

HHS Public Access

Arthritis Rheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016 July ; 68(7): 1637–1647. doi:10.1002/art.39631.

Immediate Administration of Intraarticular Triamcinolone Acetonide after Joint Injury Modulates Molecular Outcomes Associated with Early Synovitis

Jakob T. Sieker, MD^{1,2}, Ugur M. Ayturk, PhD^{1,3}, Benedikt L. Proffen, MD¹, Manuela H. Weissenberger, MD^{2,4}, Ata M. Kiapour, PhD^{1,5}, and Martha M. Murray, MD¹

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, König-Ludwig-Haus, Julius-Maximilians-University of Würzburg, Germany

³Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

⁴Dr Senckenberg Institute of Pathology, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany

⁵Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA

Abstract

Objective—To test if intraarticular corticosteroid injection mitigates injury-induced synovitis and collagen degradation after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) transection and characterize the synovial response using a functional genomics approach in a preclinical model of post- traumatic osteoarthritis.

Methods—Yorkshire pigs received untreated unilateral ACL transection (ACLT, n=6) or transection with immediate injection of 20mg triamcinolone acetonide (STEROID, n=6). Total synovial membrane cellularity and synovial fluid concentration of COL-2 3/4C short neoepitope bearing collagen fragments at 14 days post-injury were primary endpoints and compared between ACLT, STEROID and INTACT (n=6 uninjured knees). Cells were differentiated by histological phenotype and counted, while RNA-seq was used to quantify transcriptome-wide gene expression, monocyte, macrophage and lymphocyte markers.

Results—Total cellularity of 13% (95% confidence interval of 9–16) and COL-2 3/4C short levels of 0.24 Kg/ml (0.08–0.39) were determined in INTACT. Significant increases in total cellularity to 21% (16–27) and COL-2 3/4C short to 0.49 Kg/ml (0.39–0.59) were observed in ACLT. Compared to ACLT, total cellularity was non-significantly and COL-2 3/4C short was significantly decreased in STEROID to 17% (15–18, p=0.26) and 0.29 Kg/ml (0.23–0.35). Between ACLT and INTACT, 255 genes were differentially expressed and enriched pathways related to cellular immune response and proteolysis. Mononuclear leukocytes were the dominant

COMPETING INTEREST AND DATA SHARING

The authors have no competing interests. Transcriptome-wide gene expression data is available at ArrayExpress (E-MTAB-4294).

Corresponding author: Jakob T. Sieker MD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 300 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA Jakob.Sieker@childrens.harvard.edu +1 (617) 774-9780.

Conclusions—Early intraarticular immunosuppression mitigated the injury-induced increase of collagen fragments, an outcome better predicted by specific marker expression than histological measures of synovitis.

Keywords

Synovitis; Proteolysis; Osteoarthritis; Corticosteroids; Anterior Cruciate Ligament

INTRODUCTION

Injury to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is clearly linked to the development of posttraumatic osteoarthritis, with radiographic signs of the disease present in 50% or more of the injured knees only 15 years after the injury,[1–4] and a substantially earlier need for joint replacement surgery for patients with a previous ACL tear.[5] It is increasingly recognized that the acute injury to the ACL signals the onset of a molecular stage of the disease process where an early therapeutic intervention could be useful,[6,7] particularly if the molecular processes that are elicited in the joint tissues at the time of injury are known.[8]

The role of the synovial membrane in the development of osteoarthritis has been characterized at later stages of the disease process.[9–11] Synovitis, histologically characterized by increased cellularity (intimal thickness and inflammatory cellular infiltrates) and increased angiogenesis, is associated with clinical symptoms, as well as with the progression of cartilage damage.[9,11] This may be due to the secretion of proinflammatory mediators and catabolic enzymes into the synovial fluid which bathes the intraarticular tissues.[12] The synovial fluid and membrane can be targeted by intraarticular injection of small molecules or biologics. Hence, an improved understanding of the molecular and cellular response of this tissue to knee injury might translate into novel therapeutic strategies of acute knee injuries.

Analyses of human synovial fluid after ACL injury indicate early increases of proinflammatory mediators and catabolic enzymes, changes that have been associated with the extent of cartilage damage.[13–17] Those descriptions triggered clinical studies of intraarticular administration of Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (Anakinra, NCT00332254), [18,19] and the synthetic glucocorticoid triamcinolone acetonide (Kenalog, AAA-Trial, NCT01692756) on pain, function, and synovial fluid markers of inflammation and cartilage degradation following ACL injury. To date, it has been difficult to obtain synovial tissue for gene expression analyses in clinical trials and subsequently difficult to measure the effect of these agents on the synovial membrane.

