OPEN

Air Pollution and the Risk of Cardiac Defects A Population-Based Case-Control Study

Bing-Fang Hwang, PhD, Yungling Leo Lee, MD, PhD, and Jouni J.K. Jaakkola, MD, ScD, PhD

Abstract: Previous epidemiologic studies have assessed the role of the exposure to ambient air pollution in the development of cardiac birth defects, but they have provided somewhat inconsistent results. To assess the associations between exposure to ambient air pollutants and the risk of cardiac defects, a population-based case-control study was conducted using 1087 cases of cardiac defects and a random sample of 10,870 controls from 1,533,748 Taiwanese newborns in 2001 to 2007.

Logistic regression was performed to calculate odds ratios for 10 ppb increases in O_3 and $10 \,\mu g/m^3$ increases in PM_{10} . In addition, we compared the risk of cardiac defects in 4 categories-high exposure (>75th percentile); medium exposure (75th to 50th percentile); low exposure (<50th-25th percentile): reference (<25th percentile) based on the distribution of each pollutant. The risks of ventricular septal defects (VSD), atrial septal defects (ASD), and patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) were associated with 10 ppb increases in O3 exposure during the first 3 gestational months among term and preterm babies. In comparison between high PM₁₀ exposure and reference category, there were statistically significant elevations in the effect estimates of ASD for all and terms births. In addition, there was a negative or weak association between SO2, NO2, CO, and cardiac defects

The study proved that exposure to outdoor air O₃ and PM₁₀ during the first trimester of gestation may increase the risk of VSD, ASD, and PDA.

(Medicine 94(44):e1883)

Abbreviations: ASD = atrial septal defect, CI = confidence interval, CO = carbon monoxide, GIS = geographic information system, IDW = inverse distance weighting, NO₂ = nitrogen dioxides, O₃ = ozone, OR = odds ratio, PDA = patent ductus arteriosus, PM_{10} = particles with an aerodynamic diameter of

Editor: Xiaolin Zhu.

 $10 \,\mu\text{m}$ or less, ppb = part per billion, ppm = part per million, SES = socioeconomic status, SO₂ = sulfur dioxide, VSD = ventricular septal defect.

INTRODUCTION

ardiac birth defects constitute the most common group of birth defects (\sim 50 per 1000 births),¹ and the most common cardiac defects are ventricular septal defect (VSD) (27.5/ 10,000 births), atrial septal defect (ASD) (10.6/10,000 births), and patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) (2.9/10,000 births).² Epidemiologic studies have provided evidence of the possible effects of air pollutants on low birth weight, small gestational age, and preterm birth since $1990.^{3-7}$ In the past, only 12 epidemiologic studies elaborated the effects of exposure to ambient air pollution on the risk of cardiac defects during pregnancy,^{8–19} but these studies have provided inconsistent results. One meta-analysis suggested that NO2 and SO2 exposures were associated with coarctation of the aorta and tetralogy of Fallot, and PM₁₀ exposure was associated with increased risk of ASDs.²⁰ But the other one reported that only NO₂ exposure was related to coarctation of the aorta.²¹ However, these studies did not adjust for maternal diabetes mellitus, smoking, and alcohol consumption during pregnancy, which are potential sources of confounding. In this study, a nationwide population-based case-control was conducted, and we collected the information on those important potential determinants for cardiac defects in pregnant women.

The exposure assessment in these studies was based on the measurement of monitoring stations nearest to the place of pregnancy during pregnancy, which may introduce exposure misclassification. Gilliland et al suggested that the exposure assessment should rely on the modeling approaches.²² Using a spatial modeling for exposure assessment, we elaborate the relations between women exposure to ambient air pollution during the first trimester and the risk of cardiac defects. We focused on predominantly traffic-related pollutants such as nitrogen dioxides (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone (O₃) and air pollutants mainly from other fossil fuel combustion sources, such as sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and particles with an aerodynamic diameter of $10 \,\mu m$ or less (PM₁₀).

METHODS

Study Design

We conducted a population-based case-control study of cardiac defects. The source population comprised of all 1,533,748 births registered by the Taiwanese Birth Registry from January 1, 2001, to December 31, 2007. We identified all the cases of cardiac defects without chromosomal defects in the source population during the study period. Birth records in the registry were sorted by the date of birth. Control subjects were selected randomly from the source population. The study

Received: March 26, 2015; revised: September 24, 2015; accepted: October 2, 2015.

From the Department of Occupational Safety and Health, College of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung (B-FH); Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine and Research Center for Genes, Environment and Human Health, College of Public Health, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan (YLL); and Center for Environmental and Respiratory Health Research, Institute of Health Sciences, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland (JJKJ).

Correspondence: Department of Occupational Safety and Health, College of Public Health, China Medical University, No 91 Hsueh-Shih Rd, Taichung, 40402, Taiwan (e-mail: bfhwang@mail.cmu.edu.tw). Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

Funding: this study was supported by grant #CMU100-AWARD-07 from China Medical University, National Science Council (NSC 98-2815-C-039-033-B), and Health Effects Institute through a research agreement (#4790-RFA09-2/10-1). The funding bodies had no role in the design or conduct of this study or in the preparation, review, approval, or decision to submit the manuscript.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.000000000001883

protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of China Medical University, and it complied with the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration.

Definition and Selection of Cases

All births delivered within 15 days are compulsorily reported to the Taiwan Birth Registration. Taiwanese pregnant women are 99% covered by national health insurance and access to prenatal care is free of charge and there are at least 10 visits during pregnancy. The follow-up time is from the 1st month after conception through 7 days after birth. Birth defects are mostly diagnosed by a physician, most often by a cardiologist. A validation study of the Taiwanese birth registration reported a low percentage of missing information (1.6%) and good validity (sensitivity and specificity was 92.8% and 99.6%, respectively) and reliability (Cohen's k statistics was 0.92) for preterm birth (<37 weeks of gestational age).²³

We classified the cardiac defects into 6 categories which were similar with categories used by Gilboa and colleagues.⁵ The following categories of cardiac defects were applied: (1) VSDs not included in the construncal defects (n = 193); (2) ASDs (n = 194); (3) PDA (n = 213); (4) endocardial cushion defects (n = 23); (5) pulmonary artery and valve (n = 60); and (6) conotruncal defects (n = 404) including tetralogy of Fallot (n = 111), transposition of the great arteries (n = 70), truncus arteriosus communis (n = 60), double outlet right ventricle (n=85), and aorticopulmonary window (n=78). All cardiac defects were confirmed by autopsy, echocardiogram, or cardiac catheterization. The gestational age was counted from conception through date of birth using ultrasound. A total of 1087 subjects were identified with ample information on gestational age and air pollutants, and 17 cases from the mountainous region were excluded due to missing air pollution data from January 1, 2001, to December 31, 2007.

Selection of Control Subjects

The control subjects were randomly selected from the source population. The eligibility criteria included: born during the study period; no birth defects present; and sufficient information on the gestational age and air pollutants. The case-control ratio was $\sim 1:10$ to meet optimal statistical power. There are 10,870 controls in the final study population.

