



Published in final edited form as:

Eat Behav. 2016 April ; 21: 228–231. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2016.03.010.

Examining weight concern and delay discounting in adolescent females

Sneha Thamocharan, Krista Lange, Ashley Ramos, and Sherece Fields*

Health Behavior Research Group, Department of Psychology, Texas A&M University

Abstract

Objective—Pediatric obesity is a growing public health concern that contributes to high rates of negative long-term physical and mental health outcomes. Research focused on identifying risk for pediatric obesity has linked delay discounting, or an inclination for immediate rewards, as well as weight concern to individuals with greater Body Mass Index (BMI). The current study seeks to fill a void in the literature by examining how these two variables interact to promote higher BMI in female adolescents.

Method—Adolescent ($n = 60$) females between the ages of 13–19 years ($m_{age} = 17.45$, $S.D. = 1.74$) of age completed the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) and the Delay Discounting Questionnaire.

Results—A mediation model examined whether delay discounting accounted for the relationship between weight concern and BMI. Results indicate that in the current study weight concern was negatively related to delay discounting and delay discounting was negatively related to BMI. The overall model revealed that a partial mediation occurred [$b = 1.28$, $t(60) = 4.92$, $p < 0.01$].

Discussion—These results suggest that while impulsivity is an important factor to consider, other constructs may also be influential in how weight concerns contribute to greater BMI. Nevertheless, the results indicate that prevention and interventions should identify females with high levels of both weight concern and impulsivity as an increased risk for experiencing pediatric obesity and long-term negative health outcomes.

Keywords

weight concern; delay discounting; body mass index; females; adolescents

*Corresponding Author: Sherece Fields, PhD, Health Behavior Research Group, Department of Psychology, MS4235, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, U.S.A., Phone: 979-845-6053, Fax: 979-845-4727, safields@tamu.edu.

Authors' Disclosure

Sherece Fields, Sneha Thamocharan and Krista Lange conceived the study, interpreted the data, and participated in statistical analyses and drafting the manuscript; Krista Lange assisted in conducting the statistical analyses. Krista Lange, Ashley Ramos and Sneha Thamocharan participated in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Rates of adolescent obesity have quadrupled in the United States over the past three decades, increasing from 5% to 21% from 1980 to 2012 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2014a). Pediatric obesity is defined as excess body fat that places youth at risk for both short term and long term mental and physical consequences; which includes acute risk for cardiovascular disease, pre-diabetes and bone/joint problems (CDC, 2014a). Of further concern, 70% of obese youth will remain obese into adulthood, thus increasing their risk for long-term health problems including heart disease, type 2 diabetes, strokes, several cancers, and osteoarthritis (Dehghan, Akhtar-Danesh, & Merchant, 2005; CDC, 2014a). In addition, due to bullying, stigmatization, and discrimination obese adolescents are also at risk for experiencing social and psychological problems, such as low academic achievement, low self-esteem, and depression (Dietz, 1998; Swartz & Puhl, 2003; Vaczy, Seaman, Peterson-Sweeney, & Hondorf, 2011; Whitlock, Williams Miller, & Reynolds, 2005).

Due to the detrimental mental and physical health implications, research has focused on the epidemiology of pediatric obesity in order to improve prevention efforts. One risk factor that has been consistently linked to adolescent obesity is delay discounting. Delay discounting describes the extent to which an individual discounts the value of an outcome because of a delay to its occurrence. Delay discounting is considered a form of impulsive behavior and is associated with a number of forms of addiction (Bickel & Marsch, 2001; Reynolds, 2006a). One means of assessing delay discounting is by having participants choose between immediate versus delayed monetary rewards; with individuals choosing more immediate outcomes being deemed more impulsive (Reynolds, Penfold, & Patak, 2008). In the context of eating behaviors, adolescents with higher discounting rates are thought to fail to consider the probable negative long-term consequences of unhealthy dietary behaviors (*see* Thamotharan, Lange, Zale, Huffhines, & Fields, 2013 for a review). Indeed, greater discounting in youth has been shown to be associated with higher BMIs, especially in adolescents.

