



Published in final edited form as:

*Pain*. 2016 August ; 157(8): 1586–1587. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000614.

## Examining the complexities of affective experience will enhance our understanding of pain and inform new interventions designed to bolster resilience

Alex J. Zautra, PhD<sup>1</sup> and John A Sturgeon, PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative, and Pain Medicine, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, CA, USA

The examination of “instabilities” in affective experiences [14] opens up a new window into the emotional experiences of those in chronic pain. Such variability in affective states has been studied before, but not with the same level of rigor, and rarely with patients with moderate to large daily fluctuations in their pain experience. Usually we think of affects in terms of magnitude, and we typically focus on negative emotional states. We also are often examining these states by means of retrospective accounts of weeks or months, introducing biases that are unlikely to be random ones [2, 10]. People who remember experiencing abuse during their childhoods, and those who have a propensity to report depression and anxiety are different from those who are less inclined to recall and report those negative experiences [3, 15, 16, 21]. By using daily accounts, such biases are reduced. Furthermore, the inclusion of daily accounts of positive emotional states provides a richer accounting of the person’s immediate affective experiences.

That instability, independent of the magnitude of negative feelings, would moderate the effects of pain on disability and cognitions about pain provides us with an opportunity to examine premises about the role of emotional states in successful adaptation to pain. The authors [14] invite us to look further into the quality of those experiences, and in particular, the uncertainty they may engender, as factors influencing adaptation. It is perhaps not surprising that positive emotion instability was less influential, but, given the recent work by Ong and colleagues [11, 13] on positive affect variability, we think more work is needed, closer in time to the actual pain experience, perhaps within minutes or hours rather than across days, to address that question. Indeed, a different within-subjects examination might be able to detect not just between-person differences in affective stabilities, but also how shifts in affective predictability from one occasion to the next might identify particularly vulnerable times in the lives of patients with pain. In that case, we would be identifying times when people are less resilient to pain, rather than identifying people who are less resilient because of the instabilities in their affect regulation capacities. Further, there may be other salient roles for day-to-day changes in positive affect that are not captured in the

Corresponding Author: Alex J. Zautra, Ph.D., Foundation Professor, Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, 950 S. McAllister, P.O. Box 871104, Tempe, AZ 85287-1104, atajz@asu.edu.

Disclosure/Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

current analysis [5]. For example, individuals facing more pronounced psychiatric distress may demonstrate ongoing deficiencies in positive affect, as in cases of moderate or severe depression. Consequently, stability of positive affect may manifest differently according to the presence or severity of current depressive symptoms, particularly in cases of severe depression. Additionally, positive affect has previously been identified as a salient predictor of physiological and psychological recovery in response to stress, pain, or negative patterns of cognitive appraisal, such as catastrophizing [1, 7, 8, 12, 19]. These prior findings may suggest that positive affect stability or instability may be a useful longitudinal mediator of psychological interventions that may further characterize trajectories of recovery for individuals living with chronic pain. This type of effect may be noted in studies of related mediators, such as acceptance of pain. Those individuals who adopt an accepting stance towards their pain may not only demonstrate greater sustainability of positive affect when in pain, but also less vulnerability to pain-related increases in negative affective states [9].

There is one missing element in this fine study [14]. All measures gathered are designed to identify cognitions, moods, and perceptions of pain; all of which reference internal states. Daily affects are gathered along with pain and disability indicators, and depression and anxiety are gathered to identify and control for individual differences in depression and anxiety. There is no measure taken of external events independent of subjective states that can influence the experience and regulation of pain, positive and negative emotions, cognitions, and disablement [6]. It is not surprising then that the authors' implications of their findings are constrained to ways of understanding and intervening to influence cognitive and affective states that reside within the person.

Yet we know better than to think that our perceptions of our inner states of pain and other affective states exist in a realm of reality independent of the external world. The social world, in particular, has powerful influences on our emotional states. My colleagues and I have found good evidence that external stressors, usually social in origin, not only magnify negative affective experiences, but narrow the contours of affective experiences, collapsing the psychological distance between positive and negative states of mind [3, 4, 18, 20]. Recently, we and others have been examining how sharing positive emotional experiences with others magnifies their impact, and enhances resilient mindsets (Arewasikporn, Zautra, & Sturgeon, manuscript under review). Greater attention to the social relationships of patients with pain may indeed further humanize the doctor-patient relationship by acknowledging that the social world matters to the patient in their struggle to adapt to their condition [21]. Though unique in their neural signatures to some extent, painful social interactions and pain sensations attributable to somatic disturbance may combine in the human mind to accentuate suffering and further disablement [17]. Interventions that strengthen positive interpersonal relations of patients with friends and family, reduce stressful social encounters that exacerbate their condition, and improve doctor-patient interactions are needed. Such programs would complement existing cognitive-behavioral programs to build a bridge across the bio-psycho-social divide that hyphenates our understanding of the human needs of the person in pain.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge funding from The National Institute on Aging (R01 AG26006-01A1; PI, Zautra) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA 3T32DA035165-02S1).

