

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Psychol Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 July 13.

Published in final edited form as:

Psychol Med. 2016 July; 46(9): 1987-2001. doi:10.1017/S0033291716000763.

# Acculturation dimensions and 12-month mood and anxiety disorders across US Latino subgroups in the National Epidemiologic Survey of Alcohol and Related Conditions

R. Lewis Fernández<sup>#1,2,\*</sup>, C. Morcillo<sup>#3</sup>, S. Wang<sup>2</sup>, C. S. Duarte<sup>1,2</sup>, N. K. Aggarwal<sup>1,2</sup>, J. A. Sánchez-Lacay<sup>1,2</sup>, and C. Blanco<sup>1,2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

**Background**—Individual-level measures of acculturation (e.g. age of immigration) have a complex relationship with psychiatric disorders. Fine-grained analyses that tap various acculturation dimensions and population subgroups are needed to generate hypotheses regarding the mechanisms of action for the association between acculturation and mental health.

**Method**—Study participants were US Latinos (N= 6359) from Wave 2 of the 2004–2005 National Epidemiologic Survey of Alcohol and Related Conditions (N= 34 653). We used linear  $\chi^2$  tests and logistic regression models to analyze the association between five acculturation dimensions and presence of 12-month DSM-IV mood/anxiety disorders across Latino subgroups (Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, 'Other Latinos').

**Results**—Acculturation dimensions associated linearly with past-year presence of mood/anxiety disorders among Mexicans were: (1) younger age of immigration (linear  $\chi^2_1$ =11.04, p<0.001), (2) longer time in the United States (linear  $\chi^2_1$ =10.52, p<0.01), (3) greater English-language orientation (linear  $\chi^2_1$ =14.57, p<0.001), (4) lower Latino composition of social network (linear  $\chi^2_1$ =15.03, p<0.001), and (5) lower Latino ethnic identification (linear  $\chi^2_1$ =7.29, p<0.01). However, the associations were less consistent among Cubans and Other Latinos, and no associations with acculturation were found among Puerto Ricans.

**Conclusions**—The relationship between different acculturation dimensions and 12-month mood/anxiety disorder varies across ethnic subgroups characterized by cultural and historical differences. The association between acculturation measures and disorder may depend on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>\*</sup>Address for correspondence: Professor R. Lewis-Fernández, Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University and New York State Psychiatric Institute, 1051 Riverside Drive, Unit 69, New York, NY 10032, USA. (rlewis@nyspi.columbia.edu).

**Declaration of Interest** 

R.L.F. receives salary support through an investigator-initiated study funded by Eli Lilly & Co. The other authors report no financial or other relationship relevant to the subject of this article.

extent to which they index protective or pathogenic adaptation pathways (e.g. loss of family support) across population subgroups preceding and/or following immigration. Future research should incorporate direct measures of maladaptive pathways and their relationship to various acculturation dimensions.

#### Keywords

Acculturation; adaptation pathways; Latino subgroups; measurement; mood/anxiety disorders

#### Introduction

The global expansion of migration and the complex, sometimes paradoxical associations between immigration status and mental health have fostered research on the relationship between acculturation and risk of psychiatric disorders. Acculturation is the multidimensional process by which immigrants and their descendants adapt to the norms, values, and lifestyles of the new cultural setting while retaining, revising, or learning their culture of origin (Alegría et al. 2007c; Guarnaccia et al. 2007). Most epidemiological research assesses the construct of acculturation via very few measures (e.g. nativity) under the implicit assumption that a single process explains how acculturation is associated with mental health across various populations; this assumption is rarely tested (Abraido-Lanza et al. 2006; Alegría, 2009). A corollary of this limitation is that the relationship between acculturation and mental health is seldom examined in detail across population subgroups with ethnic similarities, potentially omitting important cultural and historical/contextual differences that would affect the acculturation process and its relationship with health outcomes (Alegría, 2009). For example, among US Latinos, Mexicans face substantial immigration barriers, Puerto Ricans are US citizens, and Cuban immigration is federally facilitated (Massey & Sana, 2003). More fine-grained studies are needed that assess diverse acculturation dimensions (e.g. language orientation, social network ethnic preference) simultaneously across subethnic groups (Zane & Mak, 2003). Without these analyses, it is difficult to generate hypotheses regarding the mechanisms of action for the association between acculturation dimensions and psychiatric disorders, including the role of subgroupspecific cultural-historical factors affecting acculturation processes.

The complex relationships between acculturation and mental health across Latino subgroups make this an excellent test population (Alegría *et al.* 2006, 2007 *b*, Guarnaccia *et al.* 2007). Early studies found a general association between higher acculturation and increased prevalence of psychiatric disorders. This was labeled the 'Hispanic/immigrant paradox' because it contradicts the expected association between poorer health outcomes and the lower socioeconomic status and greater utilization barriers typical of recent immigrants (Burnam *et al.* 1987; Scribner, 1996). Recent research, however, reveals that the 'paradox' applies only to certain disorders and Latino subgroups. This suggests that different mechanisms of acculturation linked to particular disorders may have been masked in early studies by an overarching category for Latino ethnicity and by limited acculturation measures. For substance use disorders, the association of greater acculturation with higher prevalence of disorder is consistent across Latino subgroups, types of substance, and diverse acculturation measures (Ortega *et al.* 2000; Grant *et al.* 2004*b*; Alegría *et al.* 2006, 2007*b*;

Blanco *et al.* 2013). For mood and anxiety disorders, however, the Hispanic/immigrant paradox is largely found among Mexican-origin Latinos and often examined only for nativity and age of immigration (Vega *et al.* 1998; Ortega *et al.* 2000; Grant *et al.* 2004*b*; Alegría *et al.* 2006, 2007*b*, 2008).

One reason epidemiological studies rarely disaggregate ethnic groups for analysis is because of sample size limitations involving subgroups. Disaggregation is especially difficult for 12-month data, with its lower disorder prevalence compared to lifetime rates. However, a 12-month time-frame is preferable to lifetime data for acculturation analyses because it reveals the contemporary association between acculturation and mental health, which varies as the individual's level of acculturation changes, and is less prone to recall bias (Vega *et al.* 2004).

The National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) is a representative survey of the US population with a large sample size of US Latinos (N= 6359), allowing for ethnic subgroup analysis. To our knowledge, we are the first to test a range of acculturation measures across subethnic groups by examining the linear association between five individual-level measures of acculturation and the 12-month presence of mood and anxiety disorders across four US Latino subgroups: Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, and Other Latinos. Specifically we sought to investigate, for each Latino subgroup: (1) the sociodemographic characteristics associated with mood/anxiety disorders; (2) the relationship between presence of mood/anxiety disorders and degree of acculturation (across multiple dimensions); and (3) whether there is a linear association between acculturation levels and presence of mood/anxiety disorders.

#### Method

## Sample

The 2004–2005 Wave 2 NESARC (Grant *et al.* 2007) is the second wave of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (Hasin & Grant, 2004). The target population was the civilian non-institutionalized population aged  $\geqslant$ 18 years residing in households and group quarters (e.g. college dormitories). Non-Latino Blacks, Latinos, and adults aged 18–24 were oversampled, with data adjusted for oversampling and household-and person-level non-response. Interviews were conducted by experienced lay interviewers. All procedures, including informed consent, received human subjects review and approval from the U.S. Census Bureau and the Office of Management and Budget. Wave 1 surveyed 43 093 individuals; the response rate was 81%. Excluding in-eligible respondents (e.g. deceased), the Wave 2 response rate was 86.7%, resulting in a cumulative response rate of 70.2% (n = 34 653) (Grant *et al.* 2008). The present analyses are based on Wave 2 NESARC respondents who self-identified as Hispanic/Latino (n = 6359). We divided this sample into four subgroups according to self-reported ethnicity: Mexicans (n = 3472), Puerto Ricans (n = 755), Cubans (n = 335), and 'Other Latinos' (all others who self-identified as Hispanic/Latino) (n = 1797).

