JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Breast Cancer Risk in Childhood Cancer Survivors Without a History of Chest Radiotherapy: A Report From the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study

Tara O. Henderson, Chaya S. Moskowitz, Joanne F. Chou, Angela R. Bradbury, Joseph Phillip Neglia, Chau T. Dang, Kenan Onel, Danielle Novetsky Friedman, Smita Bhatia, Louise C. Strong, Marilyn Stovall, Lisa B. Kenney, Dana Barnea, Elena Lorenzi, Sue Hammond, Wendy M. Leisenring, Leslie L. Robison, Gregory T. Armstrong, Lisa R. Diller, and Kevin C. Oeffinger

See accompanying editorial on page 891

|--|

Purpose

Little is known about the breast cancer risk among childhood cancer survivors who did not receive chest radiotherapy. We sought to determine the magnitude of risk and associated risk factors for breast cancer among these women.

Patients and Methods

We evaluated cumulative breast cancer risk in 3,768 female childhood cancer survivors without a history of chest radiotherapy who were participants in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study.

Results

With median follow up of 25.5 years (range, 8 to 39 years), 47 women developed breast cancer at a median age of 38.0 years (range, 22 to 47 years) and median of 24.0 years (range, 10 to 34 years) from primary cancer to breast cancer. A four-fold increased breast cancer risk (standardized incidence ratio [SIR] = 4.0; 95% Cl, 3.0 to 5.3) was observed when compared with the general population. Risk was highest among sarcoma and leukemia survivors (SIR = 5.3; 95% Cl, 3.6 to 7.8 and SIR = 4.1; 95% Cl, 2.4 to 6.9, respectively). By the age of 45 years, the cumulative incidence of breast cancer in sarcoma and leukemia survivors was 5.8% (95% Cl, 3.7 to 8.4) and 6.3% (95% Cl, 3.0 to 11.3), respectively. No other primary cancer diagnosis was associated with an elevated risk. Alkylators and anthracyclines were associated with an increased breast cancer risk in a dose-dependent manner (Pvalues from test for trend were both < .01).

Conclusions

Women not exposed to chest radiotherapy who survive childhood sarcoma or leukemia have an increased risk of breast cancer at a young age. The data suggest high-dose alkylator and anthracycline chemotherapy increase the risk of breast cancer. This may suggest a possible underlying gene-environment interaction that warrants further study.

J Clin Oncol 34:910-918. © 2015 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

The improvement in survival of childhood cancer achieved over the last half century is one of the great successes in modern medicine. Over 80% of children diagnosed with cancer before the age of 21 years will be cured.¹ There are now over 388,500 childhood cancer survivors in the United States.² Unfortunately, many survivors have a significantly elevated risk of premature mortality.^{3,4} Subsequent malignant neoplasms (SMNs) are the leading cause of late mortality among survivors, excluding recurrence of the primary cancer.^{3,5} Breast cancer is the most frequent SMN among female childhood cancer survivors.⁶⁻¹⁰ It occurs at a relatively young age in this population and the cumulative incidence increases with age.⁶ Evidence to date suggests female survivors exposed to chest radiotherapy are the women at high risk for these breast cancers; there is a wellestablished, dose-response association between chest radiotherapy and breast cancer risk.⁹⁻¹⁶ Among these women, the cumulative incidence of breast cancer by the age of 50 years is 30%.¹⁰

Tara O. Henderson and Kenan Onel, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL: Chava S. Moskowitz, Joanne F. Chou, Chau T. Dang, Danielle Novetsky Friedman, Dana Barnea, Elena Lorenzi, and Kevin C. Oeffinger, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; Angela R. Bradbury, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA: Joseph Phillip Neglia. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; Smita Bhatia, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL; Louise C. Strong and Marilyn Stovall, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; Lisa B. Kenney and Lisa R. Diller, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute/Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA; Elena Lorenzi, Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Rozzano, Milan, Italy: Sue Hammond, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH; Wendy M. Leisenring, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; and Leslie L. Robison and Gregory T. Armstrong, St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN,

Published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on December 23, 2015.

Support information appears at the end of this article.

Presented at the 50th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Chicago, IL, May 30 to June 3, 2014.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest are found in the article online at www.jco.org. Author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Tara O. Henderson, MD, MPH, University of Chicago Comer Children's Hospital, 5841 S Maryland Ave, MC 4060, Chicago, IL 60637; e-mail: thenderson@peds.bsd. uchicago.edu.

© 2015 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/16/3409w-910w/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2015.62.3314



Fig 1. Study flow diagram. (*) Dataset used for all analyses involving treatment data.

Thus, for women exposed to chest radiotherapy, early initiation of breast cancer surveillance with yearly mammography and breast magnetic resonance imaging is recommended.^{15,17,18}

In 2004, investigators from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS) reported on 20 breast cancer cases in women not exposed to chest radiotherapy. This study found an elevated breast cancer risk, with standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) of 6.7 and 7.6 among bone and soft tissue sarcoma survivors, respectively.⁶ The small number of cases limited the ability to explore potential risk factors, but suggested some childhood cancer survivors may be at risk for breast cancer due to factors other than chest radiotherapy. In the current study, we evaluated breast cancer risk in women never exposed to chest radiotherapy and examined factors associated with this risk.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Population

The CCSS is a retrospective cohort of 14,358 5-year childhood cancer survivors treated at 26 centers in North America.^{19,20} Eligibility criteria included: diagnosis of leukemia, CNS malignancy, Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), non-HL, neuroblastoma, soft tissue sarcoma, kidney tumor, or bone cancer; diagnosis between January 1, 1970 and December 31, 1986; less than 21 years of age at diagnosis; and alive 5 years from diagnosis date. Institutional review boards at the participating centers approved the CCSS study. CCSS participants provided informed consent. The cohort methodology has been previously described.¹⁹⁻²¹

We restricted our analysis to 3,768 female participants who received no chest radiotherapy within 5 years of their childhood cancer diagnosis. We defined chest radiotherapy to include treatment with any of the following fields: mantle, mediastinal (including involved field), hemithorax (or anterior fields on one side of chest), whole-lung irradiation, spinal including posterior thoracic/paravertebral, abdominal (with extension above diaphragm), and total body irradiation. Figure 1 depicts the sample used for this analysis.

Ascertainment of Treatment Information

Therapeutic exposures were ascertained through abstraction of medical records of each participant by use of a standardized protocol.²⁰ This abstraction included diagnosis, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and surgeries. Cumulative doses of anthracyclines, alkylators, and other chemotherapy agents were determined.^{19,22,23}

Identification and Confirmation of Subsequent Breast Cancer

Breast cancer cases, including invasive cancers as well as ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), were ascertained through self- or proxy-report and the National Death Index. The CCSS pathologist reviewed pathology reports to confirm all cases. If the pathology report could not be obtained, medical records or death certificates were reviewed.

Statistical Analysis

Childhood cancer survivors were considered at risk for breast cancer beginning from entry into the CCSS cohort (5 years after their childhood cancer diagnosis) until either a recurrence, a confirmed diagnosis of breast cancer, an SMN other than breast cancer, death, or date of most recent contact. Individuals with a recurrence of their primary diagnosis or with an SMN other than breast cancer were censored at the date of diagnosis with the recurrence or neoplasm because we lacked complete medical records and knowledge of radiation exposure in these cases.

