

The PSI–U1 snRNP interaction regulates male mating behavior in Drosophila

Qingqing Wang^{a,b,c}, J. Matthew Taliaferro^{a, 1}, Ugne Klibaite^d, Valérie Hilgers^a, Joshua W. Shaevitz^d, and Donald C. Rio^{a,b,c,2}

^aDepartment of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; ^bCenter for RNA Systems Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences (QB3), University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; and ^dJoseph Henry Laboratories of Physics and Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

Edited by Michael Rosbash, Howard Hughes Medical Institute/Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, and approved March 30, 2016 (received for review January 18, 2016)

Alternative pre-mRNA splicing (AS) is a critical regulatory mechanism that operates extensively in the nervous system to produce diverse protein isoforms. Fruitless AS isoforms have been shown to influence male courtship behavior, but the underlying mechanisms are unknown. Using genome-wide approaches and quantitative behavioral assays, we show that the P-element somatic inhibitor (PSI) and its interaction with the U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein complex (snRNP) control male courtship behavior. PSI mutants lacking the U1 snRNP-interacting domain (PSIΔAB mutant) exhibit extended but futile mating attempts. The PSIΔAB mutant results in significant changes in the AS patterns of ∼1,200 genes in the Drosophila brain, many of which have been implicated in the regulation of male courtship behavior. PSI directly regulates the AS of at least one-third of these transcripts, suggesting that PSI–U1 snRNP interactions coordinate the behavioral network underlying courtship behavior. Importantly, one of these direct targets is *fruitless*, the master regulator of courtship. Thus, PSI imposes a specific mode of regulatory control within the neuronal circuit controlling courtship, even though it is broadly expressed in the fly nervous system. This study reinforces the importance of AS in the control of gene activity in neurons and integrated neuronal circuits, and provides a surprising link between a pleiotropic pre-mRNA splicing pathway and the precise control of successful male mating behavior.

PSI | U1 snRNP | alternative pre-mRNA splicing | male courtship behavior

How gene regulation modulates neuronal activities leading to cognition and behavior is an important question in biology. Although many behavior-associated genes and neuronal cell types have been identified, a detailed understanding that links the molecular events of gene regulation to specific behaviors is still lacking. Alternative pre-mRNA splicing (AS) is a crucial gene regulatory mechanism that enables a single gene to generate functionally distinct messenger RNA transcripts (mRNAs) and protein products (1). The nervous system makes extensive use of AS to generate diverse and complex neural mRNA expression patterns that determine numerous neuronal cell types and functions (2). AS is regulated by the small nuclear ribonucleoprotein complexes (snRNPs) that compose the spliceosome for intron recognition and removal, as well as a large repertoire of non-snRNP RNA-binding proteins that affect decisions on splice site use (3). This dynamic and complex AS regulatory network modulates diverse neuronal functions, like synaptic transmission and signal processing, hence further impacting higher brain functions, such as cognition and behavioral control (4).

The Drosophila KH-domain RNA binding splicing factor P-element somatic inhibitor (PSI) is best known for regulating tissue-specific AS of the Drosophila P-element transposon transcripts to restrict transposition activity to germ-line tissues (5, 6). PSI directly interacts with the U1 snRNP through a 70-aa tandem direct repeat domain at the C terminus of the PSI protein (termed the "AB" domain) (7). Deletion of the AB domain in transgenic flies resulted in male sterility and male courtship defects (6). U1 snRNP, as an essential component of the spliceosome that binds to 5′

splice sites (5′SS), defines exon–intron boundaries, and initiates spliceosome assembly for intron removal (3). U1 snRNP further affects AS decisions and suppresses pre-mRNA premature cleavage and polyadenylation through binding to pseudo-5′SS (5′SS-like motifs that are not used for splicing) that are abundantly distributed throughout the transcriptome (8–10). It remains a mystery how U1 snRNP differentiates the vast number of functional 5′SS and pseudo-5′SS in the transcriptome that leads to functionally distinct AS patterns. In the case of Drosophila P-element transposon AS regulation, the PSI-U1 snRNP interaction enables PSI to modulate the competitive binding of U1 snRNP between the accurate 5′SS in the third intron and an upstream pseudo-5′SS in the transposon pre-mRNA, and thus influence the final AS decision (5, 6). It is possible that PSI may play a more general role in specifically localizing U1 snRNP to the transcriptome for AS regulation beyond the P-element transposon, and thus exert a more broad influence over fruit fly physiology.