### **DSM-IV** psychiatric disorders

All psychiatric diagnoses followed DSM-IV criteria (APA, 1994) using the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-DSM-IV (AUDADIS-IV) Wave 2 version (Grant *et al.* 2004*a*). DSM-IV Axis I mood disorders assessed by the AUDADIS-IV were: major depressive, dysthymic, and bipolar disorder. Anxiety disorders included specific phobia and panic, social anxiety, generalized anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder. Test–retest reliabilities of AUDADIS-IV measures of DSM-IV mood and anxiety disorders were fair to good, including in a Latino population (Canino *et al.* 1999; Grant *et al.* 2004*b*, 2005).

## Sociodemographic characteristics

Sociodemographic measures included sex, age, education level, individual income, employment status, and marital status.

#### **Acculturation measures**

Five complementary self-reported dimensions of acculturation were collected in the Wave 2 interview: (1) Age of immigration to the United States (categorized as migration at age ≥25 years, migration between ages 18-24, migration before age 17, and US-born). (2) Time spent in the United States (\$13 years, 14–24 years, >24 years, and US-born). (3) Language orientation was assessed with seven items from the Language Orientation subscale of the Short Acculturation Scale (SAS; Marín, 1987) (a = 0.93 in this sample). Language orientation was categorized as: mostly or completely Spanish; both, but more Spanish; both, but more English; and mostly or completely English. (4) Social network ethnic preference was measured with the 4-item Ethnic Social Relations subscale of the SAS ( $\alpha = 0.78$ ). Those items queried about the ethnicity of the respondent's close friends, persons respondents visited or socialized with, and preferences for the ethnicity of the friends of the respondent's children (mostly or all Latino; both, but more Latino; both, but less Latino; mostly or all other ethnic groups). (5) Ethnic identification was measured with eight items (a = 0.90) using an expansion of the 3-item Ethnic Identity Scale from the National Comorbidity Survey - Replication and the National Latino and Asian American Study (Guarnaccia et al. 2007). Items queried about Latino identification, Latino pride, importance of Latino heritage, role of Latino background in respondents' interactions with others, and whether 'your values, attitudes, and behaviors are shared by people of Hispanic or Latino origin' (strong Latino identification; middle-high Latino identification; middle-low Latino identification; and low Latino identification). For scales 3-5, higher scores indicated greater Latino identification. Due to the non-normal distribution of these three measures, the total scores were categorized by quartiles (Blanco et al. 2013).

# **Analytic strategy**

Odds ratios (ORs) were calculated for the association between each sociodemographic correlate with presence of 12-month DSM-IV mood/anxiety disorders, stratified by Latino subgroup (Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Other Latino). We examined whether the relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and disorder presence differed across

Latino subgroups by identifying significant subgroup  $\times$  characteristic interaction terms in each logistic regression.

We then assessed whether each acculturation measure should be analyzed separately (Zane & Mak, 2003). Specifically, we examined: (1) the correlation between acculturation measures in the full sample and (2) whether the relationship with disorder presence differed by acculturation measure across Latino subgroups. To conduct the second analysis, we examined the relationship of the five acculturation measures and disorder in the same regression, separately by Latino subgroup. Then, for each measure, another set of regressions was conducted with presence of disorder as the outcome and the interaction of Latino subgroup and level of acculturation as the predictor. In both analyses, acculturation measures were dichotomized at the median to maximize statistical power (Alegría *et al.* 2007 *b*).

The association between each acculturation dimension and presence of mood/anxiety disorders was estimated separately by Latino subgroup by deriving adjusted odds ratios from logistic regressions that used level of acculturation as the predictor variable and presence of mood/anxiety disorder as the outcome, and adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics of the sample. Linear  $\chi^2$  trend tests were used to examine potential linear associations between level of acculturation and presence of mood/anxiety disorders within Latino subgroups. Because the smaller Cuban cohort resulted in cells of <10 participants and unstable estimates, for this subgroup only we collapsed the top two quartiles into a high-acculturation group and the bottom two quartiles into a low-acculturation group. All analyses considered the category with the highest acculturation (e.g. US-born Latinos, low Latino ethnic identification) as the reference group (Agresti & Min, 2002). We considered an OR to be significant if its 95% CI did not include 1. All standard errors and 95% CIs were estimated using SUDAAN (Research Triangle Institute, 2004) to adjust for the NESARC's complex design.

#### Results

# Sociodemographic characteristics of Latino subgroups with past-year mood/anxiety disorders

The sociodemographic characteristics associated with past-year mood/anxiety disorders showed similarities and differences across Latino subgroups (Table 1). Female sex and unemployment status were associated with higher odds of past-year mood/anxiety disorders in all subgroups except unemployment among Other Latinos (this latter relationship differed significantly from all other subgroups).

Marital status and income showed both similarities and differences across subgroups. Widowed/separated/divorced individuals had higher odds of disorder in all subgroups, but the adverse effect of disrupted marital status was significantly greater among Cubans. Nevermarried individuals were also at higher risk only among Cubans, who differed significantly from other subgroups in this respect. For income, the high-middle range (\$35 000–69 000) was associated with lower odds of mood/anxiety disorders in all subgroups except Other Latinos; this association was strongest for Puerto Ricans, who had significantly lower odds

of disorder than Mexicans and Other Latinos. Lower odds of disorder were also observed for Mexican and Cuban participants in the \$20 000–34 000 bracket relative to lower-income respondents; this association was strongest for Cubans compared to Mexicans and Other Latinos. Moreover, only among Cubans, this protective association extended to the highest income bracket; their odds were significantly lower than other subgroups.

In terms of differences, Mexicans had higher odds of disorder at ages 45–64 years — significantly higher than Cubans and Other Latinos — while for Cubans age 30–64 years was the period of lowest risk; Other Latinos had lower risk at age  $\geqslant$ 65 years. Puerto Ricans differed significantly from Cubans and Other Latinos in odds of disorder across several age groups, but disorder presence was evenly distributed by age among Puerto Ricans. Education was associated with disorder presence only among Puerto Ricans, for whom less than a high school education was associated with higher risk. The odds of disorder differed significantly across several Latino subgroups with less than a high school education.

#### Correlation between acculturation measures and relationship with disorder

Correlations between acculturation measures ranged from 0.24 to 0.70 (Table 2), indicating that, although the measures likely address the same construct, they may capture different aspects of it. In the first set of regressions, the acculturation dimension(s) that remained significant varied by Latino subgroup (Table 3), suggesting that the measures function differently for each subgroup. The second set of regressions found at least one significant subgroup  $\times$  acculturation-level interaction for each regression (Table 4), indicating that the strength of the association of each acculturation measure with mood/anxiety disorders varies by Latino subgroup. Based on these results, we examined each acculturation dimension separately across Latino subgroups.

#### Acculturation and past-year mood/anxiety disorders, by Latino subgroup

In all Latino subgroups except Puerto Ricans, multiple measures of higher acculturation were associated with past-year mood/anxiety disorders. After adjusting for sociodemographic characteristics, a linear association was observed among Mexicans for all five acculturation dimensions, as reflected by the significant linear-trend tests (Table 5). Among Other Latinos, four dimensions were associated with higher disorder risk (all except ethnic identification), but only three showed a linear trend (Table 5). Linear-trend tests were not conducted in the Cuban subgroup due to sample size limitations, but a protective relationship between lower acculturation and disorder was observed for three of five acculturation measures (Table 6).

By contrast, the pattern of associations between level of acculturation and past-year mood/anxiety disorders was not found among Puerto Ricans (Table 6). Only one acculturation variable, bilingual language orientation with greater preference for English (but not exclusively English-language orientation), was significantly associated with higher odds of mood/anxiety disorders. In this subgroup, no linear association was observed for any acculturation measure.

