



RESEARCH PAPER

Large variation in the Rubisco kinetics of diatoms reveals diversity among their carbon-concentrating mechanisms

Jodi N. Young^{1,*†}, Ana M.C. Heures², Robert E. Sharwood³, Rosalind E.M. Rickaby², François M.M. Morel¹ and Spencer M. Whitney³

¹ Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

² Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3AN, UK

³ Plant Science Division, Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

* Correspondence: youngjn@uw.edu

† Present address: Department of Oceanography, University of Washington, 1503 NE Boat St, Seattle, WA 98105, USA

Received 27 February 2016; Accepted 5 April 2016

Editor: Christine Raines, University of Essex

Abstract

While marine phytoplankton rival plants in their contribution to global primary productivity, our understanding of their photosynthesis remains rudimentary. In particular, the kinetic diversity of the CO₂-fixing enzyme, Rubisco, in phytoplankton remains unknown. Here we quantify the maximum rates of carboxylation ($k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$), oxygenation ($k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{o}}$), Michaelis constants (K_{m}) for CO₂ (K_{C}) and O₂ (K_{O}), and specificity for CO₂ over O₂ ($S_{\text{C/O}}$) for Form I Rubisco from 11 diatom species. Diatom Rubisco shows greater variation in K_{C} (23–68 μM), $S_{\text{C/O}}$ (57–116 mol mol⁻¹), and K_{O} (413–2032 μM) relative to plant and algal Rubisco. The broad range of K_{C} values mostly exceed those of C₄ plant Rubisco, suggesting that the strength of the carbon-concentrating mechanism (CCM) in diatoms is more diverse, and more effective than previously predicted. The measured $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$ for each diatom Rubisco showed less variation (2.1–3.7 s⁻¹), thus averting the canonical trade-off typically observed between K_{C} and $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$ for plant Form I Rubisco. Uniquely, a negative relationship between K_{C} and cellular Rubisco content was found, suggesting variation among diatom species in how they allocate their limited cellular resources between Rubisco synthesis and their CCM. The activation status of Rubisco in each diatom was low, indicating a requirement for Rubisco activase. This work highlights the need to better understand the correlative natural diversity between the Rubisco kinetics and CCM of diatoms and the underpinning mechanistic differences in catalytic chemistry among the Form I Rubisco superfamily.

Key words: Algae, carbon fixation, diatoms, kinetics, photosynthesis, Rubisco.

Introduction

Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (Rubisco) plays a fundamental role in photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation within the global carbon cycle. Rubisco activity within the terrestrial and ocean biospheres contributes approximately equally to the 10 Pmol of CO₂ annually fixed into organic carbon (Raven, 2009). Often the rate of CO₂ fixation is limited by Rubisco activity and, as such, has made the enzyme

a primary target to enhance crop photosynthesis and yield through genetic manipulation (Mueller-Cajar and Whitney, 2008; Peterhansel *et al.*, 2008; Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2015). However, improving Rubisco kinetics has proved difficult as a result of the complex assembly pathway of Rubisco in higher plants (Whitney *et al.*, 2011; Hauser *et al.*, 2015) and apparent trade-offs in its kinetic parameters (Tcherkez *et al.*, 2006;

Savir *et al.*, 2010). Widening our understanding of the natural diversity in Rubisco is critical if solutions to improve its performance are to be found and understood (Parry *et al.*, 2013). Of particular interest is Rubisco from organisms adapted to different environments. This includes marine phytoplankton whose efficient carbon-concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) enable them to endure, sometimes thrive in, nutrient- and CO₂-depleted seawater (Nelson *et al.*, 1995).

In nature, Rubisco is found in a variety of oligomeric forms and within a diverse array of organisms that include archaea, photosynthetic bacteria, cyanobacteria, algae, and plants (Whitney *et al.*, 2011). Form I Rubisco consists of eight large and eight small subunits, and is subdivided into Forms IA–ID depending on its sequence and lineage (Tabita *et al.*, 2008). Most research to date on Rubisco has focused on those sourced from the terrestrial biosphere, with comparatively little characterization of Rubisco from oceanic sources. The terrestrial biosphere is dominated by the ‘green’ chloroplast lineage pertaining to plants and green algae that contain Form IB Rubisco (Tabita *et al.*, 2008). However, oceanic photosynthesis is primarily carried out by phytoplankton containing chloroplasts from a ‘red’ lineage that comprise Form ID Rubisco (Delwiche and Palmer, 1997; Yoon *et al.*, 2002; Falkowski *et al.*, 2004). A group of phytoplankton called diatoms are of particular interest due to their importance in ocean primary productivity (estimated to account for ~20% of global primary production; Nelson *et al.*, 1995), thus influencing global biogeochemical cycles, and for producing silicified walls that preserve paleoclimate signals in the fossil record (Armstrong *et al.*, 2001; Egan *et al.*, 2013; Heureux and Rickaby, 2015).

Compared with other photosynthetic enzymes, Rubisco is considered inefficient due to its low CO₂-saturated CO₂ fixation rate ($k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$) and low affinity for CO₂ (i.e. an elevated Michaelis constant, K_m , for CO₂; K_C). The basis of this inefficiency arises from the complex catalytic mechanism of Rubisco that imposes biochemical trade-offs between $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$, K_C , and specificity for CO₂ over its competitive inhibition by O₂ ($S_{\text{C/O}}$) (Tcherkez *et al.*, 2006). Recent analyses show that the extent of these trade-offs is variable between the Form I Rubisco of plants, red algae, and cyanobacteria (Tcherkez, 2013, 2015). Notably Rubisco oxygenation produces 2-phosphoglycolate, which is toxic to the chloroplast (Zelitch *et al.*, 2009), necessitating its removal via the photorespiratory pathway at a cost of energy and fixed carbon (Peterhansel *et al.*, 2008). The loss of CO₂ by photorespiration can be as high as 25% of the total carbon fixed in C₃ flowering plants (Laing *et al.*, 1974).

Despite the catalytic inefficiencies of Rubisco, it appears that it can adapt to the CO₂:O₂ ratio of its environment. This is particularly evident for Rubisco kinetics in C₃ and C₄ plants. While C₃ plants rely on diffusion of CO₂ from the air to chloroplast stroma, C₄ plants utilize a CCM to elevate CO₂ around Rubisco to avoid photorespiration and its associated cellular resource costs. In response to higher intracellular CO₂, C₄ Rubisco has evolved improvements in $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$ at the expense of reducing CO₂ affinity (i.e. increasing K_C) (Yeoh *et al.*, 1980; Seemann *et al.*, 1984; Ghannoum *et al.*, 2005). The CCM and higher $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$ allow C₄ plants to reduce their

investment in Rubisco, lower the rate of photorespiration, and allow for carbon fixation rates similar to C₃ plants under low stomatal apertures (Sage, 2004; Ghannoum *et al.*, 2005; Way *et al.*, 2014). These features improve the nitrogen, energy, and water use efficiencies of C₄ plants.

In the oceans, the low levels of CO₂, and its slow diffusion rate in water, have led many photosynthetic organisms to evolve CCMs that utilize the higher concentrations of bicarbonate. These mechanisms are different from the CCMs of C₄ plants that arose during the low CO₂ concentrations of the Oligocene period (Sage, 2001; Osborne and Sack, 2012) and the coupled warmer, arid environments that trigger stomatal closure and N limitation of the soil (Ehleringer *et al.*, 1997; Long, 1999). The C₄ plant CCM fixes HCO₃⁻ by phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) carboxylase, leading to production of C₄ organic acids in the Rubisco-lacking mesophyll cells. These C₄ organic acids then diffuse into the Rubisco-containing bundle sheath cells where they are decarboxylated (Sage, 2004). This process facilitates the concentration of CO₂ to levels that effectively saturate Rubisco (Furbank and Hatch, 1987).