Another risk factor that has been examined within the context of pediatric obesity is weight concern, defined in the current paper as preoccupation and dissatisfaction with one's weight. Overweight and obese youth have been shown to endorse greater weight concern compared to healthy weight peers (Calzo et al., 2012). And this relationship was further evidenced by a study revealing weight concern as a mediator in the relationship between BMI and a number of adverse mental health comorbidities including low self-esteem, body dissatisfaction, and depression (Allen, Byrne, Blair, & Davis, 2006).

The present study extends the examination of delay discounting and weight concern related to BMI in a sample of female adolescents. Only adolescent females were included in the current study, as women have been shown to have greater weight concern, especially when overweight or obese (Wardle & Johnson, 2002). The authors explore the association between weight concern and BMI, specifically if impulsivity mediates the relationship between weight concern and BMI. To the best of our knowledge, no research has investigated this subject. To this end, we examine delay discounting and weight concern as correlates of BMI in adolescent females. Provided past findings suggesting women with greater weight

concern report a higher likelihood of engaging in dieting behaviors, we hypothesize that impulsivity will mediate the relationship between weight concern and BMI such that higher weight concern will lead to higher impulsive behavior and greater BMI.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Participants consisted of female adolescents ($n = 60$), between the ages 13–19 years ($m_{age} = 17.45$, $S.D. = 1.74$) who received either (1) monetary compensation between \$25–\$35, with the specific amount earned dependent on task performance ($n = 40$) or (2) course credit for their participation ($n = 20$). Participants were excluded if they were not between the ages of 13–19 or were taking ADHD medication. The exclusion criteria were imposed because the present study was focused on adolescents and the medications used in the treatment of ADHD have been shown to reduce impulsive behavior as measured by the behavioral assessments included in the study (Tannock et al., 1989). Participants were recruited using fliers distributed throughout the community and through undergraduate psychology courses. Interested persons voluntarily called the study hotline and were provided with a brief description of the study and screened for inclusion. Participants meeting inclusion criteria were invited to the laboratory where they were consented using documents approved by the Institutional Review Board, and participated in the testing session. Participants under the age of seventeen completed approved assent forms and parents signed consent.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Weight Concern—Weight concern was assessed using the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q; Fairburn, Cooper, & O'Connor, 2008). Weight concern questions queried “importance of weight,” “reaction to prescribed weighing,” “preoccupation with shape or weight,” “dissatisfaction with weight,” and “desire to lose weight.” The scoring system consists of a 7-point Likert type scale, with 0 indicating no days and 6 indicating everyday in the 28-day period. Greater cumulative scores reflected more weight concern. Alpha for the total score in the current data set was acceptable ($\alpha = 0.78$).

2.2.2. Delay Discounting—(DDQ; Madden, Petry, Badger, & Bickel, 1997; Richards, Zhang, Mitchell, & de Wit, 1999). The delay discounting questionnaire requires individuals to decide between delayed or immediate monies. The computerized task presents participants with choices between \$10 available after a specified delay (1,2,30,180, or 365 days) and a smaller amount available immediately (i.e., ‘would you rather have \$10 in 30 days or \$4 now?’). Smaller amounts chosen immediately reflect greater discounting by delay. This computerized task uses an adjusting amount procedure to derive indifference values. Indifference values were used to calculate discounting curves and analyzed with an area under the curve (AUC) method (Myerson, Green, & Warusawitharana, 2001), with smaller area values indicating greater monetary discounting.

2.3. Procedure

Once consented, participants were weighed and their height measured by study personnel. The weight status criteria are derived from the most recent Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics growth curves based on age and sex (CDC, 2000; Kuczmarski, et al., 2002). Participants then completed the DDQ and the EDE-Q. Participants completed other assessments not relevant to the current analyses, and are therefore not described here. The present study is cross-sectional and all assessments were administered in one session. In addition, task order was randomized for individual participants, to limit interference of task order on results. Total time for completing the study was about 2 hours. All participants chose to complete the study after invitation.

2.4. Analytical Approach

To assess orderliness of delay discounting data, the Johnson and Bickel (2008) algorithm was used. Discounting functions were identified as nonsystematic if any delay rating was at least 0.2 greater than the delay rating preceding it. Data analyses were conducted with SPSS 17.0. Bivariate associations with age, ethnicity, and BMI were done by conducting using Pearson's product-moment correlations to determine which demographic variables should be included as covariates. Mediation analyses were conducted using the four step approach outlined in Baron and Kenny (1986). Regressions conducted within the mediation model included weight concern, delay discounting, and BMI (see Figure 1). No covariates were included in the model, as both age and ethnicity were not significantly associated with BMI. To test the mediation model, ordered regressions were used to test a, b, ab, c, and c' pathways. Mediation occurred if the effect of the "c" pathway decreased in the "c'" pathway. Due to the sample size, bootstrapping was employed to determine the pathway coefficients, standard errors, and the confidence interval of the indirect pathway (ab).

