

# **Complementary Microorganisms in Highly Corrosive Biofilms from an Offshore Oil Production Facility**

# **[Adrien Vigneron,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3552-8369)a,b Eric B. Alsop,b,c Brian Chambers,<sup>d</sup> Bartholomeus P. Lomans,<sup>e</sup> Ian M. Head,<sup>a</sup> Nicolas Tsesmetzis<sup>b</sup>**

School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom<sup>a</sup>; Shell International Exploration and Production, Inc., Houston, Texas, USA<sup>b</sup>; DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California, USA<sup>c</sup>; Shell Global Solutions (US), Inc., Houston, Texas, USA<sup>d</sup>; Shell Global Solutions International B.V., Rijswijk, Netherlands<sup>e</sup>

**Offshore oil production facilities are frequently victims of internal piping corrosion, potentially leading to human and environmental risks and significant economic losses. Microbially influenced corrosion (MIC) is believed to be an important factor in this major problem for the petroleum industry. However, knowledge of the microbial communities and metabolic processes leading to corrosion is still limited. Therefore, the microbial communities from three anaerobic biofilms recovered from the inside of a steel pipe exhibiting high corrosion rates, iron oxide deposits, and substantial amounts of sulfur, which are characteristic of MIC, were analyzed in detail. Bacterial and archaeal community structures were investigated by automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis, multigenic (16S rRNA and functional genes) high-throughput Illumina MiSeq sequencing, and quantitative PCR analysis. The microbial community analysis indicated that bacteria, particularly** *Desulfovibrio* **species, dominated the biofilm microbial communities. However, other bacteria, such as** *Pelobacter***,** *Pseudomonas***, and** *Geotoga***, as well as various methanogenic archaea, previously detected in oil facilities were also detected. The microbial taxa and functional genes identified suggested that the biofilm communities harbored the potential for a number of different but complementary metabolic processes and that MIC in oil facilities likely involves a range of microbial metabolisms such as sulfate, iron, and elemental sulfur reduction. Furthermore, extreme corrosion leading to leakage and exposure of the biofilms to the external environment modify the microbial community structure by promoting the growth of aerobic hydrocarbon-degrading organisms.**

**M**etal corrosion is a major concern for the oil industry, poten-tially leading to environmental pollution, safety issues, and major economic losses [\(1,](#page-7-0) [2\)](#page-7-1). Offshore oil facilities represent an important biotope for microorganisms with a corrosive metabolism and are thus markedly affected by microbially influenced corrosion (MIC). The anaerobic conditions combined with petroleum hydrocarbons, organosulfur compounds (e.g., benzothiophenes), volatile fatty acids, and other end products of fermentation present in produced oil and water provide significant amounts of carbon substrates for microorganisms within piping and pipeline networks [\(3\)](#page-7-2). Furthermore, the steel tubes themselves and the seawater initially injected for secondary oil recovery supply abundant electron acceptors like iron and sulfate [\(4\)](#page-7-3). Moreover, the ambient temperature in oil-handling facilities (15 to 35°C) is also permissive for microbial growth. However, opportunities to study biofilms covering the inner walls of pipe networks of operational oil facilities are scarce; therefore, MIC has been extensively studied in laboratory experiments with metallic coupons or cultures of specific organisms that are potentially involved in MIC [\(5](#page-7-4)[–](#page-7-5)[8\)](#page-7-6). Sulfidogenic bacteria (reducing sulfate, thiosulfate, and/or sulfur to sulfide) such as some members of the phylum *Deltaproteobacteria* [\(5,](#page-7-4) [6,](#page-7-7) [9](#page-7-8)[–](#page-7-9)[11\)](#page-7-10), *Firmicutes*, or *Archaeoglobales* [\(12\)](#page-7-11); specific iron-oxidizing microorganisms [\(5,](#page-7-4) [6,](#page-7-7) [13](#page-7-12)[–](#page-7-13)[15\)](#page-7-14); metalreducing bacteria such as members of the genera *Shewanella* [\(16\)](#page-8-0) and *Geobacter* [\(17,](#page-8-1) [18\)](#page-8-2); and acid-producing fermentative organisms have been incriminated as major actors in MIC, and different processes have been described [\(18,](#page-8-2) [19\)](#page-8-3). The main mechanisms of MIC are (i) the reduction of iron to iron sulfide through hydrogen sulfide produced by sulfate-reducing bacteria or archaea in a process referred to as chemical MIC (CMIC) [\(5,](#page-7-4) [6\)](#page-7-7) and (ii) direct oxidation of iron (Fe<sup>0</sup>) by specifically adapted lithotrophic microorganisms that withdraw electrons from iron via electroconduc-

tive iron sulfide in a process referred to as electrical MIC (EMIC) [\(6,](#page-7-7) [20\)](#page-8-4). Because of the frequent detection of hydrogenotrophic bacteria and hydrogenase activity in corrosion samples, cathodic depolarization of the metal surface by hydrogen-scavenging bacteria has also been suggested as an important microbial corrosive process [\(21](#page-8-5)[–](#page-8-6)[23\)](#page-8-7). However, the validity of this model is controversial. Previous studies highlighted that incubation of hydrogenscavenging bacteria on steel coupons resulted in nonsignificant corrosion activities [\(24\)](#page-8-8), and on the basis of thermodynamic and kinetic considerations, cathodic hydrogen consumption conflicts with the rapid corrosion rates observed *in situ* [\(6,](#page-7-7) [20\)](#page-8-4). Furthermore, additional metabolisms, such as fermentation and methanogenesis [\(9,](#page-7-8) [19,](#page-8-3) [25\)](#page-8-9), might indirectly increase corrosion through the production of organic acids or syntrophy with corrosive microorganisms [\(18\)](#page-8-2). Direct reduction of iron might also increase corrosion by removing the Fe(III) oxide coating from metal surfaces [\(26,](#page-8-10) [27\)](#page-8-11). However, corrosion cannot be linked to a single microbial species and laboratory studies typically exhibit less severe corrosion than is reported in the field, where corrosion is

Received 26 November 2015 Accepted 11 February 2016 Accepted manuscript posted online 19 February 2016

Citation Vigneron A, Alsop EB, Chambers B, Lomans BP, Head IM, Tsesmetzis N. 2016. Complementary microorganisms in highly corrosive biofilms from an offshore oil production facility. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:2545–2554. [doi:10.1128/AEM.03842-15.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03842-15)

Editor: H. Nojiri, The University of Tokyo

Address correspondence to Adrien Vigneron, avignero@gmail.com.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03842-15) [/AEM.03842-15.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03842-15)

Copyright © 2016, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

## a) Picture of the pipe section



c) EDX spectrum of loose deposits present in the biofilm Cnt



b) Picture of the biofilm at the leak site



d) Optical micrograph of pitting at the leak site



**FIG 1** (a) The topside piping section removed for analysis in this study. (b) Closeup view of the inner wall of the tube with the leak site (sealed with white silica) showing corrosion products. The scale is in centimeters. (c) EDX spectrum of loose deposits present in the biofilm. (d) Optical micrograph of pitting at the leak site showing the scoops-inside-scoops morphology often observed in MIC.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>associated with multispecies biofilms [\(28](#page-8-12)[–](#page-8-13)[30\)](#page-8-14). Likewise, there is no single corrosive biochemical reaction in biofilms, as demonstrated by metabolically versatile bacteria such as *Desulfovibrio* species, which can scavenge hydrogen, reduce sulfate to hydrogen sulfide, and/or reduce iron, depending on the environmental conditions [\(6,](#page-7-7) [16\)](#page-8-0). However, the composition and activity of microbial communities from corrosive biofilms appear to depend on various factors like the temperature  $(12, 30)$  $(12, 30)$  $(12, 30)$  and the availability of carbon substrates and electron acceptors [\(26\)](#page-8-10). Additionally, under certain conditions, microbial biofilms may have a positive role. Depending on the microbial community composition, biofilm architecture and environmental conditions, microorganisms may inhibit or protect against corrosion in process referred to as MIC inhibition [\(18,](#page-8-2) [29,](#page-8-13) [31\)](#page-8-15), leading to contradictory results. Therefore, accurate characterization of microbial communities associated with corrosion, as well as their metabolic potential, remains fundamental to understanding, anticipating, and preventing MIC.

