



Published in final edited form as:

Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2016 August ; 233(15-16): 2915–2924. doi:10.1007/s00213-016-4333-7.

Neuropeptide S differently modulates alcohol-related behaviors in alcohol-preferring and non-preferring rats

Nazzareno Cannella¹, Marsida Kallupi¹, Hong Wu Li¹, Serena Stopponi, Carlo Cifani¹, Roberto Ciccocioppo¹, and Massimo Ubaldi^{1,*}

¹School of Pharmacy, Pharmacology Unit, University of Camerino, Camerino (MC) 62032, Italy

Abstract

Rationale—Neuropeptide S (NPS) displays unique pharmacological properties and induces both anxiolytic and pro-stress/arousal activities. Previous studies performed using Wistar rats demonstrated that NPS facilitated alcohol and cocaine seeking but did not affect alcohol or cocaine consumption.

Objectives—Here, we investigated the effects of NPS in Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring (msP) rats, a rat strain characterized by excessive alcohol consumption comorbid with heightened anxiety and depressive-like phenotypes. Specifically, we evaluated the effect of NPS on operant alcohol self-administration by msP rats compared to Wistar rats. The effect of NPS on cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking in msP rats was also evaluated. Finally, using the open field test (OFT) and the elevated plus maze (EPM), we evaluated the effects of NPS on locomotor activity and anxiety.

Results—NPS reduced alcohol self-administration but did not affect cue-induced reinstatement in the msP rat. In addition, NPS induced reinstatement of extinguished alcohol seeking in Wistar rats without affecting alcohol intake. In the EPM task, NPS, in accordance with its anxiolytic activity, increased the time spent in the open arm of the arena by msP rats, although this effect was not observed in Wistar rats.

Conclusions—These data suggest that the effect of NPS is strongly influenced by the genetic background of the animal. In Wistar rats, NPS acts as a pro-arousal agent to promote the reinstatement of alcohol seeking. However, when alcohol drinking is motivated by or associated with a state of pathological anxiety, NPS attenuates alcohol consumption and seeking due to its anxiolytic activity.

Keywords

NPSR; Addiction; Relapse; Ethanol; Abuse; CNS

*Correspondence to: Dr. Massimo Ubaldi, University of Camerino, School of Pharmacy, Pharmacology Unit, Building of Experimental Medicine, Via Madonna delle Carceri 9 Camerino (MC) 62032, Italy, Tel: +39 0737 403310, massimo.ubaldi@unicam.it.

Introduction

Neuropeptide S (NPS) is a 20-amino-acid neuropeptide, and its transcript is largely expressed in three brainstem areas: the lateral parabrachial nucleus, the principal sensory trigeminal nucleus, and the area surrounding the locus coeruleus (Liu et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2004). Conversely, its cognate Gq/s G protein-coupled receptor (NPSR) is widely expressed throughout the central nervous system (Leonard and Ring 2011; Xu et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2004). In agreement with the wide brain distribution of NPSR, the NPS system is involved in the modulation of a variety of functions, such as locomotion, anxiety, stress, fear conditioning, memory, and food intake (Pape et al. 2010; Reinscheid 2008). In addition, several preclinical studies strongly indicate that the NPS system is involved in drug addiction and alcohol dependence (Cannella et al. 2012; Ghazal et al. 2013; Kallupi et al. 2010; Paneda et al. 2009; Ubaldi et al. 2016). This role of NPS was recently confirmed by a human study that associated increased intrinsic activity of NPSR with more severe alcohol use disorder and increased alcohol consumption (Laas et al. 2015).

We previously demonstrated that injection of NPS into Wistar rats via the intracerebroventricular (ICV) or intra-lateral hypothalamus (LH) route potentiates cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking without altering alcohol self-administration (Cannella et al. 2009). Subsequently, we reported a similar pattern of NPS effects on cocaine seeking (Kallupi et al. 2010; Kallupi et al. 2013). Our findings agreed with those of another study (Badia-Elder et al. 2008) reporting that ICV NPS administration does not affect alcohol consumption by non-preferring (NP) rats. However, these authors also reported that NPS, at least under conditions of home cage drinking, can decrease alcohol intake by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. In addition, NPS exerted an anxiolytic-like effect on a P strain but not an NP strain (Badia-Elder et al. 2008). P rats are characterized by an innate anxious phenotype, and it has been hypothesized that their excessive alcohol drinking is driven in part by the ability of alcohol to relieve them from this negative affective state (Stewart et al. 1993).

The anxiolytic-like properties of NPS have been documented in a number of studies performed under various experimental conditions (Jungling et al. 2008; Leonard et al. 2008; Lukas and Neumann 2012; Rizzi et al. 2008; Vitale et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2004). Hence, in P rats, NPS likely decreases alcohol consumption not because it acts by modulating the rewarding properties of ethanol but rather because of its anxiolytic-like properties. On the other hand, in addition to its anxiolytic activity, NPS has pro-stress and pro-arousal properties (Okamura and Reinscheid 2007). This aspect of NPS pharmacology is likely related to its ability to facilitate relapse behavior. Indeed, we demonstrated that NPS exacerbates the reinstatement of alcohol and cocaine seeking via the stimulation of hypothalamic hypocretin-1/orexin-A signaling (Cannella et al. 2009; Kallupi et al. 2010; Ubaldi et al. 2016). Moreover, Paneda and coworkers reported that NPS is unable to induce reinstatement of extinguished cocaine seeking in mice lacking the receptor corticotropin-releasing factor 1 (CRF1); this finding provides additional support for a role of pro-arousal mechanisms in sustaining this effect of NPS (Paneda et al. 2009).

