Differences in Left Versus Right Ventricular Electrophysiological Properties in Cardiac Dysfunction and Arrhythmogenesis

Cristina E Molina,¹ Jordi Heijman² and Dobromir Dobrev¹

1. Institute of Pharmacology, West German Heart and Vascular Center, Faculty of Medicine, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; 2. Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht, Faculty of Health, Medicine, and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Abstract

A wide range of ion channels, transporters, signaling pathways and tissue structure at a microscopic and macroscopic scale regulate the electrophysiological activity of the heart. Each region of the heart has optimised these properties based on its specific role during the cardiac cycle, leading to well-established differences in electrophysiology, Ca²⁺ handling and tissue structure between atria and ventricles and between different layers of the ventricular wall. Similarly, the right ventricle (RV) and left ventricle (LV) have different embryological, structural, metabolic and electrophysiological features, but whether interventricular differences promote differential remodeling leading to arrhythmias is not well understood. In this article, we will summarise the available data on intrinsic differences between LV and RV electrophysiology and indicate how these differences affect cardiac function. Furthermore, we will discuss the differential remodeling of both chambers in pathological conditions and its potential impact on arrhythmogenesis.

Keywords

Regional differences, ventricular function, right and left ventricle, cardiac remodeling, ventricular arrhythmias

Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgement: The authors' current work is supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research through DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research, to DD).

Received: 13 January 2016 Accepted: 24 March 2016 Citation: Arrhythmia & Electrophysiology Review 2016;5(1):14–9 Access at: www.AERjournal.com DOI: 10.15420/AER.2016.8.2

Correspondence: Prof Dr Dobromir Dobrev, Institute of Pharmacology, Hufelandstr 55, D-45122 Essen, Germany. E: dobromir.dobrev@uk-essen.de

Optimal cardiac function depends on appropriate rate and force of contraction, with specific cardiac regions having developed particular beat-to-beat properties depending on their individual functions. For example, isovolumetric contraction time is shorter in the right ventricle (RV) than in the left ventricle (LV). At the cellular level, cardiac function is regulated by regional cardiomyocyte electrophysiological and Ca2+handling properties (see Figure 1). Differences in these properties between nodal cells and working myocardium,^{1,2} atrial and ventricular cardiomyocytes^{1,3,4} and different layers of the LV wall (endo-, mid- and epicardium)^{5–7} have been well established. Although electrophysiological differences between left and right sides of the heart have been less extensively characterised there is evidence for clinically relevant left-toright differences in the atrium^{1,8-10} and the ventricle.^{1,5,11-14} Here, we review the known differences in LV and RV electrophysiology and Ca²⁺ handling at baseline and during pathophysiological conditions. Furthermore, we discuss the implications of these differences for arrhythmogenesis.

Basic Cardiac Electrophysiology and Arrhythmia Mechanisms

Cardiac excitation–contraction (EC) coupling is a sequence of events occurring in cardiomyocytes upon electrical activation, resulting in the generation of an action potential (AP) and subsequent cardiomyocyte contraction (see *Figure 2*). This sequence shows many similarities between different cell types, notably between LV and RV cardiomyocytes. In this section we briefly summarise the common features.

EC coupling involves an initial depolarisation of the membrane potential due to activation of Na⁺ channels and consequent opening of voltage-dependent K⁺ channels and L-type Ca²⁺ channels. The K⁺ channels consist of delayed-rectifier channels with distinct kinetics, underlying a transient-outward K^+ current (l_{to}), as well as rapid and slow delayed-rectifier K⁺ currents ($I_{\rm Kr}$ and $I_{\rm Ks'}$ respectively). These currents play a major role in the AP repolarisation and critically determine AP duration (APD). The inward-rectifier K⁺ current (I_{K1}) activates late during the AP and controls final repolarisation and resting membrane potential stability. L-type Ca2+ channels activate early during the AP and provide a depolarising current (I_{cal}) . Although the current subsequently declines due to voltage- and Ca2+-dependent inactivation, it supports the plateau phase of the ventricular AP (see Figure 2A). Moreover, the Ca²⁺ entering the cardiomyocyte through L-type Ca²⁺ channels plays a critical role initiating EC coupling by activating type-2 ryanodine receptor (RyR2) channels on the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) membrane, producing a much larger SR Ca²⁺ release. This process is termed Ca²⁺-induced Ca²⁺ release (CICR) and results in an increase in the cytoplasmic Ca2+ concentration sufficient to activate the contractile apparatus, initiating cardiomyocyte contraction.¹⁵ Subsequently, resequestration of Ca²⁺ in the SR by the SR Ca2+ ATPase type-2a (SERCA2a) and extrusion of Ca2+ to the extracellular space by the Na+-Ca2+ exchanger type-1 (NCX1) returns cytosolic Ca2+ to diastolic levels, promoting cellular relaxation. Finally, ionic homeostasis of intracellular Na+ and K+ is maintained by the Na+/

K⁺-ATPase and the resulting current (l_{NaK}) contributes to membrane repolarisation and stability of the resting membrane potential.