This study presents a unique opportunity to study corrosive biofilms covering the inner walls of an oil industry production piping. Bacterial and archaeal community composition and abundance were investigated by complementary molecular approaches coupling quantitative PCR (qPCR), ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis, and multigenic DNA next-generation sequencing. To analyze the microbial functions and actors involved in MIC in detail, bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene diversity was complemented by sequencing of the *dsrAB* and *mcrA* genes, which code for key enzymes in sulfate reduction [\(32\)](#page-8-16) and methanogenesis [\(33\)](#page-8-17).

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Site description and sampling.** In July 2014, corrosion issues were reported in offshore oil facilities in the Gulf of Mexico. After 2 years of service, a pinhole leak was reported in a topside 10.9-mm-thick vertical steel pipe carrying oily seawater (produced water and crude oil) at 25°C and atmospheric pressure, which corresponds to a high corrosion rate of 5.45 mm  $\cdot$  year<sup>-1</sup>. The leak was plugged with silica-based resin [\(Fig. 1b\)](#page-1-0), and then the tube section containing the leak site was removed and a microbial biofilm was observed on the inner wall of the pipe [\(Fig. 1a](#page-1-0) and [b\)](#page-1-0). Approximatively 10  $\text{cm}^2$  of biofilm was sampled in duplicate from three locations in the pipe section with sterile swabs presoaked in RNAlater (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), (i) a site located at the bottom (6 o'clock) of the tube, (ii) at a weld, and (iii) at the leaking point. The swabs



#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**TABLE 1** PCR primers used for PCR, ARISA, and real-time qPCR

were then shipped to the laboratory at 4°C for analysis. Microscopic observations, energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis (EDX), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of the leaking site were performed by the Southwest Research Institute (San Antonio, TX, USA).

**DNA extraction and purification.** Three months after sampling, head swabs were aseptically cut in two for independent duplicate DNA extractions. Nucleic acids were extracted with a FastDNA spin kit for soil (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) with modifications [\(34\)](#page-8-18). Because of the small amounts of biomass recovered on the swabs and the occurrence of enzymatic inhibitors, DNA extraction duplicates were pooled and purified with a QIAamp DNA minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) before PCR amplification. Procedural blanks were subjected to the same extraction and purification procedures and then analyzed in the same way as samples to identify potential contaminants.

**qPCR.** Estimates of the relative abundances of *Bacteria* and *Archaea* were obtained by qPCR with the Bact1369f/Bact1492r and Arc787f/ Arc1059r primer sets, respectively [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). Amplifications were performed in triplicate with a Rotor-Gene Q system (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) in a final volume of 25 µl with PerfeCTa SYBR green SuperMix (Quanta Bioscience, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), 0.5 µM each primer, and 0.1 ng of template DNA. The qPCR conditions used were 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s and then annealing and extension at 60°C for 60 s. Standard curves were prepared in triplicate with dilutions ranging from 0.001 to 100 nM DNA extracted from *Desulfobulbus propionicus* (ATCC 33891) and *Methanococcoides methylutens* (ATCC 33938). The *R*<sup>2</sup> values of standard curves obtained by qPCR were  $>$  0.997, and PCR efficiencies were above 92% and 94% for *Archaea* and *Bacteria*, respectively. Samples were diluted until the crossing point decreased log linearly with the sample dilution, indicating absence of PCR inhibition. Because of the partial recovery of the biofilms by the sampling technique and DNA purification step, qPCR results were expressed in terms of numbers of 16S rRNA gene copies per nanogram of genomic DNA.

**ARISA.** Automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis (ARISA) was carried out for low-resolution assessment of the structure of biofilm microbial communities as previously described [\(35,](#page-8-19) [36\)](#page-8-20). ARISA-PCR was performed with the ITSf/ITSreub and 934f/71r primer sets [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0), targeting the bacterial and archaeal 16S-23S RNA intergenic regions, respectively. PCRs were carried out in duplicate with AccuPrime *Pfx* DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA),  $0.5 \mu M$  each primer, and  $1.5 \mu$ l of template DNA in a 25-µl reaction volume. The PCR conditions used were 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s and extension for 1 min 20 s at 72°C, followed by a final extension step at 72°C for 12 min. A 1-µl sample of each PCR product was analyzed according to the manufacturer's protocol on a DNA 7500 Chip with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Data were recovered and normalized as previously detailed [\(36\)](#page-8-20) and then analyzed with PAST software [\(37\)](#page-8-21).

**Illumina MiSeq library preparation and sequencing.** To minimize the effect of primer selectivity and recover a larger proportion of the microbial diversity present, 16S rRNA gene PCRs were carried out with two different primer sets, S-D-Bact-0516-a-S-18/S-D-Bact-0907-a-A-20, targeting the V4-V5 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA genes, and S-D-Arch-0008-b-S-18/S-D-Arch-0519-a-A-19, targeting the V1-V2-V3 regions of the archaeal 16S RNA genes [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0) [\(38\)](#page-8-22). These primer pairs amplify fragments of approximately 420 and 530 bp, respectively. Additionally, the diversity of sulfate reducers and methanogens was investigated by amplification and sequencing of the dissimilatory (bi)sulfite reductase (*dsrAB*) metabolic gene with the DSR2060f/Dsr4RdegN primers [\(39\)](#page-8-23) and the methyl coenzyme M reductase *mcrA* gene with the MLf/MLr primers [\(33\)](#page-8-17) [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). These primer sets produce PCR products of 380 and 550 bp, respectively, allowing the generation of contigs from the paired-end sequences. MiSeq adaptors [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0) were fused to the 5' regions of the primers. All PCRs were conducted in triplicate with negative controls and AccuPrime *Pfx* DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad,

CA, USA), 0.5  $\mu$ M each primer, and 1.5  $\mu$ l of template DNA in a 25- $\mu$ l reaction volume. For 16S rRNA genes, the PCR conditions comprised 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 52°C for 30 s, and extension for 30 s at 72°C, followed by a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. *dsrAB* and *mcrA* amplifications used the same PCR parameters, except that the annealing temperature was adjusted to 50°C and 55°C for *dsrAB* and *mcrA*, respectively. Replicate amplicons were pooled and purified from agarose gels with a Qiagen MinElute gel purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). PCR products were indexed with a Nextera XT kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's recommendations. Indexed amplicons were quantified with a Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and diluted to give an equimolar mix of products at a final concentration of 4 nM for MiSeq library preparation. The DNA library was diluted at 4 pM, and then paired-end Illumina MiSeq sequencing was performed with the Illumina MiSeq v3 kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), as recommended by the manufacturer, to obtain two 300-bp paired-end sequences.

Data sets were split into reads from individual indexed amplicons *in silico* by MiSeq reporter software. Reads were assembled into single paired-end sequences, which were curated by Qiime [\(40\)](#page-8-24). Sequences with low-quality scores or flagged as chimeras by UChime were removed. Alignment and determination of the taxonomic affiliation of the reads were carried out with the Silva release 119 [\(41\)](#page-8-25), *dsrAB* [\(39\)](#page-8-23), and *mcrA* [\(42\)](#page-8-26) sequence databases as references.

