CrossMark

Septins guide microtubule protrusions induced by actin-depolymerizing toxins like Clostridium difficile transferase (CDT)

Thilo Nölke^{a,1}, Carsten Schwan^{a,1}, Friederike Lehmann^{a,b,c}, Kristine Østevold^{a,d}, Olivier Pertz^e, and Klaus Aktories^{a,f,g,2}

^aInstitute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany; ^bSpemann Graduate School of
Biology and Medicine (SGBM), University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Ger Germany; ^dFaculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany; ^eInstitute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland;
^fCentre for Biological Signalling Studies (BIOSS), University of University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

Edited by Rino Rappuoli, GSK Vaccines, Siena, Italy, and approved May 17, 2016 (received for review November 17, 2015)

Hypervirulent Clostridium difficile strains, which are associated with increased morbidity and mortality, produce the actin-ADP ribosylating toxin Clostridium difficile transferase (CDT). CDT depolymerizes actin, causes formation of microtubule-based protrusions, and increases pathogen adherence. Here, we show that septins (SEPT) are essential for CDT-induced protrusion formation. SEPT2, -6, -7, and -9 accumulate at predetermined protrusion sites and form collar-like structures at the base of protrusions. The septin inhibitor forchlorfenuron or knockdown of septins inhibits protrusion formation. At protrusion sites, septins colocalize with the GTPase Cdc42 (cell division control protein 42) and its effector Borg (binder of Rho GTPases), which act as up-stream regulators of septin polymerization. Precipitation and surface plasmon resonance studies revealed high-affinity binding of septins to the microtubule plus-end tracking protein EB1, thereby guiding incoming microtubules. The data suggest that CDT usurps conserved regulatory principles involved in microtubule–membrane interaction, depending on septins, Cdc42, Borgs, and restructuring of the actin cytoskeleton.

microtubules | septins | actin | bacterial toxin | Clostridium difficile

The pathogen *Clostridium difficile* is the causative agent of pseudomembranous colitis and antibiotic-associated diarrhea (1). Recently emerging hypervirulent strains (e.g., NAP1/027) have been associated with severe courses of C. difficile infections with increased morbidity and mortality (2). These strains have deletions in regulatory genes, resulting in overexpression of the Rho-inactivating glycosylating toxins A and B (3). Additionally, they produce the binary toxin C. difficile transferase (CDT) (2, 4). CDT ADP ribosylates actin at arginine-177, thereby inhibiting actin polymerization (5–7).

CDT-induced depolymerization of F-actin induces formation of long microtubule-based protrusions, which form a meshwork on the surface of intestinal host cells (8). Clostridia are enwrapped by the protrusions, resulting in increased pathogen adherence. In addition to microtubules, the protrusions contain membrane tubules of endoplasmic reticulum and allow traffic of Rab5- and Rab11-positive vesicles (9). Moreover, CDT induces rerouting of fibronectin-containing vesicles from the basolateral to the apical side of intestinal epithelial cells. Here, fibronectin, a binding protein for Clostridium difficile, is released at microtubule-based protrusions, suggesting that the cell-surface extensions participate in host–pathogen interaction (9).

Protrusion formation occurs in membrane areas where CDT causes partial depolymerization of cortical actin (8). The toxin induces the redistribution of microtubule capture proteins such as Clasp2 and ACF7, which are normally associated with cortical actin, from the membrane to the cytosolic fraction, suggesting that CDT alters the functions of the capture proteins. Also, the cholesterol content of membranes was found to be crucial for protrusion formation (10). So far, however, the molecular mechanisms involved in formation of CDT-induced cell protrusions are largely unknown.

We asked whether septins (SEPT), which interact with both F-actin and microtubules, are involved in toxin-induced restructuring of the cytoskeleton and formation of protrusions. Septins are conserved GTP-binding proteins, which form hetero-oligomers and polymers, and are considered as the fourth component of the cytoskeleton in addition to microfilaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments (11–13). Septins are involved in numerous functions, including cytokinesis, ciliogenesis, neurite development, and exocytosis (14–17). They have scaffold functions, act as membrane diffusion barriers, and are involved in host– pathogen interactions. Septins locate to cell membranes, where they control the stiffness/rigidity and the shape of membranes.

