

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript JAMA Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 July 01.

Published in final edited form as: *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016 July 1; 73(7): 731–740. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0637.

Associations between Cannabis Use and Physical Health Problems in Early Midlife: A Longitudinal Comparison of Persistent Cannabis versus Tobacco Users

Madeline H. Meier, Ph.D.¹, Avshalom Caspi, Ph.D.^{2,3,4}, Magdalena Cerdá, Dr.P.H.⁵, Robert J. Hancox, M.D.⁶, HonaLee Harrington, B.A.^{2,3}, Renate Houts, Ph.D.^{2,3}, Richie Poulton, Ph.D.⁷, Sandhya Ramrakha, Ph.D.⁷, W. Murray Thomson, Ph.D.⁸, and Terrie E. Moffitt, Ph.D.^{2,3,4}

¹Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA ²Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA ³Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA ⁴Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, UK ⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, Violence Prevention Research Program, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA ⁶Department of Prevention and Social Medicine, Dunedin School of Medicine, Dunedin, New Zealand ⁷Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Research Unit, Department of Psychology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand ⁸Department of Oral Sciences, Sir John Walsh Research Institute, School of Dentistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Abstract

Importance—Following major policy changes in the United States, policy makers, clinicians, and the general public seek information about whether recreational cannabis use is associated with physical health problems later in life.

Objective—To test associations between cannabis use over twenty years and a variety of physical health indices at early midlife.

Design—A 38-year, prospective, longitudinal study of a representative birth cohort.

Setting—The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study of New Zealand.

Participants—The study included 1,037 male and female participants.

Exposure—We assessed frequency of cannabis use and also cannabis dependence at ages 18, 21, 26, 32, and 38 years.

Main Outcomes and Measures—We obtained laboratory measures of physical health (periodontal health, lung function, systemic inflammation, and metabolic health), as well as self-reported physical health, at ages 26 and 38.

Corresponding author: Madeline H. Meier, Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, PO Box 871104, Tempe, AZ 85287-1104. madeline.meier@asu.edu. Phone: 480-727-2718. Fax: 480-965-8544.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report. MHM had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Results—Cannabis use was associated with poorer periodontal health at age 38 and withinindividual decline in periodontal health from age 26–38. For example, 55.61% of those with 15+ joint years had periodontal disease, compared with 13.53% of those who never used cannabis. Cannabis use was unrelated to other physical health problems, however. Unlike cannabis use, tobacco use was associated with worse lung function, systemic inflammation, and metabolic health at age 38, as well as within-individual decline in health from age 26 to 38.

Conclusions and Relevance—Cannabis use for up to 20 years is associated with periodontal disease but is not associated with other physical health problems in early midlife.

Following policy changes in the United States, policy makers, clinicians, and the public seek information about whether recreational cannabis use is associated with physical health problems later in life. Two recent reviews found that persistent cannabis use is associated with relatively few physical health problems, the possible exceptions being cardiovascular risks and bronchitis.^{1,2} Firm conclusions cannot be drawn, however, due to methodological shortcomings.³ Most studies are cross-sectional and/or rely on self-reported health.^{4–11} These designs cannot resolve the temporal association between cannabis use and health, nor can they address the possibility that cannabis users may have biased perceptions of their health. Longitudinal studies with laboratory-based measures and physical examinations are needed.

Few longitudinal studies have characterized cannabis users' long-term health using objective, laboratory-based indices and examinations (eTable 1). Each study focused on a single domain of physical health, providing an important but incomplete picture. In a population-representative study of individuals followed from birth to age 38, we tested associations between cannabis use over 20 years and multiple domains of physical health in early midlife. We selected the following health domains based on prior research,^{1–3} demonstrated capacity to predict disease morbidity and mortality,^{12–14} and biological plausibility of an effect of cannabis by early midlife: periodontal health, lung function, systemic inflammation, and metabolic risk. First, we tested whether cannabis use from age 18–38 was associated with age-38 health. Second, we tested whether cannabis use from age 26–38 was associated with within-individual health decline using the same measures of health at both ages. To provide a benchmark for comparison, we also tested associations between tobacco use and physical health.

Methods

Participants

Participants are members of the Dunedin Study, a longitudinal investigation of health and behavior in a representative birth cohort.¹⁵ Study members (N=1,037; 91% of eligible births; 52% male) were all individuals born between 1972–1973 in Dunedin, New Zealand, who were eligible for the longitudinal study based on residence in the province at age 3 and who participated in the first follow-up at age 3. The cohort represents the full range of SES in the general population of New Zealand's South Island and is primarily white.¹⁵ On adult health, the cohort matches the NZ National Health & Nutrition Survey (e.g., body mass index, smoking, general practitioner visits).¹⁵ Assessments occurred at birth and ages 3, 5, 7, 9, 11,

13, 15, 18, 21, 26, 32, and, most recently, 38 years, when 95% of the 1,007 living Study members took part. At each assessment phase, study members are brought to the Dunedin Research Unit for interviews and examinations. The Otago Ethics Committee approved each phase of the study. Written consent was obtained from all participants.

Analyses were limited to 947 study members with age-38 laboratory health data, as 46 study members were not seen at age 38, 30 were deceased, and 14 had field interviews that did not include laboratory measurements/examinations. There were no differences between those with and without age-38 health data on childhood health (F=1.42, p=.23), cigarettes smoked per day at age 18 (F=1.28, p=.26), or frequency of cannabis use at age 18 (F=2.85, p=.092).

Table 1 shows characteristics of participants according to tobacco and cannabis exposure, including sex, childhood health,¹⁶ and childhood SES,¹⁷ which were available as covariates.

Tobacco Pack-Years

Cumulative tobacco exposure was calculated from the reported number of cigarettes smoked per day at each assessment divided by 20 and multiplied by number of years smoked at that rate through age 38. One pack-year reflects the equivalent of 20 cigarettes a day for one year. Mean pack-years for those with age-38 health data was 6.17 (SD=8.69). For analyses testing associations between pack-years from age 26–38 and change in physical health using the same measure of health at both ages, we estimated pack-years in the same way except estimates represented cigarette use at ages 26–38 (M=3.30, SD=5.12).

Cannabis Joint-Years

"Pack-years," which combines information about smoking duration and intensity, is the most commonly used exposure in tobacco studies.¹⁸ We created a parallel variable that indexes cannabis smoking. Cumulative joint-years was estimated using self-reported frequency of cannabis use over the past year (0–365 days) at ages 18–38. One joint-year reflects the equivalent of daily cannabis use for one year. Mean joint-years between ages 18–38 for those with age-38 health data was 1.99 (SD=4.43). For analyses of health change from age 26–38, we estimated joint-years in the same way except estimates represented cannabis use at ages 26–38 (M=1.18, SD=3.00).

