



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Pediatr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Pediatr. 2016 August ; 175: 16–21. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.05.013.

The Role of Nutrition in Brain Development: The Golden Opportunity of the “First 1000 Days”

Sarah E. Cusick, PhD and

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota School of Medicine

Michael K. Georgieff, MD

Professor of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota School of Medicine

Every child has a right to optimal cognitive, social, and emotional behavioral development. The cognitive, social, and emotional parts of the brain continue to develop across the lifespan. However, the brain’s growth and development trajectory is heterogeneous across time. A great deal of the brain’s ultimate structure and capacity is shaped early in life before the age of 3 years¹. The identification and definition of this particularly sensitive time period has sharpened the approach that public policies are taking related to promoting healthy brain development. The ramifications are large because failure to optimize brain development early in life appears to have long-term consequences with respect to education, job potential, and adult mental health². These long-term consequences are the “ultimate cost to society” of early life adversity.

Among the factors that influence early brain development, three stand out as having particularly profound effects: reduction of toxic stress and inflammation, presence of strong social support and secure attachment, and provision of optimal nutrition³. This article focuses on the latter by first describing the important features of brain development in late fetal and early postnatal life, discussing basic principles by which nutrients regulate brain development during that time period, and presenting the human and pre-clinical evidence that underscores the importance of sufficiency of several key nutrients early in life in ensuring optimal brain development.

Brain Development in Late Fetal and Early Postnatal Life

Policy makers have recently placed a great deal of emphasis on the “first 1000 days” and “0–3” (years) as golden opportunities to influence child outcome. The first 1000 days correspond roughly to the time from conception through 2 years of age. However, a closer examination of the trajectory of anatomical and functional brain development combined with

Correspondence: Michael K. Georgieff, MD, Division of Neonatology, University of Minnesota Masonic Children’s Hospital, University of Minnesota School of Medicine, 2450 Riverside Avenue, East Building, MB 630, Minneapolis, MN 55454, Phone: 612-626-0644, Fax: 612-624-2876, georg001@umn.edu.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

clinical and epidemiological studies of neurodevelopmental outcome suggests a slightly broader window extending to three years.^{1,3} Nevertheless, the same basic principles of brain development discussed below continue to apply.

The brain is not a homogeneous organ. Rather, it is comprised of multiple anatomical regions and processes (eg, myelination), each with unique developmental trajectories¹. Many of these regions have developmental trajectories that begin and accelerate in fetal life or shortly after birth. For example, myelination abruptly increases at 32 weeks gestation and is most active in the first 2 postnatal years¹. The monoamine neurotransmitter systems involved in mediating reward, affect, and mood begin their development pre-natally⁴, continuing at a brisk pace until at least age 3 years. The hippocampus, which is crucial for mediating recognition and spatial memory begins its rapid growth phase at approximately 32 weeks gestation, continuing for at least the first 18 postnatal months^{1,5}. Even the prefrontal cortex, which orchestrates more complex processing behaviors, such as attention and multi-tasking, has the onset of its growth spurt in the first 6 postnatal months^{1,5}. Keeping brain areas on developmental trajectory is critical not only for promoting behaviors served by the individual regions, but also more importantly, to ensure time-coordinated development of brain areas that are designed to work together as circuits that mediate complex behaviors⁶.

Early life events, including nutritional deficiencies and toxic stress, can have differential effects on developing brain regions and processes based on the timing, dose, and duration of those events⁷. The importance of timing in particular should be underscored.⁸ As noted, the timing of peak rates of development of the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex differ. The timing of an adverse environmental event that, for example, affects neuronal dendritic arborization will determine whether the hippocampus or the prefrontal cortex sustains greater damage and compromise of functional integrity. The earlier the insult, the more likely the hippocampus will be affected more than the prefrontal cortex. In a brain circuit that requires balanced hippocampal and prefrontal input (eg, the ventral tegmental area loop), such imbalance can result in significant behavioral pathology, such as schizophrenia^{6,9}.