Here, we report that formation of CDT-induced protrusions depends on septins, which are located at the base of the protrusions. Septins are involved in early steps of protrusion formation and guide microtubules from the cytosol into emerging protrusions at the cell membrane, a process that probably involves the plus-end tracking protein EB1 (end-binding protein 1). Cell division control protein 42 (Cdc42) and its effector Borg (binder of Rho GTPases), which are up-stream regulators of septins, control CDT-induced protrusion formation (18). The data indicate that CDT exploits a highly conserved regulatory pathway of microtubule–membrane interaction.

Results

Septin Translocation After CDT Treatment. In human colon adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells, CDT induced the formation of

Significance

The bacterial toxin Clostridium difficile transferase (CDT) depolymerizes actin and forms microtubule-based cell protrusions suggested to be involved in cell adherence of bacteria. Here we report that GTP-binding septin proteins initiate protrusion formation. Accumulation of septins at sites of protrusion initiation depends on the small GTPase Cdc42 (cell division control protein 42) and its effector Borg (binder of Rho GTPases). CDT-induced septin accumulations at the cell membrane guide microtubules to form cell protrusions. Septins directly interact with the microtubule plus-end tracking protein EB1. Thus, the toxin exploits septin-dependent regulation of microtubules to form cell protrusions.

Author contributions: C.S. and K.A. designed research; T.N., C.S., F.L., and K.Ø. performed research; O.P. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; T.N., C.S., and K.A. analyzed data; and C.S. and K.A. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹T.N. and C.S. contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [klaus.aktories@pharmakol.uni](mailto:klaus.aktories@pharmakol.uni-freiburg.de)[freiburg.de](mailto:klaus.aktories@pharmakol.uni-freiburg.de).

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental)

microtubule-based membrane protrusions 40–60 min after toxin addition (Fig. 1A). Protrusion formation was paralleled by partial destruction of the actin cytoskeleton $(Fig. SIA)$. Changes in the actin and microtubule cytoskeleton were accompanied by major remodeling and relocalization of septins. In untreated cells, septins were found associated mainly with actin filaments and partially with the microtubule lattice (Fig. 1B, Fig. $S1A$, and Fig. $S2A$ [and](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2) \overline{B}). After treatment with CDT, septins originally associated with cortical actin lost the colocalization during actin depoly-merization ([Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1) A and B). The major fraction of septins developed structures resembling circles and arches at the base of CDT-induced protrusion. In some cases, septins also colocalized along the microtubules within protrusions ([Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)A). Notably, septins appeared at membranes early after CDT addition at sites of very small protrusions, which had just started to grow. Immunofluorescence studies revealed participation of SEPT2, -6, and -7 in this process (Fig. 1B and Fig. $S2A$ and B). In 3D reconstructions, septins accumulated at the apical surface of cells resembling a collar or arch at the protrusion base (Fig. 1C). These structures were also observed with polarized Caco-2 cells [\(Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) \overline{A} [and](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) \overline{B}). Here, SEPT9 also was part of septin accumulations [\(Fig.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) S3 C [and](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) D), whereas less SEPT9 was observed in subconfluent cells. Similarly, CDT caused septin-colocalized protrusions in polarized Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells [\(Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4) A [and](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4) B). Moreover, in primary colon epithelial cells, septins accumulated at the base of CDT-induced protrusions ([Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF5)).

Previous studies showed that other F-actin–depolymerizing toxins (e.g., Clostridium botulinum C2 toxin), which ADP-ribosylate actin, induce protrusion formation (8). Moreover, the macrolide

toxin latrunculin A, which depolymerizes F-actin by a different mechanism (19), causes protrusion formation, although less pronounced than actin-ADP–ribosylating toxins (8). We observed that also latrunculin A induced protrusion-associated septin accumulations [\(Fig. S6](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF6)), indicating a general cellular mechanism.

Septins Are a Prerequisite for Protrusion Formation. To study whether septin translocation is a prerequisite for protrusion formation, we used shRNA knockdowns of SEPT2, -6, and -7 (Fig. 1E and [Fig.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF7) [S7](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF7) A–[E](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF7)). Knockdown of SEPT6 and -7, but not of SEPT2, reduced protrusion formation (Fig. 1E). On the other hand, overexpression of SEPT6 and -7, but not of SEPT2, increased protrusion formation (Fig. 1D and Fig. $S7 F$ and G). In Caco-2 cells, another septin might take over for SEPT2 because other SEPT2 family members were detected ([Fig. S7](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF7)H). The septin inhibitor forchlorfenuron (FCF), which interferes with septin dynamics (20), reduced protrusion formation (Fig. $1F$ and Fig. $S7 I$ and J). FCF did not affect CDT-induced ADP ribosylation of actin in intact cells, thereby excluding interference of the compound with uptake or enzyme activity of CDT ([Fig. S7](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF7)K). Notably, SEPT6 and -7 knockdown, SEPT6 and -7 overexpression, and FCF treatment affected the overall number of protrusions as well as the average length of protrusion.