**Nucleotide sequence accession number.** Raw sequences were deposited in the NCBI database under BioProject accession no. [PRJNA302156.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA302156)

#### **RESULTS**

**Corrosion observations.** After sampling, the surface of the tube section was observed with a light microscope to examine the corrosion morphology and by EDX and XRD analyses. Corrosion morphologies known as "scoops inside scoops" were observed [\(Fig. 1d\)](#page-1-0), and EDX profiles of cross sections of the deposits indicated substantial quantities of iron (47.18 weight percent), sulfur (16.29 weight percent), chloride (9.33 weight percent), and barium (17.76 weight percent) along the tube inner wall [\(Fig. 1c\)](#page-1-0). The quantity of sulfur identified by EDX analysis far exceeds the stoichiometric ratio of sulfur present as barium sulfate, indicating that most of the sulfur present occurs as iron sulfide. XRD analysis of the same deposits confirmed the presence of barium sulfate, iron hydroxide/chloride, and iron oxides, which is characteristic of MIC.

**Relative abundance of bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes.** The relative abundances of bacteria and archaea in the biofilms were estimated by qPCR. Overall, bacterial 16S rRNA genes were predominant within the biofilms, encompassing 90% of the total 16S rRNA genes at the weld  $(5.69 \times 10^5 \text{ 16S} \text{ rRNA gene})$ copies/ng of DNA) and bottom  $(1.13 \times 10^6)$  16S rRNA gene copies/ng of DNA) of the pipe, as well as up to 96% at the leak site  $(1.51 \times 10^5 \text{ 16S} \text{ rRNA gene copies/ng of DNA})$  [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). In contrast, the archaeal abundances were around 1.47  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> and 3.43  $\times$ 10<sup>4</sup> 16S rRNA gene copies/ng of DNA at the weld and bottom of the tube section and close to  $5.73 \times 10^3$  16S rRNA gene copies/ng of DNA at the leak site [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). Therefore, microbial abundance was 7.5 times lower at the leak site than at the bottom of the pipe. No archaea were detected in the DNA extraction control (blank), while around  $5 \times 10^3$  bacterial 16S rRNA gene copies/ng were quantified in the blank, indicating a potential overestimation of bacterial abundance of only 0.05 to 2% due to contaminating DNA in DNA extraction kit components.

**Biofilm microbial community composition.** Microbial community composition and diversity within the biofilm were inves-





<span id="page-3-0"></span>**FIG 2** rRNA gene abundances per nanogram of genomic DNA of *Bacteria* and *Archaea* from biofilms sampled at the leak site, the bottom, and a weld of the tube. Blank corresponds to the DNA extraction and purification negative control.

tigated by ARISA fingerprinting and 16S rRNA, *mcrA*, and *dsrAB* gene sequencing. No archaeal *dsrAB* or *mcrA* sequences were detected in the procedural blank, while the bacterial 16S rRNA sequences detected in the procedural blank were dominated by *Burkholderiales*-related sequences (90% of the sequences), resulting in a microbial community structure that was extremely different from that recovered from the biofilm samples [\(Fig. 3a;](#page-4-0) [Tables](#page-4-1) [2](#page-4-1) and [3\)](#page-4-2). Further, sequences detected in the procedural blank (*Burkholderia*, *Enterobacteriaceae*) have previously been identified as recurring contamination from DNA extraction kits and are often observed in analysis of samples where DNA concentrations are low [\(43\)](#page-8-27). Congruently with this, the sample where the highest proportion of potential contaminant sequences was detected had the lowest bacterial and archaeal abundances based on qPCR analysis of 16S rRNA genes. These contaminants represented  $\leq$ 2% of the sequences in data generated from the weld and bottom samples and 6% of those from the leak site, indicating that >94% of the sequences originated from the biofilms. Overall, ARISA fingerprinting and 16S rRNA gene sequencing indicated relatively low biodiversity within the bottom and weld samples (Simpson's  $1 - D = 0.74$ ; [Table 3\)](#page-4-2) and a slightly higher diversity at the leak site (Simpson's  $1 - D = 0.82$ ; [Table 3\)](#page-4-2). Similarity analyses of both ARISA and 16S rRNA sequence data sets indicated comparable microbial communities in the biofilms sampled at the weld and at the bottom of the tube (Bray-Curtis similarity indices of  $>0.73$ ; [Table 2\)](#page-4-1). In contrast, the microbial profile of the sample from the leak site exhibited a slightly different pattern (Bray-Curtis similarity indices of  $\leq 0.663$ ; [Table 2\)](#page-4-1).

A total of 140,588 bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences were obtained from the biofilm samples (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Bacterial 16S rRNA gene surveys of all of our biofilm samples were dominated mainly by deltaproteobacterial lineages (*Desulfovibrio* and *Pelobacter* species; up to 88% of the sequences at the weld) [\(Fig. 3a\)](#page-4-0). *Clostridiales* (*Acetobacterium* and *Syntrophomonas*), *Thermotogales* (*Geotoga*), *Gammaproteobacteria* (*Pseudomonas*), and *Alphaproteobacteria* (*Rhodospirillaceae*)



#### a) Bacterial 16S rRNA and dsrAB genes





<span id="page-4-0"></span>**FIG 3** (a) Phylogenetic affiliations of bacterial 16S rRNA and *dsrAB* genes at the leak site, the bottom, and a weld of the tube. Shades of blue and green denote gammaproteobacterial and deltaproteobacterial lineages, respectively. (b) Phylogenetic affiliations of archaeal 16S rRNA and *mcrA* genes at the leak site, the bottom, and a weld of the tube section. Shades of orange denote lineages from the order *Methanosarcinales*. Blank represents sequences amplified from the DNA extraction and purification negative control. The amount of PCR product obtained from *mcrA* gene amplification of material from the leak site sample was too small for sequencing.

lineages were also detected as a minority of the sequences in all of the samples. Sequences from organisms related to known aerobic hydrocarbon-degrading gammaproteobacterial lineages (*Oceanospirillaceae* and *Marinobacter*, 8.1% of the sequences) were detected only in the leak site sample [\(Fig. 3a\)](#page-4-0).

A total of 135,341 archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences were identified (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The archaeal communities in all of the biofilm samples were com-

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**TABLE 2** Bray-Curtis similarity indices based on pairwise comparisons of ARISA profiles (lightface) and 16S rRNA gene taxonomic affiliations (boldface)

16S rRNA gene	ARISA <sup>a</sup>			
	Blank	Weld	<b>Bottom</b>	Leak site
Blank		0.051	0.0438	0.147
Weld	0.01		0.879	0.405
<b>Bottom</b>	0.024	0.73		0.429
Leak site	0.079	0.593	0.663	

*<sup>a</sup>* Maximum divergence between samples is represented by 0, and perfect similarity is represented by 1.

posed of various methanogens affiliated with five different orders (*Methanobacteriales*, *Methanococcales*, *Methanomicrobiales*, *Methanosarcinales*, and *Methanomassiliicoccales*) [\(Fig. 3b\)](#page-4-0). 16S  $rRNA$  gene sequences indicated that *Methanobacterium* ( $\sim$ 70%) and *Methanolobus* ( $\sim$ 20%) species were predominant at the weld and at the bottom of the tube, while sequences from *Methanosarcina* and *Methanomassiliicoccales* represented <10% of the total. In contrast, at the leak site, the archaeal community was dominated by Methanobacterium (~35%), Methanomassili-

<span id="page-4-2"></span>



*<sup>a</sup>* Maximum divergence between samples is represented by 0, and perfect similarity is represented by 1.