Results

Disruption of the PSI–U1 snRNP Interaction in Drosophila Causes Aberrant Male Courtship Behavior. To evaluate how the PSI–U1 snRNP interaction affects Drosophila behavioral activities, we used an automated behavioral video tracking and analysis system to quantitatively assess the courtship behaviors of PSI-null

Significance

How gene regulation orchestrates brain activities that lead to cognition and behavior remains a challenging question in biology. Alternative pre-mRNA splicing (AS) is a crucial mechanism that is extensively used in the brain to generate diverse and functionally distinct protein products from a limited number of eukaryotic genes, and can thereby switch neuron functions and rewire neural circuits for different behaviors. Here, we elucidate the function of an essential AS regulatory protein, P-element somatic inhibitor (PSI), in coordinating male courtship behavior in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. We show that PSI fine-tunes the AS patterns of a dynamic network of neural gene transcripts and exerts precise control of male mating behavior. Our results provide important information into mechanisms for behavior control in animals.

Author contributions: D.C.R. designed research; Q.W., J.M.T., U.K., V.H., and D.C.R. performed experiments; Q.W. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; Q.W., U.K., and J.W.S. analyzed data; and Q.W. and D.C.R. wrote the paper with contributions from all authors.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Data deposition: The data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo (accession no. [GSE79916\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE79916).

¹Present address: Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02142.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: don_rio@berkeley.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental).

Fig. 1. Disruption of the normal PSI-U1 snRNP interaction causes defects in Drosophila male courtship behavior. (A) Diagram of the PSI protein, which is composed of four RNA-binding KH domains and the AB repeat domain that interacts with U1 snRNP. (B) Immunoblot of fly head extracts from PSI-null mutant flies that carry transgenes expressing PSIΔAB or full-length PSI proteins, with wild-type Canton S fly head extracts serving as positive control. Protein detected with specific antibody probes are indicated at the right. (C, Left) Behavioral space densities for the male PSIΔAB and full-length PSI fly strains in the presence of a Canton S young virgin female. Colored lines indicate regions of the space corresponding to behaviors associated with the male courtship ritual. (Right) Probability that a male fly of the PSIΔAB strain (open bars) or the full-length PSI strain (solid bars) performs each of several courtship behaviors in the presence of a young Canton S virgin female fly. Mean of seven individual flies of each strain, with ±SE shown. See also [Movies S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental)–[S3.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental)

mutant Drosophila strains carrying P-element transgenes expressing either the full-length PSI protein or AB domain-depleted PSI protein (PSI \triangle AB) (7, 11) (Fig. 1 A and B and [Movies S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental)–[S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental)). The transgenic PSI and PSIΔAB proteins are expressed at comparable levels to endogenous PSI in wild-type flies (Fig. 1B). We found that male PSIΔAB flies were significantly less effective in courting females than flies with the full-length PSI protein (Fig. 1C). Specifically, PSIΔAB males performed nearly all courtship behaviors two to three times more often but had the same number of copulating attempts, indicating that the females were less receptive to PSIΔAB male courtship (Fig. 1C). We noticed one particular deficit in courtship in PSIΔAB male flies: they appear to have difficulty initiating song production. These flies spent more time with their wings at a moderate level of extension (orange region in Fig. 1C and [Movie S3](http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1073/pnas.1600936113/video-3)) before each singing bout.

PSI Is Broadly Expressed in Both Male and Female Drosophila Brains. To investigate how the loss of the PSI–U1 snRNP interaction specifically affects male courtship behavior, we first examined the expression pattern of the PSI protein in both male and female fly brains. Using immunofluorescent antibody staining and confocal microscopy, we found that PSI is broadly expressed in the adult brain and its expression pattern overlaps extensively but not completely with the pan-neuronal marker protein ELAV (Fig. 2). No significant difference was detected between male and female fly brains for PSI protein expression (Fig. 2). Furthermore, PSI is expressed in the nucleus $(Fig. S1A)$ $(Fig. S1A)$ $(Fig. S1A)$, consistent with its function as a pre-mRNA splicing factor. In larval brains, PSI is expressed broadly in both differentiated neurons as well as neuronal precursor cells, and PSI is also broadly expressed in Drosophila embryos [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1) B and C).