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

The majority of participants reported a Euro-American ethnicity ($n = 43$, 71.67%), while others reported Hispanic ($n = 7$; 11.67%), African-American ($n = 4$; 6.67%), Other ($n = 4$; 6.67%), and Asian ($n = 2$; 3.33%) ethnicities (see Table 1). Average delay discounting AUC was 0.52 ($SD = 0.28$). The average weight concern score was 1.88 ($SD = 1.64$) and the average BMI was 22.56 ($SD = 3.99$; Table 1). These values were comparable to similar samples (Fields, Sabet, & Reynolds, 2013; Fairburn, Cooper, & O'Connor, 2008; Woodward, Rizk, Wang, & Treat, 2014).

3.2. Descriptive Data

The data were screened for integrity. With regard to orderliness of data, 9 (15.0%) of all monetary discounting functions were found to be non-systematic. However, in 7 delay discounting cases only a single data point of the five indifference points was aberrant. Data were also examined for normality of distribution and to determine if regression analyses could be conducted. To determine a linear relationship, the authors used a scatter plot and calculated Mahalanobis distance to detect any outliers, for which two participants BMI was

found to be an outlier and removed from subsequent analysis. Skewness ($-0.07 - 0.80$) and kurtosis ($-1.30 - -0.18$) values were also calculated. All values were in the acceptable range.

3.3. Mediation Analysis

Weight concern was negatively associated with delay discounting, and delay discounting was negatively associated with BMI [Model $R^2 = 0.37$, $F_{(2,57)} = 18.66$, $p < 0.01$]. The indirect effect for weight concern on BMI via delay discounting was also significant [$a \times b = -0.15$, $CI = 0.008 - 0.45$], supporting a statistical mediation effect such that greater weight concern was associated with steeper delay discounting rates, which was in turn associated with greater BMI. The total effect of weight concern on BMI was significant [$b = 1.43$, $t(60) = 5.59$, $p < 0.01$], and the direct effect which controls for delay discounting, was also significant, but reduced [$b = 1.28$, $t(60) = 4.92$, $p < 0.01$]. This suggests that the effect of weight concern on BMI was partially mediated by delay discounting (see Table 2).

4. Discussion

The present study was conducted in order to examine whether behavioral impulsivity, as measured by the delay discounting task, mediates the relationship between weight concern and BMI. Previous research findings suggest a robust relationship between impulsivity and weight status among adolescents (see Thamotharaon et al, 2012 for review), and the relationship between weight concern and higher BMI has also been demonstrated (Calzo et al., 2012). Provided that weight concerns are reported by healthy, overweight, and obese adolescents, the current study sought to examine the potential role delay discounting may play in predicting a greater BMI in the presence of weight concerns.

Using a mediational analysis, the current study revealed that delay discounting partially mediates the relationship between weight concern and BMI. Thus, female adolescents reporting higher weight concerns, who are also under-controlled by temporally distant events (delay discounting), have a greater BMI. Full mediation suggests that when controlling for the mediated variable, the independent variable no longer shows an effect on the dependent variable (Baron and Kenny, 1986). Partial mediation indicates that this relationship is accounted for by additional factors, however, suggests that the proposed mediator accounts for part of the variance in the prediction of BMI from weight concern. In the current study, this partial mediation is consistent with the literature, as female adolescents, especially those in later adolescence, have been shown to report greater weight concern when overweight or obese (Calzo et al, 2012; Neumark-Sztainer, 1995). Thus, for females reporting higher BMIs, it appears delay discounting plays an important role in predicting a higher weight status.