Henderson et al

	Total,	Breast Cancer,	No Breast Cancer
Characteristic	N = 3,768	n = 47	n = 3,721
Primary childhood cancer, No. (%)			
Leukemia	1,556 (41.3)	14 (29.8)	1,542 (41.4)
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	1,411	10	1,401
Acute myelogenous leukemia	121	4	117
Others	24	0	24
CNS tumor	474 (12.6)	2 (4.3)	472 (12.6)
Hodgkin lymphoma	63 (1.7)	1 (2.1)	62 (1.7)
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	155 (4.1)	1 (2.1)	154 (4.1)
Kidney tumor	408 (10.8)	1 (2.1)	407 (10.9)
Neuroblastoma	325 (8.6)	2 (4.2)	323 (8.7)
Sarcoma	787 (20.9)	26 (55.3)	761 (20.5)
Soft tissue sarcoma	418	10	408
Ewing sarcoma	99	6	93
Osteosarcoma	251	10	241
Other sarcoma	19	0	19
Bace/ethnicity, No. (%)	10	Ū	15
White non-Hispanic	3 295 (87 1)	12 (89 1)	2 252 (27 1)
Rlack non-Hispanic	152 (4 0)	42 (03.4) 3 (6 A)	1/0 // 0\
	102 (4.0)	2 (4 2)	149 (4.0) 100 (F.1)
	192 (5.1)	2 (4.2)	190 (5.1)
Other	116 (3.1)	0 (0.0)	116 (3.1)
Unknown	13 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	13 (0.4)
Age at diagnosis of primary cancer, years	5.0	44.0	5.0
Median	5.0	14.0	5.0
Range	0-20	3-20	0-20
Attained age, years			
Median	31	43	31
Range	8-58	29-56	8-58
Duration of follow up, years			
Median	25.5	26.0	25.5
Range	8.3-38.9	9.9-34.3	8.3-38.9
Age at breast cancer diagnosis, years			
Median		38.0	
Range		22-47	
Chemotherapy for primary cancer, No. (%)			
Alkylators	1,649 (43.8)	30 (63.8)	1,619 (43.5)
Cyclophosphamide	1,567	29	1,538
Other	467	13	454
Cyclophosphamide equivalent dose, mg/m², No. (%)			
0	2,116 (59.0)	17 (38.6)	2,099 (59.3)
1-5,999	624 (17.4)	4 (9.1)	620 (17.5)
6.000-17.999	675 (18.8)	16 (36.4)	659 (18.6)
≥ 18,000	169 (4.7)	7 (15.9)	162 (4.6)
Anthracycline cumulative dose mq/m^2 No (%)	,	. ()	,
0	2 321 (63 4)	14 (31.8)	2 307 (63 8)
1-249	541 (14.8)	4 (9 1)	537 (14.9)
> 250	799 (21.8)	26 (59 1)	773 (21 3)
= 250	101 (4 9)	2 (4 2)	170 (21.5)
Antimetabolitas No. (%)	1 962 (52 1)	2 (4.3)	1 / 3 (4.0) 1 020 (52 1)
Anumetabolites, No. (%) Plant alkalaida, No. (%)	1,902 (92.1)	24 (01.1)	1,330 (52.1)
Fiant alkalolus, NO. (70) Epipodophyllotoving, No. (94)	2,774 (73.0)	2 (4 2)	Z,/30 (/3.0)
Epipolophylioloxins, No. (70) Rediction for primany consorr No. (71)	200 (0.9)	2 (4.3)	258 (0.9)
naulation for primary cancer, NO. (%)		22 (40.0)	1 000 (50 0)
Any" Dahia	1,912 (50.7)	22 (46.8)	1,890 (50.8)
	1,892 (50.2)	22 (46.8)	1,870 (50.2)
vital status, NO. (%)	0.500 (00.1)		0.405.400.5
Alive at last point of contact	3,520 (93.4)	35 (74.5)	3,485 (93.6)
Deceased at last point of contact	248 (6.6)	12 (25.5)	236 (6.3)

Calculation of SIRs and absolute excess risks. SIRs were calculated as the ratio of the observed numbers of women with breast cancer to the expected numbers in the general population (including both invasive breast cancer and DCIS). SEER Program data were used to ascertain the number of breast cancers expected in a general population female cohort with the same age and calendar-year distribution as eligible CCSS participants.²⁴ For both

the CCSS cohort and SEER Program data, we included only the first primary breast cancer diagnosis. Absolute excess risk was calculated as the difference between the number of observed and expected events divided by the number of person-years follow-up, and is expressed per 10,000 person-years.

Risk factor analysis. We used Poisson regression analysis for SIRs, with age as the time scale to assess for variables that modify the risk of a



0 15

30

Age, Years

20

25

35

30

Age, Years

35

40

40

45

45

Fig 2. (A) Cumulative incidence of breast cancer in childhood cancer survivors without a history of chest radiotherapy. (B) Cumulative incidence of breast cancer in childhood sarcoma and leukemia survivors without a history of chest radiotherapy.

first breast cancer diagnosis. Relative SIRs (rSIRs) and 95% CIs are presented. A multivariate model was constructed including the main risk factors of interest, cumulative anthracycline dose, cyclophosphamide equivalent dose (CED),^{22,23} and age at primary cancer diagnosis, adjusting for race/ethnicity (white, non-Hispanic, and other) and attained age. We explored inclusion of the primary cancer diagnosis (sarcoma/leukemia v other cancers); including it was not significant and did not meaningfully change the results. A test for trend for cumulative anthracycline dose and CED was performed by specifying the ordinal variables using orthogonal polynomial contrasts and testing the statistical significance of the linear contrast.

25

20

Calculation of cumulative incidence rates. Cumulative incidences of breast cancer overall and by primary diagnoses were calculated with a nonparametric estimate, using age as the time scale. Individuals were considered to be at breast cancer risk beginning 5 years after their primary diagnosis, and death was treated as a competing risk.^{25,26} These estimates

represent the cumulative proportion of survivors expected to experience a primary breast cancer according to attained age.

We used SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and Stata software, version 13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Characteristics of Survivors With Breast Cancer

With a median follow up of 25.5 years (range, 8 to 39 years), 47 women developed primary breast cancer (41 invasive breast cancer cases; six DCIS cases). The median time from primary cancer to breast cancer was 24.0 years (range, 10 to 34 years). The median age at breast cancer diagnosis was 38.0 years (range, 22 to

