Root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction in CPC-LIKE MYB double and triple mutants of Arabidopsis

Takuji Wada, Naoto Hayashi, and Rumi Tominaga-Wada*

Graduate School of Biosphere Sciences; Hiroshima University; Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan

Keywords: Arabidopsis, CAPRICE, MYB, root hair, root-hypocotyl junction

In Arabidopsis thaliana, R3-type MYB genes, CAPRICE (CPC) and its family of genes including TRIPTYCHON (TRY), ENHANCER OF TRY AND CPC1 (ETC1), ETC2 and CPC-LIKE MYB3 cooperatively regulate epidermal cell differentiation. Root hair formation is greatly reduced by a mutation in CPC, and try and etc1 enhance this phenotype. In this study, we demonstrate that CPC, TRY and ETC1 are also involved in root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction. The cpc try and cpc etc1 double mutants showed a reduced number of root hairs in that area. Additionally, the expression of ETC1:: GUS was higher near this area. These results suggest that CPC family of genes also cooperatively regulates root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction in unique ways.

Epidermal cell fate determination, including root hair and trichome differentiation, is a crucial feature of in Arabidopsis thaliana development. Molecular genetics studies have revealed that several common regulatory factors are involved in this event. The R2R3-type MYB transcription factor, WEREWOLF (WER), induces the expression of the homeodomain-leucine zipper protein, GLABRA2 (GL2), which inhibits root hair differentiation.¹⁻⁴ The other R2R3-type MYB transcription factors, GLABRA1 and MYB23, are homologous to WER and are involved in trichome differentiation.⁵⁻⁷ In addition, the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors GLABRA3 (GL3) and ENHANCER OF GLABRA3 (EGL3) inhibit root hair differentiation.⁸ The WD40-repeat protein TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA1 (TTG1) also prompts trichome differentiation and inhibits root hair differentiation.^{9,10}

The R3-type MYB transcription factor CAPRICE (CPC), has been identified as a key regulator of epidermal cell fate determination in Arabidopsis.¹¹. Additionally, 6 CPC-homologous genes have been identified in the Arabidopsis genome: TRIPTYCHON (TRY), ENHANCER OF TRY AND CPC1 (ETC1), ENHANCER OF TRY AND CPC2 (ETC2), ENHANCER OF TRY AND CPC3 (ETC3)/CPC LIKE MYB3 (CPL3), TRI-CHOMELESS1 (TCL1) and TRICHOMELESS2 (TCL2)/CPC LIKE MYB4 (CPL4).¹²⁻²⁰ These 7 CPC family genes function cooperatively to induce root hair differentiation and inhibit trichome differentiation.

The CPC family proteins, WER, GL1, TTG1, GL3 and EGL3, are thought to act as a transcription regulatory complex in the epidermis of Arabidopsis.^{18,21-24} This transcriptional

complex regulates GL2 gene expression in both root hair and trichome differentiation in Arabidopsis.^{1,4,9,11,25} The TTG1-GL3/ EGL3-WER transcriptional complex promotes GL2 expression to induce a hairless cell differentiation.^{26,27} CPC disrupts this transcriptional complex by competing with WER, thus negatively regulating the expression of GL2 and resulting in root hair formation. ²⁸ In addition to the root hair formation in the major portion of the root, CPC family genes are also involved in root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction.¹⁴

In this study, we focused on root hair formation at the roothypocotyl junction in CPC-LIKE MYB family mutants, all of which were in a Col-0 background. The *cpc* mutant is known to produce a greatly reduced number of root hairs compared with the wild type.¹¹ However, the *cpc* mutant even possessed root hairs at the root-hypocotyl junction, which was the similar to the wild type (Fig. 1A). Moreover, the cpc-2, try-29760, etc1-1, etc2-2 and *cpl3-1* mutants formed root hairs at the root-hypocotyl junction similar to the wild type (Col-0) (Fig. 1A). However, cpc-2 try-29760, cpc-2 etc1-1, cpc-2 etc2-2 and cpc-2 cpl3-1 showed reduced number of root hairs compared with the wild type at the root-hypocotyl junction (Fig. 1B). All other double mutants including etc1-1 try-29760, etc1-1 etc2-2, etc1-1 cpl3-1, etc2-2 try-29760, etc2-2 cpl3-1 and cpl3-1 try-29760 did not show obvious changes in the root-hypocotyl junction (Fig. 1B). Our observation of reduced root hairs in the cpc-2 try-29760 double mutant is consistent with a previous study by Kirik et al. using the $try-82$ (in the Ler genetic background) $cpc-1$ (in the WS genetic background) double mutant.¹⁴ Together, these results suggest that the function of CPC is the most prominent among