Persistent Cannabis Dependence

Because our prior reports have characterized cannabis users in terms of persistent dependence over time,^{19,20} we also report this variable as our exposure. We assessed pastyear dependence at ages 18–38 with the Diagnostic Interview Schedule^{21,22} following Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) criteria.^{23,24} Persistent dependence was defined as the number of study waves out of five at which a study member met criteria for dependence: never used cannabis at any study wave; used at least once between ages 18–38 but never diagnosed; diagnosed at 1 wave; diagnosed at 2 waves; and diagnosed at 3+ waves. For analyses of health change from age 26–38, we again defined persistent dependence as the number of study waves at which a study member met criteria for dependence as the number of study waves at which a study member met criteria for dependence but only used cannabis data from ages 26–38.

Age-38 Physical Health

Physical examinations were conducted during the age-38 assessment day, with blood draws between 4:15–4:45 p.m.²⁵ eTable 2 describes each health outcome: Periodontal health, lung function, systemic inflammation, metabolic syndrome, waist circumference, high density lipoprotein, triglyceride, blood pressure (systolic and diastolic), glycated hemoglobin, body mass index, and self-reported health. We report health outcomes scored as continuously distributed outcomes, because continuous measures are more sensitive to variation than categorical measures. However, for clinical relevance, eTables also show results for health outcomes scored as categorical clinical outcomes. Positively skewed continuous outcomes (combined attachment loss, inflammation, triglycerides, glycated hemoglobin) were log-transformed prior to analysis.

Age-26 Physical Health

The age-38 health measures were also administered at age 26 using the same procedures with two exceptions.²⁵ First, periodontal measurements were made using a half-mouth design.²⁶ Second, serum C-reactive protein was assayed with a sensitivity level of 1 mg/l.²⁷ Due to this lower sensitivity, C-reactive protein scores in the top quintile of the distribution were designated as elevated.

Statistical Analysis

To test whether cannabis use was associated with poor health in early midlife, we tested the bivariate association between cannabis use from age 18–38 and age-38 health (Table 2, **Model 1**) and subsequently added tobacco pack-years from age 18–38 as a covariate (Table 2, **Model 2**). To test whether cannabis use from age 26–38 was associated with health decline using the same measure of health at both ages, we tested the bivariate association between cannabis use from age 26–38 health (Table 3, **Model 1**) and subsequently added age-26 health as a covariate (Table 3, **Model 2**), followed by tobacco pack-years from age 26–38 as an additional covariate (Table 3, **Model 3**). All analyses controlled for sex.

Statistical analyses tested associations of tobacco pack-years (a continuous variable), cannabis joint-years (a continuous variable), and cannabis dependence (a 5-level ordinal variable) with continuous and categorical health outcomes. We analyzed continuous outcomes using ordinary-least-squares regression to derive beta coefficients and categorical outcomes using Poisson regression models to derive relative risks. We standardized continuous variables prior to conducting statistical tests. Therefore, beta coefficients and relative risks can be interpreted as the increase in risk of the outcome, given a 1 SD increase in pack-years or joint-years. To aid interpretation of beta coefficients and relative risks associated with continuous pack-years and joint-years, we report unstandardized, sex-adjusted means for health outcomes as a function of tobacco and cannabis use, with study members grouped according to pack-years and joint-years in 5-year increments (Table 2).

Results

Tobacco Smoking and Health

Bivariate associations showed that tobacco pack-years was associated with worse health for eight of the twelve health outcomes: periodontal health, lung function, inflammation, metabolic syndrome, high density lipoprotein, triglycerides, HbA1c, and self-reported health (Table 2, **Model 1**). Associations remained significant for all eight of these health outcomes after controlling for cannabis joint-years (Table 2, **Model 2**) and after additionally controlling for childhood health and SES (eTable 3, **Model 3**). Results were similar for clinically-relevant, categorically-scored health outcomes (eTable 3). For example, 12.26% of individuals who never used tobacco had periodontal disease (1+ sites with >5mm attachment loss), compared with 52.89% of individuals with 15+ pack-years (eTable 3). Statistical tests showed that for every standard deviation increase in pack-years (~9 pack-years), relative risk for periodontal disease increased by 1.63 (p<.001) (eTable 3). Findings are consistent with prior research.^{26,28–36}

Cannabis Use and Health

Bivariate associations showed that cannabis joint-years was associated with worse health for three of twelve health outcomes: periodontal health, lung function, self-reported health (Table 2, Model 1). Adverse associations remained significant for two outcomes (periodontal health, lung function) after controlling for tobacco pack-years (Table 2, Model 2) and after additionally controlling for childhood health and SES (eTable 3, Model 3). However, poorer lung function (FEV₁/FVC) among cannabis users was probably not indicative of airway obstruction, as joint-years (unlike tobacco pack-years) was unrelated to reduced FEV₁ (eTable 4). Rather, reduced FEV₁/FVC among cannabis users was attributable to higher FVC values. It is unclear whether higher FVC values reflect better health.

Unlike tobacco, cannabis joint-years was associated with slightly smaller waist circumference and lower BMI. Further, after adjusting for tobacco pack-years (Table 2, **Model 2**), associations emerged between joint-years and better HDL, triglycerides, and glycated hemoglobin. Joint-years was not associated with lower risk of metabolic syndrome, however. Results were similar for categorically-scored health outcomes (eTable 3).

Results for persistent cannabis dependence (and results for persistent regular cannabis use, eTable 5) were nearly identical to those for joint-years. Bivariate associations showed that persistent dependence was associated with worse health for three of twelve outcomes: periodontal health, lung function, self-reported health. Associations remained significant for one of those three (periodontal health) after controlling for tobacco pack-years (Table 2, **Model 2**) and after additionally controlling for childhood health and SES (eTable 3, **Model 3**). Results were similar for categorically-scored health outcomes (eTable 3). eTable 6 provides a descriptive summary of the aforementioned findings.

Periodontal health was the only aspect of health that showed a robust adverse association in analyses of both persistent dependence and joint-years. Post-hoc analyses showed that cannabis users brushed and flossed less than others, and were more likely to be alcohol dependent (eTable 7). However, associations between cannabis use and poor periodontal

health remained significant after controlling for tobacco pack-years, childhood health and SES, brushing and flossing, and alcohol dependence (eTable 8).

The general lack of association between persistent cannabis use and poor physical health may surprise. One explanation is that healthy youth select into cannabis use. Our test showed no correlation between cannabis use and childhood health (Table 1). Another explanation is cannabis users may have healthier adult lifestyles. Tests showed that cannabis was not associated with more physical activity or with a diet of fruits and vegetables or with less alcohol abuse (eTable 7). The lacking associations between cannabis use and poor physical midlife health could not be attributed to better initial health, more physical activity, better diet, or less alcohol abuse.

