## **HHS Public Access**

## Author manuscript

Circ Heart Fail. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Circ Heart Fail. 2016 May; 9(5): . doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.116.003135.

## Comparative effectiveness of implantable cardioverter defibrillators for primary prevention in women – Authors' reply

Emily P. Zeitler, MD<sup>\*,†</sup>, Anne S. Hellkamp, MS<sup>\*</sup>, Phillip J. Schulte, PhD<sup>‡</sup>, Gregg C. Fonarow, MD<sup>§</sup>, Adrian F. Hernandez, MD, MHS<sup>\*,†</sup>, Eric D. Peterson, MD, MPH<sup>\*,†</sup>, Gillian D. Sanders, PhD<sup>\*</sup>, Clyde W. Yancy, MD<sup>||</sup>, and Sana M. Al-Khatib, MD, MHS<sup>\*,†</sup>

\*Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham NC

<sup>†</sup>Duke University Hospital, Durham NC

<sup>‡</sup>Mayo Clinic, Department of Health Sciences Research, Rochester, MN

§Ahmanson-UCLA Cardiomyopathy Center, Ronald Reagan-UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

Division of Cardiology, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois

Mareev and colleagues raise several important issues related to comparing findings from randomized clinical trials (RCTs) to observations from clinical practice cohorts as it relates to our recent manuscript. The effect size associated with ICD therapy in our population was greater than that seen in RCTs, but this is not surprising. Indeed, our findings are consistent with other effect sizes associated with primary prevention ICDs in clinical practice cohorts. There are reasons why effect sizes in RCTs may differ from those in less selected cohorts. In particular, RCT patients are known to be healthier and receive better overall care than patients in clinical practice, which can contribute to lower event rates and, thus, what might appear as less benefit from interventions. Furthermore, the large cohort and the longer follow-up period in our analysis provide greater power to detect differences. Another potential contributing factor is the selection bias related to offering an ICD to clinical practice patients who are most likely to benefit.

Mortality rates in our cohort were high, but in a previous analysis, when survival of clinical practice patients was compared with propensity score-matched patients from RCTs of primary prevention ICDs, it was not different even in patients 65 years old.<sup>3</sup> In our study referenced by Mareev, we report a relative risk reduction associated with an ICD similar to that observed in SCD-HeFT (HR 0.78 vs 0.77).<sup>4</sup> However, when absolute risk is increased as in an older, sicker population like ours, absolute risk reductions are expected to be greater despite similar relative risk reductions.

Correspondence to: Sana M. Al-Khatib, MD, MHS, Duke Clinical Research Institute, PO Box 17969, Durham, NC 27715; telephone: 919-668 8649; fax: 919-668 7058; alkha001@mc.duke.edu.

Disclosures

Zeitler et al. Page 2

As was pointed out by Mareev et al and acknowledged in our manuscript, no statistical methods can adjust for all potential bias in an observational study. However, when questions about the effectiveness of interventions remain in important subgroups such as women, observational data may be informative.

## References

- Zeitler EP, Hellkamp A, Schulte PJ, Fonarow G, Hernandez AF, Peterson ED, Sanders GD, Yancy CW, Al-Khatib SM. Comparative Effectiveness of Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators for Primary Prevention in Women. Circ Heart Fail. 2016; 9:e002630. [PubMed: 26758365]
- Khazanie P, Hellkamp AS, Fonarow GC, Bhatt DL, Masoudi FA, Anstrom KJ, Heidenreich PA, Yancy CW, Curtis LH, Hernandez AF, Peterson ED, Al-Khatib SM. Association Between Comorbidities and Outcomes in Heart Failure Patients With and Without an Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator for Primary Prevention. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015; 4:e002061. [PubMed: 26251283]
- 3. Al-Khatib SM, Hellkamp A, Bardy GH, Hammill S, Hall WJ, Mark DB, Anstrom KJ, Curtis J, Al-Khalidi H, Curtis LH, Heidenreich P, Peterson ED, Sanders G, Clapp-Channing N, Lee KL, Moss AJ. Survival of patients receiving a primary prevention implantable cardioverter-defibrillator in clinical practice vs clinical trials. JAMA. 2013; 309:55–62. [PubMed: 23280225]
- 4. Bardy GH, Lee KL, Mark DB, Poole JE, Packer DL, Boineau R, Domanski M, Troutman C, Anderson J, Johnson G, McNulty SE, Clapp-Channing N, Davidson-Ray LD, Fraulo ES, Fishbein DP, Luceri RM, Ip JH, Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure Trial I. Amiodarone or an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for congestive heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352:225–37. [PubMed: 15659722]