Septins Are in Complex with the Cdc42 Effector Borg. Cdc42 and its effector proteins Borg are involved in regulation of septins (21). Therefore, we studied whether Borgs play a role in CDT-induced protrusion formation. We found that in Caco-2 cells septins colocalized with expressed GFP-tagged versions of the Cdc42 effector

Fig. 1. Membrane translocation of septins after CDT treatment and their role in protrusion formation. (A) Differential interference contrast (DIC) time-lapse microscopy of Caco-2 cells. Cells were treated with CDT (200 ng/mL CDTa and 400 ng/mL CDTb) for the indicated times. (Scale bar, 20 µm.) (B) Indirect immunofluorescence in Caco-2 cells of SEPT7 (green) with actin (red, TRITC-phalloidin) or of SEPT7 (green) and α-tubulin (red). Cells were treated with CDT (200 ng/mL CDTa and 400 ng/mL CDTb) for 90 min. (Scale bars: 10 μm; Insets, 2 μm.) (C) Indirect immunofluorescence in Caco-2 cells of SEPT2 and α-tubulin. Cells were treated with CDT as in B. Images are 3D reconstructions of apical protrusions from different angles. (D) Caco-2 cells were transfected with shRNA for SEPT2, SEPT6, and SEPT7, respectively. After 48 h, cells were treated as in A. The relative formation of protrusions ("µm protrusions/µm cell perimeter") was quantified. Data are \pm SEM, cells are ≥56, and $n \ge 3$. (E) Caco-2 cells were transfected with expression plasmids encoding SEPT2, SEPT6, and SEPT7, respectively, fused to GFP. Relative formation of protrusions was quantified. Data are \pm SEM, cells are \geq 75, and $n \geq 3$. (F) Caco-2 cells were treated with 50 or 100 µM of FCF for 2.5 h. Subsequently, cells were treated with CDT (as in A).The relative formation of protrusions was quantified over time. Data are \pm SEM, fields of view are \geq 20, and $n = 3$.

Fig. 2. Borg proteins colocalize with septins. (A) Caco-2 cells were transfected with SEPT6-tomato and Borg1-, Borg2-, and Borg3-GFP. Cells were treated with CDT (200 ng/mL CDTa and 400 ng/mL CDTb) for 90 min. Borg proteins colocalize with septins. (B) Caco-2 cells were transfected with SEPT6-tomato and Borg2-GFP. Cells were treated as in A. Borg2 colocalizes with SEPT6 at the base of protrusions. (Scale bar, 3 μ m.) (C) Caco-2 cells were transfected with

proteins Borg 1, -2, and -3 in filamentous structures (Fig. 2A). After intoxication with CDT, septins formed chevron-like structures at the membrane, and Borgs were localized at the base of toxin-induced protrusions as a part of these structures (Fig. 2 B and C). Control cells with a strong Borg expression showed an increased microtubule density and bundling at the cell cortex. These microtubule bundles strongly associated with thick septin filaments (Fig. 2C).

Next, we analyzed the effects of the Cdc42-activating toxin cytotoxic necrotizing factor (CNF1) (22, 23). Whereas CNF1 alone affected the actin cytoskeleton and increased formation of stress fibers, the toxin caused minor or no changes of the septin cytoskeleton. In CNF1-pretreated cells, CDT strongly increased formation of septin rings all over the cell. At the cortex, the chevron-like septin accumulations were changed to an undirected formation of septin rings (Fig. 3A). Concomitantly, CNF1 pretreatment strongly reduced protrusion formation (Fig. 3B), indicating that accumulation and/or functions of septins are downstream of Cdc42 and its effector protein Borg.