Tobacco and Cannabis Use and Change in Health

Tobacco pack-years from age 26–38 was associated with worsening periodontal health, lung function, systemic inflammation, and metabolic health (Table 3, **Model 2**). For example, pack-years from age 26–38 was associated with increased risk of age-38 metabolic syndrome after accounting for age-26 metabolic syndrome (Table 3, **Model 2**: RR=1.18, p=. 021). Tobacco users also self-reported worse health at 38, and this association persisted after accounting for age-26 self-reported health (Table 3, **Model 2**).

Like tobacco use, cannabis use was associated with decline in periodontal health and lung function (Table 3, **Model 2**), even after accounting for tobacco pack-years from 26–38 (Table 3, **Model 3**). Again, however, decline in FEV_1/FVC was probably not attributable to airway obstruction, as cannabis use was not robustly associated with decline in FEV_1 (eTable 9). Cannabis use was not associated with deteriorating health in other domains. Results were similar for continuously-scored and categorically-scored health outcomes (eTable 10).

Discussion

Findings showed that, in general, cannabis use over 20 years was unrelated to health problems in early midlife. Across several domains of health (periodontal, lung function, inflammation, and metabolic health), clear evidence of an adverse association with cannabis use was apparent for only one: periodontal health. Cannabis use from age 26–38 was not associated with within-individual health decline during this 12-year period, with the exception of periodontal health. By comparison, tobacco use was associated with worse periodontal health, lung function, systemic inflammation, HDL, triglycerides, and glucose control in early midlife, as well as health decline from age 26–38.

Findings showed that cannabis use was associated with slightly better metabolic health (smaller waist circumference, lower BMI, better lipid profiles and glucose control). The majority of these associations emerged only after controlling for tobacco use, however. Effects were small but intriguing given similar reports from cross-sectional studies,^{4,8,9,37–39} and that endocannabinoids appear to be involved in the regulation of metabolism.⁴⁰ Several studies have shown that overweight patients who took a synthetic cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker, rimonabant, evidenced reduced waist circumference and improved lipid

profiles.^{41,42} It is unclear, however, if and how recreational cannabis use (and plant-based cannabinoids) might impact metabolic health. Cannabinoid pharmacology is more complex than commonly believed,⁴³ and biological arguments can be made for cannabis-related worsening or improvement of metabolic health.^{9,44} The only other longitudinal study to characterize cannabis users' metabolic health found no association,⁴⁵ and our finding of a small association mainly emerged after controlling for tobacco use. Moreover, cannabis use was not associated with reduced risk of metabolic syndrome. Thus, current evidence suggests that recreational cannabis use is unlikely to improve metabolic health in the general population.

In at least two instances, we found no association between cannabis and poor health when we might have expected one. In the first instance, we found no association between cannabis and reduced FEV₁ (eTable 4), which is somewhat puzzling given that tobacco use is associated with reduced FEV1 28,29 An association between cannabis and reduced FEV1 could emerge with greater exposure to cannabis.²⁸ Nonetheless, given no evidence of reduced FEV₁ among cannabis users, our finding of lower FEV₁/FVC among cannabis users probably did not indicate airway obstruction. Rather, reduced FEV₁/FVC appeared to reflect cannabis users' slightly larger forced vital capacity (FVC). This association with larger FVC, also reported elsewhere, 2^{28} is not understood. Overall, findings are consistent with a recent review that concluded that there is little evidence that cannabis affects FEV1 and airway obstruction.⁴⁶ In the second surprising instance, we found no association between cannabis and cardiovascular risks (e.g., high blood pressure, worse cholesterol), which may appear at-odds with evidence that cannabis use increases risk for cardiovascular complications,^{47–49} even among young healthy individuals.⁵⁰ Our somewhat disparate findings are reconciled by evidence that cannabis-related cardiovascular complications are likely acute cannabis effects.^{38,45,47,49}

Although we found that cannabis users were generally no worse off than non-users on nearly all health indices, they did have worse periodontal health. Cannabis use was associated with attachment loss, which can result in tooth loss.^{26,36} A similar association was observed for tobacco use, consistent with previous research.^{26,36,51} Tobacco's effect on periodontal disease is thought to be mediated through increased inflammation and vasoconstriction,⁵¹ which may or may not be the case for cannabis. Cannabis use was not associated with systemic inflammation here or elsewhere,^{30,39} but prior research has shown that cannabis can induce vasoconstriction.^{52,53}

This study has limitations. First, cannabis joint-years was based on self-reports collected at ages 18–38. Validation of cannabis use through laboratory measures could have helped detect cannabis users who denied use. Underreporting due to reluctance to admit to illegal drug use is unlikely, however, because study members, interviewed repeatedly over the course of their lives, have learned to trust our confidentiality guarantee. Second, disentangling cannabis and tobacco use is challenging. In New Zealand, cannabis is not typically mixed with tobacco,¹⁰ but most participants who used cannabis also smoked cigarettes. Although we controlled for tobacco use, imperfect control might bias results toward finding spurious associations between cannabis use and poor health. We note, however, that all poor health outcomes, apart from periodontal disease, were unrelated to

cannabis use. Third, our findings are based on a single New Zealand cohort who began using cannabis in the 1980s-90s. Although our findings are generally consistent with longitudinal studies of United States samples (eTable 1), tetrahydrocannabinol (THC; the primary psychoactive ingredient in cannabis) content has increased since then.^{2,54} If health associations are mediated by THC, we may have underestimated the cannabis-health association. Fourth, our conclusions are limited to a specific set of health problems assessed in early midlife. Though this is the most comprehensive study to date, cannabis use may be associated with health problems not studied here or that tend to emerge later in life, such as cancer. Fifth, we compared findings for cannabis against findings for tobacco. Our intent in doing so was to allay concerns that our study's methods might be unable to detect health problems. We acknowledge that participants acquired more tobacco pack-years than cannabis joint-years, with most cannabis users using for fewer than five years. Greater tobacco exposure may explain health decline associated with tobacco but not cannabis use. If patterns of cannabis use shift, and more users begin to use cannabis as they do tobacco (i.e., multiple joints per day), cannabis-associated health problems might emerge. Finally, our study cannot comment on the health effects of cannabis in older adults or the safety of medical marijuana use in patients who are already unwell.

This study has a number of implications. First, cannabis use for up to 20 years is not associated with a specific set of physical health problems in early midlife. The sole exception is that cannabis use is associated with periodontal disease. Second, cannabis use for up to 20 years is not associated with net metabolic benefits (i.e., lower rates of metabolic syndrome). Third, results should be interpreted in the context of prior research showing that cannabis use is associated with accidents and injuries, bronchitis, acute cardiovascular events, and, possibly, infectious diseases and cancer, as well as poor psychosocial and mental health outcomes.^{1–3,19,20,46,55–58}

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Dunedin Study members, Dunedin Unit research staff, and Study founder Phil Silva. The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Research Unit is supported by the New Zealand Health Research Council. This research received support from US-National Institute of Aging (R01AG032282, R01AG048895), UK Medical Research Council (MR/K00381X) and ESRC (ES/M010309/1). Additional support was provided by the Jacobs Foundation. The study protocol was approved by the Otago Ethics Committee and the ethical review board at Duke University. Study members gave informed consent before participating.

