RESEARCH PAPER



Rhizosheaths on wheat grown in acid soils: phosphorus acquisition efficiency and genetic control

Richard A. James¹, Chandrakumara Weligama¹, Klara Verbyla², Peter R. Ryan¹, Gregory J. Rebetzke¹, Allan Rattey³, Alan E. Richardson¹ and Emmanuel Delhaize^{1,*}

¹ CSIRO Agriculture, PO Box 1600, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

² CSIRO Data61, PO Box 1600, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

³ Dow AgroSciences, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: manny.delhaize@csiro.au

Received 19 November 2015; Revised 12 January 2016; Accepted 18 January 2016

Editor: Ian Dodd, Lancaster University

Abstract

Rhizosheaths comprise soil bound to roots, and in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) rhizosheath size correlates with root hair length. The aims of this study were to determine the effect that a large rhizosheath has on the phosphorus (P) acquisition by wheat and to investigate the genetic control of rhizosheath size in wheat grown on acid soil.

Near-isogenic wheat lines differing in rhizosheath size were evaluated on two acid soils. The soils were fertilized with mineral nutrients and included treatments with either low or high P. The same soils were treated with CaCO₃ to raise the pH and detoxify AI^{3+} . Genotypic differences in rhizosheath size were apparent only when soil pH was low and AI^{3+} was present. On acid soils, a large rhizosheath increased shoot biomass compared with a small rhizosheath regardless of P supply. At low P supply, increased shoot biomass could be attributed to a greater uptake of soil P, but at high P supply the increased biomass was due to some other factor. Generation means analysis indicated that rhizosheath size on acid soil was controlled by multiple, additive loci. Subsequently, a quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis of an F₆ population of recombinant inbred lines identified five major loci contributing to the phenotype together accounting for over 60% of the total genetic variance. One locus on chromosome 1D accounted for 34% of the genotypic variation. Genetic control of rhizosheath size appears to be relatively simple and markers based on the QTL provide valuable tools for marker assisted breeding.

Key words: Acid soil, aluminum toxicity, genetics, heritability, phosphorus acquisition efficiency, rhizosheath, root hairs.

Introduction

Root hairs are protrusions from single epidermal cells on a root surface that extend a plant's influence into the surrounding soil. They are particularly important for the uptake of ions whose availability is limited by the rate of diffusion within soil. Phosphorus (P) as phosphate is poorly mobile in most soil types (Barber, 1984) and it is in the uptake of soil phosphate that the benefits of root hairs are most likely to be evident, since the hairs increase the volume of soil that can be explored. Studies that have modelled the uptake of soil phosphate by root hairs generally conclude that an increase in root hair length enhances phosphate uptake (Ma *et al.*, 2001; Leitner *et al.*, 2010; Zygalakis *et al.*, 2011).

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

3710 | Delhaize et al.

The most compelling evidence demonstrating a benefit of root hairs to P nutrition comes from studies comparing roothairless mutants with wild type parental lines. These studies assumed that the primary effect of the mutation was on root hairs and that pleotropic effects on other processes were minimal. In the case of the *rht3* mutant of maize (Zea mays L.) that lacks root hairs, grain yields in field trials were lower than wild type plants although it was not reported whether this was a consequence of altered uptake of water and nutrients or if it could be attributed to a pleotropic effect (Hochholdinger et al., 2008). Nevertheless, several studies have shown that mutants of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) lacking root hairs have reduced phosphate uptake compared with wild type plants in low P treatments in soil culture and this is associated with decreased biomass production (Gahoonia et al., 2001; Gahoonia and Nielsen, 2003; Chen et al., 2005; Zheng et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2012; Haling et al., 2013). Similarly, Arabidopsis thaliana mutants that lack root hairs were smaller than wild type plants when grown under restricted P supply in a sand-alumina mix but were the same size as wild type with a high P supply (Bates and Lynch, 2000, 2001).

Although these studies clearly demonstrate the benefit of root hairs for phosphate uptake, it is not clear whether variation in root hair length within a species can be exploited to improve phosphorus acquisition efficiency (PAE). In contrast to mutants, the germplasm will all possess root hairs and differ only in their length or density whereas the mutants represent an extreme comparison. By comparing genotypes that differ in root hair length and density within a species, several studies have shown that root hair length is correlated with P uptake and biomass accumulation under low P supply (Gahoonia et al., 1997; Krasilnikoff et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2010; Vandamme et al., 2013) and in at least one instance, with final grain yield (Gahoonia and Nielsen, 2004). However, in all these examples unrelated genotypes were compared and the genotypes may have differed in additional root traits that contributed towards differences in PAE.

A previous study described wheat lines that differ in root hair length when grown on acid soil and this was attributed to differences in the ability of root hairs to tolerate Al³⁺ in the soil solution (Delhaize et al., 2012a). In that work the rhizosheath (soil adhering to the root) size of young seedlings was strongly correlated with length of root hairs and was used as a surrogate for root hair length to develop backcrossed germplasm. The germplasm comprised near-isogenic lines that differed in rhizosheath size, and hence length of root hairs, when grown on an acid soil. These differences in rhizosheath size either disappeared or were reduced when the same soil was limed to a higher pH (Delhaize et al., 2012a). To date, the genetics of rhizosheath size of wheat grown on acid soil is unexplored even though near-isogenic lines differing in rhizosheath size have been developed (Delhaize *et al.*, 2012a). Similar to wheat grown on acid soil, root hair length of wheat grown on non-acid soil was strongly correlated with rhizosheath size (Delhaize et al., 2015). Mapping quantitative trait loci (QTL) for rhizosheath size on non-acid soil identified six major loci together accounting for 42% of the variation in rhizosheath size (Delhaize et al., 2015). George et al.

(2014) screened a diverse population of barley genotypes on non-acid soil and by genome wide association analysis identified loci on chromosomes 2H, 5H and 7H as contributing to rhizosheath size. Unlike wheat, rhizosheath size of barley was not strongly correlated with root hair length.