The porcine ACL transection model has been previously shown to result in post-traumatic osteoarthritis in a pattern identical to that seen in human patients after an ACL injury.[20] Synovial fluid and tissue can be obtained from the porcine model following surgical ACL injury, while controlling for parameters such as time from injury, previous joint and systemic diseases, sex and age. Targeted analyses demonstrated a pronounced increase in synovial

Page 3

gene expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines at the first day post-injury followed by increasing levels of protease gene expression, synovial fluid markers of collagen fragments and CD163 expressing synovial macrophages that remained markedly elevated at 14 days post-injury.[21,22] Using this model, we tested the hypothesis that the immediate intraarticular application of triamcinolone acetonide would result in the mitigation of the injury-induced synovitis and subsequent collagen degradation at 14 days after ACL transection. Further, we have utilized the tissue samples generated in this preclinical efficacy trial in combination with a functional genomics approach to characterize the synovial response to injury on a cellular and molecular level with the aim to identify those changes that are strongly associated with the extent of collagen degradation. This strategy appears promising to delineate those processes within the early synovial response to injury that are contributing to the potentially permanent destruction of the collagenous architecture of articular cartilage.

METHODS

Boston Children's Hospital Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved this study. 18 adolescent female Yorkshire pigs (E.M. Parsons & Sons Inc., Hadley, MA), aged 83–96 days and weighing 31–37 kg, were randomly assigned to one of three groups: ACL transection (ACLT), ACL transection followed by injection of 20 mg triamcinolone acetonide injection (STEROID), and no surgery (INTACT control; n=6 for all groups). In the ACLT and STEROID groups, the ACL was transected at the junction of the proximal and middle thirds as previously described.[20] No post-operative immobilization was used. Pigs were housed in single cages with ad libitum access to water and were fed three times daily. No adverse event was observed throughout the experiment. After 14 days, the animals were euthanized and synovial fluid was drawn from the joints. Adjacent biopsies of the lateral synovial membrane (distant from the site of the original surgery) were collected, placed into sterile tubes (for RNA extraction) or embedded in optimal cutting temperature medium (OCT; Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA) (for histology), frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C until processed for further analysis.

Histology and cellularity measurements

OCT-embedded synovium was cut at 6 Km and stained with hematoxylin & eosin (MassHistology, Worcester, MA). Photomicrographs of the entire biopsy (intimal and subintimal tissue) were obtained in a scanning pattern and merged using the Photomerge tool of Adobe Photoshop CS5 Extended Version 12.0.4 (Adobe Systems, San Jose CA, USA). The area ratio occupied by nuclei within the total tissue area of the biopsy was used as a measure of total cellularity throughout the whole biopsy (ImageJ 64-bit version 1.48, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Based on the automated measurements the synovial lining and stromal areas representing the highest cellularity of the respective biopsy were selected to manually distinguish cell types. According to their histological morphology, cell types were differentiated into mononuclear leukocytes (MNL), fibroblast-like synoviocytes (FLS) and polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNL) by an experienced reader blinded to the group allocation of the samples (MHW). Cell count was normalized on the tissue area and 0.307 mm², subsequently referred to as high power field (HPF). A

semiquantitative synovitis scoring system was used to assess the degree of intimal hyperplasia, stromal cellularity and inflammatory infiltration (each parameter from 0-absent to 3-strong) throughout the biopsy.[23]

Synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C short concentration

Synovial fluid samples were available for all surgical knees and 5 out of 6 of the INTACT group, due to the limited fluid volume in healthy joints. Samples were centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min to pellet cells and the supernatant was stored at -80°C. After thawing, fluids were diluted 1:3 in the assay buffer of the COL-2 3/4C short ELISA kit (60-1002-001, IBEX, Montreal, Canada) and then processed according to the manufacturers protocol.

RNA extraction and preparation for sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from the synovial membranes through homogenization, phenolchloroform separation and on-column purification using the PureLinkTM RNA Mini Kit (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA). RNA samples were treated with DNAse I (PureLinkTM DNase Set, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and assessed with the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The samples were then enriched for polyA+ messenger RNA, reverse transcribed with random hexamers, ligated with indexed adapters and amplified with 15 cycles of PCR using the TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Following the removal of primer-dimers with magnetic beadbased purification, samples were pooled and sequenced with 8 libraries per lane on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 machine with 50 basepair paired-end reads (Partners Healthcare, Cambridge, MA).

