Bacteriology of Naja atra Snakebite Wound and Its Implications for Antibiotic Therapy

Yan-Chiao Mao, Po-Yu Liu, Dong-Zong Hung, Wei-Cheng Lai, Shih-Ting Huang, Yao-Min Hung, and Chen-Chang Yang*

Division of Clinical Toxicology, Department of Emergency Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; Division of Clinical Toxicology and Occupational Medicine, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; Institute of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan; School of Medicine, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan; Division of Infection, Department of Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan;

Division of Toxicology, Trauma and Emergency Center, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; Graduate Institute of Clinical Medical Science, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan; Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; Department of Emergency Medicine, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan;

Institute of Public Health, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

Abstract. A total of 112 cases of Naja atra envenomation were examined at two referring hospitals: Taichung Veterans General Hospital in central Taiwan and Taipei Veterans General Hospital (VGH-TP) in northern Taiwan. Overall, 77% (86/112) of cases developed clinically suspected wound infections and 54% (61/112) required surgery secondary to tissue necrosis, finger or toe gangrene, and/or necrotizing fasciitis. Morganella morganii was the most abundant gramnegative bacterial strain isolated from bite wounds, followed by Proteus spp., Aeromonas hydrophila, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Providencia spp. in descending order; Enterococcus spp. were the most common gram-positive bacteria and Bacteroides spp. were the only anaerobic bacteria. A few episodes of bacteremia were caused by Bacteroides and Shewanella spp. There were no significant variations in the distribution of bacterial species between these two hospitals except for a higher incidence of M. morganii, Enterococcus spp., and polymicrobial infection observed at VGH-TP, which may have been related to variations in the fecal flora of prey and oral flora of individual snakes in different geographic areas in Taiwan. According to the susceptibility test involving various pathogens, first-line drug options for the management of N. atra snakebite wound infections may include monotherapy with ureidopenicillin or combination therapy with aminopenicillin and a third-generation cephalosporin or fluoroquinolone. A prospective evaluation of empiric antibiotic therapy for the management of N. atra snakebite should be considered.

INTRODUCTION

Six major venomous snake species are distributed throughout Taiwan, including Trimeresurus stejnegeri, Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Deinagkistrodon acutus, and Daboia siamensis in the Viperidae family and Naja atra and Bungarus multicinctus in the Elapidae family. Naja atra, the only cobra species, is also distributed throughout southeastern Asia, including Vietnam, Laos, and southern China. In Taiwan, N. atra infrequently bites humans and causes 6% (range: 0-36%) of all snakebite cases.² However, once envenomated, the majority of patients develop wound infections, including cellulitis, tissues necrosis, finger or toe gangrene, and/or extensive necrotizing fasciitis; therefore, empirical antibiotic therapy is frequently advocated.³ In Taiwan, bacteriology studies of N. atra bite wounds remain scarce and fragmented.4-6 Although studies of the oral bacteriology of N. atra have been conducted in Hong Kong,^{7,8} little is known about snakebite wound bacteriology and the effects of geographic differences in the same species. 9-11 To better understand the bacteriology of N. atra bite wounds, we retrospectively analyzed 112 cases from two referring medical centers: Taichung Veterans General Hospital (VGH-TC) in central Taiwan and Taipei Veterans General Hospital (VGH-TP) in northern Taiwan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population. This was a retrospective cohort study. The study protocol followed the principles of the Declaration

of Helsinki. All cases of N. atra envenomation were admitted to VGH-TC between April 2005 and July 2009 (4 years) and to VGH-TP between October 1995 and September 2009 (14 years). Cases were identified by searching the computerized databases at both VGH-TC and VGH-TP using the keywords "snake," "cobra," "N. atra," and "N. n. atra" both in English and Chinese. Two authors independently reviewed the medical records of all subjects with possible cobra envenomations. A definite diagnosis was made by the identification of the culprit snake, which included the examination of the snake, identification of the snake by the patient through a picture, or laboratory testing of the venom by the treating physician. 12-14 Patients with typical manifestations, as determined through physical examination, serial wound inspection, a relevant history, and clinical improvement after receiving specific antivenom for N. atra were included in the "clinical case" group (Table 1).^{2,3,15} After a careful review of the medical records, patients with snakebites other than those of N. atra (e.g., patients with snakebites of the other five medically important snakes [T. stejnegeri, P. mucrosquamatus, D. acutus, D. siamensis, and B. multicinctus and less toxic or nonvenomous snakes) and patients with equivocal manifestations and a negative identification of culprit snake were excluded.