Neuroscientists and psychologists use terms such as “critical period” and “sensitive period” to describe time epochs of opportunity and vulnerability. Critical periods are typically conceptualized as early-life epochs when alterations to brain structure or function by an environmental factor (eg, nutrition) result in irreversible long-term consequences¹⁰. Sensitive periods imply an epoch when the brain (or brain region) is more vulnerable to environmental factors, including nutrient deficiencies, but when the effect is not necessarily deterministic^{10,11}. The term “sensitive period” can also be used in a positive manner to describe times when the brain may be particularly receptive to positive nutritional or social stimulation. Both concepts rely on the observation that the young, rapidly developing brain is more vulnerable than the older brain, but also retains a greater degree of plasticity (eg, recoverability). Over time, the distinction between critical and sensitive periods has become blurred as more research emerges. Although the distinction may become less meaningful, either concept emphasizes the need for pediatricians to focus on making sure the child is receiving adequate nutrition to promote normal brain development in a timely fashion¹²⁻¹⁴.

Basic Principles of Early Nutrition Effects on Brain Development

The vulnerability of a developing brain process, region, or circuit to an early life nutrient deficit is based on two factors: the timing of the nutrient deficit and the region's requirement for that nutrient at that time. For example, the risk of iron deficiency varies with pediatric age. Peak incidences are seen in the fetal/newborn period, 6–24 months of age, and during the teenage years in menstruating females. Each of these epochs has different iron-dependent metabolic processes occurring in the brain. Thus, the behavioral phenotype of iron deficiency varies by the child's age¹⁵. This type of timing and dose/duration information can be leveraged to change clinical prescription of certain nutrients. For example, consensus panels determining maternal requirements for folic acid in pregnancy based their recommendations on knowledge of the biology of folic acid in the developing fetal brain and long-term infant outcome.¹⁶

All nutrients are important for brain growth and function, but certain ones have particularly significant effects during early development. The effect of a nutrient deficit on the developing brain will be largely driven by the metabolic physiology of the nutrient, ie, what processes it supports in brain development and also by whether the deficit coincides with a critical or sensitive period for that process (Table). Key nutrients for brain development are defined as those for which deficiency that is concurrent with sensitive or critical periods early in life results in long-term dysfunction.

Biological proof of single nutrient effects on brain development are difficult to demonstrate in young children because of the subtlety and variability (based on timing) of nutrient effects, the limited behavioral repertoire of the youngest, most vulnerable children, the lack of brain tissue evidence of nutrient sufficiency or deficiency, the co-occurrence of multiple nutrient deficits in many at-risk populations, and non-nutritional confounding variables such as poverty and stress. Observational studies dominate the literature, but even randomized control trials (RCTs) are at risk for misattribution of effects or misinterpretation of lack of effects because of violations of various nutrient-brain interaction principles outlined above³.

Another scientific approach to the problem is cross-disciplinary, translational research that depends on combining pre-clinical and human studies. This approach makes the assumption that basic biological principles of nutrient-brain interactions are conserved across species. This approach has the advantage of controlling for potential confounding variables in order to isolate the effect of the nutritional variable of interest. The risk, however, is in failing to accurately relate the preclinical model's nutritional metabolism and brain development to the human.

In the following section, we highlight key macro- and micro-nutrients that are critical in brain development in the first 1,000 days of life by presenting both human and pre-clinical data that underscore their significant impact. These deficiencies, and thus likely also their harmful effect on neurobehavioral development, are most prevalent in low- and middle-income countries, although they persist in high-risk, e.g., low-income, refugee, food-insecure, populations in high-income-countries as well.

Macronutrients that Affect Early Brain Development

Protein

Growth failure is one of the most common manifestations of malnutrition worldwide. In its fetal form, it is referred to as intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), typically defined as fetal weight less than the 10th percentile for gestational age. It is likely that multiple nutrients, both macro- and micro-, are compromised in IUGR. Poorer developmental outcome following IUGR may thus be due to protein or energy undernutrition, deficiencies of key micronutrients (Table), or both.