Similarly, expression of dominant active Cdc42 Q61E had no effect on septins after 24 h of transfection. However, after CDTinduced partial destruction of the actin cytoskeleton, the overexpression of Cdc42 Q61E had a similar effect as CNF1 with strongly increased formation of septin rings (Fig. 3C). The septin rings colocalized with expressed GFP-Cdc42 Q61E, suggesting that the GTPase Cdc42 is causally linked to ring formation. Moreover, dominant active Cdc42 inhibited the formation of protrusions (Fig. 3D). In line with these findings, we observed that expression of the BD3 domain of Borg2, which was shown to interact with septins, inhibited protrusion formation (Fig. 3D) (21). To analyze the spatiotemporal regulation of Cdc42, cells were transfected with a FRET sensor (24, 25). After 24 h, transfected cells were treated with CDT and the activation of Cdc42 was monitored 1 h after toxin addition. The base of protrusions revealed increased Cdc42 activity in ratio imaging (Fig. 4 A and B). In contrast, no increased RhoA activation was observed.

Septins Guide the Way of Microtubules. [Fig. S8](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF8)A shows plus-end tracks of polymerizing microtubules, labeled by EB1, which moved along septin filaments. In control cells, microtubules polymerize along septin tracks. Upon CDT-induced actin depolymerization, septins were located at actin-free sites of the membrane, forming unstructured clusters (Fig. 5A and [Fig. S8](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF8)B). These clusters were predetermined sites of protrusion formation. During initial growth and elongation of microtubule-based protrusion, the septin accumulations mature to typical arch- or chevron-like structures (Fig. 1B). After formation of a stable septin base at the protrusion–cell membrane interface, further polymerizing microtubules follow the leading microtubule in the same track [\(Fig. S8](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF8)C). Also microtubules that do not polymerize in the same track are redirected at the protrusion base into the protrusion (Fig. 5 B and C).

Septins Interact with the Microtubule Plus-End. Septins are known to bind microtubules (20). However, the structures involved are largely enigmatic. We asked whether the plus-end tracking protein-1 (EB1), which is a master regulator of protein interactions at the plus-ends of microtubules $(21-25)$, plays a role in septin–microtubule communication. In fact, GST–pull-down experiments with EB1-loaded beads revealed a direct interaction of septins with EB1. Septins were precipitated as purified His-tagged proteins (Fig. $S8 \overline{D}$ and E), as endogenous septins from Caco-2 cell lysates (Fig. 5 $D-F$) or from EB1-GFP–transfected HeLa cells (Fig. 2 G and H). To quantify the interaction between EB1 and septin, we used surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy. These studies revealed an EB1–septin interaction affinity of a K_d of 80 \pm 12 nM for SEPT2,

Borg3-GFP and treated with CDT as in A. Cells were stained for SEPT2 and tubulin. (Insets) Septin colocalization with microtubles and Borg in control cells. In CDT-treated cells, Insets show chevron-like structures at the protrusion base and colocalization of septins and Borg. (Scale bars, 10 μm.)

Fig. 3. Influence of Cdc42 on septin accumulation. (A) Caco-2 cells were pretreated with 150 ng/mL CNF1 for 3 h to activate Rho-GTPases. Cells were subsequently treated with CDT (200 ng/mL CDTa and 400 ng/mL CDTb) for 1.5 h. Cells were stained for SEPT2, α-tubulin, and actin. To visualize all septin rings in CNF1 plus CDT-treated cells, confocal stacks were projected into one plane. Insets show chevron-like structures at the base of protrusions in CDT-treated cells. In CNF1 and CDT-treated cells, Insets show septin-ring formation. (Scale bar, 10 μm.) (B) Caco-2 cells were treated as in A. The relative formation of protrusions ("μm protrusions/µm cell perimeter") was quantified after 60 and 120 min of CDT intoxication. Data are \pm SEM, fields of view are \geq 7, and $n = 3$. (C) Caco-2 cells were transfected with dominant active Cdc42 (Q61E) for 24 h. Cells were treated with CDT as in A. Inset in "control" shows septin filaments in transfected (green asterisk) and nontransfected (white cross) cells. To visualize all septin rings in transfected CDT-treated cells, confocal stacks were projected into one plane. Insets show cortical septin structures in CDT-treated cells. In transfected CDT-treated cells, Insets show septin ring formation. Septin rings colocalize with dominant active Cdc42. (Scale bar, 10 µm.) (D) Caco-2 cells were treated as in C. Control cells were transfected with GFP only. Cells were also transfected with the BD3 domain of Borg2 fused to GFP. Relative formation of protrusions was quantified after 60 and 120 min. Data are \pm SEM, transfected cells are \geq 13, and $n = 3$.

 19 ± 11 nM for SEPT6, and 26 ± 4 nM for SEPT7 [\(Fig. S8](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF8) F-[H](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF8)). Thus, septins interact directly and with high affinity with EB1.