# **Discussion**

In the NESARC, diverse acculturation measures were associated differentially with 12month presence of mood/anxiety disorders across US Latino subgroups. Among Mexicans, the five dimensions of acculturation showed a clear linear association with past-year mood/ anxiety disorders, after adjusting for sociodemographic characteristics. In Other Latinos, only age of immigration, time in the United States, and language orientation showed this linear association. Age of immigration, time in the United States, and ethnic identification were associated with disorder among Cubans, but a linear relationship was not assessed due to sample size limitations. By contrast, we found no relationship between acculturation and mood/anxiety disorders, and no linear association, among Puerto Ricans. Latino subgroup also significantly patterned the relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and presence of disorder. While some demographic characteristics were uniformly associated with disorder (being female), other associations were specific to certain subgroups (low formal education in Puerto Ricans) or differed across groups (the relationship of disrupted marital status and disorder was significantly higher in Cubans). Further, the strength of the association of each acculturation dimension, and the dimension that had an independent relationship with disorder presence, also varied by Latino subgroup. These findings suggest that, in diverse Latino subgroups, the acculturation measures may index distinct processes all linked to acculturation but associated differently with past-year mood/anxiety disorder risk.

# Acculturation and past-year mood/anxiety disorders among Mexicans, Cubans, and Other Latinos

A linear association across multiple acculturation measures among Mexican-origin Latinos has not been reported before and confirms previous research in this group on mood/anxiety disorder prevalence and the Hispanic/immigrant paradox (Ortega et al. 2000; Grant et al. 2004b; Vega et al. 2004; Alegría et al. 2006, 2007b, 2008; Breslau et al. 2009). By contrast, the relationship between higher acculturation and odds of disorder among Cubans and Other Latinos contradicts most previous community-based national findings showing no or very limited association between nativity or early immigration and lifetime and 12-month prevalence (Turner & Gil, 2002; Alegría et al. 2006, 2007b, 2008; Breslau et al. 2009). Exceptions are two previous studies that found an association between higher acculturation and lifetime anxiety disorders among Other Latinos (Turner & Gil, 2002; Breslau et al. 2009). These discrepancies across datasets may be due to different acculturation measures and sample sizes, the limitations of lifetime data in evaluating a time-dependent process like acculturation, or diverse compositions of the Other Latino groups across studies. For example, the Wave 2 NESARC, compared to the National Latino and Asian American Survey (Alegría et al. 2007b), enrolled fewer Cubans (355 v. 576, respectively) but more Other Latinos (1797 v. 613).

The finding of a linear association with acculturation in Mexicans and Other Latinos suggests that mood/anxiety disorder presence among many Latino immigrants is associated with hardships related to sociocultural adaptation that grow steadily over time. Within the Mexican and Other Latino cohorts in this nationally representative sample, progressively

greater acculturation is associated with increasing prevalence of disorder. Prior critical thresholds for disorder – such as specific ages of immigration (Alegría *et al.* 2007*b*) or cutoffs regarding time in the United States (Vega *et al.* 1998) – could be due to sample size limitations for detecting subgroup-level effects or to the local particularities of regional samples.

Four main processes may be involved in this linear association: (1) Progressive loss of protective cultural factors, including gradual reductions in: family cohesion and support among extended relatives, ethnic pride, reliance on spiritual practices to cope with adversity, and use of strategies such as *resignación* (acceptance/resignation) to adapt to hardship (Escobar, 1998; Hovey & Magaña, 2002; Alegría *et al.* 2007*b*); (2) Cumulative adverse experiences related to immigration, acculturation, and exposure to US society in the context of low socio-economic status (SES), such as: racial/ethnic discrimination, acculturative stress, substance abuse, and marital disruption (Finch *et al.* 2000; Blanco *et al.* 2013; Pérez-Rodríguez *et al.* 2014); (3) Gradual loss of the ability to compare adverse post-migration circumstances with even worse pre-migration circumstances ('relative deprivation') (Burnam *et al.* 1987; Alegría *et al.* 2008); and (4) Rising demoralization due to inability to achieve expected socio-economic goals ('frustrated status hypothesis') (Burnam *et al.* 1987; Hovey, 2000).

Our cross-sectional data do not allow us to examine these potential mechanisms of action. To guide future research, we suggest specific mechanisms by which adverse acculturation processes may raise the odds of mood/anxiety disorders in the context of the low-SES stressors affecting most Latino immigrants. First, developmental vulnerability due to younger age of arrival may increase disorder risk in association with early exposure to racial/ethnic discrimination and internalization of a negative self-identity as a low-status immigrant (Vega et al. 2004; Alegría et al. 2007c). Second, growing discrepancy over time between traditional Latino values of strong family orientation ('familism', Sabogal et al. 1987) and 'modern' views of individualism and lower familial interdependence more common in the United States than Latin America (Falicov, 2001; Spector et al. 2004) could lessen the individual's commitment to marital stability and family cohesion and support, augmenting acculturative stress and intergenerational family conflicts (Zhou, 1997; Morcillo et al. 2011). Third, loss of familism may reduce the buffering effect that living in extended families has on the pathogenic impact of stressful events related to low SES (Hovey, 2000; Hovey & Magaña, 2002; Alegría et al. 2007b). In fact, after adjusting for family burden and family cultural conflict, low-acculturation and high-acculturation Mexican Americans have similar odds of past-year depressive disorder (Alegría et al. 2007b), highlighting the importance of family-related factors. These hypotheses should be examined in future studies.

#### Acculturation and past-year mood/anxiety disorders among Puerto Ricans

In line with previous research (Ortega *et al.* 2000; Alegría *et al.* 2006, 2007*b*, 2008), acculturation is unrelated to the presence of mood/anxiety disorders among Puerto Ricans. Several potential reasons for this difference from other Latino subgroups could be tested in future studies. First, Puerto Rico's dependent political and economic relationship with the

United States since 1898 has resulted in marked conflict on the Island over the role of acculturation to US society v. affirmation of a separate cultural identity (Bird, 1982; Duany, 2002). This process may precipitate acculturative stress in some Island residents even before they migrate (Bird, 1982; Duarte et al. 2008; Alegría et al. 2008), helping to explain why Puerto Ricans with ≤13 years in the United States and US-born Puerto Ricans have similar risk of disorder (Table 6). Partly supporting this hypothesis, youth internalizing disorders are associated with youth and parents' acculturative stress about US culture among Puerto Ricans on both the Island and the Mainland (Duarte et al. 2008). By contrast, among other Latin American immigrants, the deleterious effects of acculturative stress may develop gradually only after their arrival in the United States, leading to an increase over time of mood/anxiety disorders. Second, US citizenship may facilitate the immigration of Puerto Ricans with mood/anxiety disorders, relative to other Latino immigrants. This would also help account for the finding that recent immigrants share similar risk to longstanding mainland residents. Third, US citizenship, English-language exposure in Puerto Rico, and higher proportion of skilled laborers may accelerate the effect of the 'frustrated status hypothesis', since Puerto Rican immigrants may thereby expect easier socio-economic success in the United States than foreign-born Latinos (Massey & Sana, 2003; Alegría et al. 2007*a*, b).

# Relationship of self-reported acculturation measures and Latino subgroup-level adaptation pathways

Historical and contextual factors may help explain why the self-report acculturation measures are inconsistently associated with disorder across Latino subgroups. Although the acculturation measures were intended to capture similar pathways of socio-cultural adaptation across populations, these measures may instead tap subgroup-specific processes affecting disorder risk.