Taken together, this evidence suggests that NPS might have a dual and, to some extent, paradoxical effect on alcohol drinking and seeking depending on the genetic background and the emotional state of the animal. In the present study, we further evaluated this hypothesis by examining the effects of NPS in Wistar rats compared to Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring (msP) rats. MsP rats, similar to the P strain, exhibit a genetic predisposition toward high levels of alcohol consumption; msP rats are highly vulnerable to the reinstatement of alcohol seeking, are hypersensitive in response to stress and show an anxious- and depression-like phenotype (Ciccocioppo et al. 2006; Ciccocioppo et al. 1999; Gozzi et al. 2013; Hansson et al. 2007; Hansson et al. 2006). In previous studies, we proposed that msP rats consume alcohol for self-medication purposes to alleviate their innate negative affective state (Ciccocioppo et al. 2006; Hansson et al. 2006). Hence, considering that NPS exerts anxiolytic-like effects, we hypothesized that NPS would reduce alcohol consumption and seeking in these animals while exerting no effect or the opposite effect in Wistar rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Male Wistar rats (Charles River, Calco, Italy) and msP rats bred at the School of Pharmacy, University of Camerino, weighing 175–225 g at the beginning of the study were used. Pairs of rats were housed in a room with an artificial 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle (lights off at 8:00 a.m.) at constant temperature (20–22°C) and humidity (45–55%). All training and experimental sessions were conducted once a day during the nocturnal phase of the light/dark cycle. *All procedures adhered to the European Community Council Directive and the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Camerino (Protocol no. 1/2012).*

Intracranial Surgery

Animals were anesthetized via intramuscular injection of 100–150 µl of a solution containing tiletamine hydrochloride (58.17 mg/ml) and zolazepam hydrochloride (7.5 mg/ml). For drug injections, guide cannulae (0.65-mm outside diameter) were stereotaxically implanted and cemented to the skull. For ICV cannula placement, the coordinates in millimeters with reference to bregma were as follows: anteroposterior (AP), –1.0; lateral (L), –1.8; and ventral (V), 2.0. All coordinates were based on the Paxinos and Watson atlas (Paxinos and Watson 1988) and were adjusted for the body weight of the animals. To alleviate post-operative pain, rats were treated with 2.5 mg/kg of ketoprofen administered subcutaneously for two days. Surgery was followed by a 7-day recovery period, during which the rats were left undisturbed in their home cage.

Before the beginning of the experiments, the animals received ICV injections of saline to habituate them to the drug administration procedure. Appropriate cannula placement was verified before the experiment by performing ICV injection of 100 ng of angiotensin II; only animals showing a clear dipsogenic response (consumption of at least 6 ml of water within 5 min) were used for further experimentation.

Drug Injection

NPS, a generous gift of Dr. R. Guerrini, University of Ferrara, Italy, was dissolved in sterile isotonic saline and administered intracerebroventricularly in a volume of 1 μ l/rat using a stainless-steel injector 2.5 mm longer than the guide cannula. The injector was connected to a 10- μ l Hamilton syringe. Once the injector was introduced into the guide cannula, the NPS (or vehicle) solution was infused by gently pushing the syringe piston. After the infusion, the injector was left in place for 1 min before removal to avoid liquid backflow. The NPS or vehicle solution was injected 5 min before the behavioral tests.

Operant Training of Alcohol Self-Administration

Operant training and testing were performed in self-administration chambers (Med Associates) equipped with a drinking reservoir (volume capacity: 0.30 ml) and two retractable levers. Auditory and visual stimuli were presented via a speaker and a light located on the front panel, respectively, during the discrimination phase and the reinstatement tests. Rats were trained to self-administer 10% alcohol (v/v) using a saccharin-fading procedure adapted from Weiss et al. (1990) in 30-min daily sessions on a fixed-ratio 1 schedule of reinforcement. For the first 5 days of training, presses of the active lever were reinforced by delivery of 0.2% (w/v) saccharin solution into the drinking receptacle. After acquisition of the saccharin-reinforced response, alcohol was added to the saccharin solution, and the alcohol and saccharin concentrations varied every 5 training days according the following schedule: saccharin 0.2% (w/v) + alcohol 5% (v/v); alcohol 5% (v/v); saccharin 0.2% (w/v) + alcohol 8% (v/v); alcohol 8% (v/v); and saccharin 0.2% (w/v) + alcohol 10% (v/v). The delivery of the solutions was followed by a 5-second time-out period, during which the reinforced lever remained inactive.

Each response resulted in the delivery of 0.1 ml of fluid. The number of operant lever presses of both the active and inactive levers and the number of reinforcements received was recorded for each session.

Experiment 1: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Alcohol Self-Administration by msP and Wistar Rats

Rats (n=8/line) were trained to self-administer 10% (v/v) alcohol using the fading procedure described above. Once saccharin was faded out, each alcohol delivery was paired with the illumination of the chamber's house light, which signaled a 5-second time-out (TO) period. Following the acquisition of a stable baseline of alcohol self-administration, NPS treatment began. In a counterbalanced order, 5 min before the beginning of the self-administration session, rats received an ICV injection of NPS (0.1, 0.5, 1.0 or 2.0 nmol/rat) or its vehicle. Drug treatment was performed every fourth day. The first day after each drug injection, the rats remained in their home cages, whereas on the 2nd and 3rd day after each drug injection, baseline alcohol self-administration was re-established.

Experiment 2: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Open Field Test (OFT) Performance by msP and Wistar Rats

For the OFT, the rats were placed in a Plexiglas arena (43×43 cm) that recorded subject location using 16 evenly spaced infrared (I/R) transmitters and receivers positioned around

the periphery of the chamber (Med Associates). The OFT was performed in a sound-attenuated room illuminated by a dim red light (~30 lx).

The rats received an ICV injection of NPS (0.25, 1.0 nmol/rat) 5 min before the beginning of the test.

Wistar (n=24; 8/group) and msP rats (n=25; 8–9/group) were placed in the center (28×28 cm) of the open field arena, and their activity was recorded for 15 min. Movement time, total distance traveled, time spent in the center, and distance traveled in the center were analyzed using Activity Monitor software (Med Associates).