Previous findings have suggested that obese adolescents with a high level of weight concern are more likely to report a greater number of objective binge episodes (Decaluwé & Braet, 2003). Additionally, different facets of impulsivity are primary predictors of binge eating behaviors among obese individuals and those diagnosed with Bulimia Nervosa (Dawe & Loxton, 2004; Nedekoorn et al., 2006), particularly disinhibition. In fact, the relationship between impulsivity and obesity is a robust finding in the literature (e.g., Schag, Schönleber,

Teufel, Zipfel, & Giel, 2013; Thamotharan et al., 2013), and the high rates of relapse observed among individuals attempting to lose weight or maintain weight loss is commonly attributed to a lack of control over food consumption and/or an inability to self-monitor other behaviors (Elfhag & Rössner, 2005). Taken with the current study findings, the relationship between delay discounting and weight concern is disturbing as these individuals are more likely to engage in rash behaviors without forethought. In turn, they may be more likely to consume foods that are readily available and convenient, as well as those that meet their short terms goal of consuming palatable foods compared to those that adhere to their weight-loss and healthy eating goals.

The current findings provide new insight into the relationship of weight concern and weight status; however, the current study is not without limitations. First, the sample was drawn from a predominantly Euro-American college student sample, and provided a number of cultural differences noted in the literature regarding weight concerns, future research would benefit from examining racial or ethnic differences in this relationship (Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2002). Additionally, the current study examined the relationship using a cross-sectional sample. While this is not ideal for the current analyses and can not indicate causality, this model is suggestive of a structural relationship between the variables examined, therefore these findings, in part, support the current hypothesis (Lacobucci, 2008; MacKinnon, 2008). In addition, the sample size for this study is small. A much larger sample size would be appropriate for making significant recommendations for public health. Future research should examine these constructs in a larger, more generalized sample. Finally, the current study focused on adolescent females; however, future research may wish to examine potential sex differences in this relationship.

5.1. Further Considerations

These limitations notwithstanding, the current findings have important implications for obesity prevention and treatment programs. Obesity prevention efforts should work to target and tailor programs to address both impulsivity and weight concerns among adolescents. Further, provided the partial mediation found in the current study, it is important to determine additional factors and predictors of greater BMIs among adolescents. While weight concern and impulsivity appear to be strong predictors of adolescent weight status, it is important that future studies work to identify additional factors affecting this relationship, as this will augment treatment and prevention success for adolescent obesity.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Grant R03DK095100-02.

References

- Allen KL, Byrne SM, Blair EM, Davis EA. Why do some overweight children experience psychological problems? The role of weight and shape concern. *International Journal of Pediatric Obesity*. 2006; 1(4):239–247. [PubMed: 17907331]
- Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 1986; 51(6):1173. [PubMed: 3806354]