While some diatoms may also have a C₄-like mechanism (Reinfelder *et al.*, 2000, 2004) or a C₃–C₄ intermediate-like mechanism (Roberts *et al.*, 2007), they contain a CCM suited to their single-celled physiology and high bicarbonate aquatic environment. In diatoms, the CCM consists of various bicarbonate transporters (Nakajima *et al.*, 2013) and differing forms of carbonic anhydrase that serve to elevate CO₂ levels within the pyrenoid, a low CO₂-permeable subcellular compartment containing most of the cellular Rubisco (Reinfelder, 2010; Hopkinson *et al.*, 2011). The efficiency of their CCMs allows diatoms to invest their scarce cellular resources conservatively in Rubisco. Accordingly the Rubisco content of diatoms is considerably lower [2–6% (w/w) of total cellular protein] than the 20–50% (w/w) Rubisco content of the soluble protein in plant leaves (Losh *et al.*, 2013; Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2015).

Our understanding of phytoplankton CCM components and activity regulation remain rudimentary. This is despite the increasing interest in understanding how Form ID Rubisco and CCMs co-evolved and how carbon fixation rates by phytoplankton will respond to rising anthropogenic CO₂ (Raven *et al.*, 2012; Young *et al.*, 2012, 2013). From the few Form ID Rubisco kinetics determined, there is a strong signal of positive selection within the evolution of the Rubisco large subunits in red algae, Haptophytes, and diatoms (Young *et al.*, 2012). Rubiscos from red algae have the highest specificities for CO₂ over O₂ ($S_{\text{C/O}}$; ~130–240 mol mol⁻¹) while the lower $S_{\text{C/O}}$ diatom Rubisco (~60–115 mol mol⁻¹) overlaps with the less diverse $S_{\text{C/O}}$ values of C₃ plant and C₄ plant Rubisco (~70–90 mol mol⁻¹) (Read and Tabita, 1994; Whitney *et al.*, 2001, 2011; Haslam *et al.*, 2005). K_C measurements for diatom Rubisco (~28–40 μM, Badger *et al.*, 1998; Whitney *et al.*, 2001) exceed the concentration of CO₂ in the surface ocean (~13 μM in air-equilibrated surface seawater at 20 °C), exemplifying the requirement for a CCM. Modeling of the CCM in phytoplankton is highly reliant on measurements of their Rubisco kinetics (Hopkinson, 2011, 2014). However, the paucity of catalysis measurements for diatom Rubisco—and other phytoplankton—continue to limit reliable assessments of CCM function in microalgae.

In this study, we evaluate the diversity of Rubisco kinetics in 11 different diatom species at the common assay temperature of 25 °C. From measurements of $S_{C/O}$, k_{cat}^c , K_C , and the K_m for O_2 (K_O), we determine the catalytic turnover rate for O_2 (k_{cat}^o) and unveil an unexpected large degree of kinetic variability across the species studied. Uncovered are novel relationships between kinetic parameters not previously observed for other plant and algal Form I Rubisco isoforms. Presented are a novel, robust data set of Rubisco kinetics in marine phytoplankton that provide new insight into potential constraints on microalgal photosynthesis that arise from variations in the effectiveness of their CCM to elevate CO_2 around Rubisco.

Materials and methods

Species selection and sampling:

Eleven species of marine diatoms were selected from cultures maintained at Princeton University and the Australian National University (ANU). Strains from Princeton University were grown at 20 °C under continuous light ($\sim 150 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) in 0.2 μm filtered seawater supplemented with Aquil medium (Sunda *et al.*, 2005) and included the diatoms *Thalassiosira weissflogii* (CCMP 1336), *Skeletonema marinoi* (CCMP 1332), *Chaetoceros calcitrans* (CCMP 1315), *Chaetoceros muelleri* (CCMP 1316), and *Phaeodactylum tricorutum* (CCMP 642). *Fragilariopsis cylindrus* (CCMP 1102) was grown at 1 °C, 12:12 light:dark cycle at 75 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Strains from ANU were grown at 25 °C under a 16:8 light:dark cycle ($\sim 150 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) cultured in 0.2 μm filtered seawater supplemented with F/2 medium and included the diatoms *Thalassiosira oceanica* (CS-427), *Chaetoceros calcitrans* (CS-178), *Phaeodactylum tricorutum* (CS-29), *Bellerochea* sp. (CS-874/01), and *Cylindrotheca fusiformis* (CS-13).

Materials

Unlabeled and ^3H -labeled ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) was synthesized and purified as described (Kane *et al.*, 1998), and used to prepare ^{14}C -labeled carboxypentitol- P_2 (^{14}C]CPBP) according to Pierce *et al.* (1980) and Zhu and Jensen (1991).

Rubisco extraction

Cells were harvested at or near exponential growth by gentle centrifugation (2000 g for 10 min) and the 0.1–0.5 ml cell pellets were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. Pellets were re-suspended in 5 ml of ice-cold extraction buffer containing 50 mM EPPS-NaOH, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, and 1% (v/v) plant protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), and cells were ruptured in a French press. Extract was centrifuged at 14 000 g , 4 °C for 2 min and the supernatant was used to quantify Rubisco K_C and k_{cat}^c or used to purify Rubisco and measure CO_2/O_2 specificity ($S_{C/O}$)

CO_2/O_2 specificity

Rubisco was rapidly purified from ~ 1 g (~ 4 – 5 pooled biological replicates) of the -80 °C stored cells extracted in ice-cold extraction buffer, and lysed using a French press. Polyvinylpyrrolidone [1% (w/v)] was added to the lysate to remove secondary metabolites prior to centrifugation (17 600 g , 4 °C, 5 min). The soluble cellular protein was rapidly passed over a 1 ml Bio-Scale Mini Macro-Prep High Q ion exchange column (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) equilibrated with column buffer (50 mM EPPS-NaOH, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Bound Rubisco was eluted in 1.5 ml of column elution buffer (50 mM EPPS-NaOH, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA 0.8 M NaCl) and concentrated to 0.5 ml using an Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter (30 000 NMWL,

Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The protein was applied to the Superdex 200 (GE Life Sciences) column to purify and desalt the Rubisco further. Fractions containing Rubisco were pooled and concentrated again by centrifugal filtration to 0.25 ml and glycerol was added to 20% (v/v) final concentration before freezing in liquid nitrogen and storing at -80 °C. Purified Rubisco preparations were used to measure CO_2/O_2 specificity using the method of Kane *et al.* (1994).

K_C , k_{cat}^c , K_O , and k_{cat}^o

Rubisco content was quantified by [^{14}C]2-CABP (2-C-carboxyarabinitol 1,5-bisphosphate) binding as described by Sharwood *et al.* (2008) by incubating duplicate aliquots of soluble cellular protein extracts with 15 mM NaHCO_3 , and 15 mM MgCl_2 and either 15 μM or 30 μM [^{14}C]CPBP for 10–30 min at 25 °C. The recovered amount of [^{14}C]CABP-bound Rubisco in both reactions varied by $<2\%$ ensuring the Rubisco catalytic site content was accurately quantified. RuBP-dependent $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ fixation assays were performed in 7 ml septum-capped scintillation vials at 25 °C as described (Whitney and Sharwood, 2007) using soluble diatom protein extract following 10–15 min activation with 15 mM $\text{NaH}^{14}\text{CO}_3$ and 15 mM MgCl_2 . After activation, kinetic measurements were made on soluble cellular protein in assay vials containing reaction buffer (0.5 ml of 100 mM EPPS-NaOH, pH 8, 15 mM MgCl_2 , 0.6 mM ribulose- P_2 , 0.1 mg ml^{-1} carbonic anhydrase) equilibrated with 0, 21, 40, and 60% (v/v) O_2 in N_2 and five differing concentrations of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ (between 10 μM and 100 μM ; specific activities of ~ 1800 cpm nmol^{-1} CO_2 fixed). The assays were stopped after 1–3 min with 0.5 vols of 25% (v/v) formic acid and processed for scintillation counting. Values for K_C and maximal carboxylase activity (v_c^{max}) were extrapolated from the data using the Michaelis–Menten equation as described by Sharwood *et al.* (2008) and Whitney *et al.* (2011). Measures of k_{cat}^c were calculated by dividing v_c^{max} by the number of Rubisco active sites as determined by [^{14}C]2-CABP binding. K_O was determined from the slope of the linear increase in K_C in response to O_2 . Values for k_{cat}^o were calculated using the equation $k_{cat}^o = (k_{cat}^c \times K_O) / (K_C \times S_{C/O})$. All measurements were made in triplicate using material pooled from 2–3 biological replicates.

