000

# An alternative pathway for the effective production of the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturates EPA and ETA in transgenic oilseeds

Noemi Ruiz-Lopez<sup>1,2</sup>, Richard P. Haslam<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Usher<sup>1</sup>, Johnathan A. Napier<sup>1,\*</sup> and Olga Sayanova<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry and Crop Protection, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK <sup>2</sup>Instituto de la Grasa (CSIC), Seville, Spain

Received 28 October 2014; revised 10 December 2014; accepted 12 December 2014. \*Correspondence (Tel +44-1582-763133; fax +44 1582 760981; email johnathan.napier@rothamsted.ac.uk)

**Keywords:** metabolic engineering, lipids, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, transgenic, *Camelina*.

#### Summary

The synthesis and accumulation of omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in transgenic *Camelina sativa* is demonstrated using the so-called alternative pathway. This aerobic pathway is found in a small number of taxonomically unrelated unicellular organisms and utilizes a C18  $\Delta$ 9-elongase to generate C20 PUFAs. Here, we evaluated four different combinations of seed-specific transgene-derived activities to systematically determine the potential of this pathway to direct the synthesis of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) in transgenic plants. The accumulation of EPA and the related omega-3 LC-PUFA eicosatetraenoic acid (ETA) was observed up to 26.4% of total seed fatty acids, of which ETA was 9.5%. Seed oils such as these not only represent an additional source of EPA, but also an entirely new source of the *bona fide* fish oil ETA. Detailed lipidomic analysis of the alternative pathway in *Camelina* revealed that the acyl-substrate preferences of the different activities in the pathway can still generate a substrate-dichotomy bottleneck, largely due to inefficient acyl-exchange from phospholipids into the acyl-COA pool. However, significant levels of EPA and ETA were detected in the triacylglycerols of transgenic seeds, confirming the channelling of these fatty acids into this storage lipid.

#### Introduction

It is now well accepted that omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs), especially eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; 20:5Δ<sup>5,8,11,14,17</sup>) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA: 22:6 $\Delta^{4,7,10,13,16,19}$ ), are vital for human health and nutrition and play a crucial role in preventing cardiovascular diseases and associated precursor conditions such as metabolic syndrome and obesity (Swanson et al., 2012). Currently, oily marine fish is the major dietary source of these fatty acids; however, considering growing pressure on global fish stocks and pollution of the marine environment, there is an urgent need for an alternative costeffective solution for large-scale production of LC-PUFAs. In recent years, the feasibility of using higher plants for the production of omega-3 LC-PUFAs has been explored, and considerable progress has been made for effective production of EPA and DHA in oilseeds (Petrie et al., 2012a,b; Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2013, 2014). A variety of strategies have been used to introduce (via genetic engineering) the omega-3 LC-PUFAs metabolic pathways in oil crops, mainly by expressing desaturase and elongase genes involved in different biosynthetic routes for EPA and DHA accumulation (reviewed in Haslam et al., 2013). There are a number of different configurations of the biosynthetic pathway for C20 LC-PUFAs production. In particular, two separate and converging aerobic routes exist for the biosynthesis of EPA and its omega-6 counterpart, arachidonic acid (ARA; 20:4 $\Delta^{5,8,11,14}$ ). The predominant sequence (commonly called the 'conventional' or ' $\Delta$ 6-pathway') of enzymatic reactions required to convert C18 fatty acids to C20+ PUFAs commences with the introduction of a double bond at the  $\Delta 6$  position, followed by C2 chain elongation and a second desaturation at the  $\Delta 5$  position in the C20 acyl chain, generating EPA from  $\alpha$ -linolenic

acid (ALA; 18:3 $\Delta^{9,12,15}$ ; *n*-3) and generating ARA from linoleic acid (LA; 18:2 $\Delta^{9,12}$ ; *n*-6). In some species of microalgae such as *Pavlova* salina and Isochrysis galbana (Prymnesiophyceae), and protists such as Euglena gracilis (Euglenophyceae). Acanthamoeba castellanii and Perkinsus marinus, an alternative configuration for the synthesis of ARA and EPA (commonly known as the 'alternative' or ' $\Delta$ 9-pathway') has been observed (Damude *et al.*, 2007; Korn, 1964; Sayanova et al., 2006a,b; Venegas-Calerón et al., 2007; Wallis and Browse, 1999; Zhou et al., 2007). This pathway commences with the  $\Delta$ 9-elongation by two carbons of LA and ALA, to yield eicosadienoic acid ( $20:2\Delta^{11,14}$ ; 20:2n-6) and eicosatrienoic acid ( $20:3\Delta^{11,14,17}$ ; 20:3n-3), respectively. These two fatty acids are then chain-desaturated via a specific  $\Delta 8$ -desaturase to generate dihomo- $\gamma$ -linolenic acid (DGLA; 20:3 $\Delta^{8,11,14}$ ; *n*-6) and eicosatetraenoic acid (ETA;  $20:4\Delta^{8,11,14,17}$ ; *n*-3). As in the prevalent  $\Delta$ 6-pathway, these fatty acids are desaturated to ARA and EPA by a  $\Delta$ 5-desaturase (see Figure 1a for a simplified representation of biosynthetic pathways).

One advance in increasing the accumulation of omega-3 LC-PUFAs in transgenic plants has been derived from the characterization and deployment of acyl-CoA-dependent desaturases (Sayanova *et al.*, 2011a), which bypass the well-documented substrate-dichotomy bottleneck (a result of the differing acyl carrier substrate preferences of desaturases and elongases). One of the potential benefits of expressing the alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway in seeds is to mitigate this acyl-exchange requirement. The first C18  $\Delta$ 9-elongase, from *I. galbana*, was described by Qi *et al.* (2002), and subsequently, these authors went on to express the entire alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves (Qi *et al.*, 2004), where plants were sequentially transformed with genes expressing a  $\Delta$ 9-elongase, a



**Figure 1** (a) Schematic representation of  $\Delta$ 6-conventional and  $\Delta$ 9-alternative pathways for LC-PUFAs biosynthesis, with the different enzyme activities shown as arrows in different colours, also reflected in (b). (b) Simplified maps of vectors used for transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Camelina sativa*. Cnl, conlinin 1 promoter; NP, napin promoter; Nos, nopaline synthase promoter; Arc, *P. vulgaris* promoter; EhElo9, a  $\Delta$ 9-elongase from *E. huxleyi;* IsoElo9, a  $\Delta$ 9-elongase from *I. galbana;* Ac $\Delta$ 8, a  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase from *A. castellanii;* Eh $\Delta$ 8, a  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase from *P. salina;* Eh $\Delta$ 5,  $\Delta$ 5-desaturase from *E. huxleyi;* Pi $\omega$ 3, a  $\omega$ 3-desaturase from *P. infestans;* Ps $\Delta$ 12, a  $\Delta$ 12-desaturase from *P. sojae;* and NTPII, neomycin phosphotransferase gene. OCS, E9, t-Nos and t-Arc represent terminators.

 $\Delta$ 8-desaturase from the protist *E. gracilis* and a fungal  $\Delta$ 5-desaturase from *Mortierella alpina*; all under the control of the constitutive 35S viral promoter. The accumulation of 6.6% ARA and 3.0% EPA in total lipids of leaf tissues represented a 'proof-of-concept' demonstration for the plant synthesis of LC-PUFAs and also for the functionality of the alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway in plants. However, the choice of the constitutive 35S promoter limited the expression of the transgenes to vegetative tissues, and detailed analyses of leaf lipids indicated an inefficient transfer of these nonnative fatty acids from the acyl-CoA pool into extraplastidial phospholipids (Sayanova *et al.*,

2006a). Other examples of the utilization of the alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway for LC-PUFAs synthesis have been reported by Petrie et al. (2010) where three  $\Delta$ 9-elongases from *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Pavlova pinguis* and *P. salina* were jointly expressed in the leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana*, generating total lipids containing 1.2% EPA and 0.7% DHA. Subsequently, the seed-specific expression of the alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway (*I. galbana*  $\Delta$ 9-elongase and *P. salina*  $\Delta$ 8- and  $\Delta$ 5-desaturases) in Arabidopsis produced up to 20% ARA and 2% EPA in seed oil. This pathway was also transformed in *Brassica napus* where it yielded approximately 10% ARA and 2% EPA in seed oil, and the majority of these

omega-6 fatty acids were located at the *sn*-2 position of triacylglycerol, TAG (Petrie *et al.*, 2012a,b).

In this study, we build on those earlier observations to optimize the reconstitution of omega-3 EPA biosynthesis in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Camelina sativa* seeds exclusively via the alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway. We also discuss the advantages/disadvantages of using this  $\Delta$ 9-pathway instead of expressing an acyl-CoA-dependent  $\Delta$ 6-desaturase activity due to the circumvention of the well-documented 'substrate-dichotomy' bottleneck.

#### **Results and discussion**

# Reconstitution of the alternative $\Delta$ 9-pathway in transgenic Arabidopsis seeds

In previous studies, we investigated the effects of different combinations of genes and promoters on the accumulation of *n*-3 LC-PUFAs in Arabidopsis and *C. sativa* using the conventional  $\Delta$ 6-pathway (Ruiz-Lopez *et al.*, 2009, 2013, 2014; Ruiz-López *et al.*, 2012; Sayanova *et al.*, 2011a) . We demonstrated the advantages of utilizing an acyl-CoA-dependent  $\Delta$ 6-desaturase such as that reported from *Ostreococcus tauri* instead of phospholipid-dependent forms of this enzyme activity (Domergue *et al.*, 2003, 2005). This was ascribed to the circumvention of the first (and potentially rate-limiting) acyl-exchange bottleneck between phospholipids and the acyl-CoA pool.