Exposure Assessment

Ambient air monitoring data for sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ozone (O₃), carbon monoxide (CO), and particles with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 μ m or less (PM₁₀) are available for 72 EPA monitoring stations on Taiwan's main island since 1994. Concentrations of each pollutant are measured continuously and reported hourly—CO by nondispersive infrared absorption, NO₂ by chemiluminescence, O₃ by ultraviolet absorption, SO₂ by ultraviolet fluorescence, and PM₁₀ by beta-gauge.

We identified the map coordinates of the monitoring stations and air pollution sources. The data were managed by a geographic information system (GIS) (ArcGIS 10.0). The air pollutant measurements from EPA monitoring stations were integrated into monthly point data and interpolated to pollutant surfaces using the inverse distance weighting (IDW) method. The monitoring data was assigned to women individually by a zip code. Zip codes typically stand for one block in urban areas (17.00 square kilometer, SD: 8.56) but in rural areas they correspond to larger (154.00 square kilometer, SD: 104.39)

districts with lower population density. This method provided high temporal resolution (daily measures for most days) and suitable spatial resolution (100 m). We assigned for each day a concentration from 3 closest monitoring stations within 25 km. We then computed the monthly mean average for each woman during pregnancy. The details of the approach are described elsewhere.²⁴ The air pollutant information for each woman during pregnancy, corresponding to the zip-code level residence, was extracted from the derived concentration surface maps using ArcGIS Spatial Analyst tool (developed by ESRI).

Exposure parameters were calculated from the monthly 24-h NO₂, CO, SO₂, PM₁₀, and 8-h O₃ average concentrations for the duration of pregnancies between 2000 and 2007. Based on the date of birth and gestational age, we estimated the monthly average concentration corresponding to the first trimester of gestation.

Covariates

The following covariates were available from routine birth registration: sex of infant (male; female), maternal age (<20 years; 20-34 years; >=35 years), plurality (singleton; multiple birth), gestational age (weeks), maternal smoking, alcoholic habit and medication during pregnancy, season of conception (spring; summer; fall; winter), and maternal health status defined as the presence of any of the following diseases or (HCT < 0.30/ conditions: diabetes mellitus, anemia HGB < 0.10), cardiac disease, acute or chronic lung disease, genital herpes, hydraminios/oligohydramnios, chronic hypertension, pregnancy-associated hypertension, eclampsia, imcompetent cervix, renal disease, Rh sensitization, uterine bleeding (yes; no). The municipal level data was collected from the Department of Household Registration Affairs, Taiwanese Population Data Services, which were used to construct municipal level population density, which is a measure of the proportion of urban population in the municipality. A census-based socioeconomic status (SES) was derived from the 2005 national health insurance survey of the average monthly income of \sim 9,700,000 households. All subjects were assigned an SES value, according to their place in residence. All average monthly incomes of households were standardized using Z scores following normal distribution N ($\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$). SES quintiles were determined from the distribution and assigned to their appropriate quintile: quintile 1 containing the most affluent wards and quintile 5 the most deprived.

Statistical Methods

We focused on the first 3 months (first trimester) of pregnancy, because the relevant embryologic period for cardiac defects is between the 4th and 12th week of gestation.²⁵ We used odds ratio (OR) as a measure of the association between exposure to air pollution and the risk of cardiac defects. We performed logistic regression analysis to adjust for possible confounding factors. The goodness of fit was assessed with likelihood ratio tests to determine whether a variable contributed significantly to the model. First, we fitted a full model with a complete set of covariates. To elaborate sources of confounding, we fitted models with different combinations of covariates and compared the effect from models with and without the covariate of interest. If the removal of a covariate changed the studied effect estimate >10%, the corresponding covariate was kept in the final model.²⁶ We first fitted 1-pollutant models, and then considered 2-pollutant models by fitting 1 traffic-related and 1 stationary fossil fuel combustion-related pollutant.

Finally, we fitted 2-pollutant models with O₃ and another pollutant (CO, NO₂, and SO₂). It was not appropriate fit 2pollutant models with O3 and PM10 because of high collinearity (correlation coefficient r = 0.54). The 2-pollutant models provide estimates of the independent effects of CO, NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, and O₃ on cardiac defects controlling for the second pollutant in the model. The effect of each pollutant on the risk of cardiac defects was presented as ORs per 10 ppb changes for NO₂, and O₃, 100 ppb changes for CO, $10 \mu g/m^3$ changes for PM₁₀, and 1 ppb for SO₂, along with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We also compared the risk of cardiac defects in 4 exposure categories based on the distribution of each pollutant representing high (>75th percentile), medium (75th to 50th percentile), low exposure (<50th to 25th percentile), and < 25th percentile as the reference category. Because PDA as a congenital malformation is usually only diagnosed in term infants and only after the first few days of life, it may be better to assess PDA by term births only. We further performed sensitivity analyses by comparing the effect estimates between all and term births (gestational age >37 weeks).

RESULTS

Characteristics of Control and Case Subjects

A larger proportion of cases than controls had older mothers, maternal diabetes mellitus, lower SES, and shorter gestational age, and were from multiple births (Table 1). We adjusted for these factors in the multivariate analysis.

Air Pollution

The distributions of the monthly mean air pollutant concentrations in different seasons from 72 monitoring stations in Taiwan 2001 to 2007 are shown in Table 2. The association between NO₂ and CO trimester average concentrations during the first trimester was high (r=0.80), which represent the common source of motor vehicles. The concentrations of PM₁₀ and SO₂ were also highly correlated (r=0.53) indicating a common source of stationary fuel combustion, although SO₂ concentrations were also associated with both traffic-related pollutants. The concentrations of O₃ were moderately associated with PM₁₀ (r=0.54), and positively but weakly correlated with SO₂ (r=0.18). O₃ was negatively correlated with the mainly traffic-related pollutants (Table S1, http://links.lww.com/MD/A486).

Air Pollution and the Risk of Ventricular Septal Defects

The adjusted ORs for 10 ppb change in O₃ for VSDs in the single-pollutant model were 1.31 (95% CI: 1.10-1.57) among all births and 1.49 (95% CI: 1.20-1.85) among term births for the first trimester of pregnancy, respectively (Tables 3 and 5). Similar ORs were found in the 2-pollutant models and the estimates increased a little when added different second pollutants (Table 4). Comparing the adjusted OR for medium and high O₃ exposure to low exposure, the risk of VSDs was significantly increased (adjusted OR_{medium} O₃=2.53, 95% CI: 1.55-4.14; adjusted OR_{high} O₃=2.34, 95% CI: 1.41-3.90) in the single pollutant model. Furthermore, inclusion of both of the traffic-related pollutants (CO or NO₂) and stationary fossil fuel combustion-related air pollutants (SO₂) increased the effect estimate a little (Table 4). We did not find any association between other air pollutants and the risk of VSDs.