Statistics

One-way ANOVA was performed to determine whether significant differences (P -value ≤ 0.05) existed between the Rubisco kinetics parameters measured in the different groups in Fig. 2. Where significant differences were found, Tukey's HSD tests were performed to determine which groups differed from each other. Linear regression was fit by least-square analysis, and the correlation coefficient (r^2) was based on standard error of estimate. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to determine whether the linear fit was significant to 95% (P -value ≤ 0.05) in Table 2 and Figs 3 and 4.

Results

Our results for 11 species of diatoms represent the largest data set of Form ID Rubisco kinetics available to date. The diatom species selected in this study represent a wide range of habitats and evolutionary diversity (Table 1), and included multiple strains of the same species (*P. tricorutum* and *C. calcitrans*), and one polar pennate (*F. cylindrus*).

Rubisco activation and stability in diatom cellular protein extract

The maintained stability of Rubisco activity in isolated soluble leaf protein facilitates the accurate and reliable measure of maximum carboxylation rates (k_{cat}^c) and the

Table 1. Diatom strains, location of isolation, and Rubisco kinetics measured at 25 °C

Species	Location isolated	$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$ (s^{-1})	K_{C} (μM)	$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{o}}$ (s^{-1})	K_{O} (μM)	$S_{\text{C/O}}$ (mol mol^{-1})	$K_{\text{C}}^{21\%O_2}$ (μM)	$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}/K_{\text{C}}$ (mM s^{-1})	$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}/K_{\text{C}}^{21\%O_2}$ (mM s^{-1})
Diatoms (Bacillariophyta)									
<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i> (CCMP 1336) ^a	Gardiners Island, Long Island, NY, USA	3.2±0.2	65±3	1.3	2032±458	79±1	73	49	44
<i>Thalassiosira oceanica</i> (CS-427) ^a	Little Swan port, Tasmania, Australia	2.4±0.4	65±5	0.4	954±228	80±2	83	36	28
<i>Skeletonema marinoi</i> (CCMP 1332) ^a	Milford, CT, USA	3.2±1.1	68±8	ND	883±346	ND	88	47	36
<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i> (CCMP 1315)	Collection site unknown	2.6±0.2	25±1	0.8	413±53	57±11	41	103	64
<i>Chaetoceros muelleri</i> (CCMP 1316)	Oceanic Institute, HI, USA	2.4±0.3	23±1.5	0.5	425±67	96±2	37	104	65
<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i> (CS-178)	Unknown (but should be synonymous with CCMP 1315)	3.4±0.6	31±2	0.7	490±54	75±1	47	112	74
<i>Bellerochea cf. horologicalis</i> (CS-874/01)	Ilbilbie, Queensland, Australia	2.1±0.2	50±4	ND	764±190	ND	67	41	31
<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> (UTEX 642)	Plymouth, UK	3.2±0.9	36±1	0.5	592±44	108±2	52	88	62
<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> (CS-29)	Unknown, UK	3.3±0.6	41±1	0.5	664±54	116±2	57	81	59
<i>Fragilariopsis cylindrus</i> (CCMP 1102)	Islas Orcadas Cruise, Station 12	3.5±0.3	64±8	0.5	667±255	77±1	88	55	40
<i>Cylindrotheca fusiformis</i> (CS-13)	Halifax, Canada	3.7±0.2	ND	ND	ND	79±1	ND	ND	ND
Higher plants (controls)									
<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> (tobacco, C ₃)		3.1±0.3	9.7±0.1	1.1	283±15	82±1	18.3	316	167
<i>Zea mays</i> (maize, C ₄)		5.5±0.2	19.0±0.6	1.4	397±59	88±2	31	289	177

^a Belonging to the order Thalassiosirales;

Values shown are average of measurements from $n \geq 3$ (\pm SD) biological repeat samples (see figure legends); ND, not determined
 $K_{\text{C}}^{21\%O_2}$ was calculated as $K_{\text{C}}(1+O_2/K_{\text{O}})$ assuming air-saturated O_2 levels in H_2O of 252 μM

Michaelis–Menten (half-saturation) constant (K_{m}) for carboxylation (K_{C}) without need of a purification step (Sharwood et al., 2008). These measurements require all eight catalytic sites in each L_8S_8 Rubisco molecule to be primed with $\text{CO}_2\text{-Mg}^{2+}$ (i.e. activated). *In vivo*, full activity is prevented by inhibitory binding of sugar phosphate molecules to the catalytic site (Andralojc et al., 2012). In illuminated leaves, the ~4:1 molar ratio of RuBP:catalytic sites leads to RuBP binding to non-activated catalytic sites being the almost exclusive cause of inactivation (Price et al., 1995). Upon cellular protein extraction, the levels of available RuBP deteriorate, facilitating RuBP dissociation (and fixation) and catalytic site activation. As shown in Fig. 1A (time zero), Rubisco from newly expanded upper canopy tobacco leaves is ~80% activated *in vivo*. At 25 °C, full activation (i.e. dissociation of all inhibitory RuBP) of tobacco Rubisco in all three leaf samples tested occurred within ~5 min *in vitro* and full activity was maintained over the 20 min test period.

In contrast to the control tobacco Rubisco *in vitro* activation assays, the activation status of Rubisco in each phytoplankton species was lower, varying between ~20% (*Thalassiosira oceanica*, *T. weissflogii*, *Cylindrotheca fusiformis*, and *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*) to ~70% (*Skeletonema ardens*). Accordingly, longer incubation times at 25 °C were required to activate their Rubisco fully *in vitro* (Fig. 1B–H). Nevertheless, in all phytoplankton samples, Rubisco was fully activated within 10 min of extraction at 25 °C and the activity was stable for at least a further 10 min.

Rubisco kinetics are highly variable both between and within diatom species.

Measurements of $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$, K_{C} , maximum oxygenation rates ($k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{o}}$, K_{m} for O_2 (K_{O}), and the specificity for carboxylase over oxygenase ($S_{\text{C/O}}$) were measured at 25 °C to allow for direct comparison with other Rubisco kinetics in the literature. As shown in Table 1, there is significant variation in diatom Rubisco catalysis, with differences even found between Rubisco from the same genus (*Chaetoceros*). Also included in these analyses were control catalysis measurements for Rubisco from tobacco (C₃ plant) and maize (C₄ plant) whose values match those previously measured (Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online). In the following, we compare our results with kinetics measured at 25 °C for other Form ID Rubiscos from red algae and Form IB Rubisco from C₃ and C₄ plants (taken from Badger et al., 1998; Savir et al., 2010).

Among the diatom Rubiscos analyzed, there was a <2-fold variation in $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$, which ranged from $2.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in *Bellerochea cf. horologicalis* to $3.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in *C. fusiformis*. As shown in Fig. 2A, $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$ varied significantly between the groups (one-way ANOVA, $F=25.1$, $P<0.001$). Further testing with Tukey HSD showed that diatom $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{c}}$ values were comparable with those of Rubisco from C₃ plants but statistically lower than those from C₄ plants ($P<0.01$) and higher than those from red algae ($P<0.01$). Diatom Rubisco also showed diversity in the oxygenation rates (Fig. 2B). The measured $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{o}}$ values of $0.4\text{--}1.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ were significantly lower than those of C₃ plants, but not lower than those of C₄ plants or red algae (one-way




Fig. 1. Measurement of Rubisco activation status, maximal activity, and stability *in vitro* at 25 °C. Soluble cellular protein rapidly extracted from tobacco and each phytoplankton in CO₂-free extraction buffer (containing 5 mM MgCl₂) was used to measure changes in the Rubisco ¹⁴CO₂ fixation rate after activating the extract for 0–20 min in buffer containing 15 mM MgCl₂ and 15 mM NaHCO₃. Gray shading indicates the time when protein extract was assayed to quantify k_{cat}^c , K_C , and K_O (Table 1). Data represent measures from duplicate biological samples (\pm SD).

ANOVA, $F=5.25$, $P=0.008$, Tukey HSD between diatoms and C₃ plants $P=0.007$).