Definition of wound infection. Besides purulence/abscess and organisms isolated from the fluid/tissue/blood, the appearance of certain symptoms or signs such as pain, erythema, local warmth, swelling, lymphangitis, delayed healing, malodor, crepitus in soft tissues, discolored or friable granulation tissue, or wound breakdown or dehiscence was also indicative of wound infections. ^{16–18} Since this was a retrospective study, we used stricter criteria for infected wound following snakebites, which are defined as the presence of two of the following three criteria: onset of new or increasing pain, localized erythema or swelling at the bite site, or

^{*}Address correspondence to Chen-Chang Yang, Division of Clinical Toxicology and Occupational Medicine, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, 201 Sec. 2, Shipai Road, Taipei 112, Taiwan. E-mail: ccyang@vghtpe.gov.tw

1130 MAO AND OTHERS

Table 1 Bacteria isolated from Naja atra bite cases and diagnostic methods of its envenomation

				Diagn	ostic methods			
	VGH-TC	VGH-TP	Overall	P value	Definitive case†	Clinical case‡	Overall	P value
Case numbers	N = 78	N = 34	N = 112		79 (54/25)§	33 (24/9)§	112	0.646*
First aid					, , , ,	, ,-		
Topical herbs	2	6	8		_	_	_	
Rope binding	4	0	4		_	_	_	
Incision and suction	2	1	3		_	_	_	
Wound infection	52	34	86		61	25	86	
Any surgery	36	25	61		42	19	61	
Any form of bacterial culture	32	27	59		41	18	59	
Positive bacterial cultures	29	21	50		34	16	50	
Aerobic gram-positive bacteria	13	11	24		16	8	24	
Bacillus cereus	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
Enterococcus spp.	10	11	21	0.015	13	8	21	0.336
Coagulase-negative	2	2	4	0.584	3	1	4	1
Staphylococcus species	2	_	•	0.501	5	1	•	-
Staphylococcus aureus	1	1	2	0.517	2	0	2	1
Aerobic gram-negative bacteria	27	22	49	0.517	33	16	49	•
Acinetobacter baumannii	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
Aeromonas hydrophila	6	1	7	0.673	6	1	7	0.672
Citrobacter freundii	1	1	2	0.517	1	1	2	0.504
Escherichia coli	2	3	5	0.163	3	2	5	0.63
Klebsiella pneumoniae	0	1	1	0.304	0	1	1	0.03
Morganella morganii	17	15	32	0.016	17	15	32	0.293
Proteus spp.	4	4	8	0.010	6	2	8	1
Proteus spp. Proteus mirabilis	1	2	3	0.242	3	0	3	0.554
Proteus mirabilis Proteus penneri	1	1	2	0.218	2	0	2	1
	3	2	5	0.638	3	2	5	0.63
Proteus vulgaris Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2	4	6	0.038	4	$\overset{2}{2}$	6	0.03 1
	4	2	6		3	3	6	0.358
Providencia spp.	1	1		1 0.517	1	3 1		0.504
Providencia alcalifaciens	3	1	2 4	0.317 1	2	2	2	0.504
Providencia rettgeri	3 1	_		_			4	0.58
Serratia spp.	0	2 1	3 1	0.218	3	0	3 1	
Serratia liquefaciens	0	_	_	0.304	1	0	_	1
Serratia marcescens	1	1	2	0.517	2	0	2	1
Shewanella spp. (putrefaciens)	3	2	5	0.638	4	1	5	1
Yokenella regensburgei	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
Anaerobic bacteria	~	2	7		~	2	7	
Bacteroides spp.	5	2	7		5	2	7	
Bacteroides fragilis	5	2	7	1	5	2	7	1
Bacteroides uniformis	0	1	1	0.304	1	0	1	1
Fungus						_		
Candida parapsilosis	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
Polymicrobial (≥ 2 pathogens)	14	18	32	< 0.001	21	11	32	0.471

*No significant variation in the diagnostic methods between the two hospitals.
†Diagnosed by examining the snake, having the patients to identify snake through a picture, or laboratory testing of the venom.

Diagnosed by physical examination, serial wound inspection, a relevant history, and clinical improvement after receiving specific antivenom for N. atra bite. The bracket number represents the cases in Taichung Veterans General Hospital/Taipei Veterans General Hospital, respectively.

||Included any form of rope, rubber band, or towel/clothes bindings

purulence at the bite site. The presence of fever and one of the above criteria also satisfied the definition of wound infection. 19 Fever is arbitrarily defined as a body temperature above 38°C as measured with a tympanic thermometer, a device commonly used in both hospitals. If no abnormalities were mentioned in the case notes, it was assumed that no complication was present.

Bacteriology and statistics. An aerobic and/or anaerobic bacterial culture was performed when infection was suspected in a snakebite wound. A deep tissue or biopsy culture was performed during surgical debridement, and blood culture was performed during febrile episodes. The culture sampling technique has been described in the literature.²⁰ Polymicrobial infection was defined as the growth of two or more microbes on the same infected or purulent wound.²¹ Bacterial identification was performed using traditional biochemical methods with the VITEK 2 system (BioMérieux,