Acid soils limit crop production on large tracts of agricultural land globally, primarily due to Al³⁺ toxicity but also due to P deficiencies caused by the formation of Al-P complexes (von Uexküll and Mutert, 1995). The use of wheat germplasm with Al³⁺-tolerant roots improves PAE on acid soils, but root hair elongation can still be inhibited on these roots (Delhaize et al., 2009). As discussed above, there is strong evidence that root hairs are important for PAE on non-acid soils. The use of germplasm that differs in root hair length on acid soil will help establish whether root hair length is also important for PAE on acid soil. Here we use near-isogenic lines (NILs) that differ in Al³⁺ tolerance of root hairs to show that large rhizosheath size on acid soils is associated with improved PAE. In addition, we undertook genetic analyses to establish heritability and to determine the number and chromosomal location of loci controlling rhizosheath size of wheat grown on acid soil. Markers linked to the QTL identified from the analysis can be applied to breed wheat for improved PAE on acid soils.

Materials and methods

Germplasm

NILs of wheat differing in Al³⁺ tolerance of root hairs using rhizosheath size on acid soil as a surrogate for root hair length were generated previously (Delhaize et al., 2012a). Briefly, the Brazilian cultivar Fronteira with a large rhizosheath was crossed to the Australian cultivar EGA Burke as the small rhizosheath recurrent parent (Delhaize et al., 2012a). Both EGA Burke and Fronteira possess an Al^{3+} -tolerant allele of *TaALMT1*, a gene that confers Al^{3+} tolerance to root growth. Three backcrosses into EGA Burke were completed after phenotypic selection of the F_1 at each generation. BC₃F₄ lines with consistently large (L1, L2, L4, L5) or small (S2, S3, S4) rhizosheaths were identified after selections at the BC_3F_2 and BC_3F_3 generations and evaluation at the BC_3F_4 generation using the rhizosheath phenotypic screen. We refer to the BC₃ lines with Al³⁺-tolerant root hairs identified from screens on acid soil as large (L) rhizosheath lines whereas those with Al³⁺-sensitive root hairs are referred to as small (S) rhizosheath lines.

For the generation means analysis (GMA) Fronteira was crossed to either EGA Burke or Yitpi as outlined below. Yitpi possesses the same Al³⁺-tolerant allele of *TaALMT1* as Fronteira and EGA Burke and this *TaALMT1* allele can be considered to be genetically 'fixed' in all germplasm used in the experiments. *TaALMT1* is the major Al³⁺ tolerance gene of wheat and encodes an anion channel facilitating the efflux of malate from roots (Delhaize *et al.*, 2012b). For QTL analysis, a population of 139 F_6 -derived, F_7 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) was developed by single-seed descent from an EGA Burke by Fronteira cross.

Rhizosheath screens

Rhizosheath screens were conducted in controlled environment growth cabinets according to a previously described method (Delhaize *et al.*, 2012*a*) with soils used in the PAE experiments (Table 1). Briefly, the air-dried soil with mineral nutrients added as described below was sieved through a 4 mm mesh, and water was added to 80% of field capacity (FC), which was 28.8% for the ferrosol and 16.0% for the kandosol. The moistened soil was mixed

Table 1.	Characteriza	tion of P-resp	oonsive soils	used in	
rhizosheatl	h screening	experiments	and glassho	use growth	trials

Parameter	Ferrosol ^a (Robertson)	Kandosol ^a (Rye Park)	
pH	4.3	4.0	
Field capacity (%w/w)	36.0	20.0	
Colwell P (mg P kg ⁻¹)	41.7	8.0	
PBI ^b	1117	70	
Total P (µg g ⁻¹)	1260	89	
Soluble Al	27.6	40.0	

a Soil classified according to Isbell (1996).

^b Phosphorus buffer index.

manually and again sieved through a 4mm mesh. The soil was packed to $250 \pm 3 \,\mathrm{g}$ into small pots of 5.4 cm width and 9.5 cm height to a bulk density of about 0.80 g cm⁻³. After sowing a single pregerminated seed, pots were placed in trays covered with transparent plastic lids. Air temperature in the growth cabinet was maintained at 23 °C, humidity maintained at about 70%, and light intensity set at 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photon irradiance with an 8 h photoperiod. Intact seedlings were harvested after 3 d when leaf 1 was about two-thirds extended to its final length. The three primary seminal roots were excised from seedlings and weighed together with adhering soil. Root length was then measured and rhizosheath calculated as the fresh weight of soil and root per length of seminal root.

Short term growth experiments on P-limiting soils

Soil characterization and treatments A ferrosol and a yellow kandosol (Isbell, 1996) were collected from farmers' paddocks in southern New South Wales at Robertson (34°35'S, 150°36'E) and Rye Park (34°31'S, 148°55' E), respectively, from below the 10 cm soil layer. Air-dried soils were passed through a 4-mm sieve. Treatments consisted of two rates of P where the ferrosol received 250 and 2000 mg kg⁻¹ and the kandosol 50 and 150 mg kg⁻¹. The lower P rate for each soil type was considered to be a rate that was not severely P-deficient for growth of wheat yet was responsive to P application for growth, whereas the higher P rate was considered to be non-limiting for plant growth. The ferrosol is a highly P-fixing soil as seen by its much greater phosphorus buffer index (PBI) than the kandosol (Table 1), and required larger amounts of applied P than the kandosol for adequate shoot growth at both low and high treatments. Phosphorous was applied to the air-dry soil as finely ground KH₂PO₄ (22.8% P w/v) and mixed well with the soil prior to the addition of the nutrient solution. The soil was brought up to 85% of moisture field capacity by mixing with nutrient solution (6.5 mM KNO_3 , $2 \text{ mM Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$, 3 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2 mM MgSO₄, 45 µM FeCl₃, 23 µM H₃BO₃, 5 µM MnCl₂.4H₂O, 2 µM ZnSO₄.7H₂O, 1 µM (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄.4H₂O and 2 µM CuSO₄.5H₂O) in a low-geared cement-mixer just prior to packing of pots. When required, lime was applied to the soils at a rate of 4 g kg^{-1} to increase the pH from 4.3 to about 5.5 in the ferrosol and from 4.0 to about 5.5 in the kandosol.