Looking for other alternatives to bypass this generic metabolic bottleneck and to further optimize the production of EPA in oilseed crops such as C. sativa, we systematically generated a set of constructs carrying different genes encoding the enzymes participating in the alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway ( $\Delta$ 9-elongating activity and  $\Delta 8$ - and  $\Delta 5$ -desaturases) for the formation of C20 polyunsaturated fatty acids. We also included other additional genes encoding an omega-3 desaturase and a  $\Delta$ 12-desaturase, to optimize the production of EPA in seeds and also to allow a direct comparison with analogous studies in Arabidopsis and C. sativa using the  $\Delta 6$ -pathway containing these additional activities (Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2013, 2014). These constructs were initially evaluated in Arabidopsis, and after transformation via floral dip. mature seeds from Kan<sup>R</sup>-plants were analysed by GC–MS for total fatty acid composition (see Figure 1b for schematic representation of constructs).

The first two constructs (designated AP2 and AP3), contained the minimal three genes required for the synthesis of n-3/n-6 C20 LC-PUFAs (e.g. ARA and EPA) from endogenous C18 substrates plus a  $\Delta 12$ -desaturase gene from *Phytophthora sojae* (Ps $\Delta 12$ ) to enhance the levels of LA, and a C20 omega-3 desaturase from Phytophthora infestans (Piuo3; Wu et al., 2005; Ruiz-López et al., 2012) to increase the conversion of ARA to EPA (Figure 1a). Specifically, the AP2 construct contained a  $\Delta$ 9-fatty acid elongase gene (EhElo9), a  $\Delta$ 8- and a  $\Delta$ 5-desaturase genes (Eh $\Delta$ 8 and Eh $\Delta$ 5), all isolated from the coccolithophore *E. huxleyi* (Sayanova et al., 2011b), whereas in construct AP3, the  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase gene Eh $\Delta$ 8 from *E. huxleyi* was replaced by a  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase gene Ac∆8 from A. castellanii (Sayanova et al., 2006a) (Figure 1b). In the case of the Eh $\Delta$ 5  $\Delta$ 5-desaturase, this is believed to have a preference for acyl-CoA-substrates (Sayanova et al., 2011b), whereas all the other desaturases used in this study are assumed to utilize phospholipid-linked fatty acids as their substrates.

Analysis of total fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) from the seeds of 28 and 38 individual transgenic Arabidopsis T2 lines for AP2 and AP3 constructs, respectively, indicated that plants expressing these genes accumulated significant levels of 20:2*n*-

6 (7.9% and 6.7%, respectively) and 20:3*n*-3 (4.9% and 4.8%, respectively), as a result of LA and ALA elongation by EhElo9 (as previously observed in yeast by Sayanova *et al.*, 2011b). Disappointedly, Eh $\Delta$ 8 and Ac $\Delta$ 8 seemed not to be active in Arabidopsis as no accumulation of  $\Delta$ 8-desaturation products nor EPA was detected (Table 1), although both genes were previously shown by us to be active in yeast. PCR demonstrated the presence of transcripts in Arabidopsis of the expected size for both desaturases, although no data were generated to assess the presence of encoded polypeptides.

In an attempt to improve the conversion of LA and ALA fatty acids into the target C20 omega-3 LC-PUFA EPA, a third construct, AP4, was designed (Figure 1b). Previous studies have indicated that the expression of the *I. galbana* C18  $\Delta$ 9-polyunsaturated fatty acid specific elongase (IsoELO9, Qi et al., 2002, 2004) resulted in the accumulation of 20:2n-6 and 20:3n-3 in yeast and Arabidopsis. Additionally, the AP4 construct contained a *P. salina*  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase, plus the Eh $\Delta$ 5, Pi $\omega$ 3- and Ps $\Delta$ 12desaturases as in AP2 and AP3. Fatty acid analysis of T2 seeds from 14 individual transgenic lines for this construct revealed that there was some accumulation of the target omega-3 LC-PUFAs, EPA and ETA (averaged 1.9% and 3.3%, respectively). We also observed that the levels of the intermediates 20:2n-6 and 20:3n-3 were similar to WT, indicating that  $Ps\Delta 8$  was active. Additionally, AP4 seeds accumulated  $20:2\Delta^{8,11}$  (20:2*n*-9, averaged level 3.0%), most likely generated as a side reaction of the Ps $\Delta 8$ acting on endogenous  $20:1\Delta^{11}$  (20:1*n*-9)—notably, the levels of this fatty acid were reduced in AP4 compared with WT (Table 1).

Taking into account the data provided by these iterations, a further six-gene construct designated AP5 was generated by combining the most active genes present in AP2, AP3 and AP4 (EhELO9, IgElo9, PsA8, EhA5; Figure 1b). T2 transgenic Arabidopsis lines (n = 35) for AP5 were generated, and EPA was seen to accumulate to an average level of 3.6% (in the range 1.7–6.0% of total seed fatty acids; Table 1). Moreover, the levels of the both intermediates 20:2n-6 and 20:3n-3 were similar to the values found in WT, confirming our previous observations of  $Ps\Delta 8$ working very efficiently. However, some minor accumulation of  $\Delta$ 8-desaturated fatty acids, 20:3*n*-6 (DGLA) and ETA, was also observed (an average of 2.6% and 3.9%, respectively) in T2 seeds (Table 1). This could be an indication that  $Eh\Delta 5$  was not as efficient as might be predicted from previous heterologous expression in yeast (Sayanova et al., 2011b). However, we believe that this is more likely a reflection of the substrate preference of this particular enzyme (for acyl-CoA as opposed to phospholipids), which in turn replicated the problem of substrate dichotomy observed in the  $\Delta 6$ -conventional pathway, as the preceding step ( $\Delta$ 8-desaturation) most likely occurs on phospholipids (Figure 1a). As expected, there was some accumulation of 20:2n-9, as in AP4 (via off-target  $\Delta$ 8-desaturation) and the levels of LA and ALA in AP5 transgenic seeds showed some minor reduction, compared with WT (Table 1). Finally, the levels of newly synthesized C20 omega-6 fatty acids (DGLA and ARA; 2.6% and 0.6%, respectively) were much lower than the analogous omega-3 forms (ETA and EPA; 3.9% and 3.6%, respectively). Notably, this is significantly different to the fatty acid profile reported by Petrie et al. (2012a,b), in which the expression of IsoELO9,  $Ps\Delta 8$  and  $Ps\Delta 5$  in transgenic Arabidopsis seeds resulted in higher levels of omega-6 fatty acids such as ARA compared with EPA and ETA. However, the observed difference is likely due to the fae1/fad3 mutant background used by Petrie et al. in the 2012 study, and also the absence of any omega-3 desaturase in their construct.

|               | A. thaliana  |               |                |               |                | C. sativa     |              |                |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
|               | WT           | AP2           | AP3            | AP4           | AP5            | WT            | AP5          | AP5#1          |
| 16:0          | 6.4 ± 0.2    | 6.1 ± 0.4     | 6.3 ± 0.4      | 9.7 ± 0.4     | 11.0 ± 0.9     | 7.2 ± 0.2     | 10.9 ± 2.5   | 7.4 ± 0.3      |
| 18:0          | $3.2\pm0.1$  | $3.2\pm0.2$   | $3.2\pm0.1$    | $3.6\pm0.1$   | $3.7\pm0.2$    | $3.4\pm0.2$   | $4.2\pm0.6$  | $3.9\pm0.3$    |
| 18:1n9 (OA)   | $14.6\pm1.0$ | $11.3\pm1.4$  | $10.9 \pm 1.4$ | $11.9\pm1.4$  | $11.9 \pm 1.5$ | $11.5\pm1.6$  | $9.2\pm2.0$  | 9.7 ± 1.3      |
| 18:1n7        | $1.8\pm0.2$  | $1.8\pm0.2$   | $1.9\pm0.3$    | $1.9\pm0.2$   | $1.8\pm0.3$    | $0.8\pm0.0$   | $1.1\pm0.2$  | $0.8\pm0.1$    |
| 18:2n6 (LA)   | $28.2\pm0.7$ | $26.3\pm1.3$  | $26.4\pm1.7$   | $28.7\pm0.7$  | $26.2\pm1.2$   | $18.6\pm0.6$  | $19.4\pm2.2$ | $15.3 \pm 1.1$ |
| 18:3n3 (ALA)  | $15.6\pm0.6$ | $13.6\pm1.0$  | $14.6\pm1.3$   | $12.9\pm0.8$  | $12.6\pm0.7$   | $34.6\pm1.0$  | $21.8\pm3.2$ | $24.5\pm2.8$   |
| 20:0          | $2.3\pm0.2$  | $2.2\pm0.2$   | $2.2\pm0.1$    | $2.0\pm0.1$   | $1.6\pm0.1$    | $1.9\pm0.2$   | $1.7\pm0.2$  | $1.7 \pm 0.1$  |
| 20:1n9        | $19.9\pm0.8$ | $16.7\pm1.1$  | $16.5\pm1.1$   | $10.7\pm1.3$  | $8.8\pm1.2$    | $13.4\pm0.3$  | $5.8\pm1.7$  | $5.7\pm2.0$    |
| 20:1n7        | $2.5\pm0.2$  | $2.4\pm0.4$   | $2.4\pm0.5$    | $1.8\pm0.4$   | $1.4 \pm 0.2$  | $0.7\pm0.0$   | $0.5\pm0.1$  | $0.4\pm0.1$    |
| 20:2n9        | -            | -             | -              | $3.0\pm0.8$   | $3.3\pm0.8$    | -             | $2.6\pm0.4$  | $4.1 \pm 1.2$  |
| 20:2n6        | $2.1\pm0.2$  | $7.9\pm1.9$   | $6.7\pm2.1$    | $1.7 \pm 1.1$ | $1.9\pm0.9$    | $2.2\pm0.1$   | $1.7\pm0.6$  | $1.2 \pm 0.4$  |
| 20:3n6 (DGLA) | -            | _             | _              | $2.9\pm1.1$   | $2.6\pm0.8$    | _             | $4.8\pm2.3$  | $2.8\pm0.4$    |
| 20:3n3        | $0.5\pm0.1$  | $4.9\pm1.5$   | $4.8\pm1.8$    | $1.0\pm0.7$   | $1.5\pm0.9$    | $1.6\pm0.1$   | $2.0\pm0.8$  | $1.9\pm0.2$    |
| 20:4n6 (ARA)  | _            | _             | _              | $0.6\pm0.3$   | $0.6\pm0.2$    | _             | $1.3\pm0.5$  | $1.4 \pm 0.4$  |
| 20:4n3 (ETA)  | _            | _             | _              | $3.3\pm1.2$   | $3.9\pm0.9$    | _             | $5.8\pm1.7$  | $7.1 \pm 1.5$  |
| 20:5n3 (EPA)  | _            | _             | _              | $1.9\pm1.3$   | $3.6\pm1.2$    | _             | $4.7\pm1.5$  | $8.8\pm3.5$    |
| 22:0          | $0.4\pm0.1$  | $0.4\pm0.1$   | $0.4\pm0.1$    | $0.4\pm0.1$   | $0.4\pm0.1$    | $0.4\pm0.0$   | $0.3\pm0.1$  | $0.3\pm0.0$    |
| 22:1n9        | $2.0\pm0.2$  | $1.6\pm0.2$   | $1.7\pm0.2$    | $1.0\pm0.2$   | $0.6\pm0.1$    | $2.6\pm0.2$   | $0.8\pm0.2$  | $0.8 \pm 0.4$  |
| Others        | $0.6\pm0.1$  | $1.8\pm0.4$   | $1.9\pm0.5$    | $1.1\pm0.2$   | $2.4\pm1.0$    | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3\pm0.4$  | $2.2\pm0.2$    |
|               |              | T2            | T2             | T2            | T2             |               | T2           | Т3             |
|               |              | <i>n</i> = 28 | n = 38         | <i>n</i> = 14 | n = 35         |               | <i>n</i> = 8 | <i>n</i> = 19  |