Inc., Durham, NC). Susceptibility to antimicrobial agents was determined by the disk diffusion method. Inhibition zone diameters were interpreted according to the zone diameter breakpoints recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. All positive cultures were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility test analysis to maximize the test precision. The distribution of bacterial species between VGH-TC and VGH-TP and different diagnostic methods were compared using χ^2 or Fisher's exact test. All data were analyzed with Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 22.0 (2013 release; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). A two-tailed P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Of the patients, 15 received first aid: topical herbs in eight, rope binding in four, and incision and suction in three. According to the two diagnostic methods, 79 patients were diagnosed as "definitive case" (positive snake identification), including 54 at VGH-TC and 25 at VGH-TP, and 33 as "clinical case" (typical manifestations), including 24 at VGH-TC and nine at VGH-TP (Table 1). No patients received antibiotics before reaching the study hospitals. Clinically suspected wound infection, including cellulitis, tissue necrosis, finger or toe gangrene, or necrotizing fasciitis, developed in 86 of 112 (77%) envenoming cases. Sixty-one (54%) patients eventually underwent various types of surgery, including local debridement, incision and drainage, fasciotomy or fasciectomy, finger or toe amputation, and skin grafting, which were all performed in the study hospitals. Bacterial cultures from any type of biological sample, including wound discharge, deep tissue or biopsy, or blood, were obtained from 59 of the 86 cases. Of 59 patients, 50 (85%) had positive bacterial cultures, and more than two organisms were isolated from 32 (32/50, 64%) patients. A total of 23 organisms were identified (Table 1). Gram-negative rod bacteria, such as members of the Enterobacteriaceae family, were more frequently identified than gram-positive cocci. The following pathogens were detected: Morganella morganii, 32 cases; Enterococcus spp., 21; Proteus spp., 8; Aeromonas hydrophila, 7; and anaerobic Bacteroides spp., 7. Bacteroides spp. were the only anaerobes implicated in these cases. Statistically, a higher incidence of Morganella, Enterococcus spp., and polymicrobial infection $(\geq 2 \text{ pathogens})$ was observed at VGH-TP.

In this study, all 59 patients produced more than one set of bacterial cultures during hospitalization. Overall, 155 wound discharge, 23 deep tissue or biopsy, and 44 blood samples were obtained. Anaerobic culture was not always concomitantly performed with aerobic culture; therefore, only 47 and two anaerobic cultures were obtained from wound discharge and deep tissue or biopsy, respectively. The positive proportions of bacterial culture were 62.6% (97/155) in wound discharge, 78.3% (18/23) in deep tissue or biopsy, and 6.8% (3/44) in blood samples. Only members of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group and *Shewanella* species were isolated from blood samples. The results of antibiotic susceptibility tests of *Enterococcus* and *Bacteroides* spp. and the most frequently occurring gram-negative pathogens are listed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The oral flora of snakes comprises a wide range of aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms, particularly fecal gram-negative

rods because their prey (e.g., rodents or reptiles) usually defecate while being ingested. ^{10,22,23} The bacterial compositions vary among snake species and may be influenced by venom properties^{9,19,24} and the fecal flora of the prey in different geographic regions.²³ Laboratory bacteriological investigations of aerobic isolates from the venom and oral cavities of the North American pit viper Crotalus atrox demonstrated a preponderance of enteric and coliform organisms, particularly Aerobacter, Proteus, and Pseudomonas, with Clostridium as the most common anaerobic genus.²⁵ In the venom of Crotalus viridis helleri and Crotalus scutulatus scutulatus, Proteus spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp. are the most common aerobic species and Clostridium is the most common anaerobic species.²³ In Thailand, Enterobacter, Pseudomonas, and Staphylococcus spp. are the most common aerobic species and Clostridium is the most common anaerobic species in the venom or mouth of the Malayan pit viper (Calloselasma rhodostoma). In a recent study conducted by Shek and others in Hong Kong, M. morganii, A. hydrophila, Proteus spp., Enterococcus faecalis, coagulase-negative Staphylococcus and anaerobic Clostridium were the most commonly isolated pathogens in the oropharynx of N. atra.⁷

The mouth of N. atra harbors larger numbers of bacterial species associated with snakebite wound infections than crotaline or colubrid snake species.^{7,8} In our study, *M. morganii* was the most predominant bacteria isolated from bite wounds, followed by Enterococcus spp., Proteus spp., A. hydrophila, P. aeruginosa, and Providencia spp., in descending order. Our human case study is largely consistent with the experimental findings of Shek and others, with the exception of anaerobic pathogen species. Bacteroides spp. was the only anaerobe isolated from the *N. atra* bite wounds in our study. Notably, a previously healthy 31-year-old man developed Bacteroides bacteremia after a N. atra bite on his hand. This patient recovered after antibiotic therapy and serial wound debridement and grafting. Another previously healthy 35-yearold man developed Shewanella bacteremia after a N. atra bite on his finger. He also recovered after the administration of antibiotics, finger amputation, and grafting surgery. In both cases, polymicrobial wound infections were also present: M. morganii, Providenctia rettgeri, P. aeruginosa, Shewanella sp., and Enterococcus spp. in the first case and Enterococcus spp., Proteus mirabilis, Proteus penneri, Shewanella spp., and Bacteroides spp. in the second case. Although Bacteroides and Shewanella bacteremia are usually associated with an underlying immunocompromised status (e.g., malignancy),

Table 2
Antibiotic susceptibility test of *Enterococcus* and *Bacteroides* spp. isolated from *Naja atra* bite wounds

						* *				
	Ampicillin	Clindamycin	Gentamicin	Chloramphenicol	Penicillin	Sulfame tho xazole-trime tho prim	Vancomycin	Teicoplanin	Erythromycin	Metronidazole
Enterococcus s	pp.									
VGH-TC	17/17	_	18/18	_	18/18	_	18/18	-	_	-
(N = 18)*										
VGH-TP	13/15	3/12	9/15	12/15	14/15	10/12	15/15	15/15	7/15 (I = 2)	_
(N = 15)										
Bacteroides sp	ο.									
VGH-TC	-	10/10	-	12/10	_	_	_	-	_	10/10
(N = 10)										
VGH-TP	_	$6/7 \ (I=1)$	_	7/7	_	_	_	_	_	$6/7 \ (I=1)$
(N = 7)										

VGH-TC = Taichung Veterans General Hospital; VGH-TP = Taipei Veterans General Hospital. *The bracket number represents the total numbers of positive culture.