0.5

0.4

0.3 0.2 0.1 0

15

Henderson et al

Characteristic No. Person-Years at Risk 0 E SIR 95% CI AER per 10.000 Person-Years 95% CI Whole cohort 72,493 47 11.8 4.0 30.0 b 6.7 Age at primary diagnosis, years - <th></th> <th>Table 2. Breast Ca</th> <th>ancer Ris</th> <th>sk Compa</th> <th>red With t</th> <th>the General Popu</th> <th>lation</th> <th></th>		Table 2. Breast Ca	ancer Ris	sk Compa	red With t	the General Popu	lation	
Index cohort 72,493 47 11.8 4.0 3.0 to 6.3 4.9 3.0 to 6.7 Age at primary dignosis, years 6 3.3 1.8 0.8 to 4.1 0.5 -0.4 to 1.4 0.19 1.803 0 0.0 NA NA 2039 54,109 12 3.4 3.5 2.0 to 6.2 1.6 0.3 to 2.8 4049 1.4,999 32 6.8 4.7 3.3 to 6.6 1.8 9.4 to 2.1 to 3.0 51.49 0.6 0.0 NA NA 0.3 to 2.8 25.95 1.562 3 1.10 5.3 to 23.1 1.8 0.3 to 3.3 15.2.49 28,171 17 4.8 3.6 2.4 to 5.4 13.1 8.3 to 3.0 Primary cancer diagnosis uear 27.166 10 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 5.3 2.6 1.10 6.3 to 23.1 1.5 to 7.3 AML 27.156 10 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 6.9 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 <t< th=""><th>Characteristic</th><th>No. Person-Years at Risk</th><th>0</th><th>Е</th><th>SIR</th><th>95% CI</th><th>AER per 10,000 Person-Years</th><th>95% CI</th></t<>	Characteristic	No. Person-Years at Risk	0	Е	SIR	95% CI	AER per 10,000 Person-Years	95% CI
Age at primary diagnosis, years0-951,75063.180.8 h 4.10.5 -0.4 h 1.410-2020,743418.54.83.5 to 6.515.4 9.4 to 21.5Attained age, yearsNA20-3954,1091.22.43.52.0 to 6.21.60.3 to 2.820-396.84.73.3 to 6.616.89.4 to 24.250-501.52231.51.90.6 to 6.09.5-11me since diagnosis, years51-4.935.62570.611.05.3 to 23.11.80.3 to 3.315 24.328.171174.83.62.2 to 5.84.31.5 to 2.227.528.669236.43.62.2 to 5.81.1 to 6.970.61.03.31.8 to 5.32.60.3 to 4.973.610.5NANA11miary cancer diagnosisNA-11miary cancer diagnosis-1.1 to 6.03.61.8 to 2.41.9 to 4.9AML2.37740.49.83.6 to 2.41.5-1.1 to 6.9AML2.37740.49.83.6 to 2.42.9 to 5.33.2 to 5.33.6 to 2.42.9 to 5.3AML2.37740.49.83.6 to 2.42.9 to 5.33.6 to 2.42.9 to 5.3 <t< td=""><td>Whole cohort</td><td>72,493</td><td>47</td><td>11.8</td><td>4.0</td><td>3.0 to 5.3</td><td>4.9</td><td>3.0 to 6.7</td></t<>	Whole cohort	72,493	47	11.8	4.0	3.0 to 5.3	4.9	3.0 to 6.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age at primary diagnosis, years							
10-20 20,743 41 85 4.8 3.5 to 6.5 15.4 9.4 to 21.5 Attinied age, years	0-9	51,750	6	3.3	1.8	0.8 to 4.1	0.5	-0.4 to 1.4
Attend age, years0-191,80300.0NANA20-3954,109123.43.52.0 to 6.616.89.4 to 2.4 250-691.5823.1.51.90.6 to 6.09.512.0 to 30.9Time since diagnosis, years514.935.62570.611.05.3 to 23.11.80.3 to 3.315-24.928,171174.83.62.2 to 5.64.31.5 to 7.222.58.6692.36.43.62.4 to 6.419.18.3 to 30.0Primary cancer diagnosisLeukernia28.8661.43.44.12.4 to 6.93.51.1 to 6.0ALL27.156103.03.31.8 to 6.26.115.1-1.3 to 31.6Others36100.5NANASarcoma15.2622.64.82.6 to 21.115.1-1.3 to 31.6Others36100.5NANASarcoma4.941102.05.12.81.62.3 66.6 2.8Chirus3.9100.2NANAOther sarcoma3.9100.2NANAOther sarcoma3.9100.2NANAOther sarcoma3.9100.2 <td>10-20</td> <td>20,743</td> <td>41</td> <td>8.5</td> <td>4.8</td> <td>3.5 to 6.5</td> <td>15.4</td> <td>9.4 to 21.5</td>	10-20	20,743	41	8.5	4.8	3.5 to 6.5	15.4	9.4 to 21.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Attained age, years							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0-19	1,803	0	0.0	NA		NA	
40-49 14,999 32 6.8 4.7 3.3 to 6.6 16.8 9.4 to 24.2 50-59 1.582 3 1.5 1.9 0.6 to 6.0 9.5 -12.0 to 30.9 Time since diagnosis, years 5 2.0 to 3.0 3.1 5.14.9 0.6 to 6.0 9.5 -12.0 to 30.9 15.24.9 26,171 17 4.8 3.6 2.2 to 5.8 4.3 1.5 to 7.2 Primary cancer diagnosis 110 5.3 to 23.1 1.8 0.3 to 3.3 Primary cancer diagnosis 120,000 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 ALL 27,166 10 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 6.9 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 AML 2.377 4 0.4 9.8 3.6 to 7.8 13.9 7.4 to 20.5 Sarcoma 15,262 2.6 4.8 5.3 3.6 to 7.8 13.9 7.4 to 20.5 Soft tissue 8,086 10 2.1 to 4.8 2.6 to 9.1 9.8 2.1 to 17.4 Others areoma 3.91 0 0.2 NA NA NA C	20-39	54,109	12	3.4	3.5	2.0 to 6.2	1.6	0.3 to 2.8
50-59 1,82 3 1,5 1,9 0,6 to 6,0 9,5 -1,2 to 3,0 3 5-14.9 35,625 7 0,6 11,0 5,2 to 2,3 1 1,8 0,3 to 3,3 15-24.9 28,171 17 4.8 3,6 2,2 to 5,8 4,3 1,5 to 7,2 ≥ 25 8,669 23 6,4 3,6 2,4 to 5,8 4,3 1,5 to 7,2 Primary cancer diagnosis	40-49	14,999	32	6.8	4.7	3.3 to 6.6	16.8	9.4 to 24.2
Time since diagnosis, years 5-14.9 35.625 7 0.6 11.0 5.3 to 23.1 1.8 0.3 to 33. 1524.9 28,171 17 4.8 3.6 2.2 to 5.8 4.3 1.5 to 7.2 ≥ 25 8,669 23 64 3.6 2.4 to 5.8 4.3 1.8 to 7.2 Pimary cancer diagnosis 1 2.4 to 5.9 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 ALL 27,156 10 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 6.3 2.6 0.3 to 4.9 AML 2.377 4 0.4 9.8 3.6 to 26.1 15.1 -1.3 to 31.6 Others 361 0 0.5 NA NA 1.0 2.0 5.3 3.6 to 7.8 13.9 7.4 to 20.5 2.8 to 9.5 1.6.2 3.8 to 22.0 3.2 to 17.3 3.6 to 7.8 13.9 7.4 to 20.5 2.8 to 9.5 1.6.2 3.6 to 2.8	50-59	1,582	3	1.5	1.9	0.6 to 6.0	9.5	-12.0 to 30.9
51-1.9 356.25 7 0.6 11.0 5.5 10.23.1 1.8 0.3 to 3.3 1524 9 28.171 17 4.8 3.6 2.2 to 5.8 4.3 1.5 to 7.2 ≥ 25 8.669 23 6.4 3.6 2.4 to 5.4 19.1 8.3 to 30.0 Primary cancer diagnosis 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 6.3 2.6 0.3 to 4.9 ALL 2.176 10 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 6.3 2.6 0.3 to 4.9 AML 2.377 4 0.4 4.9 8 3.6 to 7.8 NA - Sarcoma 15.262 2.6 4.8 5.3 3.6 to 7.8 NA - Sarcoma 19.262 2.6 4.8 5.3 3.6 to 7.8 NA - Osteosarcoma 4.941 10 2.0 5.1 2.8 to 9.1 9.8 2.1 to 7.4 Other sarcoma 391 0.2 NA NA - - -2.7 to 4.2 Lymphona* 4.947 10 6.6	Time since diagnosis, years							