Experiment 3: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Elevated Plus Maze (EPM) Task Performance by msP and Wistar Rats

The EPM task was performed in a sound-attenuated room illuminated by a dim red light (~30 lx). The apparatus consisted of four black wooden arms (50 cm long × 10 cm wide) arranged such that the respective closed and open arms were opposite to each other. The maze, elevated 50 cm above the floor, was cleaned with water and dried after each trial. Each 5-min trial began when the animals were individually placed in the center of the maze, facing a closed arm. A rat was considered to be on the central platform when at least two of its paws were on it. An entry was defined as the presence of all four paws in an arm. The percentage of time spent in the open arms [% OAT=(time in open arms/time in “open arms” + time in “closed” arms) X 100] and the percentage of open arm entries [%OAE=(number of open arm entries/total number of “open” or “closed” arm entries) X 100] were considered as indexes of anxiety, and the total number of arm entries was used as a measure of spontaneous locomotor activity. Wistar (n=12/group) and msP rats (n=14/group) received an ICV injection of NPS (1.0 nmol/rat) or its vehicle 5 min before the beginning of the task.

Experiment 4: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Discriminative Cue-Induced Reinstatement of Alcohol Seeking in msP Rats

We previously demonstrated that ICV NPS treatment at doses of 2.0 and 4.0 but not 1.0 nmol/rat facilitated discriminative cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking in Wistar rats (Cannella et al. 2009). Thus, we repeated this experiment in alcohol-preferring msP rats (n=8).

The experiment consisted of three phases: discrimination, extinction, and reinstatement.

Discrimination Phase—Once saccharin was faded out, msP rats were trained to discriminate between 10% alcohol and water in 30-min daily sessions. Discriminative and discrete stimuli predictive of alcohol (S⁺) versus water availability (S⁻) were presented during the alcohol and water self-administration sessions, respectively. The discriminative stimulus for alcohol was the odor of orange extract, whereas water availability (i.e., no reward) was signaled by anise extract. The olfactory stimuli were generated by depositing six to eight drops of the respective extract into the bedding in the operant chamber. In addition, each lever press resulting in the delivery of alcohol was followed by a 5-second time-out period (during which the alcohol lever remained inactive) contingently paired with the illumination of the chamber's house light, which served as a discrete stimulus. For the

water sessions, the time-out period was signaled by a 5-second 70 dB tone. The olfactory discriminative stimuli for alcohol or water availability were introduced one minute before extension of the levers and remained present throughout the 30-min sessions. Alcohol and water sessions were conducted in a pseudo-random order across distinct training days, with the constraint that all rats received a total of 10 alcohol and 10 water sessions.

Extinction Phase—After the last conditioning day, rats were subjected to a 30-min daily extinction session. Extinction training was maintained until the responses on the previously active lever dropped below 25% of the baseline responses under the S⁺ condition (average of the last three sessions) for at least two days. During this phase, each trial began with the extension of the levers without presentation of the conditioned stimuli. Responses at the lever activated the delivery mechanism but did not result in the delivery of liquids.

Reinstatement Test—Reinstatement tests began the day after the last extinction session. The reinstatement test sessions were identical to the discrimination phase sessions, except that alcohol and water were not available. Rats were tested under the S⁻ condition on day 1 and under the S⁺ condition from day 2 onward, with S⁺ condition trials repeated every third day. The effect of NPS (1.0, 2.0, or 4.0 nmol/rat) or its vehicle on discriminative cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking was evaluated using a *Latin square* within-subjects counterbalanced design. NPS was injected ICV 5 min before the test.

Experiment 5: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Extinguished Alcohol Seeking in msP and Wistar Rats

Wistar (n=8) and msP (n=9) rats were trained for alcohol self-administration as described for experiment 1. After the last self-administration session, the rats were subjected to 30-min daily extinction sessions. During this phase, responses at the active lever illuminated the house light and activated the delivery mechanism but did not result in the delivery of alcohol. Extinction training was maintained until the response rate on the active lever dropped below 25% of the self-administration baseline rate (average of the last three sessions) for at least two days. Once the extinction criteria were achieved, the effect of NPS (0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, or 2.0 nmol/rat) or its vehicle was evaluated under extinction conditions using a *Latin square* within-subjects counterbalanced design. Between NPS treatments, trials under the extinction condition were performed to confirm that rats returned to meet the extinction criteria. Thus, treatments were repeated every third or fourth day depending on the time point of achievement of the extinction baseline.

Statistical Analysis

Behavioral data were analyzed via between- and within-factors ANOVAs as appropriate. In experiment 1, the baseline lever press rate at 10% alcohol self-administration was analyzed via two-way ANOVA, with one factor between subjects (rat strain) and one factor within subjects (reinforced/inactive lever responses). For this analysis, we considered the lever responses averaged across the last three days of self-administration. The effect of NPS on alcohol self-administration was also analyzed via three-way ANOVA, with rat strain as a between-subjects factor and NPS dose and reinforced/inactive lever responses as within-subjects factors. In experiment 2, the time spent and distance traveled in the center of the

arena and the total distance traveled were analyzed via two-way ANOVA, with the rat strain and NPS dose as between-subjects factors. In experiment 3, the percentage of time spent in open arms of the EPM and the number of arm entries were analyzed via two-way ANOVA. In experiment 4, the discrimination baseline was analyzed via two-way ANOVA, with alcohol (S⁺)/water (S⁻) conditions and reinforced/inactive lever responses as within-subjects factors. The extinction of lever pressing was analyzed via two-way ANOVA, with active/inactive levers and extinction days as within-subjects factors. The reinstatement test results were analyzed via two-way ANOVA, with extinction/S⁺/S⁻ conditions and active/inactive lever as within-subjects factors; a response on the last extinction day and S⁺ responses under drug vehicle treatment were used in this analysis. Drug effects on discriminative cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking (S⁺ condition) were analyzed via a two-way within-factors ANOVA (treatment and active/inactive lever). In experiment 5, 10% alcohol self-administration training outcome was analyzed as in experiment 1. The extinction of lever pressing was analyzed via three-way ANOVA, with rat strain as a between-subjects factor and active/inactive levers and extinction days as within-subjects factors. The effect of NPS on extinguished alcohol seeking was analyzed via three-way ANOVA, with rat strain as a between-subjects factor and active/inactive levers and NPS dose as within-subjects factors. The analyses were followed by the Newman-Keuls post hoc test when appropriate, and statistical significance was set at $p=0.05$.