1132

Antibiotic susceptibility of the most common Gram-negative pathogens isolated from Naja atra bite wounds* TABLE 3

		AL	Antibiotic susceptibility of the most common Gram-negative pathogens isolated from Naja atra bite wounds*	cepuonny	or the mos	common 1	Grann-negar	ive paunoge	IIS ISOIATED	HOID IVER	anta one w				
Susceptibility strains	Ampicillin	Ampicillin- sulbactam	Piperacillin– tazobactam	Cefazolin	Cefotaxime	Ceftazidime	Cefmetazole	Flomoxef	Gentamicin	Amikacin	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Imipenem	Sulfamethoxazole -trimethoprim	Chloramphenicol
VGH-TC															
Aerobic gram-negative bacteria Aeromonas 0/11	bacteria 0/11	0/5	11/11	0/11	11/11	5/5	5/5	4/11	11/11	11/11	11/11	5/5	9/9	10/10	5/5
hydrophila (12)†								(I = 7)							
Escherichia $\frac{1}{2}$	0/2	1/1	2/2	1/2	2/2	1/1	1/1	2/2	2/2	2/2	1/2	1/1		1/2	1/1
cou (2) Morganella	3/26	7/7	25/25	0/25	26/26	<i>L//L</i>	<i>L/L</i>	25/26	26/26	26/26	26/26	LIL	11/11	26/26	TIT
morganii (26)	(I=4)							(I = 1)							
Proteus spp. (6)	1/6	3/3	9/9	9/0	9/9	3/3	3/3	9/9	9/9	9/9	9/9	3/3	1/1	9/9	3/3
r seuaomonas aeruginosa (8)			0/0			0/0			0//	(I=1)	(I=2)	(I=1)	0/0		
Providencia	4/10	0/5	11/11	0/11	11/11	5/5	5/5	11/11	11/11	11/11	$\frac{(1)^{2}}{11/11}$	5/5	9/9	11/11	2/5
spp. (11)	(I = 5)	ç	ç	(I=1)	7	ç	7	7	ç	ç	9	ç	ç	ç	7 7
(putrefaciens) (3)	1/1	c/c	c/c	0/1	1/1	c/c	1/1	1/1	c/c	5/5	5/5	5/5	7/7	6/6	1/1
VGH-TP	40														
Aerobic gram-negauve bactena A. hvdrophila (1) 0/1	. растепа 0/1			0/1	1/1	1/1		0/1	1/1	1/1				1/1	1/1
E. $coli(3)$	1/2	0/1	1/1	1/2	2/2	2/2	1/1	2/2	2/2	0/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	2/2	2/2
M. morganii (16)	$\frac{3/14}{(I-1)}$	10/10	13/13	3/14	14/14	14/14	10/10	14/14	14/14	6/6	13/13	2/5	13/13	14/14	14/14
Proteus spp. (6)	(1 = I) $0/0$	4/4	4/4		9/9	9/9	4/4	9/9	9/9	5/5	4/4	3/3	4/4	9/9	2/6
															(I=1)
P. aeruginosa (5) Providencia	1/2	1/1	5/5 1/1	1/2	2/2	5/5 2/2	1/1	1/1	5/5 2/2	4/4 2/2	5/5 1/1	2/2	5/5 1/1	0/4 2/2	1/4 2/2
spp. (2)															
Shewanella (putrefaciens)	1/4	2/2	2/2	0/4	3/4	4/4	2/2	4/4	4/4	4/4	2/2		$\frac{1/2}{(I=1)}$	4/4	4/4
spp. (4) Overall															
Aerobic gram-negative bacteria A. hvdrophila (13) 0/12	bacteria 0/12	0/5	11/11	0/12	12/12	9/9	5/5	4/12	12/12	12/12	11/11	5/5	9/9	11/11	9/9
								(I = 1)							
E. coli (5) M. morganii (42)	0/4 6/40	1/2	3/3 38/38	2/4 3/39	4/4 40/40	3/3 21/21	2/2 17/17	4/4 39/40	4/4 40/40	2/3 35/35	2/3 39/39	2/2 12/12	1/1 14/14	3/4 40/40	3/3 21/21
Proteus spp. (12)	(I = 5) $1/12$	L/L	10/10	9/0	12/12	6/6	L/L	(I = 1) 12/12	12/12	11/11	10/10	9/9	5/5	12/12	5/9
P. aeruginosa (13)			13/13			13/13			12/13	$\frac{11/12}{(I-1)}$	$\frac{11/13}{(I-2)}$	8/10	13/13	0/4	$(1 \equiv 1)$ $1/4$
Providencia	5/12	1/6	12/12	1/13	13/13	LIL	9/9	12/12	13/13	$\frac{(7-1)}{13/13}$	$\frac{(1-2)}{12/12}$	5/2	L/L	13/13	4/7
Spp. (13) Shewanella	(1 = 3) 2/5	5/5	5/5	0/5	4/5	LIL	3/3	5/5	LIL	L/L	5/5	3/3	3/4	7/7	5/5
(putrefaciens) $spp. (7)$													(I = 1)		
VGH-TC = Taichung Veterans General Hospital: VGH-TP = Tainei Veterans General H	General Hos	pital: VGH-TF	= Taipei Veter	rans General i	Hospital.										

