



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Res. 2016 July 1; 76(13): 3666–3670. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0359.

OncomiR or Tumor Suppressor? The Duplicity of MicroRNAs in Cancer

Alexander A. Svoronos¹, Donald M. Engelman¹, and Frank J. Slack²

¹ Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520

² Institute for RNA Medicine, Departments of Pathology and Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center/Harvard Medical School, 330 Brookline Ave, Boston, MA 02215

Abstract

MicroRNAs are short, noncoding RNAs whose dysregulation has been implicated in most, if not all, cancers. They regulate gene expression by suppressing mRNA translation and reducing mRNA stability. To this end, there is a great deal of interest in modifying miRNA expression levels for the treatment of cancer. However, the literature is fraught with inconsistent accounts as to whether various miRNAs are oncogenic or tumor suppressive. In this review, we directly examine these inconsistencies and propose several mechanisms to explain them. These mechanisms include the possibility that specific miRNAs can simultaneously produce competing oncogenic and tumor suppressive effects by suppressing both tumor suppressive mRNAs and oncogenic mRNAs, respectively. In addition, miRNAs can modulate tumor-modifying extrinsic factors, such as cancer-immune system interactions, stromal cell interactions, oncoviruses, and sensitivity to therapy. Ultimately, it is the balance between these processes which determines whether a specific miRNA produces a net oncogenic or net tumor suppressive effect. A solid understanding of this phenomenon will likely prove valuable in evaluating miRNA targets for cancer therapy.

Introduction

MicroRNAs are short, 18-25 nucleotide-long, noncoding RNA molecules that regulate gene expression by suppressing mRNA translation and reducing mRNA stability, usually through imperfect complementary base pairing to the 3'-untranslated region. Since their 1993 discovery in *C. elegans*, it has become ever more apparent that miRNAs are dysregulated in most, if not all, cancers. Many of these miRNAs either contribute to or repress the cancer phenotype by inhibiting the expression of tumor suppressors or oncogenes, respectively. Generally, oncogenic miRNAs (oncomiRs) are overexpressed in cancers while tumor suppressive miRNAs are underexpressed. When these oncomiRs or tumor suppressor miRNAs are inhibited or stimulated, respectively, cancer cell proliferation, metastasis, and/or survival may be significantly reduced, depending on the type of cancer and the specific miRNA being affected. It is even possible for cancers to become completely reliant upon, or 'addicted', to an oncomiR, such that suppression of the oncomiR results in

Correspondence to: Donald M. Engelman; Frank J. Slack.

The authors disclose no potential conflicts of interest.

complete regression of the cancer (1). Thus, miRNAs have classically been categorized as either oncogenic or tumor suppressive, and controlling their expression for therapeutic purposes is the subject of intense ongoing research.

However, there is reason to propose that the therapeutic approaches should proceed with caution. The literature is fraught with conflicting reports as to whether specific miRNAs are oncogenic or tumor suppressive. Repeatedly, certain miRNAs have been shown to be oncogenic in one scenario, but tumor suppressive in another. Diversity of effects is not a surprise given the large number of genes influenced by a particular miRNA. It hence follows that the classification of a miRNA as oncogenic or tumor suppressive may represent an oversimplification that must be carefully scrutinized in all cancer miRNA studies. To date, this issue has received little consideration, and few studies have directly examined its potential causes.

Here, we will highlight several examples in which a specific miRNA can act either as a tumor suppressor or an oncogene, depending on the context. We attempt to explain the phenomenon via examination of the affected cellular and molecular mechanisms, and we propose multiple factors that can influence whether a miRNA has a net oncogenic or net tumor suppressive effect. Finally, we argue for a holistic approach in examining the effects of miRNAs in cancer that incorporates the interactions of the miRNA's multiple targets and effects beyond the tumor cell, including interactions with the immune system, stromal cells, and therapy.