Soil was packed into cylindrical pots (10.5 cm internal diameter and 20 cm height) to a bulk density of 0.90 g cm^{-3} for the ferrosol and 1.3 g cm^{-3} for the kandosol. The soil bulk densities were chosen to be similar to the bulk densities used in the screening of germplasm for rhizosheath size. Each pot contained between 1200 and 1700 g dry soil (depending on bulk density), which was packed to a depth of 17 cm. Soil strength measured using a penetrometer with a cylindrical rod diameter of $0.625 \text{ cm} (0.307 \text{ cm}^2)$ ranged from 0.2 to 0.3 MPa.

Field capacity of soils was determined to be 36.0% and 20.0% moisture content (gravimetric) for the ferrosol and kandosol, respectively, using the wetting-front method described by Passioura (2006). Gravimetric moisture contents of soils were determined after drying for 48 h at 105 °C. Soil characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Soil P was extracted in 0.5 M NaHCO₃ adjusted to pH 8.5 with 5 M

KOH (soil solution ratio of 1:100 and extraction time of 16h at 25 °C) according to the Colwell method (1963). The total P in soils was determined on soil samples heated in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4h, and after cooling the soil was subsequently extracted in $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (Saunders and Williams, 1955). Phosphate in extracts for Colwell and total P were determined by the malachite green method (Irving and McLaughlin, 1990). PBI was measured using previously described methods (Burkitt *et al.*, 2008; Rayment and Lyons, 2010). Soil pH was measured in 0.01 M CaCl₂ soil extracts (1:5 w/v soil:solution ratio) where samples were shaken for 1 h prior to centrifugation and collection of the supernatant solution.

Plant growth To determine the effect that a large rhizosheath had on P acquisition and subsequent shoot growth in P-limiting soils, shoot growth of the NILs differing in rhizosheath size were evaluated in two contrasting low pH, P-limiting soils containing toxic concentrations of soluble Al^{3+} (Table 1). In separate experiments, growth of the germplasm was also evaluated on the same soils amended with lime to raise the pH from 4.3 to 5.5. In all experiments, two P treatments were incorporated into the soils: a high P rate estimated to be non-limiting to shoot growth and a responsive P rate that limited growth without causing severe P deficiency.

Grains of individual lines were selected within a 5 mg weight range (55–60 mg), imbibed overnight at 4 °C and then germinated on filter paper in Petri dishes over 2 d. Germinated grains were planted one per pot to a depth of about 1 cm, and the soil surface covered with a 2 cm layer of white plastic beads to reduce evaporation. The plants were grown under naturally lit glasshouse conditions at CSIRO, Canberra, Australia (35°16'S, 149°7'E) at air temperature maintained at approximately 25 °C (day) and 15 °C (night). Experiments were conducted over July to September 2012 for the ferrosol (both acid and limed), over October to November 2012 for the acid kandosol and over March to April 2013 for the limed kandosol. Pots were watered to weight with deionised water to 85% of field capacity every 2 d. The experiments were arranged in a factorial design with two P treatments and six or seven wheat genotypes, and were run in four replicate blocks. Data were analysed using ANOVA (SigmaPlot version 12.3) to generate means and least significant differences (LSDs).

Shoot harvest and P determination Shoots were harvested at 26 (acid soil) or 24 (limed soil) days after emergence for experiments with the ferrosol and 24 (acid soil) or 21 (limed soil) days after emergence for growth experiments using the kandosol. Shoots were dried at 70 °C for 48 h and weighed. Dried shoots were milled to a fine powder using a puck mill for determination of total P. Briefly the samples (about 50 mg) were ignited in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 5 h. The ashed samples were subsequently dissolved in 5 ml of 2 M HCl and phosphate concentration determined by a modified malachite green method (Murphy and Riley, 1962). Shoot P content was calculated as the product of shoot dry weight and P concentration in the shoot.

Genetics of the rhizosheath trait

Generation means analysis Experiments were conducted to investigate the genetic control of the rhizosheath trait in several wheat populations. In view of the low heritability common for root traits, gene action was first investigated with the generation means mating design based on first-order statistics. The cultivar Fronteira with a large rhizosheath was crossed to the smaller rhizosheath cultivars EGA Burke and Yitpi to produce an F₁ generation for each population. Fronteira was used as the female parent in all crossing although reciprocal crosses using Fronteira as the male parent were also undertaken to assess maternal genetic effects in reciprocal F₁ grains. Four F1 grains were sown and plants self-pollinated to produce F_2 generations, whereas other F_1 plants were backcrossed to each of the original parents to develop BC1F1 generations. For each population, approximately 20 grains were sown of each parent and F_1 , and 10 of each F_1 reciprocal cross. For the various generations, 180 F_2 , 42 BC_1P_1 and 42 BC_1P_2 grains were sown for each population where P_1 and P_2 represent each of the parents.

To estimate gene effects for rhizosheath size in each population. weighted least squares regression analyses were used to solve for the mid-parent (m), pooled additive ([a]), pooled dominance ([d]) and pooled digenic epistatic ([aa], [ad], and [dd]) genetic effects following the models and assumptions described in Mather and Jinks (1971). A simple additive-dominance genetic model containing only m, a, and d effects was first tested using the joint scaling test (Rowe and Alexander, 1980). Adequacy of the genetic model was assessed using a chi-square goodness-of-fit statistic determined from deviations from the additive-dominance genetic model for each experiment and then pooled across experiments. Broad- and narrow-sense heritabilities (and their standard errors) were calculated (Ketata *et al.*, 1976) and numbers of effective factors were then estimated (Falconer and Mackay, 1996). In addition, a separate, random sample of 200 F_2 seed from the Fronteira/EGA Burke population was grown along with parents and assessed for rhizosheath size. Tails of this population comprising the seedlings with the smallest and largest 10% of rhizosheaths within the F₂ population were selected, transferred to pots and grown to maturity. The resulting F_3 generation of these selections was assessed for rhizosheath size, and means calculated and realised heritability estimated according to Falconer and Mackay (1996). All statistical analyses were undertaken using the SAS mixed-linear modelling procedure Proc MIXED (SAS, 2013). QTL analysis Rhizosheath size data collected on the EGA Burke \times Fronteira RIL population were analysed using AsReml-R (Butler et al., 2011) after first checking for normality. The analysis took into consideration the experimental design which used a nested blocking structure in which the factor Tray (eight levels) was nested in Replicate (six levels). QTL analysis was carried out using whole genome average interval mapping (WGAIM) as described by Verbyla et al. (2007) and extended in Verbyla et al. (2012). The genetic map used in the QTL analyses was obtained from the RIL and parental lines by analysis with the 90K SNP chip (Wang et al., 2014). These markers were mapped to 35 linkage groups yielding a total map length of 9375.72 cM. Since many of these markers were located at the same position on the map, a set of markers was removed to ensure non-zero recombination fractions between the remaining markers. The final map for QTL analysis consisted of 2332 markers.