15-24.9 28,171 17 4.8 3.6 2.2 to 5.8 4.3 15 to 7.2 ≥ 25 8.669 23 6.4 3.6 2.4 to 5.4 19.1 8.3 to 30.0 Primary cancer diagnosis 29.896 1.4 3.4 1.2 4 to 6.9 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 ALL 27.156 10 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 6.3 2.6 0.3 to 4.9 AML 2.377 4 0.4 9.8 3.6 to 2.6.1 15.1 -1.3 to 31.6 Others 361 0 0.5 NA NA - -1.3 to 31.6 Sarcoma 15,262 2.6 4.8 5.3 3.6 to 7.8 13.9 7.4 to 20.5 Ewing 1.844 6 0.6 10.0 4.5 to 2.2.4 2.9.3 3.2 to 5.3 Soft tissue 8.066 0 2.1 4.8 2.6 to 9.3 1.6 2.4 to 7.9 Other sarcoma 391 0 0.2 NA NA - - -2.7 to 4.2 1.4 to 7.4 2.8 to 5.3 1.6 to 4.2 2.5 0.6 to 4.5 - <td>5-14.9</td> <td>35,625</td> <td>7</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>11.0</td> <td>5.3 to 23.1</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>0.3 to 3.3</td>	5-14.9	35,625	7	0.6	11.0	5.3 to 23.1	1.8	0.3 to 3.3
≥ 25 8,669 23 6.4 3.6 2.4 to 5.4 19.1 8.3 to 30.0 Primary cancer diagnosis 8.3 to 30.0 ALL 27,156 10 3.0 3.3 1.3 to 6.3 2.6 0.3 to 4.9 AML 2,377 4 0.4 9.8 3.6 to 2.6. 15.1 -1.3 to 31.6 Others 361 0 0.5 NA NA NA Sarcoma 15,262 2.6 4.8 5.3 3.6 to 7.8 13.9 7.4 to 20.5 Ewing 1,844 6 0.6 10.0 4.5 to 22.4 29.3 3.2 to 55.3 Soft issue 3.6 to 28.7 Others 3.6 2.1 to 7.4 3.8 3.6 2.8 to 9.5 16.2 3.6 to 28.7 Others 3.6 <td>15-24.9</td> <td>28,171</td> <td>17</td> <td>4.8</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>2.2 to 5.8</td> <td>4.3</td> <td>1.5 to 7.2</td>	15-24.9	28,171	17	4.8	3.6	2.2 to 5.8	4.3	1.5 to 7.2
Primary cancer diagnosis Leukemia 29,896 14 3.4 3.1 2.4 to 6.9 3.5 1.1 to 6.0 ALL 2,7,156 10 3.0 3.3 1.8 to 6.3 2.6 0.3 to 4.9 AML 2,377 4 0.4 9.8 36 to 26.1 15.1 -1.3 to 31.6 Others 361 0 0.5 NA NA Sarcoma 15,262 2.6 4.8 5.3 36 to 7.8 13.9 7.4 to 20.5 Ewing 1,844 6 0.6 10.0 4.5 to 22.4 29.3 3.2 to 53.3 Soft tissue 8,086 10 2.1 4.8 2.6 to 9.1 9.8 2.1 to 17.4 Other sarcoma 391 0 0.2 NA NA CNS tumor 8,042 2 1.4 1.4 0.4 to 5.6 0.7 -2.7 to 4.2 Lymphoma* 4,379 2 1.3 1.6 0.4 to 5.6 0.7 -2.7 to 4.2 Lompho	≥ 25	8,669	23	6.4	3.6	2.4 to 5.4	19.1	8.3 to 30.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Primary cancer diagnosis							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Leukemia	29,896	14	3.4	4.1	2.4 to 6.9	3.5	1.1 to 6.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ALL	27,156	10	3.0	3.3	1.8 to 6.3	2.6	0.3 to 4.9
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	AML	2,377	4	0.4	9.8	3.6 to 26.1	15.1	-1.3 to 31.6
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Others	361	0	0.5	NA		NA	
Ewing1,84460.610.04.5 to 22.429.33.2 to 55.3Soft tissue8,086102.14.82.6 to 9.19.82.1 to 17.4Osteosarcoma3.9100.2NANACNS tumor8,04221.41.40.4 to 5.60.7-2.7 to 4.2Lymphoma*4,37921.31.60.4 to 6.31.6-4.7 to 7.9Embryonal tumors*14,91430.83.61.2 to 11.31.5-0.8 to 3.8Whole cohortCED, mg/m²041,443176.62.61.6 to 4.22.50.6 to 4.51.5,99911,34241.42.81.1 to 7.52.3-1.2 to 5.76,000-17,99912,524160.79.4 8 to 12.911.24.9 to 17.4≥ 18,0003,55170.79.44.5 to 19.717.73.1 to 32.3Anthracycline, mg/m²01.2 to 3.31.5-0.1 to 3.1-0.9 to 7.1≥ 25015,039263.18.35.7 to 12.215.28.6 to 21.9Amorg leukemia/sarcomaCED, mg/m²02.2,404124.42.81.5 to 10.73.1-0.9 to 7.1≥ 25015,039263.18.35.7 to 12.215.28.6 to 21.9Amorg leukemia/sarcomaCED, mg/m²02.2,404124.42.81.5 to 10.73.1-0.9 to 7.1≥ 2503.387151.5 <td< td=""><td>Sarcoma</td><td>15.262</td><td>26</td><td>4.8</td><td>5.3</td><td>3.6 to 7.8</td><td>13.9</td><td>7.4 to 20.5</td></td<>	Sarcoma	15.262	26	4.8	5.3	3.6 to 7.8	13.9	7.4 to 20.5
Soft issue 8,086 10 2.1 4.8 2.6 to 9.1 9.8 2.1 to 17.4 Osteosarcoma 4,941 10 2.0 5.1 2.8 to 9.5 16.2 36 to 28.7 Other sarcoma 391 0 0.2 NA NA NA CNS tumor 8,042 2 1.4 1.4 0.4 to 5.6 0.7 −2.7 to 4.2 Lymphoma* 4,379 2 1.3 1.6 0.4 to 6.3 1.6 −4.7 to 7.9 Embryonal tumors* 14,914 3 0.8 3.6 1.2 to 11.3 1.5 −0.8 to 3.8 Whole cohot CED, mg/m² 2.5 0.6 to 4.5 1.5 to 5.99 11.342 4 1.4 2.8 1.1 to 7.5 2.3 −1.2 to 5.7 6,000-17,999 12,524 16 2.0 7.9 4.8 to 19.7 17.7 3.1 to 32.3 Anthracycline, mg/m² 0 45,660 14 7.2 2.0 1.2 to 3.3 1.5 −0.1 to 3.1 −2.9 to 7.1 ≥ 250	Ewing	1.844	6	0.6	10.0	4.5 to 22.4	29.3	3.2 to 55.3
Detension 4.941 10 2.0 5.1 2.8 to 9.5 16.2 3.6 to 28.7 Other sarcoma 391 0 0.2 NA NA CNS tumor 8.042 2 1.4 1.4 0.4 to 5.6 0.7 −2.7 to 4.2 Lymphoma* 4.379 2 1.3 1.6 0.4 to 6.3 1.6 −4.7 to 7.9 Embryonal tumors* 14.914 3 0.8 3.6 1.2 to 11.3 1.5 −0.8 to 3.8 Whole cohort CED, mg/m ² 0 41,443 17 6.6 2.6 1.6 to 4.2 2.5 0.6 to 4.5 1-5,999 11,342 4 1.4 2.8 1.1 to 7.5 2.3 −1.2 to 5.7 6,000-17,999 12,524 16 2.0 1.2 to 3.3 1.5 −0.1 to 3.1 2.81,000 3,551 7 0.7 9.4 4.5 to 19.7 17.7 3.1 to 32.3 Anthracycline, mg/m ² 0 1.5 0.1 to 3.1 −0.9 to 7.1 ≥ 250 <	Soft tissue	8.086	10	2.1	4.8	2.6 to 9.1	9.8	2.1 to 17.4
Other sarcoma 391 0 0.2 NA NA CNS tumor 8,042 2 1.4 1.4 0.4 to 5.6 0.7 -2.7 to 4.2 Lymphoma* 4,379 2 1.3 1.6 0.4 to 6.3 1.6 -4.7 to 7.9 Embryonal tumors* 14,914 3 0.8 3.6 1.2 to 11.3 1.5 -0.8 to 3.8 Whole cohort CED, mg/m² - - - - -0.8 to 3.8 0 41,443 17 6.6 2.6 1.6 to 4.2 2.5 0.6 to 4.5 1-5,999 11,342 4 1.4 2.8 1.1 to 7.5 2.3 -1.2 to 5.7 6,000-17,999 12,524 16 2.0 7.9 4.8 to 12.9 11.2 4.9 to 17.4 ≥ 18,000 3,551 7 0.7 9.4 4.5 to 19.7 3.1 -0.9 to 7.1 ≥ 250 15,039 26 3.1 8.3 5.7 to 12.2 15.2 8 to 21.9 CED, mg/m²	Osteosarcoma	4.941	10	2.0	5.1	2.8 to 9.5	16.2	3.6 to 28.7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Other sarcoma	391	0	0.2	NA		NA	
