

Protein and Amino Acid Requirements during Pregnancy¹⁻³

Rajavel Elango⁴⁻⁶* and Ronald O Ball⁷

⁴Child and Family Research Institute, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ⁵Department of Pediatrics and ⁶School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; and ⁷Department of Agricultural, Food, and Nutritional Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

ABSTRACT

Protein forms an essential component of a healthy diet in humans to support both growth and maintenance. During pregnancy, an exceptional stage of life defined by rapid growth and development, adequate dietary protein is crucial to ensure a healthy outcome. Protein deposition in maternal and fetal tissues increases throughout pregnancy, with most occurring during the third trimester. Dietary protein intake recommendations are based on factorial estimates because the traditional method of determining protein requirements, nitrogen balance, is invasive and undesirable during pregnancy. The current Estimated Average Requirement and RDA recommendations of 0.88 and 1.1 g \cdot kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹, respectively, are for all stages of pregnancy. The single recommendation does not take into account the changing needs during different stages of pregnancy. Recently, with the use of the minimally invasive indicator amino acid oxidation method, we defined the requirements to be, on average, 1.2 and 1.52 g \cdot kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹ during early (\sim 16 wk) and late (\sim 36 wk) stages of pregnancy, respectively. Although the requirements are substantially higher than current recommendations, our values are \sim 14–18% of total energy and fit within the Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Range. Using swine as an animal model we showed that the requirements for several indispensable amino acids increase dramatically during late gestation compared with early gestation. Additional studies should be conducted during pregnancy to confirm the newly determined protein requirements and to determine the indispensable amino acid requirements during pregnancy in humans. *Adv Nutr* 2016;7(Suppl):839S–44S.

Keywords: amino acids, dietary guidelines, maternal nutrition, pregnancy, protein metabolism

Introduction

Protein forms an essential component of a healthy diet in humans to support both growth and maintenance. Protein in the body plays structural (keratin, collagen) and functional (enzymes, transport proteins, hormones) roles (1, 2). Ultimately, most mammalian protein is composed of 20 different amino acids, and thus there is a need in our body for both an adequate supply of amino acids and total protein (nitrogen). The current definition of protein requirement is as follows: "the lowest level of dietary protein intake that will balance the losses of nitrogen from the body, and thus maintain the body protein mass, in persons at energy balance with modest levels of physical activity, plus, in children or in pregnant or lactating women, the needs associated with the deposition of tissues or secretion of milk at rates consistent with good health" (2). Thus, during pregnancy, an exceptional stage of life defined by rapid growth and development and enormous maternal physiologic changes from the time

¹ Published in a supplement to *Advances in Nutrition*. Some of the reviews in this supplement were presented at the symposium "Translational and Transformational Concepts in Amino Acid Sensing" held 29 March 2015 at the ASN Scientific Sessions and Annual Meeting at Experimental Biology 2015 in Boston, MA. The symposium was sponsored by the American Society for Nutrition (ASN), the ASN Energy and Macronutrient Metabolism Research Interest Section (RIS), and the Nutrient-Gene Interaction RIS and was supported by Ajinimoto, Co., Inc. Other articles in this supplement are selected reviews by grant-funded researchers from the Ajinimoto Acid Research Program (3ARP). The Supplement Coordinators for this supplement were Susan M Hutson and Tracy G Anthony. Supplement Coordinator disclosures: Susan M Hutson received travel and registration expenses for the ASN Scientific Sessions and Annual Meeting at Experimental Biology 2015. Tracy G Anthony received travel and registration expenses for the ASN Scientific Sessions and Annual Meeting at Experimental Biology 2015. Publication costs for this supplement were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This publication must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact. The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and are not attributable to the sponsors or the publisher, Editor, or Editorial Board of Advances in Nutrition.

² Studies presented in this review were partly funded by Canadian Institutes of Health Research and Child and Family Research Institute Establishment Funds. RE is a recipient of a 3ARP (Ajinomoto Amino Acid Research Program) award. ROB received funding from 3ARP. L-Amino acids were donated by Ajinomoto Co, Inc., for the human pregnancy studies.

³ Author disclosures: R Elango and RO Ball, no conflicts of interest.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: relango@cfri.ubc.ca.

of conception to birth, adequate dietary protein is crucial to ensure a healthy outcome.