RESULTS

Experiment 1: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Alcohol Self-Administration by msP and Wistar Rats

One Wistar rat and one msP rat were excluded after the angiotensin II test; thus, this experiment was performed using 7 rats per strain. For alcohol self-administration, the mean \pm SEM alcohol consumption in the last 3 training days was 1.28 and 0.83 g/kg body weight of msP and Wistar rats, respectively. When lever pressing was evaluated via ANOVA, the statistical analysis revealed an overall effect of rat strain [$F(1,12)=9.07$; $p<0.05$] and the reinforced/inactive response \times strain interaction [$F(1,12)=10.69$; $p<0.01$]. These results agree with greater reinforcement of lever responses by msP rats (Fig. 1). When the effect of NPS on alcohol self-administration was analyzed, we found a dose \times strain interaction [$F(4,48)=4.16$; $p<0.01$] and a dose \times strain \times lever interaction [$F(4,48)=2.66$; $p<0.05$]. A Newman-Keuls post hoc test confirmed that NPS treatment decreased the number of reinforcements received by msP but not Wistar rats (Fig. 1). The number of inactive lever responses was always low and was unaffected by the experimental phase and pharmacological treatment.

Experiment 2: Effect of NPS on OFT Performance by msP and Wistar Rats

All rats showed positive results on the angiotensin II test; therefore, all rats were included in the statistical analysis. For the time spent in the center of the arena, ANOVA revealed an overall effect of strain [$F(1,43)=8.94$, $p<0.01$], and the overall effect of NPS dose approached significance [$F(2,43)=2.78$, $p=0.073$]. The strain \times dose interaction was also significant [$F(2,43)=3.97$, $p<0.05$]. A Newman-Keuls post hoc test revealed that msP rats spent less time in the center of the arena than Wistar rats ($p<0.01$). NPS increased the time

spent in the center of the arena by the msP rats, but not the Wistar rats, in a dose-dependent manner ($p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$) (Fig. 2a). When the distance traveled in the center was analyzed, no significant effect of strain [$F(1,43)=0.71$, p NS] or strain \times dose interaction was observed [$F(2,43)=0.26$, p NS], but there was a significant effect of dose [$F(2,43)=5.25$, $p < 0.01$]. Although a dose-dependent trend of an increased distance traveled in the center was observed in both strains, a Newman-Keuls post hoc test did not find any significant difference between the groups (Fig. 2b). Finally, when the total distance traveled was analyzed, there was a significant effect of strain [$F(1,43)=10.35$, $p < 0.01$] but no significant effect of dose [$F(2,43)=1.52$, p NS] or strain \times dose interaction [$F(2,43)=0.42$, p NS]. A Newman-Keuls post hoc test did not indicate any difference between the two rat strains treated with the same drug doses (Fig. 2c).

Experiment 3: Effect of NPS on EPM Task Performance by msP and Wistar Rats

Overall ANOVA revealed a significant inter-strain difference [$F(1,48)=6.630$; $p < 0.01$] in the percentage of time spent in the open arms. A significant treatment \times strain interaction [$F(1,48)=9.184$; $p < 0.001$] was also observed. Post hoc analysis revealed that msP rats spent less time in the open arm than Wistar rats ($p < 0.01$), suggesting a higher level of anxiety among msP rats (Fig. 3a). After injection of 1.0 nmol NPS/rat, the time spent in the open arms by msP rats increased ($p < 0.01$), whereas no effect was observed in Wistar rats.

Overall ANOVA also revealed a significant treatment \times strain interaction [$F(1,48)=7.677$; $p < 0.01$] in the number of open arm entries. Post hoc analysis revealed that msP rats entered the open arms fewer times ($p < 0.05$) than Wistar rats. After injection of 1.0 nmol NPS/rat, the number of entries into the open arms by msP rats increased ($p < 0.05$), whereas no effect was observed in Wistar rats (Fig. 3b). Finally, no significant difference in the total number of arm entries was detected (Fig. 3c).

Experiment 4: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Discriminative Cue-Induced Reinstatement of Alcohol-Seeking Behavior in msP Rats

All rats showed positive results on the angiotensin II test; therefore, 8 rats were included in the statistical analysis. Mean \pm SEM alcohol consumption, of the last 3 discrimination/training alcohol self-administration days corresponded to 1.02 g/kg. When discrimination was evaluated by ANOVA statistical analysis revealed a significant effect of condition [$F(1,7)=93.42$; $p < 0.0001$], levers [$F(1,7)=115.17$; $p < 0.0001$], and condition by lever interaction [$F(1,7)=51.06$; $p < 0.001$], indicating that the rats successfully discriminated between S^+ and S^- conditions (Fig. 4a). For extinction training, the ANOVA revealed an overall effect of levers [$F(1,7)=40.44$; $p < 0.001$], day [$F(9,63)=10.06$; $p < 0.000001$], and day by lever interaction [$F(9,63)=9.33$; $p < 0.000001$]; the response on the active lever progressively decreased until it was no longer different from the inactive lever response (Fig. 4a). During the reinstatement test, exposure to S^+ but not S^- reinstated the response on the active lever as demonstrated by an overall effect of levers [$F(1,7)=116.25$; $p < 0.0001$], conditions [$F(2,14)=24.21$; $p < 0.0001$], and lever by condition interaction [$F(2,14)=9.40$; $p < 0.01$].