TABLE 1	١.	Characte	eristics	of	Controls	and	Cases	of	Cardiac
Defects	in	Taiwan,	2001 t	to 2	2007				

Characteristics	Control N (%)	Cardiac Defects N (%)
Total	10.870 (100)	1087 (100)
Gestational	10,070 (100)	t = -22.19, P < 0.001
age (weeks)		
Mean $+$ SD	38.33 ± 1.98	33.99 ± 6.42
Gender of infant		$\chi^2 = 0.04 P = 0.95$
Male	5771 (53.1)	576 (53.0)
Female	5099 (46.9)	511 (47.0)
Maternal age, y	× /	$\chi^2 = 15.6 P < 0.01$
<20	377 (3.5)	27 (2.5)
20-34	9356 (86.1)	907 (83.4)
35+	1290 (10.4)	153 (14.1)
Maternal smoking		$\chi^2 = 4.3 P = 0.04$
in pregnancy		
Yes	4 (0.01)	2 (0.02)
No	10,866 (99.9)	1085 (99.8)
Maternal alcohol		$\chi^2 = 10.0 P < 0.01$
consumption		
in pregnancy		
Yes	0 (0)	1 (0.01)
No	10,870 (99.9)	1087 (99.9)
Maternal		$\chi^2 = 2.1 P = 0.14$
medication		
in pregnancy		
Yes	2 (0.01)	1 (0.01)
No	10,868 (99.9)	1086 (99.9)
Maternal diabetes mellitus		$\chi^2 = 68.0 \ P < 0.01$
Yes	15 (0.1)	16(1.5)
No	10,855 (99.9)	1071 (99.5)
Plurality		$\chi^2 = 20.0 P < 0.01$
Singleton	10,572 (97.3)	1031(94.8)
Multiple birth	298 (2.7)	56 (5.2)
Population density		$\chi^2 = 4.75 P = 0.09$
(no of people/km ²)		
<1000	2483 (22.9)	236 (21.8)
1000 - 5000	4824 (44.4)	519 (47.8)
>5000	3556 (32.7)	330 (30.4)
Socioeconomic		$\chi^2 = 26.9 P < 0.01$
status (quintile)		
5 the most	2757 (25.4)	316 (29.1)
deprived		
4	2113 (19.5)	196 (18.0)
3	2646 (24.3)	298 (27.4)
2	1689 (15.5)	114 (10.5)
l the most affluent wards	1665 (15.3)	163 (15.0)
Season of		$\chi^2 = 2.71 P = 0.44$
conception		
Spring	2760 (25.4)	292 (26.9)
Summer	2666 (24.5)	247 (22.7)
Fall	2622 (24.1)	255 (23.5)
Winter	2822 (26.0)	293 (27.0)
SD = standard deviation	1	

	Mean±SD	Median
O ₃ , ppb		
Spring	44.53 ± 0.12	44.14
Summer	40.51 ± 0.11	40.69
Fa11	46.39 ± 0.23	45.90
Winter	39.60 ± 0.16	38.78
Average	42.71 ± 0.08	41.69
CO, ppm		
Spring	0.73 ± 0.04	0.69
Summer	0.60 ± 0.04	0.55
Fa11	0.67 ± 0.04	0.65
Winter	0.78 ± 0.04	0.76
Average	0.70 ± 0.01	0.67
NO ₂ , ppb		
Spring	23.32 ± 0.11	23.37
Summer	16.89 ± 0.10	16.12
Fa11	21.28 ± 0.09	21.51
Winter	25.52 ± 0.89	25.54
Average	21.84 ± 0.06	22.01
SO ₂ , ppb		
Spring	4.78 ± 0.04	4.28
Summer	4.01 ± 0.03	3.66
Fa11	4.41 ± 0.04	4.01
Winter	5.11 ± 0.05	4.43
Average	4.59 ± 0.02	4.14
$PM_{10}, \mu g/m^3$		
Spring	64.00 ± 0.24	62.49
Summer	43.43 ± 0.13	43.13
Fa11	60.59 ± 0.36	55.89
Winter	72.20 ± 0.38	67.75
Average	60.30 ± 0.18	56.57

 TABLE 2.
 Mean and Distribution of Air Pollutions in Different

 Seasons Form in Taiwan 2001 to 2007

Range from 25th to 75th percentile of site-specific concentrations. CO = carbon monoxide, $NO_2 = nitrogen dioxides$, $O_3 = ozone$, $PM_{10} = particles$ with aerodynamic diameter 10 μ m or less, ppb = part part per billion, ppm = part per million, SD = standard deviation, SO₂ = sulfur dioxide.

Air Pollution and the Risk of Atrial Septal Defects

The effect estimates for ASDs were elevated in the first trimester for all births (adjusted OR = 1.16, 95% CI: 0.99–1.38), but not statistically increased for term births (adjusted OR = 1.15, 95% CI: 0.94–1.41) for 10 ppb change in O₃ (Tables 3 and 5).

The risk of ASDs was also associated with $10 \mu g/m^3$ change in PM₁₀ in the first trimester of pregnancy (adjusted OR = 1.07, 95% CI: 0.98–1.17) for all births, and inclusion of both of the traffic-related pollutants and O₃ did not change the effect estimate substantially (Table 4). The effect estimates for ASDs for all births with high PM₁₀ exposures were statistically elevated as compared to low exposures (adjusted OR_{high} PM₁₀ = 2.52, 95% CI: 1.44–4.42) (Table 3). When focusing on term births, the effect estimates were also significantly increased comparing high PM₁₀ exposures to low exposures (adjusted OR_{high} PM₁₀ = 2.26, 95% CI: 1.16–4.41) (Table 5).

Air Pollution and the Risk of Patent Ductus Arteriosus

The risk of PDA was related to 10 ppb O₃ changes in first 3 months gestation (adjusted OR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.01–1.40) for all births, but not for term births (adjusted OR = 1.04, 95% CI: 0.85–1.28) in the single-pollutant model (Tables 3 and 5). The effect estimates for PDA were increased, but not statistically significant in high O₃ exposure (adjusted OR = 1.41, 95% CI: 0.93–2.13) in single-pollutant model, but inclusion of combustion-related pollutant SO₂ changed the effect estimate a little (Table 4). The adjusted OR for 10 μ g/m³ change in PM₁₀ for PDA for all births in the single-pollutant model was 1.07 (95% CI: 0.98–1.16), but did not show statistical significance for term births (adjusted OR = 1.02, 95% CI: 0.91–1.13) (Table 5).

In summary, the risks of VSDs and ASDs for overall and term births were elevated with the continuous O_3 exposure, but the risk of PDA was increased only for all births. The effect estimates of ASDs for the first trimester with continuous and categorical PM₁₀ exposure was significantly increased when compared high exposures to low exposures for all and term births. Surprisingly, an inverse association between SO₂ exposure and cardiac defects, particular in VSDs, ASDs, transposition of the great arteries was found. There were weak or no associations between other air pollutants and pulmonary artery and valve, tetralogy of Fallot, transposition of the great arteries, and conotruncal defects.