Consensus panels agree that IUGR and postnatal growth failure in the first three years profoundly affect neurodevelopment. One recent systematic review of 38 studies found that children with IUGR born at 35 weeks of gestation or later scored 0.5 standard deviation units lower across all neurodevelopmental assessments¹⁷. This difference was 0.7 standard deviation units in children with IUGR born before 35 weeks gestation. Two individual landmark studies in the 1970's and 1980's in four Guatemalan villages by Pollitt et al demonstrated the importance of macronutrients, specifically protein, during the prenatal period and early childhood in achievement of full developmental trajectory¹⁸. Early postnatal growth is also a key determinant. Linear growth rate before, but not after 12 months of age, and infant weight before four months of age significantly predicts child intelligence quotient (IQ) at age 9 years. Neither child linear growth nor weight after 12 months is associated with child IQ nine years later¹⁹.

Pre-clinical models of early life malnutrition indicate that protein or protein-energy restriction results in smaller brains with reduced RNA and DNA contents, fewer neurons, simpler dendritic and synaptic head architecture, and reduced concentrations of neurotransmitters and growth factors^{20,21}. IUGR modifies the epigenetic landscape of the brain, providing a potential mechanism for long-term neurodevelopmental effects²².

LC-PUFAs

The impact of supplementation with long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFA)—particularly docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22:6n-3) and arachidonic acid (AA, 20:4n-6)—during gestation, lactation, and early childhood on childhood cognition has been extensively reviewed^{23,24}. Gestational and early postnatal LC-PUFA supplementation has been associated with improved cognition and attention in some studies, but meta-analyses report mixed findings.^{23,24} Nevertheless, a recent study found that the benefit of LC-PUFA given in the first year may not be apparent until after 3 to 6 years of age²⁵, emphasizing the importance of extending the observation period of nutritional studies to accommodate longitudinal follow-up beyond early childhood.

Preclinical models show that DHA is necessary for neurogenesis and neuronal migration, membrane fatty acid composition and fluidity, and synaptogenesis²⁶. The LC-PUFAs have a profound effect on monoaminergic, cholinergic, and GABA-ergic neurotransmitter systems. In particular, the visual system and areas of the prefrontal cortex that mediate attention, inhibition, and impulsivity are targets of early PUFA status in non-human primate models²⁷.

LC-PUFAs modify the epigenetic landscape of the brain, conferring potential long-term effects²⁸.

Micronutrients that Affect Early Brain Development

Eradication of the three most prevalent micronutrient deficiencies—iron, zinc, and iodine—could increase the world IQ by 10 points²⁹.

Iron

More than 50 studies in humans including observational studies, supplementation trials, and iron therapy studies, demonstrate a key role of iron in brain development^{2,15}. Collectively, there is general consensus that supports the principle that prevention is preferable to treatment of iron deficiency, and that the earlier the brain is protected from suboptimal iron status, e.g., the prenatal period and early infancy, the better.⁸ In a set of studies in Nepal, children whose mothers received iron/folic acid supplementation during pregnancy scored better on multiple tests of intellectual, executive, and motor function compared with placebo controls³⁰. However, subsequent supplementation of the children with iron between 12–35 months conferred no added benefit to children whose mothers received iron supplementation³¹, nor did supplementation between 12–35 months have an effect on the intellectual, executive, or motor outcomes of children of placebo controls³². Moreover, mistimed or excessive iron may lead to worse neurodevelopmental outcomes, as recently shown in a single 10-year follow-up study of an infant iron supplementation study in Chile. In that study, 6-month-old infants with high hemoglobin who received iron-fortified formula performed significantly worse 10 years later on a battery of neurodevelopmental tasks, and infants with low hemoglobin who received iron-fortified formula performed significantly better³³. These results emphasize that a nutrient that is beneficial at one dose or time may be toxic at another.

Preclinical models demonstrate that the effects of iron on the developing brain relate to its role in hemoproteins and non-heme enzymes that rely on the iron molecule for their activity¹⁵. Iron is necessary for normal anatomic development of the fetal brain^{34–36}, myelination, and the development and function of the dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine systems¹⁵. Iron also modifies the epigenetic landscape of the brain³⁶.