Discussion

Here, we show that septins accumulate at the membrane after cells were intoxicated with the actin-ADP–ribosylating toxin CDT. Septins are well known to interact with anionic phospholipids (e.g., phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate and phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate) (26, 27). In our studies, the sites of septin accumulation are characterized by spots of depolymerized cortical actin, which may allow interaction with membrane phospholipids. During protrusion formation the septin accumulations matured to chevron-like or circular structures at the base of protrusions. Interestingly, a very similar angle-like structure of septins occurs at the base of developing neurite branches (28). However, in neurites, formation of actin filaments are crucial for collateral branching, which is followed by accumulation of microtubules. Although in neurites SEPT6 increases cortactin recruitment, which is essential to trigger formation of actin-based axonal filopodia (28), we did not detect any role of cortactin in CDT-induced protrusion formation [\(Fig. S9](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF9)). In our study, CDT causes local depolymerization of actin, which is a prerequisite for septin-dependent, microtubulebased protrusion formation. In fact, several findings indicate that septins are essential for toxin-induced protrusion formation. While overexpression of septins increased protrusion formation, septin knockdown and treatment with FCF, an inhibitor of septin turnover (20), blocked formation of protrusions. Not only the number but also the length of protrusions was affected by CDT treatment, suggesting that septins are involved not only in initiation but also in growth, dynamics, and stabilization of microtubule-based protrusions. Accordingly, we also observed septins along the protrusion shaft. Although knockdown of SEPT6 and SEPT7 clearly inhibited protrusion formation, knockdown of SEPT2 had no effect, although it was part of the septin structure at the base of protrusions. We assume that functional redundancy of other septins (e.g., SEPT1 and SEPT5) from the SEPT2 group is responsible for protrusion formation after SEPT2 knockdown.

Studies from yeast and mammalian cells indicate that the small GTPase Cdc42 plays an essential role in septin regulation (21, 29). Accordingly, we observed locally increased activity of Cdc42 in FRET experiments before and during protrusion formation. However, persistent activation of Cdc42 was inhibitory. When dominant active Cdc42 or the Rho protein activator CNF1 was used, protrusion formation was strongly inhibited. These findings suggest that the free cycling of Cdc42 from the inactive to the active state is necessary to fulfill septin regulatory functions. CNF1 and also

Fig. 4. Cdc42 activation in CDT-induced protrusion formation. (A) Caco-2 cells were transfected with a FRET sensor for Cdc42 or RhoA. Cells were intoxicated with CDT (200 ng/mL CDTa and 400 ng/mL CDTb) for 40–80 min. Sites of protrusion formation have increased Cdc42 activity (arrowheads). Rho activity is not increased at these sites. The nomenclature "#1," "#2," and "#3" represents three protrusion formation events with the Cdc42 FRET sensor. Time is indicated on the left (Cdc42) or above (RhoA). Donor images are on the right (Cdc42) or below (RhoA) with inverted contrast. (Scale bars, 5 μ m.) (B) Quantification of FRET intensity of Cdc42 and RhoA constructs during protrusion formation.Cells were treated as in A. When the protrusions appear, the curve turns from orange to red (RhoA) or from turquoise to blue (Cdc42). Data are \pm SEM, $n = 8$ for Cdc42, and $n = 6$ for RhoA).

dominant active Cdc42 caused increased formation of septin rings in the cytosol, whereas the membrane localization of septins with chevron-like structures were decreased. This is in line with a role of cycling Cdc42 in septin membrane recruitment observed in yeast and mammalian cells (30, 31). Moreover, the persistently active form of Cdc42 may favor uncontrolled ring formation of septins that prevents a spatiotemporal controlled polymerization of septins at the site of protrusion formation.

Cdc42 effectors of the Borg family are suggested to play a pivotal role in septin recruitment and organization (21, 32). Five Borg family members (Borg1–5) are known (18). All Borgs bind directly to septins via their BD3 domain (21). We could colocalize Borg1–3 with septin filaments. After CDT treatment Borgs colocalized with the chevron-like structures at the base of protrusions. The regulation of Borgs by Cdc42 is not well understood. Although Borgs bind to active GTP-bound Cdc42 in vitro (18), it was shown that the active Cdc42 can displace Borg from septins. Thus, Borg–septin interaction appears to be negatively regulated by Cdc42 (21). Accordingly, we observed that Borgs were displaced from protrusions after CNF treatment of cells.