Results

Characterization of germplasm

To verify the rhizosheath traits, the L and S lines together with parents EGA Burke and Fronteira were screened on two acid soils that had been fertilized. One of these soils was a low pH ferrosol containing toxic concentrations of Al³⁺ (Table 1), a soil that had previously been used to develop the L and S rhizosheath lines (Delhaize et al., 2012a). In the lower P responsive treatment (250 mg kg^{-1}), rhizosheath size of S lines was similar to the recurrent parent EGA Burke (Fig. 1A). Conversely, the rhizosheath size of L lines was significantly larger than both EGA Burke and S lines, but smaller than that of donor parent Fronteira. While the rhizosheath size of all lines increased by a factor of about 2 with a higher rate of applied P (2000mg kg^{-1}), the ranking of lines remained similar except that the size of the rhizosheath of the L lines was now comparable to that of Fronteira (Fig. 1B). Similarly, in the acid kandosol, rhizosheath sizes of L lines were about 40% greater on the low P soil than those of S lines (Fig. 1C). Differences in rhizosheath size between lines were still apparent, although attenuated, at the higher P rate (150 mg kg^{-1}) in the kandosol (Fig. 1D). When the soil pH values of the ferrosol and kandosol were adjusted to 5.5 by the application of lime, rhizosheath size of all lines increased for both P treatments and differences in rhizosheath size between the different lines essentially disappeared (see Supplementary Fig. S1 at JXB online).

Short term growth experiments on P-limiting soils

For plants grown on both acid soils, the L lines generally had greater shoot biomass than S and parental EGA Burke lines



Fig. 1. Rhizosheath size on EGA Burke, Fronteira and selected BC_3 NILS with small (S lines, empty bars) or large (L lines, filled bars) rhizosheaths on an acid ferrosol (A, B) and an acid kandosol (C, D) with a responsive (A, C) or a non-responsive (B, D) P rate added to the soils. Values are means (n=6) and different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05) between genotypes.

regardless of P treatment (Figs 2A and 3A). When the soils were limed to increase the pH from 4.3 to 5.5, the differences between S and L rhizosheath line soils disappeared (Figs 2B and 3B). The differences in shoot biomass on the acid soils were reflected in the amounts of P accumulated in shoots. with EGA Burke L lines accumulating more P than S lines on both soils regardless of P treatment (Fig. 4). When the ferrosol was limed, shoot P concentrations and shoot P content of the lines did not differ from one another at the low P treatment and any differences apparent between the lines at the high P treatment were not consistently associated with rhizosheath size found on acid soil (see Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online). The P concentrations in shoots of L and S lines grown in the low P treatment of the acid ferrosol did not differ from one another (Fig. 5). In the high P acid ferrosol, one of the L lines as well as Fronteira had significantly (P < 0.05) greater P concentrations than all the S lines and EGA Burke. For the acid kandasol, all L lines had greater P concentrations



Fig. 2. Shoot biomass of EGA Burke, Fronteira and BC₃ NILS with large (L2, L4) or small (S3, S4) rhizosheaths grown in (A) a non-limed (pH 4.3) and (B) a limed (pH 5.5) ferrosol with 250 mg kg⁻¹ or 2000 mg kg⁻¹ added P. Values are means (n=4) and different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05) between genotypes (no interaction between genotypes and treatments). A significant genotype difference (P<0.05) in (B) is indicated by *. For reference, the dashed lines show shoot dry weights of EGA Burke at low (lower lines) and high (upper lines) P treatments.

than S lines regardless of the P supply (Fig. 5). The soil P was unlikely to have been limiting growth in the high P treatment of either acid soil based on the shoot P concentrations in the S or L lines (Fig. 5).

Inheritance of acid soil rhizosheath size in wheat

Significant variation was observed between parents in generation means for rhizosheath size of seedlings grown on the acid soil (Table 2). Fronteira had significantly (P>0.01) larger rhizosheaths than either of the small rhizosheath parents EGA Burke and Yitpi. Differences in rhizosheath size among parents translated into significant (P<0.05) differences between progeny generations for rhizosheath size (Table 2). The F₁ and F₂ generation means were similar but both were smaller than the mid-parent mean for both crosses. Maternal genetic effects on rhizosheath size were small and not statistically significant (P>0.05) in each population (data not shown). Backcross-derived Fronteira progeny were on average larger (P<0.01) for rhizosheath than either backcross-derived EGA



Fig. 3. Shoot biomass of EGA Burke and BC₃ NILS with large (L1, L4, L5) or small (S2, S3, S4) rhizosheaths grown in (A) non-limed (pH 4.0) or (B) limed (pH 5.5) kandosol with 50 mg kg⁻¹ or 150 mg kg⁻¹ added P. Values are means (n=4) and different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05) between genotypes (no interaction between genotypes and treatments). For reference, the dashed lines show shoot dry weights of EGA Burke at low (lower lines) and high (upper lines) P treatments.





Rhizosheath line

Fig. 4. Shoot P content (total P accumulated) in shoots of EGA Burke and BC₃ NILS with large (L) or small rhizosheaths (S) grown in (A) acid ferrosol (pH 4.3) with 125 mg kg⁻¹ or 2000 mg kg⁻¹ added P or (B) acid kandosol (pH 4.0) with 50 mg kg⁻¹ or 150 mg kg⁻¹ added P. Values are means (*n*=4) and different letters indicate significant differences (*P*<0.05) between genotype means (no interaction between genotypes and treatments). For reference, the dashed lines show shoot P content of EGA Burke at low (lower lines) and high (upper lines) P treatments.