Within several weeks of conception, adjustments in protein metabolism occur to support fetal growth and development while maintaining maternal homeostasis and preparing for lactation (3). Protein utilization from foods and deposition as new tissues are energy dependent at stages of absorption, amino acid transport, protein synthesis, and proteolysis. Thus, dietary intake during pregnancy must have sufficient energy and protein to ensure the full-term delivery of a healthy infant. The additional energy required during the full term of pregnancy has been estimated to be \sim 77,000 kcal (4), although the energy cost of pregnancy is not distributed equally throughout the gestational period. This is because the amount of protein deposited in maternal and fetal tissues varies during pregnancy, with nonsignificant deposition during the first trimester, gradually increasing during the second trimester, and with most occurring in the third trimester (5). Thus, protein and amino acid intake recommendations during pregnancy should be gestational stagespecific, with adequate energy to ensure all needs are met.

Protein Metabolism during Pregnancy

The total amount of additional nitrogen accumulated during pregnancy has been calculated, with 40% of maternal protein gain represented by the fetus, placenta, and amniotic fluid (6, 7). Maternal tissues represent the other 60%, which includes uterine tissue, breast tissue, adipose tissue, blood volume increases, and extracellular fluids. On the basis of calculations by Hytten (6), for a typical 12.5-kg gain in body weight during pregnancy (which includes a 3.3-kg term infant), the accretion is 148 g nitrogen, which is equivalent to 925 g protein (when using a conversion factor of 6.25) (1, 5). This additional protein accretion by the mother suggests that there must be substantial adaptations in protein metabolism that take place to accommodate this increase. Whole-body protein turnover studies conducted with the use of stable isotope tracers reported increased turnover by early pregnancy in pregnant women compared with non-pregnant women (8–13), and there is an absolute increase in protein synthesis by 15% in the second trimester and 25% during the third trimester (14). Concentrations of several amino acids in maternal plasma (including both dispensable and indispensable amino acids) decrease in early pregnancy and persist at low concentrations throughout. The decline is greatest for the glucogenic amino acids (alanine, serine, threonine, glutamine, and glutamate) and amino acids in the urea cycle (arginine, ornithine, citrulline) (15). A concomitant decrease in maternal urea synthesis and urinary urea excretion takes place early in gestation and rates remain low throughout pregnancy (7). Thus, it is hypothesized that increases in protein synthesis, combined with decreases in amino acid catabolism and thus urea synthesis, and circulating plasma amino acids are a conservation mechanism for overall retention of protein during a period of extreme demand (i.e., pregnancy) (14).

The metabolic adaptations in protein metabolism, described above, suggest that during pregnancy in well-nourished individuals, general physiologic changes act to conserve

protein and nitrogen, promote protein accretion, and thereby ensure adequate nutrient supply to the fetus. Only a few studies have provided any information under conditions of altered dietary supply/availability of the primary substrates of protein synthesis (balance of the 20 amino acids). Thus, as correctly pointed out by King (3), if dietary protein intake is below some threshold required for metabolic adaptations, then women have an increased risk of poor pregnancy outcomes and appropriate nutritional intervention with adequate protein and amino acids may be necessary. Indeed, current work from Thame and Kurpad and colleagues has shown that amino acid kinetics are altered during adolescent pregnancy and in adult pregnancy with low BMIs, such that the metabolic adaptations are not adequate to sustain a healthy pregnancy outcome (16–19). Thus, identifying optimal protein and amino acid requirements during different stages of pregnancy is critical for the creation of adequate dietary intake recommendations during this crucial life stage.

Protein and Amino Acid Intake Recommendations during Pregnancy

Protein intake recommendations in humans are provided as the Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) and RDA (1). The EAR is the average daily nutrient intake estimated to meet the requirement of half of healthy individuals in a particular life stage and sex group. The RDA is an estimate of the minimum daily average dietary intake that meets the nutrient requirements of nearly all (97–98%) healthy individuals in a particular life stage (1).