DISCUSSION

Principal Findings

The results provide evidence that O_3 and PM_{10} exposure during the first trimester of gestation may increase the risk of VSD, ASD, and PDA. In addition, there was a negative or weak association between SO₂, NO₂, CO, and cardiac defects.

Comparison With Other Studies

Twelve previous studies, conducted in Southern California,⁸ San Joaquin Valley of California,¹⁵ Texas,^{9,19} Atlanta,¹⁰ Australia,¹¹ and United Kingdom,^{12–14} Israeli,¹⁶ Barcelona,¹⁸ and NBDPS (National Birth Defects Prevention Study) in 9 US States, ¹⁷ have investigated associations between cardiac defects and exposure to ambient air pollution. The present study found that per 10 ppb increase in O₃ exposure during the first 3 months of gestation among all births were associated with the increased risk of VSDs (31%), ASDs (16%), and PDA (19%) respectively. The monthly average of O₃ varied from 13.8 ppb to 86.3 ppb. This is different from the results of the Southern Californian study,⁸ which reported a CO exposurerelated increase response ($OR_{low} = 1.62$, 95% CI: 1.05, 2.48; OR_{medium} = 2.09, 95% CI: 1.19, 3.67; OR_{high} = 2.95, 95% CI: 1.44, 6.05) and the Texan study,9 which showed an association between SO₂ and VSDs (OR_{high} = 2.16, 95% CI: 1.51, 3.09). Other studies in Atlanta, Australia, United Kingdom, San Joaquin Valley of California, Israeli, Barcelona, and NBDPS reported no other or inverse associations between the criteria pollutant levels and VSDs. $^{12-18}$ Our study indicated that PM₁₀ exposure during the first trimester of gestation has increased risk of ASDs ($OR_{high} = 2.52$, 95% CI = 1.44, 4.42). Similar results were reported from the Texan study⁹ for ASDs $(OR_{high} = 2.27, 95\% CI = 1.43, 3.60)$ when high (>75th percentile) was compared with < 25th percentile as the reference category and Atlanta study for PDA (adjusted OR = 1.60 per

	Ventricular Septa l Defects (n = 193)	Atrial Septal Defects (n = 194)	Patent Ductus Arteriosus $(n = 213)$	Pulmonary Artery and Valve $(n = 60)$	Tetralogy of Fallot (n = 111)	Transposition of the Great Arteries $(n = 70)$	Conotruncal Defects (n = 404)
O ₃ (per 10 ppb)	1.31 (1.10–1.57)	1.16 (0.99–1.38)	1.19 (1.01–1.40)	1.06 (0.78-1.45)	0.99 (0.79–1.23)	0.90 (0.67-1.22)	1.08 (0.89–1.31)
<36.6 ppb	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
36.6<41.7 ppb	1.72 (1.03-2.87)	1.77 (1.06 - 2.95)	$0.95 \ (0.62 - 1.46)$	$1.42 \ (0.67 - 3.01)$	1.00(0.56 - 1.77)	0.81 (0.39–1.71)	1.14 (0.71-1.82)
41.7<48.1 ppb	2.53 (1.55-4.14)	2.60(1.59 - 4.23)	0.90(0.58 - 1.39)	1.26(0.57 - 2.80)	0.62 (0.32 - 1.19)	1.08(0.53 - 2.17)	1.27 (0.79-2.04)
≥48.1 ppb	2.34(1.41 - 3.90)	1.87 (1.14 - 3.09)	1.41(0.93 - 2.13)	1.25(0.55 - 2.83)	1.09(0.62 - 1.91)	0.76(0.35 - 1.64)	1.19 (0.72-1.96)
CO (per 100 ppb)	0.97 (0.90 - 1.05)	$0.94 \ (0.87 - 1.02)$	1.04(0.97 - 1.11)	$0.94 \ (0.81 - 1.07)$	$1.04 \ (0.94 - 1.15)$	$0.94 \ (0.82 - 1.09)$	0.89(0.82 - 0.98)
<0.548 ppm	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
0.548<0.674 ppm	1.08(0.71 - 1.63)	0.64 (0.42 - 0.97)	$0.74\ (0.50{-}1.10)$	$0.94 \ (0.44 - 1.98)$	1.12(0.63 - 2.01)	$0.79 \ (0.40 - 1.57)$	0.53 (0.33 - 0.85)
0.674<0.820 ppm	$0.82 \ (0.52 - 1.29)$	1.02 (0.68-1.52)	0.66(0.43 - 1.02)	$0.64 \ (0.28 - 1.45)$	1.00(0.55 - 1.84)	$0.41 \ (0.18 - 0.93)$	0.72 (0.46 - 1.14)
$\geq 0.820 \text{ ppm}$	$0.84 \ (0.52 - 1.36)$	0.52 (0.31 - 0.87)	0.93(0.60 - 1.44)	0.74(0.33 - 1.66)	1.07 (0.56 - 2.06)	0.75 (0.35 - 1.63)	0.54(0.33 - 0.88)
NO ₂ (per 10 ppb)	$0.91 \ (0.68 - 1.22)$	$0.98 \ (0.74 - 1.30)$	1.02(0.78 - 1.33)	0.77 (0.47–1.27)	1.11 (0.75–1.64)	$0.72 \ (0.44 - 1.16)$	$0.81 \ (0.60 - 1.10)$
<17.1 ppb	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
17.1<22.0 ppb	1.02 (0.66 - 1.58)	0.73 (0.47 - 1.15)	0.70(0.45 - 1.07)	$0.88 \ (0.40 - 1.95)$	$1.61 \ (0.85 - 3.06)$	$0.61 \ (0.29 - 1.28)$	0.61 (0.37-0.99)
22.0<26.2 ppb	0.90(0.57 - 1.42)	$0.85 \ (0.54 - 1.34)$	$1.01 \ (0.66 - 1.53)$	0.80(0.35 - 1.83)	1.32(0.68 - 2.57)	0.47 (0.22-1.04)	0.94(0.60 - 1.49)
\geq 26.2 ppb	0.80(0.48 - 1.33)	0.97 (0.60 - 1.56)	$0.83 \ (0.52 - 1.33)$	0.78(0.32 - 1.86)	1.36(0.67 - 1.45)	0.57 (0.25-1.28)	0.59(0.34 - 1.00)
SO ₂ (per ppb)	0.93 (0.86 - 1.01)	$0.81 \ (0.74 - 0.89)$	0.94(0.88 - 1.01)	$0.78 \ (0.66 - 0.93)$	$0.94\ (0.85 - 1.05)$	0.86(0.75 - 0.99)	1.00(0.93 - 1.08)
<3.28 ppb	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
3.28<4.14 ppb	1.29(0.84 - 1.97)	1.59(1.06 - 2.39)	$0.92 \ (0.62 - 1.36)$	$0.41 \ (0.19 - 0.88)$	1.06(0.60 - 1.85)	$0.71 \ (0.35 - 1.43)$	1.36(0.86 - 2.15)
4.14<5.32 ppb	1.26(0.82 - 1.95)	1.49(0.98 - 2.26)	0.93 (0.63 - 1.37)	$0.70 \ (0.36 - 1.35)$	$1.11 \ (0.64 - 1.95)$	$0.62 \ (0.30 - 1.28)$	1.19 (0.74–1.92)
≥5.32 ppb	0.75 (0.46 - 1.22)	$0.34 \ (0.18 - 0.62)$	0.62(0.40-0.95)	$0.21 \ (0.08 - 0.52)$	0.66(0.35 - 1.24)	0.54 (0.26 - 1.12)	1.14(0.71 - 1.84)
PM_{10} (per 10 $\mu g/m^3$)	1.09(0.99 - 1.19)	1.07 (0.98 - 1.17)	1.07(0.98 - 1.16)	$0.91 \ (0.77 - 1.08)$	1.02(0.91 - 1.15)	0.88 (0.75-1.03)	0.99(0.89 - 1.10)
<46.1 μg/m ³	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
46.1<56.6 μg/m ³	$1.01 \ (0.64 - 1.59)$	1.00(0.57 - 1.73)	$1.01 \ (0.65 - 1.56)$	1.09(0.47 - 2.50)	1.22(0.67 - 2.28)	$0.38 \ (0.17 - 0.87)$	1.81 (1.12–2.94)
56.6<70.8 μg/m ³	$0.87 \ (0.50 - 1.49)$	1.49(0.84 - 2.64)	$1.02 \ (0.62 - 1.70)$	$1.75 \ (0.71 - 4.36)$	1.17 (0.61 - 2.25)	$0.61 \ (0.27 - 1.38)$	1.80(1.01 - 3.19)
\geq 70.8 $\mu g/m^3$	1.39 (0.82–2.37)	2.52 (1.44–4.42)	1.40(0.85 - 2.31)	1.02 (0.37–2.81)	1.05(0.54 - 2.04)	0.46(0.19 - 1.08)	1.50 (0.81–2.78)