One possible explanation for our findings is that, within each Latino subgroup, the acculturation measures may index the relationship of acculturation and disorder to the extent that the measures are associated differentially in each subgroup with protective or pathogenic pathways that unfold over time (Cook et al. 2009). Among Puerto Ricans living in the United States, for example, protective cultural behaviors, e.g. family cohesion or spiritually oriented coping, may not be associated preferentially with Spanish-language orientation, given the complex relationship between language and cultural identity in the context of prolonged economic dependency and pervasive 'circular migration' between Puerto Rico and the United States (Zentella, 1997; Duany, 2002). Likewise, an ethnically mixed social network may index more positive adaptation possibilities for Cubans than Mexicans, explaining why this acculturation measure is a more consistent marker of the presence of disorder for the latter than the former. Given their federally facilitated immigration context, initial arrival into encapsulated Cuban neighborhoods, and higher SES (Massey & Sana, 2003; Laria & Lewis-Fernández, 2015), among Cubans this measure might indicate growing social mobility, while among Mexicans it might signal a different factor, such as loss of family support. Even the simpler acculturation measures, such as time in the United States or age of immigration, may not index identical coping processes across Latino subgroups. Given the prevalence of acculturative stress on the Island regarding US culture

(Duarte *et al.* 2008), for example, recent immigrants from Puerto Rico may have experienced the deleterious effects of acculturative stress on mood/anxiety disorders earlier in the process of adaptation, compared to recent immigrants from other Latin American countries. Among Puerto Ricans, it appears none of the acculturation measures studied taps adaptive coping mechanisms; new measures may be required to tap this process in this ethnic subgroup.

An alternative explanation is that the relationship between acculturation and disorder is determined largely by the prevalence of mood/anxiety disorders in each Latin American sending country (Breslau *et al.* 2009). Since prevalence in Puerto Rico is like that of the US (Canino *et al.* 1987) and higher than in Mexico (Medina-Mora *et al.* 2005), the Latino subgroups may be following a uniform acculturation process once the role of the sending country is considered. Although compelling for the Puerto Rican subgroup, this explanation does not clarify the reasons for the differences across the other subgroups in terms of the acculturation dimension that was independently associated with disorder presence (Table 3), the magnitude of the association of each dimension (Table 4), and the associations of specific dimensions and disorder (Tables 5, 6).

# **Clinical implications**

Our findings suggest that it is clinically valuable to obtain information directly from patients about how their individual adaptation pathways relate to their acculturation process. A simple reliance on acculturation measures may be misleading, as their ability to index the risk for mood/anxiety disorders may vary across ethnic subgroups, partly due to historical and contextual aspects of different Latino subgroups' pre-migration, entry, and adaptation processes.

Past research suggests a list of candidate factors that complicate the adaptation of racial/ethnic minority immigrants and their US-born offspring, potentially elevating the risk for mood/anxiety disorders. These factors should be assessed during a mental health evaluation, and include: acculturative stress, intergenerational conflict, demoralization, marital disruption, racial/ethnic discrimination, and loss of family cohesion, family support, ethnic pride, adaptive resignation, and spiritually-oriented coping (Escobar, 1998; Finch *et al.* 2000; Hovey & Magaña, 2002; Vega *et al.* 2004; Alegría *et al.* 2007*b*; Morcillo *et al.* 2011). Cultural tailoring of treatment approaches might concentrate on clarifying which acculturation experiences are connected to beneficial adaptation pathways for the individual patient and his/her social network so that they can be reinforced. For instance, some therapeutic strategies, such as encouraging engagement with Latino social networks to reduce deleterious effects of culture change, may be more successful among some Latino groups (e.g. Mexicans) than others (e.g. Cubans). Cultural assessments, such as the DSM-5 Cultural Formulation Interview, provide a systematic evaluation approach that can help guide this cultural tailoring (Lewis-Fernández *et al.* 2016).

Psychotherapy approaches focused on reframing or resolving acculturation-related interpersonal disputes over gender roles (Markowitz *et al.* 2009); maladaptive cognitions and behaviors related to acculturative stress and other culture change-related stressors (Comas-Díaz, 1981, 1985); problem-solving limitations in confronting novel predicaments (Ell,

2010) (common upon migration); and family conflicts worsened by differential intergenerational acculturation (Szapocznik & Kurtines, 1993) have all been found efficacious among US Latinos, suggesting the value of focusing on adaptation pathways as potential mechanisms of action for the association of acculturation and psychopathology. Emphasizing prevention and early intervention efforts with Latino youth and recent immigrants of all ages targeting the emergence of both the maladaptive pathways and the mood/anxiety disorders – e.g. via peer-to-peer programs, community media, school-based activities, and community organizations (Aguilar-Gaxiola *et al.* 2012) – may help reduce the morbidity of maladaptive social processes that increase gradually upon exposure to US society.

#### Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, the NESARC did not directly assess historical factors (e.g. cohort effects of immigration barriers) or certain individual-level data (e.g. family support) to clarify the relationship between acculturation measures and adaptation pathways associated with mood/anxiety disorders. Use of these variables in large-scale epidemiological studies could help determine whether Latino subgroup remains independently associated with odds of disorder. Second, the cross-sectional design prevents attributions of causality between mood/anxiety disorders and acculturation; it is also precludes testing for time-lagged effects of acculturation milestones and their trajectories on mental health status (Brown *et al.* 2013). Third, because the NESARC sample only included populations 18 years and older, information was unavailable on youth. The challenges and effect of acculturation among young immigrants and its relation with mood/anxiety disorders may differ from those faced by adults.

## Conclusion

The relationship between acculturation and presence of mood/anxiety disorder varies by Latino subgroup and acculturation measure. Among US Mexicans, Cubans, and Other Latinos, different dimensions of acculturation have a direct relationship with presence of disorder, frequently in a linear association, while among Puerto Ricans no such relationship was observed. The association between acculturation and disorder may be related to a common set of maladaptive behavioral pathways which are differentially associated with Latino subgroup origin as a result of historically-driven group processes. These processes may be active during the immigration process (e.g. discrimination) or precede it (e.g. sending-country disorder prevalence). Clinicians should consider focusing treatment on these common pathways, while culturally tailoring their approach to the particular associations between acculturation dimensions and maladaptive behaviors that characterize the person. Future research should examine multiple acculturation dimensions across population subgroups (including non-immigrants) and incorporate direct measures of maladaptive behavioral pathways and their relationship to acculturation.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors appreciate the assistance with manuscript preparation of Samantha Díaz, MA, Research Assistant at the New York State Psychiatric Institute.

This work was supported by NIDA (CSD, grant no. DA033172); NIMH (RLF, grant no. MH 077226), (C.B., grant no. MH076051), (C.S.D., grant no. MH9416301), (NKA, grant number MH102334); NICHD (C.S.D., grant no. HD060072); and institutional funds from the New York State Psychiatric Institute (R.L.F., A.S.L., C.B., C.S.D.).

The views and opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the views of any of the sponsoring organizations, agencies, or the U.S. government.

The funders did not have any input into the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