The EAR in pregnancy for total protein is based on the adult protein maintenance needs of $0.66 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$, plus the additional needs to support the newly deposited protein. Protein deposition during pregnancy was calculated from total body potassium accretion studies in healthy pregnant women who gained 13.8 kg body weight by the end of the third trimester to be 12.6 g/d (1, 2, 5). The increased requirement on a body weight basis was then estimated to be 12.6 g protein/d \div 57 kg (reference woman) = +0.22 g

TABLE 1 Comparison of protein recommendations during pregnancy with requirements determined by using the IAAO method¹

		IAAO	
	DRI (1), $g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}$	$g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}$	% of energy
Adults $(n = 8)$			
EAR	0.66	0.93	~10
RDA	0.80	1.2	~13
Pregnant women			
~16 wk gestation			
(n = 17)			
EAR	0.88	1.22	~13
RDA	1.1	1.66	~18
~36 wk gestation			
(n = 19)			
EAR	0.88	1.52	~17
RDA	1.1	1.77	~20

¹ Data are from references 20 and 21. EAR, Estimated Average Requirement; IAAO, indicator amino acid oxidation

protein $\cdot \text{ kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$. This value was added to the EAR for nonpregnant adults of 0.66 g protein \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ and resulted in an EAR of 0.88 g · kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹ (1) during pregnancy. The RDA was set at 1.1 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ on the basis of an additional intake of 25 g/d (Table 1). The FAO report (2), based on the same set of data on protein deposition during pregnancy, recommended that additional protein intakes of 0.7, 9.6, and 31.2 g/d be consumed during the first, second, and third trimesters, respectively.

To our knowledge, there have been no published data on amino acid requirements during human pregnancy. It is generally assumed that amino acid needs increase in proportion to the increased protein needs during pregnancy. Because the pregnancy EAR for total protein is $0.88 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$, which is 1.33 times the EAR for adults of 0.66 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹, each individual amino acid requirement value for nonpregnant adults is multiplied by 1.33 and rounded to the nearest whole number (1) (Table 2). However, our studies in swine (22) and modeling by others (23) indicate that requirements for some amino acids increase more than for others. Therefore, this assumption that amino acid requirements in humans increase in proportion to protein requirements during pregnancy is probably incorrect. The primary reason that no direct studies of amino acid requirements during pregnancy have been conducted in humans is due to the tedious, cumbersome nature of the traditional methods (e.g., nitrogen balance) previously available to determine protein and amino acid requirements.

Methods to Determine Protein and Amino Acid Requirements

Nitrogen balance method. The nitrogen balance technique, which involves the measurement of nitrogen intake and excretion, has been regarded as the gold standard for determining nitrogen (protein) requirements (1). Although conceptually this is an elegant concept, the method tends to underestimate protein/amino acid needs because it overestimates nitrogen intake and underestimates nitrogen excretion (1). In addition, the body urea pool is quite large and equilibration to a new test protein intake requires 5-7 d of adaptation (24). The length of adaptation makes the method cumbersome and time-consuming, and it is also not suitable

TABLE 2 Amino acid intake recommendations during pregnancy¹

	EAR, ²	RDA, ³
Amino acid	$mg \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}$	$mg \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}$
Histidine	15	18
Isoleucine	20	25
Leucine	45	56
Lysine	41	51
Methionine + cysteine	20	25
Phenylalanine + tyrosine	36	44
Threonine	21	26
Tryptophan	5	7
Valine	25	31

Data are from reference 1. EAR, Estimated Average Requirement.

for studying vulnerable populations, including pregnancy. In addition, when a range of protein intakes is tested, the efficiency of protein utilization has been shown to decrease to near-zero nitrogen balance (25). As nitrogen intake increases, the nitrogen response curve is nonlinear and analyzing the balance data with linear regression can be erroneous. Most of the earlier balance studies had protein intakes nearzero balance, and thus the intercept, usually determined by linear interpolation, leads to an underestimation of the true balance (26), and therefore of the requirement.

The current recommendations for protein requirements in adult humans are set at an EAR and RDA of 0.66 and $0.80 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$, respectively, by both the DRI (1) and FAO (1) (Table 1). These recommendations were established by fitting a linear regression analysis model to the earlier nitrogen balance data in men, where zero nitrogen balance was used as the criterion of nutritional adequacy (26). Rand et al. (26), although acknowledging that there may be some limitations to this analysis, selected studies for the final analysis, which had test intakes at around zero balance. Humayun et al. (20) included additional nitrogen balance studies, which tested higher test protein intakes, and performed a reanalysis using a 2-phase linear regression analysis model. The reanalysis resulted in the estimation of a breakpoint (EAR) of 0.91 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ and an upper 95% CI (population-safe, equivalent to the RDA) of 0.99 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹. These reanalyzed values are significantly higher than the current EAR and RDA of 0.66 and $0.80 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$, respectively (1). The known limitations of the nitrogen balance method, and the fact that it is not practical for determining requirements in populations such as pregnancy, made it necessary to develop newer state-of-the-art techniques.