^{*}Correspondence to: Rumi Tominaga-Wada; Email: rtomi@hiroshima-u.ac.jp Submitted: 08/10/2015; Revised: 08/28/2015; Accepted: 08/28/2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2015.1089372

root-hypocotyl junction (Fig. 1B). The combination of 2 mutations, neither of which affected root hair density at the root-hypocotyl junction, reduced the number of root hairs at the root-hypocotyl junction. This phenomenon resembles the regulation of trichome formation by the CPC family of genes. The *cpl3 cpc* and *cpl3 etc2* double mutants had more trichomes than each parental line.¹⁸ The $cpc-2$ etc1-1 etc2-2 and cpc-2 try-29760 cpl3-1 triple mutants also showed a clearly reduced number of root hairs at the root-hypocotyl junction compared with the wild type, which was also observed in the cpc-2 *try-29760* and *cpc-2 etc1-1* double mutants (Figs. 1B, C). In contrast, the $try-29760$ etc1-1 etc2-2 and try-29760 etc1-1 cpl3-1 triple mutants did not show remarkable differences compared to the wild type in root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction, as the etc1-1 try-29760 double mutant did (Figs. 1B and 1C). These results also suggest that CPC plays the most prominent role in root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction among the CPC family genes. The *cpc* mutant effect on root hair formation at the roothypocotyl junction is enhanced by try and etc1, which suggests that CPC plays a leading role in root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction, which is associated with TRY and ETC1. The etc1-1 try-82 cpc-1 triple mutant phenotype has been reported to be unusual due to its lack of root hairs in the root-hypocotyl junction,¹⁴ which supported our hypothesis that CPC, TRY and ETC1 induced root hair formation at the roothypocotyl junction.

the CPC family of genes for the root hair formation, not only for the major portion of the root, but also at the root-hypocotyl junction. However, a single mutation in CPC did not induce apparent differences in root hair density at the root-hypocotyl junction compared with the wild type (Fig. 1A). The $cpc-2$ try-29760 and cpc-2 etc1-1 double mutants were distinguished from the wild type by having a reduced number of root hairs at the

To determine whether CPC family genes are expressed at the root-hypocotyl junction, we analyzed the CPC, TRY, ETC1, ETC2 and CPL3 promoter-GUS reporter fusion lines. The CPC::GUS transgene caused a slight GUS accumulation in the hypocotyl (Fig. 2), which was similar to a previously reported CPC gene expression.¹⁴ The CPC promoter was also active in the lateral root primordia (Fig. 2, inset in CPC::GUS); however, no obvious GUS

junction in 3-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings of CPC::GUS, TRY::GUS, ETC1:: GUS, ETC2::GUS and CPL3::GUS lines. Inset in CPC::GUS shows the CPC promoter is not active at the root-hypocotyl junction, although it is active in the lateral root primordia. Inset in ETC2::GUS shows that the ETC2 promoter is active in the hypocotyl guard cells. Scale bars: 200 μ m.

expression was observed at the root-hypocotyl junction (Fig. 2). No significant GUS expression was detected in the TRY::GUS hypocotyl, as previously reported.¹⁴ In addition, no TRY activity was observed at the root-hypocotyl junction (Fig. 2). The ETC2::GUS and CPL3::GUS transgene caused a GUS accumulation in the hypocotyl guard cells (Fig. 2), which is consistent with previous reports.^{15,18} $ETC2$ and CPL3 promoter activity was not observed at the root-hypocotyl junction (Fig. 2). In conclusion, no significant GUS expression was detected at the root-hypocotyl junction in any of the promoter-GUS transgenic lines that we have examined so far. Only the ETC1::GUS transgene caused a strong GUS accumulation near the region of the root-hypocotyl junction (Fig. 2). These results suggest that ETC1 is the most abundant protein at the root-hypocotyl junction among those of the CPC family. Although mutant analyses revealed the involvement of CPC, TRY and ETC1 in root hair formation at the