#### References

- Abraido-Lanza AF, Armbrister AN, Florez KR, Aguirre AN. Toward a theory-driven model of acculturation in public health research. American Journal of Public Health. 2006; 96:1342–1346. [PubMed: 16809597]
- Agresti A, Min Y. Unconditional small-sample confidence intervals for the odds ratio. Biostatistics. 2002; 3:379–386. [PubMed: 12933604]
- Aguilar-Gaxiola, S.; Loera, G.; Méndez, L.; Sala, M.; Latino Mental Health Concilio. Nakamoto, J. Community-Defined Solutions for Latino Mental Health Disparities: California Reducing Disparities Project. UC Davis; Sacramento, CA: 2012. Latino Strategic Planning Workgroup Population Reporthttps://www.ucdmc.ucdavis.edu/newsroom/pdf/
  Latino\_mental\_health\_report-6-25-2012-1.pdf [Accessed 28 July 2015]
- Alegría M. The challenge of acculturation measures: what are we missing? A commentary on Thomson & Hoffman-Goetz. Social Science & Medicine. 2009; 69:996–998. [PubMed: 19664868]
- Alegría M, Canino G, Shrout PE, Woo M, Duan N, Vila D, Torres M, Chen CN, Meng XL. Prevalence of mental illness in immigrant and non-immigrant US Latino groups. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2008; 165:359–369. [PubMed: 18245178]
- Alegría M, Canino G, Stinson FS, Grant BF. Nativity and DSM-IV psychiatric disorders among Puerto Ricans, Cuban Americans, and non-Latino Whites in the United States: results from the National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2006; 67:56–65. [PubMed: 16426089]
- Alegría M, Mulvaney-Day N, Torres M, Polo A, Cao Z, Canino G. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders across Latino subgroups in the United States. American Journal of Public Health. 2007a; 97:68–75. [PubMed: 17138910]
- Alegría M, Shrout PE, Woo M, Guarnaccia P, Sribney W, Vila D, Polo A, Cao Z, Mulvaney-Day N, Torres M, Canino G. Understanding differences in past year psychiatric disorders for Latinos living in the US. Social Science & Medicine. 2007b; 65:214–230. [PubMed: 17499899]
- Alegría M, Sribney W, Woo M, Torres M, Guarnaccia P. Looking beyond nativity: the relation of age of immigration, length of residence, and birth cohorts to the risk of onset of psychiatric disorders for Latinos. Research on Human Development. 2007c; 4:19–47.
- APA. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fourth Edition. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 1994.
- Bird HR. The cultural dichotomy of colonial people. Journal of Academic Psychoanalysis. 1982; 10:195–209.
- Blanco C, Morcillo C, Alegría M, Dedios MC, Fernández-Navarro P, Regíncos R, Wang S. Acculturation and drug use disorders among Hispanics in the US. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 2013; 47:226–232. [PubMed: 23128062]
- Breslau J, Borges G, Hagar Y, Tancredi D, Gilman S. Immigration to the USA and risk for mood and anxiety disorders: variation by origin and age at immigration. Psychological Medicine. 2009; 39:1117–1127. [PubMed: 19000338]
- Brown R, Baysu G, Cameron L, Nigbur D, Rutland A, Watters C, Hossain R, LeTouze D, Landau A. Acculturation attitudes and social adjustment in British South Asian children: a longitudinal study. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin. 2013; 39:1656–1667. [PubMed: 24052085]
- Burnam MA, Hough RL, Karno M, Escobar JI, Telles CA. Acculturation and lifetime prevalence of psychiatric disorders among Mexican Americans in Los Angeles. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 1987; 28:89–102. [PubMed: 3571910]

Canino GJ, Bird HR, Shrout PE, Rubio-Stipec M, Bravo M, Martínez R, Sesman M, Guevara LM. The prevalence of specific psychiatric disorders in Puerto Rico. Archives of General Psychiatry. 1987; 44:727–735. [PubMed: 3498456]

- Canino GJ, Bravo M, Ramírez R, Febo V, Lewis-Fernández R, Hasin DS. The Spanish alcohol use disorder and associated disabilities interview schedule (AUDADIS): reliability and concordance with clinical diagnosis in a Hispanic population. Journal of Studies on Alcohol. 1999; 60:790–799. [PubMed: 10606491]
- Comas-Díaz L. Effects of cognitive and behavioral group treatment on the depressive symptomatology of Puerto Rican women. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 1981; 49:627–632. [PubMed: 7287971]
- Comas-Díaz L. Cognitive and behavioral group therapy with Puerto Rican women: a comparison of content themes. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences. 1985; 7:273–283.
- Cook B, Alegría M, Lin JY, Guo J. Pathways and correlates connecting Latinos' mental health with exposure to the United States. American Journal of Public Health. 2009; 99:2247–2254. [PubMed: 19834004]
- Duany, J. The Puerto Rican Nation on the Move: Identities on the Island and in the United States. University of North Carolina Press; Chapel Hill, NC: 2002.
- Duarte CS, Bird HR, Shrout PE, Wu P, Lewis-Fernández R, Shen S, Canino G. Culture and psychiatric symptoms in Puerto Rican children: longitudinal results from one ethnic group in two contexts. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2008; 49:563–572. [PubMed: 18400061]
- Ell K, Katon W, Xie B, Lee PJ, Kapetanovic S, Guterman J, Chou CP. Collaborative care management of major depression among low-income, predominantly Hispanic subjects with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33:706–713. [PubMed: 20097780]
- Escobar JI. Immigration and mental health: why are immigrants better off? Archives of General Psychiatry. 1998; 55:781–782. [PubMed: 9736003]
- Falicov CJ. The cultural meanings of money: the case of Latinos and Anglo-Americans. American Behavioral Scientist. 2001; 45:313–328.
- Finch BK, Kolody B, Vega WA. Perceived discrimination and depression among Mexican origin adults in California. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 2000; 41:295–313. [PubMed: 11011506]
- Grant, BF.; Dawson, DA.; Hasin, DS. The Wave 2 National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule - DSM-IV Version. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Bethesda: 2004a.
- Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, Huang B, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Saha TD, Smith SM, Pulay AJ, Pickering RP, Ruan WJ, Compton WM. Sociodemographic and psychopathologic predictors of first incidence of DSM-IV substance use, mood and anxiety disorders: results from the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Molecular Psychiatry. 2008; 14:1051–1066. [PubMed: 18427559]
- Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Ruan WJ, Goldstein RB, Smith SM, Saha TD, Huang B. Prevalence, correlates, co-morbidity, and comparative disability of DSM-IV generalized anxiety disorder in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Psychological Medicine. 2005; 35:1747–1759. [PubMed: 16202187]
- Grant, BF.; Kaplan, KK.; Stinson, FS. Source and Accuracy Statement: The Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Bethesda: 2007.
- Grant BF, Stinson FS, Hasin DS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, Anderson K. Immigration and lifetime prevalence of DSM-IV psychiatric disorders among Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites in the United States. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2004b; 61:1226–1233. [PubMed: 15583114]
- Guarnaccia PJ, Martínez Pincay I, Alegría M, Shrout PE, Lewis-Fernández R, Canino GJ. Assessing diversity among Latinos. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences. 2007; 29:510–534. [PubMed: 19672330]
- Hasin DS, Grant BF. The co-occurrence of DSM-IV alcohol abuse in DSM-IV alcohol dependence: results of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions on heterogeneity that differ by population subgroup. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2004; 61:891–896. [PubMed: 15351767]

Hovey JD. Acculturative stress, depression, and suicidal ideation in Mexican immigrants. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology. 2000; 6:134–151. [PubMed: 10910528]

