## **PROCEEDINGS B**

#### rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org

## Research



**Cite this article:** Zheng J, Peng D, Chen L, Liu H, Chen F, Xu M, Ju S, Ruan L, Sun M. 2016 The *Ditylenchus destructor* genome provides new insights into the evolution of plant parasitic nematodes. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **283**: 20160942. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0942

Received: 29 April 2016 Accepted: 5 July 2016

#### Subject Areas:

genomics, evolution

#### **Keywords:**

*Ditylenchus destructor*, plant-parasitic nematodes, biocontrol of plant nematodes, genomics of plant nematodes

#### Author for correspondence:

Ming Sun e-mail: m98sun@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Electronic supplementary material is available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0942 or via http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org.



## The *Ditylenchus destructor* genome provides new insights into the evolution of plant parasitic nematodes

Jinshui Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Donghai Peng<sup>1</sup>, Ling Chen<sup>1</sup>, Hualin Liu<sup>1</sup>, Feng Chen<sup>1</sup>, Mengci Xu<sup>1</sup>, Shouyong Ju<sup>1</sup>, Lifang Ruan<sup>1</sup> and Ming Sun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup>College of Informatics, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, People's Republic of China

(D) MS, 0000-0001-5465-5983

Plant-parasitic nematodes were found in 4 of the 12 clades of phylum Nematoda. These nematodes in different clades may have originated independently from their free-living fungivorous ancestors. However, the exact evolutionary process of these parasites is unclear. Here, we sequenced the genome sequence of a migratory plant nematode, Ditylenchus destructor. We performed comparative genomics among the free-living nematode, Caenorhabditis elegans and all the plant nematodes with genome sequences available. We found that, compared with C. elegans, the core developmental control processes underwent heavy reduction, though most signal transduction pathways were conserved. We also found D. destructor contained more homologies of the key genes in the above processes than the other plant nematodes. We suggest that Ditylenchus spp. may be an intermediate evolutionary history stage from free-living nematodes that feed on fungi to obligate plantparasitic nematodes. Based on the facts that D. destructor can feed on fungi and has a relatively short life cycle, and that it has similar features to both C. elegans and sedentary plant-parasitic nematodes from clade 12, we propose it as a new model to study the biology, biocontrol of plant nematodes and the interaction between nematodes and plants.

### 1. Introduction

Plant-parasitic nematodes, which attack nearly all plant species, pose a great food safety threat worldwide and cause losses of more than \$US80 billion per year. More than 4100 species of these nematodes have been described, and new species are continually being identified [1]. Three major types of plant-parasitic nematodes have been described based on their distinct life cycles. Sedentary endoparasitic nematodes use special feeding structures to obtain rich and continuous food sources from the plant host. Migratory plant endoparasitic nematodes do not induce feeding sites but feed while migrating between or through plant cells [2]. The third type of plant nematode is migratory ectoparasitic; these nematodes do not enter the plant and move in the soil to attack the roots [3]. The phylum Nematoda is divided into 12 clades based on small subunit ribosomal DNA (SSU rDNA) sequences [4]. Plant-parasitic nematodes were found in four of them, including clades 1, 2, 10 and 12. Plant-parasitic nematodes in different clades may have originated independently from their free-living fungivorous ancestors [5]. Two lines of evidence support this hypothesis. On the SSU rDNA sequence tree, the fungivorous nematode taxa are often located at the very base of the plant-parasitic nematode lineages [4,6]. Moreover, fungivorous nematodes usually possess onchiostyles (clade 1), spears (clade 2) or stomatostylets (clades 10 and 12) similar to their plant-parasitic relatives in the same clade [5]. However, the exact evolution of these parasites is unclear.

We focused on the plant nematodes from clade 12 because they contain eight of the top ten economically important nematodes, including *Meloidogyne* spp., *Heterodera* and *Globodera* spp., *Pratylenchus* spp., *Radopholus* spp., *Ditylenchus*  spp., Rotylenchulus spp., Nacobbus spp. and Aphelenchoides spp [1]. To gain in-depth insights into the parasitic mechanism, genome sequences of nematodes with different life cycles were considered. Genome sequences are available for three sedentary endoparasitic nematodes (M. incognita, M. hapla and G. pallida) and one migratory endoparasite (Pratylenchus *coffeae*) in this clade [7-10]. In this study, we sequenced another migratory endoparasitic nematode (Ditylenchus destructor) that was positioned at the base of the most economically important plant nematodes, including root-knot and cyst nematodes, on the SSU rDNA tree [6]. This potato rot nematode can enter tubers through lenticels and then digest starch and pectin, leading to cell disintegration [11]. It can also cause additional damage during storage. Ditylenchus destructor is the secondranking nematode pest of potatoes after the potato cyst nematode and is an internationally quarantined pest. Moreover, D. destructor can live on a very wide range of fungi and plants [12]. Unlike other plant-parasitic nematodes in clade 12, it can be cultured with fungi under laboratory conditions [13]. Using comparative genomics, we investigated the mechanisms underlying the parasitism of this nematode and the evolution of plant-parasitic nematodes.

Because some aspects of structure, development and reproduction are comparable between *Caenorhabditis elegans* and plant-parasitic nematodes, *C. elegans* is used as a model to study the biology of plant nematodes [14]. However, we found that *C. elegans* was not an ideal model when we focused on details of the interactions between plants and nematodes, the structure and development of these parasitic nematodes, and the biocontrol of plant nematodes. Additionally, we cannot use root-knot and cyst nematodes because their reproduction obligately relies on plants, and they are difficult to manipulate using molecular methods [14]. *Ditylenchus destructor* can feed on fungi or potatoes very easily and has a relatively short life cycle [12]. Therefore, we suggest its use as a model for biological and biocontrol studies of plant-parasitic nematodes. In this study, we consider this purpose based on its genomics.