root-hypocotyl junction, CPC::GUS and TRY::GUS expressions were hardly observed near the root-hypocotyl junction. CPC is the most important gene for root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction according to mutant analysis (Fig. 1), but CPC expression was not detected in this area (Fig. 2). There are 2 possibilities why CPC promotes root hair formation more than ETC1 does at the root-hypocotyl junction. First, the CPC protein may have a more appropriate structure to promote root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction than ETC1 does, even if the CPC expression is at an undetectable level in this area. For instance, there are some amino acid differences between CPC and ETC1 along their entire sequences.¹⁴ Second, we observed the expressions of the CPC family genes in the 3-day-old seedlings (Fig. 2). There is another possibility that expressions of the CPC family genes at earlier stages may be important for root hair induction at the roothypocotyl junction.

In this study, we demonstrated that CPC, TRY and ETC1 act in a cooperative manner to induce root hair formation at the root-hypocotyl junction, and CPC has a pivotal role in this function. In addition, we show that ETC1 mRNA may be abundant near the root-hypocotyl junction because ETC1::GUS expression was detected there. There is evidence from genetic studies that CPC is the most important gene for the formation of root hairs at the root-hypocotyl junction. The expression of ETC1 is higher at this area, which reflects the functional differences among the CPC family genes.

The plant materials used in this study have been described previously and included the $cpc-2$, 2^9 $cpl3-1$, 1^8 try-29760, etc1-1, and $\textit{etc2-2}$ mutants.³⁰ All mutants were carried on the Col-0 background. The selection of the double and triple mutants of cpc, try, etc1, etc2, and cpl3 has also been previously described.¹⁸ Seeds were surface-sterilized and sown on the surface of 1.5% agar plates using a method described previously, 31 and grown for the observation of seedling phenotypes. Seeded plates were held at 4° C for 2 days and then incubated at 22° C for 3 days under continuous white light (50–100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹).

Phenotypes of 3-day-old seedlings of the wild type (Col-0), cpc-2, try-29760, etc1-1, etc2-2, cpl3-1, cpc-2 try-29760, cpc-2 etc1-1, cpc-2 etc2-2, cpc-2 cpl3-1, etc1-1 try-29760, etc1-1 etc2-2, etc1-1 cpl3, etc2-2 try-29760, etc2-2 cpl3-1,cpl3-1 try-29760, cpc-2 etc1-1 etc2-2, cpc-2 try-29760 cpl3-1, try-29760 etc1-1 etc2-2 and try-29760 etc1-1 cpl3-1 mutants were observed using a Leica MZ16FA stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). Images were recorded using a high-sensitivity CCD color camera system (Keyence VB 7010, Osaka, Japan).

The promoter::GUS plants used in this study have been described previously.¹⁸ Three-day-old seedlings of CPC::GUS, TRY::GUS, ETC1::GUS, ETC2::GUS and CPL3::GUS were immersed X-Gluc solution containing 1.0 mM XGluc (5 bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-ß-glucuronide), 1.0 mM $K_3Fe(CN)_6$, 1.0 mM $K_4Fe(CN)_6$, 100 mM NaPi (pH 7.0), 100 mM EDTA and 0.1% Triton X-100. CPC::GUS, TRY::GUS, ETC2::GUS and CPL3::GUS seedlings were incubated at 37° C for 6 hours, and ETC1::GUS seedlings were incubated at 37° C for 2 and one-half hours.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Iwata and Y. Nukumizu for their technical support. We also appreciate the useful suggestions of T. Ishida, R. Sano and T. Kurata.