Cardiac arrhythmias can arise when normal impulse generation or impulse propagation is compromised.¹⁶ Abnormal impulse formation outside of the sinoatrial node (ectopic activity) generally results from instabilities of the membrane potential during or after the AP (termed early or delayed after depolarisations [EADs/DADs]). EADs are promoted by excessive APD prolongation (e.g., due to loss of repolarising K⁺ currents), resulting in *I*_{Ca,L} reactivation and secondary depolarisations.¹⁷ DADs, on the other hand, result from spontaneous SR Ca²⁺-release events that activate NCX1. Since NCX1 is electrogenic (exchanging one Ca²⁺ for three Na⁺), this produces a transient inward current and depolarisation of the membrane potential.¹⁸⁻²⁰

When EADs or DADs of sufficient amplitude occur synchronised between a large enough number of cells, the electrical activity can propagate through the remainder of the myocardium as ectopic (triggered) activity. Impulse propagation is mainly determined by electrical cell-to-cell coupling through gap-junction channels, presence of non-conducting tissue (non-excitable cells, fibrosis), and the local source/sink balance (e.g., depending on I_{Na} availability). Slow, heterogeneous conduction and short effective refractory periods promote reentrant activity, the predominant arrhythmia maintaining mechanism.^{21,22} Both ectopic activity and reentry are promoted by electrical, structural and neurohumoral ventricular remodeling, occurring in both hereditary and acquired cardiovascular diseases.

Differences Between Left Ventricle and Right Ventricle Cellular Electrophysiology at Baseline and During Pathophysiological Remodeling Differences in Ion Channel Properties

The AP is generated by specific voltage-gated ion currents so it is logical that electrophysiological differences between heart chambers result in large part from differences in ion currents (see *Figure 3*).¹ Indeed, electrophysiological specialisation of different regions of the heart has resulted in characteristic AP patterns for each region (see *Figure 1*).⁶

Potential ionic differences between basal LV and RV cellular electrophysiology have been identified at the mRNA, protein and functional levels (see *Table 1*). In most species and experimental models, the RV myocardium shows a relative overexpression of K_v4.2, K_v4.3 and KChIP2,^{23,24} molecular components of *I*_{to}, as well as greater *KCNQ1* expression,^{25,26} part of the *I*_{KS} macromolecular complex. In agreement, a number of studies observed larger *I*_{KS} and *I*_{to} in RV compared with LV.²⁷⁻³⁰ In addition, some studies have observed changes in the gene expression of K_{ir}6.1/K_{ir}6.2, underlying the ATP-sensitive K⁺ current (*I*_{KATP}),^{31,32} *NCX1*³³ and K_{ir}2.1/K_{ir}2.3, molecular components of *I*_{K1}.^{34,35} Consistent with these molecular data, *I*_{K1} density is larger in LV myocytes from guinea pigs, contributing to the stabilisation of the high-frequency rotors in LV.^{34,37} However, other studies in different animal models did not find a significant difference between LV and RV *I*_{K1}.^{28,29} Finally, some studies have suggested that *I*_{Na} might be smaller in RV than LV.^{23,35}

At the cellular level, APs showed deeper notches, shorter APDs at 50 % and 95 % of repolarisation and less APD prolongation on slowing of the pacing rate in RV than LV,^{27,24,29} consistent with the larger I_{to} and I_{ks} . Similarly, duration of monophasic APs *in vivo* was shorter in RV than in LV.²⁵ Resting membrane potential and AP upstroke velocity did not differ between LV and RV in these studies.^{27,29}



Figure 1: Schematic Representation of the Electrophysiological Properties of Different Regions in the Heart



Representative action potential waveforms from different regions of the heart are shown. AV = atrioventricular node; Endo = cardiomyocytes from endocardium; Epi = cardiomyocytesfrom epicardium; <math>LV = left ventricle; M Cells = cardiomyocytes from midmyocardium;<math>RV = right ventricle; SA = sinoatrial node. Adapted based on experimental traces fromDiego et al.²⁷ Nerbonne et al.⁶³ and Volders et al.⁶⁴

Figure 2: Key Ion Currents Shaping the Cardiac Action Potential



A: Schematic action potential, its phases and the ionic current contribution to the action potential. CSQ2 = calsequestrin 2; PLB = phospholambar; RyR2 = type-2 yanodine receptor; SERCA2a = sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ ATPase type-2a; I_{NB} = Na⁺ current; I_{tot} transient outward K⁺ current; I_{caL} = L-type Ca²⁺ current; I_{NX} = Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchange current; I_{KATP} = ATP-sensitive K⁺ current; I_{tc} = rapid component of delayed-rectifier K⁺ current; I_{KS} = Slow component of I_K I_{K1} = inward-rectifier K⁺ current. B: Representation of ion currents and Ca²⁺ handling proteins in ventricular cardiomyocytes.

Although these results clearly suggest different electrophysiological phenotypes of the RV and LV, there is significant disagreement between the different species and experimental settings, as well as between expression data and functional studies. $I_{\rm to}$ is a notable exception being consistently larger in RV than LV (see *Table 1*). Furthermore, the role of individual electrophysiological differences in chamber-specific

15

Figure 3: Representative Right and Left Ventricular Action Potential Waveforms, Chamber-specific Ion Channel Regulation and Statistically Significant Gene Expression Differences



lonic currents involved in the initiation and maintenance of an action potential (AP) and their chamber-specific differences. Green means up-regulation and red down-regulation in RV versus LV and black indicates no change between chambers. LV = left ventricle; RV = right ventricle. Underlying experimental data are summarised in Table 1.

proarrhythmia is largely unknown. Similarly, only a limited number of studies have investigated whether chamber-specific electrical and structural remodeling processes regulate these differences between both ventricles. Volders et al.³⁰ reported an RV-specific downregulation of $I_{\rm Kr}$ and a disappearance of the LV/RV differences in $I_{\rm Ks}$ in a dog model with chronic complete atrioventricular block. These findings were confirmed at the transcriptional level by downregulation of *KCNH2* and *KCNQ1* expression (underlying $I_{\rm Kr}$ and $I_{\rm Ks}$, respectively) in subsequent studies.^{24,25} Reduction of repolarisation reserve due to K+-channel downregulation is linked to an increased risk of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death in this experimental model. Differences in $I_{\rm to}$ between LV and RV, on the other hand, remained intact in this model, highlighting the complexity of chamber-selective and channel-specific remodeling.