A Net Effect: The Targeting of Both Tumor Suppressors and Oncogenes

As an example of a miRNA that can act as either an oncomiR or a tumor suppressor depending on the context, we consider miR-125b. MiR-125b acts as an oncomiR in the vast majority of hematological malignancies but as a tumor suppressor in many solid tumors (2, 3). This apparent paradox can be reconciled by taking into account the fact that a single miRNA molecule has the capacity to target tens to hundreds of different mRNAs, some of which may have opposing oncogenic or tumor suppressive functions. In the case of miR-125b, targets include mRNAs encoding anti-apoptotic factors (MCL1, BCL2L2, BCL2), pro-apoptotic factors (TP53, BAK1, BMF, BBC3, MAPK14), pro-proliferative factors, (JUN, STAT3, E2F3, IL6R, ERBB2/3), metastasis promoters (MMP13, LIN28B, ARID3B), metastasis inhibitors (STARD13, TP53INP1, TP53), and factors involved in hematopoietic differentiation (CBFB, PRDM1, IRF4, IL2RB, IL10RA) (2, 3). Hence, it is likely that it is the balance of expression of these oncogenes/tumor suppressors which determines whether miR-125b will have a net oncogenic or net tumor suppressive effect within an individual cancer. It is plausible that miR-125b largely exerts its oncogenic role in hematopoietic malignancies via the suppression of hematopoietic differentiation factors, which are of limited importance in most solid tumors. Combined with the suppression of miR-125b's other tumor suppressive targets, the tumor suppressive effects of miR-125b are trumped to produce a net oncogenic effect. For solid tumors, whether miR-125b is oncogenic or tumor suppressive is far more variable, likely because there is a more even balance between its oncogenic and tumor suppressive effects. Interestingly, the strong oncogenic role of miR-125b in hematological malignancies can be overcome in certain

instances. One notable example is chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), in which miR-125b has been demonstrated to have a tumor suppressive role (4). Although the possibility has not been directly investigated, one plausible explanation for this anomaly is that high overexpression of BCL2, an anti-apoptotic target of miR-125b, is a critical hallmark of CLL (5). Thus, its suppression by miR-125b may be enough to tip the scales so that a net tumor suppressive effect is produced.

Another excellent example of this phenomenon is provided by miR-155. By and large, miR-155 is considered an oncomiR. It possesses an oncogenic role in a large number of solid and hematological malignancies (6-8), and its overexpression alone in lymphoid tissues is sufficient to produce an aggressive disseminated lymphoma in a miR-155 *Cre-loxP* tetracycline-controlled knock-in mouse model (6, 7). Consistent with miR-155's oncogenic potency, the lymphoma produced in these mice was demonstrated to be 'addicted' to, or completely reliant upon, miR-155; tetracycline-induced withdrawal of miR-155 resulted in complete regression of the lymphoma (6). Nevertheless, despite its strong oncogenic effects, there is evidence that miR-155 has a tumor suppressive role in some cancers. Levati et al. demonstrated that miR-155 inhibits proliferation in several melanoma cell lines, due in part to suppression of *SKI*, a commonly overexpressed oncogene in melanoma (9). Similarly, Li et al. and Qin et al. demonstrated that miR-155 exerts a tumor suppressive effect in gastric cancer and ovarian cancer-initiating cells via targeting of *SMAD2* and *CLDN1*, respectively (10, 11). In addition, Palma et al. found that miR-155 has a pro-apoptotic and pro-differentiation role in *FLT3-wildtype* normal karyotype acute myeloid leukemia (NK-AML), which confirms that miR-155's tumor suppressive role is not confined to solid malignancies (12). Strikingly, this was not the case for NK-AML harboring the *FLT3-ITD* mutation, which exhibited overexpression of miR-155 (12).

This set of observations highlights the variability of whether a miRNA is oncogenic or tumor suppressive, even within a single cancer type. Such variability is especially apparent when one considers that sequence variations are possible within the miRNA target sites of regulated genes. Multiple studies have shown that mutations and single nucleotide polymorphisms can result in the functional loss of existing miRNA target sites (13, 14), as well as the creation of new miRNA target sites (15). Given the genetic heterogeneity of many tumors, it is hypothetically possible for a miRNA to exert opposing effects in different regions of an individual tumor, although examples of this have yet to be observed.

MicroRNA Interactions with Tumor-Modifying Extrinsic Factors: The Necessity of a Holistic Approach

The growth and spread of a cancer is not solely a function of the cancer cells themselves, but also of various extrinsic factors, which interact with the cancer cells to affect their behavior. Such factors include the immune system, tumor stromal cells, therapy, and oncoviruses. MiRNAs may have large influences on each of these factors, and it is hence necessary to maintain a holistic view when examining miRNAs from a therapeutic standpoint. This view should take into account all the aforementioned factors, not just the effects of the miRNA that are specific to the cancer cells themselves. Here, we present several examples of when a

miRNA exerts an oncogenic effect on a tumor-modifying extrinsic factor but a tumor suppressive effect on the cancer cells themselves, or vice versa (summarized in Figure 1).