References

- 1. Hall W. What has research over the past two decades revealed about the adverse health effects of recreational cannabis use? Addiction. 2015; 110(1):19–35. [PubMed: 25287883]
- Volkow ND, Baler RD, Compton WM, Weiss SRB. Adverse health effects of marijuana use. New Engl J Med. 2014; 370(23):2219–2227. [PubMed: 24897085]
- 3. Gordon AJ, Conley JW, Gordon JM. Medical consequences of marijuana use: A review of current literature. Current Psychiatry Reports. 2013; 15(12)
- 4. Le Strat Y, Le Foll B. Obesity and cannabis use: Results from 2 representative national surveys. Am J Epidemiol. 2011; 174(8):929–933. [PubMed: 21868374]

- Georgiades K, Boyle MH. Adolescent tobacco and cannabis use: Young adult outcomes from the Ontario Child Health Study. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2007; 48(7):724–731. [PubMed: 17593153]
- Huang DYC, Lanza HI, Anglin MD. Association between adolescent substance use and obesity in young adulthood: A group-based dual trajectory analysis. Addict Behav. 2013; 38(11):2653–2660. [PubMed: 23899428]
- Rajavashisth TB, Shaheen M, Norris KC, et al. Decreased prevalence of diabetes in marijuana users: Cross-sectional data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III. BMJ Open. 2012; 2(1)
- 9. Penner EA, Buettner H, Mittleman MA. The impact of marijuana use on glucose, insulin, and insulin resistance among US adults. Am J Med. 2013; 126(7):583–589. [PubMed: 23684393]
- Aldington S, Williams M, Nowitz M, et al. Effects of cannabis on pulmonary structure, function and symptoms. Thorax. 2007; 62(12):1058–1063. [PubMed: 17666437]
- Bechtold J, Simpson T, White HR, Pardini D. Chronic adolescent marijuana use as a risk factor for physical and mental health problems in young adult men. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors. 2015; 29(3):552. [PubMed: 26237286]
- Danesh J, Whincup P, Walker M, et al. Low grade inflammation and coronary heart disease: prospective study and updated meta-analyses. Brit Med J. 2000; 321(7255):199–204. [PubMed: 10903648]
- Eckel RH, Alberti KGMM, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet. 2010; 375(9710):181–183. [PubMed: 20109902]
- 14. Rasmussen F, Taylor DR, Flannery EM, et al. Risk factors for airway remodeling in asthma manifested by a low postbronchohator fev1/vital capacity ratio: A longitudinal population study from childhood to adulthood. Am J Resp Crit Care. 2002; 165(11):1480–1488.
- Poulton R, Moffitt TE, Silva PA. The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study: Overview of the first 40 years, with an eye to the future. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2015; 50(5):679–693. [PubMed: 25835958]
- Belsky DW, Caspi A, Israel S, Blumenthal JA, Poulton R, Moffitt TE. Cardiorespiratory fitness and cognitive function in midlife: Neuroprotection or neuroselection? Ann Neurol. 2015; 77(4):607– 617. [PubMed: 25601795]
- 17. Elley WB, Irving JC. Revised socio-economic index for New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies. 1976; 11(1):25–36.
- Thomas DC. Invited commentary: Is it time to retire the "pack-years" variable? Maybe not! Am J Epidemiol. 2014; 179(3):299–302. [PubMed: 24355333]
- Meier MH, Caspi A, Ambler A, et al. Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012; 109(40):E2657–E2664. [PubMed: 22927402]
- 20. Cerdá M, Moffitt TE, Meier MH, et al. Persistent cannabis dependence and alcohol dependence represent comparable risks for midlife economic and social problems: A longitudinal cohort study. Clinical Psychological Science. In Press.
- Robins LN, Helzer JE, Croughan J, Ratcliff KS. National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule: Its history, characteristics, and validity. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1981; 38(4): 381–389. [PubMed: 6260053]
- 22. Robins, LN.; Cottler, L.; Bucholz, KK.; Compton, W. Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV. St Louis, MO: Washington University School of Medicine; 1995.
- 23. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Third. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1987. revised
- 24. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fourth. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- Israel S, Moffitt TE, Belsky DW, et al. Translating personality psychology to help personalize preventive medicine for young adult patients. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2014; 106(3):484–498. [PubMed: 24588093]