Bowen et al. reported that septins track microtubule bundles (33). Similarly, we observed tracking of polymerizing cytosolic microtubules along septins in control cells. In CDT-treated cells, where membrane-associated septin clusters had formed, microtubule tips interacted with the chevron-like structures of septins. Moreover, we observed that septins guided microtubules to form projections and forced new directions of microtubule growth. This was evident when microtubules contacted septins at distal parts of the chevron-like structures. The septin interaction redirected the tip of microtubules into the center of the septin "funnel," where microtubules continued to grow beyond the edge of the cell to form protrusions.

We show that septins interact with microtubules via EB1, which is a multifunctional interaction partner at the microtubule tip (21–25). Pull-down experiments and surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy, exhibiting K_d values in the nanomolar range, revealed that septins bind directly to EB1. Previously, we showed that toxin-induced protrusions contain endoplasmic reticulum, which is connected to microtubules via stromal interaction molecule 1 (Stim-1) (9). Stim-1 is involved in Stim/Orai-dependent store-operated calcium entry, and septins are coordinators of Stim/ Orai channels (34). It remains to be studied whether Stim-1 is another functional connection between microtubules and septins.

Taken together, here we show that the actin-ADP–ribosylating toxin CDT of C. difficile induces septin accumulations at the cell membrane, which are essential for the formation of toxin-induced microtubule-based protrusions. This effect is regulated by Cdc42 and most likely by Borg proteins. Septins guide growing microtubules and determine the site of protrusion formation at the cell membrane by interaction with EB1 at the tips of microtubules. Thus, the bacterial toxin exploits septin-dependent regulatory mechanism of microtubule organization to eventually form a network of cell protrusions and to increase the adherence of the pathogen during C. difficile infection.

Methods

For detailed methods, see [SI Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT), [Cell Culture and Transient Transfec](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)[tions](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [Preparation of Primary Colon Epithelial Cells](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [Expression and Purifi](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)[cation of Proteins](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [shRNAs](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [Antibodies, Fluorescent Dyes, and Fluorescent](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT) [Proteins](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [Immunostaining](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [Live-Cell Imaging](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [FRET Experiments](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [Pull-Down](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT) [Assays and Coimmunoprecipitation](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [Surface Plasmon Resonance](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); [ADP-](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)[Ribosylation Assays](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT); and [Statistics](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).

Immunostaining. Cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 4% (wt/vol) formaldehyde in PBS, washed with PBS, permeabilized with 0.15% Triton X-100 in PBS, and blocked. Incubation with the primary antibody was overnight at 4 °C. Cells were washed and incubated with the suitable secondary antibody for 1 h. Cells were washed, dried, and embedded with Mowiol. Cells were analyzed with the microscope mentioned in [SI Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT). Images were processed with Metamorph software.

Live-Cell Imaging. For live-cell imaging, cells were incubated in a chamber with humidified atmosphere at 37 °C on the microscope ([SI Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT), [Immunos](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)[taining](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522717113/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201522717SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)). Quantification of microtubule protrusion formation was performed according to ref. 8.

FRET Experiments. Procedure for FRET measurements is given in the immunostaining section. At each time point, three images were recorded for CFP, FRET, and YFP. Donor (CFP) and FRET images were acquired sequentially with exposure times ≤300 ms. Processing of ratiometric images was performed with the Biosensor Processing Software 2.1 (Danuser laboratory: Iccb.hms. [harvard.edu/software.html\)](http://lccb.hms.harvard.edu/software.html). Subsequent image analysis was performed in MetaMorph. Ratio images were color-coded; warm and cold colors represent high and low biosensor activity, respectively.

Pull-Down Assays and Coimmunoprecipitation. Cells were lysed in buffers supplemented with Complete protease inhibitor (Roche). For pull-down experiments, lysates were incubated with GST or GST-EB1 bound to glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) and subjected to Western blot analysis.

For pull-down of recombinant proteins, GST or GST-EB1 bound to glutathione Sepharose 4B was incubated with purified His-tagged proteins.

For coimmunoprecipitation, lysates were incubated with μMacs GFP isolation beads (Miltenyi Biotec). The beads were immobilized to μColumns and after elution, proteins were detected by immunoblot.

Mice were housed and handled in accordance with good animal practice as defined by FELASA ([www.felasa.eu\)](http://www.felasa.eu) and the national animal welfare body GV-SOLAS (www.gv-solas.de).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Grant CRC/SFB 1140 (to C.S. and K.A.). This study was supported in part by the excellence initiative of the German Research Foundation (GSC-4, Spemann Graduate School).