Contrasting Effects on Tumor-Immune System Interactions

MiRNAs may modulate the interactions of cancer cells with the immune system. It is now well established that the interaction of immune cells with cancer cells in the tumor microenvironment can play an integral role in the growth and spread of the cancer. Both Zonari et al. and Yu et al. demonstrated that miR-155 deficiency in tumor-associated macrophages promotes conversion of the macrophages from the pro-inflammatory, anti-tumoral M1 phenotype to the anti-inflammatory, pro-tumoral M2 phenotype (16, 17). Zonari et al. found that stable knockdown of miR-155 in the myeloid compartment of a mammary cancer mouse model resulted in increased tumor growth, and concluded that this was due to a decreased anti-tumoral immune response from tumor-associated macrophages (17). Similarly, Yu et al. observed that bone marrow transplantation from miR-155^{-/-} mice to wild type mice resulted in increased metastasis to the lung. They attributed this to M2 tumor-associated macrophage promotion of invasion and metastasis, as evidenced by an in vitro transwell migration assay (16). In addition, an analogous role for miR-155 was found for tumor-associated dendritic cells in ovarian cancer. Cubillos-Ruiz et al. selectively delivered miR-155 precursor-containing nanoparticles to ovarian cancer-associated dendritic cells by taking advantage of the dendritic cells' spontaneous enhanced endocytic activity (18). This resulted in a transformation of the dendritic cells from an immunosuppressive phenotype to an immunostimulatory phenotype that triggered a potent antitumor immune response (18). Together, these studies clearly demonstrate the importance of considering miR-155's role in tumor-infiltrating immune cells when designing miR-155-based therapeutics. In the case where miR-155 suppression has a tumor suppressive effect within the cancer cells themselves, it is likely that anti-miR-155 therapy would be optimized by targeting just the cancer cells and not the immune cells.

Two other examples of miRNAs that may have contrasting roles on tumor-immune system interactions and the cancer cells themselves are miR-30b and miR-30d. Both miRNAs target multiple oncogenes and are classified as tumor suppressors in the majority of studies that do not involve immune cells or immunocompetent mouse models (19, 20). However, Gaziel-Sovran et al. found that miR-30b/d promote secretion of the immunosuppressive cytokine IL10 by directly targeting the GalNAc transferase *GALNT7* (21). The increased IL10 from miR-30b/d-mediated *GALNT7* suppression resulted in reduced T cell recruitment and enhanced regulatory T cell induction within tumors in an immunocompetent mouse model for melanoma (21). This reduction in antitumor immunity was accompanied by a significantly increased number of metastases (21). Thus, examining a miRNA's effect on tumor-immune system interactions may be of the utmost importance when developing a miRNA-modulating therapy for cancer.

Contrasting Effects on Tumor Stromal Cells

MiRNAs may also play a role in the interaction between cancer cells and non-immune cells of the tumor stroma, such as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). It is now well-established that non-immune cells of the tumor stroma can have a significant role in cancer progression.

As a tumor develops, interactions between the cancer cells and the stromal cells may result in transformation of the stromal cells to a pro-tumor state, which significantly enhances cancer growth, invasion, and metastasis. Although the mechanisms behind this transformation are poorly understood, they are partially elucidated by the discovery that miR-214 and miR-31 are consistently downregulated, while miR-155 is consistently upregulated, in ovarian cancer CAFs compared to normal fibroblasts (22). By triple-transfecting normal fibroblasts with miR-214 and miR-31 inhibitors and pre-miR-155, Mitra et al. were able to convert the fibroblasts to a CAF phenotype, which, like actual CAFs, significantly increased colony formation, migration, and invasion when co-cultured with ovarian cancer cells in vitro and in vivo tumor growth when coinjected with ovarian cancer cells into mice. The reverse was also true; CAFs triple-transfected with miR-155 inhibitor, pre-miR-214, and pre-miR-31 exhibited a normal fibroblast phenotype (22). Notably, the cancer-promoting effects of the CAFs and the anti-miR-214/anti-miR-31/pre-miR-155 triple-transfected normal fibroblasts were largely mediated by increased expression of the cytokine *CCL5*, a direct target of miR-214, thereby supporting the notion that miR-214 acts as a potent tumor suppressor through the interactions of CAFs with ovarian cancer cells (22). Nevertheless, multiple studies attribute an oncogenic role to miR-214 (23, 24). Notably, one of these studies suggested miR-214 to be an oncomiR in ovarian cancer, the same type of cancer as in Mitra et al.'s study, through the direct suppression of *TP53* (23). In addition, another of these studies demonstrated that miR-214 secreted within microvesicles by cancer cells can enter the bloodstream and exert an oncogenic effect through the induction of regulatory T cells, thereby suppressing the antitumor immune response (24). Together, these results highlight the great complexity of this miRNA's role in cancer.