- Thomson WM, Poulton R, Broadbent JM, et al. Cannabis smoking and periodontal disease among young adults. JAMA. 2008; 299(5):525–531. [PubMed: 18252882]
- 27. Hancox RJ, Poulton R, Greene JM, et al. Systemic inflammation and lung function in young adults. Thorax. 2007; 62(12):1064–1068. [PubMed: 17604302]
- 28. Pletcher MJ, Vittinghoff E, Kalhan R, et al. Association between marijuana exposure and pulmonary function over 20 years. JAMA. 2012; 307(2):173–181. [PubMed: 22235088]
- 29. Hancox RJ, Poulton R, Ely M, et al. Effects of cannabis on lung function: A population-based cohort study. Eur Respir J. 2010; 35(1):42–47. [PubMed: 19679602]
- Costello EJ, Copeland WE, Shanahan L, Worthman CM, Angold A. C-reactive protein and substance use disorders in adolescence and early adulthood: A prospective analysis. Drug Alcohol Depen. 2013; 133(2):712–717.
- Vlassopoulos A, Lean MEJ, Combet E. Influence of smoking and diet on glycated haemoglobin and 'pre-diabetes' categorisation: A cross-sectional analysis. BMC Public Health. 2013:13. [PubMed: 23297757]
- 32. Bergstrom J, Eliasson S, Dock J. A 10-year prospective study of tobacco smoking and periodontal health. J Periodontol. 2000; 71(8):1338–1347. [PubMed: 10972650]
- Houston TK, Person SD, Pletcher MJ, Liu K, Iribarren C, Kiefe CI. Active and passive smoking and development of glucose intolerance among young adults in a prospective cohort: CARDIA Study. Brit Med J. 2006; 332(7549):1064–1067. [PubMed: 16603565]
- Mendall MA, Patel P, Ballam L, Strachan D, Northfield TC. C reactive protein and its relation to cardiovascular risk factors: A population based cross sectional study. Brit Med J. 1996; 312(7038): 1061–1065. [PubMed: 8616412]
- Craig WY, Palomaki GE, Haddow JE. Cigarette-smoking and serum-lipid and lipoprotein concentrations: An analysis of published data. Brit Med J. 1989; 298(6676):784–788. [PubMed: 2496857]
- Zeng JX, Williams SM, Fletcher DJ, et al. Reexamining the association between smoking and periodontitis in the Dunedin Study with an enhanced analytical approach. J Periodontol. 2014; 85(10):1390–1397. [PubMed: 24555730]
- Smit E, Crespo CJ. Dietary intake and nutritional status of US adult marijuana users: Results from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Public Health Nutr. 2001; 4(3):781– 786. [PubMed: 11415485]
- Vidot DC, Prado G, Hlaing WM, Arheart KL, Messiah SE. Emerging issues for our nation's health: The intersection of marijuana use and cardiometabolic disease risk. J Addict Dis. 2014; 33(1):1–8. [PubMed: 24471513]
- 39. Ngueta G, Belanger RE, Laouan-Sidi EA, Lucas M. Cannabis use in relation to obesity and insulin resistance in the Inuit population. Obesity. 2015; 23:290–295. [PubMed: 25557382]
- 40. Silvestri C, Di Marzo V. The endocannabinoid system in energy homeostasis and the etiopathology of metabolic disorders. Cell Metab. 2013; 17(4):475–490. [PubMed: 23562074]
- Despres JP, Golay A, Sjostrom L, Gr ROLS. Effects of rimonabant on metabolic risk factors in overweight patients with dyslipidemia. New Engl J Med. 2005; 353(20):2121–2134. [PubMed: 16291982]
- 42. Pi-Sunyer F, Aronne LJ, Heshmati HM, Devin J, Rosenstock J, RIO-North America Study Group. Effect of rimonabant, a cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker, on weight and cardiometabolic risk factors in overweight or obese patients – RIO-North America: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2006; 295(7):761–775. [PubMed: 16478899]
- McPartland JM, Duncan M, Di Marzo V, Pertwee RG. Are cannabidiol and delta(9)tetrahydrocannabivarin negative modulators of the endocannabinoid system? A systematic review. Brit J Pharmacol. 2015; 172(3):737–753. [PubMed: 25257544]
- 44. Muniyappa R, Sable S, Ouwerkerk R, et al. Metabolic effects of chronic cannabis smoking. Diabetes Care. 2013; 36(8):2415–2422. [PubMed: 23530011]
- 45. Rodondi N, Pletcher MJ, Liu K, Hulley SB, Sidney S. Marijuana use, diet, body mass index, and cardiovascular risk factors (from the CARDIA study). Am J Cardiol. 2006; 98(4):478–484. [PubMed: 16893701]

- Lee MHS, Hancox RJ. Effects of smoking cannabis on lung function. Expert Rev Resp Med. 2011; 5(4):537–547.
- 47. Mittleman MA, Lewis RA, Maclure M, Sherwood JB, Muller JE. Triggering myocardial infarction by marijuana. Circulation. 2001; 103(23):2805–2809. [PubMed: 11401936]
- Mukamal KJ, Maclure M, Muller JE, Mittleman MA. An exploratory prospective study of marijuana use and mortality following acute myocardial infarction. Am Heart J. 2008; 155(3):465– 470. [PubMed: 18294478]
- Thomas G, Kloner RA, Rezkalla S. Adverse cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular effects of marijuana inhalation: What cardiologists need to know. Am J Cardiol. 2014; 113(1):187–190. [PubMed: 24176069]
- 50. Jouanjus E, Lapeyre-Mestre M, Micallef J, Depend FARA. Cannabis use: Signal of increasing risk of serious cardiovascular disorders. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014; 3(2)
- Kinane DF, Chestnutt IG. Smoking and periodontal disease. Crit Rev Oral Biol M. 2000; 11(3): 356–365. [PubMed: 11021635]
- Wolff V, Lauer V, Rouyer O, et al. Cannabis use, ischemic stroke, and multifocal intracranial vasoconstriction a prospective study in 48 consecutive young patients. Stroke. 2011; 42(6):1778– 1780. [PubMed: 21512186]
- 53. Ducros A. Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome. Lancet Neurol. 2012; 11(10):906–917. [PubMed: 22995694]
- 54. ElSohly, MA.; Mehmedic, Z.; Foster, S.; Gon, C.; Chandra, S.; Church, JC. Changes in cannabis potency over the last two decades (1995–2014) - Analysis of current data in the United States. Biol Psychiatry. 2016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.01.004
- 55. Silins E, Horwood LJ, Patton GC, et al. Young adult sequelae of adolescent cannabis use: An integrative analysis. Lancet Psychiat. 2014; 1(4):286–293.
- Hancox RJ, Shin H, Gray AR, Poulton R, Sears MR. Effects of quitting cannabis on respiratory symptoms. Eur Respir J. 2015; 46:80–87. [PubMed: 25837035]
- Arseneault L, Cannon M, Poulton R, Murray R, Caspi A, Moffitt TE. Cannabis use in adolescence and risk for adult psychosis: Longitudinal prospective study. Brit Med J. 2002; 325(7374):1212– 1213. [PubMed: 12446537]
- Meier MH, Hill ML, Small PJ, Luthar SS. Associations of adolescent cannabis use with academic performance and mental health: A longitudinal study of upper middle class youth. Drug Alcohol Depen. 2015; 156:207–212.

Table 1

Characteristics of study members according to tobacco and cannabis use from age 18 to 38 years.