TABLE 4. Adjusted Odds Ratios Arteriosus Among All Births by ℓ	* (ORs), Along With 95% Average Concentration and	Confidence Interval (Cls) of d Quartile During the First 3	Cardiac Defects, Ventricular Months of Pregnancy in 2-	Septal Defects, Atrial Septal D Pollutant Models	befects, and Patent Ductus
Ventricular Septal Defects	Model 1 $(O_3 + CO)$	Model 2 $(O_3 + NO_2)$	Model 3 $(O_3 + SO_2)$	Model 4 $(PM_{10} + CO)$	Model 5 (PM ₁₀ +NO ₂)
O ₃ (10 ppb) <36.6 ppb 36.6<41.7 ppb 41.7<48.1 ppb >48.1 ppb	1.31 (1.09–1.57) 1.00 1.73 (1.03–2.89) 2.56 (1.56–4.21) 2.37 (1.41–3.97)	1.31 (1.10–1.57) 1.00 1.72 (1.03–2.87) 2.51 (1.54–4.10) 2.31 (1.39–3.85)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.40 \ (1.16-1.68) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.69 \ (1.01-2.83) \\ 2.48 \ (1.52-4.06) \\ 2.49 \ (1.49-4.18) \end{array}$		
PM10. (10 µg/m ³) <46.1 µg/m ³ 46.1 <56.6 µg/m ³ 56.6 <70.8 µg/m ³ ≥70.8 µg/m ³				$\begin{array}{c} 1.09 & (0.99 - 1.20) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.02 & (0.64 - 1.61) \\ 0.90 & (0.52 - 1.55) \\ 1.45 & (0.85 - 2.48) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.12 \ (1.01 - 1.24) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.06 \ (0.67 - 1.69) \\ 0.94 \ (0.54 - 1.65) \\ 1.54 \ (0.88 - 2.68) \end{array}$
Autal septat uctors O ₃ (10 ppb) <36.6 ppb 36.6<41.7 ppb 41.7<48.1 ppb >48.1 mpb	$\begin{array}{c} 1.14 \ (0.96-1.36) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.71 \ (1.03-2.86) \\ 2.39 \ (1.46-3.92) \\ 1.87 \ (1.04-2.85) \\ 1.87 \ (1.04-2.85) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.16 \ (0.99 - 1.38) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.81 \ (1.08 - 3.02) \\ 2.67 \ (1.64 - 4.36) \\ 1.91 \ (1.16 - 3.15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.31 \ (1.09 - 1.57) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.72 \ (1.03 - 2.88) \\ 2.47 \ (1.51 - 4.04) \\ 2.11 \ (1.26 - 3.53) \end{array}$		
PM ₁₀ (10 μg/m ³) <46.1 μg/m ³ 46.1<56.6 μg/m ³ 56.6<70.8 μg/m ³ ≥70.8 μg/m ³ Patent ductus arteriosus				1.08 (0.99–1.17) 1.00 1.03 (0.59–1.78) 1.50 (0.85–2.67) 2.44 (1.40–4.27)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.09 \ (0.99{-}1.19) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.06 \ (0.61{-}1.85) \\ 1.59 \ (0.89{-}2.85) \\ 2.75 \ (1.55{-}4.89) \end{array}$
O ₃ (10 ppb) <36.6 ppb 36.6<41.7 ppb 41.7<48.1 ppb >48.1 ppb	$\begin{array}{c} 1.22 & (1.03-1.45) \\ 1.00 & \\ 0.97 & (0.63-1.50) \\ 0.92 & (0.59-1.43) \\ 1.43 & (0.94-2.19) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.19 & (1.01-1.40) \\ 1.00 \\ 0.97 & (0.63-1.49) \\ 0.91 & (0.59-1.41) \\ 1.44 & (0.95-2.17) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25 \ (1.05 - 1.48) \\ 1.00 \\ 0.97 \ (0.63 - 1.50) \\ 0.89 \ (0.58 - 1.38) \\ 1.50 \ (0.99 - 2.29) \end{array}$		
PM ₁₀ (10 μg/m ³) <46.1 μg/m ³ 46.1<556.6 μg/m ³ 56.6<70.8 μg/m ³ ≥70.8 μg/m ³	~		~	$\begin{array}{c} 1.06 \ (0.971.16) \\ 1.00 \\ 1.01 \ (0.65-1.56) \\ 1.03 \ (0.62-1.72) \\ 1.46 \ (0.88-2.41) \end{array}$	1.07 (0.981.18) 1.00 1.06 (0.68–1.65) 1.11 (0.66–1.65) 1.51 (0.90–2.55)
Logistic regression analysis adjusti: $NO_2 = nitrogen dioxides, O_3 = ozone$	ng for maternal age, diabetes m , $OR = odds ratio, PM_{10} = par$	nellitus, plurality, gestational age, ticles with aerodynamic diamete	socioeconomic status, and seaso r 10 μ m or less, ppb = part per l	n of conception. $CI = confidence$ int billion, $SO_2 = sulfur dioxide$.	terval, $CO = carbon monoxide$,