Location-Dependence of MicroRNA Effects

Remarkably, the location of cancer cells can also govern whether a miRNA produces a net oncogenic or net tumor suppressive effect. This was demonstrated to be the case with miR-155 for 4T1 breast tumor cells injected into mice. Xiang et al. found that 4T1 cells virally transduced to overexpress miR-155 metastasized to a far lesser extent than control 4T1 cells after inoculation in the mammary fat pads of mice. Other factors, including tumor growth, remained the same. However, when the cells were injected directly into the blood stream, the miR-155 overexpressing cells produced far more metastatic lung tumors and increased tumor growth in the lungs compared to the control cells. Further analysis demonstrated that miR-155 inhibited epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in the cells by targeting *TCF4*, a key regulator of EMT. Thus, metastasis from the mammary fat pads was suppressed. However, at the same time, seeding and growth into the lung from cells that were already circulating in the bloodstream was increased, likely because the lung environment is more favorable for epithelial cell growth than it is for mesenchymal cell growth. It is possible that miR-155 overexpression promoted mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET), a phenomenon many have proposed to aid the seeding of distant tissues by circulating tumor cells that have previously undergone EMT. Thus, miR-155 was tumor suppressive for 4T1 cells in the mammary fat pad but oncogenic for 4T1 cells in the blood stream and lungs. This holds important implications for the use of miRNA-modulating therapies to treat metastatic disease. (25)

Contrasting Effects on Oncoviruses

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection results in the production of viral oncoproteins, chronic inflammation, and mutations in the liver that can ultimately lead to hepatocellular carcinoma. Thus, when treating a patient with hepatocellular carcinoma and concurrent HCV infection, it is important to consider a therapy's effect on not just the cancer cells, but also the hepatitis C virus and immune system interactions. This may be the case with miR-122, a miRNA demonstrated to be tumor suppressive in hepatocellular carcinoma (26). Despite its anti-tumoral properties, miR-122 is also known to stabilize HCV RNA by binding to the 5'-untranslated region, thereby promoting HCV replication (27). In fact, Miravarsen, a locked nucleic acid which inhibits miR-122 through antisense binding (27), is the most clinically advanced miRNA-targeting therapeutic in existence; it is currently in phase 2 clinical trials for the treatment of chronic HCV infection. Thus, treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with exogenous miR-122 may actually enhance progression of the disease by promoting HCV replication. If a miR-122-promoting therapy is eventually developed for hepatocellular carcinoma, its use will likely require pre-screening for HCV infection. Interestingly, HCV RNA itself can be viewed as a miR-122 inhibitor by sequestering miR-122 from its host mRNA targets. Luna et al. demonstrated that HCV RNA functionally reduces the amount of miR-122 available for binding to its native targets, which could facilitate the oncogenic effects of HCV (28).

MicroRNA Interactions with Therapy

Interestingly, miRNAs may also affect the responsiveness to certain cancer therapies. Many studies that examine miRNA expression levels in cancer interpret a positive correlation between miRNA expression levels and increased survival as evidence that the miRNA is tumor suppressive. However, this kind of interpretation can be misleading. For instance, the miRNA may increase the proliferation of tumor cells but at the same time confer susceptibility to a treatment, resulting in increased overall survival. This was found to be the case with miR-155 in breast cancer patients treated with ionizing radiation (29). Ionizing radiation is a therapy that works by inducing double-stranded DNA breaks in cancer cells. These double-stranded breaks can be repaired via DNA homologous recombination, and thus upregulation of enzymes involved in this mechanism can confer resistance to the therapy. Gasparini et al. discovered that miR-155 directly suppresses the expression of RAD51, a protein critical for DNA homologous recombination, and thereby sensitizes triple negative breast cancer to ionizing radiation therapy (29). As a result, patients with higher miR-155 levels, despite miR-155's oncogenic effects in this cancer (8), exhibited higher overall survival (29).