Burke or Yitpi progeny (Table 2). The distribution of F_2 progeny values was Gaussian with parental values contained in the tails of each population (Fig. 6). The backcross progenies were also Gaussian in their distributions with evidence that the phenotype of the small rhizosheath parent was recovered in backcrosses using either EGA Burke or Yitpi. By contrast, when backcrossed to Fronteira the progeny did not recover the large rhizosheath of Fronteira.

Increasing frequency of alleles from the rhizosheath donor was linearly associated with increases in rhizosheath sizes for generations in both crosses (Fig. 7). Coefficients of determination were high, ranging between 76 and 81%. The GMA for rhizosheath size for each cross revealed a largely additive-based genetic control for variation in rhizosheath size (Table 2). Goodness-of-fit tests revealed the additive model to be adequate for Fronteira by EGA Burke crosses (χ^2 =1.98; *P*>0.05) and Fronteira by Yitpi crosses (χ^2 =3.88; *P*>0.05) despite the deviations for F₁ and F₂ means from mid-parent,

Fig. 5. Shoot P concentrations of EGA Burke and BC₃ NILS with large rhizosheath (L) or small rhizosheath (S) grown on (A) an acid ferrosol (pH 4.3) with 250 mg kg⁻¹ or 2000 mg kg⁻¹ added P or (B) an acid kandosol (pH 4.0) with 50 mg kg⁻¹ or 150 mg kg⁻¹ added P. Values are means (n=4). For (A) there was a significant interaction between P treatment and genotype and the LSD is shown along with different letters to indicate significant differences (P<0.05) between genotype means and treatments. For (B) there was no interaction between genotype and P treatment and the different letters denote genotypes that differed significantly with P<0.05. For reference, the dashed lines show shoot P concentrations of EGA Burke at low (lower lines) and high (upper lines) P treatments.

and rhizosheath means being smaller than expected for Fronteira-backcross progeny. In all cases, significant gene effects were repeatable across populations, and indicate that accumulation of positive alleles through selection is possible for rhizosheath size under additive genetic control (Fig. 7).

The among-generation variance for rhizosheath size was largest for the Fronteira/EGA Burke population (Table 3). However, proportionally larger residual variance for this population reduced repeatability to 0.70 consistent with repeatability in the Fronteira/Yitpi population of 0.74. Broad-sense heritabilities were estimated for rhizosheath size on a single-plant basis and were similar in both crosses (Table 3). Narrow-sense heritabilities were moderate in size reflecting their estimation on a single-plant basis and the proportionally larger additive gene effects observed for rhizosheath size. Reduced confidence in estimation of genotypic values contributed toward large differences in the estimates of numbers of factors contributing to genetic differences between the parents for rhizosheath size (Table 3). Despite these differences it appears that multiple genes contribute to the large rhizosheath of Fronteira.

QTL analysis of an EGA Burke × Fronteira RIL population

The RIL population derived from an EGA Burke × Fronteira cross encompassed the rhizosheath sizes of EGA Burke and Fronteira (Fig. 8). Heritability for acid soil rhizosheath size was 0.84 for the F_6 RILs. Five QTL for acid soil rhizosheath size with LOD values greater than 3.0 were identified, which together accounted for 64% of the total genetic variance (Table 4). One major locus located on chromosome 1D accounted for over half of the genetic variance of rhizosheath size (34%). Other loci each contributing from 6.8 to 8.5% of the genetic variance for rhizosheath size were identified on chromosomes 3A, 3B, 6A and 7B (Table 4). All positive alleles for rhizosheath size were derived from the large rhizosheath donor parent Fronteira.

Discussion

The L and S lines used in this study were originally developed from phenotypic screens on the ferrosol without added nutrients (Delhaize *et al.*, 2012a). Here we show that the differences in rhizosheath sizes between lines were maintained on acid soils that had been fertilized and amended with both low and non-limiting P supplies (Fig. 1). The differences in rhizosheath sizes between the lines were attenuated compared

Table 2. Parental, F_1 , F_2 and BC_1F_1 means, and estimates of gene effects for root rhizosheath size for two wheat crosses

Generation	Fronteira/EGA Burke	Fronteira/Yitpi	
	(g m ·)	(g m ')	
Parent 1 (P1)	3.71 (0.11)	3.38 (0.10)	
Parent 2 (P ₂)	1.68 (0.05)	1.25 (0.06)	
F ₁	2.29 (0.09)	1.97 (0.08)	
F ₂	2.38 (0.04)	1.93 (0.03)	
BC ₁ P ₁	2.22 (0.07)	1.94 (0.05)	
BC ₁ P ₂	1.63 (0.07)	1.45 (0.05)	
l.s.d.	0.18	0.15	
т	2.58**	2.20**	
[a]	0.92**	0.94**	
[d]	–0.58ns	-0.51ns	
χ² (P-value) ^a	1.98 (0.58ns)	3.88 (0.27ns)	

Values in parentheses are the standard errors.

^{*a*} *P*-value for chi-square testing H_{O} : adequacy of additivedominance genetic model.

* and ** denote parameter estimates significantly different from zero at P = 0.05 and 0.01, respectively; ns denotes parameter estimates not significantly different at P = 0.05.

[a]: pooled additive genetic effect; [d]: pooled dominance genetic effect; l.s.d: least significant difference among generation means at P = 0.05; m: estimated mean.

with the previous work (Delhaize *et al.*, 2012*a*) and this can be attributed in part to the ameliorating effects of added nutrients on Al^{3+} toxicity. For the ferrosol, the high rate of P addition resulted in larger rhizosheaths compared with the low rate of P addition for all lines although differences between S and L lines were still observed (Fig. 1B). This is consistent with P



Fig. 6. Frequency distributions for rhizosheath sizes measured on F_2 and BC_1F_1 progeny in the Fronteira \times EGA Burke and Fronteira \times Yitpi populations.