Zinc

Meta-analyses and reviews^{37,38} of zinc supplementation fail to find a significant effect on child cognition or motor development, likely due to a great degree of heterogeneity in the effect sizes and study designs. Individual studies, however, reveal key beneficial outcomes when zinc deficiency is prevented in early infancy and also positive impact of zinc when given in combination with iron^{39,40}.

Preclinical models indicate that zinc is necessary for normal neurogenesis and migration, myelination, synaptogenesis, regulation of neurotransmitter release in GABA-ergic neuron and ERK1/2 signaling^{41,42} particularly in the fetal cortex, hippocampus, cerebellum and the autonomic nervous system⁴³. Behaviorally, early life zinc deficiency results in poorer learning, attention, memory and mood⁴⁴.

Iodine

Iodine's sole role in brain development is to support thyroid hormone synthesis. The developing fetal brain is most susceptible to iodine deficiency during the first trimester, when fetal T3 production depends entirely upon supply of maternal T4. Severe iodine deficiency can result in cretinism, marked by deficits in hearing, speech, and gait and IQ of approximately 30⁴⁵. Iodine supplementation in early pregnancy of women at risk for iodine deficiency results in better cognitive outcomes in offspring⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷.

Preclinical studies demonstrate that prenatal iodine deficiency results in deficits in neurogenesis, neuronal migration, glutamatergic signaling, and brain weight, and postnatal models affect dendritogenesis, synaptogenesis, and myelination^{48,49}. Behavioral abnormalities range from global abnormalities in severe deficiency to poorer learning and memory, sensory gating, and increased anxiety in milder deficiency⁴⁹.

Clinical Implications

In the pre-conceptional period, efforts should focus on nutritional counseling for women of childbearing age, screening for common nutrient deficiencies, and maintaining a healthy maternal body weight. Screening for and treating maternal iron deficiency is particularly high yield because more than 15% of U.S. women of childbearing age are iron-deficient⁵⁰. Weight management and reduction of obesity has become a target because of recent evidence that obesity during pregnancy is a risk to fetal brain development^{51,52}.

During gestation, non-nutritional factors, including maternal high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, and stress, can affect fetal brain nutritional status. Seventy-five percent of the cases of IUGR in the United States are due to maternal hypertension. Fifty percent of infants with IUGR have low iron stores at birth⁵³; all have protein malnutrition. Ten percent of pregnancies are complicated by pre-gestational or gestational diabetes mellitus; up to 65% of infants of diabetic mothers are born with iron stores below the 5th percentile⁵⁴. Maternal stress has a direct effect on the fetal brain, but also alters how certain nutrients are trafficked in the maternal-fetal dyad⁵².

In the postnatal period, the most obvious nutritional strategy to sustain healthy brain development is breastfeeding. Although the concentrations of many nutrients in human milk are not a function of maternal diet, others including LC-PUFAs are⁵⁵. Thus, nutritional support of the newborn includes nutritional counseling of the mother. Maintenance of iron and zinc sufficiency is particularly important. Even though screening for iron status in the newborn period is not routine, awareness of children at risk for low iron status at birth is important. Maternal milk is a poor source of divalent metals (eg, zinc, iron) and will not meet the needs of the child after 6 months of age⁵⁶.

As in pregnancy, reduction of non-nutritional factors that affect nutrient absorption and distribution to the brain is key in the postnatal period. These include infection and inflammation, which significantly affect how protein, zinc and iron are processed. In the case of iron, infection increases levels of hepcidin, which reduces iron absorption, sequesters iron in the reticulo-endothelial system, and results in functional iron deficiency⁵⁷.