| | | | Tobacco Pack-Yea | rs (Ages 18–38) ^d | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | | % or Mea | n (SD) as a Function of | Pack-Years | | Statistic | al Tests ^b |
| Correlate | Never Used N=461 | <5y N=137 | 5 to <10y N=83 | 10 to <15y N=92 | 15+y N=172 | ŗ | d |
| Sex (% Male) | 52% | 39% | 42% | 50% | 58% | 0.07 | 0.034 |
| Childhood Health $^{\mathcal{C}}$ | 0.04 (0.97) | 0.03~(0.86) | 0.10 (1.00) | 0.05 (0.89) | -0.15 (1.02) | -0.07 | 0.045 |
| Childhood SES $^{\mathcal{C}}$ | 0.17 (0.98) | 0.15(0.97) | 0.01 (0.87) | -0.33 (0.90) | -0.29 (1.03) | -0.20 | <.001 |
| Cannabis Joint-Years Age 18 to 38 | 0.61 (2.39) | 1.28 (3.17) | 2.04 (3.82) | 2.67 (4.35) | 5.84 (6.83) | 0.48 | <.001 |
| Tobacco Pack-Years Age 18 to 38 | 0.00 (0.00) | 2.25 (1.65) | 7.44 (1.38) | 12.50 (1.50) | 21.82 (5.40) | I | I |
| | | | Cannabis Joint-Yea | rs (Ages 18–38) ⁴ | | | |
| | | % or Mea | n (SD) as a Function of J | oint-Years | | Statistic | al Tests b |
| Correlate | Never Used N=265 | <5y N=552 | 5 to <10y N=42 | 10 to <15y N=44 | 15+y N=37 | ŗ | d |
| Sex (% Male) | 38% | 50% | 71% | 73% | 78% | 0.21 | <.001 |
| Childhood Health c | 0.01 (0.92) | 0.03 (0.97) | 0.03 (0.98) | 0.01 (0.90) | -0.07 (0.94) | -0.02 | .61 |
| Childhood SES $^{\mathcal{C}}$ | 0.05 (1.01) | 0.10(0.99) | -0.19 (0.93) | -0.34(0.85) | -0.42 (0.96) | -0.13 | <.001 |
| Cannabis Joint-Years Age 18 to 38 | 0.00(0.00) | 0.63(1.05) | 7.38 (1.27) | 12.54 (1.43) | 17.83 (2.13) | I | I |
| Tobacco Pack-Years Age 18 to 38 | 1.97 (5.27) | 6.07 (7.84) | 12.63 (9.95) | 16.34 (8.86) | 19.34 (11.96) | 0.48 | <.001 |
| | | | Persistent Cannabis Depe | substant (Ages $18-38)^{a}$ | | | |
| | - • | % or Mean (SD) as a F | ^q unction of Persistence of | Cannabis Dependence | | Statistic | al Tests b |
| Correlate | Never Used N=265 | Used, No Dx N=504 | 1 Dx N=85 | 2 Dx N=43 | 3+ Dx N=43 | r | d |
| Sex (% Male) | 38% | 49% | 69% | 65% | 84% | 0.22 | <.001 |
| Childhood Health $^{\mathcal{C}}$ | 0.01 (0.92) | 0.03 (0.98) | 0.02 (0.91) | -0.09 (0.96) | 0.11 (0.89) | 0.01 | .82 |
| Childhood SES $^{\mathcal{C}}$ | 0.05 (1.01) | 0.09 (0.98) | -0.12 (1.03) | -0.13 (0.99) | -0.40(0.86) | -0.10 | .004 |
| Cannabis Joint-Years Age 18 to 38 | 0 | 0.92 (2.37) | 4.80 (5.66) | 9.32 (5.36) | 13.94 (4.70) | 0.72 | <.001 |

| | | % or Mea | n (SD) as a Function of | Pack-Years | | Statistic | al Tests ^b |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Correlate | Never Used N=461 | <5y N=137 | 5 to <10y N=83 | 10 to <15y N=92 | 15+y N=172 | L | d |
| Tobacco Pack-Years Age 18 to 38 | 1.97 (5.27) | 5.84 (7.76) | 9.64 (9.33) | 15.52 (9.22) | 20.58 (10.05) | 0.51 | <.001 |

2

^aOf the N=947 with age-38 health data, two study members were missing tobacco pack-years data, and seven study members were missing cannabis joint-years data.

b. We report Pearson correlations between correlates and tobacco pack-years (a continuous variable), cannabis joint-years (a continuous variable), and persistent cannabis dependence (a 5-level variable).

c Scores were standardized to M=0.00, SD=1.00. Children's overall health at ages 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15 years was rated by two Dunedin Research Unit staff members based on review of birth records and assessment dossiers, including clinical assessments and reports of infections, diseases, injuries, hospitalizations, and other health problems collected during standardized maternal interviews. Ratings used a 5-point scale (inter-rater agreement=0.85). SES (socioeconomic status) was defined as the average highest occupational status level of either parent across study assessments (1=unskilled laborer; 6-professional), from the study member's birth through 15 years, on New Zealand's occupational rating of the 1970s.

| | | | | | | | | | Stat | iistical Tests ^b | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | | | As a Function | % or Mean of Use, Adjus | ted for Sex ^a | | Mo | del 1 ^c : Bivaria | te | Model 2 ^c : + | - Control for Pack Joint-Years) | -Years (or |
| Age 38 Health | Predictor | Never Used | <5 y/No Dx | 5 to <10 y/1 Dx | 10 to <15 y/2 Dx | 15 ⁺ y/3 ⁺ Dx | <u>ه</u> | 95% CI | ď | æ | 95% CI | <u>م</u> |
| A. Periodontal Health | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | Pack-years | 1.37 | 1.44 | 1.63 | 1.79 | 2.32 | 0.50 | 0.45, 0.56 | <.001 | 0.45 | 0.38, 0.51 | <.001 |
| Attachment Loss Across | Joint-Years | 1.41 | 1.57 | 2.08 | 2.21 | 2.51 | 0.33 | 0.26, 0.39 | <.001 | 0.12 | 0.05, 0.18 | <.001 |
| Sites (mm) | Cannabis Dependence | 1.41 | 1.57 | 1.75 | 2.06 | 2.58 | 0.33 | 0.27, 0.39 | <.001 | 0.09 | 0.02, 0.16 | .011 |
| B. Lung Function | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FEV ₁ /FVC [±] | Pack-years | 80.98 | 79.74 | 79.78 | 79.67 | 77.58 | -0.19 | -0.26, -0.13 | <.001 | -0.15 | -0.22, -0.08 | <.001 |
| | Joint-Years | 80.72 | 80.15 | 77.95 | 78.09 | 76.43 | -0.17 | -0.23, -0.11 | <.001 | -0.10 | -0.17, -0.02 | .010 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 80.72 | 80.17 | 78.93 | 78.47 | 76.47 | -0.15 | -0.22, -0.08 | <.001 | -0.06 | -0.14, 0.01 | .106 |
| C. Systemic Inflammat | tion | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C-Reactive | Pack-years | 2.32 | 1.70 | 3.20 | 2.05 | 3.17 | 0.12 | 0.05, 0.18 | <.001 | 0.12 | 0.04, 0.19 | .002 |
| Protein Level (mg/L) | Joint-Years | 2.48 | 2.33 | 2.09 | 4.01 | 2.28 | 0.06 | -0.01, 0.13 | .073 | 0.00 | -0.07, 0.08 | .95 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 2.48 | 2.36 | 2.24 | 3.24 | 2.64 | 0.04 | -0.02, 0.11 | .21 | -0.03 | -0.11, 0.05 | .46 |
| D. Metabolic Health | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % with | Pack-years | 14.32 | 13.12 | 15.86 | 15.16 | 23.16 | 1.18 ^d | 1.04, 1.35 | .012 | 1.24 ^d | 1.06, 1.45 | 900. |
| Netabolic Syndrome | Joint-Years | 18.91 | 14.23 | 15.38 | 21.79 | 13.53 | 1.01 ^d | 0.88, 1.16 | .94 | _p 06.0 | 0.76, 1.07 | .23 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 18.88 | 13.27 | 19.49 | 26.54 | 10.99 | 0.99 ^d | 0.85, 1.15 | 88. | 0.86 ^d | 0.73, 1.02 | .092 |
| Waist (cm) | Pack-years | 86.70 | 85.47 | 87.84 | 86.69 | 85.64 | -0.02 | -0.08, 0.04 | .55 | 0.02 | -0.05, 0.09 | .56 |
| | Joint-Years | 88.15 | 86.00 | 84.57 | 84.97 | 82.93 | -0.07 | -0.13, -0.01 | .029 | -0.08 | -0.15, -0.01 | .026 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 88.12 | 85.53 | 87.56 | 85.81 | 83.77 | -0.07 | -0.13, -0.01 | .038 | -0.08 | -0.15, -0.01 | .033 |
| High Density | Pack-years | 1.46 | 1.48 | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.38 | -0.06 | -0.13, -0.01 | .036 | -0.10 | -0.17, -0.03 | .004 |
| Lipoprotein (HDL) | Joint-Years | 1.40 | 1.45 | 1.58 | 1.56 | 1.35 | 0.03 | -0.03, 0.09 | .39 | 0.08 | 0.01, 0.15 | .029 |
| Level [±] (mmol/L) | Cannabis | 1.40 | 1.47 | 1.43 | 1.39 | 1.48 | 0.03 | -0.03, 0.09 | .36 | 0.09 | 0.01, 0.16 | .019 |