With regard to CO₂ affinity, the K_C values of diatom Rubisco varied >2.7 fold (25–68 μ M; Fig. 2C) and were significantly higher than those of Rubisco from red algae, and C₃ and C₄ plants (one-way ANOVA, $F=19.5$, $P<0.001$, Tukey HSD $P<0.01$ for all three pairs). Only cyanobacteria have a lower CO₂ affinity than diatoms, with K_C values in the range 200–260 μ M, which accords with the effectiveness of their CCM (Price *et al.*, 2008; Whitney *et al.*, 2011; Hopkinson *et al.*, 2014). In contrast, diatom Rubisco K_O values were not statistically different from those of red algae, C₃, or C₄ plants (one-way ANOVA, $F=1.44$, $P=0.26$). Of particular interest was the low O₂ affinity of *T. weissflogii* Rubisco whose K_O exceeded 2 mM O₂.

Improving the $S_{C/O}$ of Rubisco, without unfavorably changing its other kinetic parameters, is a prized goal as it has a pervasive influence on Rubisco efficiency in organisms both with and without CCMs (Long *et al.*, 2015). While the $S_{C/O}$

range in C₃ and C₄ plants shows limited diversity, a 2-fold variation was found in the $S_{C/O}$ of diatom Rubisco at 25 °C (i.e. 57–116 mol mol⁻¹; Table 1) and encompassed the range found previously in diatoms (Badger *et al.*, 1998; Whitney *et al.*, 2001; Haslam *et al.*, 2005). Despite this large variation, the $S_{C/O}$ of diatom Rubisco was not significantly different from that of C₃ and C₄ plants, and failed to reach the high $S_{C/O}$ of Rubisco from red algae (Fig. 2E). In terms of carboxylation efficiency (k_{cat}^c/K_C), we find that diatom Rubisco is low compared with red algae, and C₃ and C₄ plants (one-way ANOVA, $F=11.5$, $P=0.0002$, Fig. 2F, Tukey HSD $P=0.04$, $P=0.001$, and $P=0.005$, respectively), even in the presence of ambient O₂ levels (i.e. $k_{cat}^c/K_C^{21\%O_2}$; Table 1).

Novel kinetic relationships of diatom Rubisco

A number of trade-offs between Rubisco kinetic parameters have been observed across a range of primary producers




Fig. 2. Rubisco kinetic parameters measured at 25 °C. Rubisco properties measured from diatoms (blue circles), tobacco and maize (green circles, see Table 1) compared with previously published values for red algae (red, maroon dashes), C₃ plants (dark green dashes), and C₄ plants (light green dashes) (Badger *et al.*, 1998; Savir *et al.*, 2010; Supplementary Table S1). Kinetic parameters include (A) the maximum rates of carboxylation (k_{cat}^c), (B) oxygenation rate (k_{cat}^o), the K_m for (C) CO₂ (K_C) and (D) O₂ (K_O), (E) the specificity for CO₂ over O₂ ($S_{C/O}$), and (F) the carboxylation efficiency (k_{cat}^c/K_C , mM s⁻¹).

(Tcherkez *et al.*, 2006; Savir *et al.*, 2010). From the relatively small data set examined, relationships between the varied Rubisco kinetic parameters (i.e. K_C , k_{cat}^c , k_{cat}^o , $S_{C/O}$, and K_O) appear confined to a one-dimensional landscape, with simple power law correlations between parameters (Savir *et al.*, 2010). We examined these relationships by comparing the kinetic parameters of diatom Rubisco with those measured in green algae, red algae, and plants using data sets of Tcherkez *et al.* (2006), Savir *et al.* (2010), Whitney *et al.* (2011), and Galmes *et al.* (2014) (see Supplementary Table S1).

As shown recently by Tcherkez (2015), the well-documented trade-off between K_C and k_{cat}^c varies between organisms. For

plant and algal Form I Rubisco, the correlation between K_C and k_{cat}^c varies from that seen for Form I Rubisco from cyanobacteria and other prokaryotes (Tcherkez, 2013). With regard to eukaryotic Form I Rubisco, the diatom variants uniquely show no correlation between K_C and k_{cat}^c (Fig. 3A). The linear correlation of $r^2=0.36$, $P=1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ between k_{cat}^c versus K_C for plant and eukaryotic algal Form I Rubisco (Fig. 3A, gray circles), became insignificant when diatom data were included (Fig. 3A, black circles, $r^2=0.013$, $P=0.36$). This suggests that the catalytic mechanism of diatom Rubisco may differ relative to other eukaryotic variants—possibly through changes to one or more of the elemental steps in the Rubisco catalytic cycle.

A positive linear correlation between K_C and K_O was found among diatom Rubisco ($r^2=0.43$, $P=0.041$; Fig 3B) that was strengthened when the outlying high K_O value (i.e. low O_2 affinity) for *T. weissflogii* Rubisco was removed ($r^2=0.83$, $P=5.8 \times 10^{-4}$). Form I Rubisco from other plants and eukaryotic algae also displays a significant positive correlation ($r^2=0.24$, $P=3.73 \times 10^{-4}$), and the strength of this relationship increases ($r=0.41$, $P=5.0 \times 10^{-8}$) when the diatom measurements are included.

Diatom Rubiscos also showed no statistically significant relationship between carboxylase efficiencies and $S_{C/O}$ ($r^2 < 0.002$, $P=0.5$; Fig 3C). Although diatom carboxylation efficiencies are lower than those of higher plant Rubisco (Fig 2F), the diatom $S_{C/O}$ values are within a similar range, indicating that oxygenase efficiencies must also be correspondingly lower than those of plants, probably due to the lower k_{cat}^o rates of diatom Rubisco (Fig 2B).

While a weak positive relationship between k_{cat}^o and K_O has been shown by Tcherkez (2015), we found that such a correlation did not extend to diatom Rubisco ($r^2=0.38$, $P=0.078$) (Fig. 3D, black circles) or when combined with plant and other eukaryotic algal Rubisco (Fig. 3D, gray circles). In addition, no relationship between k_{cat}^o and either K_C/K_O or $S_{C/O}$ was evident in the diatom Rubisco data set, although for these comparisons our values fall within the noise of the data analyzed by Savir et al. (2010). Linear regressions were tested between all kinetic parameters of diatom Rubiscos and are shown in Table 2.

Rubisco carboxylation efficiency versus Rubisco content

Compared with C_3 plants, the faster carboxylation rates of Rubisco in C_4 plants enable them to invest less of their N resources in Rubisco (Seemann et al., 1984; Ghannoum et al., 2005). We therefore compared the Rubisco content measured in a range of diatoms and the Haptophyte *Isochrysis galbana* in exponentially growing cells (same strains grown under the same conditions; see Losh et al., 2013) against our measured values of k_{cat}^c and K_C (Table 1). We found no relationship between Rubisco content and k_{cat}^c , but observed a positive correlation between Rubisco content and carboxylation efficiency in the presence of 21% O_2 ($k_{cat}^c/K_C^{21\%O_2}$), which is driven by the negative correlation of Rubisco content with $K_C^{21\%O_2}$ (Fig. 4). These relationships are also apparent when Rubisco content is plotted against carboxylation efficiency and K_C in the absence of O_2 , but with a lower correlation coefficient.

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate the large catalytic diversity of Rubisco among 11 diatom species. A unique property of diatom Rubisco is that it lacks the relationship between CO_2 -fixing speed (k_{cat}^c) and CO_2 affinity (K_C) shared by many higher plant and algal Form I Rubisco isoforms. Our




Fig. 3. Comparing the catalytic relationships of diatom and other Form I Rubiscos. Comparison of (A) maximum carboxylation rate (k_{cat}^c) versus the K_m for CO_2 (K_C), (B) K_C versus the K_m for O_2 (K_O), (C) the carboxylation efficiency (k_{cat}^c/K_C) versus specificity for CO_2 over O_2 ($S_{C/O}$), and (D) the maximum oxygenation rate (k_{cat}^o) and K_O . Measured for diatoms (black circles) and compared with the compilation of plants and eukaryotic algae from Savir et al. (2010), Badger et al. (1998), Whitney et al. (2011), and Galmes et al. (2014) (gray circles).