Table 3. Variance component (\pm standard errors), broad-sense (H^2) and narrow-sense (h^2) heritabilities (\pm standard errors), and estimated numbers of effective factors for rhizosheath size measured on two wheat populations

Genetic parameter	Fronteira/EGA Burke	Fronteira/Yitpi
$\sigma^2_{\text{Genotype}}$	0.55±0.31*	0.46±0.27*
$\sigma^2_{\text{Residual}}$	0.24±0.02**	$0.16 \pm 0.03^{*}$
H ²	0.59 ± 0.09	0.58 ± 0.08
h ²	0.33 ± 0.08	0.47 ± 0.06
No. effective factors	5.7	2.7

* and ** indicates parameter estimates are statistically different from zero at P = 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

3716 | Delhaize et al.

detoxifying a proportion of the Al^{3+} although this effect was not observed for the kandasol. When soil pH was increased by liming, rhizosheath sizes of all lines were increased markedly and although some lines differed for rhizosheath size, they did not separate into L and S groups (see Supplementary Fig. S1 at *JXB* online). This is consistent with previous findings that the differences in rhizosheath size of the lines were due to differences in the Al^{3+} tolerance of root hairs (Delhaize *et al.*, 2012*a*). Increasing the soil pH detoxifies the Al^{3+} and results in similar root hair lengths for all lines.

Previous studies have concluded that root hairs are an important factor for PAE of plants, but these studies have either compared wild type plants with mutants that lack root hairs entirely (Bates and Lynch, 2000, 2001; Gahoonia *et al.*, 2001; Gahoonia and Nielsen, 2003; Chen *et al.*, 2005; Zheng *et al.*, 2011; Brown *et al.*, 2012; Haling *et al.*, 2013) or compared genetically unrelated genotypes within species (Gahoonia *et al.*, 1997; Krasilnikoff *et al.*, 2003; Gahoonia and Nielsen, 2004; Zhu *et al.*, 2010; Vandamme *et al.*, 2013). Comparing mutants that lack root hairs with wild type plants has been useful in defining the role of root hairs in processes such as P



Fig. 7. Relationship between generation means for frequency of the Fronteira (large rhizosheath donor) alleles measured for progeny of Fronteira × EGA Burke (open circles) and Fronteira × Yitpi (closed circles) crosses. Standard errors of each mean are also included. Least-squares relationships are: Fronteira × EGA Burke (dashed line), Y=1.38+1.86X ($r^2=0.76$, P<0.05); and Fronteira × Yitpi (solid line), Y=1.04+1.90X ($r^2=0.81$, P<0.01).

uptake, but is not representative of the natural variation for root hair length within a species. Even when genotypes within a species with varying root hair length are assessed for PAE, the genotypes typically have not been backcrossed and likely differ in a range of other root attributes so that the contribution of root hairs towards PAE is uncertain. Here we show that improved PAE is associated with large rhizosheaths (long root hairs) in wheat NILs grown on acid soils. The improved PAE can be attributed to the root hairs since multiple NILs were developed by backcrossing and when they were grown on limed soils where differences in rhizosheath sizes were abolished, they did not differ in their PAE. The one exception was cv Fronteira, which had the greatest shoot biomass of all lines at both low and high P regardless of whether the soil was limed or not (Fig. 2). This indicates that Fronteira has traits in addition to long root hairs that contributed to its greater PAE and illustrates the value of using NILs for attributing PAE to a particular trait. An additional trait contributing to the PAE of Fronteira might have been the inherent vigour from Fronteira possessing the wild type *Rht* alleles that were previously shown to confer improved vigour and greater PAE (Botwright et al., 2005; Ryan et al., 2015). All of the other lines used in our study have the Rht-B1b allele conferring a semi-dwarf habit derived from EGA Burke.

Interestingly, the large rhizosheath trait conferred greater shoot biomass at both low and high P treatments. The high P treatments were chosen to be non-limiting for growth so it was unlikely that the greater biomass was due to improved PAE. The P concentrations in the high P treatments of the S lines were unlikely to have been limiting for growth with about 0.45% in shoots of plants grown on the kandasol and almost 0.80% in shoots of plants grown on the ferrosol (Fig. 5). An alternative explanation for the increased biomass of L lines at high P is that the large rhizosheaths provided other benefits. One possibility is that the larger rhizosheath improved water uptake. The water regime was not intended to restrict growth, but soil water content would have varied during the experiments particularly towards the end of the growth period when plants were at their largest. Water was applied every two days, but high rates of transpiration would have temporarily depleted soil water. The role of rhizosheaths in maintaining moisture around roots growing in soil (Young, 1995) and the proposed role of root hairs in effective uptake of soil moisture (Segal et al., 2008) might have contributed

Table 4. Chromosomal locations of QTL for acid soil rhizosheath size in EGA Burke \times Fronteira F₆ RILS

Molecular marker	Distance (cM) ^a	Allelic effect ^b (g m ⁻¹)	Genetic variance (%)	LOD
D_contig14507_369	179.25	0.209	34.1	15.78
Excalibur_c14216_692	238.5	0.105	8.5	3.96
Ex_c70232_336	266.9	0.095	7.0	3.27
GENE-2724_97	122.8	0.097	7.3	3.66
BobWhite_c8579_56	144.6	0.094	6.8	3.06
	Molecular marker D_contig14507_369 Excalibur_c14216_692 Ex_c70232_336 GENE-2724_97 BobWhite_c8579_56	Molecular marker Distance (cM) ^a D_contig14507_369 179.25 Excalibur_c14216_692 238.5 Ex_c70232_336 266.9 GENE-2724_97 122.8 BobWhite_c8579_56 144.6	Molecular markerDistance (cM) ^a Allelic effect ^b (g m ⁻¹)D_contig14507_369179.250.209Excalibur_c14216_692238.50.105Ex_c70232_336266.90.095GENE-2724_97122.80.097BobWhite_c8579_56144.60.094	Molecular markerDistance (cM) ^a Allelic effect ^b (g m ⁻¹)Genetic variance (%)D_contig14507_369179.250.20934.1Excalibur_c14216_692238.50.1058.5Ex_c70232_336266.90.0957.0GENE-2724_97122.80.0977.3BobWhite_c8579_56144.60.0946.8

^a Distance is the chromosomal distance from the tip of the chromosome.

^b Allelic effects are for Fronteira as the donor parent.

LOD: likelihood of odds.