5270 [|] <www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1600936113> Wang et al.

The PSI–U1 snRNP Interaction Coordinates a Pre-mRNA Splicing Network in the Brain for Male Courtship Behavior Regulation. To address how the presence or absence of the U1 snRNP-interacting PSI AB domain can affect specific phenotypes related to Drosophila male courtship behavior, we compared neuronal

Fig. 2. PSI protein is expressed broadly in both male and female adult Drosophila brains. Immunofluorescent staining and confocal microscopy imaging of the endogenous PSI protein in dissected Canton S adult female (Left) and male (Right) brains. Shown are stacks of consecutive confocal planes. PSI is shown in green (Top), ELAV is shown in red (Middle), and a composite of both patterns with overlap shown (Bottom). See also [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1). (Magnification: 20x.)

pre-mRNA AS patterns between full-length PSI and mutant PSIΔAB transgenic flies. We prepared mRNA from mass-isolated Drosophila heads from PSI and PSIΔAB adult males and carried out standard mRNA-seq assays (Fig. 3A). To detect, compare, and quantitate differences in pre-mRNA splicing patterns between the full-length PSI and the PSIΔAB mutant, we used three different computational methods [MISO (12), JuncBase (13), and the Junction Use Model (JUM) (14)] (Fig. 3B, [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2), and [Datasets S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental)–[S3\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental). Among them, JUM does not depend on any prior knowledge of genome annotation and is especially important and useful here for AS analysis in the nervous system, where extensive and largely unannotated splicing events occur (Materials and Methods). This quantitative comparison revealed 1,170 genes (identified by at least two independent computational methods) whose mRNA transcript splicing patterns were altered in PSIΔAB flies versus the full-length PSI strain.

Fig. 3. Disruption of the normal PSI-U1 snRNP interaction changes the AS of gene transcripts functionally enriched for male courtship behavioral regulation, including fruitless, the master courtship regulator gene. (A) Flowchart of the procedure used to compare the transcriptome-wide AS patterns in male fly heads from the PSIΔAB fly strain versus full-length PSI. (B) Summary of identified differentially spliced gene transcripts in PSIΔAB male fly heads versus full-length PSI strain by three independent computational methods, MISO (12) (green circle), JuncBase (13) (yellow circle), and JUM (14) (blue circle). See also [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2) and [Datasets S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental)–[S3.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental) (C) GO analysis of the AS target gene transcripts of PSIΔAB. Categories of related genes are listed at the left and enrichment significance (−log FDR) is indicated along the x axis. (D) The PSIΔAB mutant affects the AS of the three male-specific fruitless mRNA isoforms that are functionally distinct for maintaining normal male courtship behavior (cruciality fru-C > fru-B > fru-A) (17). Here the 5' and 3' ends of the fruitless pre-mRNA are shown. Green rectangles represent exons and black lines introns. The male-specific fruitless mRNA isoforms use an alternative 3'SS in the second exon and thus bypass a premature stop codon (shown by the stop sign) that is exclusively included in female fruitless isoforms, resulting in only male-specific transcripts encoding functional proteins. RNA-seq tracks (34) from PSIΔAB and full-length PSI male fly heads are shown below. Arcs represent the splice junctions specific for fru-A, fru-B, and fru-C, respectively, with the number of reads mapped to each junction shown. The overall expression of fruitless stays the same for PSIΔAB and wild-type samples, as shown by the fragments per kilobase per million (FPKM) value. (E) RT-qPCR verification of the AS of fru-A, -B, and -C in PSIΔAB male fly head (pink) versus full-length PSI (blue). RNA level of fru-A normalized to rp49 (y axis on the left) and level of fru-B and fru-C normalized to fru-A (y axis on the right). Mean of male head RNA samples from three separate PSIΔAB or full-length PSI fly lines with ±SE is shown. Significance was analyzed by one-way ANOVA test. n.s., not significant; **P value < 0.02, statistically significant.