## 2. Material and methods

#### (a) DNA/RNA extraction

Ditylenchus destructor Dd01 was isolated from sweet potatoes in Wuhan, China (electronic supplementary material, Supporting Methods). The nematodes were cultured for approximately 30 days on sweet potatoes. Both DNA and RNA were obtained from nematodes of mixed life stages. Total DNA was extracted using cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)/Proteinase K. Total RNA was extracted using the HP Total RNA kit (Omega Bio-tek, USA) and treated with RNase-free DNase kit (Omega Bio-Tek).

#### (b) Genome sequencing and assembly

Short paired-end (160-, 380- and 800-bp) and mate-paired (3-, 5and 8-kb) genomic DNA libraries were prepared and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (electronic supplementary material, table S1). High-quality genomic DNA extracted from *D. destructor* was prepared as a 20-kb library for P5-C3 chemistry. The PacBio RSII sequencing system generated 119 710 reads with a mean read length of 3.5 kb from one SMRT cell. RNA-seq was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform; about 3 Gbp pair-end reads with length of 100 Gbp were obtained.

ALLPATHS-LG was used in the first step of the assembly [15]; reads from the three short paired-end and two mate-paired (3- and 5-kb) libraries were used in this step. In the second step, reads from the 8-kb mate-paired library were used to extend the scaffolds generated from ALLPATHS-LG using the tool SSPACE [16]. Then, subreads from PacBio were used to improve the assembly in PBJelly (http://sourceforge.net/projects/pb-jelly). The last step of the assembly was to use all of the paired- and mate-end reads to fill the gaps with GapFiller [17].

The assembly was cleared of contaminants as described by Cotton *et al.* [9] in two steps. Before gene model prediction, all scaffolds were searched against the NCBI non-redundant (nr) database with BlastX using an *e*-value of  $10^{-5}$ . Those that only had hits to bacterial sequence and for which no RNA-seq reads mapped were filtered out. After gene prediction, scaffolds with high GC content that did not have predicted gene models and scaffolds with no gene model with similarity to animals instead of plants, fungi or bacteria were removed.

#### (c) Genome annotation

RepeatScout [18] and RECON [19] were used to predict repeat sequences. RepeatModeler (http://repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler.html) was used to classify consensus models of putative interspersed repeats. RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker. org) was used to mask the repeats in the genome sequence.

MAKER v. 2.31.8 (http://www.yandell-lab.org/software/ maker.html) was used to perform annotations as follows. Clean reads from the transcriptome with removal of adaptor sequences and low quality data were de novo assembled using the software Trinity (https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq) with the default settings to generate full-length cDNA sequences. These sequences were used to train the *ab initio* gene prediction programs SNAP [20] and Augustus [21]. The outputs of the above programs were used to re-train the tools themselves. Similarity-based gene predictions were generated using exonerated alignments of transcriptome sequences. Transcript fragments from the RNA-seq datasets were aligned and merged using TopHat [22] and Bowtie2 [23], and protein-based predictions were generated using GeneWise [24]. Gene predictions of ab initio and similarity methods were aggregated into a final set following three rounds of processing in MAKER software; the first two rounds improved the training of the ab initio tools, and a final round predicted proteins based on their similarities to all of the non-redundant protein sequences of the nematode phylum.

Functional annotation was performed using the InterProScan tool (5.14.53.0) [25] to scan the following 15 signature databases: COILS 2.2, Gene3D 3.5.0, PANTHER 8.1, Pfam-A 28.0, PIRSF 3.01, PRINTS 42.0, ProDom 2006.1, PROSITE 20.113, SMART 6.2, SignalP\_EUK, SUPERFAMILY 1.75, TIGRFAMS 15.0 and TMHMM 2.0.

#### (d) Comparative genomics

Protein sequences of all available plant nematodes and *C. elegans* were clustered using the tool OrthoMCL [26]. The gene family-shared features among these nematodes were computed using an in-house Perl script. Protein sequences of each single-copy gene family were aligned using Muscle [27], and each alignment was trimmed with trimAl [28]. A maximum-likelihood core protein phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the concatenated alignment from all single-copy core protein families using PhyML [29] with the best model (LG + I + G) predicted by ProtTest [30]. Bootstrap support values were calculated from 1000 replicates.

#### (e) Expert functional annotation

The glycoside hydrolase (GH) and glycosyltransferase (GT) genes were identified and classified by searching query protein sequences against the CAZy database [31]. Then, target sequences were checked manually by identifying their conserved domains with the Pfam and CDD databases [32,33].

Protein sequences of effectors reported in the root-knot and cyst nematodes [34–37] were obtained from GenBank. Blast was



**Figure 1.** Photographs of injected sweet potatoes and *D. destructor*. (*a*) The outside phenotype of sweet potatoes six weeks after injection with *D. destructor*. (*b*) The inside phenotype of sweet potatoes six weeks after injection with *D. destructor*. (*c*) Nematodes extracted from sweet potatoes. (*d*) Light microscopy photographs of *D. destructor*. (*e*) The stylet (arrow) of *D. destructor*. (*f*) The male's tail of *D. destructor*. (*g*) The female's tail of *D. destructor*. (*h*) The J1 in a *D. destructor* egg.

used to predict putative homologous effectors from *D. destructor*. Signal peptides and transmembrane domains were predicted for each putative effector by SignalP (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP) and TMHMM (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM), respectively. Only those with signal peptide but without transmembrane domain were considered.