*<sup>b</sup>* Equitability (evenness).

 $i$ *coccales* ( $\sim$ 35%), and archaea related to *Methanosarcina* ( $\sim$ 20%) [\(Fig. 3b\)](#page-4-0).

**Functional gene survey.** The 16S rRNA gene inventory was complemented by analysis of *dsrAB* genes, coding for the dissimilatory (bi)sulfite reductase involved in sulfate reduction, and *mcrA* genes, coding for a methyl coenzyme M reductase involved in methanogenesis pathways. A total of 396,292 *dsrAB* sequences were obtained for the biofilm samples (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Four different (93% sequence identity) *dsrAB* gene sequences related to *dsrAB* from *Desulfovibrio* species were detected, confirming the occurrence of this sulfate-reducing lineage in the biofilms. Sequences from the two dominant *dsrAB* operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were affiliated with the *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* lineage, while sequences from the minority OTUs were distantly related to an uncharacterized *Desulfovibrio* group. However, no *dsrAB* sequences affiliated with *Desulfobacteraceae* and *Desulfonauticus* were identified, despite their detection in a 16S RNA gene survey of the sample from the leak site [\(Fig. 3a\)](#page-4-0), probably because of primer specificity (*Desulfobacteraceae* and *Desulfonauticus dsrAB* sequences have more than three mismatches with database sequences at the primer target sites).

Consistent with the archaeal 16S rRNA gene survey, *mcrA* genes were detected by PCR in the three biofilm samples. However, probably because of the lowest abundance of archaea in the leak site sample, amplification of *mcrA* DNA from this part of the biofilm was too weak to allow sequencing of the amplicons. A total of 74,378 *mcrA* sequences were recovered from the bottom and weld samples (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The *mcrA* sequences recovered were affiliated mainly with *Methanolobus vulcani* and *Methanosarcina barkeri* [\(Fig. 3b\)](#page-4-0). *Methanococcales*-, *Methanomicrobiales*-, and *Methanomassiliicoccales*-related sequences were also identified but in much lower relative abundances (<1%). No *Methanobacterium*-related sequence was detected because of the poor coverage of the *Methanobacteriales* lineage by the ML primers (more than seven mismatches with database sequences).

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this study, we identified various microbial populations, previously detected in oil facilities [\(4,](#page-7-3) [44\)](#page-8-28) and corrosive biofilms [\(25,](#page-8-9) [45\)](#page-8-29), potentially involved in MIC.

The microorganisms detected were mainly mesophilic, corresponding to the temperature at these surface facilities ( $\sim$ 25°C) and were previously detected in these environments [\(44,](#page-8-28) [46,](#page-8-30) [47\)](#page-8-31). Dissolved hydrocarbons in this stream and the continuous recycling of the seawater from the sump to the production system might have enriched some of these microorganisms at these locations. ARISA and multigenic sequencing highlighted the similar microbial community compositions of biofilms sampled at the weld and at the bottom of the tube, indicating microbial homogeneity in all parts of the piping section. However, differences in microbial abundance and community composition were observed at the point of leakage. At the leak site, microbial abundance decreased 7.5-fold, whereas bacterial diversity increased (Simpson's  $1 - D = 0.82$ ; [Table 3\)](#page-4-2). This is probably a consequence of ingress of oxygen to the biofilm at the leak site by connection with the external environment. Indeed, the presence of oxygen would be toxic for most of the anaerobic lineages detected elsewhere in the other biofilms, leading to a decrease in microbial abundance. Oxygen inputs would also explain the higher diversity detected since lineages identified specifically at the leak site by 16S rRNA gene sequencing (*Marinobacter*, *Oceanospirillaceae*) are affiliated with gammaproteobacterial aerobic hydrocarbon degraders [\(48,](#page-8-32) [49\)](#page-8-33). Finally, differential sensitivity to oxygen might also explain the modification of the methanogenic archaeal community. The opening to the external environment offered by the leak site appeared to change the microbial community composition by modifying the availability of electron acceptors and promoting aerobic metabolism. Intrusion of oxygen might also exacerbate the corrosion due to the chemical attack of oxygen on the steel [\(29,](#page-8-13) [50\)](#page-8-34), potentially leading to the iron oxides detected by EDX and secondary oxidation of iron sulfides to highly corrosive elemental sulfur [\(51\)](#page-8-35).

**Potentially corrosive microorganisms.** Since they have been detected in association with highly corrosive biofilms, all of the microorganisms found in this study might play a direct or indirect a role in corrosion. The detection of various iron oxides and corrosion products [\(9,](#page-7-8) [20\)](#page-8-4) and the high corrosion rate observed in the piping section (5.45 mm  $\cdot$  year<sup>-1</sup>), which far exceeds the highest reported *in vitro* corrosion rates reported for single bacterial strains (up to 0.88 mm · year<sup>-1</sup> for *Desulfobulbus* sp. strain IS6 [\[52\]](#page-8-36)), suggest that the mechanisms underlying MIC are diverse and may interact [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0). In these biofilms, *Bacteria*, representing more than 98% of the microbial population estimated by qPCR, particularly *Desulfovibrio* species, detected as highly predominant on the basis of both bacterial 16S rRNA and *dsrAB* gene analyses, were likely the main culprits of MIC, as has been proposed previously [\(16,](#page-8-0) [53,](#page-8-37) [54\)](#page-8-38). *Desulfovibrio* species are metabolically diverse and often versatile, coupling hydrogen or volatile fatty acid consumption with sulfate, iron, or nitrate reduction according to electron acceptor availability or alcohol fermentation in the absence of electron acceptors [\(55\)](#page-9-5). Different mechanisms explaining the corrosion activity of *Desulfovibrio* spp. have been suggested. Production of corrosive phosphorus compounds through phosphate reduction by *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* [\(56\)](#page-9-6), which is the closest cultivated relative of the organisms identified in the biofilms studied here, was suspected. However, iron phosphide was not detected in the system, and this corrosion process is controversial [\(9,](#page-7-8) [57\)](#page-9-7). *Desulfovibrio ferrophilus* strain IS5 can also exploit iron directly as an electron donor, coupling sulfate reduction to iron oxidation in the EMIC process [\(6\)](#page-7-7). However, this metabolic capacity is not shared by all *Desulfovibrio* lineages and appears to be restricted to specific strains. Further, no genetic marker is available to identify this metabolic feature; thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that the *Desulfovibrio* species detected in our study contains this pathway and some corrosion of the piping may be a result of direct iron oxidation by *Desulfovibrio* species. Corrosiveness of *Desulfovibrio* by cathodic hydrogen scavenging was previously investigated in single-species biofilm, but no increase in corrosion was detected in those studies [\(20,](#page-8-4) [24\)](#page-8-8). Therefore, since sulfate was present in the piping environment and iron sulfide was detected in the biofilm, the corrosiveness of *Desulfovibrio* species seems more likely to be due to chemical MIC and production of corrosive sulfide [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0). Furthermore, in laboratory experiments when H<sub>2</sub> concentrations are not limiting, *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* can reduce sulfate and iron simultaneously [\(16\)](#page-8-0). This might suggest that, in proximity to hydrogen-producing fermenters, detected in our 16S rRNA gene survey, some *Desulfovibrio* bacteria might potentially catalyze the reduction of both sulfate and iron



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**FIG 4** Conceptual model (not to scale) of microbial communities and processes involved in MIC of the tube section. Each microbial group was characterized by their potential metabolic functions: fermentation, iron oxidation, iron reduction, sulfate reduction, elemental sulfur reduction, and biofouling.

oxide at the same time. This metabolism would remove any iron oxide coating and reexpose steel to corrosive products like  $H_2S$ , which is simultaneously produced, likely resulting in a significant part of the high corrosion rates observed [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0).