Remarkably, Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (15) of these PSIΔAB splicing target genes showed functional enrichment, particularly in male courtship behavioral regulation (compared with the 12,163 expressed genes in fly heads), in addition to many neuronal functions, including dendrite/axon morphogenesis, neurotransmitter transport/reception, learning, and memory (Fig. 3C, Table 1, and [Dataset S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1600936113.sd01.xls)). These results correlate well with the phenotypes that we observe in PSIΔAB flies and indicate that the PSI–U1 snRNP interaction plays a crucial role in maintaining normal male courtship behavior by ensuring correct AS patterns in the brain.

Interestingly, the male-specific transcripts of the fruitless gene are among the prominent AS targets of the PSIΔAB mutant (Fig. 3D and Table 1). The fruitless gene is a well-known master transcriptional regulator that determines the development and function of the neural circuit for fly courtship behavior through the alternative, sex-specific splicing of its mRNA transcripts (16) . The sex-specific AS of the second exon in the *fruitless* pre-mRNA ensures that only male-specific transcripts encode functional fruitless proteins that determine courtship behavior (16) (Fig. 3D). Specifically, AS further generates three functionally distinct male-specific fruitless transcripts (termed fru-A, fru-B, and fru-C), whose relative levels are critical in maintaining normal male courtship behavior (Fig. 3D) (17). Each of the three transcripts differs from the others by only the last coding exon that encodes distinct zinc finger DNA binding domains, respectively, so that the fru-A, fru-B, and fru-C proteins can each potentially affect the expression of distinct sets of downstream target genes (17). Among them, the fru-C mRNA isoform was found to be the most important for normal male courtship behavior, fru-B less crucial than fru-C, and fru-A the least important (17). Remarkably, the levels of fru-C and fru-B among the three isoforms significantly

V

decreased in the PSIΔAB male fly heads compared with the fulllength PSI, consistent with the observed courtship behavior defects (Fig. 3 D and E). Notably, *fruitless* is only expressed in a distinct set of ∼2,000 neurons in the Drosophila brain, which compose the regulatory modules of the neural circuit for courtship control (18). These results indicate that although the PSI protein is broadly expressed throughout the fly brain, it plays crucial roles in the functional processing of key regulatory transcripts (like fruitless) that are specific to a subset of functionally important neurons and thus can impact specific fly behaviors. Besides fruitless, splicing pattern changes for other gene transcripts were identified (Fig. $3\hat{C}$, Table 1, and [Dataset S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1600936113.sd01.xls) and could also have contribute to the physiology associated with the male courtship behavior defects.

In addition, we investigated the overall gene-expression level changes in transcripts between the PSIΔAB mutant and wildtype fly heads and compared the results with the differential AS analyses using DESeq2 (19). The profile of differentially expressed genes is vastly different from the profile of differentially alternatively spliced gene transcripts ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) and [Dataset](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1600936113.sd04.xls) [S4\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1600936113.sd04.xls). The majority of courtship-associated gene transcripts that present significant AS pattern changes in the PSIΔAB mutant male heads maintain the same overall gene-expression transcript levels in the mutant and wild-type flies ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)C). GO analyses of the 1,357 identified differentially expressed genes showed only a slight functional enrichment in visual perception, mitotic spindle elongation, chitin metabolic processes, and behavioral responses to ethanol ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)B). Thus, we associate the phenotypic courtship behavior defects observed in the PSIΔAB mutant males mostly with the alternative pre-mRNA splicing changes in the fly brain.

Table 1. List of JUM-identified gene transcripts that present significantly altered AS patterns in the transgenic PSIΔAB vs. full-length PSI male fly heads that have been implicated in the regulation of male courtship behavior in Drosophila