Author Manuscript

| P |
|------------|
| ₫ |
| ē |
| - |
| a |
| <u> </u> |
| S |
| <u>2</u> |
| <u>p</u> |
| - F |

| Aut | |
|------|--|
| hor | |
| Mar | |
| nusc | |
| ript | |

Statistical Tests b

| | | | As a Function | % or Mean of Use, Adjus | ited for Sex ^a | | Me | odel 1 ^c : Bivaria | ite | Model 2 ^c : ⊣ | + Control for Pack Joint-Years) | Years (or |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Age 38 Health | Predictor | Never Used | <5 y/No Dx | 5 to <10 y/1 Dx | 10 to <15 y/2 Dx | 15 ⁺ y/3 ⁺ Dx | æ | 95% CI | d | æ | 95% CI | ď |
| Triglyceride | Pack-years | 1.99 | 1.99 | 2.08 | 2.27 | 2.22 | 0.07 | 0.01, 0.13 | .021 | 0.11 | 0.04, 0.17 | .002 |
| Level (mmol/L) | Joint-Years | 2.12 | 2.07 | 1.88 | 1.98 | 1.84 | -0.03 | -0.09, 0.03 | .38 | -0.08 | -0.15, -0.01 | 019 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 2.12 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 2.56 | 1.77 | -0.02 | -0.08, 0.04 | .51 | -0.08 | -0.15, -0.01 | .027 |
| Systolic | Pack-years | 120.92 | 119.26 | 118.04 | 122.01 | 119.51 | -0.02 | -0.09, 0.04 | 44. | -0.01 | -0.08, 0.06 | .71 |
| Blood Pressure (mm | Joint-Years | 121.33 | 119.68 | 120.69 | 121.93 | 117.20 | -0.02 | -0.08, 0.04 | .53 | -0.01 | -0.08, 0.06 | 69. |
| Hg) | Cannabis Dependence | 121.34 | 119.73 | 120.54 | 120.62 | 117.50 | -0.05 | -0.12, 0.01 | .101 | -0.06 | -0.13, 0.02 | .127 |
| Diastolic | Pack-years | 78.64 | 77.19 | 77.27 | 78.13 | 78.20 | 0.00 | -0.06, 0.06 | 86. | 0.01 | -0.06, 0.08 | .68 |
| Blood Pressure (mm | Joint-Years | 79.42 | 77.55 | 77.70 | 79.13 | 76.40 | -0.01 | -0.08, 0.05 | 69. | -0.02 | -0.09, 0.05 | .57 |
| Hg) | Cannabis Dependence | 79.42 | 77.44 | 79.37 | 77.86 | 75.80 | -0.06 | -0.13, 0.00 | .056 | -0.09 | -0.16, -0.01 | .019 |
| HbAlc | Pack-years | 5.40 | 5.33 | 5.36 | 5.37 | 5.53 | 0.11 | 0.05, 0.18 | <.001 | 0.15 | 0.08, 0.23 | <.001 |
| | Joint-Years | 5.48 | 5.37 | 5.39 | 5.43 | 5.36 | 0.00 | -0.07, 0.06 | .94 | -0.08 | -0.15, -0.01 | .037 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 5.48 | 5.36 | 5.40 | 5.45 | 5.38 | -0.03 | -0.10, 0.03 | .34 | -0.13 | -0.20, -0.05 | .001 |
| E. Obesity | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Body Mass | Pack-Years | 27.50 | 26.80 | 27.89 | 27.30 | 26.32 | -0.06 | -0.12, 0.00 | .066 | -0.02 | -0.10, 0.05 | .51 |
| Index (BMI) | Joint-Years | 28.22 | 26.92 | 26.26 | 26.38 | 25.59 | -0.09 | -0.15, -0.02 | .011 | -0.07 | -0.15, 0.00 | .050 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 28.21 | 26.82 | 27.10 | 26.59 | 25.75 | -0.11 | -0.17, -0.04 | .002 | -0.10 | -0.18, -0.03 | 600. |
| F. Self-Reported Healt | th | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean Health | Pack-years | 3.97 | 3.96 | 3.72 | 3.70 | 3.43 | -0.27 | -0.33, -0.21 | <.001 | -0.26 | -0.32, -0.19 | <.001 |
| $\operatorname{Rating}^{	au}$ | Joint-Years | 3.86 | 3.88 | 3.53 | 3.46 | 3.47 | -0.15 | -0.22, -0.09 | <.001 | -0.03 | -0.10, 0.04 | .42 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 3.86 | 3.91 | 3.55 | 3.55 | 3.27 | -0.16 | -0.23, -0.10 | <.001 | -0.03 | -0.11, 0.04 | .40 |
| Note: | | | | | | | | | | | | |

JAMA Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 July 01.

^aFor presentation of percentages and means, participants were grouped according to pack-years and joint-years between ages 18–38 as follows: never used, used <5 years, used from 5 to <10 years, used from 10 to <15 years, and used for 15+ years. Participants were grouped according to persistence of cannabis dependence as follows: never used=never used cannabis, no dx=used cannabis at least once between ages 18–38 but never diagnosed, 1 dx= diagnosed once between ages 18–38, 2 dx=diagnosed twice, 3+ dx=diagnosed 3+ times.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

continuous outcomes (except for metabolic syndrome, which is a categorical outcome). Continuous variables were standardized for statistical tests. Therefore, beta coefficients can be interpreted as the Statistical analyses tested associations of cumulative pack-years (a continuous variable), cumulative joint-years (a continuous variable), and cannabis dependence (a 5-level ordinal variable) with increase in risk of the outcome, given a 1 SD increase in pack-years or joint-years. Betas with a positive sign indicate poorer health except where noted.