VGH-TP = Taipeing Veterans General Hospital, VGH-TP = Taipei Veterans General Hospital.

*To maximize the precision of the antibiotic susceptibility test, all positive cultures were included in the analysis.

†The bracket number represents the total numbers of positive culture.

hepatobiliary disease, and high mortality rates, ^{26,27} the pathogenic effects of these types of bacteremia in immunocompetent patients and in the context of polymicrobial infection remain poorly understood. Snakebite may be a benign cause of *Bacteroides* or *Shewanella* bacteremia with a favorable outcome.

In-hospital snakebite management comprises the administration of antivenom, antibiotics for wound infections, or surgery to ameliorate infectious complications. In Brazil, Jorge and others suggested chloramphenicol as the antibiotic of choice for the management of Bothrops envenomation because the most frequently isolated pathogens from these wounds includes M. morganii, P. rettgeri, Enterobacter spp., Escherichia coli, Enterococcus spp., and Bacteroides spp.2 In northern Thailand, Theakston and others recommended benzylpenicillin with gentamicin as a prophylactic antibiotic regimen after Malayan pit viper (C. rhodostoma) envenomation because Enterobacter spp., Pseudomonas spp., and occasionally, Staphylococcus and Clostridia have been cultured from the venom and mouth of this snake species. 9 However, a positive bacterial culture obtained from the mouth or venom of a snake does not necessarily correspond to a high risk of snakebite wound infection. Hence, the use of prophylactic antibiotics during snakebite management remains controversial.²² For example, a low incidence of wound infection was documented in snakebites from certain crotaline species, despite the isolation of several pathogens from the snake venom. 19,23,29 Furthermore, the antibacterial effect of crotaline snake venom was previously described, 7-9,24 and prophylactic antibiotics have not been found to reduce the incidence of wound infection in prospective evaluations. 30,31

In Taiwan, the crotaline snakes T. stejnegeri and P. mucrosquamatus cause more than 70% of all snakebite incidents²; however, these species rarely induce wound infections after envenomation. Chen and others previously investigated snakebites from T. stejnegeri and P. mucrosquamatus and found that 6% and 26% cases, respectively, developed clinically suspected wound infections, and 0% and 9% cases, respectively, underwent surgery, including dermatomy/ fasciotomy, skin graft, and digit amputation, after envenomation.³² In our study, 77% (86/112) of the cases developed clinically suspected wound infections and 54% (61/112) required surgery secondary to tissue necrosis, finger or toe gangrene, and/or necrotizing fasciitis. Naja atra venom comprises cardiotoxin, neurotoxin, hemotoxin, and phospholipase A₂ among others. Cardiotoxin and neurotoxin represent the major components and account for 55% and 10% of the dry weight of crude venom, respectively.³ Although neurotoxin is the most lethal fraction in small mammals, it causes only mild neurotoxicity in humans; instead, the major concern in humans is cardiotoxin, which works synergistically with phospholipase A₂ to induce local tissue necrosis after snakebites, predispose the wound to bacterial infection from the indigenous oral flora of the snake, and necessitate limb amputation or cause mortality in rare circumstances.^{3,33}

In our study, we identified "clinical cases" of *N. atra* bites by the typical presentations of *N. atra* envenomation. *Naja atra* bites induce distinct effects, including wound necrosis (63–100%), fever, necrotizing fasciitis, gastrointestinal effects, and systemic neurotoxicity, which are rarely or not found in crotaline (*T. stejnegeri* and *P. mucrosquamatus*) bites.^{3,32,34} Most *N. atra* bite cases can be accurately diagnosed and treated using the diagnostic algorithm established by the

Taiwan Poison Control Center, which includes physical examination, serial wound inspection, a relevant history, and clinical improvement after receiving a specific antivenom. ^{2,3,15} Only a few cases with equivocal manifestations necessitated laboratory testing of the venom to establish a definitive diagnosis. ^{12–14} Moreover, we did not find significant variations in the distribution of bacterial species between definitive and clinical cases, which might favor the misclassification of infected crotaline snakebite wounds into *N. atra* bites among clinical cases. ^{7,8}