Gene symbol	Gene full name	Type of AS changes
fne	Found in neurons	Alternative 5'SS
fru	Fruitless	Alternative 3'SS
cac	Cacophony	Cassette exon
dlg 1	Discs large 1	Cassette exon
		Alternative 5'SS
Adar	Adenosine deaminase acting on rna	Cassette exon
Alh	Alhambra	Alternative 3'SS
slo	Slowpoke	Cassette exon
		Alternative 5'SS
para	Paralytic	Cassette exon
		Alternative 5'SS
		Alternative 3'SS
		Intron retention
Nrq	Neuroglian	Alternative 3'SS
orb2	cg43782 gene product from transcript cg43782-rh	Alternative 5'SS
		Alternative 3'SS
CASK	Cask ortholog	Cassette exon
		Alternative 5'SS
pros	Prospero	Alternative 5'SS
Fmr1	cq 6203 gene product from transcript cg6203-rc	Alternative 5'SS
Moe	Moesin	Cassette exon
		Alternative 5'SS
qtc	Quick-to-court	Alternative 5'SS
		Cassette exon
CaMKII	Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase ii	Cassette exon
lig	Lingerer	Cassette exon
5-HT7	Serotonin receptor 7	Alternative 5'SS
egh	Egghead	Alternative 5'SS

Gene transcripts that also have enriched PSI iCLIP tags are indicated by boldface type. The specific AS patterns are shown for each gene.

Targeting of PSI to Key Courtship Behavior Regulatory Gene Transcripts Is Closely Associated with U1 snRNP Binding. To test if the identified, differentially spliced, transcripts in PSIΔAB fly heads are direct targets bound by PSI, we performed PSI iCLIP (individual-nucleotide resolution cross-linking and immunoprecipitation) experiments (20) with nuclear extracts prepared from Drosophila Schneider Line-2 (S2) cells. Using a statistical method adapted from previous applications of HITS-CLIP (21) and iCLIP (22) (Materials and Methods), we identified 4,937 PSI binding sites in transcripts from 1,628 genes ([Table S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST1) and [Dataset S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1600936113.sd05.xls)). The majority (60%) of the PSI binding sites reside in introns (Fig. 4A). From the JUM-identified 1,331 genes whose transcripts are differentially spliced in PSIΔAB fly heads, 543 genes have transcripts with significantly enriched PSI iCLIP tags, indicating that they are directly bound by PSI. Remarkably, half of the male courtship regulatory genes that experience significant AS changes in PSIΔAB fly heads have enriched PSI iCLIP tags in their transcripts (Table 1). Interestingly, the fruitless pre-mRNA is a direct target of PSI. There are two prominent PSI binding sites that were identified near the common upstream 5′SS that is alternatively spliced to the three 3′ terminal exons from the male-specific fru-A, -B, and -C isoforms (Fig. 4B, red arrows). Furthermore, motif analysis in the vicinity of the identified PSI binding sites revealed significant enrichment for two major sequence motif categories: the A/CUU binding motif of PSI that had been previously identified by SELEX (23) and, remarkably, the 5′SS-like sequences (CAG/GTAAGT) that are known to be putative U1 snRNP binding sites $(3, 8)$ (Fig. 4C). These results revealed a close association of PSI and U1 snRNP binding to the neuronal transcriptome. Indeed, two 5′SS or 5′SS-like motifs were identified that reside close to the two PSI binding sites in the *fruitless* pre-mRNA, upstream of the three 3['] terminal exons (Fig. 4B, blue arrowheads). This result indicates that PSI binding may affect U1 snRNP targeting to different 5′SS in fruitless pre-mRNA and affect interactions of U1 snRNP with the three alternative 3′SS to control AS of the fru-A, -B, and -C isoforms that are known to modulate fly courtship behavior. As in the case of PSI regulating Drosophila P-element transposon pre-mRNA splicing, it is likely that PSI plays a general role in selectively targeting U1 snRNP to specific sites in the nuclear transcriptome through its interaction with U1 snRNP, which may influence U1 snRNP-3′SS interactions and hence control the processing and functions of target gene transcripts that are crucial for various Drosophila activities. Besides fruitless, eight other differentially spliced male courtship regulatory gene transcripts also have significant enrichment of PSI CLIP tags that are within the alternative splicing regions, and close to 5′SS-like motifs (Table 1 and [Fig. S4\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4). These results further associate the binding of PSI to the transcriptome with the correct positioning of U1 snRNP, as well as the pre-mRNA alternative splicing decisions for maintaining normal animal physiology.