When the effect of NPS on cue-induced reinstatement was analyzed, the ANOVA revealed that both overall effects of treatment [$F(3,21)=0.58$; p NS] and lever by treatment interaction [$F(3,21)=0.89$; p NS] were not significant (Fig. 4b). Inactive lever responses were always low and unaffected by experimental phases and pharmacological treatments.

Experiment 5: Effect of ICV NPS Treatment on Extinguished Alcohol Seeking in msP and Wistar Rats

One Wistar rat was excluded because it failed to reach the extinction baseline, and one msP rat was excluded after the angiotensin II test; thus, the analysis of this experiment was performed in 7 Wistar and 8 msP rats. For alcohol self-administration, mean \pm SEM alcohol consumption in the last 3 training days was 1.07 and 0.67 g/kg in msP and Wistar rats, respectively. When lever pressing was evaluated via ANOVA, statistical analysis revealed a significant overall effect of strain [$F(1,13)=5.41$; $p<0.05$] and lever \times strain interaction [$F(1,13)=8.11$; $p<0.05$], and these results were consistent with a higher rate of reinforced lever responses by msP rats during baseline self-administration (Fig. 5a). For extinction training, the ANOVA revealed a significant lever \times day \times group interaction [$F(11,143)=2.60$; $p<0.01$]. As expected, at the beginning of extinction training, msP rats performed a greater number of active lever presses than Wistar rats, confirming a higher motivation for alcohol seeking among msP rats. At the end of the extinction phase, no differences in lever pressing were observed between msP and Wistar rats (Fig. 5a). When the effect of NPS on extinguished alcohol seeking was tested, the ANOVA revealed overall effects of strain [$F(1,13)=19.12$; $p<0.001$], dose [$F(5,65)=10.34$; $p<0.00001$], and lever [$F(1,13)=64.19$; $p<0.00001$] and a significant lever \times dose \times strain interaction [$F(5,65)=8.69$; $p<0.00001$]. A Newman-Keuls post hoc test confirmed that msP and Wistar rats exhibited similar rates of lever responses under the vehicle condition and that NPS reinstated the active lever responses in Wistar rats but not in msP rats (Fig. 5b).

Discussion

The results showed that NPS exerts distinct effects on alcohol drinking and seeking depending on the genetic background. In outbred Wistar rats, ICV injection of NPS did not modify alcohol self-administration but elicited a marked increase in lever pressing under the extinction condition suggestive of a priming-like effect, leading to reinstatement of alcohol seeking. This evidence expands our previous findings that central NPS injection enhanced alcohol seeking and relapse elicited by the presentation of discriminative cues signaling drug availability (Cannella et al. 2009). When we administered NPS to genetically selected msP rats, we recorded a completely different response to NPS: operant alcohol self-administration was reduced, and lever pressing under extinction conditions or following cue presentation were not increased. These effects of NPS on lever pressing cannot be attributed to changes in locomotor performance because the total distance traveled in the OFT was not modified by NPS at the doses used here. Interestingly, however, when we measured the distance traveled and the time spent in the center of the arena, we observed two important phenomena: 1) compared to Wistar rats, msP rats spent less time and showed lower locomotor activity in the center of the arena, possibly reflecting higher anxiety; 2) NPS

administration ameliorated this difference by enhancing the center arena performances of msP rats, indicating a rat strain-specific effect of NPS.

In addition to having an innate high preference for alcohol and for drinking excessive amounts of alcohol [6–8 g/kg body weight per day (Ciccocioppo et al. 2006)], msP rats are highly sensitive to stress and stress-induced alcohol seeking (Ciccocioppo 2013; Ciccocioppo et al. 2006), show depressive-like traits (Ciccocioppo et al. 1999; Gozzi et al. 2013) and demonstrate an anxious-like phenotype that recovers following alcohol drinking (Ayanwuyi et al. 2013; Cippitelli et al. 2015; Hansson et al. 2006). In fact, msP rats have been proposed to phenocopy animals in a post-dependent state (Ciccocioppo 2013; Ciccocioppo et al. 2006) that largely drink alcohol to self-medicate from negative affect and excessive anxiety.

NPS possesses marked anxiolytic effects in rodents; NPS treatment increased the time spent in the open arm of the EPM and the time spent in the light compartment of the light dark box, reduced marble burring behavior in mice and enhanced locomotor activity in the central zone of the open field (Jungling et al. 2008; Leonard et al. 2008; Meis et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2004). Therefore, we speculate that in msP rats, the inhibition of alcohol drinking by NPS reflects the anxiolytic effect of this peptide, alleviating the anxiety of msP rats and attenuating their motivation for alcohol. This hypothesis was confirmed by the results from the EPM task, showing that msP rats spent significantly less time in the open arms of the apparatus than Wistar rats. This result confirmed a higher level of anxiety in msP rats. Notably, NPS injection increased the time spent in the open arms of the EPM by msP rats but not Wistar rats. These rats showed that NPS specifically exerts anxiolytic effects on msP rats. A similar phenomenon was previously observed for drugs such as nociception agonists and CRF1 receptor antagonists; both classes of compounds possess marked anxiolytic effects and reduced alcohol drinking in msP rats but not in heterogeneous Wistar rats (Ayanwuyi et al. 2013; Ciccocioppo et al. 2014; de Guglielmo et al. 2015; Gehlert et al. 2007). Most importantly, our finding agrees with previous studies published in genetically selected alcohol-preferring P rats, in which ICV administration of NPS attenuated home cage alcohol drinking and elicited a marked anxiolytic effect (Badia-Elder et al. 2008). Similar to msP rats, P rats are characterized by excessive alcohol drinking and elevated anxiety (Stewart et al. 1993). Our results suggest that in these alcohol-preferring rat strains, the inhibitory effect of NPS on alcohol consumption is linked to the ability of NPS to alleviate anxiety, despite the fact that NPS otherwise acts via a negative reinforcement mechanism to provide an incentive for alcohol consumption (Ciccocioppo et al. 2006; Schank et al. 2012).