Indicator amino acid oxidation method. The indicator amino acid oxidation (IAAO) is a minimally invasive technique that uses a stable isotope-labeled indispensable amino acid to determine amino acid requirements (27, 28). The method is based on the fundamental physiologic principle that excess amino acids cannot be stored in the body and must be partitioned between incorporation into protein and oxidation. Thus, when one indispensable amino acid is deficient for protein synthesis, then all other amino acids including an indicator amino acid (usually another indispensable amino acid such as L-1-13C-phenylalanine) are in excess and therefore will be oxidized (28). With increasing intake of the limiting amino acid, oxidation of the indicator amino acid will decrease, reflecting increasing incorporation into protein. Once the requirement is met for the limiting amino acid/protein, there will be no further change in the oxidation of the indicator amino acid with increasing intake of the test amino acid. The inflection point at which the oxidation of the indicator amino acid stops decreasing and reaches a plateau is referred to as the "breakpoint." The breakpoint, which is identified with the use of 2-phase linear regression analysis, indicates the EAR of the limiting (test) amino acid/ protein (29). The IAAO method requires oral isotope intake (30), collection of breath and urine samples (31), and a

 $^{^2}$ Factorial estimates based on adult amino acid requirements imes 1.33 to take into account increased protein demands of pregnancy.

³ Factorial estimate based on 24% variability from the EAR.

single study day adaptation to the test intake (32). Thus, the method is well suited for studying protein and amino acid requirements in different physiologic conditions across the life cycle. In particular, the rapid adaptation and noninvasive methods eliminate the risk due to long-term deficient intake in vulnerable populations.

The IAAO method, which was initially developed to determine indispensable amino acid requirements, has been widely applied in adult humans, in school-aged children, and in children with disease (28, 29). The first application of IAAO studies in humans to determine protein requirements in young men was by Humayun et al. (20). The study design was similar to the indispensable amino acid requirement studies, except that all amino acids in the pattern of egg protein (except for phenylalanine, as the indicator amino acid, and tyrosine) were provided from deficiency to excess. The results suggested that the protein requirement in young men was 0.93 and 1.2 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ (Table 1) and significantly higher than the current recommendations of 0.66 and 0.80 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ for the EAR and RDA, respectively. Since then, the IAAO method to determine protein requirements has been applied in several populations (schoolaged children, elderly women >65 y, and female octogenarians) (33–35). In all of the studies, it was shown that current recommendations are underestimates and that the mean protein requirements determined by IAAO method exceed the requirements determined by traditional nitrogen balance studies by \sim 30–40%. The application of the IAAO method to determine protein requirements has been criticized by some (36, 37), because the indicator amino acid, phenylalanine intake, is held constant with increasing test protein intakes and the IAAO might be limited and deficient at higher test protein intakes. However, in all of the above-mentioned studies, phenylalanine flux was unchanged with increasing intakes of protein, suggesting that phenylalanine is not limiting with any test intakes. When the flux is constant, the IAAO method reflects the partitioning between incorporation into protein synthesis and oxidation in response to the test protein intakes, and indeed identifies the requirement for protein. We have addressed this and other concerns in the responses to Letters to Editors and encourage readers to be aware of the debate in reassessing the current protein intake recommendations (36, 37). One other key discussion point is whether the higher requirement estimates derived by using the short-term IAAO method are relevant to longterm health benefits (38). Future long-term supplementation studies with the newly defined protein requirements will need to be conducted to confirm the IAAO findings.