Fig. 5. Microtubules are guided along septins. (A) Combined DIC and confocal images of Caco-2 cells. Cells were transfected with SEPT2-GFP and treated with CDT (200 ng/mL CDTa and 400 ng/mL CDTb) for 170 min (white arrow, site of protrusion formation with preexisting septin accumulation). (Scale bar, 5 μm.) (B) Caco-2 cells were transfected with SEPT6-tomato and EB3-GFP. The 55-s time-lapse sequence after CDT intoxication (as in A) for 1.5 h. Yellow arrowhead marks an EB3 positive microtubule plus-end, approaching a septin structure at the protrusion base. White arrowhead marks the same plus-end pausing at the base of protrusion. Cyan arrowhead shows redirection and fast entering into the protrusion. (C) Model for redirection of polymerizing microtubules into protrusions. Plus-ends of polymerizing microtubules contact a septin chevron-like structure and are redirected into the protrusion. (D) Representative blot of an EB1-GST pull-down of endogenous SEPT2 and SEPT7 from Caco-2 cell lysates. GST-loaded beads were used as a control. (E) Quantification of blots as in D for SEPT7. Blots were normalized to the amount of SEPT7 in the lysate. Data are \pm SEM, $n = 4$. (F) Quantification of blots as in D for SEPT2. Blots were normalized to the amount of SEPT2 in the lysate. Data are \pm SEM and $n = 4$. (G) Representative blot of a coimmunopreciptitation of SEPT2 along with EB1-GFP. HeLa cells were transfected with EB1-GFP for 24 h. SEPT2 and EB1-GFP from the lysates were coimmunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody conjugated to magnetic beads. GFP-transfected cells were used as control. (H) Quantification of blots as in G for SEPT2. Blots were normalized to the amount of EB1-GFP and GFP on beads. Data are \pm SEM and $n = 3$.