Lymphoma*4,37921.31.60.4 to 6.31.6-4.7 to 7.9Embryonal tumors*14,91430.83.61.2 to 11.31.5-0.8 to 3.8Whole cohort CED, mg/m2041,443176.62.61.6 to 4.22.50.6 to 4.51-5,99911,34241.42.81.1 to 7.52.3-1.2 to 5.76,000-17,99912,524162.07.94.8 to 12.911.24.9 to 17.4≥ 18,0003,55170.79.44.5 to 19.717.73.1 to 32.3Anthracycline, mg/m2045,660147.22.01.2 to 3.31.5-0.1 to 3.11-2499,77841.04.01.5 to 10.73.1-0.9 to 7.1≥ 25015,039263.18.35.7 to 12.215.28.6 to 21.9Among leukemia/sarcoma CED, mg/m2022,404124.42.81.5 to 4.83.40.4 to 6.41-5,9999,56341.23.31.2 to 8.72.9-1.2 to 7.06,000-17,9998,387151.510.06.1 to 16.716.17.0 to 25.1≥ 18,0002,58160.610.34.6 to 22.920.92.3 to 39.5Anthracycline, mg/m2024,75284.41.80.9 to 3.61.5-0.8 to 3.7≥ 25011,695252.69.56.4 to 14.019.210.8 to 7.52.10 to 9.9 </td <td>CNS tumor</td> <td>8 042</td> <td>2</td> <td>14</td> <td>14</td> <td>04 to 56</td> <td>07</td> <td>-27 to 42</td>	CNS tumor	8 042	2	14	14	04 to 56	07	-27 to 42
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lymphoma*	4.379	2	1.3	1.6	0.4 to 6.3	16	-4.7 to 7.9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Embryonal tumors*	14 914	3	0.8	3.6	1.2 to 11.3	1.5	-0.8 to 3.8
CED, mg/m ² 0 41,443 17 6.6 2.6 1.6 to 4.2 2.5 0.6 to 4.5 1-5,999 11,342 4 1.4 2.8 1.1 to 7.5 2.3 -1.2 to 5.7 6,000-17,999 12,524 16 2.0 7.9 4.8 to 12.9 11.2 4.9 to 17.4 ≥ 18,000 3,551 7 0.7 9.4 4.5 to 19.7 17.7 3.1 to 32.3 Anthracycline, mg/m ² 0 45,660 14 7.2 2.0 1.2 to 3.3 1.5 -0.1 to 3.1 1-249 9,778 4 1.0 4.0 1.5 to 10.7 3.1 -0.9 to 7.1 ≥ 250 15,039 26 3.1 8.3 5.7 to 12.2 15.2 8.6 to 21.9 Among leukemia/sarcoma CED, mg/m ² 0 22,404 12 4.4 2.8 1.5 to 4.8 3.4 0.4 to 6.4 1-5,999 9,563 4 1.2 3.3 1.2 to 8.7 2.9 -1.2 to 7.0 6,000-17,999 8,387 15 1.5 10.0 6.1	Whole cohort	17,017	0	0.0	0.0	1.2 to 11.0	1.5	0.0 10 0.0
041,443176.62.61.6 to 4.22.50.6 to 4.51-5,99911,34241.42.81.1 to 7.52.3-1.2 to 5.76,000-17,99912,524162.07.94.8 to 12.911.24.9 to 17.4≥ 18,0003,55170.79.44.5 to 19.717.73.1 to 32.3Anthracycline, mg/m²045,660147.22.01.2 to 3.31.5-0.1 to 3.1045,660147.22.01.2 to 3.31.5-0.1 to 3.11-2499,77841.04.01.5 to 10.73.1-0.9 to 7.1≥ 25015,039263.18.35.7 to 12.215.28.6 to 21.9Among leukemia/sarcomaCED, mg/m²022,404124.42.81.5 to 4.83.40.4 to 6.41-5,9999,56341.23.31.2 to 8.72.9-1.2 to 7.06,000-17,9998,387151.510.06.1 to 16.716.17.0 to 25.1≥ 18,0002,58160.610.34.6 to 22.920.92.3 to 39.5Anthracycline, mg/m²024,75284.41.80.9 to 3.61.5-0.8 to 3.71-2497,18640.85.01.8 to 13.14.5-1.0 to 9.9≥ 25011.695252.69.56.4 to 14.019.210.8 to 27.5	$CED mg/m^2$							
01,7401,7401,7401,82.51.0 to 7.22.3-1.2 to 5.71,5,99911,34241.42.81.1 to 7.52.3-1.2 to 5.76,000-17,99912,524162.07.94.8 to 12.911.24.9 to 17.4≥ 18,0003,55170.79.44.5 to 19.717.73.1 to 32.3Anthracycline, mg/m²045,660147.22.01.2 to 3.31.5-0.1 to 3.11-2499,77841.04.01.5 to 10.73.1-0.9 to 7.1≥ 25015,039263.18.35.7 to 12.215.28.6 to 21.9Among leukemia/sarcomaCED, mg/m²022,404124.42.81.5 to 4.83.40.4 to 6.41-5,9999,56341.23.31.2 to 8.72.9-1.2 to 7.06,000-17,9998,387151.510.06.1 to 16.716.17.0 to 25.1≥ 18,0002,58160.610.34.6 to 22.920.92.3 to 39.5Anthracycline, mg/m²024,75284.41.80.9 to 3.61.5-0.8 to 3.7024,75284.41.80.9 to 3.61.5-0.8 to 3.71.2 to 9.925011,695252.69.56.4 to 14.019.210.8 to 27.5	0	11 113	17	6.6	2.6	1.6 to 4.2	25	0.6 to 4.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1-5 999	11 3/2	1	1.4	2.0	1.0 to 7.5	2.0	-1.2 to 5.7
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6 000-17 999	12 524	16	2.0	7.0	1.1 to 7.5	2.5	1.2 to 3.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	> 18 000	3 551	7	2.0	9.J	4.0 to 12.3	17.7	3.1 to 32.3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\leq 10,000$	3,551	/	0.7	3.4	4.5 10 15.7	17.7	5.1 10 52.5
043,000147.22.01.2 to 3.31.5-0.1 to 3.11-2499,77841.04.01.5 to 10.73.1-0.9 to 7.1≥ 25015,039263.18.35.7 to 12.215.28.6 to 21.9Among leukemia/sarcoma CED, mg/m²022,404124.42.81.5 to 4.83.40.4 to 6.41-5,9999,56341.23.31.2 to 8.72.9-1.2 to 7.06,000-17,9998,387151.510.06.1 to 16.716.17.0 to 25.1≥ 18,0002,58160.610.34.6 to 22.920.92.3 to 39.5Anthracycline, mg/m²024,75284.41.80.9 to 3.61.5-0.8 to 3.71-2497,18640.85.01.8 to 13.14.5-1.0 to 9.925011.695252.69.56.4 to 14.019.210.8 to 27.5		45 660	14	7.0	2.0	1 2 to 2 2	1 5	0 1 to 2 1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 240	45,000	14	1.2	2.0	1.2 to 3.3	1.5	-0.1 to 3.1
$= 250$ 15,039263.18.35.7 to 12.215.215.28.6 to 21.9Among leukemia/sarcoma CED, mg/m²022,404124.42.81.5 to 4.83.40.4 to 6.41-5,9999,56341.23.31.2 to 8.72.9-1.2 to 7.06,000-17,9998,387151.510.06.1 to 16.716.17.0 to 25.1 \geq 18,0002,58160.610.34.6 to 22.920.92.3 to 39.5Anthracycline, mg/m²024,75284.41.80.9 to 3.61.5-0.8 to 3.71-2497,18640.85.01.8 to 13.14.5-1.0 to 9.9252.69.56.4 to 14.019.210.8 to 27.5	> 250	9,778	4	1.0	4.0	1.5 to 10.7	3.1	-0.9 to 7.1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≥ 250	15,039	20	3.1	8.3	5.7 10 12.2	15.2	8.0 10 21.9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CED, mg/m ²							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	22,404	12	4.4	2.8	1.5 to 4.8	3.4	0.4 to 6.4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1-5,999	9,563	4	1.2	3.3	1.2 to 8.7	2.9	-1.2 to 7.0
≥ 18,000 2,581 6 0.6 10.3 4.6 to 22.9 20.9 2.3 to 39.5 Anthracycline, mg/m2 0 24,752 8 4.4 1.8 0.9 to 3.6 1.5 -0.8 to 3.7 1-249 7,186 4 0.8 5.0 1.8 to 13.1 4.5 -1.0 to 9.9 ≥ 250 11.695 25 2.6 9.5 6.4 to 14.0 19.2 10.8 to 27.5	6,000-17,999	8,387	15	1.5	10.0	6.1 to 16.7	16.1	7.0 to 25.1
Anthracycline, mg/m ² 24,752 8 4.4 1.8 0.9 to 3.6 1.5 -0.8 to 3.7 1-249 7,186 4 0.8 5.0 1.8 to 13.1 4.5 -1.0 to 9.9 ≥ 250 11.695 25 2.6 9.5 6.4 to 14.0 19.2 10.8 to 27.5	≥ 18,000	2,581	6	0.6	10.3	4.6 to 22.9	20.9	2.3 to 39.5
0 24,752 8 4.4 1.8 0.9 to 3.6 1.5 -0.8 to 3.7 1-249 7,186 4 0.8 5.0 1.8 to 13.1 4.5 -1.0 to 9.9 ≥ 250 11.695 25 2.6 9.5 6.4 to 14.0 19.2 10.8 to 27.5	Anthracycline, mg/m ²							
$1-249$ 7,186 4 0.8 5.0 1.8 to 13.1 4.5 -1.0 to 9.9 ≥ 250 11,695 25 2.6 9.5 6.4 to 14.0 19.2 10.8 to 27.5	0	24,752	8	4.4	1.8	0.9 to 3.6	1.5	-0.8 to 3.7
≥ 250 11.695 25 2.6 9.5 6.4 to 14.0 19.2 10.8 to 27.5	1-249	7.186	4	0.8	5.0	1.8 to 13.1	4.5	-1.0 to 9.9
	≥ 250	11.695	25	2.6	9.5	6.4 to 14.0	19.2	10.8 to 27.5