Protein sequences associated with pressure resistance, core development and pathways from *C. elegans* were extracted according to their descriptions in WormBook (http://www.wormbook. org). Protein sequences for each of the processes and pathways were respectively used as original query sequences to search against all of the protein sequences investigated in this study by BlastP. Similar sequences were extracted and searched against all the protein sequences of *C. elegans;* only those having the highest scorer to the original query sequences were considered. Then, all candidate sequences were checked manually by identifying the conserved domains contained by the query sequences. If a query sequence did not contain a conserved domain, we filtered out the resulting sequences using an *e*-value of  $10^{-5}$  and a coverage of 60%.

#### 3. Results

## (a) General features of the *Ditylenchus destructor* genome

The D. destructor strain studied in this work was isolated from sweet potatoes and caused serious damage to its host during storage (figure 1). We sequenced the *D. destructor* genome by combining the Illumina HiSeq 2500 and PacBio platforms to obtain approximately 200-fold coverage and produced a draft assembly of approximately 112 Mbp (N50 = 570 kb; table 1) with a mean GC content of 36.6%. The genome size was much larger than the genome sizes of other plant-parasitic nematodes [7-9,38]. The completeness was estimated to be 91% by CEGMA (core eukaryotic gene mapping approach) and showed a better assembly than the G. pallida [9] and M. incognita [7] genomes. Additionally, about 85% of the reads from RNA-seq were mapped to the genome sequence, confirming the completeness estimated by CEGMA. Genome repeats comprise approximately 23.4 Mbp (19.5%), of which only approximately 6 Mbp (28%) can be classified into known repeat types (electronic supplementary material, table S2). The features of the overall repeats are similar to the other plant-parasitic nematodes with genome sequences available [7-9,38].

A total of 13 938 protein-coding genes were predicted (table 1). The gene density was lower than the densities of other plant-parasitic nematodes and *C. elegans*. The mean gene length was 4101 bp, which was much longer than the lengths of other plant nematodes and *C. elegans*. The mean exon and intron lengths were 146 bp and 325 bp, respectively, and the mean exon number of each gene model was 8. The large intron length and number both contribute to the large lengths of each gene model.

All protein sequences of the plant-parasitic nematodes and *C. elegans* were clustered using the MCL algorithm [26]. A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on all single-copy core genes (figure 2). The tree had a topology similar to the SSU rDNA-based tree, with *D. destructor* positioned on the base branch of the root knot and cyst nematodes with 100% bootstrap support. A total of 3219 gene families were shared by all plant nematodes and *C. elegans*, and 329 gene families were orthologous among the four plant nematodes but not *C. elegans* (figure 3). Approximately 28% (3910) of the *D. destructor* genes had no orthologues in the other four nematodes. *Ditylenchus destructor* shared more orthologous genes with *C. elegans* than with other plant nematodes in clade 12.

Among the 13 938 predicted genes in the *D. destructor* genome, 11 819 proteins were annotated based on the presence of characteristic protein domains identified by InterProScan [25]. Compared with other plant nematodes and *C. elegans*, no obvious extreme gene family expansions, like G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) in *M. halpa* [8] and SPRY domain (domain in SP1a and the ryanodine receptor) proteins in *G. pallida* [9], were found. In the list of the top 25 Pfam hits featured from *D. destructor* compared with the other clade 12 plant nematodes, more proteins containing trypsin (PF00089), ABC transporter (PF00005), sugar (and other) transporter (PF00083) and short chain dehydrogenase (PF00106) domains (electronic supplementary material, table S3) were found. This result conforms to the capacity of *D. destructor* to metabolize carbohydrates and proteins from tubers of its major host, potatoes.

# (b) Genomic insights into the plant parasitism mechanisms of *Ditylenchus destructor*

The cell wall is the first barrier that any endoparasitic plant nematode needs to overcome during plant invasion. *Ditylenchus destructor* has a cell wall modification gene content that is

4

**Table 1.** Features of the *Ditylenchus destructor* draft genome. CDS, coding

 DNA sequence; CEGMA, core eukaryotic gene mapping approach.

| description                               |             |
|---|-------------|
| genome size (bp)                          | 112 991 371 |
| number of scaffolds; contigs              | 1761; 3818  |
| largest scaffold size (bp)                | 3 556 246   |
| N counts in scaffold                      | 2 308 783   |
| N50 (bp); count $>$ 1 kb in length        | 570 381; 47 |
| N90 (bp); count $>$ N90 length            | 65 385; 260 |
| genome GC content (%)                     | 36.6        |
| repetitive sequences (%)                  | 19.5%       |
| number of gene models                     | 13 938      |
| gene density (genes per Mb)               | 124         |
| mean gene size (bp)                       | 4101        |
| mean CDS length (bp)                      | 1408        |
| mean exon number per gene                 | 8           |
| mean exon length (bp)                     | 145         |
| mean intron length (bp)                   | 325         |
| coding GC content (%)                     | 44%         |
| CEGMA completeness: complete; partial (%) | 87.1; 91.1  |

similar to the other three plant-parasitic nematodes in clade 12 (electronic supplementary material, table S4). Three cellulases from glycoside hydrolase family 5 (GH5) are present in *D. destructor*; each gene was positioned on the base of the other GH5 members from the root-knot and cyst nematodes in the protein sequence-based phylogenetic tree (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Other cell wall-modifying genes identified in other plant nematodes were also present in *D. destructor*, including xylanases (GH30), arabinases (GH43) and pectate lyase (PL3). However, no genes homologous to polygalacturonase (GH28) or expansin were found in *D. destructor*, despite their frequent presence in other plant nematodes [39].

As the major host of D. destructor, potato-containing starch comprises more than 30% of its tubers [40]. To survive in this type of plant host, D. destructor harbours key enzymes for starch degradation. Although proteins belonging to GH13 have been predicted in all plant-parasitic nematodes,  $\alpha$ -amylases (EC 3.2.1.1) were only identified from *D. destructor* and Bursaphelenchus xylohpilus, with a slight expansion in D. destructor (electronic supplementary material, table S4 and figure S2; figure 4). The  $\alpha$ -amylase, which acts specifically on starch, cleaves the  $\alpha$ -1,4 glycosidic bonds present from the inner part (endo-) of the amylose or amylopectin chain [41]. Phylogenetic analysis based on  $\alpha$ -amylase protein sequences from nematodes and other organisms indicated a similar origin to other nematodes. These sequences were clustered together with those from animal parasitic and free-living nematodes and definitely apart from those of bacteria, fungi, insects and some higher animals (figure 4). The other two types of enzymes of GH13 predicted in this study included the branching enzyme (EC 2.4.1.18), which converts amylose into amylopectin, and oligo-1,6-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.10), which releases an  $\alpha$ -1,6linked glucose from oligosaccharides produced from starch. All nematodes analysed in this study contained these enzymes. Another starch-degrading enzyme that belonged to the



**Figure 2.** Phylogenomic analysis of nematodes. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed based on a concatenated alignment of protein sequences for the 651 single-copy core genes by PhyML. Bootstrap support values were calculated from 1000 replicates. *B. xylophilus, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus.* 



**Figure 3.** Homologs shared among plant-parasitic nematodes (*D. destructor, G. pallida, M. hapla* and *M. incognita*) and *C. elegans*. The homologous groups were computed by OrthoMCL with an inflation value of 1.5 after an all-against all Blast.

exo-amylase was also slightly enriched in *D. destructor*. Maltase-glucoamylase (GH31) cleaves both  $\alpha$ -1,4 and  $\alpha$ -1,6 bonds on the external glucose residues of amylose or amylopectin from the non-reducing end and thus produces only glucose (glucoamylase). *Ditylenchus destructor* contains nine of these proteins, which is more than even *C. elegans* (electronic supplementary material, table S4).

Effectors play crucial roles in the interaction between nematodes and their plant hosts. Fifty-six proteins homologous to effectors of other plant nematodes were predicted in *D. destructor*, including 20 homologs to cyst nematodes and 36 homologs to root-knot nematodes (electronic supplementary material, table S5). Only five *D. destructor* proteins belonging to two families (FAR-1 (fatty-acid and retinol binding protein) and VAP-1 (venom allergen-like protein)) showed significant similarity to effector proteins of both root-knot and cyst nematodes. FAR-1 and VAP-1, both of which play important roles in the infection process, were also identified in several other plant nematodes, suggesting that they play similar roles in the nematode parasitic process. Most of the other putative effectors had limited information available concerning their roles in parasitism except that they were secreted during the infection process.

# (c) Core processes and pathways involved in the control of nematode development

To gain more information about the evolution of the plantparasitic nematodes, we focused on the diversity of the



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic analysis of the  $\alpha$ -amylase protein sequences from all nematodes and representatives of bacteria, fungi and other animals. Different branch colours represent different organisms (red for nematodes, blue for fungi, brown for bacteria, purple for invertebrates and green for vertebrates). Taxon names are coloured for different nematodes (dark green for plant nematodes, dark red for animal parasitic nematodes and blue for free-living nematodes).

genes involved in the core processes and pathways identified in *C. elegans*.

Genes homologous to the *C. elegans* genes involved in the development control were surveyed in all plant-parasitic nematodes (table 2; electronic supplementary material, Supporting Results). With the exception of the genes involved in intestine development, less than half of the genes associated with each of the other processes had homologs in *G. pallida*, *M. halpa* and *M. incognita*. There were more homologous genes in the two migratory plant-parasitic nematodes *B. xylophilus* and *D. destructor*, but many key genes in these processes identified in *C. elegans* had no homologs in any of the plant-parasitic nematodes.

During development of the intestine, the actin protein ACT-5 has been reported to play a key role in the microvilli, and *act-5* knockout resulted in lethality shortly after hatching [42]. However, no homologous gene was found in any of the plant-parasitic nematodes, suggesting a different microvilli structure (electronic supplementary material, table S6). Two

genes involved in pharynx development (*skn-1* and *pha-4*) were absent in plant nematodes despite the finding that mutations in one of these genes could result in an absent pharynx [43,44]. Moreover, only some of the genes that played no crucial roles in this process were conserved, such as *gei-17*, *htz-1*, *lag-1*, *myo-2*, *pha-2*, *ubc-9* and *ubc-39* (electronic supplementary material, table S7).

Programmed cell death (PCD) plays a fundamental role in animal development, and genes involved in the three phases of this process have been well studied in *C. elegans* [45]. Although more genes were conserved in *D. destructor* than in the other three clade 12 nematodes, most key genes in the first two stages were lost in all of the plant nematodes (electronic supplementary material, table S8). During the specification phase, only one transcriptional regulator (*ces-2*) was conserved in all nematodes. In the killing phase, no homologous genes were found in any of the plant nematodes for three (*eag-1*, *ced-9* and *ced-4*) out of the four genes in the core machinery involved in the activation of the apoptotic