Children from 1 to 3 years of age are particularly vulnerable because they typically ingest a diet similar to that of their adult parents. Thus, they are susceptible to poor parental food habits and can have food insecurity that causes a sacrifice of quality food for food quantity⁵⁸. Food sources for nutrients that are important for normal early development (Table) can be found in the American Academy of Pediatrics' (AAP) Handbook on Nutrition⁵⁹ and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics website⁶⁰. In low- and middle-income countries children between 1 and 3 years of age are at high risk of nutritional deficiency because the local cereal-grain-based-diet is insufficient to meet the substantial nutrient requirements needed to sustain their rapid growth. Monitoring growth is routine, but growth failure is a late finding, particularly for micronutrient deficiencies. Anemia is also a late finding for iron deficiency. The AAP Handbook on Nutrition states that children of that age consuming a well-balanced diet do not need nutritional supplementation, but particular attention may need to be paid to vitamin and micronutrient status if the parental diet is assessed as risky⁵⁹. Finally, food insecurity, or inadequate food due to lack of money or other resources, is not only a low-income country problem, but also a common condition in the United States, where an estimated 16 million children live in food-insecure households⁶¹. A 2015 AAP guideline emphasized the importance of screening for food insecurity, issuing a 2-question strategy that identifies a food-insecure child with 97% sensitivity⁶¹. Identification of food-insecure households is critical so that appropriate referrals to community resources such as the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) can be made, thus helping to ensure optimal nutrition and brain development from pregnancy through early childhood.

Summary

Nutrition plays an important role in brain development from conception to 3 years of age. Public health policies should emphasize access to quality food for pre-conceptional, pregnant, and lactating women. Guidelines should support breastfeeding for infants during the first year and more oversight of the quality of food that children are offered from 1 to 3 years, when they are most vulnerable to the vagaries of parental diets. Obtaining dietary histories, screening for food insecurity and active teaching of parents are crucial steps the practitioner can implement at the personal level.

Acknowledgments

Supported by the National Institutes of Health (R-01HD29421-19 [to M.G] and 5R03HD74262-2 [to S.C.]).

Abbreviations

IUGR	Intrauterine Growth Restriction
IQ	Intelligence Quotient
LC-PUFA	Long-chain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids
DHA	Docosohexaenoic Acid
AA	Arachidonic Acid

References

1. Fox SE, Levitt P, Nelson CA. How the timing and quality of early experiences influence the development of brain architecture. *Child Development*. 2010; 81:28–40. [PubMed: 20331653]
2. Walker SP, Wachs TD, Gardner JM, Lozoff B, Wasserman GA, Pollitt EA, et al. Child development: Risk factors for adverse outcomes in developing countries. *Lancet*. 2007; 369:145–157. [PubMed: 17223478]
3. Wachs TD, Georgieff MK, Cusick S, McEwan B. Issues in the timing of integrated early interventions: Contributions from nutrition, neuroscience and psychological research. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2014; 1308:89–106. [PubMed: 24354763]