NOTE. Breast cancer includes both invasive breast cancer (n = 41) and ductal carcinoma in situ.

Abbreviations: AER, absolute excess risk; ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; CED, cyclophosphamide equivalent dose; E, expected; NA, not applicable; O, observed; SIR, standardized incidence ratio.

*Lymphoma = Hodgkin lymphoma or non-Hodgkin lymphoma; embryonal tumors = kidney tumors or neuroblastoma.

47 years). The characteristics of the female childhood cancer survivors are presented in Table 1. Eighty-five percent of women with breast cancer were sarcoma (55%) or leukemia (30%) survivors. Twenty-six percent of women with breast cancer were subsequently deceased at last point of contact. Seven women (15%) with breast cancer had bilateral breast cancer; two women (4%) had synchronous breast cancer, and five women (11%) developed metachronous breast cancer (> 6 months from the first breast cancer diagnosis).

Cumulative Incidence Rates

The cumulative incidence of breast cancer by the age of 45 years was 4.5% (95% CI, 3.2 to 6.2) (Fig 2A), and was highest

among sarcoma and leukemia survivors at 5.8% (95% CI, 3.7 to 8.4) and 6.3% (95% CI, 3.0 to 11.3), respectively (Fig 2B). Among all primary cancers except sarcoma and leukemia, the cumulative incidence by the age of 45 years was 1.8% (95% CI, 0.6 to 4.0).

SIR Analysis

Breast cancer risk was four-fold higher in childhood cancer survivors than the general population (Table 2; SIR = 4.0; 95% CI, 3.0 to 5.3). Notable high-risk groups included survivors of primary sarcoma (SIR = 5.3; 95% CI, 3.6 to 7.8) or leukemia (SIR = 4.1; 95% CI, 2.4 to 6.9), those who were older at primary cancer diagnosis (ages 10 to 20 years; SIR = 4.8; 95% CI, 3.5 to 6.5), and

	Whole Cohort			Leukemia/Sarcoma		
Variable	No. of Patients With Breast Cancer	Relative SIRs (95% CI)	Р	No. of Patients With Breast Cancer	Relative SIRs (95% Cl)	Ρ
Cyclophosphamide equivalent dose, mg/m ²						
0	15	_	.044	10	_	.045
1-5,999	4	0.6 (0.2 to 2.0)		4	0.7 (0.2 to 2.3)	
6,000-17,999	16	1.6 (0.7 to 3.5)		15	1.9 (0.8 to 4.5)	
≥ 18,000	7	3.0 (1.2 to 7.7)		6	3.4 (1.2 to 9.7)	
Anthracycline dose, mg/m ²						
0	12	—	.004	6	_	.005
1-249	4	2.6 (0.8 to 8.7)		4	4.3 (1.1 to 16.6)	
≥ 250	26	3.8 (1.7 to 8.3)		25	5.1 (1.9 to 13.7)	
Age at primary cancer diagnosis, years						
0-9	6	—	.077	4	—	.147
10-20	36	2.3 (0.9 to 5.8)		31	2.3 (0.7 to 7.0)	
Ethnicity			.849			.944
White, non-Hispanic	37	—		31	—	
Minorities	5	1.1 (0.4 to 2.8)		4	1.0 (0.4 to 3.0)	
Current age, years			.380			.661
5-29	4	—		4	—	
30-34	11	1.3 (0.4 to 4.1)		7	0.8 (0.2 to 2.7)	
35-39	8	0.6 (0.2 to 1.9)		7	0.5 (0.1 to 1.7)	
40+	19	0.8 (0.3 to 2.5)		17	0.7 (0.2 to 2.2)	

Abbreviation: SIR, standardized incidence ratio.

those who were treated with anthracycline and alkylator chemotherapy (SIR = 8.6; 95% CI, 5.7 to 12.8). Sarcoma and leukemia survivors treated with both anthracyclines and alkylators had a 9.8fold increased breast cancer risk (95% CI, 6.5 to 14.7). In contrast, an increased risk among sarcoma and leukemia survivors who did not receive alkylator or anthracycline chemotherapy was not observed (SIR = 1.2; 95% CI, 0.4 to 3.3). When we examined breast cancer risk among women diagnosed with a primary cancer other than sarcoma or leukemia, we did not observe a significantly increased risk (SIR = 1.9; 95% CI, 0.9 to 4.2).

Risk Factor Analysis for Subsequent Breast Cancer

By univariate analysis, breast cancer risk was not significantly different among white, non-Hispanic, and minority survivors. In contrast, survivors diagnosed with their primary cancer between the ages of 10 and 20 years had a higher risk than those diagnosed between 0 and 9 years (rSIR = 2.6; 95% CI, 1.1 to 6.2). Sarcoma and leukemia survivors had a 2.4-fold elevated breast cancer risk compared with all other survivors (95% CI, 1.1 to 5.4). Exposures to alkylator and anthracycline chemotherapy were associated with breast cancer development in a dose-dependent fashion (*P* values both < .01). Of note, this association persisted when restricting the analysis to only survivors of sarcoma or leukemia (n = 2,343). The number of cases in those who were not survivors of leukemia or sarcoma (n = 7) precludes a dose-response analysis; only two of these seven survivors received any alkylating agents.

To exclude the effect of scatter radiation (radiation from fields other than chest) on breast cancer risk, we examined the risk from exposure to any radiation for the primary cancer and found no association. Pelvic radiation, associated with a protective effect against breast cancer in women exposed to chest radiotherapy,¹⁰⁻¹² was not associated with a reduced breast cancer risk in this cohort. Multivariable analysis (Table 3) revealed that exposure to high doses of alkylator (CED > 18,000 mg/m²; rSIR = 3.0; 95% CI, 1.2 to 7.7) or anthracycline chemotherapy (> 250 mg/m²; rSIR = 3.8; 95% CI, 1.7 to 8.3) was significantly associated with breast cancer development. When we restricted the analysis to only sarcoma or leukemia survivors, alkylators and anthracyclines were associated with breast cancer development in a dose-dependent fashion (test for trend, *P* values both < .01).

DISCUSSION

Overall, we observed that female childhood cancer survivors without a history of chest radiotherapy have a four-fold increased breast cancer risk compared with similar-age women in the general population. Breast cancer risk was particularly increased in sarcoma and leukemia survivors, with a 5.3-fold and 4.1-fold greater risk, respectively; among sarcoma and leukemia survivors exposed to both anthracycline and alkylator chemotherapy, risk was increased to almost 10-fold. To our knowledge, this is the largest study to date focused on breast cancer risk in female childhood cancer survivors who were not exposed to chest radiotherapy for their primary cancer.

A clinically relevant and novel finding observed in this study was the association of two classes of chemotherapy (anthracyclines and alkylators) and breast cancer risk. The association between anthracyclines or alkylators and breast cancer is suggestive of a dose-response relationship. We were limited in this study in our ability to assess the association between chemotherapy exposures and breast cancer risk in the primary cancer diagnoses other than leukemia and sarcoma, given the small number of cases of breast cancer among them (n = 7).

Although increased breast cancer risk has been noted among leukemia and sarcoma survivors, prior studies have not been able

915

to assess the association of chemotherapeutic exposures among these survivors.^{6,27,28} Further, although the association of breast cancer among sarcoma and leukemia survivors has been observed in the British CCSS, this risk was only observed among survivors exposed to radiation therapy, not among survivors who never received radiation.^{27,28}

Breast cancer presented in women as young as 22 years with a median age at breast cancer diagnosis of 38 years, which is much younger than the general population incidence. Fifteen percent of women presented with bilateral disease. This is a comparable proportion to that observed in previous reports of breast cancer in survivors exposed to chest radiotherapy¹⁵; it is higher than the incidence of bilateral disease observed in the general population.²⁹

Prior work suggests an association between chemotherapy and solid SMNs, but these studies largely involved patients treated with radiotherapy.^{11,30-37} For example, in a case-control study, Inskip et al¹¹ reported a potential independent association between breast cancer and anthracyclines (odds ratio = 1.86; 95% CI, 0.99 to 3.48), adjusted for an exposure to chest radiotherapy. Henderson et al^{31,32} reported that exposure to alkylators or anthracyclines was independently associated with the development of secondary sarcomas in childhood cancer survivors treated with high doses of radiotherapy. This is consistent with earlier studies in the Late Effects Study Group.^{36,37} Further, Veiga et al³⁵ reported that alkylator chemotherapy was independently associated with thyroid cancer development among survivors in the CCSS who received low doses of radiotherapy to the neck field.