	Ventricular Septal Defects (n = 116)	Atrial Sepal Defects (n = 126)	Patent Ductus Arteriosus (n = 140)	Pulmonary Artery and Valve $(n=39)$	Tetralogy of Fallot (n=61)	Transposition of the Great Arteries $(n = 38)$	Conotruncal Defects (n = 87)
(and 10 and)	1 40 /1 30 1 05 1	115 (004 141)	104 (0 62 1 70)	(30 1 00 1) 06 1		(66 1 22 0) 50 0	1 20 (0 02 1 56)
<pre>/36 6 mb</pre>	(0.1 - 0.1) (1.60 - 1.00)	(1.1-1.0)	1.0+(0.60-1.20)	1.20 (0.00 - 1.00) 1.00 - 1.00	0.07 (0.0 4 - 1.17)	(771 - 70.0) (0.0)	1.20 (00.1 - 25 - 0.02)
36.6<41.7 ppb	2.02(1.01 - 4.06)	1.37 (0.75 - 2.50)	0.86 (0.52–1.41)	$1.34 \ (0.51 - 3.53)$	0.92 (0.45 - 1.86)	0.85 (0.35 - 2.09)	1.22 (0.64–2.33)
41.7<48.1 ppb	3.93 (2.04–7.55)	2.48 (1.42–4.32)	0.82 (0.49 - 1.36)	1.75(0.67 - 4.63)	0.57 (0.25 - 1.29)	0.98(0.40-2.41)	1.39(0.73-2.65)
≥48.1 ppb	3.30(1.68-6.47)	1.57(0.88-2.81)	1.06(0.64 - 1.73)	1.68(0.62 - 4.54)	0.88(0.42 - 1.82)	0.62(0.23 - 1.68)	1.45 (0.73-2.86)
CO (per 100 ppb)	0.97 (0.88 - 1.07)	0.91 (0.82 - 1.01)	1.05(0.97 - 1.13)	0.97 (0.83 - 1.13)	1.03 (0.91 - 1.17)	0.94 (0.80 - 1.12)	0.91 (0.82-1.02)
<0.548 ppm	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
0.548<0.674 ppm	1.20 (0.71–2.02)	0.57 (0.34 - 0.96)	$0.65 \ (0.40 - 1.07)$	$0.88 \ (0.35 - 2.25)$	1.29(0.62 - 2.68)	$1.31 \ (0.54 - 3.16)$	0.49 (0.25-0.96)
0.674<0.820 ppm	1.01 (0.57–1.77)	$0.89\ (0.55{-}1.45)$	0.75(0.45 - 1.24)	$0.72 \ (0.26 - 1.97)$	$0.88 \ (0.39 - 1.99)$	0.44(0.14 - 1.42)	0.91 (0.51 - 1.65)
$\geq 0.820 \text{ppm}$	0.89(0.48 - 1.63)	$0.46\ (0.26-0.85)$	$0.88 \ (0.52 - 1.48)$	$0.92 \ (0.35 - 2.43)$	1.16(0.50-2.68)	0.82(0.29 - 2.31)	0.68 (0.35-1.30)
NO ₂ (per 10 ppb)	0.97 (0.68 - 1.39)	0.89 (0.63 - 1.26)	1.03 (0.75–1.42)	$0.92 \ (0.50 - 1.68)$	1.23(0.75 - 2.02)	0.60(0.33 - 1.10)	0.91 (0.61-1.37)
<17.1 ppb	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
17.1 < 22.0 ppb	1.00 (0.58–1.72)	0.63(0.36 - 1.09)	0.55(0.32 - 0.94)	$0.54 \ (0.19 - 1.53)$	2.08 (0.91-4.75)	$0.62\ (0.25{-}1.50)$	0.63 (0.32-1.22)
22.0<26.2 ppb	1.01 (0.57–1.78)	$0.79\ (0.46{-}1.36)$	1.04(0.63 - 1.69)	0.90(0.34 - 2.37)	1.30(0.52 - 3.25)	0.28(0.09 - 0.87)	1.05(0.57 - 1.93)
≥26.2 ppb	$0.94 \ (0.51 - 1.75)$	0.83 (0.47 - 1.47)	$0.82 \ (0.47 - 1.42)$	0.97 (0.35–2.72)	1.83 (0.72-4.62)	0.45 (0.16 - 1.25)	0.79(0.39 - 1.60)
SO ₂ (per ppb)	0.92(0.83 - 1.01)	$0.81 \ (0.72 - 0.91)$	$0.94 \ (0.86 - 1.02)$	$0.83 \ (0.68 - 1.00)$	0.96(0.84 - 1.09)	0.78(0.64 - 0.96)	1.05(0.95 - 1.16)
<3.28 ppb	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
3.28<4.14 ppb	1.29(0.77 - 2.16)	1.67 (1.02 - 2.74)	$0.86\ (0.54{-}1.37)$	$0.54 \ (0.22 - 1.32)$	1.69(0.80 - 3.59)	$0.75 \ (0.32 - 1.77)$	1.64(0.88 - 3.04)
4.14<5.32 ppb	1.18(0.70-2.00)	1.51 (0.90 - 2.51)	$0.85\ (0.54{-}1.36)$	0.76(0.34 - 1.67)	1.55(0.72 - 3.36)	0.60(0.24 - 1.47)	1.38 (0.72-2.65)
≥5.32 ppb	$0.74 \ (0.41 - 1.33)$	$0.36\ (0.17 - 0.76)$	0.55(0.33 - 0.92)	$0.21 \ (0.07 - 0.66)$	1.01 (0.44–2.37)	0.38(0.14 - 1.03)	1.46 (0.76-2.81)
PM_{10} (per 10 $\mu g/m^3$)	1.16(1.04 - 1.29)	1.06(0.95 - 1.17)	1.02(0.91 - 1.13)	$0.97 \ (0.80 - 1.19)$	1.06(0.91 - 1.24)	0.79(0.64 - 0.98)	1.08 (0.94-1.25)
<46.1 μg/m ³	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
46.1<56.6 μg/m ³	1.34(0.76-2.36)	1.06(0.56 - 2.02)	1.02 (0.61 - 1.71)	1.13(0.43 - 3.00)	1.92(0.79 - 4.69)	0.20(0.06 - 0.64)	1.51(0.81 - 2.80)
56.6<70.8 μg/m ³	$0.92 \ (0.45 - 1.86)$	1.19(0.59 - 2.39)	$1.02 \ (0.57 - 1.85)$	1.92(0.65 - 5.71)	1.94 (0.73 - 5.21)	$0.44 \ (0.16 - 1.15)$	1.54 (0.71 - 3.36)
\geq 70.8 μ g/m ³	2.22 (1.15-4.28)	2.26(1.16 - 4.41)	$1.11 \ (0.60 - 2.01)$	1.30(0.39 - 4.40)	2.07 (0.76-5.67)	0.28(0.10 - 0.81)	1.89(0.83 - 4.26)
Logistic regression an endocardial cushin defe nub = nart ner billion p	alysis adjusting for mater cts $(n = 3)$. CI = confidence mm = part per million S	nal age, diabetes mellitus, ce interval, CO = carbon r O, = sulfur dioxide.	plurality, gestational age, nonoxide, $NO_2 = nitrogen$	socioeconomic status, and n dioxides, $O_3 = ozone$, OF	season of conception. Es $X = odds ratio, PM_{10} = ps$	timates not given for the follo urticles with aerodynamic dia	wing rare outcomes: meter 10 µm or less,

14.2 μ g/m³ 95% CI 1.11, 2.31),¹⁰ but inconsistent results were found in San Joaquin Valley of California, Israeli, Barcelona, NBDPS, and Texas.^{16–19} The other 2 studies conducted in northeastern England show weak associations between black smoke and cardiac defects (adjusted OR = 1.02 per 1 μ g/m³ 95% CI 1.01, 1.03), but they did not find a positive association for PDA.^{12,14} In our study, the risk of PDA was related to O₃ exposure in first 3 months gestation for all births, but not for term births. These differences in effect estimates between all births and term births could be explained by information bias related to greater use of ultrasound in term births than in preterm births.