- Calzo JP, Sonnevile KR, Haines J, Blood EA, Field AE, Austin SB. The development of associations among body mass index, body dissatisfaction, and weight and shape concern in adolescent boys and girls. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2012; 51(5):517–523. [PubMed: 23084175]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Childhood Obesity Facts. 2014a. Retrieved from: <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/obesity/facts.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System. 2014b. Retrieved from: <http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/yrbs/index.htm>
- Decaluwé V, Braet C. Prevalence of binge-eating disorder in obese children and adolescents seeking weight-loss treatment. *International journal of obesity*. 2003; 27(3):404–409. [PubMed: 12629570]
- Dawe S, Loxton NJ. The role of impulsivity in the development of substance use and eating disorders. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2004; 28(3):343–351. [PubMed: 15225976]
- Dehghan M, Akhtar-Danesh N, Merchant A. Childhood obesity, prevalence and prevention. *Nutrition Journal*. 2005; 4(1):24. [PubMed: 16138930]
- Dietz WH. Health Consequences of Obesity in Youth: Childhood Predictors of Adult Disease. *Pediatrics*. 1998; 101(Supplement 2):518–525. [PubMed: 12224658]
- Fairburn, CG.; Cooper, Z.; O'Connor, M. *Eating Disorder Examination*. CG, editor. 2008. (Edition 16.0D)
- Fields SA, Sabet M, Reynolds B. Dimensions of impulsive behavior in obese, overweight, and health-weight adolescents. *Appetite*. 2013; 70:60–66. [PubMed: 23831015]
- Iacobucci, D. *Mediation analysis*. Sage; 2008.
- MacKinnon, DP. *Introduction to statistical mediation analysis*. Routledge; 2008.
- Madden GJ, Petry NM, Badger GJ, Bickel WK. Impulsive and self-control choices in opioid-dependent patients and non-drug-using control participants: drug and monetary rewards. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*. 1997; 5(3):256–262. [PubMed: 9260073]
- Myerson J, Green L, Warusawitharana M. Area under the curve as a measure of discounting. *Journal of Experimental Analysis of Behavior*. 2001; 76(2):235–243.
- Must A, Anderson SE. Body mass index in children and adolescents: considerations for population-based applications. *International Journal Of Obesity*. 2006; 30(4):590–594. [PubMed: 16570087]
- Nederkoorn C, Braet C, Van Eijs Y, Tanghe A, Jansen A. Why obese children cannot resist food: the role of impulsivity. *Eating behaviors*. 2006; 7(4):315–322. [PubMed: 17056407]
- Neumark-Sztainer D. Excessive weight preoccupation: normative but not harmless. *Nutrition Today*. 1995; 30(2):68–74.
- Neumark-Sztainer D, Croll J, Story M, Hannan PJ, French SA, Perry C. Ethnic/racial differences in weight-related concerns and behaviors among adolescent girls and boys: findings from Project EAT. *Journal of psychosomatic research*. 2002; 53(5):963–974. [PubMed: 12445586]
- Reynolds B, Penfold RB, Patak M. Dimensions of impulsive behavior in adolescents: Laboratory behavioral assessments. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*. 2008; 16(2):124–131.10.1037/1064-1297.16.2.124 [PubMed: 18489016]
- Richards JB, Zhang L, Mitchell SH, de Wit H. Delay and probability discounting in a model of impulsive behavior: Effect of alcohol. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*. 1999; 71:121–143. [PubMed: 10220927]
- Schwartz MB, Puhl R. Childhood obesity: a societal problem to solve. *Obesity Reviews*. 2003; 4(1): 57–71.10.1046/j.1467-789X.2003.00093.x [PubMed: 12608527]
- Tannock R, Schachar RJ, Carr RP, Chajczyk D, Logan GD. Effects of methylphenidate on inhibitory control in hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 1989; 17(5):473–491. [PubMed: 2681316]
- Thamotharan S, Lange K, Zale E, Huffhines L, Fields S. The role of impulsivity in eating behavior and weight status: a meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2013; 33:253–262. [PubMed: 23313762]
- Wardle J, Johnson F. Weight and dieting: examining levels of weight concern in British adults. *International Journal of Obesity and related Metabolic Disorders*. 2002; 26(8):1144–1149. [PubMed: 12119582]

- Whitlock EP, Williams SB, Gold R, Smith PR, Shipman SA. Screening and Interventions for Childhood Overweight: A Summary of Evidence for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Pediatrics*. 2005; 116(1):e125–e144.10.1542/peds.2005-0242 [PubMed: 15995013]
- Woodward HE, Rizk MT, Wang SS, Treat TA. Disordered eating links to body-relevant and body-irrelevant influences on self-evaluation. *Eating Behaviors*. 2014; 15:205–208. [PubMed: 24854805]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

- We examine the relationship between weight concern and delay discounting which are two correlates of increased BMI in pediatric populations
- Weight concern and delay discounting were negatively correlated, meaning that individuals with greater weight concern were more impulsive
- A mediation model reveals that delay discounting accounts for a significant portion of the relationship between weight concern and Body Mass Index in adolescent females
- Females with greater weight concerns and an inclination towards impulsive decision making are at increased risk for pediatric obesity




Figure 1.
Mediation model of delay discounting, weight concern and BMI

Table 1

Participant demographics

	<i>n</i>	%
Sample size	64	
Ethnicity		
African American	4	6.67
Asian	2	3.33
Euro-American	43	71.67
Hispanic	7	11.67
Other	4	6.67
	<i>m</i>	<i>SD</i>
Age	17.45	1.74
DDQ AUC	0.52	0.28
Weight Concern	1.88	1.64
BMI	22.56	3.99
K-BIT 2 ^a	102.40	12.53

Note:

^a*M* = 100, *S.D.* = 15

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2
Hierarchical regression analysis of delay discounting and weight control predicting BMI

<i>Meditation pathways</i>					
Effect of IV on M (a)	Effect of M on DV (b)	Total effect (c)	Direct effect (c')	Indirect effect (a X b)	95% CI
-0.05*	-3.21*	1.44**	-1.28**	0.15*	0.008 – 0.45