results originate in two independent centres. Leary et al suggest that as HPV DNA is not always present in glandular neoplasia¹ that HPV might be a cofactor rather than an initiator of endocervical glandular neoplasia. If this is so then HPV DNA need not necessarily be detected, possibly explaining the discrepant results from the United Kingdom and elsewhere.

To summarise, results from the United Kingdom³⁷ suggest that infection with HPV types 6, 11, 16, 18 and 31 does not necessarily have a major role in cervical glandular neoplasia.

- Leary J, Jaworski R, Houghton R. In situ hybridization using biotinylated DNA probes to human papillomavirus in adenocarcinoma in situ and endocervical glandular dysplasia of the uterine cervix. *Pathology* 1991;23:85–9.
 Nicklin JL, Wright RG, Bell JR, Samaratunga H, Cox NC, Ward BG. A clinicopathological
- study of adenocarcinoma in situ of the cervix. The influence of cervical HPV infection and other factors, and the role of conservative surgery. Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol 1991; 31:179-83.
- 3 Young FI, Ward LM, Brown LJR. Absence of
- built P., wald L.M. Blown LJK. Absence of human papillomavirus in cervical adeno-carcinoma determined by in situ hybridisa-tion. J Clin Pathol 1991;44:340-1.
 Tase T, Okagaki T, Clark BA, et al. Human papillomavirus types and localization in adenocarcinoma and adenosquamous carcin-oma of the usering cerviry. A study by in scino. oma of the uterine cervix: A study by in situ DNA hybridization. Cancer Res 1988;48: 993-8.
- 5 Tase T, Obagaki T, Clark BA, Twiggs LB, Ostrow RS, Faras AJ. Human papillomavirus DNA in adenocarcinoma in situ microinvase adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix and co-
- adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix and co-existing cervical squamous intra epithelial neoplasia. Int J Gynecol Pathol 1989;8:8-17.
 Farnsworth A, Laverty C, Stoler MH. Human papillomavirus messenger RNA expression in adenocarcinoma in situ of the uterine cervix. Int J Gynecol Pathol 1989;8:321-30.
 Griffin NR, Dockey D, Lewis FA, Wells M. Demonstration of low frequency of human papillomavirus DNA in cervical adeno-carcinoma and adenocarcinoma in situ by the polymerase chain reaction and in situ hybridization. Int J Gynecol Pathol 1991; 10:36-43. 10:36-43.

Radiation colitis is another mimic of chronic inflammatory bowel disease

We read with great interest the article written by Shepherd.1 This informative review will be of great use to practising histopathologists when they face an avalanche of colorectal biopsy specimens with relatively little clinical information. The article should persuade both pathologists and physicians that clinical information is of great importance in reaching a histological diagnosis. The colorectal mucosa has limited ways of expressing itself in response to injury-a single brick from the Berlin Wall may look identical to one from the longstanding Wall of China.

The article does not define the histological features of chronic inflammatory bowel disease, but this diagnosis must be based on a combination of several morphological features such as crypt distortion, metaplasia (Paneth cells or pseudopyloric), fibrosis of the lamina propria associated with loss of crypts and/or significant increase in chronic inflammatory cells. On this basis we believe that radiation colitis is an important addition to the diagnostic possibilities. Radiotherapy is a common form of treatment for many pelvic carcinomas and the clinical features of radiation enteropathy may appear after many years when the inheriting surgeon may be unaware that the patient has been irradiated. Radiation colitis in the chronic phase demonstrates a very significant crypt distortion, vascular telangiectasia, and fibrosis of the lamina propria, which can easily be misinterpreted as healed or quiescent chronic inflammatory bowel disease, unless the relevant information is available.2

> NY HABOUBI SM KAFTAN PF SCHOFIELD Gastroenterology Unit, University Hospital of South Manchester, West Didsbury, Manchester M20 8LR

- Shepherd NA. Pathological mimics of chronic inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Pathol 1991;44:726-33.
- 2 Haboubi NY, Hasleton PS. The causation and clinical management of pelvic radiation disease. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 19–35.

Oestrogen receptors in conjunctival malignant melanoma

Paridaens et al claim to have demonstrated oestrogen receptors in paraffin wax sections of formalin fixed conjunctival malignant melanomas.1 It is not unreasonable to expect that these lesions may be susceptible to endocrine factors, but the authors' results do not support their conclusions.

I have two reservations. First, the cytoplasmic staining they observed conflicts with the known nuclear location of oestrogen receptors.² Second, although the antibody to ER-D5 recognises an epitope on an oestrogen receptor related protein, several studies have shown that immunostaining with this reagent correlates poorly with the results of ligand binding assays for oestrogen receptors.³ Furthermore, the authors are mistaken to believe that ER-D5 is "... present only in oestrogen receptor positive tissues."

Finally, the statement that "... a nuclear binding assay, which identifies non-functional receptors, may be more appropriate" makes no sense. Surely it is more appropriate to identify functional receptors by, for example, seeking oestrogen regulated proteins, such as progesterone receptor and cathepsin D.