Strengths and Limitations of Study

The strengths of our study include a comprehensive population-based case-control design (all the births in Taiwan), the ability to collect air pollution data from numerous places around the island corresponding to residence of women during pregnancy, and control important risk factors of cardiac defects, such as maternal diabetes mellitus. Our outcomes of interest were based on birth registration rather than the clinical examination for the purposes of the study. The cardiac defects might be missed or underreported in Taiwan, because we only include the defects diagnosed up to 7 days of age $(1.47/1000 \text{ births})^2$ compared with the Atlanta 1998 to 2005 reported rates (8.14/ 1000 births), which were diagnosis through 1 year of age. Our case ascertainment taking place during the first week of life may have introduced both random and systematic error leading to both over diagnosis and under diagnosis. For example, the presence of a PDA in the first week of life does not reflect a true congenital anomaly but a neonatal finding that may be normal. Similarly a diagnosis of an atrial defect in this period may be an over reading of a patent foramen ovale or clinically insignificant small atrial defect. This is a possible source of misclassification, which is likely to be random and nondifferential between women exposure to high and low levels of ambient air pollution and thus likely to lead to underestimation of the effect estimates. Although this would depend on whether tertiary care hospitals which might diagnosis more defects are located in the densely populated areas where pollution levels would be higher, we did not find areas of greater pollution in Taiwan. The echocardiograms are commonly performed on infants in Taiwan and the prevalence of certain cardiac defects did not show substantially differences over the study period. In our study, the percentage of premature births was higher among cases than the controls. Even though gestational age (weeks) in the multivariate analysis was adjusted for the potential differences between cases and controls, we still cannot rule out the either a consequence of cardiac defects or a common cause shared between the defects and premature birth.

Because of low occurrence of maternal smoking, alcohol consumption, and medication during pregnancy between case and control groups, it is not meaningful to adjust for these factors (Table 1). It is not possible to take some confounders such as occupational exposure, maternal work or travel, vitamin use, diet, and folic acid into consideration,²⁸ because there was no such information available in Taiwanese birth registration data. As these factors may have seasonal and regional variations, we included season of conception and population density to adjust indirectly not only for these factors, but also municipal differences in these behavior factors. However, potential residual confounding might be unmeasured or poorly characterized by other environmental toxicants.

The differences between personal exposure and municipal level exposure could be explained by known or unknown factors such as behavior pattern, living activity, working history, and indoor air pollution. Nondifferential errors were assumed between cases and controls. The present and all the previous studies on cardiac defects are adjusted only for covariates based on birth registration information.^{8–14} Our nationwide population-based case-control study based on Taiwanese birth registration has the advantage of having larger numbers of births which would reduce the uncertainly due to the random error typical for smaller studies that collected detailed information on covariates from pregnant women.²⁹

Our exposure assessment was based on the residential zip code rather than on the address during pregnancy, and we applied GIS to integrate monthly air pollutant data from 72 EPA monitoring stations which was interpolated to pollutant surfaces using the IDW method. Two previous studies reported that when using the municipal level exposure obtained from air pollution monitoring stations as a proxy for personal exposure results in smaller effect estimates than when using personal assessment of exposure.^{30,31} A plausible explanation of information bias is residential mobility during pregnancy may lead to exposure misclassification. Any random migration in cases and controls might introduce nondifferential misclassification and decrease the accuracy of exposure assessment. This would most likely result in underestimation of the air pollution effects rather than a positive bias in the associations.

Since urban air pollution usually consists of a complex mixture of several compounds, evaluating the independent effects of different pollutants and identifying a candidate teratogen is not easy. The results of Pearson's correlation analysis during the first trimester of pregnancy showed a high correlation (r=0.80) between NO₂ and CO, since they are both emitted predominantly from motor vehicles. Likewise there exited a moderate correlation (r = 0.53) between PM10 and SO₂ with important sources from stationary combustion of fossil fuels. O3 is a secondary air pollutant produced in the lower atmosphere from precursors of the vehicle emissions (nitrogen dioxide and hydrogen carbon), but the concentrations of O3 are highly associated with PM_{10} (r = 0.54) and slightly related to NO_2 (r = -0.07), CO (r = -0.27), and SO₂ (r = 0.18) concentrations. To some degree, this correlation analysis enables us to validly evaluate the effects of O3 on cardiac defects independent from NO₂, CO, and SO₂. Meanwhile it is possible to control 1 potential confounder (stationary fossil fuel pollutant) at a time in evaluating the effect of different traffic-related pollutants.

This study investigated a relatively large number of health outcomes, which may influence the interpretation of the results. Since the hypotheses of the effects on *a priori* defined cardiac defect groups are independent and mutually exclusive, multiple-inference procedures were no longer required.32 According to Greenland and Rothman (1998),33 all the single-inference procedures with point estimates and CIs were presented in this study. However, selected effect estimates from an unknown number of estimates were not presented. Given 40 associations (8 outcomes \times 5 air pollutants) present here, we would expect at least 2of the associations to be significant due to chance (if $\alpha = 0.05$). Although our findings suggest that the risk of several cardiac defects is related to exposure to O_3 and PM_{10} in time windows that match with our knowledge about susceptible periods of cardiac development, we cannot rule out the possibility of chance.

Possible Mechanisms

How pregnant women's exposure to airborne particulate matter induces development of cardiac defects is still unknown and needs further investigation. The possible explanation is that aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and heavy metals associated with inhaled particulate may cause DNA damage in male germ cells and changes in humans during development. 34,35 An animal study revealed that high exposure to O_3 (>1.26 ppm) during organogenesis had embryocidal effects in rats.³⁶ As we know, vitamin A deprivation during organogenesis causes several congenital defects, rats exposed to $0.4 \text{ ppm } O_3$ for 1 to 4 days had an 85% lowering of the serum retinol concentration, 37,38 supporting the hypothesized adverse effects of O₃. Exposure to O₃ was associated with the e risk of cardiac defects. The most susceptible time to the effects of O_3 were the first 3 months of gestation. O_3 is considered to be a strong oxidizing agent to generate hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals, and super oxides. It was related to oxidative stress and the development of cardiac defects.