*Desulfovibrio* species were not the only hydrogen sulfide-producing bacteria detected in the 16S rRNA gene survey. Indeed, sequences affiliated with *Geotoga*, a mesophilic genus of the order *Thermotogales* previously isolated from oil reservoirs [\(58\)](#page-9-8), were also detected. *Geotoga* species are fermentative bacteria capable of reducing elemental sulfur to hydrogen sulfide as a "hydrogen sink" [\(58\)](#page-9-8). Elemental sulfur was detected at the site of corrosion, providing a potential niche for *Geotoga* in the corroding system. Thereby, like *Desulfovibrio* species,*Geotoga* species might contribute to chemical MIC [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0).

Sequences affiliated with *Pelobacter* bacteria represented around 10% of the reads in all of the samples, suggesting a potentially important role within the corrosive biofilm. *Pelobacter* bacteria are commonly present in marine sediments [\(59\)](#page-9-9), oil reservoirs [\(12,](#page-7-11) [46\)](#page-8-30), and microbial fuel cells [\(60\)](#page-9-10). Cultivated lineages of *Pelobacter* bacteria are able to grow by fermentation of various hydrocarbon-derived substrates (acetylene, polyethylene glycol, trihydroxybenzenes), generating hydrogen that might be consumed in syntrophic metabolism with hydrogen scavengers such as methanogens or *Acetobacterium* bacteria [\(61,](#page-9-11) [62\)](#page-9-12), both also detected in the corrosion biofilm. However, in the presence of iron oxides, sulfide, and/or elemental sulfur, as detected by EDX microanalysis of the biofilms, *Pelobacter* bacteria grow by indirect reduction of iron via a cryptic sulfur reduction/oxidation cycle, with Fe(III) reduction being coupled to the chemical oxidation of sulfide to  $S^0$ [\(63,](#page-9-13) [64\)](#page-9-14). This metabolism might have substantial consequences for steel corrosion. Similarly to *Desulfovibrio* sulfate and iron core-

duction, dissolution of ferric iron from metal surfaces could reexpose the underlying metal to corrosive products such as the simultaneously produced S<sup>0</sup>, leading to potentially continuous high corrosion rates [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0). *Pseudomonas* species were detected as a significant proportion of the bacterial community in all of our samples (15% of the sequences at the bottom of the pipe). Under anaerobic conditions, some *Pseudomonas* species can reduce iron oxides directly with  $H_2$  by using a metabolic pathway involving various cytochromes [\(65\)](#page-9-15). Therefore, like *Pelobacter*species, *Pseudomonas* species might reexpose the piping metal to corrosive products, enhancing corrosion [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0) [\(16,](#page-8-0) [17\)](#page-8-1).

Organic acid-producing members of the order *Clostridiales* and the genera *Acetobacterium* and *Syntrophomonas* together represented 5% of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences recovered. Cultivated *Acetobacterium* species are chemolithotrophs, scavenging hydrogen in a syntrophic relationship with methanogens such as members of the order *Methanomicrobiales* or *Methanosarcina barkeri* [\(66\)](#page-9-16), both detected in 16S rRNA and *mcrA* gene surveys, whereas *Syntrophomonas* bacteria produce organic acids and H<sub>2</sub> from the fermentation of long-chain fatty acids [\(67\)](#page-9-17). Organic acids produced from fermentation, such as lactate, would subsequently supply *Desulfovibrio* species, which are known to use lactate as an electron donor, leading to sulfide production and corrosion. Alternatively, organic acids might also dissolve iron and therefore enhance corrosion rates [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0) [\(18,](#page-8-2) [19\)](#page-8-3).

Finally, other proteobacterial lineages were detected in all of our biofilms, but their role in corrosion remains unclear. Members of the family *Rhodospirillaceae* within the class *Alphaproteobacteria* were previously found in oil facilities and biofilms [\(15,](#page-7-14) [68\)](#page-9-18), and like *Pseudomonas* and *Desulfovibrio* species [\(18,](#page-8-2) [53,](#page-8-37) [69\)](#page-9-19), these *Alphaproteobacteria* could contribute to biofilm formation by polysaccharide production, for example [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0) [\(68\)](#page-9-18). By creating a confined environment, these bacteria might enhance interspecies interactions leading to corrosion [\(29\)](#page-8-13).

On the basis of qPCR analysis, archaea represented a minority of the total microbial community. However, they may play an important role in the ecosystem [\(19,](#page-8-3) [30\)](#page-8-14). All of the archaea detected in 16S rRNA gene surveys were related to methanogenic lineages belonging to five different orders. Methane is chemically inert toward iron; thus, potential MIC by methanogens relies on different mechanisms. Although *Methanobacterium* lineages were not detected by *mcrA*sequencing because of the lack of coverage of the genus *Methanobacterium* by the *mcrA* primers, they represented 70% of the archaeal community detected by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. *Methanobacterium* spp. are hydrogen scavengers and have been frequently detected in corrosive biofilms [\(6,](#page-7-7) [25\)](#page-8-9) and in syntrophic consortia with fermentative bacteria [\(25\)](#page-8-9) (e.g., *Pelobacter* and *Syntrophomonas*, also identified in these biofilms) [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0). However, direct utilization of iron by specific *Methanobacterium* species has been reported, with corrosion rates of up to 0.37 mm  $\cdot$  year<sup>-1</sup> [\(6,](#page-7-7) [13\)](#page-7-12). This suggests that the predominant archaeal lineage present in the biofilms could contribute to corrosion by EMIC and partially explain the presence of iron oxides subsequently used by the iron reducers detected in the biofilm [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0).

Organisms related to *Methanosarcina barkeri* and *Methanolobus vulcani* were both detected in our 16S rRNA and *mcrA* gene surveys. *M. barkeri* is a metabolically versatile methanogen and can grow on hydrogen, methylated compounds, methanol, or acetate [\(70,](#page-9-20) [71\)](#page-9-21), while *M. vulcani* uses only methanol as a growth substrate [\(72\)](#page-9-22). However, in the presence of elemental sulfur, as detected at the biofilm-metal interface in the corroding piping section, these methanogens, as well as *Methanobacterium* species, can switch their metabolism to dissimilatory elemental sulfur reduction and produce high concentrations of hydrogen sulfide [\(73\)](#page-9-23), potentially contributing to CMIC in this way [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-6-0).

Additionally, sequences related to organisms of the order *Methanomassiliicoccales* were detected by 16S rRNA and *mcrA* gene sequencing. Members of this order were previously identified in the digestive tracts of various animals and are known to grow on methanol with hydrogen [\(74\)](#page-9-24). To our knowledge, this is the first report of *Methanomassiliicoccales* from an oil-related environment. As a consequence of the moderate temperature, various carbon substrates and hydrogen availability, as well as low levels of oxygen, oil processing and transport facilities might represent a new biotope for some members of the order *Methanomassiliicoccales*. However, the involvement of these methanogens in EMIC or CMIC remains to be determined.