References

- 1. Lee MM, Schiefelbein J. WEREWOLF, a MYB-related protein in Arabidopsis, is a position-dependent regulator of epidermal cell patterning. Cell 1999; 99:473-83; PMID:10589676; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092- 8674(00)81536-6
- 2. Masucci JD, Rerie WG, Foreman DR, Zhang M, Galway ME, Marks MD, Schiefelbein JW. The homeobox gene GLABRA2 is required for position-dependent cell differentiation in the root epidermis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 1996; 122:1253-60; PMID:8620852
- 3. Di Cristina M, Sessa G, Dolan L, Linstead P, Baima S, Ruberti I, Morelli G. The Arabidopsis Athb-10 (GLA-BRA2) is an HD-Zip protein required for regulation of root hair development. Plant J 1996; 10:393-402; PMID:8811855; http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365- 313X.1996.10030393.x
- 4. Rerie WG, Feldmann KA, Marks MD. The GLABRA2 gene encodes a homeo domain protein required for normal trichome development in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev 1994; 8:1388-99; PMID:7926739; http://dx.doi. org/10.1101/gad.8.12.1388
- 5. Larkin JC, Oppenheimer DG, Pollock S, Marks MD. Arabidopsis GLABROUS1 Gene Requires Downstream Sequences for Function. Plant Cell 1993; 5:1739-48; PMID:12271054; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1105/tpc.5.12.1739
- 6. Oppenheimer DG, Herman PL, Sivakumaran S, Esch J, Marks MD. A myb gene required for leaf trichome differentiation in Arabidopsis is expressed in stipules. Cell 1991; 67:483-93; PMID:1934056; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90523-2
- 7. Kirik V, Schnittger A, Radchuk V, Adler K, Hulskamp M, Baumlein H. Ectopic expression of the Arabidopsis AtMYB23 gene induces differentiation of trichome cells. Dev Biol 2001; 235:366-77; PMID:11437443; http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2001.0287
- 8. Bernhardt C, Lee MM, Gonzalez A, Zhang F, Lloyd A, Schiefelbein J. The bHLH genes GLABRA3 (GL3) and ENHANCER OF GLABRA3 (EGL3) specify epidermal cell fate in the Arabidopsis root. Development 2003; 130:6431-9; PMID:14627722; http://dx.doi. org/10.1242/dev.00880
- 9. Galway ME, Masucci JD, Lloyd AM, Walbot V, Davis RW, Schiefelbein JW. The TTG gene is required to specify epidermal cell fate and cell patterning in the Arabidopsis root. Dev Biol 1994; 166:740-54; PMID:7813791; http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1994.1352
- 10. Walker AR, Davison PA, Bolognesi-Winfield AC, James CM, Srinivasan N, Blundell TL, Esch JJ, Marks MD, Gray JC. The TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA1 locus, which regulates trichome differentiation and anthocyanin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis, encodes a WD40 repeat protein. Plant Cell 1999; 11:1337-50; PMID:10402433; http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.11.7.1337
- 11. Wada T, Tachibana T, Shimura Y, Okada K. Epidermal cell differentiation in Arabidopsis determined by a

Myb homolog, CPC. Science 1997; 277:1113-6; PMID:9262483; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science. 277.5329.1113

- 12. Esch JJ, Chen MA, Hillestad M, Marks MD. Comparison of TRY and the closely related At1g01380 gene in controlling Arabidopsis trichome patterning. Plant J 2004; 40:860-9; PMID:15584952; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02259.x
- 13. Gan L, Xia K, Chen JG, Wang S. Functional Characterization of TRICHOMELESS2, a New Single-Repeat R3 MYB Transcription Factor in the Regulation of Trichome Patterning in Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol 2011; 11:176; PMID:22168948; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2229-11-176
- 14. Kirik V, Simon M, Huelskamp M, Schiefelbein J. The ENHANCER OF TRY AND CPC1 gene acts redundantly with TRIPTYCHON and CAPRICE in trichome and root hair cell patterning in Arabidopsis. Dev Biol 2004; 268:506-13; PMID:15063185; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2003.12.037
- 15. Kirik V, Simon M, Wester K, Schiefelbein J, Hulskamp M. ENHANCER of TRY and CPC 2 (ETC2) reveals redundancy in the region-specific control of trichome development of Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol 2004; 55:389-98; PMID:15604688; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s11103-004-0893-8
- 16. Schellmann S, Schnittger A, Kirik V, Wada T, Okada K, Beermann A, Thumfahrt J, Jürgens G, Hülskamp M. TRIPTYCHON and CAPRICE mediate lateral inhibition during trichome and root hair patterning in Arabidopsis. EMBO J 2002; 21:5036-46; PMID:12356720; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdf524
- 17. Simon M, Lee MM, Lin Y, Gish L, Schiefelbein J. Distinct and overlapping roles of single-repeat MYB genes in root epidermal patterning. Dev Biol 2007; 311:566- 78; PMID:17931617; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. ydbio.2007.09.001
- 18. Tominaga R, Iwata M, Sano R, Inoue K, Okada K, Wada T. Arabidopsis CAPRICE-LIKE MYB 3 (CPL3) controls endoreduplication and flowering development in addition to trichome and root hair formation. Development 2008; 135:1335-45; PMID:18305006; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.017947
- 19. Wang S, Kwak SH, Zeng Q, Ellis BE, Chen XY, Schiefelbein J, Chen JG. TRICHOMELESS1 regulates trichome patterning by suppressing GLABRA1 in Arabidopsis. Development 2007; 134:3873-82; PMID:17933793; http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.009597
- 20. Tominaga-Wada R, Nukumizu Y. Expression Analysis of an R3-Type MYB Transcription Factor CPC-LIKE MYB4 (TRICHOMELESS2) and CPL4-Related Transcripts in Arabidopsis. Int J Mol Sci 2012; 13:3478-91;
PMID:22489163; http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ ijms13033478
- 21. Bernhardt C, Lee MM, Gonzalez A, Zhang F, Lloyd A, Schiefelbein J. The bHLH genes GLABRA3 (GL3) and ENHANCER OF GLABRA3 (EGL3) specify