The diagnosis of wound infection following snake envenomation remains problematic not only because the venom causes toxicological effects similar to those caused by pathogenic flora (e.g., local swelling, heat, tenderness, regional lymphadenopathy, fever, and increased white blood cell counts)^{25,35} but also because no validated physical criteria are available for the diagnosis of this particular type of wound infection. 17,19 Nevertheless, we have tried our best to use stricter criteria in the diagnosis of wound infection (i.e., clinical symptoms/signs supporting the diagnosis of wound infection and organisms isolated from the wound discharge, deep tissue or biopsy, or blood). Although the incidence of wound infection might still have been overestimated in this study, we believed the overestimate was likely to be of limited magnitude given that a very high proportion of positive bacterial cultures was obtained in cases with clinically suspected infection and more than half of the patients with a diagnosis of wound infection underwent surgery because of infectious complications. Furthermore, a high incidence of wound necrosis (63-100%), which has been recognized as a factor significantly associated with certain types of wound infection, 16 was frequently observed with N. atra envenomation in contrast to crotaline envenomation. 3,32,34 The importance of wound infection following N. atra envenomation should not be overlooked. We suggested that snakebite wound infection should be considered a special wound infection entity. More objective measurements such as laboratory and/ or validated physical criteria for snakebite wound infections should be established in the future.³⁶

The judicious use of antibiotics based on local bacterial patterns should be considered to improve the management of N. atra bite wound infections. Chen and others inspected 21 snakebite cases with wound infections and isolated at least 17 bacterial species from these wounds, including 17 caused by N. atra, one by T. stejnegeri, one by P. mucrosquamatus, and two by unknown snake species.4 Morganella morganii, Enterococcus spp., and P. aeruginosa were the most common aerobic species and Bacteroides spp. were the most common anaerobe species isolated from snakebites. Huang and others analyzed 17 cases of snakebite with wound infections, including 16 caused by N. atra snakebite and one by T. stejnegeri, and isolated 13 bacterial species. Morganella morganii, Enterococcus spp., and A. hydrophila were the most common aerobic species and *Bacteroides* spp. were the only anaerobic species isolated in that study. Although those two studies did not specify the bacteria with respect to snake species, our findings suggest that these pathogens more likely arose from N. atra snakebite wounds. Accordingly, we do not recommend the routine use of antibiotics in the management of crotaline snakebites.^{7,8} In our study, no significant differences in bacterial distribution or antibiotic resistance were observed between the two hospitals, excepts for an increased

1134 MAO AND OTHERS

incidence of M. morganii, Enterococcus spp., and polymicrobial infections among cases from VGH-TP, which may have been related to variations in the fecal flora of prey and oral flora of individual snakes in different geographic areas in Taiwan.³⁷ As M. morganii is naturally resistant to benzylpenicillin, aminopenicillins, oxacillin, first- and second-generation cephalosporins, and sulfamethoxazole, and given the safety profile of chloramphenicol, monotherapy with ureidopenicillin or combination therapy with aminopenicillin and a thirdgeneration cephalosporin or fluoroquinolone may be the initial drugs of choice for the management of N. atra snakebite wound infection.³⁸ However, as increased antibiotic resistance of gram-negative bacteria to fluoroquinolone and of Enterococcus spp. to penicillins has been observed, we recommend the continuous surveillance of antibiotic resistance among these pathogens. 39,40

LIMITATIONS

This study has several limitations. First, there is always a time delay in bacterial culture collection from snakebite wounds because of the natural course of *N. atra* envenoming.³ Patients may have received several forms of treatment (e.g., wound cleansing, application of topical medicines, surgical debridement, or antimicrobial therapy) in a prehospital setting or during transportation or hospitalization that may have altered the bacterial composition before bacterial culture collection; therefore, the management timing cannot always be addressed in detail.

Second, in our study, anaerobic cultures were not always concomitantly performed with aerobic cultures; therefore, the incidence and numbers of cases affected by anaerobic infection may have been underestimated.^{22,41}

Third, both VGH-TC and VGH-TP are referral centers; therefore, the incidence of wound infection and the bacteriological pattern in this study may not be generalizable to all primary care facilities because of possible referral bias. Furthermore, this is a retrospective study, which suffers certain inherent limitations of the study design; hence, the results should be interpreted cautiously. Nevertheless, this is the first study to investigate a single snake species that most frequently causes snakebite wound infections in Taiwan, and the findings may have important clinical implications in the better management of *N. atra* bite.

CONCLUSION

A high incidence of clinically suspected wound infection was observed in cases of *N. atra* envenomation. No significant differences were observed in the distribution of bacterial species between the study hospitals, except for an increase in the incidence of *M. morganii*, *Enterococcus* spp., and polymicrobial infections at VGH-TP, which may have been related to variations in the fecal flora of prey and oral flora of individual snakes in different geographic areas in Taiwan. With the exception of anaerobic pathogens, our human case study findings support the experimental findings obtained in Hong Kong.⁷ On the basis of the bacteriological findings, we suggest that either monotherapy with ureidopenicillin or combination therapy with aminopenicillin and a third-generation cephalosporin or fluoroquinolone is the preferred

drug of choice in the initial management of *N. atra* snakebite wound infections.

Received September 13, 2015. Accepted for publication January 29, 2016.

Published online March 14, 2016.