Table 1 FAMEs (mol%) of total lipids in A. thaliana and C. sativa mature seeds

Others include 16:1, 16:2, 24:0 and 24:1.

Tx, Generation; *n*, number of individual plants; *A. thaliana, Arabidopsis thaliana; C. sativa, Camelina sativa;* OA, oleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; ALA, α-linolenic acid; DGLA—dihomo-γ-linolenic acid; ARA, arachidonic acid; ETA, eicosatetraenoic acid; EPA—eicosapentaenoic acid.

### Reconstitution of the alternative $\Delta$ 9-pathway in Camelina seeds

In view of these results, the AP5 construct was introduced into C. sativa plants via Agrobacterium-mediated floral transformation. The efficacy of C. sativa as a host for the biosynthesis of omega-3 LC-PUFAs has previously been demonstrated for the expression of the conventional  $\Delta$ 6-pathway (Petrie *et al.*, 2014; Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014); success that largely results from the high endogenous levels of ALA in seeds, the starting substrate for the omega-3 biosynthetic pathway (Figure 1a). Moreover, the commonality of host, regulatory elements and transformation methods permitted the systematic comparison of the  $\Delta 6$ -pathway with the alternative  $\Delta$ 9-pathway. Analysis of total FAMEs from the seeds of eight individual transgenic C. sativa T2 lines expressing the AP5 construct demonstrated the accumulation of EPA. The mean levels of EPA found in these T2 lines ranged from 1.4 to 6.3% of total fatty acids in mature seeds (mean 4.7%; Table 1). Accumulation of nonnative fatty acids was further monitored in the T3 generation derived from selected T2 plants (Table 1). Mean EPA levels in T3 seeds increased on average from 4.7 to 8.8% in AP5 plants. The highest EPA value observed in an individual T3 line (AP5#1\_5) was 14.8%. Further analyses demonstrated that the highest EPA value observed in an individual seed of AP5#1\_5 was 16.9% of total fatty acids (see Figure S2; Table S1). Compared to our previous results obtained with the expression of the  $\Delta$ 6-pathway in Camelina, the current levels of EPA are roughly two-thirds of that seen with the conventional pathway (14.8% versus 23.9%; cf. Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014). However, as discussed below, the C20 omega-3 LC-PUFA ETA also accumulated to significant levels, contributing to the total levels of omega-3 fish oils.

Interestingly, it was previously observed in transgenic Camelina lines expressing the *O. tauri*  $\Delta$ 6-desaturase from the conventional pathway that the amount of oleic acid (18:1 $\Delta^9$ ; OA; *n*-9) decreased dramatically from 14.5% in the WT to <6% (Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014; Sayanova et al., 2011a). However, a similar pattern was not observed with the AP5 alternative pathway configuration, with levels of OA only slightly reduced (11.5% in WT versus 9.2% and 9.7% in T2 and T3 AP5 seeds). The most logical explanation for these combined observations is that the acyl-CoA-dependent O. tauri  $\Delta$ 6-desaturase used in the conventional pathway is generating a strong pull on the OA-CoA pool, which in conjunction with the oomycete  $\Delta 12$ -desaturase (Ps $\Delta 12$ ) generates the resulting depression of OA levels. However, it is clear that the presence of the  $Ps\Delta 12$  activity itself does not significantly reduce OA levels, as it is present in the AP5 construct (Figure 1a), and converts OA to LA. Thus, the alternative pathway represents a superior configuration of activities to avoid the reduction of OA.

We also do not observe a significant reduction in the levels of the omega-6 precursor LA. The levels were 18.6% in WT, 19.4% in T2 AP5 seeds and 15.3% in T3 AP5 transgenic seeds. Overall, the levels of newly synthesized omega-3 fatty acids were higher than those of omega-6 acids, in T3 Camelina seeds. In contrast, expression of the alternative pathway genes had a clear effect on both ALA and 20:1*n*-9 endogenous fatty acids. In particular, T2 seeds of AP5 contained a substantially decreased ALA content (from an average of 34.6% in WT to an average of 21.8% and 24.5% in T2 and T3 transgenic seeds, respectively; Table 1). All these observations (no impact in OA and LA levels, decreased levels of ALA, plus the fact that there are more omega-3 fatty acids newly synthetized than omega-6 ones) imply that the EhElo9 and IgElo9 have a substrate preference for omega-3 ALA over omega-6 LA. However, this (likely) situation is not directly confirmed, as the presence of the Pi $\omega$ 3-desaturase (which converts C20 omega-6 fatty acids to omega-3 forms) can potentially confound such a conclusion—thus, formal confirmation of the substrate preference of these enzymes needs to still be evaluated. In addition, as mentioned above, the 20:1*n*-9 content was markedly decreased in AP5 lines, from the average levels of 13.8% in WT, to 5.9% in transgenic lines. This is most likely the consequence of off-target Ps $\Delta$ 8-desaturation and the generation of 20:2*n*-9.

#### Lipid profiling of the alternative $\Delta$ 9-pathway line AP5 comparison with the conventional $\Delta$ 6-pathway

To further characterize the accumulation of nonnative LC-PUFAs in transgenic C. sativa, a more detailed lipid class analysis was performed on the T3 seeds of line AP5\_1\_5 (EPA content averaged 14.4%). Analyses of neutral and polar lipids revealed that EPA was almost equally distributed between neutral lipids (14.4%) and phospholipids lipids (12.4%), and EPA level was lower in glycolipid fraction (averaged 8.9%) (see Table 2). This pattern of partitioning is similar to our previous observations in Camelina seeds expressing the conventional pathway (Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014), but slightly reversed compared with Arabidopsis seeds (Ruiz-López et al., 2012). In contrast, ETA was asymmetrically distributed between neutral lipids and phospholipid fraction. The phospholipid fraction was significantly enriched in this fatty acid (17.6%) in comparison with the levels found in neutral lipids (9.1%; Table 2). The most likely explanation for this phenomenon is that the precursor 20:3n-3 is efficiently desaturated by the Ps∆8-desaturase to generate ETA and that this reaction occurs in the phospholipid pool (on account of the above-noted substrate preference of  $Ps\Delta 8$ ). However, the subsequent  $\Delta$ 5-desaturation (via Eh $\Delta$ 5) is impeded by the substrate dichotomy generated by the acyl-CoA-dependent nature of this latter enzyme. It should be noted that the identity of ETA as  $20:4\Delta^{8,11,14,17}$  was based on the fact that it did not comigrate with authentic standards for other 20:4 fatty acids of a similar m/z (304.24 neutral ion) such as arachidonic acid ( $20:4\Delta^{5,8,11,14}$ ) and the nonmethylene-interrupted fatty acid juniperonic acid ( $20:4\Delta^{5,11,14,17}$ ). In the latter case, this was demonstrated by using verified biological standards previously generated in transgenic Arabidopsis lines accumulating juniperonic acid (Figure S1; Sayanova *et al.*, 2007). Interestingly, ETA is often observed at low levels in fish oils and has been ascribed anti-inflammatory activities similar to EPA (Ghioni *et al.*, 2004). Thus, ETA can be considered as an additional omega-3 LC-PUFA widely distributed in marine food webs.