RNA-seq data analysis

Raw reads were mapped to the pig genome (Susscr3, released Aug. 2011) with RUM.[24] Reads uniquely aligned to the exons of each gene were counted with a custom R script,[25] that utilizes Rsamtools,[26] and GenomicFeatures packages,[27] and used for differential expression analysis with edgeR.[28] Additionally, reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads (RPKM) were calculated. The p-values calculated in the differential expression analysis were corrected for transcriptome-wide testing using a Benjamini and Hochberg approach.[29] As additional measure, p-values had to remain < 0.05 during a leave-one-out cross validation and expression levels had to be > 5 RPKM in at least one of the compared groups to be considered significant.[25] For each of comparison, the differentially expressed genes were used to analyze the enrichment of specific pathways using the process network ontology of the MetaCore bioinformatics suite (Thomson & Reuters, New York City, NY, USA). Pathways were considered as significantly enriched when the adjusted p-values (false discovery rate) were < 0.05.

Monocyte, macrophage and lymphocyte markers

A specific set of genes including markers of monocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes and their function was analyzed in detail (Table 1). Monocyte surface markers and molecules involved in their trafficking were used as summarized by Shi and Pamer.[30] Commonly used macrophage surface markers as summarized by Murray and Wynn and their proposed

combinatorial marker systems for the phenotyping of activated macrophages were included. [31] Lymphocyte markers included commonly used T-, B- and natural killer cell surface markers. Further, additional marker genes related to macrophage function in inflammation and wound healing as described by Murray and Wynn,[31] including the matrix metalloproteinase encoding *MMP1–MMP15* were assessed (Table 2).

Statistical analysis

Total synovial membrane cellularity and synovial fluid concentration of COL-2 3/4C short neoepitope bearing collagen fragments at 14 days post-injury were the primary endpoints and compared between ACLT, STEROID and INTACT. The significance of differences was determined using a one-way analysis of variance with subsequent Tukey post-hoc test to correct for the comparison of multiple groups. Similarly, the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test was used for ordinal variables, specifically the synovitis score and its subscales. Adjusted p-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

The association of histological parameters and marker gene expression levels with the synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C concentration level was determined using linear regression analysis. Only the parameters and markers that were significantly different in at least one comparison of the three groups were included. Associations with p < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. All analyses were performed in R version 3.1.0.

RESULTS

Synovial membrane total cellularity and COL-2 3/4C short fragments

The area occupied by nuclei throughout the synovial membrane biopsy (intima and stroma) increased after ACL transection from 13% (95% confidence interval of 9–16) to 21% (16–27) in INTACT and ACLT, respectively (p = 0.02). The mean total cellularity in STEROID and corresponding confidence intervals, were in between ACLT and INTACT mean values at 17% (15–18). However, these differences did not reach statistical significance after correcting for multiple comparisons (p=0.26 and 0.40, respectively; Figure 1A).

The synovial fluid concentration of COL-2 3/4C short collagen fragments doubled after ACL transection from 0.24 Kg/ml (0.08–0.39) to 0.49 Kg/ml (0.39–0.59) in INTACT and ACLT, respectively (p=0.02). Compared to ACLT, the COL-2 3/4C short collagen fragment concentrations were significantly reduced to 0.29 Kg/ml (0.23–0.35, p=0.04). STEROID was considered similar to the INTACT group (p=0.78) (Figure 1A).

The changes in total cellularity only explained a small portion of the variance in COL-2 3/4C short levels (R²=0.09, p=0.24). We thus utilized a functional genomics approach to characterize the early synovial response to injury and the association of cellular and molecular processes with the extent of intraarticular collagen degradation.

Transcriptome-wide differential expression and pathway analysis

255 protein-coding transcripts were differentially expressed in the comparison of ACLT with INTACT (Supplementary Table 1), and 33 protein-coding transcripts were differentially expressed in the comparison of STEROID with ACLT (Supplementary Table 2). These genes

mainly enriched pathways related to cellular immune response in both comparisons, while proteolysis and angiogenesis were only enriched in the comparison of ACLT with INTACT

Cell type specific changes in synovial membrane cellularity

(Supplementary Table 3).

From each synovial membrane sample, we selected lining and stromal areas that demonstrated the highest measured total cellularity, and counted individual cell types based on their (nuclear) morphology. MNL were more abundant than FLS, and the latter more abundant than PMNL (Figure 2A and B). The number of MNL per HPF was increased from 680 (439–920) in INTACT to 939 (560–1317) in ACLT lining and from 431 (203–660) to 697 (332–1062) in the stroma. However, a considerable variance in MNL count after ACL transection was observed and the increase was thus not considered statistically significant in both regions (p= 0.41 and p=0.37, respectively). Compared to ACLT, the number of MNL per HPF decreased significantly to 379 (217–540, p=0.03) in STEROID lining and decreased non-significantly to 380 (224–537) in the stroma (p=0.25); values slightly, but not significantly, below INTACT (p=0.31, p=0.96, respectively). No significant differences were observed in the number of FLS and PMNL per HPF in synoval lining and stroma (Figure 2B; Supplementary Table 4).