Disclaimer: The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

Authors' addresses: Yan-Chiao Mao, Division of Clinical Toxicology, Department of Emergency Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, Division of Clinical Toxicology and Occupational Medicine, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, Institute of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, and School of Medicine, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, E-mail: doc1385e@gmail .com. Po-Yu Liu, Division of Infection, Department of Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, E-mail: idfellow@gmail.com. Dong-Zong Hung, Division of Toxicology, Trauma and Emergency Center, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, and Graduate Institute of Clinical Medical Science, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, E-mail: dzhung0224@gmail .com. Wei-Cheng Lai, Division of Clinical Toxicology, Department of Emergency Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, E-mail: sprite93@gmail.com. Shih-Ting Huang, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, E-mail: kitheroborn@hotmail .com. Yao-Min Hung, Department of Emergency Medicine, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, and Institute of Public Health, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, E-mail: ymhung1@gmail.com. Chen-Chang Yang, Division of Clinical Toxicology and Occupational Medicine, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, and Institute of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, E-mail: ccyang@vghtpe.gov.tw.8.

REFERENCES

- Uetz P, Hošek J, eds., 2014. The Reptile Database. Available at: http://wwwreptile-databaseorg/. Accessed December 8, 2014.
- Mao YC, Hung DZ, 2015. Epidemiology of snake envenomation in Taiwan. Gopalakrishnakone P, Faiz MA, Fernando R, Gnanathasan CA, Habib AG, Yang C-C, eds. Clinical Toxinology in Asia Pacific and Africa. Singapore: Springer, 4–20.
- Mao YC, Hung DZ, 2015. Management of snake envenomation in Taiwan. Gopalakrishnakone P, Faiz MA, Fernando R, Gnanathasan CA, Habib AG, Yang C-C, eds. Clinical Toxinology in Asia Pacific and Africa. Singapore: Springer, 24–48.
- Chen CM, Wu KG, Chen CJ, Wang CM, 2011. Bacterial infection in association with snakebite: a 10-year experience in a northern Taiwan medical center. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 44: 456–460.
- Huang LW, Wang JD, Huang JA, Hu SY, Wang LM, Tsan YT, 2012. Wound infections secondary to snakebites in central Taiwan. J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis 18: 272–276.
- Liao WB, Lee CW, Tsai YS, Liu BM, Chung KJ, 2000. Influential factors affecting prognosis of snakebite patients management: Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital experience. *Chang Gung Med J* 23: 577–583.
- Shek KC, Tsui KL, Lam KK, Crow P, Ng KH, Ades G, Yip KT, Grioni A, Tan KS, Lung DC, Lam TS, Fung HT, Que TL, Kam CW, 2009. Oral bacterial flora of the Chinese cobra (*Naja atra*) and bamboo pit viper (*Trimeresurus albolabris*) in Hong Kong SAR, China. *Hong Kong Med J 15*: 183–190.
- Lam KK, Crow P, Ng KH, Shek KC, Fung HT, Ades G, Grioni A, Tan KS, Yip KT, Lung DC, Que TL, Lam TS, Simpson ID, Tsui KL, Kam CW, 2011. A cross-sectional survey of snake oral bacterial flora from Hong Kong, SAR, China. *Emerg Med J* 28: 107–114.
- Theakston RD, Phillips RE, Looareesuwan S, Echeverria P, Makin T, Warrell DA, 1990. Bacteriological studies of the

- venom and mouth cavities of wild Malayan pit vipers (*Calloselasma rhodostoma*) in southern Thailand. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 84*: 875–879.
- Garg A, Sujatha S, Garg J, Acharya NS, Chandra Parija S, 2009.
 Wound infections secondary to snakebite. J Infect Dev Ctries 3: 221–223.
- Wong OF, Lam TS, Fung HT, Choy CH, 2010. Five-year experience with Chinese cobra (*Naja atra*)-related injuries in two acute hospitals in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Med J 16*: 36–43.
 Huang YP, Yu YJ, Hung DZ, 2002. Sandwich enzyme-linked
- Huang YP, Yu YJ, Hung DZ, 2002. Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for Taiwan cobra venom. Vet Hum Toxicol 44: 200–204.
- Hung DZ, Liau MY, Lin-Shiau SY, 2003. The clinical significance of venom detection in patients of cobra snakebite. *Toxicon* 41: 409–415.
- Hung DZ, Lin JH, Mo JF, Huang CF, Liau MY, 2014. Rapid diagnosis of Naja atra snakebites. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 52: 187–191.
- Warrell DA, 2010. Guidelines for the Clinical Management of Snake-Bites, 2nd edition. New Delhi, India: WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia, 1–151.
- Gardner SE, Frantz RA, Doebbeling BN, 2001. The validity of the clinical signs and symptoms used to identify localized chronic wound infection. Wound Repair Regen 9: 178–186.
- Cutting KF, White R, 2004. Defined and refined: criteria for identifying wound infection revisited. Br J Community Nurs 9: S6-S15.
- World Union of Wound Healing Societies, 2008. Wound Infection in Clinical Practice: An International Consensus. London, United Kingdom: Medical Education Partnership Ltd.
- Clark RF, Selden BS, Furbee B, 1993. The incidence of wound infection following crotalid envenomation. *J Emerg Med 11:* 583–586.
- Bowler PG, Duerden BI, Armstrong DG, 2001. Wound microbiology and associated approaches to wound management. Clin Microbiol Rev 14: 244–269.
- 21. Lipsky BA, Berendt AR, Cornia PB, Pile JC, Peters EJ, Armstrong DG, Deery HG, Embil JM, Joseph WS, Karchmer AW, Pinzur MS, Senneville E, Infectious Diseases Society of America, 2012. Infectious Diseases Society of America clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of diabetic foot infections. Clin Infect Dis 54: e132–e173.
- 22. Kerrigan KR, 1992. Bacteriology of snakebite abscess. *Trop Doct* 22: 158–160.
- 23. Goldstein EJ, Citron DM, Gonzalez H, Russell FE, Finegold SM, 1979. Bacteriology of rattlesnake venom and implications for therapy. *J Infect Dis* 140: 818–821.
- Chen LW, Kao PH, Fu YS, Lin SR, Chang LS, 2011. Membranedamaging activity of Taiwan cobra cardiotoxin 3 is responsible for its bactericidal activity. *Toxicon* 58: 46–53.
- Ledbetter EO, Kutscher AE, 1969. The aerobic and anaerobic flora of rattlesnake fangs and venom: therapeutic implications. *Arch Environ Health* 19: 770–778.
- Cheng CW, Lin HS, Ye JJ, Yang CC, Chiang PC, Wu TS, Lee MH, 2009. Clinical significance of and outcomes for *Bacteroides* fragilis bacteremia. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 42: 243–250.