To better understand the distribution of these nonnative fatty acids in C. sativa seeds, we performed a more detailed examination of the lipidome, using similar approaches as previously described for transgenic lines expressing the conventional  $\Delta$ 6-pathway (Ruiz-Lopez *et al.*, 2014). Initially, examining the mid-stage of seed development, the class distribution of EPA and other nonnative fatty acids was determined for AP5\_1\_5 line and compared to wild-type C. sativa (Table 3 and Figure 2). Interestingly, EPA levels were greater in TAG (14.6%) than diacylglycerols (DAG; 9.7%). These observations are similar to those previously reported for Camelina seeds synthesizing EPA via the conventional pathway (Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014). It was also noted that the levels of 16:0 were markedly increased in DAG, but not TAG, whilst OA, LA and ALA levels were decreased in the neutral lipids of Camelina expressed conventional or alternative pathways. The possible causes for these alterations are discussed below in the context of wider glycerolipid metabolism.

In view of these data, and to develop a more accurate hypothesis regarding the flux of these fatty acids through the

|               | Total lipids |              | Neutral lipids |              | Phospholipids |              | Glycolipids  |                |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
|               | WT           | AP5#1_5      | WT             | AP5#1_5      | WT            | AP5#1_5      | WT           | AP5#1_5        |
| 16:0          | 6.8 ± 0.3    | 7.9 ± 0.1    | 6.8 ± 0.2      | 6.9 ± 0.1    | 13.3 ± 0.4    | $20.5\pm0.9$ | 16.8 ± 1.5   | 19.0 ± 2.6     |
| 18:0          | $3.1\pm0.0$  | $4.4\pm0.0$  | $3.5\pm0.3$    | $4.3\pm0.1$  | $3.5\pm1.0$   | $5.4\pm0.5$  | $8.8\pm1.4$  | $10.3\pm1.3$   |
| 18:1n9 (OA)   | $16.1\pm0.7$ | $8.2\pm0.1$  | $15.2\pm0.7$   | $8.4\pm0.1$  | $35.8\pm2.6$  | $4.0\pm0.3$  | $13.9\pm0.7$ | $6.9\pm0.5$    |
| 18:1n7        | $0.8\pm0.0$  | $0.6\pm0.1$  | $0.9\pm0.1$    | $0.6\pm0.1$  | $0.9\pm0.7$   | $1.5\pm0.0$  | $0.8\pm0.0$  | $0.9\pm0.1$    |
| 18:2n6 (LA)   | $18.1\pm0.6$ | $13.0\pm0.1$ | $18.4\pm0.5$   | $13.1\pm0.1$ | $28.2\pm0.9$  | $14.5\pm0.1$ | $14.9\pm1.5$ | $11.6 \pm 0.6$ |
| 18:3n3 (ALA)  | $32.7\pm0.9$ | $21.5\pm0.2$ | $31.4\pm0.4$   | $21.6\pm0.3$ | $13.0\pm0.2$  | $11.2\pm0.4$ | $21.7\pm2.8$ | $14.1 \pm 1.7$ |
| 20:0          | $1.8\pm0.0$  | $1.9\pm0.0$  | $2.0\pm0.2$    | $2.0\pm0.0$  | $0.3\pm0.1$   | $0.3\pm0.1$  | $1.7\pm0.0$  | $1.6\pm0.2$    |
| 20:1n9        | $14.0\pm0.4$ | $3.6\pm0.0$  | $14.2\pm0.4$   | $3.5\pm0.2$  | $2.6\pm0.6$   | $0.7\pm0.1$  | $8.9\pm0.1$  | $2.0\pm0.4$    |
| 20:1n7        | $0.5\pm0.1$  | $0.1\pm0.0$  | $0.6\pm0.1$    | $0.3\pm0.1$  | $0.1\pm0.1$   | -            | $0.5\pm0.2$  | $0.2\pm0.0$    |
| 20:2n9        | _            | $6.0\pm0.0$  | -              | $6.2\pm0.1$  | -             | $3.8\pm0.1$  | -            | $3.8\pm0.6$    |
| 20:2n6        | $1.9\pm0.1$  | $0.8\pm0.0$  | $1.9\pm0.1$    | $0.7\pm0.0$  | $0.5\pm0.3$   | $0.8\pm0.0$  | $1.3\pm0.1$  | $0.8\pm0.0$    |
| 20:3n6 (DGLA) | _            | $2.9\pm0.1$  | -              | $3.0\pm0.1$  | -             | $2.2\pm0.1$  | -            | $2.2\pm0.2$    |
| 20:3n3        | $1.3\pm0.1$  | $1.4\pm0.0$  | $1.2\pm0.0$    | $1.4\pm0.1$  | $0.3\pm0.0$   | $1.2\pm0.1$  | $0.8\pm0.1$  | $0.9\pm0.2$    |
| 20:4n6 (ARA)  | _            | $1.7\pm0.0$  | -              | $1.8\pm0.1$  | -             | $0.4\pm0.0$  | -            | $1.2\pm0.1$    |
| 20:4n3 (ETA)  | _            | $9.2\pm0.1$  | -              | $9.1\pm0.5$  | -             | $17.6\pm0.9$ | -            | $5.9\pm0.5$    |
| 20:5n3 (EPA)  | _            | $14.5\pm0.2$ | -              | $14.4\pm0.3$ | -             | $12.4\pm0.5$ | -            | $8.9\pm1.0$    |
| 22:0          | $0.3\pm0.0$  | $0.3\pm0.0$  | $0.4\pm0.0$    | $0.4\pm0.0$  | -             | -            | $0.5\pm0.0$  | $0.4\pm0.0$    |
| 22:1n9        | $2.5\pm0.1$  | $0.4\pm0.0$  | $2.6\pm0.2$    | $0.4\pm0.0$  | $0.2\pm0.1$   | -            | $1.8\pm0.0$  | $0.3\pm0.0$    |
| Others        | $0.8\pm0.0$  | $2.3\pm0.1$  | $3.8\pm0.3$    | $2.5\pm0.1$  | $1.5\pm0.2$   | $3.3\pm0.6$  | $10.0\pm2.5$ | 9.9 ± 1.9      |

Table 2 Fatty acid composition (Mol%) of main lipid classes. Line AP5#1\_5 (T3)

Others include 16:1, 16:2, 24:0 and 24:1.

OA, Oleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; ALA, α-linolenic acid; DGLA—dihomo-γ-linolenic acid; ARA, arachidonic acid; ETA, eicosatetraenoic acid; EPA—eicosapentaenoic acid;

© 2015 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and The Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 13, 1264–1275

different lipid classes, we also determined the acyl composition of the major phospholipids (Table 4 and Figure 2): phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), phosphatidylinositol (PI) and phosphatidylserine (PS), as described before (Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014). In the case of EPA, in AP5\_1\_5, there was a similar level of accumulation in PC and PE phospholipid fractions (10.5% and 12.4%, respectively). However, EPA levels were increased in the fraction that contained both PI and PS (16.1%). Interestingly, ETA was asymmetrically distributed in the three phospholipid fractions, with higher ETA levels found in PE (18.2%) > PC(15.6%) > PI&PS (10.2%). Notably, the levels of ETA and EPA present in the PI&PS fraction are similar in ratio and to those in DAG, reflecting the biosynthesis of PI and PS from CDP\_DAG (Figure 2), although the relative size of the phospholipid (PC, PE, PI&PS) pools is small compared with those for neutral lipids such as DAG and (the predominant) TAG.

As also observed for neutral lipids, the levels of 16:0 are markedly elevated in all three classes of phospholipid examined. In contrast, OA levels are very noticeably reduced, most pointedly in PC where the OA level is reduced to 15% of WT (5.8% versus 39%; Table 4). Similarly, LA levels are decreased in PC, PE and PI&PS, whereas in PC, ALA levels are effectively unchanged. As described above, some impact on the levels of OA and LA could be ascribed to the action of the  $Ps\Delta 12$ -desaturase, when working in conjunction with other activities to generate a flux. However, it is unclear as to why the levels of 16:0 are elevated, not least of all as this is known to be a product of the plastidial fatty acid synthase (FAS) and therefore not directly connected with extraplastidic lipid metabolism. It is possible that alterations to this latter pool are sensed and result in feedback to alter the activity of FAS-however, our previous lipidomic data from the expression of the conventional  $\Delta$ 6-pathway in *C. sativa* did not reveal such a major alteration to the accumulation of 16:0, even though that engineering also resulted in the accumulation of EPA (Ruiz-Lopez *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, the fact that both configurations of the pathway resulted in greatly reduced levels of OA in PC strongly implicates the Ps $\Delta$ 12 in the extraplastidial aspect of this process.

# Triacylglycerol and phosphatidylcholine regio-specific analyses in high EPA line expressing the alternative $\Delta$ 9-pathway

To further define the assembly of EPA and DHA into seed oil, regio-specific analyses were performed on the AP5\_1\_5 line, characterizing the distribution of EPA and DHA in both TAG and PC molecules. These analyses report an inherent bias of C. sativa acyltransferases with regard to their channelling of newly synthetized fatty acids such as ETA, 20:2n-9, DGLA and ARA into the sn-1,3 positions of seed TAGs (Figure 3), as previously observed before with the conventional  $\Delta$ 6-pathway (Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014). Interestingly, EPA was equally distributed between the positions (sn-1,3 and sn-2) in TAG. This is similar to our previous observations for Camelina accumulating DHA, but distinct from the situation for EPA accumulation via the conventional pathway, where EPA was strongly enriched at the sn-2 position of TAG. This difference may represent the combination of a number of different factors (such as acyl-exchange and substrate channelling) represented schematically in Figure 2. In addition, we also found that endogenous 16:0, 18:0, 20:0, 20:1n-9, 20:2n-6 and 20:3n-3 were biased towards the sn-1,3 positions of TAG and other fatty acids (such as OA, LA and ALA) were biased towards the sn-2 position of TAG, in agreement with previous observations.