Synovial expression of monocyte, macrophage and lymphocyte marker genes

While 11 of 16 monocyte markers (69%) and 15 of 24 macrophage markers (63%) were expressed at a substantial level, defined by > 5 RPKM in one or more of the groups, only 1 of 10 lymphocyte markers (10%) was expressed above this threshold (Figure 2C). The transcripts with the highest mean abundances were encoding *ITGAM* (78.6 RPKM) amongst the monocyte markers, *LGALS3* (203.3 RPKM) amongst the macrophage markers and *CD4* (6.8 RPKM) amongst the lymphocyte markers in the ACLT group. In STEROID, *PECAM1* (68.1 RPKM), *CHI3L1* (279.9 RPKM) and *CD4* (3.1 RPKM) were the most abundant transcripts of the assessed subsets, respectively (Table 1). Amongst the monocyte markers, all of 3 monocyte surface markers (100%), 3 of 8 monocyte chemokine receptors (38%), and all of 5 monocyte adhesion molecules (100%) were substantially expressed. Amongst the macrophage markers, 5 of 6 macrophage surface markers (83%), 3 of 6 markers of M1 activation (50%), 5 of 9 markers of M2 activation (56%) and 2 of 3 context-dependent markers of macrophage activation (67%) were substantially expressed (Table 1).

Further, the differential expression of these markers between groups was investigated. Out of the 50 assessed monocyte, macrophage and lymphocyte marker genes (Table 1), eight were significantly differentially expressed in at least one of the comparisons (all included in Figure 3A). In the comparison of ACLT with INTACT, six of these genes were significantly upregulated in the ACLT group, and none were significantly downregulated. Specifically, the monocyte chemokine receptors, *CX3CR1* and *CCR1*, were upregulated by 5-fold (p<0.001) and 3-fold (p=0.004) and the macrophage marker *IL4R* was upregulated 4-fold (p<0.001).

Further, *MARCO* and *SOCS3*, both markers of an M1 activation state (both 7-fold, p<0.001), as well as the M2 activation marker *CHI3L1* (4-fold, p=0.001) were upregulated in the ACLT group. In the comparison of STEROID with INTACT, five of these genes were

significantly upregulated in the STEROID group and none were downregulated. Specifically, an upregulation of the monocyte surface marker *CD14* was observed (5-fold, p<0.001), while the macrophage marker *IL4R* was upregulated 5-fold (p<0.001) to a similar level as in ACLT. Further, *CHI3L1* and *CCL24*, two markers of an M2 activation state (8-fold and 5-fold, both p<0.001), and the M1 activation marker *SOCS3* (6-fold, p<0.001) were upregulated in the STEROID group. However, in the direct comparison of STEROID with ACLT, only *CX3CR1* was significantly differentially expressed out of the assessed subset of marker genes (Downregulated to 22% of the post-injury expression levels in STEROID vs. ACLT, p<0.001).

Synovial expression of marker genes related to macrophage function in inflammation and wound healing

Out of the 19 assessed genes that are typically associated with macrophage function in inflammation and wound healing (Table 2), 5 were significantly differentially expressed in at least one of the comparisons (all included in Figure 3A). In the comparison of ACLT with INTACT, 3 of these genes were significantly upregulated and none were downregulated. Specifically, the metalloproteinase encoding *MMP1* (9-fold, p<0.001) and *MMP2* (4-fold, p<0.001), as well as the protease inhibitor *TIMP1* were upregulated (3-fold, p<0.001). In the comparison of STEROID with INTACT, 5 genes of this subset were significantly upregulated, encompassing *MMP1* (7-fold), *MMP2* (4-fold), *MMP3* (4-fold), *MMP8* (5-fold, all p<0.001) and *TIMP1* (3-fold, p=0.001), while none were downregulated. Surprisingly, in the direct comparison of STEROID with ACLT, the metalloproteinase encoding *MMP8* was significantly upregulated by 6-fold in STEROID (p<0.001).

Association of marker gene expression and histological measures with synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C short

Amongst the two histological outcome measures that detected significant differences between the groups, none was significantly associated with the synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C short fragment concentration. Specifically, associations of total cellularity (R^2 =0.09, p=0.244) and number of MNL per HPF of synovial lining (R^2 =0.10, p=0.210) only explained small parts of the variance observed in the fluid fragment levels.