- Liu PY, Lin CF, Tung KC, Shyu CL, Wu MJ, Liu JW, Chang CS, Chan KW, Huang JA, Shi ZY, 2013. Clinical and microbiological features of *Shewanella* bacteremia in patients with hepatobiliary disease. *Intern Med* 52: 431–438.
- Jorge MT, Ribeiro LA, da Silva ML, Kusano EJ, de Mendonca JS, 1994. Microbiological studies of abscesses complicating Bothrops snakebite in humans: a prospective study. Toxicon 32: 743–748.
- LoVecchio F, Klemens J, Welch S, Rodriguez R, 2002. Antibiotics after rattlesnake envenomation. J Emerg Med 23: 327–328.
- 30. Jorge MT, Malaque C, Ribeiro LA, Fan HW, Cardoso JL, Nishioka SA, Sano-Martins IS, Franca FO, Kamiguti AS, Theakston RD, Warrell DA, 2004. Failure of chloramphenicol prophylaxis to reduce the frequency of abscess formation as a complication of envenoming by *Bothrops* snakes in Brazil: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 98*: 529–534.
- Kerrigan KR, Mertz BL, Nelson SJ, Dye JD, 1997. Antibiotic prophylaxis for pit viper envenomation: prospective, controlled trial. World J Surg 21: 369–372; discussion 372–363.
- 32. Chen YW, Chen MH, Chen YC, Hung DZ, Chen CK, Yen DH, Huang CI, Lee CH, Wang LM, Yang CC, 2009. Differences in clinical profiles of patients with *Protobothrops mucrosquamatus* and *Viridovipera stejnegeri* envenoming in Taiwan. *Am J Trop Med Hyg 80*: 28–32.
- Wu PL, Chiu CR, Huang WN, Wu WG, 2012. The role of sulfatide lipid domains in the membrane pore-forming activity of cobra cardiotoxin. *Biochim Biophys Acta 1818*: 1378–1385.
- Wang W, Chen QF, Yin RX, Zhu JJ, Li QB, Chang HH, Wu YB, Michelson E, 2014. Clinical features and treatment experience: a review of 292 Chinese cobra snakebites. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 37: 648–655.
- Blaylock RS, 1999. Antibiotic use and infection in snakebite victims. S Afr Med J 89: 874–876.
- Blokhuis--Arkes MH, Haalboom M, van der Palen J, Heinzle A, Sigl E, Guebitz G, Beuk R, 2015. Rapid enzyme analysis as a diagnostic tool for wound infection: comparison between clinical judgment, microbiological analysis and enzyme analysis. Wound Repair Regen 23: 345–352.
- 37. Abrahamian FM, Goldstein EJ, 2011. Microbiology of animal bite wound infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 24: 231–246.
- Stock I, Wiedemann B, 1998. Identification and natural antibiotic susceptibility of Morganella morganii. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 30: 153–165.
- Sheng WH, Chen YC, Wang JT, Chang SC, Luh KT, Hsieh WC, 2002. Emerging fluoroquinolone-resistance for common clinically important gram-negative bacteria in Taiwan. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 43: 141–147.
- 40. Wang JT, Chang SC, Wang HY, Chen PC, Shiau YR, Lauderdale TL, Hospitals T, 2013. High rates of multidrug resistance in *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolated from inpatients and outpatients in Taiwan. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 75: 406–411.
- Nishioka Sde A, Silveira PV, 1992. Bacteriology of abscesses complicating bites of lance-headed vipers. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 86: 89–91.