epidermal cell fate in the Arabidopsis root. Development 2003; 130:6431-9; PMID:14627722; http://dx. doi.org/10.1242/dev.00880

- 22. Esch JJ, Chen M, Sanders M, Hillestad M, Ndkium S, Idelkope B, Neizer J, Marks MD. A contradictory GLABRA3 allele helps define gene interactions controlling trichome development in Arabidopsis. Development 2003; 130:5885-94; PMID:14561633; http://dx. doi.org/10.1242/dev.00812
- 23. Zhang F, Gonzalez A, Zhao MZ, Payne CT, Lloyd A. A network of redundant bHLH proteins functions in all TTG1-dependent pathways of Arabidopsis. Development 2003; 130:4859-69; PMID:12917293; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.00681
- 24. Payne CT, Zhang F, Lloyd AM. GL3 encodes a bHLH protein that regulates trichome development in arabidopsis through interaction with GL1 and TTG1. Genetics 2000; 156:1349-62; PMID:11063707
- 25. Bernhardt C, Zhao M, Gonzalez A, Lloyd A, Schiefelbein J. The bHLH genes GL3 and EGL3 participate in an intercellular regulatory circuit that controls cell patterning in the Arabidopsis root epidermis. Development 2005; 132:291-8; PMID:15590742; http://dx. doi.org/10.1242/dev.01565
- 26. Ishida T, Kurata T, Okada K, Wada T. A genetic regulatory network in the development of trichomes and root hairs. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2008; 59:365-86; PMID:18257710; http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev. arplant.59.032607.092949
- 27. Tominaga-Wada R, Ishida T, Wada T. New insights into the mechanism of development of Arabidopsis root hairs and trichomes. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol 2011; 286:67-106; PMID:21199780; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/B978-0-12-385859-7.00002-1
- 28. Tominaga-Wada R, Wada T. Regulation of root hair cell differentiation by R3 MYB transcription factors in tomato and Arabidopsis. Frontiers in plant science 2014; 5:91; PMID:24659995; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3389/fpls.2014.00091
- 29. Kurata T, Ishida T, Kawabata-Awai C, Noguchi M, Hattori S, Sano R, Nagasaka R, Tominaga R, Koshino-Kimura Y, Kato T, et al. Cell-to-cell movement of the CAPRICE protein in Arabidopsis root epidermal cell differentiation. Development 2005; 132:5387-98; PMID:16291794; http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.02139
- 30. Tominaga-Wada R, Nukumizu Y, Wada T. Flowering is delayed by mutations in homologous genes CAPRICE and TRYPTICHON in the early flowering Arabidopsis cpl3 mutant. J Plant Physiol 2013; 170:1466-8; PMID:23796522; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jplph.2013.05.013
- 31. Okada K, Shimura Y. Reversible Root Tip Rotation in Arabidopsis Seedlings Induced by Obstacle-Touching Stimulus. Science 1990; 250:274-6; PMID:17797309; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.250.4978.274