Table 2. Linear correlations between various Rubisco kinetic parameters from 11 diatom species

	k_{cat}^c	K_C	K_O^a	$S_{O/O}$	k_{cat}^o	k_{cat}^o/K_C	k_{cat}^o/K_O	K_C/K_O	$K_C^{21\%O_2}$	$k_{cat}^c/K_C^{21\%O_2}$
k_{cat}^c	1	0.0212 (0.688)	0.0133 (0.752)	0.0182 (0.729)	0.0503 (0.562)	0.0388 (0.585)	0.0016 (0.919)	0.0299 (0.633)	0.0293 (0.637)	0.103 (0.365)
K_C		1	0.425 (0.0412)	0.00965 (0.817)	0.181 (0.254)	0.854 (0.000131)	0.223 (0.199)	0.0715 (0.455)	0.958 (<0.000001)	0.760 (0.00102)
K_O^a			1	0.00818 (0.831)	0.378 (0.0783)	0.350 (0.0714)	0.209 (0.216)	0.275 (0.120)	0.240 (0.150)	0.217 (0.175)
$S_{O/O}$				1	0.178 (0.298)	0.00189 (0.919)	0.260 (0.196)	0.00782 (0.835)	0.0126 (0.791)	0.00195 (0.742)
k_{cat}^o					1	0.107 (0.390)	0.125 (0.351)	0.0914 (0.429)	0.108 (0.389)	0.0667 (0.502)
k_{cat}^c/K_C						1	0.304 (0.124)	0.0425 (0.568)	0.803 (0.000448)	0.963 (<0.000001)
k_{cat}^o/K_O							1	0.00552 (0.849)	0.176 (0.260)	0.228 (0.194)
K_C/K_O								1	0.210 (0.183)	0.0888 (0.403)
K_C air									1	0.752 (0.0116)
k_{cat}^c/K_C air										1

Correlation coefficient and probability of linear correlation, r^2 (P-value). Relationships with statistically significant linear correlation ($P < 0.05$) are shown in bold. ^a Correlation when all data are included. This is different from the correlation shown in Fig. 2, which does not include the *T. weissflogii* outlier.

measured K_C values for diatom Rubisco show larger diversity than observed in contemporary plant Form I Rubisco and, as a result, would require different CO_2 concentrations to saturate Rubisco. Our data suggest that the current estimates of CO_2 concentrations at the site of Rubisco in diatoms are significantly underestimated for some species. In addition, there is an unexpected negative relationship between $K_C^{21\%O_2}$ and the Rubisco content in diatoms that suggests a trade-off in the allocation of resources (energy and nutrients) between investing in Rubisco production to sustain rates of photosynthesis for competitive growth or enhancing CCM capacity to sustain saturating CO_2 levels for Rubisco function.

No significant relationship exists between k_{cat}^c and K_C

A positive relationship between k_{cat}^c and K_C has been found in all Rubiscos studied to date, although there are increasing indications from wider surveys of Rubisco kinetics (Galmes et al., 2014) that the relationship shared by plant and algal Rubisco differs from that observed for prokaryotic Form I Rubisco (Tcherkez, 2013, 2015). Remarkably, diatom Rubiscos in this study show no relationship between K_C and k_{cat}^c , whereby their C_3 plant-like k_{cat}^c values (Fig. 2A) contrast with atypically high K_C values that generally exceed those of C_4 plant Rubisco (Fig. 2C). The lack of relationship is surprising as the trade-off between K_C and k_{cat}^c is thought to be due to a fundamental mechanistic constraint of their inter-related rate constants (Tcherkez et al., 2006, 2012). However, differences in the relationships of k_{cat}^c and K_C between different photosynthetic groups may arise from differences in the intrinsic equilibrium of the RuBP enolization reaction (Tcherkez, 2013, 2015). More study is needed into the enolization, CO_2/O_2 addition, hydration, and cleavage reactions of Rubisco from diatoms (and other microalgae) to understand fully the extent and mechanistic foundation for the contrasting relationships between k_{cat}^c and K_C found in nature.

High K_C values require higher concentrations of CO_2 to saturate

Diatom Rubisco K_C values are significantly higher than the concentration of CO_2 in seawater (~10 μM at 25 °C). Like C_4 plants, cyanobacteria, and many other photosynthetic organisms, diatoms are rarely limited by CO_2 for growth because they possess a CCM. The CCM varies between species, but all combine both morphological (such as the pyrenoid, carboxysome, and bundle sheath in diatoms, cyanobacteria, and C_4 plants, respectively) and biochemical (e.g. carbonic anhydrases and bicarbonate transporters) specialization to provide high CO_2 concentrations around the site of Rubisco. In C_4 plants, CO_2 concentrations within the bundle sheath chloroplasts are in excess of 160 μM (i.e. >5000 μbar ; Furbank and Hatch, 1987) relative to the sub-saturating CO_2 concentrations in C_3 chloroplasts (<10 μM). Similarly, the CCM of cyanobacteria provides highly saturating CO_2 levels of >400 μM within the carboxysome for their Rubisco (assuming a pH of 7.35 and a 15mM inorganic carbon pool; Hopkinson et al., 2014; Whitehead et al., 2014).

Experimental determination of concentrations of CO_2 and O_2 in pyrenoids of diatoms is currently impossible. This




Fig. 4. Relationships of Rubisco content to catalysis. (A) Rubisco content as a percentage of total cellular protein (% TP) is positively correlated with carboxylation efficiency in 21% O₂ ($k_{cat}/K_C^{21\%O_2}$). (B) This is largely driven by the negative correlation of Rubisco content with $K_C^{21\%O_2}$. Rubisco content was taken from Losh *et al.* (2013). *I. galbana* (Ig), *C. muelleri* (Cm), *P. tricornutum* 642 (Pt642), *T. weissflogii* (Tw), *T. oceanica* (To), and *S. marinoi* (Sm).

has necessitated CO₂ levels being modeled according to conceptual understanding of the diatom CCM and its Rubisco kinetics. Based on a $K_C^{21\%O_2}$ of ~41 μM for *P. tricornutum* Rubisco (Whitney *et al.*, 2001), a pyrenoid CO₂ concentration of ~110 μM was modeled (Hopkinson, 2014). While only 9-fold higher than surface seawater CO₂ concentrations, this CO₂ level would give ~75% saturation of Rubisco carboxylase activity. As shown in Table 1, this assumed $K_C^{21\%O_2}$ value is at the lower end of the values measured in our study, indicating that most diatom species would require higher concentrations of CO₂ in the pyrenoid to attain similar saturation. For example, from our measures of $K_C^{21\%O_2}$ (37–88 μM) the pyrenoid CO₂ concentrations would need to range between ~148 μM and 352 μM for 80% saturation (or ~92–272 μM in the absence of O₂). This adjustment would suggest that CO₂ concentrations in the pyrenoid are similar to, and possibly exceed, those measured in C₄ plant bundle sheath cells; see above and Furbank and Hatch (1987).

Energetic investment in the CCM and Rubisco

The single-cell physiology of phytoplankton and variable nutrient availability of marine ecosystems require their expedient use and probably restricts the energy and resources that can be expended on photosynthesis. The investment of cellular resources in Rubisco synthesis is probably limited to the minimum concentration required for growth (Losh *et al.*, 2013) albeit in balance with the requirements of other cellular processes, such as the high energy costs of a CCM. Notably the resource investment by diatoms in Rubisco [e.g. 2–6% (w/w) of cellular protein; Fig. 3] is much smaller than that in the leaf soluble protein of C₃ plants [25–50% (w/w)] and C₄ plants [10–40% (w/w)] where it accounts for 5–25% of leaf N (Ghannoum *et al.*, 2005; Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2015). The energy cost of a CCM depends on the capacity of phytoplankton to actively take up inorganic carbon at a rate that is proportional to the diffusive loss of CO₂ from the cell, which is influenced by the internal:external CO₂ gradient and the permeability of the pyrenoid to CO₂ (Hopkinson *et al.*, 2011; Raven *et al.*, 2014; Raven and Beardall, 2016). Diatoms appear well adapted to maintaining near-saturating CO₂ levels around Rubisco (Tortell *et al.*, 2000; Rost *et al.*, 2003; Chen

and Gao, 2004; Kranz *et al.*, 2015) by regulating CCM activity in response to varying extracellular CO₂ (Chen and Gao, 2004; Hennon *et al.*, 2015; Young *et al.*, 2015). This ‘energy minimization’ strategy in CCM regulation and Rubisco production probably provides an N minimization strategy and a significant growth advantage to diatoms (Giordano *et al.*, 2005; Raven *et al.*, 2011).