 ${}^{\pm}$ Betas with a negative sign indicate poorer health. Statistically significant associations are shown in bold.

^CModel 1 controls for sex. Model 2 adds controls for joint-years in analyses of pack-years, and adds controls for pack-years in analyses of joint-years and cannabis dependence. Analyses of lung function additionally control for height. d. Estimates are relative risks. For analyses of tobacco pack-years, Ns range from 892–945 for Model 1 and 886–938 for Model 2. For analyses of cannabis joint-years and measurements. Among the 947 study members included in this report, n=47 refused the dental exam, n=28 did not complete the lung function assessment, n=35 refused phebotomy, 9 were pregnant, 6 cannabis dependence, Ns range from 888–940 for Model 1 and 886–938 for Model 2. The reasons for different Ns across analyses is that there was some variation in missingness for specific health HbA1c samples were lost in the laboratory due to the 2011 Christchurch earthquake, and there were a handful of miscellaneous assay failures.

| 1 |
|--------------|
| |
| |
| = |
| ÷÷ |
| _ |
| 0 |
| - |
| |
| ~ |
| \leq |
| 5 |
| ш |
| |
| = |
| <u> </u> |
| ŝ |
| ö |
| \mathbf{C} |
| |
| |
| U. |
| _ |

Table 3

Within-individual change in health from age 26 to 38: associations between tobacco and cannabis use from ages 26-38 and age 38 health, controlling for age 26 baseline health.

Meier et al.

| | | N | odel 1: Bivariat | te | Model 2: + | Control for Baseline | e at Age 26 | Model 3 ^a : | + Control for Pack- Joint-Years) | Years (or |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Age 38 Health | Exposure | β | 95% CI | d | β | 95% CI | d | β | 95% CI | d |
| A. Periodontal Health | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean Attachment Loss Across Sites | Pack-years | 0.50 | 0.44, 0.56 | <.001 | 0.42 | 0.36, 0.47 | <.001 | 0.37 | 0.31, 0.43 | <.001 |
| (mm) | Joint-Years | 0.32 | 0.25, 0.38 | <.001 | 0.25 | 0.19, 0.31 | <.001 | 0.10 | 0.05, 0.16 | <.001 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 0.37 | 0.29, 0.45 | <.001 | 0.30 | 0.23, 0.38 | <.001 | 0.11 | 0.04, 0.19 | .002 |
| B. Lung Function | | | | | | | | | | |
| $\mathrm{FEV}_{\mathrm{I}}/\mathrm{FVC}^{\pm}$ | Pack-years | -0.19 | -0.26, -0.12 | <.001 | -0.14 | -0.19, -0.10 | <.001 | -0.11 | -0.16, -0.06 | <.001 |
| | Joint-Years | -0.15 | -0.21, -0.08 | <.001 | -0.11 | -0.16, -0.07 | <.001 | -0.07 | -0.12, -0.02 | .008 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | -0.17 | -0.26, -0.09 | <.001 | -0.14 | -0.20, -0.09 | <.001 | -0.08 | -0.15, -0.02 | .011 |
| C. Systemic Inflammation | | | | | | | | | | |
| C-Reactive Protein Level (mg/L) | Pack-years | 0.11 | 0.04, 0.18 | .003 | 0.11 | 0.04, 0.17 | .002 | 0.09 | 0.01, 0.16 | .021 |
| | Joint-Years | 0.08 | 0.01, 0.16 | .026 | 0.09 | 0.02, 0.16 | .013 | 0.05 | -0.03, 0.13 | .199 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 0.02 | -0.07, 0.12 | .61 | 0.04 | -0.05, 0.13 | .38 | -0.02 | -0.12, 0.07 | .62 |
| D. Metabolic Health | | | | | | | | | | |
| % with Metabolic Syndrome | Pack-years | 1.18 ^b | 1.03, 1.36 | .020 | 1.18^{b} | 1.02, 1.35 | .021 | 1.21^{b} | 1.04, 1.41 | .014 |
| | Joint-Years | 1.00^{b} | 0.86, 1.16 | 66. | 1.01b | 0.88, 1.17 | 88. | 0.93b | 0.79, 1.10 | .41 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | 1.02^{b} | 0.84, 1.26 | .80 | 1.07b | 0.88, 1.31 | .50 | $q_{86.0}$ | 0.79, 1.21 | .84 |
| E. Obesity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) | Pack-Years | -0.07 | -0.14, -0.01 | .027 | 0.00 | -0.04, 0.04 | .91 | 0.02 | -0.03, 0.06 | .51 |
| | Joint-Years | -0.09 | -0.16, -0.03 | .006 | -0.02 | -0.06, 0.02 | .35 | -0.03 | -0.07, 0.02 | .25 |
| | Cannabis Dependence | -0.13 | -0.21, -0.04 | .004 | -0.01 | -0.07, 0.04 | .60 | -0.01 | -0.07, 0.04 | .59 |
| F. Self-Reported Health | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean Health Rating $^{\pm}$ | Pack-years | -0.27 | -0.33, -0.21 | <.001 | -0.16 | -0.22, -0.10 | <.001 | -0.16 | -0.22, -0.10 | <.001 |

| ~ |
|-----------------|
| |
| — |
| - |
| Z |
| 0 |
| |
| |
| |
| - |
| \leq |
| a |
| Mar |
| Janu |
| J anu |
| Janus |
| Janusc |
| Januscr |
| Januscri |
| Januscrip |

| | | Ŵ | odel 1: Bivariat | e | Model 2: + 6 | Control for Baseline | e at Age 26 | Model 3 ^a : - | + Control for Pack-Y Joint-Years) | ears (or |
|---------------|---------------------|-------|------------------|-------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Age 38 Health | Exposure | β | 95% CI | d | β | 95% CI | р | β | 95% CI | þ |
| | Joint-Years | -0.11 | -0.18, -0.05 | <.001 | -0.06 | -0.11, 0.00 | .064 | 0.01 | -0.05, 0.07 | TT. |
| | Cannabis Dependence | -0.17 | -0.26, -0.09 | <.001 | -0.12 | -0.19, -0.04 | .002 | -0.04 | -0.12, 0.04 | .34 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Note. Statistical analyses tested associations of cumulative pack-years (a continuous variable), cumulative joint-years (a continuous variable), and cannabis dependence (a 5-level ordinal variable) with continuous outcomes (except for metabolic syndrome, which is a categorical outcome). Continuous variables were standardized for statistical tests. Therefore, beta coefficients can be interpreted as the increase in risk of the outcome, given a 1 SD increase in pack-years or joint-years. Betas with a positive sign indicate poorer health except where noted.

±

²Model 3 adds controls for joint-years in analyses of pack-years, and adds controls for pack-years in analyses of joint-years and cannabis dependence.

 $b_{
m Estimates}$ are relative risks.