Previous studies using heterogeneous Wistar rats showed that the permissive effect of NPS on cue-induced reinstatement may depend on its ability to activate the orexin/hypocretin system, as this effect was selectively blocked by pre-treatment with the hypocretin-1/orexin-A antagonist SB-334867 (Cannella et al. 2009; Kallupi et al. 2010; Ubaldi et al. 2016). Moreover, the orexin/hypocretin-facilitated conditioned reinstatement of drug seeking is mediated by the direct activation of two distinct Hcrt-1/Ox-A pathways linking the lateral hypothalamus to the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, which are known to play a crucial role in the regulation of the stress response

(Ubaldi et al. 2016). In addition to these findings, in cocaine-trained rats, ICV administration of NPS can reinstate drug-seeking behavior under extinction conditions, mimicking a priming-like mechanism (Paneda et al. 2009). This effect is prevented in animals carrying a genetic deletion of the CRF1 receptor (Paneda et al. 2009). Hence, these data provide further evidence of a tight link between the NPS system and stress regulation. We speculate that when endogenous stress systems are activated, NPS acts as a negative modulator of these systems. When they are in a minimally active state, stimulation of the orexin/hypocretin system promotes arousal and facilitates goal-oriented responses. A tempting hypothesis is that this highly evolutionarily conserved system (Reinscheid 2007) is important for preserving natural instincts in mammals by stimulating vigilance and arousal, which are necessary to survival (i.e., hunting or escaping from a predator), and for preventing excessive stimulation of stress mechanisms leading to hyperanxiety, fear and freezing responses (Cannella et al. 2013).

In summary, our results suggest that due to its dualistic nature, NPS acts differently between msP and Wistar rats. In msP rats, the anxiolytic effects of NPS prevail, which results in attenuating negative emotions (i.e., reduced anxiety) and decreased incentives for alcohol. In heterogeneous Wistar rats, the pro-arousal and pro-stress properties of NPS predominate, which translates into an enhanced alcohol-seeking response in these animals. Future studies will need to determine the detailed mechanisms underlying this dualistic action of NPS, and the present study suggests that msP rats may represent an important animal model for comparison with heterogeneous rats to explore this hypothesis.

Acknowledgments

We thank Rina Righi and Mariangela Fiorelli for animal care and Alfredo Fiorelli for technical support. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants RO1 AA017447 and RO1 AA014351 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.

References

- Ayanwuyi LO, Carvajal F, Lerma-Cabrera JM, Domi E, Bjork K, Ubaldi M, Heilig M, Roberto M, Ciccocioppo R, Cippitelli A. Role of a genetic polymorphism in the corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1 gene in alcohol drinking and seeking behaviors of marchigian sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2013; 4:23. [PubMed: 23630503]
- Badia-Elder NE, Henderson AN, Bertholomey ML, Dodge NC, Stewart RB. The effects of neuropeptide S on ethanol drinking and other related behaviors in alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2008; 32:1380–1387. [PubMed: 18564106]
- Cannella N, Economidou D, Kallupi M, Stopponi S, Heilig M, Massi M, Ciccocioppo R. Persistent increase of alcohol-seeking evoked by neuropeptide S: an effect mediated by the hypothalamic hypocretin system. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2009; 34:2125–34. [PubMed: 19322167]
- Cannella N, Kallupi M, Ruggeri B, Ciccocioppo R, Ubaldi M. The role of the neuropeptide S system in addiction: Focus on its interaction with the CRF and hypocretin/orexin neurotransmission. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2012
- Cannella N, Kallupi M, Ruggeri B, Ciccocioppo R, Ubaldi M. The role of the neuropeptide S system in addiction: focus on its interaction with the CRF and hypocretin/orexin neurotransmission. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2013; 100:48–59. [PubMed: 23041581]
- Ciccocioppo R. Genetically selected alcohol preferring rats to model human alcoholism. *Current topics in behavioral neurosciences*. 2013; 13:251–69. [PubMed: 22328453]