**Conclusion.** Previous investigations of MIC focused on singlespecies biofilms [\(6\)](#page-7-7) or incubation of metal coupons [\(5,](#page-7-4) [25\)](#page-8-9), and opportunities to study biofilms covering the inner walls of piping and pipelines from operational oil facilities are limited. In this study, the microbial community structure and abundance of steelcorrosive biofilms were investigated in detail, revealing various microbial lineages with different but complementary metabolisms (fermentation and hydrogen consumption) potentially involved in metal corrosion. Sulfate-, elemental sulfur-, and iron-reducing microorganisms were predominant in the biofilms and are likely to have made an important contribution to the high corrosion rate and corrosive products measured in this particular piping section. However, other organisms, by their potential fermentative metabolism or production of exopolysaccharides, might provide essential substrates (hydrogen, volatile fatty acids) and a favorable environment for microbial growth and corrosive activities. However, since most of the microbial lineages identified are metabolically versatile, an integrated study of corrosion chemistry and kinetics by metatranscriptomic analysis might lead to a better understanding of the interaction between microbial activities and redox chemistry involved in MIC.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank people on the offshore facility for the sampling effort and Shell Global Solutions for logistic support.

This work was supported by Shell Global Solutions.

### **FUNDING INFORMATION**

Shell Global Solutions provided funding to Adrien Vigneron.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- 1. **Schmitt G.** 2009. Global needs for knowledge dissemination, research, and development in materials deterioration and corrosion control. World Corrosion Organization, New York, NY. [http://www.corrosion.org/wco](http://www.corrosion.org/wco_media/whitepaper.pdf) [\\_media/whitepaper.pdf.](http://www.corrosion.org/wco_media/whitepaper.pdf)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. **Koch GH, Brongers MP, Thompson NG, Virmani YP, Payer JH.** 2002. Corrosion cost and preventive strategies in the United States. US Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC. [https://www.nace.org/uploaded](https://www.nace.org/uploadedFiles/Publications/ccsupp.pdf) [Files/Publications/ccsupp.pdf.](https://www.nace.org/uploadedFiles/Publications/ccsupp.pdf)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. **Larter SR, Head IM, Huang H, Bennett B, Jones M, Aplin AC, Murray A, Erdmann M, Wilhelms A, Di Primio R.** 2005. Biodegradation, gas destruction and methane generation in deep subsurface petroleum reservoirs: an overview. Pet Geol Conf Ser **6:**633–639.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>4. **Youssef N, Elshahed MS, McInerney MJ.** 2009. Microbial processes in oil fields: culprits, problems, and opportunities. Adv Appl Microbiol **66:**141– 251. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2164\(08\)00806-X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2164(08)00806-X)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>5. **Venzlaff H, Enning D, Srinivasan J, Mayrhofer KJJ, Hassel AW, Widdel F, Stratmann M.** 2013. Accelerated cathodic reaction in microbial corrosion of iron due to direct electron uptake by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Corros Sci **66:**88 –96. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2012.09.006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2012.09.006)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>6. **Dinh HT, Kuever J, Muszmann M, Hassel AW, Stratmann M, Widdel F.** 2004. Iron corrosion by novel anaerobic microorganisms. Nature **427:** 829 –832. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02321.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02321)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>7. **Pankhania IP, Moosavi AN, Hamilton WA.** 1986. Utilization of cathodic hydrogen by *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough). J Gen Microbiol **132:**3357–3365.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>8. **Beese P, Venzlaff H, Srinivasan J, Garrelfs J, Stratmann M, Mayrhofer KJJ.** 2013. Monitoring of anaerobic microbially influenced corrosion via electrochemical frequency modulation. Electrochim Acta **105:**239 –247. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.04.144.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.04.144)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>9. **Enning D, Garrelfs J.** 2014. Corrosion of iron by sulfate-reducing bacteria: new views of an old problem. Appl Environ Microbiol **80:**1226 –1236. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02848-13.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02848-13)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>10. **Larsen J, Rasmussen K, Pedersen H, Sørensen K, Lundgaard T, Skovhus TL.** Consortia of MIC bacteria and archaea causing pitting corrosion in top side oil production facilities. NACE International Foundation, Houston, TX.
- <span id="page-7-11"></span><span id="page-7-10"></span>11. **Almahamedh HH, Williamson C, Spear JR, Mishra B, Olson DL.** Identification of microorganisms and their effects on corrosion of carbon steels [sic] pipelines. NACE International Foundation, Houston, TX.
- 12. **Duncan KE, Gieg LM, Parisi VA, Tanner RS, Tringe SG, Bristow J, Suflita JM.** 2009. Biocorrosive thermophilic microbial communities in Alaskan North Slope oil facilities. Environ Sci Technol **43:**7977–7984. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es9013932.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es9013932)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>13. **Daniels L, Belay N, Rajagopal BS, Weimer PJ.** 1987. Bacterial methanogenesis and growth from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with elemental iron as the sole source of electrons. Science **237:**509 –511. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.237](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.237.4814.509) [.4814.509.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.237.4814.509)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>14. **Kato S, Yumoto I, Kamagata Y.** 2015. Isolation of acetogenic bacteria that induce biocorrosion by utilizing metallic iron as the sole electron donor. Appl Environ Microbiol **81:**67–73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02767-14) [/AEM.02767-14.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02767-14)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>15. **Uchiyama T, Ito K, Mori K, Tsurumaru H, Harayama S.** 2010. Iron-

corroding methanogen isolated from a crude-oil storage tank. Appl Environ Microbiol **76:**1783–1788. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00668-09.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00668-09)