Analysis of the distribution of fatty acids (sn-1 versus sn-2) on PC revealed that the two predominant nonnative fatty acids (ETA

|               | TAG          |              | DAG           |              | FFA             |              |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
|               | WT           | AP5#1_5      | WT            | AP5#1_5      | WT              | AP5#1_5      |
| 16:0          | $7.0\pm0.5$  | 7.1 ± 0.2    | 12.0 ± 0.9    | 17.0 ± 1.1   | 10.1 ± 1.6      | 12.5 ± 0.9   |
| 18:0          | $3.4\pm0.2$  | $4.3\pm0.1$  | 8.4 ± 1.0     | 13.3 ± 1.2   | $6.4 \pm 1.5$   | $8.7\pm0.7$  |
| 18:1n9 (OA)   | $14.7\pm1.4$ | $8.5\pm0.3$  | $21.1\pm2.3$  | $7.7\pm0.6$  | $14.9\pm1.8$    | $7.5\pm0.1$  |
| 18:1n7        | $0.8\pm0.0$  | $0.6\pm0.1$  | $0.8\pm0.0$   | $0.8\pm0.1$  | $0.6\pm0.3$     | $0.1\pm0.0$  |
| 18:2n6 (LA)   | 17.9 ± 0.6   | $13.1\pm0.2$ | $16.7\pm1.0$  | $10.8\pm0.8$ | $14.5\pm1.0$    | $10.7\pm0.3$ |
| 18:3n3 (ALA)  | $32.6\pm0.9$ | $22.1\pm0.3$ | $21.5\pm0.8$  | $12.4\pm1.5$ | $30.3\pm2.3$    | $18.1\pm0.5$ |
| 20:0          | $1.9\pm0.4$  | $2.0\pm0.0$  | $1.8\pm0.6$   | $2.3\pm0.4$  | $2.2\pm0.2$     | $2.1\pm0.1$  |
| 20:1n9        | $14.3\pm0.5$ | $3.7\pm0.2$  | $9.2\pm1.5$   | $2.6\pm0.3$  | $11.8\pm0.5$    | $2.9\pm0.4$  |
| 20:1n7        | $0.4\pm0.2$  | $0.2\pm0.1$  | $0.4\pm0.1$   | $0.3\pm0.1$  | $0.5  \pm  0.1$ | $0.3\pm0.1$  |
| 20:2n9        | _            | $6.0\pm0.1$  | _             | $4.0\pm0.4$  | _               | $5.2\pm0.2$  |
| 20:2n6        | $2.0\pm0.2$  | $0.7\pm0.0$  | $1.4 \pm 0.2$ | $0.9\pm0.1$  | $1.5 \pm 0.1$   | $0.6\pm0.1$  |
| 20:3n6 (DGLA) | _            | $2.9\pm0.1$  | _             | $2.3\pm0.2$  | -               | $2.6\pm0.1$  |
| 20:3n3        | $1.3\pm0.1$  | $1.4\pm0.0$  | $1.0\pm0.2$   | $1.2\pm0.2$  | $1.3\pm0.1$     | $1.2\pm0.1$  |
| 20:4n6 (ARA)  | _            | $1.8\pm0.0$  | _             | $1.2\pm0.1$  | _               | $1.7\pm0.2$  |
| 20:4n3 (ETA)  | _            | $8.5\pm0.2$  | _             | $6.9\pm0.6$  | _               | $7.6\pm0.1$  |
| 20:5n3 (EPA)  | _            | $14.6\pm0.4$ | _             | 9.7 ± 1.0    | _               | $13.0\pm0.5$ |
| 22:0          | $0.3\pm0.0$  | $0.3\pm0.0$  | $0.9\pm0.2$   | $1.5\pm0.3$  | $0.9\pm0.3$     | $1.2\pm0.2$  |
| 22:1n9        | $2.5\pm0.2$  | $0.4\pm0.0$  | $1.8\pm0.6$   | $0.6\pm0.2$  | $2.3\pm0.2$     | $0.6\pm0.5$  |
| Others        | $0.9\pm0.1$  | $1.9\pm0.2$  | $2.9\pm0.6$   | $4.7\pm0.9$  | $2.6\pm0.7$     | $3.2\pm0.4$  |

Table 3 Fatty acid composition (mol%) of neutral lipid fraction. Line AP5#1\_5 (T3)

Others include 16:1, 16:2, 24:0 and 24:1.

OA, Oleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; ALA, α-linolenic acid; DGLA—dihomo-γ-linolenic acid; ARA, arachidonic acid; ETA, eicosatetraenoic acid; EPA—eicosapentaenoic acid.

© 2015 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and The Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 13, 1264–1275

#### **1270** Noemi Ruiz-Lopez et al.

Table 4 Fatty acid composition (mol%) of phospholipids in line AP5#1\_5 (T3)

|               | PC             |                | PE            |               | PI&PS         |               |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|               | WT             | AP5#1_5        | WT            | AP5#1_5       | WT            | AP5#1_5       |
| 16:0          | 14.1 ± 1.3     | 21.0 ± 0.8     | 14.9 ± 1.2    | 23.4 ± 2.6    | 16.2 ± 1.1    | 22.9 ± 3.1    |
| 18:0          | 7.3 ± 1.7      | $10.1 \pm 1.3$ | $2.1\pm0.3$   | $6.6\pm2.6$   | $8.2\pm0.3$   | $8.5\pm0.7$   |
| 18:1n9 (OA)   | $39.0 \pm 2.1$ | 5.8 ± 1.4      | $29.0\pm0.7$  | $2.5\pm0.1$   | $24.6\pm0.2$  | $2.8\pm1.7$   |
| 18:1n7        | $0.0\pm0.0$    | $1.1 \pm 0.0$  | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $1.5\pm0.1$   | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $0.7\pm0.0$   |
| 18:2n6 (LA)   | $24.3\pm1.0$   | $12.5\pm0.5$   | $34.6\pm1.4$  | $15.0\pm0.6$  | $25.4\pm0.2$  | $13.3\pm0.3$  |
| 18:3n3 (ALA)  | $10.5 \pm 0.4$ | $10.0\pm0.6$   | $13.5\pm0.6$  | $10.9\pm0.5$  | 17.9 ± 0.0    | 13.7 ± 0.3    |
| 20:0          | $0.4 \pm 0.0$  | $0.5 \pm 0.1$  | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ | $0.4 \pm 0.0$ | $0.6\pm0.3$   |
| 20:1n9        | $1.7 \pm 0.1$  | $0.8 \pm 0.1$  | $1.0 \pm 0.1$ | $0.2\pm0.0$   | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| 20:1n7        | $0.0\pm0.0$    | $0.1 \pm 0.1$  | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $0.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| 20:2n9        | _              | $3.8\pm0.2$    | -             | $2.7\pm0.2$   | _             | $2.2 \pm 0.4$ |
| 20:2n6        | $0.5\pm0.0$    | $0.9\pm0.0$    | $0.3\pm0.0$   | $0.6\pm0.0$   | $0.3\pm0.0$   | $0.3\pm0.0$   |
| 20:3n6 (DGLA) | _              | $2.3 \pm 0.1$  | -             | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | _             | $0.8\pm0.1$   |
| 20:3n3        | $0.3\pm0.0$    | $1.3 \pm 0.0$  | $0.1 \pm 0.0$ | $0.9\pm0.2$   | $0.2\pm0.0$   | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ |
| 20:4n6 (ARA)  | -              | $0.3 \pm 0.0$  | -             | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ | -             | $0.8 \pm 0.1$ |
| 20:4n3 (ETA)  | -              | 15.6 ± 1.2     | -             | 18.2 ± 1.8    | -             | 10.2 ± 0.8    |
| 20:5n3 (EPA)  | -              | $10.5 \pm 0.7$ | -             | 12.4 ± 1.4    | -             | 16.1 ± 1.2    |
| 22:0          | $0.5 \pm 0.1$  | $0.7 \pm 0.2$  | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ | $0.5 \pm 0.1$ | 0.4 ± 0.1     |
| 22:1n9        | $0.0\pm0.0$    | $0.0\pm0.0$    | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $0.0\pm0.0$   | $0.2\pm0.0$   | $0.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| Others        | $1.5\pm0.3$    | $2.9\pm0.6$    | 4.4 ± 1.2     | $3.0\pm0.2$   | $4.9\pm0.6$   | $5.8\pm0.6$   |

Others include 16:1, 16:2, 24:0 and 24:1.

OA, Oleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; ALA, α-linolenic acid; DGLA—dihomo-γ-linolenic acid; ARA, arachidonic acid; ETA, eicosatetraenoic acid; EPA—eicosapentaenoic acid.

and EPA) were significantly enriched at the sn-2 position, although it is interesting to note that ETA levels were higher than EPA, that is the substrate was greater than product. When this observation is taken into context with the differences in sn-distribution in TAG for ETA versus EPA, these data provide more evidence for the substrate preference (acyl-CoA as opposed to phospholipids) of the  $Eh\Delta 5$ -desaturase. Thus, ETA is generated via the phospholipid-dependent  $Ps\Delta 8$ -desaturase, resulting in the accumulation of this fatty acid at the sn-2 position of PC. The incorporation of ETA into TAG is then predominantly via the action of phospholipid: diacylglycerol acyltransferase (PDAT), resulting in the enrichment of ETA at the sn-3 position of TAG. In contrast, EPA is generated by the  $\Delta$ 5desaturation of ETA-CoA, requiring acyl-exchange of ETA from PC into the acyl-CoA pool prior to the action of the  $Eh\Delta 5$ desaturase (Figure 2). The resulting product, EPA-CoA, is then available for acylation via the Kennedy pathway, enabling EPA to accumulate at either the sn-2 position [lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAT)-dependent acylation] or sn-3 position [acyl-CoA: diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT)-dependent acylation] of TAG. In that respect, it seems likely that the particular configuration of the alternative pathway used in the present study has inadvertently recreated the problem of substrate dichotomy, which is generally viewed as an issue for transgenic reconstitution of the conventional  $\Delta 6$ -pathway (Sayanova and Napier, 2004). More specifically, the use of the acyl-CoAdependent  $\Delta$ 5-desaturase from *E. huxleyi* in conjunction with the preceding reaction of the phospholipid-dependent  $\Delta 8$ desaturases (Figure 1a,b) means that acyl-exchange of ETA from PC into the acyl-CoA pool likely becomes rate-limiting for the synthesis of EPA. However, as ETA is a naturally occurring component of omega-3 fish oils, and itself an interesting fatty acid, our results demonstrate a novel approach for the synthesis of this compound.