- Ciccocioppo R, de Guglielmo G, Hansson AC, Ubaldi M, Kallupi M, Cruz MT, Oleata CS, Heilig M, Roberto M. Restraint stress alters nociceptin/orphanin FQ and CRF systems in the rat central amygdala: significance for anxiety-like behaviors. *J Neurosci*. 2014; 34:363–72. [PubMed: 24403138]
- Ciccocioppo R, Economidou D, Cippitelli A, Cucculelli M, Ubaldi M, Soverchia L, Lourdasamy A, Massi M. Genetically selected Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring (msP) rats: an animal model to study the neurobiology of alcoholism. *Addict Biol*. 2006; 11:339–55. [PubMed: 16961763]
- Ciccocioppo R, Panocka I, Frolidi R, Colombo G, Gessa GL, Massi M. Antidepressant-like effect of ethanol revealed in the forced swimming test in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1999; 144:151–7. [PubMed: 10394996]
- Cippitelli A, Ayanwuyi LO, Barbier E, Domi E, Lerma-Cabrera JM, Carvajal F, Scuppa G, Li H, Ubaldi M, Heilig M, Roberto M, Ciccocioppo R. Polymorphism in the corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1 (CRF1-R) gene plays a role in shaping the high anxious phenotype of Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring (msP) rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2015; 232:1083–93. [PubMed: 25260340]
- de Guglielmo G, Martin-Fardon R, Teshima K, Ciccocioppo R, Weiss F. MT-7716, a potent NOP receptor agonist, preferentially reduces ethanol seeking and reinforcement in post-dependent rats. *Addict Biol*. 2015; 20:643–51. [PubMed: 24930632]
- Gehlert DR, Cippitelli A, Thorsell A, Le AD, Hipskind PA, Hamdouchi C, Lu J, Hembre EJ, Cramer J, Song M, McKinzie D, Morin M, Ciccocioppo R, Heilig M. 3-(4-Chloro-2-morpholin-4-yl-thiazol-5-yl)-8-(1-ethylpropyl)-2,6-dimethyl-imidazo[1,2-b]pyridazine: a novel brain-penetrant, orally available corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1 antagonist with efficacy in animal models of alcoholism. *J Neurosci*. 2007; 27:2718–26. [PubMed: 17344409]
- Ghazal P, Ciccocioppo R, Ubaldi M. Morphine dependence is associated with changes in neuropeptide S receptor expression and function in rat brain. *Peptides*. 2013; 46:6–12. [PubMed: 23684726]
- Gozzi A, Agosta F, Massi M, Ciccocioppo R, Bifone A. Reduced limbic metabolism and fronto-cortical volume in rats vulnerable to alcohol addiction. *Neuroimage*. 2013; 69:112–9. [PubMed: 23261637]
- Hansson AC, Cippitelli A, Sommer WH, Ciccocioppo R, Heilig M. Region-specific down-regulation of *Crhr1* gene expression in alcohol-preferring msP rats following ad lib access to alcohol. *Addict Biol*. 2007; 12:30–4. [PubMed: 17407495]
- Hansson AC, Cippitelli A, Sommer WH, Fedeli A, Bjork K, Soverchia L, Terasmaa A, Massi M, Heilig M, Ciccocioppo R. Variation at the rat *Crhr1* locus and sensitivity to relapse into alcohol seeking induced by environmental stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103:15236–41. [PubMed: 17015825]
- Jungling K, Seidenbecher T, Sosulina L, Lesting J, Sangha S, Clark SD, Okamura N, Duangdao DM, Xu Y-L, Reinscheid RK, Pape H-C. Neuropeptide S-mediated control of fear expression and extinction: role of intercalated GABAergic neurons in the amygdala. *Neuron*. 2008; 59:298–310. [PubMed: 18667157]
- Kallupi M, Cannella N, Economidou D, Ubaldi M, Ruggeri B, Weiss F, Massi M, Marugan J, Heilig M, Bonnavion P, de Lecea L, Ciccocioppo R. Neuropeptide S facilitates cue-induced relapse to cocaine seeking through activation of the hypothalamic hypocretin system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010; 107:19567–72. [PubMed: 20974945]
- Kallupi M, de Guglielmo G, Cannella N, Li HW, Calo G, Guerrini R, Ubaldi M, Renger JJ, Uebele VN, Ciccocioppo R. Hypothalamic Neuropeptide S receptor blockade decreases discriminative cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking in the rat. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2013; 226:347–55. [PubMed: 23149909]
- Laas K, Reif A, Akkermann K, Kiive E, Domschke K, Lesch KP, Veidebaum T, Harro J. Neuropeptide S receptor gene variant and environment: contribution to alcohol use disorders and alcohol consumption. *Addict Biol*. 2015; 20:605–16. [PubMed: 24754478]
- Leonard SK, Dwyer JM, Sukoff Rizzo SJ, Platt B, Logue SF, Neal SJ, Malberg JE, Beyer CE, Schechter LE, Rosenzweig-Lipson S, Ring RH. Pharmacology of neuropeptide S in mice: therapeutic relevance to anxiety disorders. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2008; 197:601–611. [PubMed: 18311561]

- Leonard SK, Ring RH. Immunohistochemical localization of the neuropeptide S receptor in the rat central nervous system. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 172:153–63. [PubMed: 20950671]
- Liu X, Zeng J, Zhou A, Theodorsson E, Fahrenkrug J, Reinscheid RK. Molecular fingerprint of neuropeptide S-producing neurons in the mouse brain. *J Comp Neurol*. 2011; 519:1847–66. [PubMed: 21452230]
- Lukas M, Neumann ID. Nasal application of neuropeptide S reduces anxiety and prolongs memory in rats: social versus non-social effects. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012; 62:398–405. [PubMed: 21871467]
- Meis S, Bergado-Acosta JR, Yanagawa Y, Obata K, Stork O, Munsch T. Identification of a neuropeptide S responsive circuitry shaping amygdala activity via the endopiriform nucleus. *PLoS one*. 2008; 3
- Okamura N, Reinscheid RK. Neuropeptide S: a novel modulator of stress and arousal. *Stress*. 2007; 10:221–6. [PubMed: 17613937]
- Paneda C, Huitron-Resendiz S, Frago LM, Chowen JA, Picetti R, de Lecea L, Roberts AJ. Neuropeptide S reinstates cocaine-seeking behavior and increases locomotor activity through corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1 in mice. *J Neurosci*. 2009; 29:4155–4161. [PubMed: 19339610]
- Pape HC, Jungling K, Seidenbecher T, Lesting J, Reinscheid RK. Neuropeptide S: a transmitter system in the brain regulating fear and anxiety. *Neuropharmacology*. 2010; 58:29–34. [PubMed: 19523478]
- Paxinos, G.; Watson, C. *The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. 4th. Academic Press; San Diego, CA: 1988.
- Reinscheid RK. Phylogenetic appearance of neuropeptide S precursor proteins in tetrapods. *Peptides*. 2007; 28:830–7. [PubMed: 17293003]
- Reinscheid RK. Neuropeptide S: anatomy, pharmacology, genetics and physiological functions. *Results Probl Cell Differ*. 2008; 46:145–58. [PubMed: 18204825]
- Rizzi A, Vergura R, Marzola G, Ruzza C, Guerrini R, Salvadori S, Regoli D, Calo G. Neuropeptide S is a stimulatory anxiolytic agent: a behavioural study in mice. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2008; 154:471–479. [PubMed: 18376418]
- Schank JR, Ryabinin AE, Giardino WJ, Ciccocioppo R, Heilig M. Stress-related neuropeptides and addictive behaviors: beyond the usual suspects. *Neuron*. 2012; 76:192–208. [PubMed: 23040815]
- Stewart RB, Gatto GJ, Lumeng L, Li TK, Murphy JM. Comparison of alcohol-preferring (P) and nonpreferring (NP) rats on tests of anxiety and for the anxiolytic effects of ethanol. *Alcohol*. 1993; 10:1–10. [PubMed: 8095393]
- Ubaldi M, Giordano A, Severi I, Li H, Kallupi M, de Guglielmo G, Ruggeri B, Stopponi S, Ciccocioppo R, Cannella N. Activation of Hypocretin-1/Orexin-A Neurons Projecting to the Bed Nucleus of the Stria Terminalis and Paraventricular Nucleus Is Critical for Reinstatement of Alcohol Seeking by Neuropeptide S. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2016; 79:452–62. [PubMed: 26055195]
- Vitale G, Filaferro M, Ruggieri V, Pennella S, Frigeri C, Rizzi A, Guerrini R, Calo G. Anxiolytic-like effect of neuropeptide S in the rat defensive burying. *Peptides*. 2008; 29:2286–2291. [PubMed: 18793688]
- Xu Y-L, Gall CM, Jackson VR, Civelli O, Reinscheid RK. Distribution of neuropeptide S receptor mRNA and neurochemical characteristics of neuropeptide S-expressing neurons in the rat brain. *J Comp Neurol*. 2007; 500:84–8102. [PubMed: 17099900]
- Xu Y-L, Reinscheid RK, Huitron-Resendiz S, Clark SD, Wang Z, Lin SH, Brucher FA, Zeng J, Ly NK, Henriksen SJ, de Lecea L, Civelli O. Neuropeptide S: a neuropeptide promoting arousal and anxiolytic-like effects. *Neuron*. 2004; 43:487–497. [PubMed: 15312648]