Our study provides evidence for linkages between Rubisco CO₂ affinity and the efficiency of the CCMs in diatoms. Unlike in C₄ plants where improvements in k_{cat} correlate with reduced N investment in Rubisco (Ghannoum *et al.*, 2005), diatom Rubisco content was positively correlated with carboxylation efficiency (Fig. 4A) not k_{cat} . This correlation was governed primarily by the strong negative relationship between Rubisco content and K_C (both with and without 21% O₂; Fig. 4B). This leads us to hypothesize that diatoms balance resource allocation for photosynthesis between Rubisco content or the CCM. Diatoms such as *Thalassiosira* and *Skeletonema* species maintain low Rubisco content but require more resource allocation to their CCM to saturate their low-CO₂ affinity (high K_C) Rubiscos. Alternatively, diatoms such as *Phaeodactylum* and *Chaetoceros* species have higher Rubisco content and lower K_C values, requiring lower CO₂ concentrations for saturation of carboxylation (Fig. 4). As summarized in Fig. 5, this hypothesis infers an evolutionary trade-off between Rubisco kinetics and content in diatoms; that is, the level of energy invested by diatoms in their CCM to attain CO₂ concentrations suited to their Rubisco CO₂ affinity, not its k_{cat} , influences resource availability for cellular metabolism, that includes Rubisco synthesis.

Co-evolution of Rubisco and CCMs

Variations in the mechanistic chemistry of diatom Rubisco and plasticity in CCM efficiency among diatom species may explain the non-canonical kinetic features identified for diatom Rubisco (Fig. 3). Although the common ancestor of diatoms was thought to have gained a chloroplast from secondary endosymbiosis of a red alga ~1.2 billion years ago (Yoon *et al.*, 2002), diatoms only began to appear in the fossil record ~200 million years ago (Brown and Sorhannus, 2010). When, or how, the subsequent falling CO₂:O₂ levels triggered the evolution of




Fig. 5. Resource allocation hypothesis for the balance between Rubisco content and K_C values. Organisms that invest their energy resources heavily in the CCM (e.g. cyanobacteria) to maintain high intracellular CO_2 levels to saturate Rubisco and limit photorespiration are able to reduce their resource investment in Rubisco. At the other end of the spectrum, organisms without a CCM (e.g. C_3 plants) have Rubisco that is undersaturated with CO_2 and require large resource investments in Rubisco content to maintain adequate rates of carbon fixation for survival. Organisms with a CCM fall somewhere along the saturation curve, depending on the carboxylation speed of their Rubisco and their potential to balance the investment of resources in Rubisco biogenesis suitably or maintain elevated intercellular CO_2 levels around Rubisco.

marine CCMs remains uncertain (Raven *et al.*, 2012). Notably, although sharing similar Form ID Rubiscos, the $S_{\text{C/O}}$ and carboxylation efficiencies of red algae are much higher than those of diatoms and, in some cases, those of higher plants (Whitney *et al.*, 2001). Comparative analyses of the large subunit for a small number of Form ID Rubiscos showed a clear signal of positive selection both between and within the major algal groups (Young *et al.*, 2012). In particular, positive selection was detected along the basal branch leading to diatoms, including between the centric diatom Thalassiosirales and Chaetocerales species, which accords with the very different K_C values we observed in this study. Further work is needed to understand adaptation of Form ID Rubisco and to elucidate the amino acid changes in the large and/or small subunits responsible for the wide range of kinetic parameters observed in this study.

A requirement for Rubisco activase in diatoms

A unique outcome of this study was the finding of the low and variable activation status of Rubisco in diatoms (~20–70%, Fig. 1) that implies a requirement for accessory factors for functional maintenance. As in higher plants, deactivation of diatom Rubisco probably results from loss of Mg^{2+} and the carbamyl prosthetic group in the catalytic site, allowing for autoinhibition by substrate RuBP binding (to form ‘ER’ complexes). Likewise, binding of other inhibitory sugar phosphate molecules might occur to fully activated Rubisco (Andralojc *et al.*, 2012). Removal of the sugar phosphate is facilitated by Rubisco activase (RCA) via conformational remodeling driven

by ATP hydrolysis (Mueller-Cajar *et al.*, 2014). In nature, differing types of RCA have independently evolved among plants, non-green algae (called CbbX; Mueller-Cajar *et al.*, 2013), and photosynthetic prokaryotes (called CbbOQ; Tsai *et al.*, 2016). While an RCA function in diatoms has not been demonstrated, they encode a chloroplast and nuclear *cbbX* gene (Kroth, 2015), consistent with our findings of a need for Rubisco activity regulation. The low activation status of diatom Rubisco somewhat parallels the low Rubisco activation status in C_4 plants (~40–60%; von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003) and in C_3 plants grown at high CO_2 (e.g. it is <50% in tobacco grown in air containing 0.3% v/v CO_2 ; Whitney *et al.*, 1999). Understanding how the regulatory properties of diatom RCA and the activation status of Rubisco differ in response to environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, illumination, CO_2 , and nutrients) and impact the resource use efficiency of diatoms have yet to be examined.

Photorespiration in diatoms

Analogous to other Form I Rubisco (Savir *et al.*, 2010; Galmes *et al.*, 2014), diatom Form ID Rubisco showed a positive relationship between K_C and K_O (Fig. 3B). This indicates that unwanted reductions in CO_2 affinity (i.e. increasing K_C) are complemented by favorable reductions in O_2 affinity (i.e. increasing K_O). These kinetics help to ensure that the rates of photorespiration are not exacerbated in diatoms. The corresponding effect of specificity for CO_2 over O_2 ($S_{\text{C/O}}$) is quite variable, spanning values that match or exceed the $S_{\text{C/O}}$ of C_3 and C_4 plant Rubisco (Fig. 2E). As highlighted by Whitney *et al.* (2001), the lower carboxylation efficiencies under 21% O_2 ($k_{\text{cat}}/K_C^{21\%\text{O}_2}$) shared by all diatom Rubiscos relative to plant Rubisco (Table 1) precludes them from being more efficient enzymes within the context of a C_3 plant chloroplast—irrespective of the higher $S_{\text{C/O}}$ values measured for some diatom Rubisco variants.

As in other CCM-containing organisms, the rates of photorespiration in diatoms will be suppressed under the higher CO_2 concentrations maintained around Rubisco in the pyrenoid. To date, however, little is known about the photorespiration process and rate in diatoms (Schnitzler Parker *et al.*, 2004; Rigobello-Masini *et al.*, 2012). As indicated above, resolving our understanding of diatom CCMs, and the O_2 levels in the pyrenoid, is vital to assessing the susceptibility of diatoms to photorespiration. One interpretation from the wide range in K_O and $S_{\text{C/O}}$ values measured between the diatom species is that the $\text{CO}_2:\text{O}_2$ pressures within the pyrenoid may differ dramatically. This may arise through variation in the effectiveness of their CCM to concentrate CO_2 or through reduced permeability to O_2 , or both. Particularly curious is the elevated O_2 insensitivity (i.e. high K_O value) of Rubisco from the centric diatom *T. weissflogii*. This suggests that there may be different biochemical constraints on the Rubisco in this species worthy of further study.