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>16. **Lovley DR, Roden EE, Phillips EJP, Woodward JC.** 1993. Enzymatic iron and uranium reduction by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Mar Geol **113:** 41–53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227\(93\)90148-O.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(93)90148-O)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>17. **Lovley DR, Phillips EJP.** 1988. Novel mode of microbial energy metabolism: organic carbon oxidation coupled to dissimilatory reduction of iron or manganese. Appl Environ Microbiol **54:**1472–1480.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span>18. **Kip N, van Veen JA.** 2015. The dual role of microbes in corrosion. ISME J **9:**542–551. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.169.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.169)
- 19. **Usher KM, Kaksonen AH, MacLeod ID.** 2014. Marine rust tubercles harbour iron corroding archaea and sulphate reducing bacteria. Corros Sci **83:**189 –197. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2014.02.014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2014.02.014)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>20. **Enning D, Venzlaff H, Garrelfs J, Dinh HT, Meyer V, Mayrhofer K, Hassel AW, Stratmann M, Widdel F.** 2012. Marine sulfate-reducing bacteria cause serious corrosion of iron under electroconductive biogenic mineral crust. Environ Microbiol **14:**1772–1787. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02778.x) [.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02778.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02778.x)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>21. **Booth GH, Tiller AK.** 1968. Cathodic characteristics of mild steel in suspensions of sulphate-reducing bacteria. Corros Sci **8:**583–600. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-938X(68)80094-0) [//dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-938X\(68\)80094-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-938X(68)80094-0)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>22. **Bryant RD, Jansen W, Boivin J, Laishley EJ, Costerton JW.** 1991. Effect of hydrogenase and mixed sulfate-reducing bacterial populations on the corrosion of steel. Appl Environ Microbiol **57:**2804 –2809.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>23. **De Windt W, Boon N, Siciliano SD, Verstraete W.** 2003. Cell density related  $H_2$  consumption in relation to anoxic Fe(0) corrosion and precipitation of corrosion products by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. Environ Microbiol **5:**1192–1202. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00527.x) [.00527.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00527.x)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>24. **Mori K, Tsurumaru H, Harayama S.** 2010. Iron corrosion activity of anaerobic hydrogen-consuming microorganisms isolated from oil facilities. J Biosci Bioeng **110:**426 –430. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2010.04.012) [.04.012.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2010.04.012)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>25. **Zhang T, Fang HHP, Ko BCB.** 2003. Methanogen population in a marine biofilm corrosive to mild steel. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **63:**101–106. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-003-1396-2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-003-1396-2)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>26. **Herrera LK, Videla HA.** 2009. Role of iron-reducing bacteria in corrosion and protection of carbon steel. Int Biodeterior Biodegradation **63:**891– 895. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2009.06.003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2009.06.003)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span><span id="page-8-11"></span>27. **Lee A, Newman D.** 2003. Microbial iron respiration: impacts on corrosion processes. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **62:**134 –139. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-003-1314-7) [/10.1007/s00253-003-1314-7.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-003-1314-7)
- 28. **Kan J, Chellamuthu P, Obraztsova A, Moore JE, Nealson KH.** 2011. Diverse bacterial groups are associated with corrosive lesions at a Granite Mountain Record Vault (GMRV). J Appl Microbiol **111:**329 –337. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05055.x) [//dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05055.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05055.x)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span><span id="page-8-13"></span>29. **Videla HA, Herrera LK.** 2005. Microbiologically influenced corrosion: looking to the future. Int Microbiol **8:**169 –180.
- 30. **Davidova IA, Duncan KE, Perez-Ibarra BM, Suflita JM.** 2012. Involvement of thermophilic archaea in the biocorrosion of oil pipelines. Environ Microbiol **14:**1762–1771. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02721.x) [-2920.2012.02721.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02721.x)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span><span id="page-8-15"></span>31. **Zuo R.** 2007. Biofilms: strategies for metal corrosion inhibition employing microorganisms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **76:**1245–1253. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-007-1130-6) [.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-007-1130-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-007-1130-6)
- 32. **Wagner M, Loy A, Klein M, Lee N, Ramsing NB, Stahl DA, Friedrich MW.** 2005. Functional marker genes for identification of sulfate-reducing prokaryotes. Methods Enzymol **397:**469 –489. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(05)97029-8) [/S0076-6879\(05\)97029-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(05)97029-8)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>33. **Luton PE, Wayne JM, Sharp RJ, Riley PW.** 2002. The *mcrA* gene as an alternative to 16S rRNA in the phylogenetic analysis of methanogen populations in landfill. Microbiology **148:**3521–3530. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00221287-148-11-3521) [.1099/00221287-148-11-3521.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00221287-148-11-3521)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>34. **Webster G, Parkes RJ, Cragg BA, Newberry CJ, Weightman AJ, Fry JC.** 2006. Prokaryotic community composition and biogeochemical processes in deep subseafloor sediments from the Peru Margin. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **58:**65–85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00147.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00147.x)
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>35. **Vigneron A, Cruaud P, Pignet P, Caprais J-C, Cambon-Bonavita M-A, Godfroy A, Toffin L.** 2013. Archaeal and anaerobic methane oxidizer communities in the Sonora Margin cold seeps, Guaymas Basin (Gulf of California). ISME J **7:**1595–1608. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.18) [/ismej.2013.18.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.18)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>36. **Vigneron A, Cruaud P, Roussel EG, Pignet P, Caprais J-C, Callac N,**

**Ciobanu M-C Godfroy A, Cragg BA, Parkes JR, Van Nostrand JD, He Z, Zhou J, Toffin L.** 2014. Phylogenetic and functional diversity of microbial communities associated with subsurface sediments of the Sonora Margin, Guaymas Basin. PLoS One **9:**e104427. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104427) [/journal.pone.0104427.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104427)

- <span id="page-8-21"></span>37. **Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD.** 2001. PAST: palaeontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontological Association, Oxford, United Kingdom. [http://palaeo-electronica.org/200](http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm) [1\\_1/past/issue1\\_01.htm.](http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm)
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>38. **Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO.** 2013. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res **41:**e1.
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>39. **Müller AL, Kjeldsen KU, Rattei T, Pester M, Loy A.** 2015. Phylogenetic and environmental diversity of DsrAB-type dissimilatory (bi)sulfite reductases. ISME J **9:**1152–1165.
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>40. **Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI.** 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods **7:**335–336. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303)
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>41. **Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO.** 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res **41**(Database issue)**:**D590 –D596.
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>42. **Yang S, Liebner S, Alawi M, Ebenhöh O, Wagner D.** 2014. Taxonomic database and cut-off value for processing *mcrA* gene 454 pyrosequencing data by MOTHUR. J Microbiol Methods **103:**3–5. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2014.05.006) [.1016/j.mimet.2014.05.006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2014.05.006)
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>43. **Salter SJ, Cox MJ, Turek EM, Calus ST, Cookson WO, Moffatt MF, Turner P, Parkhill J, Loman NJ, Walker AW.** 2014. Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. BMC Biol **12:**87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z)
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>44. **Gieg L, Jack T, Foght J.** 2011. Biological souring and mitigation in oil reservoirs. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **92:**263–282. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3542-6) [.1007/s00253-011-3542-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3542-6)
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>45. **Zhang T, Fang H.** 2001. Phylogenetic diversity of a SRB-rich marine biofilm. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **57:**437–440. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530100770) [.1007/s002530100770.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530100770)
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>46. **Hubert CRJ, Oldenburg TBP, Fustic M, Gray ND, Larter SR, Penn K, Rowan AK, Seshadri R, Sherry A, Swainsbury R, Voordouw G, Voordouw JK, Head IM.** 2012. Massive dominance of *Epsilonproteobacteria* in formation waters from a Canadian oil sands reservoir containing severely biodegraded oil. Environ Microbiol **14:**387–404. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02521.x) [.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02521.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02521.x)
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>47. **Gittel A, Sørensen KB, Skovhus TL, Ingvorsen K, Schramm A.** 2009. Prokaryotic community structure and sulfate reducer activity in water from high-temperature oil reservoirs with and without nitrate treatment. Appl Environ Microbiol **75:**7086 –7096. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01123-09) [.01123-09.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01123-09)
- <span id="page-8-32"></span>48. **Berlendis S, Cayol J-L, Verhé F, Laveau S, Tholozan J-L, Ollivier B, Auria R.** 2010. First evidence of aerobic biodegradation of BTEX compounds by pure cultures of *Marinobacter*. Appl Biochem Biotechnol **160:** 1992–1999. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12010-009-8746-1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12010-009-8746-1)
- <span id="page-8-34"></span><span id="page-8-33"></span>49. **Satomi M, Fujii T.** 2014. The family Oceanospirillaceae, p 491–527. *In* Rosenberg E, DeLong E, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (ed), The prokaryotes. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- 50. **Huang YH, Zhang TC.** 2005. Effects of dissolved oxygen on formation of corrosion products and concomitant oxygen and nitrate reduction in zero-valent iron systems with or without aqueous Fe2 . Water Res **39:**1751– 1760. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.03.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.03.002)
- <span id="page-8-36"></span><span id="page-8-35"></span>51. **Lee W, Lewandowski Z, Nielsen PH, Hamilton WA.** 1995. Role of sulfate-reducing bacteria in corrosion of mild steel: a review. Biofouling **8:**165–194. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927019509378271.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927019509378271)
- <span id="page-8-37"></span>52. **Enning D.** 2012. Bioelectrical corrosion of iron by lithotrophic sulfatereducing bacteria. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany. [http://elib.suub.uni-bremen.de/edocs/00102721-1.pdf.](http://elib.suub.uni-bremen.de/edocs/00102721-1.pdf)
- 53. **Ilhan-Sungur E, Cansever N, Cotuk A.** 2007. Microbial corrosion of galvanized steel by a freshwater strain of sulphate reducing bacteria (*Desulfovibrio* sp.). Corros Sci **49:**1097–1109. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2006.05.050) [.corsci.2006.05.050.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2006.05.050)
- <span id="page-8-38"></span>54. **Miranda E, Bethencourt M, Botana FJ, Cano MJ, Sánchez-Amaya JM, Corzo A, de Lomas JG, Fardeau ML, Ollivier B.** 2006. Biocorrosion of carbon steel alloys by an hydrogenotrophic sulfate-reducing bacterium