In addition, multiple miRNAs have been shown to suppress drug efflux transporters, and decreases in their levels are hence associated with chemoresistance (30). While the majority of these miRNAs seem to act consistently as tumor suppressors, this is not the case for miR-7, which has been described as both a tumor suppressor (31) and as an oncomiR (32) for different cancer types. However, due to its suppression of the drug efflux transporter MRP1 (multidrug-resistance associated protein 1) (33), miR-7 inhibition in cancers for which it is an oncomiR could produce an overall detrimental effect, as it could enhance chemoresistance, despite slowing the growth/spread of the tumor cells. Taken together, these

results strongly argue for a holistic approach when investigating the exploitation of miRNAs as cancer therapy targets. Consideration must be given to the interactions of miRNAs with the immune system, tumor stromal cells, cancer therapies, and other factors extrinsic to the cancer cells themselves.

Conclusions

We have reviewed several of the mechanisms by which specific miRNAs can simultaneously exert competing oncogenic and tumor suppressive effects. These effects extend from the regulation of various genes to the genes' downstream effects and to tumor-modifying extrinsic factors, such as immune system interactions and response to therapeutics. Depending on the balance between miRNA-mediated upregulation or downregulation of oncogenic and tumor suppressive pathways, as well as the effects of the miRNA on cancer-immune system interactions and various other tumor-modifying extrinsic factors, the miRNA may produce an overall net oncogenic or net tumor suppressive effect. A solid understanding of these mechanisms is of the utmost importance, as there is currently a great deal of excitement in the administration of exogenous miRNA mimetics and miRNA-inhibitors for the control of various disease processes. This holds especially true for the field of cancer, as mounting evidence is suggesting that miRNAs are severely dysregulated in most, if not all, cancers. However, we suggest this endeavor be approached with caution, as it will likely require an excellent understanding of miRNAs from a holistic standpoint that incorporates all the aforementioned factors. To this end, we recommend the use of immunocompetent mouse models, which better replicate the tumor microenvironment, in preclinical studies of potential miRNA therapeutics. More studies investigating miRNA interactions with established therapies would also be wise. Furthermore, due to the complexity of miRNA networks, systems biology approaches, which incorporate the interactions between various tumor-relevant systems at both the molecular and cellular scales, will be increasingly valuable. Such studies may prove necessary in order to fully utilize the vast clinical potential of miRNA therapeutics.

Acknowledgements

We apologize to the many authors whose valuable contributions could not be cited due to space limitations.

Grant Support

Work in the Slack and Engelman labs is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01-CA157749, R01-CA131301, and R01-GM073857). AAS is additionally supported by a NIH National Research Service Award (F30-CA196020) and Yale University's NIH Medical Scientist Training Program grant (T32-GM007205).

References

1. Medina PP, Nolde M, Slack FJ. OncomiR addiction in an in vivo model of microRNA-21-induced pre-B-cell lymphoma. *Nature*. 2010; 467:86–90. [PubMed: 20693987]
2. Shaham L, Binder V, Gefen N, Borkhardt A, Izraeli S. MiR-125 in normal and malignant hematopoiesis. *Leukemia*. 2012; 26:2011–8. [PubMed: 22456625]
3. Sun YM, Lin KY, Chen YQ. Diverse functions of miR-125 family in different cell contexts. *Journal of hematology & oncology*. 2013; 6:6. [PubMed: 23321005]