There is a paucity of data suggesting a relationship between alkylators or anthracyclines and solid tumors developing in survivors not exposed to therapeutic radiation (or in areas distant from the radiation field). In a case-control study of HL survivors who developed lung cancer, Travis et al³³ reported that alkylator chemotherapy without radiotherapy was associated with increased lung cancer risk (relative risk = 4.2; 95% CI, 2.1 to 8.8). Similarly, Swerdlow et al³⁴ reported that in HL survivors exposed to chemotherapy alone, there was a significant association between chemotherapy exposure and the development of lung cancer and non-HL.

To our knowledge, this is the first large study that has identified an association between subsequent breast cancer development in a nonirradiated field and exposure to anthracyclines or alkylators. Moreover, our data suggest a possible doseresponse relationship. Our findings are consistent with early laboratory-based studies suggesting that anthracycline and alkylator exposure are associated with mammary tumor development in vivo.³⁸⁻⁴¹ These studies confirmed the in vitro observations that anthracycline agents are potent in producing malignant transformation in mammalian cell systems by their binding interaction with DNA and subsequent disruption of the template functions of DNA.⁴² Alkylators, by alkylating DNA to disrupt cancer growth, may also cause DNA damage in normal tissue such that replication is impaired, placing those exposed at risk for solid tumors.⁴³

Our findings suggest potential gene-environment interactions causing SMN. Sarcoma (with the exception of Ewing sarcoma [EWS]) and leukemia are cancers known to be associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS).^{44,45} Eighty-five percent of breast cancers diagnosed in this cohort occurred among sarcoma and leukemia survivors. Additionally, two women with subsequent

breast cancer were survivors of CNS tumors, which are also established to be associated with LFS. For survivors of LFSassociated tumors, it is possible that there are gene-chemotherapy interactions that result in increased breast cancer risk, given our observed dose-response association with alkylator and anthracycline chemotherapy exposure in this cohort. Although this hypothesis is supported by the relatively young ages at which women developed their breast cancer and the high incidence of bilateral disease, we lack the ability to evaluate this in detail. Further analyses of family pedigrees and genetic testing are warranted to provide additional insight into an individual's cancer predisposition and the prevalence of familial cancer predisposition syndromes in childhood cancer survivors' SMN risk. In addition, we observed 11 subsequent breast cancer cases in survivors of primary cancers not known to be associated with LFS, including six EWS survivors. Breast cancer after EWS has been described previously in the CCSS and in an analysis of SEER program data.^{46,47} However, to date, no known cancer predisposition syndrome has been described that includes EWS. This study may lead to further exploration of an association between familial breast cancer and EWS.

Our study has limitations that need to be considered when interpreting the results. Our ability to evaluate the independent risks of primary diagnosis and therapeutic exposures was limited by the relatively small number of patients with the outcome of interest. For example, many sarcoma patients are treated with high doses of both alkylators and anthracyclines, and the role of exposure to each of these two agents cannot be easily dissected. Our exploratory analyses suggest there may be an association between these agents and a diagnosis of sarcoma or leukemia, but we are underpowered to explore this relationship.

In addition, family history data, important for defining familial cancer risk, are not available in sufficient detail in the CCSS to allow for meaningful analysis. We were unable to calculate scatter radiotherapy dose from therapeutic radiation to nonchest areas, although we did examine exposure to any nonchest radiotherapy in our analyses and found no impact on risk of breast cancer.

Last, we were not able to quantify exposure to diagnostic radiation (ie, computed tomography scans). Exposure to diagnostic and surveillance radiographic studies has not been quantified for the CCSS; the cumulative diagnostic radiation exposure may have influenced the breast cancer risk in this cohort.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ This is of particular interest in sarcoma patients, whose highest recurrence risk is in the lungs and who undergo multiple chest x-rays and/or chest tomography as part of routine surveillance after completion of therapy.

Female sarcoma and leukemia survivors exposed to high doses of anthracyclines or alkylators may benefit from early breast cancer surveillance, even in the absence of a history of exposure to chest radiotherapy. The declining mortality rate in breast cancer in the United States over the past few decades has been attributed to both large-scale screening leading to the identification of earlier-stage disease and to an improvement in systemic treatment strategies,⁵¹ seen in both the general and high-risk breast cancer populations.^{15,17,52,53}

For all childhood cancer survivors, particularly sarcoma and leukemia survivors, our data highlight the potential value of clinicians obtaining a detailed family history of cancer and considering genetic counseling and possible genetic testing where warranted. In women who are found to have an increased familial risk or genetic predisposition to breast cancer, clinicians should counsel them to initiate early breast cancer surveillance as outlined in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network Clinical Practice Guidelines.⁵⁴ Last, further study aimed at identifying underlying genetic factors in sarcoma and leukemia survivors that might lead to the development of solid tumors, such as breast cancer, and the potential interactions between these factors and anthracycline and alkylator chemotherapy, is warranted.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at www.jco.org.

REFERENCES

1. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al (eds): SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2011, Bethesda, MD, National Cancer Institute, http://seer. cancer.gov/csr/1975_2012/

2. Phillips SM, Padgett LS, Leisenring WM, et al: Survivors of childhood cancer in the United States: Prevalence and burden of morbidity. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 24:653-663, 2015

3. Mertens AC, Liu Q, Neglia JP, et al: Causespecific late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 100:1368-1379, 2008

4. Yeh JM, Nekhlyudov L, Goldie SJ, et al: A model-based estimate of cumulative excess mortality in survivors of childhood cancer. Ann Intern Med 152:409-417, 2010

5. Armstrong GT, Liu Q, Yasui Y, et al: Late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: A summary from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol 27:2328-2338, 2009

6. Kenney LB, Yasui Y, Inskip PD, et al: Breast cancer after childhood cancer: A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Ann Intern Med 141:590-597, 2004

7. Friedman DL, Whitton J, Leisenring W, et al: Subsequent neoplasms in 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 102:1083-1095, 2010

8. Bhatia S, Yasui Y, Robison LL, et al: Late Effects Study Group: High risk of subsequent neoplasms continues with extended follow-up of childhood Hodgkin's disease: Report from the Late Effects Study Group. J Clin Oncol 21:4386-4394, 2003

9. Travis LB, Hill DA, Dores GM, et al: Breast cancer following radiotherapy and chemotherapy among young women with Hodgkin disease. JAMA 290:465-475, 2003

10. Moskowitz CS, Chou JF, Wolden SL, et al: Breast cancer after chest radiation therapy for childhood cancer. J Clin Oncol 32:2217-2223, 2014

11. Inskip PD, Robison LL, Stovall M, et al: Radiation dose and breast cancer risk in the childhood cancer survivor study. J Clin Oncol 27:3901-3907, 2009

12. Travis LB, Hill D, Dores GM, et al: Cumulative absolute breast cancer risk for young women treated for Hodgkin lymphoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 97: 1428-1437, 2005

13. van Leeuwen FE, Klokman WJ, Stovall M, et al: Roles of radiation dose, chemotherapy, and hormonal factors in breast cancer following Hodgkin's disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 95:971-980, 2003

14. De Bruin ML, Sparidans J, van't Veer MB, et al: Breast cancer risk in female survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma: Lower risk after smaller radiation volumes. J Clin Oncol 27:4239-4246, 2009

15. Henderson TO, Amsterdam A, Bhatia S, et al: Systematic review: Surveillance for breast cancer in women treated with chest radiation for childhood, adolescent, or young adult cancer. Ann Intern Med 152:444-455, 2010

16. Swerdlow AJ, Cooke R, Bates A, et al: Breast cancer risk after supradiaphragmatic radiotherapy for Hodgkin's lymphoma in England and Wales: A National Cohort Study. J Clin Oncol 30:2745-2752, 2012

17. Saslow D, Boetes C, Burke W, et al: American Cancer Society Breast Cancer Advisory Group: American Cancer Society guidelines for breast screening with MRI as an adjunct to mammography. CA Cancer J Clin 57:75-89, 2007

18. Mulder RL, Kremer LC, Hudson MM, et al: International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group: Recommendations for breast cancer surveillance for female survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer given chest radiation: A report from the International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group. Lancet Oncol 14:e621-e629, 2013

19. Robison LL, Mertens AC, Boice JD, et al: Study design and cohort characteristics of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study: A multi-institutional collaborative project. Med Pediatr Oncol 38:229-239, 2002

20. Robison LL, Armstrong GT, Boice JD, et al: The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study: A National Cancer Institute-supported resource for outcome and intervention research. J Clin Oncol 27:2308-2318, 2009