Differences in Ca²⁺ Handling and Contractility

Interventricular differences in Ca²⁺ handling and contractility have been predominantly investigated in rodents (see Table 1). No intrinsic RV/LV differences were found in gene expression of SERCA2a, its inhibitory regulator phospholamban (PLB), RyR2, NCX1 or the pore-forming α subunit of the L-type Ca2+ channel.28 Similarly, SR Ca2+ uptake was not different between both ventricles. Nonetheless, systolic [Ca2+] and cell shortening were larger in LV than RV. AP clamp experiments showed that the observed interventricular differences in Ca²⁺ handling were due to differences in AP morphology, with shorter APD in the RV compared with the LV, affecting I_{Cal}-mediated Ca²⁺ influx.²⁸ SERCA2a and PLB mRNA levels were also similar in both ventricles in rats,33 whereas protein expression of both was lower in RV.26 In accordance, SR Ca²⁺ sequestration was slower in RV compared with LV in normal rat myocardium,^{38,26} and Ca²⁺-transient decay was slower in RV.²⁶ There were no interventricular differences in diastolic or systolic [Ca2+] but cell shortening was smaller in rat RV cardiomyocytes. Furthermore, both ventricles showed opposite changes in SR Ca2+ sequestration upon induced myocardial infarction. While in the LV Ca2+ uptake decreased, it increased in RV, affecting the rate of relaxation and contraction. This suggests that failure of the LV promotes differential RV remodeling and potentially proarrhythmic chamber dyssynchrony.38

There are important differences in electrophysiology and Ca²⁺ handling between rodents and larger mammals (including humans). Rodents

rely heavily on SR Ca²⁺ cycling, with >90 % of the total Ca²⁺ flux during a single beat resulting from SR Ca²⁺ release and subsequent SR Ca²⁺ reuptake. By contrast, in larger mammals there is a much larger role for Ca²⁺ entry via *l*_{Ca,L} and NCX1-mediated Ca²⁺ extrusion, which account for ~30 % of the total Ca²⁺ flux.^{39,40} Thus, extrapolation of the data on LV/RV differences in Ca²⁺ handling from rodents to humans is difficult.

There are few data available about chamber-specific Ca²⁺-handling properties in large mammals. RyR2 mRNA and protein expression were lower in RV compared with LV in myocardium of control dogs.41 By contrast, RyR2 gene expression was larger in RV in ventricular samples from cardiomyopathy patients.³⁴ At the functional level, no differences in basal Ca²⁺-transient amplitude or sarcomere shortening could be detected between RV and LV in canine cardiomyocytes.42 Cardiomyocyte shortening and relaxation rate in RV and LV were also similar in cats.43 Interestingly, interventricular differences in RyR2 expression were eliminated, and total RyR2 expression decreased in dogs with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy.41 Similarly, Gupta et al.44 found reduced SERCA2a activity and protein levels in LV, but not RV, in dogs with chronic heart failure, eliminating interventricular differences. These data suggest that interventricular differences in Ca²⁺ handling are species dependent and can be further regulated by chamber-specific disease-related remodeling.

Interventricular Differences in the Regulation of Cardiomyocyte Electrophysiology and Ca²⁺ Handling

Numerous studies have highlighted the importance of posttranslational regulation of ion channels and Ca2+-handling proteins to control cardiac electrophysiology and contractility in response to various neurohumoral conditions.^{15,45–47} Activation of β -adrenoceptors with isoprenaline similarly regulates I_{Cal} and I_{KS} in canine LV and RV cardiomyocytes, whereas it increased sarcomere shortening 10-fold versus 25-fold and Ca2+-transient amplitude two-fold versus threefold in LV versus RV cardiomyocytes, respectively, highlighting clear interventricular differences in the regulation of cardiomyocyte Ca2+ handling.42 These differences were found to be due to a selective isoprenaline-induced increase in cytoplasmic cAMP in RV, resulting from distinct rates of cAMP degradation by type-3 and type-4 phosphodiesterases.⁴² By contrast, Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent kinase type-II (CaMKII)-dependent phosphorylation of RyR2, SERCA2a and PLB following application of exogeneous calmodulin/Ca2+ was reduced in RV versus LV myocardium of rats,26 thus suggesting potential interventricular differences in CaMKII signaling.

The RV and LV also showed opposite inotropic responses to α 1-adrenergic stimulation,⁴⁸ which was at least in part due to heterogeneous effects on LV/RV intracellular Ca²⁺ handling.⁴⁹ Finally, β 2-adrenoceptors were found highly upregulated in LV, but not RV, in rats with chronic mild stress.⁵⁰ Thus, although relatively little is known about interventricular differences in ion channel regulation, presently available data suggest a complex system with chamber-specific remodeling of pre-existing interventricular differences in regulatory signaling pathways, which act upon differences in basal LV versus RV electrophysiology and Ca²⁺ handling.