#### Table 2. Numbers of genes associated with core processes in C. elegans and the five plant-parasitic nematodes.

| process/pathway                    | C. elegans           | B. xylophilus | D. destructor | G. pallida       | M. halpa | M. incognita |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|----------|--------------|
| development control                |                      |               |               |                  |          |              |
| intestine development              | 24                   | 19            | 20            | 15               | 16       | 16           |
| pharynx development                | 25                   | 11            | 15            | 12               | 7        | 11           |
| Q neuroblast development           | 32                   | 14            | 21            | 15               | 12       | 7            |
| embryo development                 | 48                   | 22            | 21            | 19               | 21       | 17           |
| programmed cell death              | 28                   | 16            | 14            | 11               | 11       | 12           |
| translational control of maternal  | 15                   | 8             | 7             | 4                | 6        | 5            |
| RNAs                               |                      |               |               |                  |          |              |
| signal transduction                |                      |               |               |                  |          |              |
| RTK-Ras-ERK signalling             | 58                   | 44            | 48            | 40               | 42       | 37           |
| Eph receptor signalling            | 25                   | 20            | 22            | 17               | 19       | 13           |
| heterotrimeric G proteins          | 25                   | 16            | 15            | 12               | 12       | 14           |
| Hh signalling network              | 63                   | 28            | 32            | 18               | 21       | 20           |
| insulin/insulin-like growth factor | 18                   | 13            | 14            | 10               | 13       | 13           |
| signalling                         | 17                   | 40            | 25            | 22               | 27       | 21           |
| nuclear bermene recenter           | 47                   | 40            | 55            | 55<br>E <i>N</i> | גר<br>גר | ا ک<br>10    |
| small GTPacos                      | 204                  | 20            | וכ<br>סכ      | ງ4<br>ງງ         | 23       | 10           |
|                                    | 24<br>26             | 16            | 15            | 16               | 24<br>15 | 11           |
| chomorocontor                      | 1076                 | 22/4          | 107           | 10               | 68       | 102          |
| What signalling                    | 22                   | 21            | 22            | 120              | 00       | 21           |
| other core processos               | 20                   | 10            |               | 20               | 20       | 21           |
|                                    | 10                   | 12            | 10            | 11               | 1/1      | 10           |
| autophiayy                         | 17                   | دا<br>22      | 1V<br>20      | ۱۱<br>کا         | 14       | 10<br>19     |
| sov determination                  | 0 <del>4</del><br>22 | کد<br>7       | JZ<br>11      | ۷ کا<br>۵        | 10       | 10           |
| sex ueterminiduori                 | <u>ک</u><br>122      | ו<br>ספ       | 20            | 0                | ر<br>10  | 1            |
| DNAi pathway                       | 122                  | 20            | 27            | וס<br>דר         | 10<br>20 | 10           |
| піллі раційаў                      | /ð                   | 5/            | 30            | <i>L1</i>        | ۷ð       | 20           |

programme. Most genes in the execution phase were conserved in all plant nematodes.

## (d) Core processes and nematode signal

#### transduction pathways

In contrast with the genes involved in developmental control, the major signalling systems were conserved among all nematodes and most key genes in these pathways identified in *C. elegans* were conserved in all plant nematodes (table 2; electronic supplementary material, Supporting Results). Compared with the three sedentary parasitic plant nematodes, the two migratory plant-parasitic nematodes contain more genes that are homologous with the free-living nematode *C. elegans*. The main differences among these plant nematodes and *C. elegans* arise from genes encoding receptors, such as the nuclear hormone receptors (NHRs) and GPCRs. Additionally, most of these types of genes identified in *C. elegans* have no homologs in any of the plant nematodes (electronic supplementary material, tables S9, S10 and Supporting Results).

In response to harsh environmental conditions, *C. elegans* larvae undergo dauer arrest at the second moult. There are four pathways that play critical roles during the formation of

this situation: the guanylyl cyclase, TGF<sub>β</sub>-like, insulin-like and hormonal signalling pathways. The last three pathways exhibit limited conservation in the plant nematodes; only a few genes in each pathway have homologs in these nematodes (electronic supplementary material, table S11), with relatively more conservation in *D. destructor* and *B. xylophilus*. Although many of the genes involved in TGF-B signalling are conserved in all plant nematodes, genes associated with the regulation of dauer development are almost all lost, with daf-4 being the only exception (electronic supplementary material, tables S11 and S12). The insulin-like and steroid hormone pathways are in similar situations. Sex determination in C. elegans has been well studied. Only limited genes involved in this process are conserved in all nematodes, including mag-1, mog-1, mog-4, mog-6 and tra-3 (table 2; electronic supplementary material, table S13). Ditylenchus destructor possesses more genes that are similar to C. elegans than G. pallida, M. incognita and M. halpa. For example, D. destructor contains the mab-23 gene, which is associated with male differentiation and behaviour.

RNA interference (RNAi) represents an important gene regulation pathway implemented by double-strand (dsRNA)based gene silencing. RNAi can be used as a molecular tool for functional research in eukaryotic organisms. For the control of plant nematodes, it can be applied in transgenic plants to promote resistance against parasites [46]. *Caenorhabditis elegans* has 78 RNAi effectors, but all plant nematodes contain less than half of these effectors [9,38]. The two migratory plant nematodes have more RNAi effectors than the sedentary plant nematodes (table 2; electronic supplementary material, table S14). Most of the small RNA biosynthetic proteins have homologs in all plant nematodes, and genes involved in dsRNA uptake and argonaute formation are more conserved in *D. destructor* and *B. xylophilus*. Genes homologous to *rrf-1*, *rrf-3* and *csr-1* were found in *D. destructor* and *B. xylophilus* but not in the other plant nematodes. For all of these processes, approximately half or more of the effectors identified in *C. elegans* have no homologous members in the plant nematodes.