21. Leisenring WM, Mertens AC, Armstrong GT, et al: Pediatric cancer survivorship research: Experience of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol 27:2319-2327, 2009

22. Green DM, Liu W, Kutteh WH, et al: Cumulative alkylating agent exposure and semen parameters in adult survivors of childhood cancer: A report from the St Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. Lancet Oncol 15:1215-1223, 2014

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Tara O. Henderson, Chaya S. Moskowitz, Angela R. Bradbury, Joseph Phillip Neglia, Kenan Onel, Smita Bhatia, Louise C. Strong, Marilyn Stovall, Wendy M. Leisenring, Leslie L. Robison, Gregory T. Armstrong, Lisa R. Diller, Kevin C. Oeffinger Financial support: Tara O. Henderson, Kevin C. Oeffinger Administrative support: Tara O. Henderson, Kevin C. Oeffinger Provision of study materials or patients: Tara O. Henderson, Leslie L. Robison, Gregory T. Armstrong, Kevin C. Oeffinger Collection and assembly of data: Tara O. Henderson, Joseph Phillip Neglia, Smita Bhatia, Louise C. Strong, Marilyn Stovall, Dana Barnea, Elena Lorenzi, Sue Hammond, Wendy M. Leisenring, Leslie L. Robison, Gregory T. Armstrong, Lisa R. Diller, Kevin C. Oeffinger Data analysis and interpretation: All authors Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors

23. Green DM, Nolan VG, Goodman PJ, et al: The cyclophosphamide equivalent dose as an approach for quantifying alkylating agent exposure: A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Pediatr Blood Cancer 61:53-67, 2014

24. Altekruse SF, Kosary CL, Krapcho M, et al (eds): Cancer Statistics Review SEER 1975–2007. Bethesda, MD, National Cancer Institute, http://seer.cancer.gov/archive/csr/1975_2007/

25. Coviello V, Boggess M: Cumulative incidence estimation in the presence of competing risks. Stata J 4:103-112, 2004

26. STATA. STATA Survival Analysis and Epidemiological Tables Reference Manual. College Station, TX, STATA Press, 2011

27. Reulen RC, Taylor AJ, Winter DL, et al: Longterm population-based risks of breast cancer after childhood cancer. Int J Cancer 123:2156-2163, 2008

28. Reulen RC, Frobisher C, Winter DL, et al: British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study Steering Group: Long-term risks of subsequent primary neoplasms among survivors of childhood cancer. JAMA 305:2311-2319, 2011

29. Hartman M, Czene K, Reilly M, et al: Incidence and prognosis of synchronous and metachronous bilateral breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 25:4210-4216, 2007

30. Henderson TO, Oeffinger KC, Whitton J, et al: Secondary gastrointestinal cancer in childhood cancer survivors: A cohort study. Ann Intern Med 156: 757-766, 2012

31. Henderson TO, Rajaraman P, Stovall M, et al: Risk factors associated with secondary sarcomas in childhood cancer survivors: A report from the childhood cancer survivor study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 84:224-230, 2012

32. Henderson TO, Whitton J, Stovall M, et al: Secondary sarcomas in childhood cancer survivors: A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 99:300-308, 2007

33. Travis LB, Gospodarowicz M, Curtis RE, et al: Lung cancer following chemotherapy and radiotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 94: 182-192, 2002

34. Swerdlow AJ, Higgins CD, Smith P, et al: Second cancer risk after chemotherapy for Hodgkin's lymphoma: A collaborative British cohort study. J Clin Oncol 29:4096-4104, 2011

35. Veiga LH, Bhatti P, Ronckers CM, et al: Chemotherapy and thyroid cancer risk: A report from the childhood cancer survivor study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 21:92-101, 2012 **37.** Newton WA Jr, Meadows AT, Shimada H, et al: Bone sarcomas as second malignant neoplasms following childhood cancer. Cancer 67: 193-201, 1991

38. Price PJ, Suk WA, Skeen PC, et al: Transforming potential of the anticancer drug adriamycin. Science 187:1200-1201, 1975

39. Solcia E, Ballerini L, Bellini O, et al: Mammary tumors induced in rats by adriamycin and daunomycin. Cancer Res 38:1444-1446, 1978

40. Kelly MG, O'Gara RW, Yancey ST, et al: Induction of tumors in rats with procarbazine hydrochloride. J Natl Cancer Inst 40:1027-1051, 1968

41. Walker SE, Anver MR: Accelerated appearance of neoplasms in female NZB/NZW mice treated with high-dose cyclophosphamide. Arthritis Rheum 22:1338-1343, 1979

42. Marquardt H, Philips FS, Sternberg SS: Tumorigenicity in vivo and induction of malignant transformation and mutagenesis in cell cultures by adriamycin and daunomycin. Cancer Res 36: 2065-2069, 1976

43. Weisburger JH, Griswold DP, Prejean JD, et al: The carcinogenic properties of some of the principal drugs used in clinical cancer chemotherapy. Recent Results Cancer Res 52:1-17, 1975

44. Li FP, Fraumeni JF Jr: Soft-tissue sarcomas, breast cancer, and other neoplasms. A familial syndrome? Ann Intern Med 71:747-752, 1969

45. Malkin D: Li-fraumeni syndrome. Genes Cancer 2:475-484, 2011

46. Ginsberg JP, Goodman P, Leisenring W, et al: Long-term survivors of childhood Ewing sarcoma: Report from the childhood cancer survivor study. J Natl Cancer Inst 102:1272-1283, 2010

47. Sultan I, Rihani R, Hazin R, et al: Second malignancies in patients with Ewing Sarcoma Family of Tumors: A population-based study. Acta Oncol 49: 237-244, 2010

48. Hall EJ, Brenner DJ: Cancer risks from diagnostic radiology. Br J Radiol 81:362-378, 2008

49. Shah NB, Platt SL: ALARA: is there a cause for alarm? Reducing radiation risks from computed

tomography scanning in children. Curr Opin Pediatr 20:243-247, 2008

50. Schenkman L: Radiology. Second thoughts about CT imaging. Science 331:1002-1004, 2011

51. American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts & Figures 2015, Atlanta, GA, American Cancer Society. http://www.cancer.org/research/cancerfactsstatistics/ cancerfactsfigures2015/index

52. Nelson HD, Tyne K, Naik A, et al: Screening for Breast Cancer: Systematic Evidence Review Update for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Rockville, MD, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2009

53. Moyer VA; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force: Risk assessment, genetic counseling, and genetic testing for BRCA-related cancer in women: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med 160:271-281, 2014

54. NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian. NCCN Guidelines, Version 2. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/ physician_gls/f_guidelines.asp

Support

Supported by grants from the National Cancer Institute (U24CA55727 [G.T.A.], K07CA134935 [T.O.H.], R01CA136783 [C.S.M.], K05CA160724, and R01CA134722 [K.C.O.]) and the Meg Berté Owen Foundation. Support to St Jude Children's Research Hospital was also provided by the American Lebanese-Syrian Associated Charities. Support to Memorial Sloan Kettering was provided

by the core grant P30 CA008748.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Breast Cancer Risk in Childhood Cancer Survivors Without a History of Chest Radiotherapy: A Report From the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or jco.ascopubs.org/site/ifc.

Tara O. Henderson Other Relationship: Seattle Genetics

Chaya S. Moskowitz Consulting or Advisory Role: BioClinica

Joanne F. Chou No relationship to disclose

Angela R. Bradbury Research Funding: Myriad Genetics, Hill-Rom (I) Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Hill-Rom (I)

Joseph Philip Neglia No relationship to disclose

Chau T. Dang Consulting or Advisory Role: Pfizer Research Funding: Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline

Kenan Onel No relationship to disclose

Danielle Novetsky Friedman No relationship to disclose

Smita Bhatia No relationship to disclose

Louise C. Strong No relationship to disclose Marilyn Stovall No relationship to disclose

Lisa B. Kenney No relationship to disclose

Dana Barnea No relationship to disclose

Elena Lorenzi No relationship to disclose

Sue Hammond No relationship to disclose

Wendy M. Leisenring Research Funding: Merck

Leslie L. Robison No relationship to disclose

Gregory T. Armstrong No relationship to disclose

Lisa R. Diller Stock or Other Ownership: Novartis (I), Amgen (I), Celgene (I), Gilead (I)

Kevin C. Oeffinger No relationship to disclose