*Desulfovibrio capillatus* isolated from a Mexican oil field separator. Corros Sci **48:**2417–2431. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2005.09.005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2005.09.005)

- <span id="page-9-5"></span>55. **Bryant MP, Campbell LL, Reddy CA, Crabill MR.** 1977. Growth of *Desulfovibrio* in lactate or ethanol media low in sulfate in association with H2-utilizing methanogenic bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol **33:**1162– 1169.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>56. **Iverson WP.** 1968. Corrosion of iron and formation of iron phosphide by *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. Nature **217:**1265–1267. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/2171265a0) [.1038/2171265a0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/2171265a0)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>57. **Beech IB, Sunner JA.** 2007. Sulphate-reducing bacteria and their role in corrosion of ferrous materials, p 459 –482. *In* Barton LL, Hamilton WA (ed), Sulphate-reducing bacteria. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>58. **Davey ME, Wood WA, Key R, Nakamura K, Stahl DA.** 1993. Isolation of three species of *Geotoga* and *Petrotoga*: two new genera, representing a new lineage in the bacterial line of descent distantly related to the "Thermotogales." Syst Appl Microbiol **16:**191–200.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>59. **Bowman JP, Rea SM, McCammon SA, McMeekin TA.** 2000. Diversity and community structure within anoxic sediment from marine salinity meromictic lakes and a coastal meromictic marine basin, Vestfold Hills, Eastern Antarctica. Environ Microbiol **2:**227–237. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00097.x) [.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00097.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00097.x)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>60. **Richter H, Lanthier M, Nevin KP, Lovley DR.** 2007. Lack of electricity production by *Pelobacter carbinolicus* indicates that the capacity for Fe(III) oxide reduction does not necessarily confer electron transfer ability to fuel cell anodes. Appl Environ Microbiol **73:**5347–5353. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00804-07) [.1128/AEM.00804-07.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00804-07)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>61. **Schink B, Pfennig N.** 1982. Fermentation of trihydroxybenzenes by *Pelobacter acidigallici* gen. nov. sp. nov., a new strictly anaerobic, nonsporeforming bacterium. Arch Microbiol **133:**195–201. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00415000) [/10.1007/BF00415000.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00415000)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>62. **Stackebrandt E, Wehmeyer U, Schink B.** 1989. The phylogenetic status of *Pelobacter acidigallici*, *Pelobacter venetianus*, and *Pelobacter carbinolicus*. Syst Appl Microbiol **11:**257–260. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0723](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(89)80022-0) [-2020\(89\)80022-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(89)80022-0)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>63. **Lovley DR, Phillips EJ, Lonergan DJ, Widman PK.** 1995. Fe(III) and S0 reduction by *Pelobacter carbinolicus*. Appl Environ Microbiol **61:**2132– 2138.
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>64. **Haveman SA, DiDonato RJ, Villanueva L, Shelobolina ES, Postier BL, Xu B, Liu A, Lovley DR.** 2008. Genome-wide gene expression patterns and growth requirements suggest that *Pelobacter carbinolicus* reduces Fe(III) indirectly via sulfide production. Appl Environ Microbiol **74:** 4277–4284. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02901-07.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02901-07)
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>65. **Obuekwe CO, Westlake DWS.** 1982. Effects of medium composition on cell pigmentation, cytochrome content, and ferric iron reduction in a *Pseudomonas* sp. isolated from crude oil. Can J Microbiol **28:**989 –992. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/m82-148.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/m82-148)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>66. **Winter J, Wolfe R.** 1980. Methane formation from fructose by syntrophic associations of *Acetobacterium woodii* and different strains of methanogens. Arch Microbiol **124:**73–79. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00407031.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00407031)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>67. **McInerney MJ, Bryant MP, Hespell RB, Costerton JW.** 1981. *Syntroph-*

*omonas wolfei* gen. nov., sp. nov., an anaerobic, syntrophic, fatty acidoxidizing bacterium. Appl Environ Microbiol **41:**1029 –1039.

- <span id="page-9-18"></span>68. **Elifantz H, Horn G, Ayon M, Cohen Y, Minz D.** 2013. *Rhodobacteraceae* are the key members of the microbial community of the initial biofilm formed in eastern Mediterranean coastal seawater. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **85:**348 –357. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12122.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12122)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>69. **Stadler R, Wei L, Fürbeth W, Grooters M, Kuklinski A.** 2010. Influence of bacterial exopolymers on cell adhesion of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* on high alloyed steel: corrosion inhibition by extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). Mater Corros **61:**1008 –1016. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/maco](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/maco.201005819) [.201005819.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/maco.201005819)
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>70. **Krzycki JA, Kenealy WR, DeNiro MJ, Zeikus JG.** 1987. Stable carbon isotope fractionation by *Methanosarcina barkeri* during methanogenesis from acetate, methanol, or carbon dioxide-hydrogen. Appl Environ Microbiol **53:**2597–2599.
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>71. **Hippe H, Caspari D, Fiebig K, Gottschalk G.** 1979. Utilization of trimethylamine and other *N*-methyl compounds for growth and methane formation by Methanosarcina barkeri. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **76:**494 –498. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.1.494.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.1.494)
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>72. **Kadam PC, Boone DR.** 1995. Physiological characterization and emended description of *Methanolobus vulcani*. Int J Syst Bacteriol **45:**400 – 402. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-45-2-400.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-45-2-400)
- <span id="page-9-24"></span><span id="page-9-23"></span>73. **Stetter KO, Gaag G.** 1983. Reduction of molecular sulphur by methanogenic bacteria. Nature **305:**309 –311. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/305309a0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/305309a0)
- 74. **Dridi B, Fardeau M-L, Ollivier B, Raoult D, Drancourt M.** 2012. *Methanomassiliicoccus luminyensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a methanogenic archaeon isolated from human faeces. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol **62:**1902– 1907. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.033712-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.033712-0)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>75. **Cardinale M, Brusetti L, Quatrini P, Borin S, Puglia AM, Rizzi A, Zanardini E, Sorlini C, Corselli C, Daffonchio D.** 2004. Comparison of different primer sets for use in automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis of complex bacterial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol **70:** 6147–6156. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.10.6147-6156.2004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.10.6147-6156.2004)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>76. **Casamayor EO, Massana R, Benlloch S, Ovreas L, Diez B, Goddard VJ, Gasol JM, Joint I, Rodriguez-Valera F, Pedros-Alio C.** 2002. Changes in archaeal, bacterial and eukaryal assemblages along a salinity gradient by comparison of genetic fingerprinting methods in a multipond solar saltern. Environ Microbiol **4:**338 –348. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2002.00297.x) [.2002.00297.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2002.00297.x)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>77. **Suzuki MT, Taylor LT, DeLong EF.** 2000. Quantitative analysis of smallsubunit rRNA genes in mixed microbial populations via 5'-nuclease assays. Appl Environ Microbiol **66:**4605–4614. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.11.4605-4614.2000) [/AEM.66.11.4605-4614.2000.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.11.4605-4614.2000)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>78. **Yu Y, Lee C, Kim J, Hwang S.** 2005. Group-specific primer and probe sets to detect methanogenic communities using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. Biotechnol Bioeng **89:**670 –679. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bit.20347) [/10.1002/bit.20347.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bit.20347)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>79. **Perry V.** 2014. Metabolic activities and diversity of microbial communities associated with anaerobic degradation. Ph.D. dissertation. Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA.