[a],[b]}^[a] Reactions conducted with 0.20 mmol **7** and 0.24 mmol **2a**.^[b] Combined isolated yield of two diastereomers. The dr was determined by integration of the α -amino ester peaks in the 1H NMR spectrum of the unpurified reaction mixture. The ee of the major diastereomer is reported, and was determined by SFC using a chiral stationary phase.

[c] 2,6-dichloro-4-methylphenol was used instead of 2,6-dibromophenol.

[d] 1.1 equiv of $ZrCl_4$ was used.


Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 4

Substrate scope of 1-(but-3-en-1-yl)-indoles.^{[a],[b]}^[a] Reactions conducted with 0.20 mmol **9** and 0.24 mmol **2a**.^[b] Combined isolated yield of two diastereomers. The dr was determined by integration of the α -amino ester peaks in the 1H NMR spectrum of the unpurified reaction mixture. The ee of major diastereomer is reported, and was determined by SFC using chiral stationary phase.