JCE UNDERWOOD Department of Pathology, University of Sheffield Medical School, Sheffield S10 2RX

- 1 Paridaens DA, Alexander RA, Hungerford JL, McCartney ACE. Oestrogen receptors in conjunctival malignant melanoma: immunocytochemical study using formalin fixed parat wax sections. J Clin Pathol 1991;44:840-3.
- 2 King WJ, Greene GL. Monoclonal antibodies
- King w J, Oterie Gran receptor in the nuclei of target cells. *Nature* 1984;307:745.
 Horne GM, Angus B, Wright C, et al. Relation-ships between oestrogen receptor, epidermal growth factor receptor, ER-D5, and P24 oes-tropy and the statement of the st
- growth factor receptor, ER-D5, and P24 oestrogen regulated protein in human breast cancer. J Pathol 1988;155:143-50.
 4 Giri DD, Dangerfield VJM, Lonsdale R, Rogers K, Underwood JCE. Immunohistology of oestrogen receptor and D5 antigen in breast cancer: correlation with oestrogen receptor content of adjacent cryostat sections assayed by radioligand binding and enzyme immunoassay. J Clin Pathol 1987;40:734-40.
 5 van der Walt LA, Phillips JI, Afrika DJ. Oestrogen receptor assay by ER-D5 immunocytochemistry fails to correlate with ligand-binding assay in breast cancer.
- immunocytochemistry fails to correlate with ligand-binding assay in breast cancer. South Afr Med J 1988;74:581-3.
 Jemec GB, Wojnarowska F. The distribution of p29 protein in normal human skin. Br J Dermatol 1987;117:217-24.

Dr Paridaens et al comment:

We thank Professor Underwood for his comments on our paper. We disagree, however, with his statement that "the cytoplasmic staining they observed conflicts with the known nuclear location of oestrogen receptors". An alternative immunochemical approach in the detection of the receptor of steroid-hormone receptor moiety complexes or unliganded receptors is the use of antibodies directed against receptor proteins. We used a monoclonal antibody which has been shown to be specific to D5 antigen, a non-hormone-binding component related to cytosolic oestrogen receptors, and which does not recognise classic type 1 nuclear oestrogen receptor.1 The cytoplasmic staining we observed therefore reflected recognition of the ER-D5 antigen which has been shown to be closely related to oestrogen receptors.2

Secondly, a study by Coffer et al showed a significant correlation (p < 0.001) between D5 immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) value and oestrogen receptor sites in breast tumours assayed by [3H]oestradiol binding sites.² However, the correlation between ER-D5 immunohistochemistry and ligandbinding assays for oestrogen receptors has been in dispute³⁻⁵ and as a result the predictive value of the immunocytochemical method using anti-ER-D5 should be interpreted with caution.

Thirdly, the distributors of the antibody (Amersham) indicate that the antigen ER-D5 is present only in oestrogen receptor positive tissues, a finding which was confirmed by King et al.6

Finally, the aim of our concluding statement was to highlight the importance of identifying the hormone receptors that are biologically active (functional as opposed to non-functional receptors) to predict response to hormonal treatment, because this cannot be assessed by immunocytochemistry alone.

- 1 Coffer AI, Lewis KM, Brockas AJ, King RJB. Monoclonal antibodies against a component related to soluble estrogen receptor. Cancer Res 1985;45:3686–93. 2 Coffer AI, Spiller GH, Lewis KM, King RJB.
- antibody against a component related to human estrogen receptor. Cancer Res 1985; 45:3694-8.
- 45:5094-8.
 3 Horne GM, Angus B, Wright C, et al. Relationships between oestrogen receptor, epidermal growth factor receptor, ER-D5, and P24 oestrogen regulated protein in human breast cancer. J Pathol 1988;155:143-50.
 4 Giri DD, Dangerfield VJM, Lonsdale R, et al. Jumphistichary of constrance receptor and the sector protection of the sector protection.
- Immunohistology of oestrogen receptor and D5 antigen in breast cancer: correlation with oestrogen receptor content of adjacent cryo-stat sections assayed by radioligand binding and enzyme immunoassay. J Clin Pathol 1987;
- and enzyme immunoassay. J Clin Patnol 1981; 40:734-40.
 van der Walt LA, Phillips JI, Afrika DJ. Oestrogen receptor assay by ER-D5 immunocytochemistry fails to correlate with ligand-binding assay in breast cancer. South Afr Med J 1988;74:581-3.
- 6 King RBJ, Coffer AI, Gilbert J, et al. Histo-chemical studies with a monoclonal antibody estradiol receptor preparation from human myometrium. *Cancer Res* 1985;45:5728–33.

Secretarial services to consultant microbiologists

A questionnaire on the use of secretarial services sent to 21 consultant microbiologists in Yorkshire in July 1991 produced a