Current Data on Protein Requirements during Pregnancy

We recently determined the protein requirements during early (~16 wk) and late (~36 wk) gestation in healthy singleton pregnant women using the IAAO method (21). The requirements were determined to be 1.2 g \cdot kg $^{-1}$ \cdot d $^{-1}$ (upper 95% CI: 1.66 g \cdot kg $^{-1}$ \cdot d $^{-1}$) and 1.52 g \cdot kg $^{-1}$ \cdot d $^{-1}$ (upper 95% CI: 1.77 g \cdot kg $^{-1}$ \cdot d $^{-1}$) during early and late gestation,

respectively. There are 2 striking observations from this result. The first is that the requirements are significantly higher than the current EAR and RDA of 0.88 and 1.1 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹, respectively. Second, protein needs are increased as early as 16 wk of gestation, although it was previously thought that the demand for protein would be low initially and increase substantially only by late pregnancy (3). Although these requirements appear to be considerably higher than what might be consumed during pregnancy, our recent data suggest that this is not the case. We recently completed a prospective analysis in 270 pregnant women from Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (39), who were recruited for a separate study. FFOs were administered during early (\sim 16 wk) and late (\sim 36 wk) gestation, and the results showed that the range of median maternal protein consumption was 1.3–1.5 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹. These data were collected from women living in Vancouver, Canada, where the historic incidence of low-birth-weight infants born to women aged 20–34 y is low (\sim 5.45%) (40). Our protein requirements determined with the use of the IAAO method are comparable to protein intake patterns of healthy pregnant women from the same population (39). When we consider protein requirements as a percentage of total energy, our IAAO study provided energy at $1.7 \times \text{resting energy}$ expenditure for each participant and was specific to the individual. On average, the pregnant women in our study received 2305 and 2483 kcal energy/d during early and late gestation, respectively, which is similar to current energy recommendations according to the DRI and FAO (1, 4). The current protein DRI recommendation of an RDA of 1.1 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ during pregnancy converted to a percentage of energy represents \sim 9% of energy from protein in both early and late gestation. Our estimated protein requirements during early and late gestation represent 14% and 17.5% of energy from protein, respectively. The IAAO-based protein requirements correspond to the recommended Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Range of 10–35% for energy from protein.

Amino Acid Requirements during Pregnancy

To our knowledge, there are no published studies of amino acid requirements in humans; however, by using the pig as a model we have thus far determined the threonine (41), lysine (42), isoleucine (43), and tryptophan (44) requirements during the first and third trimesters of pregnancy. The results have revealed increases in requirements during later stages of pregnancy for all of the amino acids, although the increases varied among the amino acids. Requirements for threonine increased by 55%, lysine by 45%, isoleucine by 63%, and tryptophan by 35% during late stages of pregnancy when compared with the early stages. These studies showed that applying the same factor to all amino acids to obtain a mathematically derived requirement estimate, as done by the DRI, is erroneous. Unfortunately, similar published studies are not available on human pregnancy. We recently completed the first, to our knowledge, amino acid requirement study in human pregnancy. Lysine requirements during late gestation increased by 27% when compared with early gestation (R Elango et al., unpublished data, 2015). This is more

than the increase in lysine requirements factorially calculated by DRI from the increase in protein requirement of 41 mg \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ (Table 1). The degree to which other indispensable amino acid requirements increase with progressive stages of pregnancy, and how these compare with total protein intake, needs to be determined in future studies. There have also been current swine studies that suggest that, in addition to the indispensable amino acids, there might be an increased demand for the dispensable amino acids such as arginine and glutamine for uterine and fetal development (45–47). Whether a similar demand for the indispensable amino acids exists during human pregnancy needs to be explored further.

Protein Supplementation Studies

Higher protein intakes during pregnancy have been an active area of debate for several decades (48, 49). Protein supplementation during pregnancy has also been debated because there might be competition among amino acids, which might negatively affect fetal growth, as shown in animal models (50). In a randomized controlled trial in low-socioeconomic-status pregnant women living in New York, negative pregnancy outcomes (increased risk of infants born small-for-gestational age) were reported with high-protein supplements (providing >34% of energy) (51). But several other studies in which the protein supplements were provided as food and conducted in the presence of adequate energy, showed a significant reduction in the risk of small-for-gestational age infants, suggesting prevention of intrauterine growth restriction (52–54). Thus, as discussed extensively by Imdad and Bhutta (55, 56), protein supplementation during pregnancy must be a balanced protein supplement (<25% of the total energy content) to ensure reduction in risk of small-for-gestational age infants. Furthermore, earlier studies from the Montreal Diet Dispensary found that pregnant women from lower socioeconomic groups who received an intervention with individualized nutritional rehabilitation and consumed ~100 g protein/d had the best pregnancy outcome as measured by reduced incidence of low birth weight (52). The protein requirement results obtained in our study (Table 1), when expressed as an average daily intake for the women who participated in our study, would be 79 g/d during early gestation and 108 g/d during late gestation (21) and would provide protein energy at \sim 15–17% of calories, which is consistent with the Montreal Diet Dispensary birth outcome data. Furthermore, Blumfield et al. (57) showed in an elegant analysis that, at protein intakes between 18% and 20% of calories from food, key micronutrient requirements, including folate, vitamin E, iron, and zinc, were met in healthy, well-nourished, pregnant women. Thus, protein supplementation during pregnancy should be in the form of food supplements, balanced (within 25% of total energy), and, as stated earlier by Prentice et al. (58), with a view to prevent intrauterine growth restriction and not as a goal to increase birth weight.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we believe that the current EAR and RDA for protein intakes during pregnancy of 0.88 and 1.1 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹

are an underestimate. With the use of the IAAO method, we recently determined the mean protein requirements to be 1.2 and 1.52 g \cdot kg⁻¹ \cdot d⁻¹ during early and late gestation, respectively. The average daily protein intakes would be \sim 79 g/d (\sim 14% of calories) during early gestation and 108 g/d (~17% of calories) during late gestation for normally nourished women gaining gestational body weight within recommendations. To our knowledge, there are currently no direct published estimates of amino acid requirements during pregnancy, but recent animal studies suggest that indispensable amino acid needs for threonine, lysine, isoleucine, and tryptophan are increased toward later stages of pregnancy, but not in the same proportion to protein requirement as during early gestation (59). Future studies are urgently needed to establish human indispensable amino acid requirements during pregnancy. More studies are also necessary to confirm the positive effect of food-based protein supplements during pregnancy, with a focus on preventing intrauterine growth restriction, to ensure that protein supplements are always as a balanced protein-energy supplement (<25% of total energy content). These studies are necessary for the development of more accurate dietary recommendations for the many populations of women throughout the world who have compromised nutrition during pregnancy due to low protein and/or energy intake or imbalanced amino acid intake.

Acknowledgments

Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1. Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board. Dietary Reference Intakes: energy, carbohydrate, fiber, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein and amino acids. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2005.
- 2. WHO/FAO/UNU. Protein and amino acid requirements in human nutrition. Report of a joint WHO/FAO/UNU Expert Consultation. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 2007;935:103-33.
- 3. King JC. Physiology of pregnancy and nutrient metabolism. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71(Suppl):1218S-25S.
- 4. FAO. Human energy requirements. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation. Rome (Italy): FAO; 2004. FAO Food and Nutrition Technical Report Series 1.
- 5. Butte NF, King JC. Energy requirements during pregnancy and lactation. Public Health Nutr 2005;8:1010-27.
- 6. Hytten FE. Weight gain in pregnancy. In: Hytten FE, Chamberlain G, editors. Clinical physiology in obstetrics. Oxford (United Kingdom): Blackwell Scientific; 1991. p. 173-203.
- 7. Kalhan SC. Protein metabolism in pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71 (Suppl):1249S-55S.
- 8. de Benoist B, Jackson AA, Hall JS, Persaud C. Whole-body protein turnover in Jamaican women during normal pregnancy. Hum Nutr Clin Nutr 1985;39:167-79.
- 9. Thompson GN, Halliday D. Protein turnover in pregnancy. Eur J Clin Nutr 1992;46:411-7.
- 10. Whittaker PG, Lee CH, Cooper BG, Taylor R. Evaluation of phenylalanine and tyrosine metabolism in late human pregnancy. Metabolism
- 11. Duggleby SL, Jackson AA. Higher weight at birth is related to decreased maternal amino acid oxidation during pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr 2002; 76:852-7.
- 12. Jolly M, Bertie J, Gray R, Bannister P, Venkatesan S, Johnston D, Robinson S. Increased leucine turnover in women during the third trimester of uncomplicated pregnancy. Metabolism 2004;53:545-9.