In support of this, analysis of the acyl-CoA pool in C. sativa developing seeds (WT and transgenic line AP5) revealed the addition of nonnative  $\Delta$ 9-elongation products 20:2 and 20:3 in the acyl-CoA pool, and a concomitant reduction in levels of 18:2-CoA and 18:3-CoA (reflecting the product-substrate relationship). Interestingly, the levels of 16:0-CoA were strongly elevated in the AP5 developing seeds (17.0% versus 10.9% in WT); consistent with the suggestion above, that the overall increase in these fatty acids is mediated through increased activity of the plastidial FAS. However, it appears to be discrimination amongst the plastidially synthesized fatty acids (i.e. 16:0, 18:0 and 18:1) as the C18 forms are not altered in the acyl-CoA between WT and AP5 seeds (Table 5). As mentioned above, the regulation of such a process remains obscure, but mechanistically it might be expected to involve the FatB C16 thioesterase. It should also be noted that these modulations in plastidial fatty acids appear to be distinct to that observed by Bates et al. (2014) on the feedback inhibition of FAS due to the presence of transgene-derived hydroxylated fatty acids in glycerolipid-based metabolism.

# Incorporation of C20 omega-3 fatty acids into TAG molecular species

To better understand the accumulation of the target fatty acids within TAG, we performed LC-MS/MS analyses to identify the individual molecular species of TAG present in mature seed oils of transgenic AP5\_1\_5 (T3 line). The three predominant TAGs in WT were 56:6 (10.2%), 56:7 (10.1%) and 54:6 (7.9%) (Figure 4). However, in the AP5 line, there are a number of additional TAG species, including several which accumulate to the levels similar to the most abundant native species. For example, the TAG species 56:8 represents 7.9% of the total TAG species identified by our survey—this TAG most likely represents EPA+18:1 + 18:1. Other abundant candidate species for mono-EPA TAGs include 56:10



**Figure 2** Schematic diagram of the main lipid classes and biochemical pathways involved in the production of TAG in developing seeds. Indicated are the omega-3 and omega-6 LC-PUFAs percentages (%) in main lipid fractions of *Camelina sativa* seeds from line AP5#1\_5 (T3). The relative sizes of the different pools are not directly reflected by the size of each node—the predominant major lipid class is TAG, followed by DAG. The major phospholipid species is PC, and then PE, although all phospholipids are minor components compared to neutral lipids. The acyl-CoA pool is a very small but highly dynamic pool. Fluxes between the different pools are bidirectional (apart from the terminal synthesis of TAG) and consequently can only be inferred from these steady-state measurements. CPT, CDP-choline: diacylglycerol cholinephosphotransferase; DGAT, acyl-CoA:diacylglycerol acyltransferase; EPT, CDP-ethanolamine: diacylglycerol ethanolaminephosphotransferase; G3P, glycerol-3-phosphate; GPAT, acyl-CoA:glycerol 3-phosphate acyltransferase; LACS, long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; LPAT, acyl-CoA:lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase; PCAT, acyl-CoA:lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase; PA, phosphatidic acid; PAP, phosphatidic acid phosphatase; PDAT, phospholipid:diacylglycerol acyltransferase; PDCT, phosphatidylcholine: diacyglycerol cholinephosphotransferase; PEM, phosphatidylethanolamine N-methyltransferase; PLA<sub>2</sub>, phospholipase A2; PSD, phosphatidylserine decarboxylase; and PSS, phosphatidylserine synthase.

(EPA+18:3 + 18:2) and 56:11 (EPA+18:3 + 18:3), and it is highly likely that species in the range 58:10-58:12 represent TAGs which contain two molecules of EPA (e.g. 58:10 = EPA + EPA + 18:0) (Figure 4). No TAG species with a mass equivalent to tri-EPA (or tri-ETA) were detected, although TAGs which likely contained 3 C20 polyunsaturated fatty acids were observed at low levels (e.g. 60:12). Thus, it is clear that nonnative C20 omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids are actively incorporated into TAGs in Camelina, confirming previous observations and also indicating the presence of useful endogenous acyltransferases with which to enable this metabolic engineering.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, the accumulation of high levels of LC-PUFAs, specially EPA and/or DHA in plant oils is an important goal of the metabolic engineering community. In this study, we have efficaciously bypassed the previously reported bottlenecks in the pathway, and as a result, we have successfully reconstituted an alternative pathway for the biosynthesis and accumulation of EPA in the seeds of an oilseed crop, *C. sativa*. However, our results also highlight the importance of knowledge-directed engineering. Given that our understanding of plant lipid metabolism is still

expanding, the challenge still remains to deliver predictive modifications to seed oil composition (Figure 2). In addition, our transgenic Camelina AP5 lines represent a new resource for the evaluation of the role of the marine fatty acid ETA in omega-3 dietary metabolism and nutrition (Figure S3).

#### **Experimental procedures**

#### Plant material and growth conditions

Plants of *A. thaliana and C. sativa* were grown for analyses in a controlled environment chambers at 23 °C day/18 °C night, 50%–60% humidity, and kept on a 16-h, 250  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s, photoperiod (long day).

#### Generation of transgenic plants

Transgenic Arabidopsis lines were generated as previously described (Sayanova *et al.*, 2006a,b), whilst transgenic *C. sativa* lines were generated as previously described with minor modifications (Ruiz-Lopez *et al.*, 2014). The designed vectors were transferred into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain AGL1. *C. sativa* inflorescences were immersed in the Agrobacterium suspension for 30 s without applying any vacuum. Transgenic seeds were identified on the basis of resistance to kanamycin,



**Figure 3** Stereospecific analysis of TAG and PC. The positional distribution of fatty acids in TAG (*sn*-2 and *sn*-1/3) and PC (*sn*-1 and *sn*-2) was determined in (a) wild-type *Camelina sativa* and (b) AP5#1\_5 (n = 3;  $\pm$ SE).

| Analysis of Acyl-CoA from C. sativa seed (23 DAF) |                |               |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Acyl-CoA<br>(Mol %)                               | Wild type      | AP5#1_5       |  |  |  |
| 16:0  | 10.9 ± 1.7     | 17.0 ± 0.8    |  |  |  |
| 16:1  | $0.6 \pm 0.1$  | $0.3\pm0.1$   |  |  |  |
| 16:3  | 0.1 ± 0.1      | $0.2\pm0.2$   |  |  |  |
| 18:0  | 15.9 ± 4.0     | $17.6\pm0.7$  |  |  |  |
| 18:1  | 4.4 ± 0.6      | $5.6 \pm 1.3$ |  |  |  |
| 18:2  | $12.9 \pm 2.6$ | 7.4 ± 1.4     |  |  |  |
| 18:3  | $5.9 \pm 0.6$  | $4.8\pm0.4$   |  |  |  |
| 20:0  | 18.6 ± 1.3     | $17.2\pm2.2$  |  |  |  |
| 20:1  | 8.8 ± 3.8      | $2.4\pm0.5$   |  |  |  |
| 20:2  | $0.6 \pm 0.3$  | $5.8\pm0.7$   |  |  |  |
| 20:3  | $0.3 \pm 0.1$  | $4.0\pm0.5$   |  |  |  |
| 20:4  | nd             | $3.4\pm0.8$   |  |  |  |
| 20:5  | nd             | $2.4\pm0.5$   |  |  |  |
| 22:0  | 6.8 ± 1.3      | $6.0\pm0.5$   |  |  |  |
| 22:1  | 4.5 ± 1.7      | $1.4\pm0.3$   |  |  |  |
| 24:0  | 5.0 ± 1.8      | $2.3\pm0.7$   |  |  |  |
| 24:1  | 4.2 ± 2.2      | $2.3\pm0.7$   |  |  |  |
|   |                |               |  |  |  |

Table 5 Acyl-CoA profiles in line AP5#1\_5 (T3)

nd, Not detected; C. sativa, Camelina sativa.

conferred by the *NPTII* transgene. In all cases, no phenotypic perturbation was observed as a result of modification of the seed oil composition.

#### Vector construction

Four constructs, AP2, AP3, AP4 and AP5, were built using the Gateway<sup>®</sup> recombination system (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) as previously described in Ruiz-López *et al.* (2012). Respective genes were inserted as Ncol/Pacl or Asc/Pacl fragments into the promoter/terminator cassettes and then moved into pENTRY vectors. All open-reading frames for desaturases and elongases were resynthesized (GenScript Corporation, Piscataway, NJ) and codon-optimized for expression for *C. sativa*. The destination vector contained an *NPTII* gene as the selection marker, driven by the constitutive *nos* promoter.