4. Field T, Diego M, Hernandez-Reif M, Figueiredo B, Deeds O, Ascencio A, et al. Prenatal dopamine and neonatal behavior and biochemistry. *Infant Behavior and Development*. 2008; 31:590–593. [PubMed: 18774177]
5. Rice D, Barone S Jr. Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: Evidence from humans and animal models. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2000; 108:511–533. [PubMed: 10852851]
6. White NM, McDonald RJ. Multiple parallel memory systems in the brain of the rat. *Neurobiology of Learning & Memory*. 2002; 77:125–184. [PubMed: 11848717]
7. Kretchmer N, Beard JL, Carlson S. The role of nutrition in the development of normal cognition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1996; 63:997S–1001S. [PubMed: 8644701]
8. Cusick SE, Georgieff MK. Nutrient supplementation and neurodevelopment: Timing is the key. *Arch Ped Adolesc Med*. 2012; 155:481–482.
9. Tseng KY, Chambers RA, Lipska BK. The neonatal ventral hippocampal lesion as a heuristic neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia. *Behav Brain Res*. 2009; 204:295–305. [PubMed: 19100784]
10. Bornstein M. Sensitive periods in development: Structural characteristics and causal interpretations. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1989; 105:179–197. [PubMed: 2648441]
11. Colombo J. The critical period concept: Research, methodology and theoretical issues. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1982; 91:260–275. [PubMed: 7071261]
12. Takesian AE, Hensch TK. Balancing plasticity/stability across brain development. *Prog Brain Res*. 2013; 207:3–24. [PubMed: 24309249]
13. Werker JF, Hensch TK. Critical periods in speech perception: new directions. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2015; 66:173–96. [PubMed: 25251488]
14. Georgieff MK, Brunette KE, Tran PV. Early life nutrition and neural plasticity. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2015; 27:411–423. [PubMed: 25997762]
15. Lozoff B, Beard J, Connor J, Felt B, Georgieff M, Schallert T. Long-lasting neural and behavioral effects of early iron deficiency in infancy. *Nutrition Reviews*. 2006; 64:S34–S43. [PubMed: 16770951]
16. Greenberg JA, Bell SJ, Guan Y, Yu Y. Folic acid supplementation and pregnancy: more than just neural tube defect prevention. *Rev Obstet Gynecol*. 4:52–59. [PubMed: 22102928]
17. Murray E, Fernandes M, Fazel M, Kennedy SH, Villar J, Stein A. Differential effect of intrauterine growth restriction on childhood neurodevelopment: a systematic review. *BJOG*. 2015; 122:1062–1072. [PubMed: 25990812]
18. Pollitt E, Gorman KS, Engle PL, Riveras JA, Martorell R. Nutrition in Early Life and the Fulfillment of Intellectual Potential. *J Nutr*. 1995; 125:1111S–1118S. [PubMed: 7536831]
19. Pongcharoen T, Ramakrishnan U, DiGirolamo AM, Winichagoon P, Flores R, Singkhornard J, et al. Influence of prenatal and postnatal growth on intellectual functioning in school-aged children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2012; 166:411–6. [PubMed: 22566539]
20. Winick M. Prenatal protein-calorie malnutrition and brain development. *Prog Clin Biol Res*. 1985; 63:397–402. [PubMed: 3920667]
21. Wiggins RC, Fuller G, Enna SJ. Undernutrition and the development of brain neurotransmitter systems. *Life Sci*. 1984; 35:2085–2094. [PubMed: 6149444]