## References

1. Boselie JJ, Vancleef LM, Smeets T, Peters ML. Increasing optimism abolishes pain-induced impairments in executive task performance. *Pain*. 2014; 155(2):334–340. [PubMed: 24145210]
2. Csikszentmihalyi M, Larson R. Validity and reliability of the experience-sampling method. *Flow and the Foundations of Positive Psychology*: Springer. 2014:35–54.
3. Davis DA, Luecken LJ, Zautra AJ. Is exposure to childhood maltreatment related to the development of chronic pain in adulthood? A meta-analytic review of the literature. *Clin J Pain*. 2005; 21(5):398–405. [PubMed: 16093745]
4. Davis MC, Zautra AJ, Smith BW. Chronic pain, stress, and the dynamics of affective differentiation. *J Pers*. 2004; 72(6):1133–1159. [PubMed: 15509279]
5. Finan PH, Garland EL. The role of positive affect in pain and its treatment. *Clin J Pain*. 2015; 31(2):177–187. [PubMed: 24751543]
6. Finan PH, Zautra AJ. Rheumatoid arthritis: Stress affects rheumatoid arthritis, but via what mechanisms? *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2013; 9(10):569–570. [PubMed: 24018548]
7. Fredrickson BL, Levenson RW. Positive emotions speed recovery from the cardiovascular sequelae of negative emotions. *Cogn Emot*. 1998; 12(2):191–220. [PubMed: 21852890]
8. Hood A, Pulvers K, Carrillo J, Merchant G, Thomas M. Positive traits linked to less pain through lower pain catastrophizing. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2012; 52(3):401–405. [PubMed: 22199416]
9. Kranz D, Bollinger A, Nilges P. Chronic pain acceptance and affective well-being: A coping perspective. *Eur J Pain*. 2010; 14(10):1021–1025. [PubMed: 20427215]
10. Larson R, Csikszentmihalyi M. The experience sampling method. *New Directions for Methodology of Social & Behavioral Science*. 1983
11. Moore S, Ong A, Fuller-Rowell T, Almeida D. Who benefits from positive experiences? Exploring affect reactivity to daily stressors as a potential marker of vantage sensitivity, *Proceedings of the Gerontologist*, Vol. 53: Oxford Univ Press Inc Journals Dept, 2001 Evans Rd, Cary, NC 27513 USA. 2013:254–254.
12. Ong AD, Allaire JC. Cardiovascular intraindividual variability in later life: the influence of social connectedness and positive emotions. *Psych Aging*. 2005; 20(3):476–485.
13. Ong AD, Exner-Cortens D, Riffin C, Steptoe A, Zautra A, Almeida DM. Linking stable and dynamic features of positive affect to sleep. *Ann Behav Med*. 2013; 46(1):52–61. [PubMed: 23483378]
14. Rost S, Van Ryckeghem DML, Koval P, Sütterlin S, Vögele C, Crombez G. Affective instability in patients with chronic pain: a diary approach. *PAIN*. 2016 XXX-XXX.
15. Rholes WS, Riskind JH, Lane JW. Emotional states and memory biases: effects of cognitive priming and mood. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1987; 52(1):91–99. [PubMed: 3820082]
16. Seidlitz L, Diener E. Memory for Positive Versus Negative Life Events - Theories for the Differences between Happy and Unhappy Persons. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1993; 64(4):654–664. [PubMed: 8473982]
17. Sturgeon JA, Zautra AJ. Social pain and physical pain: shared paths to resilience. *Pain Management*. 2016; 6(1):63–74. [PubMed: 26678402]
18. Sturgeon JA, Arewasikporn A, Okun MA, Davis MC, Ong AD, Zautra AJ. The psychosocial context of financial stress: Implications for inflammation and psychological health. *Psychosom Med*. 2016; 78(1):134–143. [PubMed: 26569541]
19. Tugade MM, Fredrickson BL. Resilient individuals use positive emotions to bounce back from negative emotional experiences. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2004; 86(2):320–333. [PubMed: 14769087]

20. Zautra AJ, Affleck GG, Tennen H, Reich JW, Davis MC. Dynamic approaches to emotions and stress in everyday life: Bolger and Zuckerman reloaded with positive as well as negative affects. *J Pers.* 2005; 73(6):1511–1538. [PubMed: 16274444]
21. Zautra, AJ.; Infurna, F.; Zautra, E.; Gallardo, C.; Velasco, L. The humanization of social relations: Nourishment for resilience. In: Ong, A.; Corinna, E.; Löckenhoff, CE., editors. *New Developments in Emotional Aging*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association; 2016.