- Kalhan SC, Parimi PS. Transamination of leucine and nitrogen accretion in human pregnancy and the newborn infant. J Nutr 2006;136:2815–7S.
- Duggleby SL, Jackson AA. Protein, amino acid and nitrogen metabolism during pregnancy: how might the mother meet the needs of her fetus? Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2002;5:503–9.
- Fitch WL, King JC. Plasma amino acid, glucose, and insulin responses to moderate-protein and high-protein test meals in pregnant, nonpregnant, and gestational diabetic women. Am J Clin Nutr 1987;46:243–9.
- Thame M, Fletcher H, Baker T, Jahoor F. Comparing the in vivo glycine fluxes of adolescent girls and adult women during early and late pregnancy. Br J Nutr 2010;104:498–502.
- 17. Kurpad AV, Dwarkanath P, Thomas T, Mhaskar A, Thomas A, Mhaskar R, Jahoor F. Comparison of leucine and dispensable amino acid kinetics between Indian women with low or normal body mass indexes during pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;92:320–9.
- Thame MM, Fletcher HM, Baker TM, Marini JC, Kao CC, Jahoor F. Arginine flux, but not nitric oxide synthesis, decreases in adolescent girls compared with adult women during pregnancy. J Nutr 2011;141:71–4.
- 19. Kurpad AV, Anand P, Dwarkanath P, Hsu JW, Thomas T, Devi S, Thomas A, Mhaskar R, Jahoor F. Whole body methionine kinetics, transmethylation, transulfuration and remethylation during pregnancy. Clin Nutr 2014;33:122–9.
- Humayun MA, Elango R, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Reevaluation of protein requirement in young men with the indicator amino acid oxidation technique. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;86:995–1002.
- Stephens TV, Payne M, Ball RO, Pencharz PB, Elango R. Protein requirements of healthy pregnant women during early and late gestation are higher than current recommendations. J Nutr 2015;145:73–8.
- 22. Moehn S, Levesque C, Samuel R, Ball RO. New energy and amino acid requirements for gestating sows. Adv Pork Prod 2011;22:157–66.
- National Research Council. Nutrient requirements of swine. 11th revised ed. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2012.
- Rand WM, Young VR, Scrimshaw NS. Change of urinary nitrogen excretion in response to low-protein diets in adults. Am J Clin Nutr 1976; 29:639

 –44
- Young VR, Taylor YS, Rand WM, Scrimshaw NS. Protein requirements of man: efficiency of egg protein utilization at maintenance and submaintenance levels in young men. J Nutr 1973;103:1164–74.
- Rand WM, Pellett PL, Young VR. Meta-analysis of nitrogen balance studies for estimating protein requirements in healthy adults. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;77:109–27.
- 27. Pencharz PB, Ball RO. Different approaches to define individual amino acid requirements. Annu Rev Nutr 2003;23:101–16.
- Elango R, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Indicator amino acid oxidation: concept and application. J Nutr 2008;138:243

 –6.
- Elango R, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Recent advances in determining protein and amino acid requirements in humans. Br J Nutr 2012;108:S22–30.
- Kriengsinyos W, Wykes LJ, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Oral and intravenous tracer protocols of the indicator amino acid oxidation method provide the same estimate of the lysine requirement in healthy men. J Nutr 2002;132:2251–7.
- 31. Bross R, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Development of a minimally invasive protocol for the determination of phenylalanine and lysine kinetics in humans during the fed state. J Nutr 1998;128:1913–9.
- Elango R, Humayun MA, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Indicator amino acid oxidation is not affected by period of adaptation to a wide range of lysine intake in healthy young men. J Nutr 2009;139:1082–7.
- Elango R, Humayun MA, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Protein requirement of healthy school-age children determined by the indicator amino acid oxidation method. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;94:1545–52.
- 34. Tang M, McCabe GP, Elango R, Pencharz PB, Ball RO, Campbell WW. Assessment of protein requirement in octogenarian women with use of the indicator amino acid oxidation technique. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:891–8.
- 35. Rafii M, Chapman K, Owens J, Elango R, Campbell WW, Ball RO, Pencharz PB, Courtney-Martin G. Dietary protein requirement of female adults over 65 years determined by the indicator amino acid oxidation technique is higher than current recommendations. J Nutr 2015;145:18–24.