The gene construct, AP2 (Figure 1b), comprised of five expression cassettes, including (i) Cnl, a conlinin 1 promoter (Truksa *et al.*, 2003), EhElo9, a  $\Delta$ 9-elongase from *Emiliania huxleyi* (Petrie *et al.*, 2010), and OCS, a terminator region of OCS, octopin synthase gene of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*; (ii) Cnl promoter, Eh $\Delta$ 8, a  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase from *E. huxleyi* (Sayanova *et al.*, 2011b) and the OCS terminator; (iii) Cln promoter, Eh $\Delta$ 5, a  $\Delta$ 5-desaturase from *E. huxleyi* (Sayanova *et al.*, 2011b) and the OCS terminator; (iv) Np, a *Brassica napus* napin promoter, Ps $\Delta$ 12, a  $\Delta$ 12-desaturase gene from *Phytophthora sojae* (Cirpus and Bauer, 2006), and E9, a terminator of pea rbcS-E9; (v) Np promoter, Pi $\omega$ 3, a  $\omega$ 3-desaturase gene from *Phytophthora infestans* (Wu *et al.*, 2005), and E9 terminator.

A similar approach was used to build the other five gene constructs. AP3, included Eh $\Delta$ 8, a  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase from *Acanthamoeba castellanii* (Sayanova *et al.*, 2006a,b), instead of Eh $\Delta$ 8. AP4 included IsElo9, a  $\Delta$ 9-elongase gene from



**Figure 4** Analysis of major triacylglycerol species in wild-type and engineered mature *Camelina sativa* seeds. TAG species were characterized using an ESI-MS/MS neutral loss survey scan (n = 3;  $\pm$ SE), with each TAG species represented by the total number of fatty acid carbon atoms:desaturations.

*I. galbana* (Qi *et al.*, 2002) instead of EhElo9 and Ps $\Delta$ 8, a  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase from *P. salina* (Zhou *et al.*, 2007) instead of Ac $\Delta$ 8 in construct AP2. Both genes were under control of the *Phaseolus vulgaris* PvArc promoter and linked to the PvArc terminator region, as previous described (Ruiz-Lopez *et al.*, 2013). Finally, AP5 construct included EhElo9, IsElo9, Ps $\Delta$ 8, Eh $\Delta$ 5, Pi $\omega$ 3 and Ps $\Delta$ 12 genes.

#### Fatty acid analysis

Total fatty acids in seed batches were extracted and methylated by heating the samples at 80 °C for 2 h with 2 mL of a solution containing methanol/toluene/dimethoxypropane/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (66:28:2:1 by volume) as previously described (Garces and Mancha, 1993). After cooling, 1.5 mL of hexane was added, and fatty acid methyl esters were recovered from the upper phase. Methyl ester derivatives of total fatty acids extracted were analysed by GC-FID (flame ionization detection) using an Agilent 6890 gas chromatography system (Palo Alto, CA) with a AT-225 capillary column of fused silica (30 m length, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.20  $\mu$ m film thickness). Hydrogen was used as the carrier gas. Fatty acids were identified by comparison with known standards (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and they were confirmed by GC-MS. Values presented are representative numbers derived from replicated analyses.

#### Lipid extraction and separation

Three hundred milligrams of seeds was heated for 10 min at 95 °C in 1 mL isopropanol and homogenized using a mortar and pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min at room temperature, supernatant was collected, and the pellet was re-extracted with isopropanol/chloroform (1:1 v/v). Both extracts were pooled, evaporated, and dissolved in chloroform/acetic acid (100:1 v/v). The lipid extract was loaded on a Sep-Pak column (www.waters.com) and prefractionated into neutral lipids, gly-colipids and phospholipids by adding chloroform/acetic acid (100:1 v/v), acetone/acetic acid (100:1 v/v) and methanol, respectively. These fractions were further resolved on TLC silica gel plates (thickness 0.25 mm). Neutral lipids were developed

using hexane/ethyl ether/formic acid (75:25:1 by volume), and polar lipids were developed using chloroform/methanol/ammonia/water (70:30:4:1 by volume). The individual lipid classes were identified under UV light after spraying with primuline (0.05% w/ v in acetone/water, 80:20 v/v), scraped from the plate, and used directly for methylation or extracted for further analysis.

#### Positional analysis of TAG and PC

Positional analysis of purified TAG was performed as described previously by Luddy et al. (1964). Samples containing 5 mg TAG were dried under nitrogen and resuspended in 1 mL of 1 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8.0). Samples were then sonicated for 60 s to ensure complete emulsification of the lipid. Then, 0.1 mL of 22% CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.25 mL of 0.1% deoxycholate were added. Samples were warmed at 40 °C for 30 s, and 2 mg pancreatic lipase (≥20 000 units per mg protein; Sigma) was added. Samples were vortexed for 2–3 min. The reaction was terminated using 0.5 mL 6 м HCl. The lipids were extracted twice with 2.5 mL diethyl ether. Lipids were evaporated at 40 °C under nitrogen and separated into lipid classes by TLC using silica plates and hexane/diethyl ether/acetic acid (70:30:1 by volume). The spots corresponding to 2-monoacylglycerols were scraped from the plate and directly transmethylated for GC-FID analysis. The mean composition of fatty acids in the sn-1,3 positions was calculated using the composition of an aliquot of the initial triacylglycerol and the formula: mean percentage sn-1,3 =  $[(3 \times \% \text{ fatty acid in triacylglycerol}) - (\%$ fatty acid in the sn-2 position)]/2.

Positional analysis of purified PC was performed as described previously (Ruiz-Lopez *et al.*, 2009). Briefly, analysis of PC was performed using *Naja mossambica* phospholipase A2 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Samples containing PC were dried under nitrogen and resuspended in 1 mL borate buffer (0.5 M, pH 7.5, containing 0.4 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>) by sonication. Five units of lipase and 2 mL diethyl ether were added, and the digestions were performed for 2 h. The ether phase was evaporated, and the reaction was stopped by adding 0.3 mL of 1 M HCl. The aqueous phase was extracted using chloroform/methanol (2:1 v/v). The resulting organic phase was dried under nitrogen and separated by TLC using chloroform/

methanol/aqueous ammonia (65:25:0.7 v/v/v) as the solvent mix. The spots corresponding to free fatty acids and lysophospholipids were scraped from the plate and directly transmethylated for GC-FID analysis.

#### Acyl-CoA analyses

Freshly harvested seeds were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and acyl-CoAs were extracted as described by Larson and Graham (2001) and analysed using LC-MS/MS + MRM in positive ion mode. The LC-MS/MS + MRM analysis (using an ABSciex 4000 QTRAP Framingham, MA) was performed as described by Haynes *et al.* (2008), (Agilent 1200 LC system; Gemini C18 column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA), 2 mm inner diameter, 150 mm length, particle size 5  $\mu$ m). For the identification and calibration, standard acyl-CoA esters with acyl chain lengths from C14 to C20 were purchased from Sigma as free acids or lithium salts.

#### Polar lipid analyses

Lipids were extracted from C. sativa seed and analysed by ESI-MS/ MS using methods adapted from Lee et al. (2011). To extract lipids from mature seed, five seeds were smashed and transferred immediately to 3 mL of hot isopropanol and extracted as described above. The extract was washed with 1 mL of 1 m KCl and then 2 mL water. The solvent was evaporated under nitrogen, and the dry lipid extract was dissolved in 1 mL of chloroform. The molecular species of polar lipids were analysed by ESI triple-guadrupole mass spectrometry (API 4000; Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK). The molecular species of polar lipid were defined by the presence of a head-group fragment and the mass/ charge of the intact lipid ion formed by ESI. Such tandem ESI-MS/ MS precursor and product ion scanning, based on head-group fragment, do not determine the individual fatty acyl species. Instead, polar lipids are identified at the level of class, total acyl carbons and total number of acyl carbon-carbon double bonds. Polar lipids were normalized by comparing a series of polar lipid internal standards and expressed as a total percentage of the MS peak area signal.

#### Profiling triacylglycerol composition

The molecular species of TAGs were analysed by electrospray ionization triple-guadrupole mass spectrometry (API 4000 QTRAP; Applied Biosystems). Triacylglycerols were measured after Krank et al. (2007) and were defined by the presence of one acyl fragment and the mass/charge of the ion formed from the intact lipid (neutral loss profiling). This allows identification of one TAG acyl species and the total acyl carbons and total number of acyl double bonds in the other two chains. The procedure does not allow identification of the other two fatty acids individually nor the positions (sn-1, sn-2, or sn-3) that individual acyl chains occupy on the glycerol. TAGs were quantified after background subtraction, smoothing, integration, isotope deconvolution and comparison of sample peaks with those of the internal standard (using Lipid-ViewTM; Applied Biosystems). The profiling samples were prepared by combing 50  $\mu$ L of the total lipid extract with 950  $\mu$ L of isopropanol/methanol/50 mm ammonium acetate/dichloromethane (4:3:2:1). Samples were infused at 15  $\mu$ L/min with an autosampler (LC mini PAL, CTC Analytics, Switzerland, Paisley, UK). The scan speed was 100  $\mu/s.$  The collision energy, with nitrogen in the collision cell, was +25 V; declustering potential was +100 V; entrance potential was 14 V; and exit potential was +14 V. Sixty continuum scans were averaged in the multiple channel analyser mode. For product ion analysis, the first quadrupole mass spectrometer (Q1) was set to select the TAG mass and Q3 for the detection of fragments fragmented by collision induced dissociation. The mass spectral responses of various TAG species are variable, owing to differential ionization of individual molecular TAG species. For all analyses, gas pressure was set on 'low', and the mass analysers were adjusted to a resolution of 0.7  $\mu$  full width height. The source temperature was 100 °C; the interface heater was on, and +5.5 kV was applied to the electrospray capillary; the curtain gas was set at 20 (arbitrary units; and the two ion source gases were set at 45 (arbitrary units). In the data shown herein, no response corrections were applied to the data. The data were normalized to the internal standards tri15:0 and tri19:0 (Nu-Chek Prep, Elysian, MN.

#### Acknowledgements

Rothamsted Research receives grant-aided support from the BBSRC (UK). We thank BASF Plant Sciences for providing the vector system used in this study.