- 1. Kelly CP, LaMont JT (2008) Clostridium difficile: More difficult than ever. N Engl J Med 359(18):1932–1940.
- 2. McDonald LC, et al. (2005) An epidemic, toxin gene-variant strain of Clostridium difficile. N Engl J Med 353(23):2433–2441.
- 3. Voth DE, Ballard JD (2005) Clostridium difficile toxins: Mechanism of action and role in disease. Clin Microbiol Rev 18(2):247–263.
- 4. Gerding DN, Johnson S, Rupnik M, Aktories K (2014) Clostridium difficile binary toxin CDT: Mechanism, epidemiology, and potential clinical importance. Gut Microbes 5(1):15–27.
- 5. Gülke I, et al. (2001) Characterization of the enzymatic component of the ADP-ribosyltransferase toxin CDTa from Clostridium difficile. Infect Immun 69(10):6004–6011.
- 6. Aktories K, Lang AE, Schwan C, Mannherz HG (2011) Actin as target for modification by bacterial protein toxins. FEBS J 278(23):4526–4543.
- 7. Perelle S, Gibert M, Bourlioux P, Corthier G, Popoff MR (1997) Production of a complete binary toxin (actin-specific ADP-ribosyltransferase) by Clostridium difficile CD196. Infect Immun 65(4):1402–1407.
- 8. Schwan C, et al. (2009) Clostridium difficile toxin CDT induces formation of microtubulebased protrusions and increases adherence of bacteria. PLoS Pathog 5(10):e1000626.
- 9. Schwan C, et al. (2014) Clostridium difficile toxin CDT hijacks microtubule organization and reroutes vesicle traffic to increase pathogen adherence. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111(6):2313–2318.
- 10. Schwan C, et al. (2011) Cholesterol- and sphingolipid-rich microdomains are essential for microtubule-based membrane protrusions induced by Clostridium difficile transferase (CDT). J Biol Chem 286(33):29356–29365.
- 11. Estey MP, Kim MS, Trimble WS (2011) Septins. Curr Biol 21(10):R384–R387.
- 12. Beise N, Trimble W (2011) Septins at a glance. J Cell Sci 124(Pt 24):4141–4146.
- 13. Silverman-Gavrila RV, Silverman-Gavrila LB (2008) Septins: New microtubule interacting partners. ScientificWorldJournal 8:611–620.
- 14. Dolat L, Hu Q, Spiliotis ET (2014) Septin functions in organ system physiology and pathology. Biol Chem 395(2):123–141.
- 15. Mostowy S, Cossart P (2012) Septins: The fourth component of the cytoskeleton. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13(3):183–194.
- 16. Hu Q, et al. (2010) A septin diffusion barrier at the base of the primary cilium maintains ciliary membrane protein distribution. Science 329(5990):436–439.
- 17. Tokhtaeva E, et al. (2015) Septin dynamics are essential for exocytosis. J Biol Chem 290(9):5280–5297.
- 18. Joberty G, Perlungher RR, Macara IG (1999) The Borgs, a new family of Cdc42 and TC10 GTPase-interacting proteins. Mol Cell Biol 19(10):6585–6597.
- 19. Morton WM, Ayscough KR, McLaughlin PJ (2000) Latrunculin alters the actin-monomer subunit interface to prevent polymerization. Nat Cell Biol 2(6):376–378.
- 20. Hu Q, Nelson WJ, Spiliotis ET (2008) Forchlorfenuron alters mammalian septin assembly, organization, and dynamics. J Biol Chem 283(43):29563-29571.
- 21. Joberty G, et al. (2001) Borg proteins control septin organization and are negatively regulated by Cdc42. Nat Cell Biol 3(10):861–866.
- 22. Flatau G, et al. (1997) Toxin-induced activation of the G protein p21 Rho by deamidation of glutamine. Nature 387(6634):729–733.
- 23. Schmidt G, et al. (1997) Gln 63 of Rho is deamidated by Escherichia coli cytotoxic necrotizing factor-1. Nature 387(6634):725–729.
- 24. Fritz RD, et al. (2013) A versatile toolkit to produce sensitive FRET biosensors to visualize signaling in time and space. Sci Signal 6(285):rs12.
- 25. Martin K, et al. (2016) Spatio-temporal co-ordination of RhoA, Rac1 and Cdc42 activation during prototypical edge protrusion and retraction dynamics. Sci Rep 6:21901.
- 26. Zhang J, et al. (1999) Phosphatidylinositol polyphosphate binding to the mammalian septin H5 is modulated by GTP. Curr Biol 9(24):1458–1467.
- 27. Bridges AA, Gladfelter AS (2015) Septin form and function at the cell cortex. J Biol Chem 290(28):17173–17180.
- 28. Hu J, et al. (2012) Septin-driven coordination of actin and microtubule remodeling regulates the collateral branching of axons. Curr Biol 22(12):1109–1115.
- 29. Sadian Y, et al. (2013) The role of Cdc42 and Gic1 in the regulation of septin filament formation and dissociation. eLife 2:e01085.
- 30. Gladfelter AS, Bose I, Zyla TR, Bardes ES, Lew DJ (2002) Septin ring assembly involves cycles of GTP loading and hydrolysis by Cdc42p. J Cell Biol 156(2):315–326.
- 31. Caviston JP, Longtine M, Pringle JR, Bi E (2003) The role of Cdc42p GTPase-activating proteins in assembly of the septin ring in yeast. Mol Biol Cell 14(10):4051-4066.
- 32. Sheffield PJ, et al. (2003) Borg/septin interactions and the assembly of mammalian septin heterodimers, trimers, and filaments. J Biol Chem 278(5):3483-3488.
- 33. Bowen JR, Hwang D, Bai X, Roy D, Spiliotis ET (2011) Septin GTPases spatially guide microtubule organization and plus end dynamics in polarizing epithelia. J Cell Biol 194(2):187–197.
- 34. Sharma S, et al. (2013) An siRNA screen for NFAT activation identifies septins as coordinators of store-operated Ca2+ entry. Nature 499(7457):238–242.
- 35. Papatheodorou P, Zamboglou C, Genisyuerek S, Guttenberg G, Aktories K (2010) Clostridial glucosylating toxins enter cells via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. PLoS One 5(5):e10673.
- 36. Yang G, et al. (2008) Expression of recombinant Clostridium difficile toxin A and B in Bacillus megaterium. BMC Microbiol 8(192):192.
- 37. Barth H, et al. (2000) Cellular uptake of Clostridium botulinum C2 toxin requires oligomerization and acidification. J Biol Chem 275(25):18704–18711.
- 38. Sellin ME, Sandblad L, Stenmark S, Gullberg M (2011) Deciphering the rules governing assembly order of mammalian septin complexes. Mol Biol Cell 22(17):3152–3164.
- 39. Sellin ME, Holmfeldt P, Stenmark S, Gullberg M (2011) Microtubules support a disklike septin arrangement at the plasma membrane of mammalian cells. Mol Biol Cell 22(23):4588–4601.