### 4. Discussion

We present the draft genome sequence of *D. destructor*, a migratory plant nematode from clade 12. In addition to plant cell wall degradation enzymes, the  $\alpha$ -amylase enzyme is important for the capacity of *D. destructor* to parasitize plants. Unlike the cell wall modification-associated genes, the  $\alpha$ -amylase gene was not acquired by horizontal gene transfer (HGT) and might have been inherited from the nematode ancestor. Moreover, the presence of fungal cell wall degradation enzymes coincides with the finding that *D. destructor* is a fungi feeder (electronic supplementary material, table S4). Indeed, most members of the genus *Ditylenchus* are fungivorous [47].

On the SSU-based phylogenetic tree, the genus Ditylenchus is on the base of the most important sedentary nematodes, the root-knot and cyst nematodes. The phylogenetic tree of all single-copy core proteins of the plant nematodes and C. elegans supports that D. destructor is positioned more closely to the root [6]. We suggest that Ditylenchus spp. are more ancient than sedentary endoparasitic nematodes and may represent an intermediate stage during the evolution of plant nematodes. Some members of this genus, particularly Ditylenchus dipsaci, have much larger host ranges than other plant nematodes, which supports the ancient origin of this genus [2,48]. For each of the four clades containing plant-parasitic nematodes, information concerning feeding habits and puncturing devices supports the hypothesis that plant-parasitic nematodes have originated from fungivorous ancestors [5]. Most members of the genus Ditylenchus feed on fungi, although other members (including *D. destructor*) feed on both fungi and plants. This finding also supports the hypothesis that Ditylenchus is more ancient than other sedentary endoparasitic nematodes in the same clade.

Comparative genomics among different plant nematodes in clade 12 and *C. elegans* supports the ancient position of *Ditylenchus* spp. and its intermediate situation between freeliving and sedentary nematodes. First, *D. destructor* exhibits no genome reduction, and no gene family associated with plant parasitism has been expanded, in contrast with the other plant nematodes. This result is in accordance with its two different lifestyles (free-living and facultative plant parasitism). Second, some effectors from root-knot and cyst nematodes have homologies in *D. destructor*, but there is little overlap between the two sedentary nematodes [9]. These common effectors may originate from nematodes such as *Ditylenchus* spp. Root-knot and cyst nematodes inherited these effectors separately from a Ditylenchus-like ancestor [5]. Third, the key effector, the cellulase gene, from D. destructor shows a more ancient situation than the effectors of the two sedentary nematodes. Other cell modificationassociated genes have similar features, such as the arabinase (GH43) gene. The member in D. destructor was positioned more closely to the roots of the phylogenetic trees (electronic supplementary material, figures S3). Fourth, genes associated with response to and protection against environmental pressures were more conserved in D. destructor (electronic supplementary material, Supporting Results). As a facultative fungivorous nematode, D. destructor faces more challenges owing to diverse environmental pressures in the soil than obligate plant-parasitic nematodes. Fifth, core development processes are more conserved in D. destructor. Compared to the free-living nematode C. elegans, most genes involved in core development have undergone substantial losses in all plant nematodes. However, D. destructor usually possesses relatively more conserved members in terms of both gene families and sequence identities. From free-living to sedentary plant-parasitic styles, nematodes exhibit large transformations in their core development, and D. destructor may represent an intermediate situation in this process. Sixth, in contrast with pathways associated with developmental control, signal transduction pathways are conserved in all plant-parasitic nematodes. Additionally, many of these pathways are conserved among animals from different phyla [49,50]. However, we could find obvious diversity in the plant nematodes when compared to C. elegans, and we found more homologous genes in *D. destructor* than in the root-knot and cyst nematodes. Taken together, our results suggest that sedentary lifestyles, for example formation of root-knot and cyst, may represent advanced states of plant parasitism because the nematodes do not kill the plant and can continuously absorb nutrients from the plant host [51]. If we consider the fungi-feeding lifestyles as the primary state, the migratory lifestyles can represent an intermediate state. Migratory nematodes, such as Ditylenchus spp., can cause the death of their plant hosts, after which they need to relocate from the host to the soil to feed on fungi and to find a new appropriate plant host [2].

Some migratory plant nematodes were phylogenetically close to sedentary nematodes. For example, *Pratylenchus* spp. were clustered together with *Meloidogyne* spp. on the phylogenetic tree based on SSU rDNA sequences [5]. These nematodes maintain life migratory lifestyles, but lost the capability of free-living feeding on fungi. Information from the genomics indicated that some processes associated with developmental control (for example, sex determination) were not conserved, whereas those involved in signal transduction were more conserved, such as the dauer pathway and RNAi pathway [10]. This can be considered as indirect evidence for the more ancient position of *Ditylenchus* spp. during the evolutionary history.

We focused on the biocontrol of plant nematodes to facilitate future studies related to plant protection. Most of our previous studies have used *C. elegans* as a model, but *C. elegans* is neither a plant nematode nor a true parasite [52,53]. Therefore, we focused on the biology and genetics of *D. destructor* to enable its use as a model organism to study the interactions between plant nematodes and plants. *Ditylenchus destructor* shared many genomic features with both the root-knot and cyst nematodes and the model organism *C. elegans*. Based on the genomics study, we suggest that

8

some basic features, especially those associated with signal transduction of plant nematodes, can be well studied using *C. elegans* as a model because they are conserved in all nematodes. However, to study other features, such as those involved in specific organism development and the interactions between nematodes and plants, *D. destructor* is a superior model because most of these features are plant nematode-specific. *Ditylenchus destructor* can be manipulated by RNAi [54]. We suggest that *D. destructor* can be used as a model nematode to study the interactions between plant nematodes and their hosts and the biocontrol of economically important agricultural nematodes.