#### References

- Bates, P.D., Johnson, S.R., Cao, X., Li, J., Nam, J.-W., Jaworski, J.G., Ohlrogge, J.B. and Browse, J. (2014) Fatty acid synthesis is inhibited by inefficient utilisation of unusual fatty acids for glycerolipid assembly. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **111**, 1204–1209.
- Cirpus, P. and Bauer, J. (2006) Method for producing polyunsaturated C20 and C22 fatty acids with at least four double bonds in transgenic plants. Patent WO 2006100241-A2.
- Damude, H., McGonigle, B., Xue, Z. and Zhu, Q. (2007) ⊿9 elongases and their use in making polyunsaturated fatty acids. Patent WO/2007/061742.
- Domergue, F., Abbadi, A., Ott, C., Zank, T.K., Zähringer, U. and Heinz, E. (2003) Acyl carriers used as substrates by the desaturases and elongases involved in very long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids biosynthesis reconstituted in yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 35115–35126.
- Domergue, F., Abbadi, A., Zähringer, U., Moreau, H. and Heinz, E. (2005) *In vivo* characterization of the first acyl-CoA  $\Delta$ 6-desaturase from a member of the plant kingdom, the microalga *Ostreococcus tauri. Biochem J.* **389**, 483–490.
- Garces, R. and Mancha, M. (1993) One-step lipid extraction and fatty acid methyl esters preparation from fresh plant tissues. *Anal. Biochem.* **211**, 139–143.
- Ghioni, C., Porter, A.E.A., Taylor, G.W. and Tocher, D.R. (2004) Metabolism of 18:4n-3 (stearidonic acid) and 20:4n-3 in salmonid cells in culture and inhibition of the production of prostaglandin  $F_{2\alpha}$  (PGF<sub>2\alpha</sub>) from 20:4n-6 (arachidonic acid). *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* **27**, 81–96.
- Haslam, R.P., Ruiz-Lopez, N., Eastmond, P., Moloney, M., Sayanova, O. and Napier, J.A. (2013) The modification of plant oil composition via metabolic engineering – better nutrition by design. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **11**, 157–168.
- Haynes, C.A., Allegood, J.C., Sims, K., Wang, E.W., Sullards, M.C. and Merril, A.H. (2008) Quantitation of fatty acyl-coenzyme As in mammalian cells by liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Lipid Res.* **49**, 1113–1125.
- Korn, E.D. (1964) The fatty acids of *Euglena gracilis*. J. Lipid Res. 5, 352–362.
- Krank, J., Murphy, R.C., Barkley, R.M., Duchoslav, E. and McAnoy, A. (2007) Qualitative analysis and quantitative assessment of changes in neutral glycerol lipid molecular species within cells. *Methods Enyzmol.* **432**, 1–20.
- Larson, T.R. and Graham, I.A. (2001) A novel technique for the sensitive quantification of acyl CoA esters from plant tissues. *Plant J.* **25**, 115–125.
- Lee, J., Welti, R., Schapaugh, W.T. and Trick, H.N. (2011) Phospholipid and triacylglycerol profiles modified by PLD suppression in soybean seed. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **9**, 359–372.
- Luddy, F.E., Barford, R.A., Herb, S.F., Magidman, P. and Riemenchneider, R.W. (1964) Pancreatic lipase hydrolysis of triglycerides by a semi-microtechnique. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* **41**, 693–696.

- Petrie, J.R., Mackenzie, A., Shrestha, P., Liu, Q., Frampton, D., Robert, S. and Singh, S. (2010) Isolation of three novel LC-PUFA Δ9 elongases and the transgenic assembly of the entire *Pavlova salina* DHA pathway in *Nicotiana benthamiana. J. Phycol.* **46**, 917–925.
- Petrie, J., Shrestha, P., Belide, S., Mansour, M., Liu, Q., Horne, J., Nichols, P.D. and Singh, S.P. (2012a) Transgenic production of arachidonic acid in oilseeds. *Transgenic Res.* **21**, 139–147.
- Petrie, J.R., Shrestha, P., Zhou, X.R., Mansour, M.P., Li, Q., Belide, S., Nichols, P.D. and Singh, S.P. (2012b) Metabolic engineering of seeds with fish oil-like levels of DHA. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e49165.
- Petrie, J.R., Shrestha, P., Belide, S., Kennedy, Y., Lester, G. and Singh, S.P. (2014) Metabolic engineering *Camelina sativa* with fish oil-like levels of DHA. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e85061.
- Qi, B., Beaudoin, F., Fraser, T., Stobart, A.K., Napier, J.A. and Lazarus, C.M. (2002) Identification of a cDNA encoding a novel C18-Δ9 polyunsaturated fatty acid-specific elongating activity from the docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)producing microalga, *Isochrysis galbana*. *FEBS Lett.* **510**, 159–165.
- Qi, B., Fraser, T., Mugford, S., Dobson, G., Sayanova, O., Butler, J., Napier, J.A., Stobart, A.K. and Lazarus, C.M. (2004) Production of very long chain polyunsaturated  $\omega$ -3 and  $\omega$ -6 fatty acids in plants. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **22**, 739–745.
- Ruiz-Lopez, N., Haslam, R.P., Venegas-Caleron, M., Larson, T.R., Graham, I.A., Napier, J.A. and Sayanova, O. (2009) The synthesis and accumulation of stearidonic acid in transgenic plants: a novel source of 'heart healthy' omega-3 fatty acids. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 7, 704–716.
- Ruiz-Lopez, N., Haslam, R.P., Usher, S.L., Napier, J.A. and Sayanova, O. (2013) Reconstitution of EPA and DHA biosynthesis in Arabidopsis: iterative metabolic engineering for the synthesis of n-3 LC-PUFAs in transgenic plants. *Metab. Eng.* **17**, 30–41.
- Ruiz-Lopez, N., Haslam, R.P., Napier, J.A. and Sayanova, O. (2014) Successful high-level accumulation of fish oil omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in an oilseed crop. *Plant J.* **77**, 198–208.
- Ruiz-López, N., Haslam, R.P., Venegas-Calerón, M., Li, T., Bauer, J., Napier, J.A. and Sayanova, O. (2012) Enhancing the accumulation of omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* via iterative metabolic engineering and genetic crossing. *Transgenic Res.* 21, 1233–1243.
- Sayanova, O.V. and Napier, J.A. (2004) Eicosapentaenoic acid: biosynthetic routes and the potential for synthesis in transgenic plants. *Phytochemistry*, 65, 147–158.
- Sayanova, O., Haslam, R., Guschina, I., Lloyd, D., Christie, W.W., Harwood, J.L. and Napier, J.A. (2006a) A bifunctional 12, 15-desaturase from *Acanthamoeba castellanii* directs the synthesis of highly unusual n-1 series unsaturated fatty acids. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 36533–36541.
- Sayanova, O., Haslam, R.P., Qi, B., Lazarus, C.M. and Napier, J.A. (2006b) The alternative pathway C<sub>20</sub>  $\Delta$ 8-desaturase from the non-photosynthetic organism *Acanthamoeba castellanii* is an atypical cytochrome b5-fusion desaturase. *FEBS Lett.* **580**, 1946–1952.

- Sayanova, O., Haslam, R., Venegas Caleron, M. and Napier, J.A. (2007) Cloning and characterization of unusual fatty acid desaturases from *Anemone leveillei*: identification of an acyl-coenzyme A C20 Δ5-desaturase responsible for the synthesis of sciadonic acid. *Plant Physiol.* **144**, 455–467.
- Sayanova, O., Ruiz-Lopez, N., Haslam, R.P. and Napier, J.A. (2011a) The role of  $\Delta 6$ -desaturase acyl-carrier specificity in the efficient synthesis of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **10**, 195–210.
- Sayanova, O., Haslam, R.P., Calerón, M.V., López, N.R., Worthy, C., Rooks, P., Allen, M.J. and Napier, J.A. (2011b) Identification and functional characterisation of genes encoding the omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid biosynthetic pathway from the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Phytochem.* 72, 594–600.
- Swanson, D., Block, R. and Mousa, S.A. (2012) Omega-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA: health benefits throughout life. *Adv. Nutr.* **3**, 1–7.
- Truksa, M., MacKenzie, S.L. and Qiu, X. (2003) Molecular analysis of flax 2S storage protein conlinin and seed specific activity of its promoter. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **41**, 141–147.
- Venegas-Calerón, M., Beaudoin, F., Sayanova, O. and Napier, J.A. (2007) Cotranscribed genes for long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis in the protozoon *Perkinsus marinus* contain a plant-like FAE1 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 2996–3000.
- Wallis, J.G. and Browse, J. (1999) The ∆8-desaturase of *Euglena gracilis*: an alternate pathway for synthesis of 20-carbon polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **365**, 307–316.
- Wu, G., Truksa, M., Datla, N., Vrinten, P., Bauer, J., Zank, T., Cirpus, P., Heinz, E. and Qiu, X. (2005) Stepwise engineering to produce high yields of very long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in plants. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 23, 1013–1017.
- Zhou, X.R., Robert, S.S., Petrie, J.R., Frampton, D.M., Mansour, M.P., Blackburn, S.I. and Singh, S.P. (2007) Isolation and characterization of genes from the marine microalga *Pavlova salina* encoding three front-end desaturases involved in docosahexaenoic acid biosynthesis. *Phytochem.* 68, 785–796.

#### **Supporting information**

Additional Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Figure S1** Differentiation of ETA from ARA and juniperonic acid. **Figure S2** Range of ETA and EPA content in single seeds from AP5#1\_5.

**Figure S3** Comparison of fatty profiles for fish oil, *Camelina* and AP5#1\_5.

 Table S1
 Single seed analysis – fatty acid composition of individual seeds of line AP5#1\_5.