Conclusions

Primary production in the oceans is dominated by phytoplankton, with diatoms accounting for ~20% of global primary production (Falkowski and Raven, 2007). Here we provide the largest data set of diatom Rubisco kinetics that identifies large

catalytic diversity and novel relationships in their properties. The data suggest that the CCM of diatoms is highly diverse and capable of concentrating CO₂ in the pyrenoid to higher levels than currently envisaged. Our findings also suggest that the CO₂ affinity of diatom Rubisco is a key indicator for how these microalgae manage the allocation of their relatively scarce cellular resources between Rubisco biogenesis and components of their CCM. This work highlights the importance of further studying the phylogenetically diverse, non-terrestrial, Rubisco isoforms to decipher potential mechanistic differences in the catalytic chemistry of the Form I Rubisco superfamily.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

Table S1. Rubisco kinetics at 25 °C taken from other data sets and used in **Fig. 2**

Acknowledgements

We thank the reviewers for helpful comments that improved the article. Funding for JNY was through ANU visiting scholar (CE140100015) and NSF Grant 1040965. AH was funded through a Clarendon Scholarship, Oxford and ANU visiting scholar (CE140100015). RES was funded through ARC DECRA scheme (DE13010760). REMR was funded through ERC Starting Grant (SP2-GA-2008–200915), FMMM was funded through NSF Grant 104095, and SMW was funded through Australian Research Council Grant CE14010001

References

- Andralojc PJ, Madgwick PJ, Tao Y, et al.** 2012. 2-Carboxy-D-arabinitol 1-phosphate (CA1P) phosphatase: evidence for a wider role in plant Rubisco regulation. *Biochemical Journal* **442**, 733–742.
- Armstrong RA, Lee C, Hedges JI, Honjo S, Wakeham SG.** 2001. A new, mechanistic model for organic carbon fluxes in the ocean based on the quantitative association of POC with ballast minerals. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* **49**, 219–236.
- Badger MRT, Andrews J, Whitney SM, Ludwig M, Yellowlees DC, Leggat W, Price GD.** 1998. The diversity and coevolution of Rubisco, plastids, pyrenoids, and chloroplast-based CO₂-concentrating mechanisms in algae. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **76**, 1052–1071.
- Brown, JW. and Sorhannus, U.** (2010) A molecular genetic timescale for the diversification of autotrophic Stramenophiles (Ochrophyta): Substantive underestimation of putative fossil ages. *PLoS ONE* **5**(9):e12759.
- Carmo-Silva E, Scales JC, Madgwick PJ, Parry MAJ.** 2015. Optimizing Rubisco and its regulation for greater resource use efficiency. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **38**, 1817–1832.
- Chen X, Gao K.** 2004. Photosynthetic utilisation of inorganic carbon and its regulation in the marine diatom *Skeletonema costatum*. *Functional Plant Biology* **31**, 1027–1033.
- Delwiche CF, Palmer JD.** 1997. The origin of plastids and their spread via secondary symbiosis. In: Bhattacharya D, ed. *The origins of algae and their plastids*. Vienna: Springer-Verlag, 53–86.
- Egan KE, Rickaby REM, Hendry KR, Halliday AN.** 2013. Opening the gateways for diatoms primes Earth for Antarctic glaciation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **375**, 34–43.
- Ehleringer JR, Cerling TE, Helliker BR.** 1997. C₄ photosynthesis, atmospheric CO₂, and climate. *Oecologia* **112**, 285–299.
- Falkowski P, Schofield O, Katz M, Van de Schootbrugge B, Knoll A.** 2004. Why is the land green and the ocean red? In: Thierstein H, Young J, eds. *Coccolithophores*. Berlin: Springer, 429–453.
- Falkowski PG, Raven JA.** 2007. *Aquatic photosynthesis*, Vol. 2. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Furbank RT, Hatch MD.** 1987. Mechanism of C₄ photosynthesis: the size and composition of the inorganic carbon pool in bundle sheath cells. *Plant Physiology* **85**, 958–964.
- Galmes J, Kapralov MV, Andralojc PJ, Conesa MA, Keys AJ, Parry MAJ, Flexas J.** 2014. Expanding knowledge of the Rubisco kinetics variability in plant species: environmental and evolutionary trends. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **37**, 1989–2001.
- Ghannoum O, Evans JR, Chow WS, Andrews TJ, Conroy JP, von Caemmerer S.** 2005. Faster Rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen-use efficiency in NADP-malic enzyme relative to NAD-malic enzyme C(4) grasses. *Plant Physiology* **137**, 638–650.
- Giordano M, Beardall J, Raven JA.** 2005. CO₂ concentrating mechanisms in algae: mechanisms, environmental modulation, and evolution. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **56**, 99–131.
- Haslam RP, Keys AJ, Andralojc PJ, Madgwick PJ, Andersson I, Grimsrud A, Eilertsen HC, Parry MAJ.** 2005. Specificity of diatom Rubisco. In: Omasa K, Nouchi I, De Kok LJ, eds. *Plant responses to air pollution and global change*. Springer: Japan, 157–164.
- Hauser T, Popilka L, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M.** 2015. Role of auxiliary proteins in Rubisco biogenesis and function. *Nature Plants* **1**, 15065.
- Hennon GMM, Ashworth J, Groussman RD, Berthiaume C, Morales RL, Baliga NS, Orellana MW, Armbrust EV.** 2015. Diatom acclimation to elevated CO₂ via novel gene clusters and cAMP-signaling. *Nature Climate Change* **5**, 761–765.
- Heureux AMC, Rickaby REM.** 2015. Refining our estimate of atmospheric CO₂ across the Eocene–Oligocene climatic transition. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **409**, 329–338.
- Hopkinson B.** 2014. A chloroplast pump model for the CO₂ concentrating mechanism in the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Photosynthesis Research* **121**, 223–233.
- Hopkinson BM, Dupont CL, Allen AE, Morel FMM.** 2011. Efficiency of the CO₂-concentrating mechanism of diatoms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **108**, 3830–3837.
- Hopkinson BM, Young JN, Tansik AL, Binder BJ.** 2014. The minimal CO₂-concentrating mechanism of *Prochlorococcus* spp. MED4 is effective and efficient. *Plant Physiology* **166**, 2205–2217.
- Kane HJ, Viil J, Entsch B, Paul K, Morell MK, Andrews TJ.** 1994. An improved method for measuring the CO₂/O₂ specificity of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **21**, 449–461.
- Kane HJ, Wilkin J-M, Portis AR, John Andrews T.** 1998. Potent inhibition of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase by an oxidized impurity in ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate. *Plant Physiology* **117**, 1059–1069.
- Kranz SA, Young JN, Hopkinson B, Goldman JAL, Tortell PD, Morel FMM.** 2015. Low temperature reduces the energetic requirement for the CO₂ concentrating mechanism in diatoms. *New Phytologist* **205**, 192–202.
- Kroth PG.** 2015. The biodiversity of carbon assimilation. *Plant Physiology* **172**, 76–81.
- Laing WA, Ogren WL, Hageman RH.** 1974. Regulation of soybean net photosynthetic CO₂ fixation by the interaction of CO₂, O₂, and ribulose 1,5-diphosphate carboxylase. *Plant Physiology* **54**, 678–685.
- Long BM, Bahar NHA, Atkin OK.** 2015. Contributions of photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic cell types to leaf respiration in *Vicia faba* L. and their responses to growth temperature. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **38**, 2263–2276.
- Long SP.** 1999. Environmental responses. In: Sage RF, Monson RK, eds. *C₄ plant biology*. Academic Press: San Diego, 215–249.
- Losh JL, Young JN, Morel FM.** 2013. Rubisco is a small fraction of total protein in marine phytoplankton. *New Phytologist* **198**, 52–58.
- Mueller-Cajar O, Stotz M, Bracher A.** 2014. Maintaining photosynthetic CO₂ fixation via protein remodelling: the Rubisco activases. *Photosynthesis Research* **119**, 191–201.
- Mueller-Cajar O, Whitney S.** 2008. Directing the evolution of Rubisco and Rubisco activase: first impressions of a new tool for photosynthesis research. *Photosynthesis Research* **98**, 667–675.
- Nakajima K, Tanaka A, Matsuda Y.** 2013. SLC4 family transporters in a marine diatom directly pump bicarbonate from seawater. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **110**, 1767–1772.
- Nelson DM, Tréguer P, Brzezinski MA, Leynaert A, Quéguiner B.** 1995. Production and dissolution of biogenic silica in the ocean: revised global estimates, comparison with regional data and relationship to biogenic sedimentation. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **9**, 359–372.
- Osborne CP, Sack L.** 2012. Evolution of C₄ plants: a new hypothesis for an interaction of CO₂ and water relations mediated by plant hydraulics.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **367**, 583–600.

Parry MAJ, Andralojc PJ, Scales JC, Salvucci ME, Carmo-Silva AE, Alonso H, Whitney SM. 2013. Rubisco activity and regulation as targets for crop improvement. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **64**, 717–730.