Mechanisms Underlying Left Ventricle versus Right Ventricle Differences

The electrophysiological differences between the LV and RV can at least partially be attributed to the distinct embryological origin

Table 1: Differences in mRNA and Protein Expression and Channel Function Between Left and Right Ventricle for the Major Ion Currents and Ca²⁺ Handling Proteins Reported in the Literature

	Level	RV vs L\	/ Species/Model	Reference		Level	RV vs L	/ Species/Model	Reference
I _{Ca,L}	mRNA (<i>CACNA1C</i>)	⇔	WT mouse	43	I _{NaK}	mRNA (A <i>TP1A3</i>)	+15 %	Human myopathic hearts	34
	mRNA (<i>CACNB2</i>)	+66 %	Human myopathic hearts	34	I.NOV	mRNA (SLC8A1)	-50 %	Control rat myocardium	33
	Current	\Leftrightarrow	Feline myocardium	43	NCA		+50 %	Canine septum	24
		\Leftrightarrow	WT mouse	28			\Leftrightarrow	WT mouse	28
		\Leftrightarrow	Canine midmyocardium	42	1.	mRNA (<i>KCND2</i>)	+50 %	WT and SCN5A+/- mouse	23
I _{са,т}	mRNA (CACNA1G)	+110 %	Human myopathic hearts	34	to		+70 %	WT mouse	65
I _f	mRNA (<i>HCN2</i>)	-68 %	Human myopathic hearts	34		mRNA (<i>KCND3</i>)	⇔	Canine septum	24
I _{K1}	mrna (<i>KCNJ2</i>)	⇔	WT and SCN5A+/- mouse	23, 65			⇔	WT mouse	65
		-50 %	Guinea pig	37			+20 %	WT mouse	23
	mRNA (<i>KCNJ4</i>)	-33 %	Human myopathic hearts	34			⇔	SCN5A+/- mouse	23
		-30 %	Guinea pig	37		mRNA (<i>KCNA4</i>)	⇔	WT and SCN5A+/- mouse	23
	Protein (K _{ir} 2.1)	\Leftrightarrow	WT and SCN5A+/- mouse	23		mRNA (<i>KChIP2</i>)	+400 %	Canine septum	24
		-10 %	Guinea pig	35			+175 %	Canine myocardium	24
	Current	⇔	Canine midmyocardium	29, 30			\Leftrightarrow	WT mouse	65
		⇔	Midmyocard. CAVB dogs	30			+50 %	WT mouse	23
		⇔	WT mouse	28			+10 %	SCN5A+/- mouse	23
		-40 %	Guinea pig	37		Protein (K _v 4.2)	+85 %	WT and SCN5A+/- mouse	23
		-30 %	Guinea pig	36		Protein (K _v 4.3)	+50 %	WT and SCN5A+/- mouse	23
I _{katp}	mrna (<i>KCN18</i>)	-33 %	Guinea pig	32		Protein (K _v 1.4)	\Leftrightarrow	WT mouse	23
	mRNA (<i>KCN111</i>)	-33 %	Guinea pig	32			\Leftrightarrow	SCN5A+/- mouse	23
I _{Kr}	mRNA (<i>KCNH2</i>)	+150 %	Human samples	66		Protein (KChIP2)	+25 %	WT mouse	23
		+75 %	Midmyocard, CAVB dogs	24			+50 %	SCN5A+/- mouse	23
	Current	↔	Canine midmvocardium	29		Current	+25 %	Canine epicardium	27
		+50 %#	Canine midmyocardium	30			+70 %	Canine midmyocardium	29
		↔	Midmyocard, CAVB dogs	30			+60 %	Canine midmyocardium	30
I _{KS}	mRNA (KCNO1)	+100 %	Human samples				+60 %	Midmyocard. CAVB dogs	30
		+250 %	Canine midmyocardium	25			+55 %	WT mouse	28
		+80 %	Canine sentum	24			+40 %	WT and SCN5A+/- mouse	23
		+90 %	Canine myocardium	24	J	mRNA (SERCA2a)	\Leftrightarrow	Control rat myocardium	33
		↔	Midmyocard, CAVB dogs	24 25	SERCA		\Leftrightarrow	WT mouse	28
	mRNA (KCNF1)	+20 %#	Canine midmvocardium	25		mrna (<i>pln</i>)	\Leftrightarrow	Control rat myocardium	33
		↔	Midmyocard, CAVB dogs	24, 25			⇔	WT mouse	28
	Protein (KCNF1)	+20 %	Canine midmvocardium	25		Protein (SERCA2a)	-14 %	Control rat myocardium	26
		⇔	Midmyocard, CAVB dogs	25		Protein (PLB)	-17 %	Control rat myocardium	26
	Current	+69 %	Canine midmvocardium	29		Activity	-80 %	Control rat myocardium	38
		+50 %	Canine midmvocardium	30			-75 %	Control rat myocardium	26
		⇔	Midmyocard, CAVB dogs	30			-35 %	Rat 4/8w following MI	38
		+37 %	Canine midmvocardium	42			-27 %	Canine myocardium	44
	mRNA (KCNA5)	↔	WT and SCN5A ^{+/-} mouse	23			\Leftrightarrow	Canine HF model	44
'Kur	Protein (K 1 5)	⇔	WT and <i>SCN5A</i> ^{+/-} mouse	23	J	mRNA (<i>RyR2</i>)	+22 %	Human myopathic hearts	34
I _{Na}	mRNA (SCN5A)	+50 %	WT mouse	23	КуК		-32 %	Canine myocardium	41
		↔	SCN5A+/- mouse	23			\Leftrightarrow	Canine ARVC model	41
		⇔	Canine midmyocardium	24			⇔	WT mouse	28
	Protein (Na 1 5)	⇔	WT mouse	23		Protein (RyR2)	-55 %	Canine myocardium	41
		-25 %	SCN5A+/- mouse	23			⇔	Canine ARVC model	41
		-18 %	Guinea nig	35	# = nons	ignificant difference. CA	VB = comnli	ete atrioventricular block: IV = lei	ft ventricle:
	Current	↔		23	RV = righ	t ventricle; WT= wild-typ	e. See text	and Figure 2 for abbreviation of i	on currents.
	Guirent	-35.0/	SCN54+/- mouse	23	Urange =	genes (mkna); Green =	: protein; Bli	ue = runction (current or activity)	
		00 /0	JONDA HIUUSE	20					