Discussion

AS patterns are often controlled by the interaction of RNA binding proteins (RBPs) with nascent pre-mRNA transcripts (24, 25). These RNA–protein interactions can determine where the spliceosomal U1 and U2 snRNPs bind to the transcriptome, and thus dictate AS decisions and constitute an important mechanism for gene regulation (3, 24, 25). RBPs, such as PSI (6) or TIA-1 (26–28), which directly interact with U1 snRNP, are good candidates for proteins controlling AS patterns in this manner, and changes in these RBP–snRNP associations can have profound phenotypic effects. For example, we show here that a subtle mutation that abolishes the PSI–U1 snRNP interaction dramatically changed the AS patterns of hundreds neuronal premRNAs and resulted in highly abnormal male courtship behaviors. Given the diverse number of cell types, gene-expression patterns, and the extensive AS that occurs in animal nervous systems, we anticipate that AS regulation will play critical roles in both the normal physiological or disease states of neurons.

The PSI–U1 snRNP interaction may further play crucial roles in other pre-mRNA processing pathways. For example, U1 snRNP was recently ascribed a new function in regulating global mRNA 3′ end termination and suppression of premature premRNA cleavage and polyadenylation near the $\tilde{5}'$ ends of transcripts in humans, mice, and *Drosophila* through selective binding to 5′SS-like motifs, a process called telescripting (10). It has remained a mystery how U1 snRNP discriminates the numerous potential 5′SS sites across the transcriptome. PSI may be one example of RBP regulators that alter the binding of U1 snRNP to pre-mRNA sites through direct protein–protein interactions, and thus changing pre-mRNA splicing, polyadenylation, or other pre-mRNA processing patterns.

Our findings further reveal that even broadly expressed RBPs, such as PSI, can affect gene regulation in restricted subsets of

Fig. 4. PSI binding to the transcriptome is closely associated with the U1 snRNP binding motifs. (A) Pie chart showing the distribution of iCLIP-identified PSI binding sites in different genomic regions. See also [Table S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST1) and [Dataset S5.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1600936113.sd05.xls) (B) PSI iCLIP tag tracks are shown on the fruitless pre-mRNA. Red arrows showing identified enriched PSI binding sites and blue arrowheads showing putative 5′SS motifs. The sequences of the two putative 5′SS motifs are shown (blue stars). (C) Enriched 6-mer sequence motifs (Upper) and 8-mer motifs (Lower) near PSI iCLIP-derived binding sites transcriptome-wide.

neurons in the *Drosophila* brain that modulate specific behaviors, such as courtship and mating. The work presented here also provides the first identification of the PSI protein as a transacting RNA splicing factor controlling male-specific fruitless splicing.

Taken together, our results link the molecular interaction between PSI and U1 snRNP to specific phenotypic effects on *Drosophila* courtship behavior through the coordination of an AS program in the brain. We believe these results provide important insights into the mechanisms controlling gene activity in the nervous system, leading to the precise control of complex animal behaviors.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of RNA-seq Libraries from Drosophila Head RNA from Transgenic PSIΔAB and Full-Length PSI Fly Strain Males. Drosophila heads were isolated from 50 mL of manually sorted and frozen males from the v^{16}/v^{16} PSI mutant fly strain (PSI-null) carrying either the PSI genomic-cDNA wild-type or PSIΔAB transgenes (7), as described previously (29). Total RNA was isolated using Qiagen RNA Easy kits and polyA (+) RNA was purified using oligo (dT) Dynabeads (Life Technologies). Next, 100 ng of polyA (+) RNA was used to generate random-primed nondirectional cDNA libraries suitable for sequencing on the Illumina platform using the NEBNext mRNA Sample Prep kit (cat # E6110S; New England Biolabs). Libraries were size-selected on highresolution agarose gels (Bio-Rad), analyzed on an Agilent Bioanalyzer and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2500 using 100-bp paired-end reads.

iCLIP Experiment on Nuclear RNA Binding of the PSI Protein. Nuclear extracts from Drosophila Schneider Line-2 (S2) cells were prepared from UV-irradiated S2 cells, as described previously (20, 30). Standard iCLIP assays were performed as previously described (20, 30). UV–cross-linked nuclear extracts were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-PSI rabbit antibodies (31, 32) and processed for iCLIP exactly as described previously (22), except that the cDNA was electroeluted from the gel slices as described for PAR-CLIP (33). Libraries were prepared from the cyclized cDNA, as described previously