- Hovey JD, Magaña CG. Cognitive, affective, and physiological expressions of anxiety symptomatology among Mexican migrant farmworkers: predictors and generational differences. Community Mental Health Journal. 2002; 38:223–237. [PubMed: 12046676]
- Laria, AJ.; Lewis-Fernández, R. Issues in the assessment and treatment of Latino patients. In: Lim, RF., editor. Clinical Manual of Cultural Psychiatry. American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc.; Washington, DC.: 2015. p. 183-249.
- Lewis-Fernández, R.; Aggarwal, NK.; Hinton, L.; Hinton, DE.; Kirmayer, LK., editors. DSM-5 Handbook on the Cultural Formulation Interview. American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc.; Washington, DC: 2016.
- Marín G, Sabogal F, Marín BV, Otero-Sabogal R, Pérez-Stable EJ. Development of a short acculturation scale for Hispanics. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences. 1987; 9:183.
- Markowitz JC, Patel SR, Balan I, McNamara M, Blanco C, Brave Heart MYH. Sosa S, Lewis-Fernández R. Toward an adaptation of interpersonal psychotherapy for depressed Hispanic patients. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2009; 70:627–632. [PubMed: 19389331]
- Massey DS, Sana M. Patterns of US migration from Mexico, the Caribbean, and Central America. Migraciones Internacionales. 2003; 2:5–39.
- Medina-Mora ME, Borges G, Lara C, Benjet C, Blanco J, Fleiz C, Villatoro J, Rojas E, Zambrano J. Prevalence, service use, and demographic correlates of 12-month DSM-IV psychiatric disorders in Mexico: results from the Mexican National Comorbidity Survey. Psychological Medicine. 2005; 35:1773–1783. [PubMed: 16300691]
- Morcillo C, Duarte CS, Shen S, Blanco C, Canino G, Bird HR. Parental familism and antisocial behaviors: development, gender, and potential mechanisms. Journal of American Academic Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2011; 50:471–479.
- Ortega AN, Rosenheck R, Alegría M, Desai RA. Acculturation and the lifetime risk of psychiatric and substance use disorders among Hispanics. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease. 2000; 188:728–735. [PubMed: 11093374]
- Pérez-Rodríguez MM, Baca-García E, Oquendo MA, Wang S, Wall MM, Liu SM, Blanco C. Relationship between acculturation, discrimination, and suicidal ideation and attempts among US Hispanics in the National Epidemiologic Survey of Alcohol and Related Conditions. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2014; 75:399–407. [PubMed: 24813407]
- Research Triangle Institute. SUDAAN Software for Survey Data Analysis. Version 9.0 [computer program]. Research Triangle Park: 2004.
- Sabogal F, Marín G, Otero-Sabogal R. Hispanic familism and acculturation: what changes and what doesn't? Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences. 1987; 9:397–412.
- Scribner R. Editorial: paradox as Paradigm the health outcomes of Mexican Americans. American Journal of Public Health. 1996; 86:303–304. [PubMed: 8604751]
- Spector PE, Cooper CL, Poelmans S, Allen TD, O'Driscoll M, Sánchez JI, Siu OL, Dewe P, Hart P, Lu L. A cross-national comparative study of work-family stressors, working hours, and well-being: China, and Latin America versus the Anglo world. Personnel Psychology. 2004; 57:119–142.
- Szapocznik J, Kurtines WM. Family psychology and cultural diversity: opportunity for theory, research, and application. American Psychologist. 1993; 48:400–407.
- Turner RJ, Gil AG. Psychiatric and substance use disorders in South Florida: racial/ethnic and gender contrasts in a young adult cohort. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2002; 59:43–50. [PubMed: 11779281]
- Vega WA, Kolody B, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alderete E, Catalano R, Caraveo-Anduaga J. Lifetime prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders among urban and rural Mexican Americans in California. Archives of General Psychiatry. 1998; 55:771–778. [PubMed: 9736002]
- Vega WA, Sribney WM, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Kolody B. 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders among Mexican Americans: nativity, social assimilation, and age determinants. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease. 2004; 192:532–541. [PubMed: 15387155]
- Zane, N.; Mak, W.; Marín, G. Major approaches to the measurement of acculturation among ethnic minority populations: A content analysis and an alternative empirical strategy. In: Chun, KM.;

Organista, PB., editors. Acculturation: Advances in Theory, Measurement, and Applied Research. American Psychological Association; Washington, DC: 2003. p. 39-60.

Zentella, AC. Growing Up Bilingual: Puerto Rican Children in New York. Blackwell; Malden, MA: 1997.

Zhou M. Segmented assimilation: issues, controversies, and recent research on the new second generation. International Migration Review. 1997; 31:975–1008. [PubMed: 12293212]

**Author Manuscript** 

Sociodemographic characteristics related to past-year mood and/or anxiety disorder among US Latinos, by Latino subgroup (N = 6359) in Table 1

Wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions

Sex  Male 51.33 Female (ref.) 48.67 Age, years 18–29 (ref.) 27.95 30–44 41.79 45–64 22.62 ≥65 7.65	0.53 1.00 1.00 1.09 <sup>a</sup> 1.53 <sup>a,b</sup>	95% CI 0.39-0.73 1.00-1.00	%	OR	95% CI	%	OR	95% CI	%	OR	1070
lale . years 5-29 (ref.) -44 -64 65	0.53 1.00 1.00 1.09 <sup>a</sup> 1.53 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.39–0.73									D %5%
ref.)	0.53 1.00 1.00 1.09 <sup>a</sup> 1.53 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.39–0.73									
ref.)	1.00 1.00 1.53a,b	1.00-1.00	47.24	0.33	0.19-0.55	50.98	0.35	0.20-0.63	51.20	0.50	0.34-0.74
ef.)	1.00 1.09 <sup>a</sup> 1.53 <sup>a,b</sup>		52.76	1.00	1.00-1.00	49.02	1.00	1.00-1.00	48.80	1.00	1.00-1.00
ref.)	1.00 1.09 <sup>a</sup> 1.53 <sup>a,b</sup>										
	1.09 <sup>a</sup> 1.53 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.00-1.00	20.15	1.00	1.00-1.00	13.40	1.00	1.00-1.00	22.47	1.00	1.00-1.00
2	1.53 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.78-1.52	39.75	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	0.84-2.43	28.36	$0.20^{a,b}$	0.06-0.71	38.89	0.70	0.45 - 1.09
		1.03-2.27	27.68	1.87c,d	0.98-3.58	29.53	$0.30^{a,c}$	0.15-0.62	29.08	0.61 <sup>b,d</sup>	0.37-1.00
ducation	$1.04^{a}$	0.70 - 1.54	12.42	1.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.68-4.50	28.71	0.39	0.11-1.42	9.57	$0.43^{a,b}$	0.22-0.82
<high 43.37<="" school="" td=""><td>0.9a,b</td><td>0.68-1.19</td><td>30.32</td><td>1.96<sup>a,c</sup></td><td>1.20-3.18</td><td>20.97</td><td>1.48<sup>b,d</sup></td><td>0.98-2.22</td><td>22.74</td><td><math>0.68^{\text{c,d}}</math></td><td>0.45 - 1.02</td></high>	0.9a,b	0.68-1.19	30.32	1.96 <sup>a,c</sup>	1.20-3.18	20.97	1.48 <sup>b,d</sup>	0.98-2.22	22.74	$0.68^{\text{c,d}}$	0.45 - 1.02
High school 23.45	0.94	0.70-1.25	25.97	1.17	0.71-1.94	21.75	1.07	0.35-3.23	25.98	0.95	0.63-1.44
College or more (ref.) 33.18	1.00	1.00-1.00	43.70	1.00	1.00-1.00	57.28	1.00	1.00-1.00	51.27	1.00	1.00-1.00
Individual income											
\$0–19000 (ref.) 53.61	1.00	1.00 - 1.00	51.01	1.00	1.00 - 1.00	53.53	1.00	1.00-1.00	45.90	1.00	1.00-1.00
\$20000–34000	$0.63^{a}$	0.44-0.90	23.97	0.50	0.23-1.06	22.29	$0.15^{a,b}$	0.06-0.40	25.09	0.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.54-1.41
\$35000–69000 16.28	$0.66^{a}$	0.45-0.96	20.90	$0.25^{a,b}$	0.11-0.59	15.49	0.20	0.05-0.75	20.60	0.77b	0.52 - 1.14
>\$70000	$0.69^{a}$	0.38-1.24	4.11	$0.62^{b}$	0.28 - 1.38	89.8	$0.05^{\mathrm{a,b,c}}$	0.01-0.44	8.42	$0.52^{c}$	0.24-1.10
Employment status											
Employed (ref.) 71.16	1.00	1.00 - 1.00	62.45	1.00	1.00 - 1.00	60.30	1.00	1.00-1.00	75.18	1.00	1.00-1.00
Unemployed 28.84	$1.82^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.41–2.35	37.55	2.95 <sup>b</sup>	1.76-4.95	39.70	2.41°	1.38-4.21	24.82	1.13a,b,c	0.76 - 1.68
Marital status											
Married (ref.) 68.51	1.00	1.00-1.00	56.91	1.00	1.00-1.00	57.04	1.00	1.00-1.00	63.11	1.00	1.00 - 1.00
Widowed/divorced 12.02	$1.97^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.44–2.70	19.64	2.54 <sup>b</sup>	1.62–3.97	27.57	9.90a,b,c	4.50–21.79	15.18	$1.89^{c}$	1.26-2.83
Never married 19.47	$1.07^{a}$	0.77-1.48	23.44	$1.34^{b}$	0.82-2.18	15.39	5.14 a,b,c	2.28-11.62	21.70	$1.28^{c}$	0.79–2.06

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ref., reference category.

In each row, values with paired superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.05 - p < 0.001).