of the LV, arising from the first heart field, and the RV, arising from the second heart field.5,51 Furthermore, within the RV there are embryological differences between the RV free wall and the outflow tract, with the latter forming at a later stage during development.52 Each developmental origin is associated with expression of different transcription factors.⁵ For example, Hand1 is predominantly found in the first heart field, and Hand2 in the second heart field. Similarly Tbx2 is specifically found in the outflow tract of the embryonic heart.52 Although the exact factors regulating mRNA expression of each ion channel remain largely unknown, the distinct expression profiles of ion channels and Ca²⁺ handling proteins in the LV and RV (see *Table 1*) strongly suggest a role for chamber-specific transcriptional regulation. Quantitative differences between mRNA, protein and current levels in LV versus RV suggest other potential forms of regulation, which may include transcriptional regulation of regulatory subunits or other components of the macromolecular ion-channel complex; microRNAdependent regulation of protein levels; differences in trafficking, membrane insertion or degradation; distinct subcellular localisation or post-translational modification.53,1,45,54

Clinical implications

Due to its unique geometry and cell biology the RV behaves differently from the LV in a variety of pathophysiological conditions and deterioration of right ventricular function strongly predicts clinical outcomes in a variety of circumstances.^{13,55} In addition to these structural aspects, Brugada syndrome (BrS) provides an example of the relevance of interventricular electrophysiological differences for arrhythmogenesis. BrS is characterised by right-precordial ST-segment elevation on the body-surface electrocardiogram (ECG) and is associated with an increased risk for sudden cardiac death due to malignant ventricular tachyarrhythmias.54,57 It was traditionally considered a congenital channelopathy in the absence of overt structural heart disease, linked predominantly to loss-of-function mutations in the SCN5A gene (locus 3p21) encoding the pore-forming α subunit of the Na⁺ channel. However, recent work has demonstrated the greater complexity of the disease, with at least 18 other genes as well as acquired functional and structural abnormalities also implicated.58,57

Two arrhythmogenic mechanisms have generally been proposed for BrS.^{57,59} In the repolarisation disorder hypothesis, the loss of $I_{\rm Na}$ in combination with a large $I_{\rm to}$ in the RV epicardium, particularly near the RV outflow tract, results in a local loss of AP spike-and-dome morphology and pronounced regional APD shortening, producing ST-segment elevation in the right-precordial leads. The resulting repolarisation gradient could predispose to ventricular arrhythmogenesis via phase-2 reentry.⁴⁰ The depolarisation hypothesis, on the other hand, is based on delayed activation of the RV outflow tract, resulting in large potential gradients that produce the ST-segment elevation.

Recent work in post-mortem hearts with familial BrS indeed found evidence for increased local levels of fibrosis and reduced levels of gap-junction proteins (notably connexin-43) in the RV outflow tract, 61 supporting a role for region-specific structural abnormalities and conduction disturbances in BrS. A mouse model with heterozygous knock-out of SCN5A has also suggested that the RV might be particularly sensitive to loss of functional Na⁺ channels, with a larger reduction in I_{Na} in RV compared with LV.²³ Similarly, Veeraraghavan and Poelzing³⁵ showed that heterogeneity in Na, 1.5 expression in guinea pig may become a significant determinant of conduction heterogeneities under conditions where $I_{\rm Na}$ is functionally reduced. However, this study also highlights that conduction heterogeneities can be further modulated by interventricular differences in other ion channels, including I_{K1}.³⁵ Indeed, recent non-invasive eletrocardiographic imaging of BrS patients revealed both slow, discontinuous conduction and steep repolarisation gradients in the RV outflow tract, suggesting interactions between both mechanisms.14 Thus, regardless of the exact mechanism (depolarisation versus repolarisation), RV-specific electrophysiological and structural properties play a critical role in the phenotypic presentation of BrS patients.

Besides BrS, interventricular electrophysiological differences may play a role in ventricular arrhythmogenesis in a variety of conditions. In general, steep repolarisation gradients have been considered proarrhythmic, and interventricular differences in ion-channel expression, regulation or disease-related remodeling may contribute to such gradients.⁵ For example, interventricular differences in I_{KATP} could be an important determinant of LV/RV APD gradients during global ischaemia,³² and heterogeneous ventricular chamber responses to hypokalaemia and I_{K1} blockade contributed to bifurcated T-wave patterns in guinea pig.⁴² Similarly, differential downregulation of RV and LV delayed rectifier K⁺ currents could contribute to repolarisation abnormalities and arrhythmogenesis in patients with cardiac hypertrophy or failure.³⁰

Conclusion

Chamber-specific heterogeneity in cardiac electrophysiology is a physiological phenomenon, which contributes to fine-tuning of cardiac function. During the last two decades some studies have started to identify differences in ion channel expression and function between RV and LV. However, only limited information is available about the distinct remodeling of each ventricle and the subsequent impact on cardiac arrhythmogenesis. This holds particularly true for post-translational modifications affecting channel function and cardiomyocyte Ca²⁺ handling. Further extensive work, ideally in human samples or large animal models, is needed to define the precise role of interventricular electrophysiological differences in ventricular remodeling, cardiac dysfunction and arrhythmogenesis.