4. Tili E, Michaille JJ, Luo Z, Volinia S, Rassenti LZ, Kipps TJ, et al. The down-regulation of miR-125b in chronic lymphocytic leukemias leads to metabolic adaptation of cells to a transformed state. *Blood*. 2012; 120:2631–8. [PubMed: 22723551]
5. Roberts AW, Seymour JF, Brown JR, Wierda WG, Kipps TJ, Khaw SL, et al. Substantial susceptibility of chronic lymphocytic leukemia to BCL2 inhibition: results of a phase I study of navitoclax in patients with relapsed or refractory disease. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2012; 30:488–96. [PubMed: 22184378]
6. Babar IA, Cheng CJ, Booth CJ, Liang X, Weidhaas JB, Saltzman WM, et al. Nanoparticle-based therapy in an in vivo microRNA-155 (miR-155)-dependent mouse model of lymphoma. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2012; 109:E1695–704. [PubMed: 22685206]
7. Cheng CJ, Bahal R, Babar IA, Pincus Z, Barrera F, Liu C, et al. MicroRNA silencing for cancer therapy targeted to the tumour microenvironment. *Nature*. 2015; 518:107–10. [PubMed: 25409146]
8. Kong W, He L, Richards EJ, Challa S, Xu CX, Permeth-Wey J, et al. Upregulation of miRNA-155 promotes tumour angiogenesis by targeting VHL and is associated with poor prognosis and triple-negative breast cancer. *Oncogene*. 2014; 33:679–89. [PubMed: 23353819]
9. Levati L, Pagani E, Romani S, Castiglia D, Piccinni E, Covaciu C, et al. MicroRNA-155 targets the SKI gene in human melanoma cell lines. *Pigment cell & melanoma research*. 2011; 24:538–50. [PubMed: 21466664]
10. Li CL, Nie H, Wang M, Su LP, Li JF, Yu YY, et al. microRNA-155 is downregulated in gastric cancer cells and involved in cell metastasis. *Oncology reports*. 2012; 27:1960–6. [PubMed: 22426647]
11. Qin W, Ren Q, Liu T, Huang Y, Wang J. MicroRNA-155 is a novel suppressor of ovarian cancer-initiating cells that targets CLDN1. *FEBS letters*. 2013; 587:1434–9. [PubMed: 23523916]
12. Palma CA, Al Sheikha D, Lim TK, Bryant A, Vu TT, Jayaswal V, et al. MicroRNA-155 as an inducer of apoptosis and cell differentiation in Acute Myeloid Leukaemia. *Molecular cancer*. 2014; 13:79. [PubMed: 24708856]
13. Chin LJ, Ratner E, Leng S, Zhai R, Nallur S, Babar I, et al. A SNP in a let-7 microRNA complementary site in the KRAS 3' untranslated region increases non-small cell lung cancer risk. *Cancer research*. 2008; 68:8535–40. [PubMed: 18922928]
14. Saetrom P, Biesinger J, Li SM, Smith D, Thomas LF, Majzoub K, et al. A risk variant in an miR-125b binding site in BMP1B is associated with breast cancer pathogenesis. *Cancer research*. 2009; 69:7459–65. [PubMed: 19738052]
15. Ramsingh G, Koboldt DC, Trissal M, Chiappinelli KB, Wylie T, Koul S, et al. Complete characterization of the microRNAome in a patient with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2010; 116:5316–26. [PubMed: 20876853]
16. Yu F, Jia X, Du F, Wang J, Wang Y, Ai W, et al. miR-155-deficient bone marrow promotes tumor metastasis. *Molecular cancer research : MCR*. 2013; 11:923–36. [PubMed: 23666369]
17. Zonari E, Pucci F, Saini M, Mazzieri R, Politi LS, Gentner B, et al. A role for miR-155 in enabling tumor-infiltrating innate immune cells to mount effective antitumor responses in mice. *Blood*. 2013; 122:243–52. [PubMed: 23487026]
18. Cubillos-Ruiz JR, Baird JR, Tesone AJ, Rutkowski MR, Scarlett UK, Camposeco-Jacobs AL, et al. Reprogramming tumor-associated dendritic cells in vivo using miRNA mimetics triggers protective immunity against ovarian cancer. *Cancer research*. 2012; 72:1683–93. [PubMed: 22307839]
19. Kao CJ, Martinez A, Shi XB, Yang J, Evans CP, Dobi A, et al. miR-30 as a tumor suppressor connects EGF/Src signal to ERG and EMT. *Oncogene*. 2014; 33:2495–503. [PubMed: 23728339]
20. Wu C, Jin B, Chen L, Zhuo D, Zhang Z, Gong K, et al. MiR-30d induces apoptosis and is regulated by the Akt/FOXO pathway in renal cell carcinoma. *Cellular signalling*. 2013; 25:1212–21. [PubMed: 23416459]
21. Gaziel-Sovran A, Segura MF, Di Micco R, Collins MK, Hanniford D, Vega-Saenz de Miera E, et al. miR-30b/30d regulation of GalNAc transferases enhances invasion and immunosuppression during metastasis. *Cancer cell*. 2011; 20:104–18. [PubMed: 21741600]