Peterhansel C, Niessen M, Kebeish RM. 2008. Metabolic engineering towards the enhancement of photosynthesis. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* **84**, 1317–1323.

Pierce J, Tolbert NE, Barker R. 1980. Interaction of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase with transition-state analogues. *Biochemistry* **19**, 934–942.

Price GD, Badger MR, Woodger FJ, Long BM. 2008. Advances in understanding the cyanobacterial CO₂-concentrating-mechanism (CCM): functional components, Ci transporters, diversity, genetic regulation and prospects for engineering into plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 1441–1461.

Price GD, Evans JR, von Caemmerer S, Yu J-W, Badger MR. 1995. Specific reduction of chloroplast glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase activity by antisense RNA reduces CO₂ assimilation via a reduction in ribulose bisphosphate regeneration in transgenic tobacco plants. *Planta* **195**, 369–378.

Raven J, Beardall J, Giordano M. 2014. Energy costs of carbon dioxide concentrating mechanisms in aquatic organisms. *Photosynthesis Research* **121**, 111–124.

Raven J, Giordano M, Beardall J, Maberly SC. 2011. Algal and aquatic plant carbon concentrating mechanisms in relation to environmental change. *Photosynthesis Research* **109**, 1–16.

Raven JA. 2009. Contributions of anoxygenic and oxygenic phototrophy and chemolithotrophy to carbon and oxygen fluxes in aquatic environments. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* **56**, 177–192.

Raven JA, Beardall J. 2016. The ins and outs of CO₂. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**, 1–13.

Raven JA, Giordano M, Beardall J, Maberly SC. 2012. Algal evolution in relation to atmospheric CO₂: carboxylases, carbon-concentrating mechanisms and carbon oxidation cycles. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **367**, 493–507.

Read BA, Tabita FR. 1994. High substrate specificity factor ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase from eukaryotic marine algae and properties of recombinant cyanobacterial rubisco containing 'algal' residue modifications. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **312**, 210–218.

Reinfelder JR. 2010. Carbon concentrating mechanisms in eukaryotic marine phytoplankton. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **3**, 291–315.

Reinfelder JR, Kraepiel AM, Morel FM. 2000. Unicellular C₄ photosynthesis in a marine diatom. *Nature* **407**, 996–999.

Reinfelder JR, Milligan AJ, Morel FMM. 2004. The role of the C₄ pathway in carbon accumulation and fixation in a marine diatom. *Plant Physiology* **135**, 2106–2111.

Rigobello-Masini M, Penteado JCP, Tiba M, Masini JC. 2012. Study of photorespiration in marine microalgae through the determination of glycolic acid using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography. *Journal of Separation Science* **35**, 20–28.

Roberts K, Granum E, Leegood RC, Raven JA. 2007. Carbon acquisition by diatoms. *Photosynthesis Research* **93**, 79–88.

Rost B, Riebesell U, Burkhardt S, Sültemeyer D. 2003. Carbon acquisition of bloom-forming marine phytoplankton. *Limnology and Oceanography* **48**, 55–67.

Sage RF. 2001. Environmental and evolutionary preconditions for the origin and diversification of the C₄ photosynthetic syndrome. *Plant Biology* **3**, 202–213.

Sage RF. 2004. Tansley review: the evolution of C₄ photosynthesis. *New Phytologist* **161**, 341–371.

Savir Y, Noor E, Milo R, Tlustý T. 2010. Cross-species analysis traces adaptation of Rubisco toward optimality in a low-dimensional landscape. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **107**, 3475–3480.

Schnitzler Parker M, Armbrust E, Piovio-Scott J, Keil RG. 2004. Induction of photorespiration by light in the centric diatom *Thalassiosira weissflogii* (Bacillariophyceae): molecular characterization and physiological consequences. *Journal of Phycology* **40**, 557–567.

Seemann JR, Badger MR, Berry JA. 1984. Variations in the specific activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase between species utilizing differing photosynthetic pathways. *Plant Physiology* **74**, 791–795.

Sharwood RE, von Caemmerer S, Maliga P, Whitney SM. 2008. The catalytic properties of hybrid rubisco comprising tobacco small and sunflower large subunits mirror the kinetically equivalent source rubiscos and can support tobacco growth. *Plant Physiology* **146**, 83–96.

Sunda WG, Price NM, Morel FMM. 2005. Trace metal ion buffers and their use in culture studies. In: Andersen RA, ed. *Algal culturing techniques*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 35–63.

Tabita FR, Satagopan S, Hanson TE, Kreeel NE, Scott SS. 2008. Distinct form I, II, III, and IV Rubisco proteins from the three kingdoms of life provide clues about Rubisco evolution and structure/function relationships. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 1515–1524.

Tcherkez G. 2013. Modelling the reaction mechanism of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase and consequences for kinetic parameters. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **36**, 1586–1596.

Tcherkez G. 2015. The mechanism of Rubisco-catalyzed oxygenation. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **39** (5), 983–997.

Tcherkez GGB, Farquhar GD, Andrews TJ. 2006. Despite slow catalysis and confused substrate specificity, all ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases may be nearly perfectly optimized. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **103**, 7246–7251.

Tortell PD, Rau GH, Morel FM. 2000. Inorganic carbon acquisition in coastal Pacific phytoplankton communities. *Limnology and Oceanography* **45**, 1485–1500.

Tsai YC, Lapina MC, Bhushan S, Mueller-Cajal O. 2016. Identification and characterization of multiple rubisco activases in chemoautotrophic bacteria. *Nature Communications*. **6**, 8883.

von Caemmerer S, Furbank R. 2003. The C₄ pathway: an efficient CO₂ pump. *Photosynthesis Research* **77**, 191–207.

Way DA, Katul GG, Manzoni S, Vico G. 2014. Increasing water use efficiency along the C₃ to C₄ evolutionary pathway: a stomatal optimization perspective. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**, 3683–3693.

Whitehead L, Long BM, Price GD, Badger MR. 2014. Comparing the in vivo function of α -carboxysomes and β -carboxysomes in two model cyanobacteria. *Plant Physiology* **165**, 398–411.

Whitney SM, Baldet P, Hudson GS, Andrews TJ. 2001. Form I Rubiscos from non-green algae are expressed abundantly but not assembled in tobacco chloroplasts. *The Plant Journal* **26**, 535–547.

Whitney SM, Houtz RL, Alonso H. 2011. Advancing our understanding and capacity to engineer nature's CO₂-sequestering enzyme, Rubisco. *Plant Physiology* **155**, 27–35.

Whitney SM, Sharwood RE. 2007. Linked Rubisco subunits can assemble into functional oligomers without impeding catalytic performance. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **282**, 3809–3818.

Whitney SM, von Caemmerer S, Hudson GS, Andrews TJ. 1999. Directed mutation of the Rubisco large subunit of tobacco influences photorespiration and growth. *Plant Physiology* **121**, 579–588.

Yeoh H-H, Badger MR, Watson L. 1980. Variations in K_m(CO₂) of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase among grasses. *Plant Physiology* **66**, 1110–1112.

Yoon HS, Hackett JD, Pinto G, Bhattacharya D. 2002. The single, ancient origin of chromist plastids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **99**, 15507–15512.

Young JN, Bruggeman J, Rickaby REM, Erez J, Conte M. 2013. Evidence for changes in carbon isotopic fractionation by phytoplankton between 1960 and 2010. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **27**, 505–515.

Young JN, Kranz SA, Goldman JAL, Tortell PD, Morel FM. 2015. Antarctic phytoplankton down-regulate their carbon concentrating mechanisms under high CO₂ with no change in growth rates. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **532**, 13–28.

Young JN, Rickaby REM, Kapralov MV, Filatov DA. 2012. Adaptive signals in algal Rubisco reveal a history of ancient atmospheric CO₂. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **367**, 483–492.

Zelitch I, Schultes NP, Peterson RB, Brown P, Brutnell TP. 2009. High glycolate oxidase activity is required for survival of maize in normal air. *Plant Physiology* **149**, 195–204.

Zhu G, Jensen RG. 1991. Xyulose 1,5-bisphosphate synthesized by ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase during catalysis binds to decarbamylated enzyme. *Plant Physiology* **97**, 1348–1353.