- Bartos DC, Grandi E, Ripplinger CM. Ion channels in the heart. *Compr Physiol* 2015;5:1423–64. DOI: 10.1002/cphy.c140069; PMID: 26140724; PMCID: PMC4516287
- Schram G, Pourrier M, Melnyk P, et al. Differential distribution of cardiac ion channel expression as a basis for regional specialization in electrical function. *Circ Res* 2002;90:939–50. PMID: 12016259
- Bootman MD, Higazi DR, Coombes S, et al. Calcium signalling during excitation-contraction coupling in mammalian atrial myocytes. *J Cell Sci* 2006;**119**:3915–25. PMID: 16988026
 Dobrev D, Teos IV, Lederer WJ. Unique atrial myocyte Ca²⁺
- Dobrev D, reds Er, Lederer WJ. Onique ana myocyte Carsignaling. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2009;46:448–51. DOI: 10.1016/j. yjmcc.2008.12.004; PMID: 19150353; PMCID: PMC2836229
- Boukens BJ, Christoffels VM, Coronel R, et al. Developmental basis for electrophysiological heterogeneity in the ventricular and outflow tract myocardium as a substrate for life-

threatening ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Res* 2009;**104**:19–31. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.188698; PMID: 19118284 Nerbonne JM. Molecular basis of functional voltage-gated

- K+ channel diversity in the mammalian myocardium. J Physiol 2000;525 Pt 2:285–98. PMID: 10835033; PMCID: PMC2269952
 Sicouri S, Antzelevitch C. A subpopulation of cells with unique electrophysiological properties in the deep subepicardium of the canine ventricle. The M cell. *Circ Res* 1991;68:1729–41. PMID: 2036721
- Haissaguerre M, Jais P, Shah DC, et al. Right and left atrial radiofrequency catheter therapy of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation.
- Lazar S, Dixit S, Marchlinski FE, et al. Presence of left-to-right atrial frequency gradient in paroxysmal but not persistent atrial fibrillation in humans. *Circulation* 2004;110:3181–6.
 PMID: 15533867

 Voigt N, Trausch A, Knaut M, et al. Left-to-right atrial inward rectifier potassium current gradients in patients with paroxysmal versus chronic atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2010;**3**:472–80. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCEP.110.954636; PMID: 20657029

- Ellinghaus P, Scheubel RJ, Dobrev D, et al. Comparing the global MRNA expression profile of human atrial and ventricular myocardium with high-density oligonucleotide arrays. J Thorac Cardiovasc. Surg 2005;129:1383–90. PMID: 15942582
- Rodriguez B, Li L, Eason JC, et al. Differences between left and right ventricular chamber geometry affect cardiac vulnerability to electric shocks. *Circ Res* 2005;97:168–75. PMID: 15976315; PMCID: PMC2925187
- Walker LA, Buttrick PM. The right ventricle: Biologic insights and response to disease: Updated. *Curr Cardiol Rev* 2013;9:73– 81. PMID: 23092273; PMCID: PMC3584309

- Left Versus Right Ventricular Electrophysiological Differences
- 14. Zhang J, Sacher F, Hoffmayer K, et al. Cardia electrophysiological substrate underlying the ECG phenotype and electrogram abnormalities in Brugada syndrome patients. *Circulation* 2015;**131**:1950–9. DOI: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013698; PMID: 25810336; PMCID: PMC4452400 Bers DM. Calcium cycling and signaling in cardiac myocytes.
- Annu Rev Physiol 2008;**70**:23–49. PMID: 17988210 Qu Z, Weiss JN. Mechanisms of ventricular arrhythmias 16. From molecular fluctuations to electrical turbulence
- Annu Rev Physiol 2015;**77**:29–55. DOI: 10.1146/annurev physiol-021014-071622; PMID: 25340965; PMCID: PMC4342983 Michael G, Xiao L, Qi XY, et al. Remodelling of cardiac 17.
- repolarization: How homeostatic responses can lead to arrhythmogenesis. *Cardiovasc Res* 2009;**81**:491–9. DOI: 10.1093/cvr/cvn266; PMID: 18826964 18
- DOI: 10.1093/CVIC/01/260, PMID: 1882/964 Heijman J, Voigt N, Nattel S, et al. Cellular and molecular electrophysiology of atrial fibrillation initiation, maintenance, and progression. *Circ Res* 2014;**114**:1483–99. DOI: 10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.114.30226; PMID: 24763466 Llach A, Molina CE, Fernandes J, et al. Sarcoplasmic
- reticulum and I-type Ca²⁺ channel activity regulate the beat-to-beat stability of calcium handling in human atrial mycoytes. J Physiol 2011;**58**:3247–62. DOI: 10.1113/ jphysiol.2010.197715; PMID: 21521767; PMCID: PMC3145937 Molina CE, Llach A, Herraiz-Martinez A, et al. Prevention
- 20 Nonino CC, Educationa Matthiele, N. Cellar Tockier, Tockier Tockier, Tockier Tockier, and Standard Standard, and Standard Stan
- PMID: 26611209 Comtois P, Kneller J, Nattel S. Of circles and spirals: Bridging the gap between the leading circle and spiral wave concepts of cardiac reentry. *Europace* 2005;**7** Suppl 2:10–20. PMID: 16102499
- Pandit SV, Jalife J. Rotors and the dynamics of cardiac fibrillation. *Circ Res* 2013;**112**:849–62. DOI: 10.1161/ 22 CIRCRESAHA.111.300158; PMID: 23449547; PMCID PMC3650644
- Martin CA. Siedlecka U. Kemmerich K. et al. Reduced Na* 23. and higher K+ channel expression and function contribute to right ventricular origin of arrhythmias in SCN5A +/- mice. Open Biol 2012;2:120072. DOI: 10.1098/rsob.120072; PMID: 22773948; PMCID: PMC3390792 Ramakers C, Stengl M, Spatjens RL, et al. Molecular and
- 24 electrical characterization of the canine cardiac ventricular septum. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2005;**38**:153–61. PMID: 15623432
- Ramakers C, Vos MA, Doevendans PA, et al. Coordinated down-regulation of KCNQ1 and KCNE1 expression 25 contributes to reduction of $_{\rm ks}$ in canine hypertrophied hearts. Cardiovasc Res 2003;**57**:486–96. PMID: 12566121 Sathish V, Xu A, Karmazyn M, et al. Mechanistic basis of
- 26 differences in Ca²⁺-handling properties of sarcoplasmic reticulum in right and left ventricles of normal rat myocardium. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2006;**291**:H88–96. PMID: 16461368
- Di Diego JM, Sun ZQ, Antzelevitch C. I_{to} and action potential notch are smaller in left vs. right canine ventricular epicardium. *Am J Physiol* 1996;**271**:H548–61. PMID: 8770096 Kondo RP, Dederko DA, Teutsch C, et al. Comparison of
- 28. contraction and calcium handling between right and left ventricular myocytes from adult mouse heart: A role for repolarization waveform. *J Physiol* 2006;**571**:131–46. PMID: 16357014; PMCID: PMC1805641 Volders PG, Sipido KR, Carmeliet E, et al. Repolarizing K+
- 29 currents I_{to1} and I_{ks} are larger in right than left canine ventricular midmyocardium. *Circulation* 1999;**99**:206–10. PMID: 9892584
- Volders PG, Sipido KR, Vos MA, et al. Downregulation of delayed rectifier K⁺ currents in dogs with chronic complete atrioventricular block and acquired torsades de pointes.