22. Ke X, Lei Q, James SJ, Kelleher SL, Melnyk S, Jernigan S, et al. Uteroplacental insufficiency affects epigenetic determinants of chromatin structure in brains of neonatal and juvenile IUGR rats. *Physiol Genomics*. 2006; 25:16–28. [PubMed: 16380407]
23. Delgado-Noguera MF, Calvache JA, Bonfill Cosp X. Supplementation with long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFA) to breastfeeding mothers for improving child growth and development. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2010; (12) Art No.: CD007901.
24. Simmer K. Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation in infants born at term. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2001; 4:CD000376. [PubMed: 11687076]
25. Colombo J, Carlson SE, Cheatham CL, Shaddy DJ, Kerling EH, Thodosoff JM, et al. Long-term effects of LCPUFA supplementation on childhood cognitive outcomes. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2013; 98:403–12. [PubMed: 23803884]
26. Innis SM. Dietary omega 3 fatty acids and the developing brain. *Brain Res*. 2008; 1237:35–43. [PubMed: 18789910]
27. Neuringer M, Connor WE, Lin DS, Barstad L, Luck S. Biochemical and functional effects of prenatal and postnatal omega 3 fatty acid deficiency on retina and brain in rhesus monkeys. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1986; 83:4021–4025. [PubMed: 3459166]
28. Tyagi E, Zhuang Y, Agrawal R, Ying Z, Gomez-Pinilla F. Interactive actions of Bdnf methylation and cell metabolism for building neural resilience under the influence of diet. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2015; 73:307–318. [PubMed: 25283985]
29. Morris SS, Cogill B, Uauy R. Effective international action against undernutrition: why has it proven so difficult and what can be done to accelerate progress? *Lancet*. 2008; 371:608–621. [PubMed: 18206225]
30. Christian P, Murray-Kob LE, Khattry SK, Katz J, Schaefer BA, Cole PM, et al. Prenatal Micronutrient Supplementation and Intellectual and Motor Function in Early School-aged Children in Nepal. *JAMA*. 2010; 304:2716–23. [PubMed: 21177506]
31. Christian P, Morgan ME, Murray-Kolb L, LeClerq SE, Khattry SK, Schaefer B, et al. Preschool Iron-Folic Acid and Zinc Supplementation in Children Exposed to Iron-Folic Acid in Utero Confers No Added Cognitive Benefit in Early School-Age. *J Nutr*. 2011; 141:2042–8. [PubMed: 21956955]
32. Murray-Kolb LE, Khattry SK, Katz J, Schaefer BA, Cole PM, LeClerq SC. Preschool Micronutrient Supplementation Effects on Intellectual and Motor Function in School-aged Nepalese Children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2012; 166:404–410. [PubMed: 22566538]
33. Lozoff B, Castillo M, Clark KM, Smith JB. Iron-fortified vs. low-iron formula: developmental outcome at 10 years. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2012; 166:208–15. [PubMed: 22064877]
34. Greminger AR, Lee DL, Shrager P, Mayer-Proeschel M. Gestational iron deficiency differentially alters the structure and function of white and gray matter brain regions of developing rats. *J Nutr*. 2014; 144:1058–1066. [PubMed: 24744313]
35. Carlson ES, Tkac I, Magid R, O'Connor MB, Andrews NC, Schallert T, Gunshin H, Georgieff MK, Petryk A. Iron is essential for neuron development and memory function in mouse hippocampus. *J Nutrition*. 2009; 139:672–9. [PubMed: 19211831]
36. Tran PV, Kennedy BC, Lien YC, Simmons RA, Georgieff MK. Fetal iron deficiency induces chromatin remodeling at the *Bdnf* locus in adult rat hippocampus. *Am J Physiol Integr Comp Phys*. 2015; 308:R276–R282.
37. Bhatnagar S, Taneja S. Zinc and cognitive development. *Br J Nutr*. 2001; 85(Suppl 2):S139–S145. [PubMed: 11509102]
38. Gogia S, Sachdev HS. Zinc supplementation for mental and motor development in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Dec 12.12:CD007991. [PubMed: 23235652]
39. Colombo J, Zavaleta N, Kannass KN, Lazarte F, Albornoz C, Kapa LL, et al. Zinc Supplementation Sustained Normative Neurodevelopment in a Randomized, Controlled Trial of Peruvian Infants Aged 6–18 Months. *J Nutr*. 2014; 144:1298–1305. [PubMed: 24850625]
40. Black MM, Sazawal S, Black RE, Khosla S, Kumar J, Menon V. Cognitive and Motor Development Among Small-for-Gestational Age Infants: Impact of Zinc Supplementation, Birth Weight, and Caregiving Practices. *Pediatrics*. 2004; 113:1297–1305. [PubMed: 15121945]