Table 2 Correlation matrix of the five acculturation measures among Latinos (N=6359) in Wave

2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions

Page 17

Latinos					
Correlations between their continuous counterparts	Age of immigration <sup>a</sup>	Time spent in United States <sup>a</sup>	Language orientation	Social network ethnic preference	Ethnic identification
Age of immigration	1.00				
Time spent in the United States	-0.55	1.00			
Language orientation	-0.70	0.43	1.00		
Social network ethnic preference	-0.44	0.26	0.65	1.00	
Ethnic identification	-0.37	0.24	0.59	0.61	1.00

Significant correlations (p < 0.05) are in italics.

Fernández et al.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>For US-born Latinos, age of immigration is defined as zero, and time spent in the United States is defined as their age.

Table 3
Multivariate logistical regression of acculturation dimensions among Latinos with past-year mood and/or anxiety disorder, by Latino subgroup

	Mexica (N = 34		Puerto (N = 75		Cubans	s (N = 335)	Other 1 (N = 17	
Median split acculturation measures <sup>a</sup>	$aOR^b$	95% CI	$aOR^b$	95% CI	$aOR^b$	95% CI	$aOR^b$	95% CI
Age of immigration, years								
<i></i> ≥7	0.78	0.49-1.23	1.41	0.87 - 2.30	0.65	0.26-1.62	0.45	0.27-0.74
0–6, or US-born (ref.)	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00
Time spent in the United States								
≤25 years	0.71	0.52-0.96	0.76	0.40-1.43	0.72	0.21-2.47	1.36	0.91-2.02
>25 years (ref.)	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00
Language orientation								
1st and 2nd quartiles (more Spanish)	0.91	0.58-1.43	0.64	0.32-1.30	3.39	0.95-12.08	0.79	0.47-1.36
3rd and 4th quartiles (more English) (ref.)	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00
Social network ethnic preference								
1st and 2nd quartiles (more Latino)	0.83	0.60-1.14	1.25	0.78-1.99	3.26	1.66-6.38	0.68	0.44-1.07
3rd and 4th quartiles (more other ethnic groups) (ref.)	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00
Ethnic identification								
1st and 2nd quartiles (high Latino identification)	0.98	0.72-1.33	1.35	0.80-2.27	0.15	0.09-0.27	1.15	0.75-1.75
3rd and 4th quartiles (low Latino identification) (ref.)	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00

aOR, Adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ref., reference category.

Significant odds ratios are in italics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>For US-born Latinos, age of immigration is defined as zero, and time spent in the United States is defined as their age.

 $<sup>^{</sup>b}$ Mutually adjusted odds ratio among the five acculturation measures stratified by Latino subgroup.

Table 4

Multivariate logistic regression of Latino subgroup × level-of-acculturation interaction among Latinos with past-year mood and/or anxiety disorder, by acculturation measure

Subgroup by acculturation (ref.)         Immigration <sup>a</sup> States because the state of the continuation (ref.)         States because the cont	ation <sup>a</sup> States <sup>b</sup> 95% CI         aOR           1.00-1.00         1.00           0.74-1.42         1.13	States b a OR 1.00 1.13	States b aOR 95% CI	orientation <sup>c</sup>	orientation <sup>c</sup> aOR 95% CI	preference <sup>d</sup>	poon	identification	9,000
Subgroup by acculturation level aOR  Mexican high acculturation (ref.) 1.00  District District acculturation 1.02	95% CI 1.00–1.00 0.74–1.42	aOR <sup>f</sup> 1.00 1.13	95% CI	$aOR^f$	95% CI		IICC	Idenan	canon
Mexican high acculturation (ref.) 1.00  Duerto Bican high acculturation 1.02	1.00–1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00			$\mathrm{aOR}^{\!f}$	aOR 95% CI	$\mathrm{aOR}^{\!f}$	aOR 95% CI
1 03	0.74-1.42	1.13	2	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	1.00-1.00
1.02			0.78-1.64 1.09	1.09	0.82-1.46 1.05	1.05	0.76–1.45 1.05	1.05	0.72-1.53
Cuban high acculturation 1.02	0.60-1.71 1.35	1.35	0.73–2.48 0.81	0.81	0.44-1.50 0.73	0.73	0.42–1.26 2.26	2.26	1.39–3.70
Other Latino high acculturation 1.62	1.21–2.17 1.27	1.27	0.95-1.70 1.42	1.42	1.10–1.85 1.37	1.37	1.06–1.78 1.34	1.34	1.03-1.74
Mexican low acculturation 0.53	0.39-0.73	0.52	0.37-0.72 0.51	0.51	0.37–0.71 0.60	0.60	0.45-0.80	0.71	0.53-0.95
Puerto Rican low acculturation 1.09	0.78-1.51 0.79	0.79	0.49–1.26 0.86	98.0	0.56-1.31 1.03	1.03	0.75–1.41 1.22	1.22	0.89-1.67
Cuban low acculturation 1.16	0.82-1.64 0.95	0.95	0.55-1.65 1.21	1.21	0.86-1.69 1.33	1.33	0.96–1.84 0.66	99.0	0.41-1.06
Other Latino low acculturation 0.65	0.65 0.47–0.89 0.83	0.83	0.58 - 1.18	0.56	0.58-1.18 0.56 0.37-0.84 0.71 0.52-0.97 0.91	0.71	0.52-0.97	0.91	0.65 - 1.28

aOR, Adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ref., reference category.

Significant odds ratios are in italics.

 $^{4}$ Immigration 0–6 years or US-born (high acculturation)  $\kappa$  immigration age  $\geqslant$ 7 years (low acculturation).

 $^b$ In United States >25 years (high acculturation)  $\kappa$  in United States  $\leqslant$ 25 years (low acculturation).

 $^{\mathcal{C}}$ Median split of greater English orientation (high acculturation)  $\kappa$  greater Spanish orientation (low acculturation).

dMedian split of lower Latino social network preference (high acculturation)  $\kappa$  greater Latino social network preference (low acculturation).

e Median split of Iower Latino ethnic identification (high acculturation)  $\kappa$  greater Latino ethnic identification (Iow acculturation).

fMutually adjusted odds ratio among the subgroup  $\times$  acculturation-level interactions stratified by acculturation measure.

Acculturation dimensions among Mexicans and other Latinos with past-year mood and/or anxiety disorder (except specific phobia)