Circulation 1999;100:2455–61. PMID: 10595960 Choi SW, Ahn JS, Kim HK, et al. Increased expression of

- 31. atp-sensitive k channels improves the right ventricular tolerance to hypoxia in rabbit hearts. Korean J Physiol Pharmacol 2011:15:189-94. DOI: 10.4196/kipp.2011.15.4.189: PMID: 21994476; PMCID: PMC3186919 Pandit SV, Kaur K, Zlochiver S, et al. Left-to-right ventricular
- 32. differences in I_{KATP} underlie epicardial repolarization gradient during global ischemia. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;**8**:1732–9. DOI: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2011.06.028; PMID: 21723845; PMCID: PMC3244837 Correia Pinto J, Henriques-Coelho T, Roncon-Albuquerque
- R, Jr., et al. Differential right and left ventricular diastolic tolerance to acute afterload and NCX gene expression in
- Wistar rats. *Physiol Res* 2006;**35**:153–26. PMID: 16343035 Sivagangabalan G, Nazzari H, Bignolais O, et al. Regional ion channel gene expression heterogeneity and ventricular fibrillation dynamics in human hearts. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**:e82179. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082179; PMID: 24427266; PMCID: PMC3888386
- Veeraraghavan R, Poelzing S. Mechanisms underlying increased right ventricular conduction sensitivity to 35 flecainide challenge. Cardiovasc Res 2008;77:749-56 PMID: 18056761 Samie FH, Berenfeld O, Anumonwo J, et al. Rectification of
- the background potassium current: A determinant of rotor dynamics in ventricular fibrillation. *Circ Res* 2001;**89**:1216–23. PMID: 11739288
- Warren M, Guha PK, Berenfeld O, et al. Blockade of the inward rectifying potassium current terminates ventricular fibrillation in the guinea pig heart. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2003;14:621–31. PMID: 12875424
- Afzal N, Dhalla NS. Differential changes in left and right ventricular sr calcium transport in congestive heart failure. *Am J Physiol* 1992;**262**:H868–74. PMID: 1313649 39.
- Am J Hysiol 1992;262:H868-74. PMID: 1313649 Luss I, Boknik P, Jones LR, et al. Expression of cardiac calcium regulatory proteins in atrium v ventricle in different species. J Mol Cell Cardiol 1999;31:1299–314. PMID: 10371704 O'Rourke B. The ins and outs of calcium in heart failure. *Circ Res* 2008;102:1301–3. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.178095;
- PMID: 18535266; PMCID: PMC2713010 Meurs KM, Lacombe VA, Dryburgh K, et al. Differentia
- expression of the cardiac ryanodine receptor in normal and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy canine hearts. *Hum Genet* 2006;120:111–8. PMID: 16733711
- Molina CE, Johnson DM, Mehel H, et al. Interventricular differences in beta-adrenergic responses in the canine 42 Leart: Role of phosphodiesterases. J Am Heart Assoc 2014;3:e000858. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.114.000858; PMID: 24904016; PMCID: PMC4309082
- Kleiman RB, Houser SR. Electrophysiologic and mechanical properties of single feline RV and LV myocytes. J Mol Cell 43.
- Cardiol 1988;20:973–82. PMID: 3236385 Gupta RC, Shimoyama H, Tanimura M, et al. Sr Ca²⁺-ATPase activity and expression in ventricular myocardium of dogs with heart failure. *Am J Physiol* 1997;**273**:H12–8. PMID: 9249469 Heijman J, Dewenter M, El-Armouche A, et al. Function and
- regulation of serine/threonine phosphatases in the healthy and diseased heart. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2013;64:90–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2013.09.006; PMID: 24051368
- Lymperopoulos A, Rengo G, Koch WJ. Adrenergic nervous system in heart failure: Pathophysiology and therapy. *Circ* 46. Res 2013;113:739-53. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.300308; PMID: 23989716; PMCID: PMC3843360 Rapundalo ST. Cardiac protein phosphorylation: functional
- and pathophysiological correlates. *Cardiovasc Res* 1998;**38**:559–88. PMID: 9747427
- Wang GY, McCloskey DT, Turcato S, et al. Contrasting inotropic responses to α_1 -adrenergic receptor stimulation in left versus right ventricular myocardium. Am J Physiol Heart Circ 48. Physiol 2006;291:H2013-7. PMID: 16731650