2.0

Rhizosheath (g m⁻¹)

2.5

3.0

3.5

Frequency

0

0.0

0.5

1.0

Fig. 8. Frequency distribution of acid soil rhizosheath size for 139 RILS developed from an EGA Burke by Fronteira cross. Parental means for EGA Burke (B) and Fronteira (F) are indicated by arrows. Values for each line are the means of n=4–6 seedlings.

1.5

towards the greater biomass of the large rhizosheath lines grown with high P supplies.

Analysis of the genetic control of the acid rhizosheath trait by GMA firstly identified the trait to be highly heritable, and secondly, that multiple loci were contributing to expression of the trait. This was subsequently confirmed in a QTL analysis of F_6 RILs with five loci identified with LODs of greater than 3.0. One major locus on chromosome 1D on its own accounted for about half of the genetic variance. A previous study identified six loci for rhizosheath size of wheat seedlings grown on non-acid soils but none of these QTL colocated to the acid rhizosheath QTL identified here (Delhaize et al., 2015). This is consistent with the genes protecting root hairs from Al³⁺ toxicity not confering long root hairs on non-acid soils. That different sets of genes contribute to each of Al³⁺ tolerance and long root hairs on non-acid soils was shown by the markedly small rhizosheaths of RILs derived from a multi-parent population when the same lines varied considerably for rhizosheath size on a non-acid soil (Delhaize et al., 2015). None of the acid rhizosheath QTL were located on chromosomes 4D and 4B where well-characterized genes for Al³⁺ tolerance of root growth are located (Delhaize *et al.*, 2012b). One acid rhizosheath locus was located on chromosome 3B where an Al^{3+} tolerance locus for root growth has been described (Navakode et al., 2009), but it remains to be established that these are the same genes.

This study has shown that it is possible to develop wheat lines with improved PAE based on a phenotypic screen for rhizosheath size as a surrogate for root hair length. However, despite transferring a large proportion of the trait, none of the backcrossed lines had rhizosheaths as large as Fronteira, the donor parent. The realized heritability estimated for the parent and offspring rhizosheath size assessments was $h_R^2=0.39$. Together with the single-plant heritabilities reported for the GMA, the consistently lower narrow-sense heritabilities for rhizosheath size indicates the potential for genetic gain based on phenotypic screening, but only with sufficient replication to improve precision on progeny means. The effectiveness of increased replication on heritability is illustrated by the QTL analysis that produced a relatively high heritability of 0.84 through the use of six replicates. A crossing programme that relied on phenotypic screens would require that many line-ages of selections be maintained to ensure that lines with the largest acid rhizosheath size are developed. The availability of molecular markers linked to the QTL should now facilitate the crossing to ensure efficient and effective transfer of this trait to acceptor lines with far fewer genetic crosses.

In conclusion, we show that root hair length is one factor that can improve the PAE of wheat grown on acid soils in pot trials. Future work will need to establish whether the longer root hairs provide a benefit to grain yields in field trials and it is likely that other root attributes will be required in combination with long root hairs for further improvements in PAE. Clearly the major AI^{3+} tolerance gene for root growth (*TaALMT1*) is critical for ensuring root growth of wheat on acid soils with a direct benefit to PAE by allowing effective soil exploration (Delhaize *et al.*, 2009). All the germplasm used in the current study are 'fixed' for *TaALMT1* and their roots are considered to be tolerant of acid soils. Our work has shown that root hairs provide a PAE benefit to wheat grown on acid soil in addition to any benefit conferred by *TaALMT1*.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Figure S1. Rhizosheath size of EGA Burke, Fronteira and selected BC₃ NILS grown on non-acid soils.

Table S1. Shoot P concentration (% of dry weight) and shoot P content (mg plant⁻¹) of EGA Burke, Fronteira and BC₃ NILS with large rhizosheath (LR) or small rhizosheath (SR) after 28 d growth on a limed ferrosol with 250 (250 P) or 2000 mg P kg⁻¹ (2000 P) added.

Acknowledgements

The Grains Research and Development Corporation in Australia is acknowledged for funding this research.

References

Barber SA. 1984. Soil nutrient bioavailability. A mechanistic approach . New York: John Wiley.

Bates TR, Lynch JP. 2000. Plant growth and phosphorus accumulation of wild type and two root hair mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae). American Journal of Botany **87**, 958–963.

Bates TR, Lynch JP. 2001. Root hairs confer a competitive advantage under low phosphorus availability. Plant and Soil **236**, 243–250.

Botwright TL, Rebetzke GJ, Condon AG, Richards RA. 2005. Influence of the gibberellin-sensitive Rht8 dwarfing gene on leaf epidermal cell dimensions and early vigour in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Annals of Botany **95,** 631–639.

Brown LK, George TS, Thompson JA, Wright G, Lyon J, Dupuy L, Hubbard SF, White PJ. 2012. What are the implications of variation in root hair length on tolerance to phosphorus deficiency in combination with water stress in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*)? Annals of Botany **110**, 319–328.

Burkitt LL, Sale PWG, Gourley CJP. 2008. Soil phosphorus buffering measures should not be adjusted for current phosphorus fertility. Australian Journal of Soil Research **46**, 676–685.

Butler DG, Cullis BR, Gilmour AR, Gogel BJ. 2011. Mixed models for S language environments: ASRemI-R reference manual . Technical report. Toowoomba: Queensland Department of Primary Industries.

Chen BD, Roos P, Borggaard OK, Zhu YG, Jakobsen I. 2005. Mycorrhiza and root hairs in barley enhance acquisition of phosphorus and uranium from phosphate rock but mycorrhiza decreases root to shoot uranium transfer. New Phytologist **165**, 591–598.

Colwell JD. 1963. The estimation of the phosphorus fertilizer requirements of wheat in southern New South Wales by soil analysis. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry **3**, 190–197.

Delhaize E, James RA, Ryan PR. 2012a. Aluminium tolerance of root hairs underlies genotypic differences in rhizosheath size of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown on acid soil. New Phytologist **195,** 609–619.

Delhaize E, Ma JF, Ryan PR. 2012b. Transcriptional regulation of aluminium tolerance genes. Trends in Plant Science **17**, 341–348.