Our finding of lack of association between the risk of cardiac defects and traffic-related (CO and NO₂) and combustion-related (SO₂) air pollutant levels is consistent with the results from Atlanta,¹⁰ Australia,¹¹ and United Kingdom.^{12–14}

CONCLUSIONS

The present study provides evidence that the effect of exposure to outdoor air O_3 and PM_{10} during the first 3 months of pregnancy increases the risk of cardiac defects. Given that similar levels are encountered globally by large numbers of pregnant women, O_3 and PM_{10} may be an important determinant of cardiac defects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for providing air pollutant monitoring data and the Bureau of Health Promotion, Department of Health for access to the birth registration data.

REFERENCES

- Pierpont ME, Basson CT, Benson W, et al. Genetic basis for congenital heart defects: current knowledge: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Congenital Cardiac Defects Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young: Endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics. *Circulation*. 2007;115:3015–3038.
- Reller MD, Strickland MJ, Riehle-Colarusso T, et al. Prevalence of congenital heart defects in metropolitan Atlanta, 1998–2005. J Pediatr. 2008;153:807–813.
- Ritz B, Yu F. The effect of ambient carbon monoxide on low birth weight among children born in southern California between 1989 and 1993. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1999;107:17–25.
- Maisonet M, Correa A, Misra D, et al. A review of the literature on the effects of ambient air pollution on fetal growth. *Environ Res.* 2004;95:106–115.
- Glinianaia SV, Rankin J, Bell R, et al. Particulate air pollution and fetal health: a systematic review o the epidemiologic evidence. *Epidemiology*. 2004;15:36–45.
- Lacasana M, Esplugues A, Ballester F. Exposure to ambient air pollution and prenatal and early childhood health effects. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2005;20:183–199.
- 7. Ritz B, Wilhelm M, Hoggatt KJ, et al. Ambient air pollution and preterm birth in the environment and pregnancy outcomes study at

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

the University of California. Los Angeles Am J Epidemiol. 2007;166:1045–1052.

- Ritz B, Yu F, Fruin S, et al. Ambient air pollution and risk of birth defects in Southern California. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;155:17–25.
- Gilboa SM, Mendola P, Olshan AF, et al. Relation between ambient air quality and selected birth defects, seven county study, Texas, 1997–2000. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;162:238–252.
- Strickland MJ, Klein M, Correa A, et al. Ambient air pollution and cardiovascular malformation in Atlanta, Georgia, 1986-2003. Am J Eipdemiol. 2009;169:1004–1041.
- Hansen CA, Barnett AG, Jalaludin BB, et al. Ambient air pollution and birth defects in Brisbane. *Australia Plos One*. 2009;4:e5408.
- Rankin J, Chadwich T, Natarajan M, et al. Maternal exposure to ambient air pollutants and risk of congenital anomalies. *Environ Res.* 2009;109:181–189.
- Dolk H, Armstrong B, Lachowycz K, et al. Ambient air pollution and risk of congenital anomalies in England, 1991–1999. Occup Environ Med. 2010;67:223–227.
- Dadvand P, Rankin J, Rushton S, et al. Association between maternal exposure to ambient air pollution and congenital heart disease: a register-based spatio-temporal analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2011;173:171.
- Padula AM, Tager IB, Carmichael SL, et al. Ambient air pollution and traffic exposures and congenital heart defects in the San Joaquin Valley of California. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2013;27:329–339.
- Agay-Shay K, Friger M, Linn S, et al. Air pollution and congenital heart defects. *Environ Res.* 2013;124:28–34.
- Stingone JA, Luben TJ, Daniels JL, et al., National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Maternal exposure to criteria air pollutants and congenital heart defects in offspring: results from the national birth defects prevention study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014;122:863– 872.
- Schembari A, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Salvador J, et al. Traffic-related air pollution and congenital anomalies in Barcelona. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2014;122:317–323.
- Vinikoor-Imler LC, Stewart TG, Luben TJ, et al. An exploratory analysis of the relationship between ambient ozone and particulate matter concentrations during early pregnancy and selected birth defects in Texas. *Environ Pollut.* 2015;202:1–6.
- Vrijheid M, Martinez D, Manzanares S, et al. Ambient air pollution and risk of congenital anomalies: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2011;119:598–606.
- Chen EK, Zmirou-Navier D, Padilla C, et al. Effects of air pollution on the risk of congenital anomalies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2014;11: 7642–7668.
- 22. Gilliland F, Avol E, Kinney P, et al. Air pollution exposure assessment for epidemiologic studies of pregnant women and children: lessons learned from the Centers for Children's Environmental Health and Disease Prevention Research. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2005;113:1447–1454.
- Lin CM, Lee PC, Teng SW, et al. Validation of the Taiwan birth registration using obstetric records. J Formos Med Assoc. 2004;103:297–301.
- Hwang BF, Jaakkola JJK. Ozone and the other air pollutants and the risk of oral clefts. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116:1411–1415.
- 25. Clark EB. Growth morphogenesis and function: the dynamics of cardiac development. In: Moller JH, Neal WA, Lack J, eds. *Fetal, Neonatal and Infant Heart Disease.* New York, NY: Appleton & Lange; 1990:3–23.
- Greenland S. Modelling and variable selection in epidemiologic analysis. Am J Public Health. 1989;79:340–349.

- Chen BY, Hwang BF, Guo YL. Epidemiology of congenital anomalies in a population-based birth registry in Taiwan. J Formos Med Assoc. 2009;108:460–468.
- Kuehl KS, Loffredo CA. Genetic and environmental influences on malformations of the cardiac outflow. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther.* 2005;3:1125–1130.
- Ritz B, Wilhelm M. Ambient air pollution and adverse birth outcomes: methodological issues in an emerging field. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2008;102:182–190.
- Navidi W, Lurmann F. Measurement error in air pollution exposure assessment. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol. 1995;5:111–124.
- Wilhelm M, Ritz B. Local variation in CO and particulate air pollution and adverse birth outcomes in Los Angeles County, California, USA. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2005;113:1212–1221.
- Perneger TV. What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. BMJ. 1998;316:1236–1238.

- Rothman KJ, Greenland S. Modern Epidemiology. 2nd edn. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott-Raven; 1998:225–229.
- Samet JM, DeMarini DM, Malling HV. Do airborne particles induce heritable mutations? *Science*. 2004;304:971–972.
- 35. Somers CM, McCarry BE, Malek F, et al. Reduction of particulate air pollution lowers the risk of heritable mutations in mice. *Science*. 2004;304:1008–1010.
- Kavlock R, Dasston G, Grabowski CT. Studies on the developmental toxicity of ozone. I Prenatal effects. *Toxcol Appl Pharmacol*. 1979;48:19–28.
- Takahashi Y, Miura T, Kimura S. A decrease in serum retinol by in vivo exposures of rates to ozone. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res.* 1990;60:294–295.
- Lohnes D, Mark M, Mendelsohn C, et al. Developmental roles of the retinoic acid receptors. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 1995:53:475–486.