- 1. Wang ET, et al. (2008) Alternative isoform regulation in human tissue transcriptomes. Nature 456(7221):470–476.
- 2. Li Q, Lee JA, Black DL (2007) Neuronal regulation of alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Nat Rev Neurosci 8(11):819–831.
- 3. Wahl MC, Will CL, Lührmann R (2009) The spliceosome: Design principles of a dynamic RNP machine. Cell 136(4):701–718.
- 4. Raj B, Blencowe BJ (2015) Alternative splicing in the mammalian nervous system: Recent insights into mechanisms and functional roles. Neuron 87(1):14–27.
- 5. Siebel CW, Fresco LD, Rio DC (1992) The mechanism of somatic inhibition of Drosophila P-element pre-mRNA splicing: Multiprotein complexes at an exon pseudo-5′ splice site control U1 snRNP binding. Genes Dev 6(8):1386–1401.
- 6. Labourier E, Adams MD, Rio DC (2001) Modulation of P-element pre-mRNA splicing by a direct interaction between PSI and U1 snRNP 70K protein. Mol Cell 8(2):363–373.
- 7. Labourier E, Blanchette M, Feiger JW, Adams MD, Rio DC (2002) The KH-type RNAbinding protein PSI is required for Drosophila viability, male fertility, and cellular mRNA processing. Genes Dev 16(1):72–84.
- 8. Buratti E, Baralle D (2010) Novel roles of U1 snRNP in alternative splicing regulation. RNA Biol 7(4):412–419.
- 9. Kaida D, et al. (2010) U1 snRNP protects pre-mRNAs from premature cleavage and polyadenylation. Nature 468(7324):664–668.
- 10. Berg MG, et al. (2012) U1 snRNP determines mRNA length and regulates isoform expression. Cell 150(1):53–64.
- 11. Berman GJ, Choi DM, Bialek W, Shaevitz JW (2014) Mapping the stereotyped behaviour of freely moving fruit flies. J R Soc Interface 11(99):20140672.
- 12. Katz Y, Wang ET, Airoldi EM, Burge CB (2010) Analysis and design of RNA sequencing experiments for identifying isoform regulation. Nat Methods 7(12):1009-1015.
- 13. Brooks AN, et al. (2011) Conservation of an RNA regulatory map between Drosophila and mammals. Genome Res 21(2):193–202.
- 14. Wang Q, Moore MJ, Adelmant G, Marto JA, Silver PA (2013) PQBP1, a factor linked to intellectual disability, affects alternative splicing associated with neurite outgrowth. Genes Dev 27(6):615–626.
- 15. Eden E, Navon R, Steinfeld I, Lipson D, Yakhini Z (2009) GOrilla: A tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics 10:48.
- 16. Demir E, Dickson BJ (2005) fruitless splicing specifies male courtship behavior in Drosophila. Cell 121(5):785–794.
- 17. von Philipsborn AC, et al. (2014) Cellular and behavioral functions of fruitless isoforms in Drosophila courtship. Curr Biol 24(3):242–251.
- 18. Yamamoto D, Koganezawa M (2013) Genes and circuits of courtship behaviour in Drosophila males. Nat Rev Neurosci 14(10):681–692.
- 19. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15(12):550.
- 20. Huppertz I, et al. (2014) iCLIP: Protein-RNA interactions at nucleotide resolution. Methods 65(3):274–287.

(22), and sequenced on an Illlumina HiSEq. 2000 using 50-bp reads. For more details see [SI Materials and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).

Brain Dissection and Immunostaining of Endogenous PSI Protein in the Fly Brain. Embryos from an overnight collection, brains from third-instar larvae as well as 1-wk-old male and female flies were prepared and stained following standard procedures. Immunostaining with anti-PSI antibody (31, 32) and a rat anti-ELAV antibody (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank).

Behavioral Analysis. Seven courting pairs from each of the PSIΔAB and PSI fulllength strains were imaged for 17 min at 100 Hz, resulting in 700,000 frames per strain. Digital image analysis was used to segment the male fly from the images and a behavioral mapping analysis was performed as described previously (11). Representative videos from each of the discovered stereotyped behaviors were investigated and known courtship behaviors were categorized as described in the text.