Delhaize E, Rathjen TM, Cavanagh CR. 2015. The genetics of rhizosheath size in a multiparent mapping population of wheat. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 4527–4536.

Delhaize E, Taylor P, Hocking PJ, Simpson RJ, Ryan PR, Richardson AE. 2009. Transgenic barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) expressing the wheat aluminium resistance gene (*TaALMT1*) shows enhanced phosphorus nutrition and grain production when grown on an acid soil. Plant Biotechnology Journal **7**, 391–400.

Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. 1996. Introduction to quantitative genetics , 4th edn. Harlow: Longman.

Gahoonia TS, Care D, Nielsen NE. 1997. Root hairs and phosphorus acquisition of wheat and barley cultivars. Plant and Soil **191**, 181–188.

Gahoonia TS, Nielsen NE. 2003. Phosphorus (P) uptake and growth of a root hairless barley mutant (*bald root barley, brb*) and wild type in low- and high-P soils. Plant, Cell & Environment **26**, 1759–1766.

Gahoonia TS, Nielsen NE. 2004. Barley genotypes with long root hairs sustain high grain yields in low-P field. Plant and Soil **262**, 55–62.

Gahoonia TS, Nielsen NE, Joshi PA, Jahoor A. 2001. A root hairless barley mutant for elucidating genetic of root hairs and phosphorus uptake. Plant and Soil **235**, 211–219.

George TS, Brown LK, Ramsay L, White PJ, Newton AC, Bengough AG, Russell J, Thomas WTB. 2014. Understanding the genetic control and physiological traits associated with rhizosheath production by barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). New Phytologist **203**, 195–205.

Haling RE, Brown LK, Bengough AG, Young IM, Hallett PD, White PJ, George TS. 2013. Root hairs improve root penetration, root–soil contact, and phosphorus acquisition in soils of different strength. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 3711–3721.

Hochholdinger F, Wen T-J, Zimmermann R, Chimot-Marolle P, da Costa e Silva O, Bruce W, Lamkey KR, Wienand U, Schnable PS. 2008. The maize (*Zea mays* L.) *roothairless3* gene encodes a putative GPIanchored, monocot-specific, COBRA-like protein that significantly affects grain yield. The Plant Journal **54**, 888–898.

Irving GCJ, McLaughlin MJ. 1990. A rapid and simple field test for phosphorus in Olsen and Bray No.1 extracts of soil. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis **21**, 2245–2255.

Isbell RF. 1996. The Australian soil classification . Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.

Ketata H, Edwards LH, Smith EL. 1976. Inheritance of 8 agronomic characters in a winter-wheat cross. Crop Science **16**, 19–22.

Krasilnikoff G, Gahoonia T, Nielsen NE. 2003. Variation in phosphorus uptake efficiency by genotypes of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) due to

differences in root and root hair length and induced rhizosphere processes. Plant and Soil **251,** 83–91.

Leitner D, Klepsch S, Ptashnyk M, Marchant A, Kirk GJD, Schnepf A, Roose T. 2010. A dynamic model of nutrient uptake by root hairs. New Phytologist **185**, 792–802.

Ma Z, Walk TC, Marcus A, Lynch JP. 2001. Morphological synergism in root hair length, density, initiation and geometry for phosphorus acquisition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: A modeling approach. Plant and Soil **236**, 221–235.

Mather K, Jinks JL. 1971. Biometrical genetics , 2nd edn. London: Chapman and Hall.

Murphy J, Riley JP. 1962. A modified single solution method for determination of phosphate in natural waters. Analytica Chimica Acta **27**, 31–36.

Navakode S, Weidner A, Lohwasser U, Roder MS, Borner A. 2009. Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) controlling aluminium tolerance in bread wheat. Euphytica **166**, 283–290.

Passioura J. 2006. Increasing crop productivity when water is scarce – from breeding to field management. Agricultural Water Management **80**, 176–196.

Rayment GE, Lyons DJ. 2010. Soil chemical methods: Australasia . Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.

Rowe KE, Alexander WL. 1980. Computations for estimating the genetic-parameters in joint-scaling tests. Crop Science **20**, 109–110.

Ryan PR, Liao M, Delhaize E, Rebetzke GJ, Weligama C, Spielmeyer W, James RA. 2015. Early vigour improves phosphate uptake in wheat. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 7089–7100.

Saunders WMH, Williams EG. 1955. Observations on the determination of total organic phosphorus in soils Journal of Soil Science **6**, 254–267.

Segal E, Kushnir T, Mualem Y, Shani U. 2008. Water uptake and hydraulics of the root hair rhizosphere. Vadose Zone Journal 7, 1027–1034.

Vandamme E, Renkens M, Pypers P, Smolders E, Vanlauwe B, Merckx R. 2013. Root hairs explain P uptake efficiency of soybean genotypes grown in a P-deficient Ferralsol. Plant and Soil **369**, 269–282.

Verbyla AP, Cullis BR, Thompson R. 2007. The analysis of QTL by simultaneous use of the full linkage map. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **116,** 95–111.

Verbyla AP, Taylor JD, Verbyla KL. 2012. RWGAIM: an efficient highdimensional random whole genome average (QTL) interval mapping approach. Genetics Research **94**, 291–306.

von Uexküll HR, Mutert E. 1995. Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. Plant and Soil **171**, 1–15.

Wang S, Wong D, Forrest K, *et al.* 2014. Characterization of polyploid wheat genomic diversity using a high-density 90 000 single nucleotide polymorphism array. Plant Biotechnology Journal **12**, 787–796.

Young IM. 1995. Variation in moisture contents between bulk soil and the rhizosheath of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Wembley). New Phytologist **130**, 135–139.

Zheng R, Li H, Jiang R, Roemheld V, Zhang F, Zhao F-J. 2011. The role of root hairs in cadmium acquisition by barley. Environmental Pollution **159**, 408–415.

Zhu J, Zhang C, Lynch JP. 2010. The utility of phenotypic plasticity of root hair length for phosphorus acquisition. Functional Plant Biology **37**, 313–322.

Zygalakis KC, Kirk GJD, Jones DL, Wissuwa M, Roose T. 2011. A dual porosity model of nutrient uptake by root hairs. New Phytologist **192**, 676–688.