	Mexic	Mexicans $(N = 3472)$	1472)				Other	Other Latinos $(N = 1797)$	V = 1797			
	With	mood/anx	iety disor	With mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 500)$	Linear trend test	nd test	With	nood/anxi	ety disore	With mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 310)$	Linear trend test	nd test <sup>a</sup>
	N	% woj	${ m aOR}^b$	95% CI	$\chi^2$ (df)	p value	N	row %	${}_{ m aOR}^{b}$	95% CI	$\chi^2$ (df)	p value
Age of immigration, years $^{\mathcal{C}}$												
<i>&gt;</i> 25	54	10.71	0.56	0.35-0.88	11.04(1)	0.0009	48	9.28	0.40	0.23-0.71	12.70 (1)	0.0004
18–24	47	7.33	0.43	0.26-0.70			36	11.08	0.43	0.26-0.73		
<17	85	12.45	98.0	0.59-1.25			54	16.12	0.64	0.40 - 1.02		
US-bom (ref.)	314	15.40	1.00	1.00-1.00			172	21.25	1.00	1.00-1.00		
Time spent in the United States, years $^{\mathcal{C}}$												
<13	38	7.66	0.48	0.28-0.84	10.52 (1)	0.0012	29	8.85	0.32	0.17 - 0.60	11.21 (1)	0.0008
14–24	70	8.44	0.50	0.33-0.76			57	14.63	09.0	0.39-0.93		
<i>&gt;</i> 25	78	16.65	1.02	0.68 - 1.54			52	12.26	0.62	0.37-1.05		
US-born (ref.)	314	15.40	1.00	1.00-1.00			172	21.25	1.00	1.00-1.00		
Language orientation $^{\mathcal{C}}$												
1st quartile (mostly or completely Spanish)	95	6.67	0.35	0.20 - 0.61	14.57 (1)	0.0001	34	11.46	0.50	0.23-1.06	6.51(1)	0.0108
2nd quartile (both, but more Spanish)	82	10.14	0.48	0.29-0.79			50	9.38	0.39	0.25 - 0.61		
3rd quartile (both, but more English)	155	13.49	07.0	0.50-0.97			66	20.02	0.95	0.65 - 1.38		
4th quartile (mostly or completely English) (ref.)	168	18.15	1.00	1.00-1.00			127	20.01	1.00	1.00-1.00		
Social network ethnic preference $^{\mathcal{C}}$												
1st quartile (mostly or all Latino)	82	8.86	0.42	0.26 - 0.66	15.03 (1)	0.0001	31	13.83	0.57	0.28 - 1.19	3.39 (1)	0.0656
2nd quartile (both, but more Latino)	138	11.64	0.65	0.44-0.97			99	10.40	0.39	0.25 - 0.61		
3rd quartile (both, but less Latino)	134	14.60	0.87	0.60 - 1.26			73	15.31	0.56	0.35-0.89		
4th quartile (mostly or all other ethnic groups) (ref.)	146	16.10	1.00	1.00-1.00			140	22.79	1.00	1.00-1.00		
Ethnic identification $^{\mathcal{C}}$												
1st quartile (strong Latino identification)	86	11.23	0.64	0.45 - 0.91	7.29 (1)	0.0069	52	13.22	0.62	0.34-1.13	2.65 (1)	0.1038
2nd quartile (middle-high Latino	113	10.93	0.65	0.41 - 1.04			09	13.67	0.64	0.37-1.09		

	Mexic	Mexicans $(N = 3472)$	472)				Other	Other Latinos $(N = 1797)$	V = 1797)			
	With	mood/anxi	ety disord	With mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 500)$	Linear trend test	end test	With 1	nood/anxie	ety disord	With mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 310)$ Linear trend test <sup>a</sup>	Linear tr	end test
	×	row %	${}_{\mathrm{aOR}}{}^{b}$	row % $_{\rm aOR}^b$ 95% CI $_{\chi^2}$ (df) $_{p}$ value $_{N}$ row % $_{\rm aOR}^b$ 95% CI $_{\chi^2}$ (df) $_{p}$ value	$\chi^2 (df)$	p value	N	row %	${}_{ m aOR}^{b}$	95% CI	$\chi^2$ (df)	p value
identification)												
3rd quartile (middle-low Latino identification)	149	13.21	13.21 0.87	0.63-1.20			62	15.03	0.72	0.45-1.17		
4th quartile (low Latino identification) (ref.) 139 15.25 1.00 1.00–1.00	139	15.25	1.00	1.00-1.00			135		1.00	20.42 1.00 1.00–1.00		
aOR, Adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ref., reference category.	; ref., re	ference cate	gory.									
<sup>a</sup> Significant odds ratios and povalues are in italics.												

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$ Significant odds ratios and p values are in italics.

b Adjusted odds ratio controlled for sociodemographic characteristics (sex, age, education, individual income, employment status, and marital status).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathcal{C}}_{\mathsf{Each}}$  acculturation measure is included in a separate logistic regression model for each Latino subgroup.

Acculturation dimensions among Puerto Ricans and Cubans with past-year mood and/or anxiety disorder (except specific phobia) Table 6

	Pue	Puerto Ricans $(N = 755)$	(N = 755)						Cubans $(N = 335)$	35)	
	Wit	h mood/an	xiety disor	ith mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 154)$	Linear trend test	end test		With	mood/an	ciety disor	With mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 48)$
	N	row %	${}_{ m aOR}^{b}$	12 %56	$\chi^2$ (df)	p value		N	row %	${}_{\rm aOR}{}^b$	95% CI
Age of immigration, years $^{\mathcal{C}}$							Age of immigration, years $^{c}$				
>25	23	25.39	1.15	0.68-1.97	0.11(1)	0.7399	≥18	26	15.61	0.41	0.17-0.99
18–24	17	13.30	0.71	0.33-1.50							
<17	34	22.25	1.49	0.84-2.65			<17 or US-born (ref.)	22	19.47	1.00	1.00-1.00
US-born (ref.)	80	14.72	1.00	1.00-1.00							
Time spent in the United States $^{\it c}$							Time spent in the United States $^{c}$				
≤13 years	12	18.28	1.00	0.41–2.43	0.23 (1)	0.6303	≤24 years	13	16.11	0.21	0.10-0.43
14–24 years	15	15.22	0.80	0.31-2.09							
≥25 years	47	23.06	1.56	0.84-2.92			>25 years or US-born (ref.)	35	18.00	1.00	1.00-1.00
US-born (ref.)	80	14.72	1.00	1.00-1.00							
Language orientation $^{c}$							Language orientation $^{c}$				
1st quartile (mostly or completely Spanish)	22	15.36	0.71	0.32-1.58	1.86 (1)	0.1728	1st and 2nd quartiles (more Spanish)	28	20.38	0.72	0.28-1.86
2nd quartile (both, but more Spanish)	35	18.60	1.20	0.62-2.30							
3rd quartile (both, but more English)	99	23.26	2.37	1.39–4.07			3rd and 4th quartiles (more English) (ref.)	20	11.18	1.00	1.00-1.00
4th quartile (mostly or completely English) (ref.)	31	10.10	1.00	1.00-1.00							
Social network ethnic preference							Social network ethnic preference				
1st quartile (mostly or all Latino)	21	22.54	0.64	0.28 - 1.47	1.48 (1)	0.2246	1st and 2nd quartiles (more Latino)	29	20.85	1.01	0.55 - 1.86
2nd quartile (both, but more Latino)	37	17.84	0.73	0.45-1.19							
3rd quartile (both, but less Latino)	47	16.60	0.95	0.52-1.75			3rd and 4th quartiles (more other ethnic groups) (ref.)	19	10.53	1.00	1.00-1.00
4th quartile (mostly or all other ethnic groups) (ref.)	49	15.85	1.00	1.00-1.00							

$\overline{}$
<
~
Ø
$\supset$
$\equiv$
S
C
-3
₹.
Ö
_

	Puei	Puerto Ricans $(N = 755)$	(N = 755)					Cubs	Cubans $(N = 335)$	(5)	
	With	h mood/any	riety disorc	With mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 154)$	Linear trend test	nd test		With	mood/anx	iety disor	With mood/anxiety disorder $(N = 48)$
	N	row %	${}_{ m aOR}^{b}$	row % $aOR^b$ 95% CI $\chi^2$ (df) $p$ value	$\chi^2$ (df)	p value		N	N row % aoR 95% CI	${}_{ m aOR}^{b}$	95% CI
Ethnic identification $^{\mathcal{C}}$							Ethnic identification $^{\mathcal{C}}$				
1st quartile (strong Latino identification)	42	20.59	66.0	0.51-1.90	<0.01 (1)	0.9857	<0.01 (1) 0.9857 1st and 2nd quartiles (high Latino identification)	20	20 10.73	0.22	0.11-0.46
2nd quartile (middle-high Latino identification)	40	18.16	1.23	0.60–2.55							
3rd quartile (middle-low Latino identification)	35	18.15	1.16	0.59–2.29			3rd and 4th quartiles (low Latino identification) (ref.)	28	28 27.24	1.00	1.00-1.00
4th quartile (low Latino identification) (ref.)	37	12.69	1.00	1.00-1.00							

aOR, Adjusted odds ratio, CI, confidence interval, ref., reference category.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it a}$  Significant odds ratios and p values are in italics.

 $<sup>^{</sup>b}$  Adjusted odds ratio controlled for sociodemographic characteristics (sex, age, education, individual income, employment status, and marital status).

Cach acculturation measure is included in a separate logistic regression model for each Latino subgroup.