### References

- Jones JT *et al.* 2013 Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology. *Mol. Plant. Pathol.* 14, 946–961. (doi:10.1111/mpp.12057)
- Moens M, Perry RN. 2009 Migratory plant endoparasitic nematodes: a group rich in contrasts and divergence. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 47, 313– 332. (doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-080508-081846)
- Wyss U, Lehmann H, Jank-Ladwig R. 1980 Ultrastructure of modified root-tip cells in *Ficus carica*, induced by the ectoparasitic nematode *Xiphinema index. J. Cell Sci.* 41, 193–208.
- Holterman M, van der Wurff A, van den Elsen S, van Megen H, Bongers T, Holovachov O, Bakker J, Helder J. 2006 Phylum-wide analysis of SSU rDNA reveals deep phylogenetic relationships among nematodes and accelerated evolution toward crown clades. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 23, 1792–1800. (doi:10. 1093/molbev/msl044)
- Quist CW, Smant G, Helder J. 2015 Evolution of plant parasitism in the phylum Nematoda. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 53, 289–310. (doi:10.1146/ annurev-phyto-080614-120057)
- van Megen H, van den Elsen S, Holterman M, Karssen G, Mooyman P, Bongers T, Holovachov O, Bakker J, Helder J. 2009 A phylogenetic tree of nematodes based on about 1200 full-length small subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Nematology* 11, 927–950. (doi:10.1163/156854109X456862)
- Abad P *et al.* 2008 Genome sequence of the metazoan plant-parasitic nematode *Meloidogyne incognita. Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 909–915. (doi:10. 1038/nbt.1482)
- Opperman CH *et al.* 2008 Sequence and genetic map of *Meloidogyne hapla*: a compact nematode genome for plant parasitism. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 14 802–14 807. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0805946105)
- Cotton JA *et al.* 2014 The genome and life-stage specific transcriptomes of *Globodera pallida* elucidate key aspects of plant parasitism by a cyst nematode. *Genome Biol.* **15**, R43. (doi:10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r43)
- Burke M et al. 2015 The plant parasite Pratylenchus coffeae carries a minimal nematode genome. Nematology 17, 17. (doi:10.1163/15685411-00002901)

- Henderson VE. 1951 Some host relationships of the potato-rot nematode, *Ditylenchus destructor* Thorne, 1945. *Nature* 167, 952. (doi:10.1038/ 167952a0)
- Faulkner L, Darling H. 1961 Pathological histology, hosts, and culture of the potato rot nematode. *Phytopathology* 51, 778–786.
- Peng H, Gao BL, Kong LA, Yu Q, Huang WK, He XF, Long HB, Peng DL. 2013 Exploring the host parasitism of the migratory plant-parasitic nematode *Ditylenchus destuctor* by expressed sequence tags analysis. *PLoS ONE* 8, e69579. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069579)
- Rosso MN, Jones JT, Abad P. 2009 RNAi and functional genomics in plant parasitic nematodes. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 47, 207–232. (doi:10. 1146/annurev.phyto.112408.132605)
- Gnerre S *et al.* 2011 High-quality draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 1513 – 1518. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1017351108)
- Boetzer M, Henkel CV, Jansen HJ, Butler D, Pirovano W. 2011 Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. *Bioinformatics* 27, 578–579. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btq683)
- Nadalin F, Vezzi F, Policriti A. 2012 GapFiller: a de novo assembly approach to fill the gap within paired reads. *BMC Bioinformatics* **13**(Suppl. 14), S8. (doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-S14-S8)
- Price AL, Jones NC, Pevzner PA. 2005 De novo identification of repeat families in large genomes. *Bioinformatics* 21(Suppl 1), 351–i358. (doi:10. 1093/bioinformatics/bti1018)
- Bao Z, Eddy SR. 2002 Automated de novo identification of repeat sequence families in sequenced genomes. *Genome Res.* 12, 1269–1276. (doi:10.1101/gr.88502)
- 20. Korf I. 2004 Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**, 59. (doi:10.1186/1471-2105-5-59)
- Stanke M, Schoffmann O, Morgenstern B, Waack S. 2006 Gene prediction in eukaryotes with a generalized hidden Markov model that uses hints from external sources. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7, 62. (doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-62)
- 22. Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. 2009 TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq.

Data accessibility. The sequence data are accessible via the National Center for Biotechnology Information under accession code LSTP00000000 (version LSTP01000000; BioProject: PRJNA312427).

Author contributions. J.Z., D.P., L.R. and M.S. designed and planned the study. L.C., F.C. and M.X. isolated the nematodes and extracted the DNA and RNA. J.Z., S.J. and H.L. analysed the data. J.Z. and M.S. wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by grants from the China 948 Program of the Ministry of Agriculture (2016-X21, 2011-G25) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31500003 and 31270137).

> *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1105 – 1111. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btp120)

- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012 Fast gappedread alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357–359. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923)
- Birney E, Clamp M, Durbin R. 2004 GeneWise and Genomewise. *Genome Res.* 14, 988–995. (doi:10.1101/gr.1865504)
- Jones P et al. 2014 InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1236–1240. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031)
- Li L, Stoeckert Jr CJ, Roos DS. 2003 OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Res.* 13, 2178–2189. (doi:10. 1101/gr.1224503)
- Edgar RC. 2004 MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1792–1797. (doi:10.1093/ nar/gkh340)
- Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martinez JM, Gabaldon T. 2009 trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1972 – 1973. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btp348)
- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010 New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* 59, 307–321. (doi:10.1093/ sysbio/syq010)
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2011 ProtTest 3: fast selection of best-fit models of protein evolution. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1164–1165. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr088)
- Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B. 2014 The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42(Database issue), D490–D495. (doi:10.1093/nar/ gkt1178)
- Finn RD *et al.* 2014 Pfam: the protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42(Database issue), D222 – D230. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1223)
- Marchler-Bauer A et al. 2015 CDD: NCBI's conserved domain database. Nucleic Acids Res.
   43(Database issue), D222 – D226. (doi:10.1093/ nar/gku1221)

rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org Proc. R. Soc. B 283: 20160942