Fig. 1. Effect of ICV administration of NPS on 10% alcohol self-administration by msP and Wistar rats. The msP rats presented a higher baseline level of self-administration before testing. Vehicle treatment did not affect alcohol self-administration in either strain. ICV treatment with NPS reduced the number of 10% alcohol reinforcements received by msP rats but not Wistar rats (upper panel), although the inactive lever response rate was not altered by NPS treatment (lower panel). Reinforced and inactive lever presses are reported in the upper and lower panels, respectively. The data are expressed as the means \pm SEM: ### $p < 0.001$ vs Wistar rats under the same conditions; *** $p < 0.001$ vs vehicle treatment in the same strain.




Fig. 2. Effect of ICV administration of NPS on OFT performance by msP and Wistar rats. **a)** Total time spent in the center of the open field arena by Wistar and msP rats. The msP rats spent less time in the center of the arena than the Wistar rats. ICV treatment with NPS dose-dependently increased the amount of time spent in the center of the arena by msP rats but not Wistar rats. **b)** Distance traveled in the center of the open field arena by Wistar and msP rats. The msP and Wistar rats traveled a similar distance in the center of the arena. ICV treatment with NPS showed a non-significant trend of an increased distance traveled by msP

rats and, to a lesser extent, Wistar rats. c) Total distance traveled in the open field arena by Wistar and msP rats. The Wistar and msP rats traveled a similar total distance in the entire open field arena. ICV treatment with NPS did not alter the total distance traveled by either Wistar or msP rats. The data are expressed as the means \pm SEM: ## $p < 0.01$ vs Wistar rats at the same dose; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ vs vehicle treatment in the same strain.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 3. Effect of ICV NPS (1.0 nmol/rat) injection on EPM task performance. a) The percentage of time spent in the open arms was higher in msP rats than in Wistar rats. NPS administration reversed anxiety-like behavior in msP rats, whereas no effects were detected in Wistar rats. b) The percentage of entries into the open arms was significantly lower in msP rats than in Wistar rats. Additionally, in this study, NPS specifically increased the percentage of entries into the open arms in msP rats. c) The total number of arm entries (open and closed arms) did not differ between the two strains and was not affected by NPS injection. The data are


expressed as the means \pm SEM. Difference between Wistar rats and msP rats: ## $p < 0.01$ and # $p < 0.05$; difference between NPS and vehicle; ** $p < 0.01$ and * $p < 0.05$.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Fig. 4.**

Effect of ICV administration of NPS on discriminative cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking in msP rats. **a)** Baseline discrimination between alcohol- (S+) and water-paired (S-) environments, as well as extinction training and S-test results. Rats successfully discriminated between S⁺ and S⁻ conditions, exhibiting higher alcohol reinforcement than water reinforcement during discrimination training (Bas.). During extinction, active lever pressing progressively decreased, and lever pressing was sustained at the extinction level upon exposure to S⁻ stimuli. **b)** Effect of NPS on S⁺ stimulus-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking. Exposure to alcohol-predictive discriminative stimuli (S⁺) reinstated active lever pressing, and this effect was not altered by ICV administration of NPS. Reinforced and inactive lever presses are presented in the upper and lower panels, respectively. The data are expressed as the means \pm SEM: *** $p < 0.001$, S⁺ vs S⁻.




Fig. 5.

Effect of ICV administration of NPS on extinguished alcohol seeking in msP and Wistar rats. **a)** Baseline self-administration and extinction training. The msP rats exhibited a higher baseline level of alcohol self-administration than the Wistar rats. During the first two days of extinction training, msP rats performed a greater number of active lever presses than Wistar rats, but both groups reached a similar level of extinction after 12 days. **b)** Effect of NPS on extinguished alcohol seeking. ICV treatment with NPS reinstated the response to the active lever in Wistar rats but not msP rats. No effects on presses of the inactive control lever were detected. The data are expressed as the means \pm SEM. Difference between msP and Wistar rats: # $p < 0.05$, ## $p < 0.01$; difference between NPS and vehicle: *** $p < 0.001$.