41. Sanstead HH, W.O. Atwater memorial lecture: Zinc: essentiality for brain development and function. *Nutr Rev.* 1985; 43:129–137. [PubMed: 3897914]
42. Adamo AM, Oteiza PI. Zinc deficiency and neurodevelopment: the case of neurons. *Biofactors.* 2010; 36:117–124. [PubMed: 20333753]
43. Bhatnagar S, Taneja S. Zinc and cognitive functioning. *Br J Nutr.* 2001; 85:S139–S145. [PubMed: 11509102]
44. Golub MS, Keen CL, Gershwin ME, Hendrickx AG. Developmental zinc deficiency and behavior. *J Nutr.* 1995; 125:2263S–2271S. [PubMed: 7623165]
45. Skeaff SA. Iodine Deficiency in Pregnancy: The Effect on Neurodevelopment in the Child. *Nutrients.* 2011; 3:265–273. [PubMed: 22254096]
46. Berbel P, Mestre JL, Santamaría A, Palazón I, Franco A, Graells M, et al. Delayed neurobehavioral development in children born to pregnant women with mild hypothyroxinemia during the first month of gestation: The importance of early iodine supplementation. *Thyroid.* 2009; 19:511–9. [PubMed: 19348584]
47. Velasco I, Carreira M, Santiago P, Muela JA, Garcia-Fuentes E, Sanchez-Munoz B, et al. Effect of iodine prophylaxis during pregnancy on neurocognitive development of children during the first two years of life. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009; 94:3234–41. [PubMed: 19567536]
48. Dong J, Yin H, Liu W, Wang P, Jiang Y, Chen J. Congenital iodine deficiency and hypothyroidism impair LTP and decrease C-fos and C-jun expression in rat hippocampus. *Neurotoxicology.* 2005; 26:417–426. [PubMed: 15935212]
49. Navarro D, Alvarado M, Navarrete F, Giner M, Obregon MJ, Manzanares I, et al. Gestational and early postnatal hypothyroidism alters VGLuT1 and VGAT bouton distribution in the neocortex and hippocampus, and behavior in rats. *Front Neuroanat.* 2015; 17:9. 9 eCollection 2015. [PubMed: 25741243]
50. Cogswell ME, Looker AC, Pfeiffer CM, Cook JD, Lacher DA, Beard JL, et al. Assessment of iron deficiency in US preschool children and nonpregnant females of childbearing age: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003–2006. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009; 89:1334–42. [PubMed: 19357218]
51. Jo H, Schieve LA, Sharma AJ, Hinkle SN, Li R, Lind JN. Maternal prepregnancy body mass index and child psychosocial development at 6 years of age. *Pediatrics.* 2015; 135:e1198–1209. [PubMed: 25917989]
52. Monk C, Georgieff MK, Osterholm EA. Research review: maternal prenatal distress and poor nutrition—mutually influencing risk factors affecting infant neurocognitive development. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2013; 54:115–30. [PubMed: 23039359]
53. Chockalingam U, Murphy E, Ophoven JC, Georgieff MK. The influence of gestational age, size for dates, and prenatal steroids on cord transferrin levels in newborn infants. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1987; 6:276–80. [PubMed: 3694350]
54. Georgieff MK, Landon MB, Mills MM, et al. Abnormal iron distribution in infants of diabetic mothers: spectrum and maternal antecedents. *J Pediatr.* 1990; 117:455–61. [PubMed: 2391604]
55. Finley DA, Lönnerdal B, Dewey KG, Grivetti LE. Breast milk composition: fat content and fatty acid composition in vegetarians and non-vegetarians. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1985; 41:787–800. [PubMed: 3984932]
56. Young BE, Krebs NF. Complementary feeding: critical considerations to optimize growth, nutrition and feeding behavior. *Curr Pediatr Rep.* 2013; 1:247–256. [PubMed: 25105082]
57. Osterholm EA, Georgieff MK. Chronic inflammation and iron metabolism. *J Pediatr.* 2015; 166:1351–7. [PubMed: 25684086]
58. Council on Community Pediatrics and Committee on Nutrition. *Pediatrics.* 2015; 136:e1431.doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-3301 [PubMed: 26498462]
59. Kleinman, RE.; Greer, FR., editors. *Pediatric Nutrition. 7.* American Academy of Pediatrics; Elk Grove Village, IL: 2013.
60. [Accessed March 16, 2016] Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics website. <http://www.eatright.org/resources/for-kids>
61. O’Keefe, L. AAP News. Oct 23. 2015 Identifying food insecurity: Two-question screening tool has 97% sensitivity.

Table 1

Critical Processes During Neurodevelopment Affected by Specific Nutrients

Neurologic Process	Cell Type	Function	Nutrient Example	At Risk During Late Gestation and 0–3 y
Anatomy				
	Neuron	Division (Neurogenesis) Migration Differentiation (Neurite outgrowth; synaptogenesis)	Protein, Carbohydrates, Iron, Copper, Zinc, LC-PUFA, Iodine, Vitamin A, Vitamin B6, Vitamin D, Vitamin C	Global, Hippocampus, Striatum, Cortex, Retina
	Oligodendrocyte	Myelination	Protein, Carbohydrates, Iron, Iodine, Selenium, Zinc, Vitamin B6, Vitamin B12	Global
Chemistry				
	Neuron Astrocyte	Neurotransmitter Concentration, Receptor, reuptake	Protein, Iron, Iodine, Copper, Zinc, Selenium, Choline, Vitamin B6, Vitamin D	Global, Hippocampus, Nucleus, Accumbens, VTA, Cortex, Cerebellum
Physiology & Metabolism				
	Neuron Oligodendrocyte	Electrical Efficiency	Glucose, Protein, Iron, Iodine, Zinc, Choline, Copper	Global