Differential Alternative Splicing Analyses of the RNA-seq Data, PSI iCLIP, and Motif Analyses. For differential alternative splicing analyses of the RNA-seq data, PSI iCLIP, and motif analyses, see [SI Materials and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1600936113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201600936SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Transgenic PSI wild-type and PSIΔAB mutant male
flies in the PSI deletion v¹⁶/v¹⁶ mutant background were outcrossed and sorted while D.C.R. was on sabbatical at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute Janelia Research Campus. We thank Todd Laverty and the Janelia Drosophila core facility for fly food and microscope space; Arnim Jenett and the FlyLight Project and the imaging core facility at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute Janelia Research Campus for help with high-resolution confocal imaging; and Mike Levine for critical comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants R01 GM094890 and R01 GM097352 (to D.C.R.) and R01 GM098090 (to J.W.S.); Center for RNA Systems Biology at University of California, Berkeley, NIH Grant P50102706 (J. Cate, PI); NIH Grant T32 HG003284 (to U.K.); and a fellowship from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (V.H.).

- 21. Moore MJ, et al. (2014) Mapping Argonaute and conventional RNA-binding protein interactions with RNA at single-nucleotide resolution using HITS-CLIP and CIMS analysis. Nat Protoc 9(2):263–293.
- 22. König J, et al. (2010) iCLIP reveals the function of hnRNP particles in splicing at individual nucleotide resolution. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17(7):909–915.
- 23. Amarasinghe AK, MacDiarmid R, Adams MD, Rio DC (2001) An in vitro-selected RNA-binding site for the KH domain protein PSI acts as a splicing inhibitor element. RNA 7(9):1239–1253.
- 24. Lee Y, Rio DC (2015) Mechanisms and regulation of alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Annu Rev Biochem 84:291–323.
- 25. Chen M, Manley JL (2009) Mechanisms of alternative splicing regulation: insights from molecular and genomics approaches. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10(11):741–754.
- 26. Förch P, Puig O, Martínez C, Séraphin B, Valcárcel J (2002) The splicing regulator TIA-1 interacts with U1-C to promote U1 snRNP recruitment to 5′ splice sites. EMBO J 21(24): 6882–6892.
- 27. Yu Y, et al. (2008) Dynamic regulation of alternative splicing by silencers that modulate 5′ splice site competition. Cell 135(7):1224–1236.
- 28. Izquierdo JM, et al. (2005) Regulation of Fas alternative splicing by antagonistic effects of TIA-1 and PTB on exon definition. Mol Cell 19(4):475–484.
- 29. Emery P (2007) RNA extraction from Drosophila heads. Methods Mol Biol 362:305–307.
- 30. Bradley T, Cook ME, Blanchette M (2015) SR proteins control a complex network of RNA-processing events. RNA 21(1):75–92.
- 31. Siebel CW, Kanaar R, Rio DC (1994) Regulation of tissue-specific P-element pre-mRNA splicing requires the RNA-binding protein PSI. Genes Dev 8(14):1713–1725.
- 32. Siebel CW, Admon A, Rio DC (1995) Soma-specific expression and cloning of PSI, a negative regulator of P element pre-mRNA splicing. Genes Dev 9(3):269–283.
- 33. Hafner M, et al. (2010) PAR-CliP—A method to identify transcriptome-wide the binding sites of RNA binding proteins. J Vis Exp (41):pii 2034.
- 34. Robinson JT, et al. (2011) Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 29(1):24–26.
- 35. Dobin A, et al. (2013) STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29(1):15–21.
- 36. Trapnell C, et al. (2010) Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol 28(5):511–515.
- 37. Robinson MD, Smyth GK (2007) Moderated statistical tests for assessing differences in tag abundance. Bioinformatics 23(21):2881–2887.
- 38. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26(1):139-140.
- 39. Anders S, Reyes A, Huber W (2012) Detecting differential usage of exons from RNAseq data. Genome Res 22(10):2008–2017.
- 40. Weyn-Vanhentenryck SM, et al. (2014) HITS-CLIP and integrative modeling define the Rbfox splicing-regulatory network linked to brain development and autism. Cell Reports 6(6):1139–1152.