22. Mitra AK, Zillhardt M, Hua Y, Tiwari P, Murmann AE, Peter ME, et al. MicroRNAs reprogram normal fibroblasts into cancer-associated fibroblasts in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 2012; 2:1100–8. [PubMed: 23171795]
23. Xu CX, Xu M, Tan L, Yang H, Permeth-Wey J, Kruk PA, et al. MicroRNA miR-214 regulates ovarian cancer cell stemness by targeting p53/Nanog. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2012; 287:34970–8. [PubMed: 22927443]
24. Yin Y, Cai X, Chen X, Liang H, Zhang Y, Li J, et al. Tumor-secreted miR-214 induces regulatory T cells: a major link between immune evasion and tumor growth. *Cell research.* 2014; 24:1164–80. [PubMed: 25223704]
25. Xiang X, Zhuang X, Ju S, Zhang S, Jiang H, Mu J, et al. miR-155 promotes macroscopic tumor formation yet inhibits tumor dissemination from mammary fat pads to the lung by preventing EMT. *Oncogene.* 2011; 30:3440–53. [PubMed: 21460854]
26. Tsai WC, Hsu PW, Lai TC, Chau GY, Lin CW, Chen CM, et al. MicroRNA-122, a tumor suppressor microRNA that regulates intrahepatic metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology.* 2009; 49:1571–82. [PubMed: 19296470]
27. Janssen HL, Reesink HW, Lawitz EJ, Zeuzem S, Rodriguez-Torres M, Patel K, et al. Treatment of HCV infection by targeting microRNA. *The New England journal of medicine.* 2013; 368:1685–94. [PubMed: 23534542]
28. Luna JM, Scheel TK, Danino T, Shaw KS, Mele A, Fak JJ, et al. Hepatitis C Virus RNA Functionally Sequesters miR-122. *Cell.* 2015; 160:1099–110. [PubMed: 25768906]
29. Gasparini P, Lovat F, Fassan M, Casadei L, Cascione L, Jacob NK, et al. Protective role of miR-155 in breast cancer through RAD51 targeting impairs homologous recombination after irradiation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2014; 111:4536–41. [PubMed: 24616504]
30. Kutanzi KR, Yurchenko OV, Beland FA, Checkhun VF, Pogribny IP. MicroRNA-mediated drug resistance in breast cancer. *Clinical epigenetics.* 2011; 2:171–85. [PubMed: 21949547]
31. Kalinowski FC, Brown RA, Ganda C, Giles KM, Epis MR, Horsham J, et al. microRNA-7: a tumor suppressor miRNA with therapeutic potential. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology.* 2014; 54:312–7. [PubMed: 24907395]
32. Chou YT, Lin HH, Lien YC, Wang YH, Hong CF, Kao YR, et al. EGFR promotes lung tumorigenesis by activating miR-7 through a Ras/ERK/Myc pathway that targets the Ets2 transcriptional repressor ERF. *Cancer research.* 2010; 70:8822–31. [PubMed: 20978205]
33. Pogribny IP, Filkowski JN, Tryndyak VP, Golubov A, Shpyleva SI, Kovalchuk O. Alterations of microRNAs and their targets are associated with acquired resistance of MCF-7 breast cancer cells to cisplatin. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer.* 2010; 127:1785–94. [PubMed: 20099276]




Figure 1. Examples of miRNAs which may exert contrasting oncogenic/tumor suppressive effects on tumor-modifying extrinsic factors and the cancer cells themselves

Green arrows represent positive regulation, red arrows represent negative regulation, and blue arrows represent either positive or negative regulation, depending on cancer cell type/context. An arrow extending directly from a miRNA to the central cancer cell refers to promotion/inhibition of cancer cell progression/survival through the miRNA's direct regulation of cancer cell-endogenous mRNAs.