- 49. Chu C, Thai K, Park KW, et al. Intraventricular and interventricular cellular heterogeneity of inotropic responses to α_1 -adrenergic stimulation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2013;**304**:H946–53. DOI: 10.1152/ajpheart.00822.2012;
- PMID: 23355341; PMCID: PMC3625891 Spasojevic N, Gavrilovic L, Dronjak S. Regulation of catecholamine-synthesising enzymes and betaadrenoceptors gene expression in ventricles of stressed rats. *Physiol Res* 2011;**60** Suppl 1:S171–6. PMID: 21777029
- Buckingham M, Meilhac S, Zaffran S, Building the mammalian heart from two sources of myocardial cells. *Nat Rev Genet* 2005;6:826–35. PMID: 16304598 51
- 2005;6:826–35. PMID: 16304598 Boukens BJ, Coronel R, Christoffels VM. Embryonic development of the right ventricular outflow tract and arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2016;13:616–22. DOI: 10.1016/j. hrthm.2015.11.014; PMID: 26586454 Balijepalli RC, Kamp TJ. Caveolae, ion channels and cardiac 52.
- 53. arrhythmias. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 2008;**98**:149–60. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2009.01.012; PMID: 19351512; PMCID: PMC2836876
- Meadows LS, Isom LL. Sodium channels as macromolecular complexes: Implications for inherited arrhythmia syndromes. *Cardiovasc Res* 2005;**67**:448–58. PMID: 15919069 54.
- Cardiovasc Res 2005;67:448–58. PMID: 15919069 Leite-Moreira AF, Lourenco AP, Balligand JL, et al. ESC working group on myocardial function position paper: How to study the right ventricle in experimental models. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;**16**:509–18. DOI: 10.1002/ejhf.66; PMID: 24574252 55.
- Brugada P, Brugada J. Right bundle branch block, persistent ST segment elevation and sudden cardiac death: A distinct
- ST segnetic deviation and solution carlou ceally. A distinct clinical and electrocardiographic syndrome. A multicenter report. J Am Coll Cardiol 1992;20:1391–6. PMID: 1309182 Hoogendijk MG, Opthof T, Postema PG, et al. The Brugada ECG pattern: A marker of channelopathy, structural heart disease, or neither? Toward a unifying mechanism of the brugada syndrome. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2010;3:283–90. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCEP.110.937029; PMID: 20551422 Antzelevitch C, Patocskai B. Brugada syndrome: Clinical,
- 58. genetic, molecular, cellular, and ionic aspects, Curr Probl Cardiol 2016;41:7–57. DOI: 10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2015.06.002; PMID: 26671757; PMCID: PMC4737702
- Meregalli PG, Wilde AA, Tan HL. Pathophysiological mechanisms of Brugada syndrome: Depolarization disorder, 59
- repolarization disorder or more? *Cardiovasc Res* 2005;**67**:367–78. PMID: 15913579 Antzelevitch C. The Brugada syndrome: Ionic basis and arrhythmia mechanisms. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2001;**12**:268–72. PMID: 11232628
- Nademanee K, Raju H, de Noronha SV, et al. Fibrosis, connexin-43, and conduction abnormalities in the Brugada syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:1976–86. DOI: 10.1016/j.
- jacc.2015.08.862; PMID: 26516000; PMCID: PMC4631798 Poelzing S, Veeraraghavan R. Heterogeneous ventricular chamber response to hypokalemia and inward rectifier potassium channel blockade underlies bifurcated t way guinea pig. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2007;292:H3043-51. PMID: 17307991 Nerbonne JM, Kass RS. Molecular physiology of cardiad
- 63.
- repolarization. *Physiol Rev* 2005;**85**:1205–53. PMID: 16183911 Volders PG, Sipido KR, Vos MA, et al. Cellular basis of biventricular hypertrophy and arrhythmogenesis in dogs with chronic complete atrioventricular block and acquired torsade de pointes. *Circulation* 1998;**98**:1136–47. PMID: 9736601
- Teutsch C, Kondo RP, Dederko DA, et al. Spatial distributions of Kv4 channels and KChip2 isoforms in the murine heart based on laser capture microdissection. *Cardiovasc Res* 2007;**73**:739–49. PMID: 17289005
- Luo X, Xiao J, Lin H, et al. Genomic structure, transcriptional control, and tissue distribution of HERG1 and KCNQ1 genes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2008;**294**:H1371–80. DOI: 10.1152/ aipheart.01026.2007; PMID: 18192214