- Hoffer LJ. Protein requirement of school-age children [author reply].
 Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95:777–8.
- Millward DJ, Jackson AA. Protein requirements and the indicator amino acid oxidation method [author reply]. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95:1501–2.
- 38. Fukagawa NK. Protein requirements: methodologic controversy amid a call for change. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:761–2.
- 39. Stephens TV, Woo H, Innis SM, Elango R. Healthy pregnant women in Canada are consuming more dietary protein at 16- and 36-week gestation than currently recommended by the Dietary Reference Intakes, primarily from dairy food sources. Nutr Res 2014;34:569–76.
- British Columbia Ministry of Health. Vital Statistics Agency Annual Report, Figure 9. Victoria, British Columbia. 2011 [cited 2014 Jun 12].
 Available from http://www.vs.gov.bc.ca/stats/annual/2011/.
- Levesque CL, Moehn S, Pencharz PB, Ball RO. The threonine requirement of sows increases in late gestation. J Anim Sci 2011;89:93–102.
- Samuel RS, Moehn S, Pencharz PB, Ball RO. Dietary lysine requirement of sows increases in late gestation. J Anim Sci 2012;90:4896–904.
- 43. Franco DJ, Josephson JK, Moehn S, Pencharz PB, Ball RO. Isoleucine requirement of pregnant sows. J Anim Sci 2013;91:3859–66.
- 44. Franco DJ, Josephson JK, Moehn S, Pencharz PB, Ball RO. Tryptophan requirement of pregnant sows. J Anim Sci 2014;92:4457–65.
- 45. Wu G, Bazer FW, Burghardt RC, Johnson GA, Kim SW, Li XL, Satterfield MC, Spencer TE. Impacts of amino acid nutrition on pregnancy outcome in pigs: mechanisms and implications for swine production. J Anim Sci 2010;88:E195–204.
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Satterfield MC, Li X, Wang X, Johnson GA, Burghardt RC, Dai Z, Wang J, Wu Z. Impacts of arginine nutrition on embryonic and fetal development in mammals. Amino Acids 2013;45:241–56.
- Wu G. Dietary requirements of synthesizable amino acids by animals: a paradigm shift in protein nutrition. J Anim Sci Biotechnol 2014;5:34.
- 48. Garner P, Kramer MS, Chalmers I. Might efforts to increase birthweight in undernourished women do more harm than good? Lancet 1992;340:1021–3.
- 49. Blumfield ML, Collins CE. High-protein diets during pregnancy: healthful or harmful for offspring? Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100:993–5.
- Brown LD, Green AS, Limesand SW, Rozance PJ. Maternal amino acid supplementation for intrauterine growth restriction. Front Biosci (Schol Ed) 2011;3:428–44.
- Rush D, Stein Z, Susser M. A randomized controlled trial of prenatal nutritional supplementation in New York City. Pediatrics 1980;65: 683_97
- 52. Higgins AC, Moxley JE, Pencharz PB, Mikolainis D, Dubois S. Impact of the Higgins Nutrition Intervention Program on birth weight: a within-mother analysis. J Am Diet Assoc 1989;89:1097–103.
- 53. Godfrey K, Robinson S, Barker DJ, Osmond C, Cox V. Maternal nutrition in early and late pregnancy in relation to placental and fetal growth. BMJ 1996;312:410–4.
- 54. Ceesay SM, Prentice AM, Cole TJ, Foord F, Weaver LT, Poskitt EM, Whitehead RG. Effects on birth weight and perinatal mortality of maternal dietary supplements in rural Gambia: 5 year randomised controlled trial. BMJ 1997;315:786–90.
- 55. Imdad A, Bhutta ZA. Effect of balanced protein energy supplementation during pregnancy on birth outcomes. BMC Public Health 2011; 11(Suppl 3):S17.
- Imdad A, Bhutta ZA. Maternal nutrition and birth outcomes: effect of balanced protein-energy supplementation. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2012;26(Suppl 1):178–90.
- 57. Blumfield M, Hure A, MacDonald-Wicks L, Smith R, Simpson S, Raubenheimer D, Collins C. The association between the macronutrient content of maternal diet and the adequacy of micronutrients during pregnancy in the Women and Their Children's Health (WATCH) Study. Nutrients 2012;4:1958–76.
- 58. Prentice AM, Ceesay SM, Whitehead RG. Maternal supplementation and birthweight. Lancet 1993;341:52–3.
- Ball RO, Moehn S. Feeding pregnant sows for optimum productivity: past, present and future perspectives. In: Pluske JM, Pluske JR, editors. Manipulating pig production XIV. Corowa (Australia): Australasian Pig Science Association; 2013. p. 151–69.