9

- Truong NM, Nguyen CN, Abad P, Quentin M, Favery B. 2015 Chapter twelve. Function of root-knot nematode effectors and their targets in plant parasitism. In *Advances in Botanical Research* vol. 73 (eds Carolina Escobar, Carmen Fenoll), pp. 293–324. Salt Lake City, UT: Academic Press.
- Gardner M, Verma A, Mitchum MG. 2015 Chapter Eleven. Emerging roles of cyst nematode effectors in exploiting plant cellular processes. In *Advances in Botanical Research* vol. 73 (eds Carolina Escobar, Carmen Fenoll), pp. 259–291. Salt Lake City, UT: Academic Press.
- Rutter WB, Hewezi T, Abubucker S, Maier TR, Huang G, Mitreva M, Hussey RS, Baum TJ. 2014 Mining novel effector proteins from the esophageal gland cells of *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 27, 965–974. (doi:10.1094/MPMI-03-14-0076-R)
- Thorpe P *et al.* 2014 Genomic characterisation of the effector complement of the potato cyst nematode *Globodera pallida. BMC Genomics* 15, 923. (doi:10. 1186/1471-2164-15-923)
- Kikuchi T *et al.* 2011 Genomic insights into the origin of parasitism in the emerging plant pathogen *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1002219. (doi:10.1371/journal. ppat.1002219)
- Danchin EG, Rosso MN, Vieira P, de Almeida-Engler J, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B, Abad P. 2010 Multiple lateral gene transfers and duplications have promoted plant parasitism ability in nematodes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 17 651–17 656. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1008486107)

- Hoover R. 2000 Composition, molecular structure, and physicochemical properties of tuber and root starches: a review. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 45, 253–267. (doi:10.1016/S0144-8617(00)00260-5)
- 41. Van Der Maarel MJ, Van Der Veen B, Uitdehaag JC, Leemhuis H, Dijkhuizen L. 2002 Properties and applications of starch-converting enzymes of the  $\alpha$ -amylase family. J. Biotechnol. **94**, 137–155. (doi:10.1016/S0168-1656(01)00407-2)
- MacQueen AJ, Baggett JJ, Perumov N, Bauer RA, Januszewski T, Schriefer L, Waddle JA. 2005 ACT-5 is an essential *Caenorhabditis elegans* actin required for intestinal microvilli formation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 16, 3247 – 3259. (doi:10.1091/mbc.E04-12-1061)
- Bowerman B, Draper BW, Mello CC, Priess JR. 1993 The maternal gene *skn-1* encodes a protein that is distributed unequally in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell* 74, 443–452. (doi:10.1016/0092-8674(93)80046-H)
- Kiefer JC, Smith PA, Mango SE. 2007 PHA-4/FoxA cooperates with TAM-1/TRIM to regulate cell fate restriction in the *C. elegans* foregut. *Dev. Biol.* 303, 611–624. (doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.11.042)
- Lord CE, Gunawardena AH. 2012 Programmed cell death in *C. elegans*, mammals and plants. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* **91**, 603–613. (doi:10.1016/j.ejcb. 2012.02.002)
- Gheysen G, Vanholme B. 2007 RNAi from plants to nematodes. *Trends Biotechnol.* 25, 89–92. (doi:10. 1016/j.tibtech.2007.01.007)
- D S, MW B. 1991 Stem and bulb nematodes, Ditylenchus spp. In Manual of agricultural nematology (ed. WR Nickle), pp. 423–464. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc.

- Subbotin SA, Madani M, Krall E, Sturhan D, Moens M. 2005 Molecular diagnostics, taxonomy, and phylogeny of the stem nematode *Ditylenchus dipsaci* species complex based on the sequences of the internal transcribed spacer-rDNA. *Phytopathology* **95**, 1308–1315. (doi:10.1094/ PHYT0-95-1308)
- Pires-daSilva A, Sommer RJ. 2003 The evolution of signalling pathways in animal development. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4, 39–49. (doi:10.1038/nrq977)
- Gazave E, Lapebie P, Richards GS, Brunet F, Ereskovsky AV, Degnan BM, Borchiellini C, Vervoort M, Renard E. 2009 Origin and evolution of the Notch signalling pathway: an overview from eukaryotic genomes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 9, 249. (doi:10. 1186/1471-2148-9-249)
- Jasmer DP, Goverse A, Smant G. 2003 Parasitic nematode interactions with mammals and plants. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 41, 245–270. (doi:10. 1146/annurev.phyto.41.052102.104023)
- Zhang F et al. 2016 Bacillus thuringiensis crystal protein Cry6Aa triggers Caenorhabditis elegans necrosis pathway mediated by aspartic protease (ASP-1). PLoS Pathog.. 12, e1005389. (doi:10.1371/ journal.ppat.1005389)
- Ruan L *et al.* 2015 A two-domain protein triggers heat shock pathway and necrosis pathway both in model plant and nematode. *Environ. Microbiol.* 17, 4547-4565. (doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12968)
- Peng H, Peng D, Long H, He W, Qiao F, Wang G, Huang W. 2014 Characterisation and functional importance of β-1,4-endoglucanases from the potato rot nematode, *Ditylenchus destructor*. *Nematology* 16, 505–517. (doi:10.1163/15685411-00002783)