In contrast, amongst the 13 differentially expressed marker genes of monocytes, macrophages and their function, 5 were significantly associated with the synovial fluid COL- 2 3/4C short fragment levels (all positively correlated). The strongest associations were observed for *MARCO* (R²=0.35, p=0.012) and *SOCS3* (R²=0.34, p=0.014), both previously described as markers of an M1 macrophage activation state.[31] Further, the monocyte chemokine receptor *CCR1* (R²=0.25, p=0.039), the cytokine receptor *IL4R* (R²=0.25, p=0.043) and the metalloproteinase encoding *MMP2* (R²=0.24, p=0.048) were also significantly associated with the synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C short levels (Figure 3B).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that early intraarticular therapy with triamcinolone acetonide after joint injury entirely mitigates the injury-induced increase in synovial fluid collagen

fragments in a preclinical model, resulting in values similar to those observed in healthy control animals, although the concomitant mitigating effect on the injury-induced increase in synovial total cellularity was smaller and did not reach the predefined level of statistical significance. As this study was based on the assumption that an early post-injury synovitis contributes to the intraarticular collagen degradation, we investigated the relationship between the total cellularity in the synovial membrane and the collagen fragment levels in the synovial fluid. We found that it only explained a small portion of the variance in COL-2 3/4C short levels (R²=0.09), underlining that an improved understanding of the response to injury is needed.

The synovial response to ACL transection

To better characterize the cellular and molecular processes in the early response of the synovial membrane to injury, we utilized a functional genomics approach. Using RNA-seq, we obtained transcriptome-wide expression data and detected 255 differentially expressed protein-coding transcripts in synovium obtained from untreated ACL transected and healthy joints, and 33 transcripts in synovium obtained from corticosteroid treated and non-treated ACL transected joints. Due to their predominance amongst all transcriptome-wide changes, we further investigated pathways related to the cellular immune response and proteolysis, pathways that appear upstream of our measured primary outcomes. Using standard histology, we found MNL as the predominant cell type in synovial areas of high cellularity and further analyzed specific sets of genes (Table 1 and 2) to distinguish between cells that share this nuclear morphology. We detected that 69% and 63% of our monocyte and macrophage markers were expressed at a substantial level, defined by > 5 RPKM in one or more of the groups, while only 10% of lymphocyte markers were expressed above this threshold (corresponding to one marker, CD4). Combined with the differential expression observed after untreated ACL transection in these gene sets, we propose that the early synovial response to injury induces an M1 macrophage-driven synovitis. This process includes an increased trafficking and migration of monocytes into the synovial membrane (upregulated chemokine receptors CX3CR1 and CCR1, both previously described to be involved in monocyte trafficking),[30] resulting in an increased presence of macrophages (upregulated cytokine receptor *IL4R*, previously described to be expressed by macrophages) predominantly in the M1 activation state (upregulated M1 activation markers MARCO and SOCS3.[31] Further, the protease expression (upregulated MMP1 and MMP2) indicate a pro-inflammatory function of macrophages. Simultaneously, the increased expression of one M2 activation marker (upregulated CHI3L1) and metalloproteinase inhibitor (upregulated *TIMP1*) suggests an initiation of a regulatory function in some of the present macrophages. Previous reports using a dog ACL transection model of post-traumatic osteoarthritis demonstrated definite MNL infiltration that remained constant throughout 3, 5 and 54 months post-injury, while no infiltration by PMNL was observed. [32,33] In combination with our findings, this suggests that mononuclear infiltration of the synovium is a process that is initiated very early after injury and remains present throughout the entire development of post-traumatic osteoarthritis. Although the role of macrophages in osteoarthritis is only sparsely described, some reports suggest a causal role of macrophages in various features of osteoarthritis, such as osteophyte development and extracellular matrix catabolism.[34, 35, 36] Thus, the migration and activation of macrophages in the synovial membrane might

contribute to the pathogenesis of post-traumatic osteoarthritis. As it is an early feature of the porcine ACL transection model, it appears as a suitable model to further study this phenomenon and the effect of immunosuppressive therapies.

The effect of triamcinolone on synovitis

In response to triamcinolone, the number of MNL per HPF of synovial lining and the expression of the monocyte chemokine receptor CX3CR1 was significantly reduced compared to the untreated ACL transection. However, the observed effect on the number of MNL in the synovial stroma and the total cellularity (measured throughout the whole biopsy) was smaller and did not reach the level of statistical significance. Further the general macrophage marker IL4R was unaffected, suggesting that there were no substantial changes in the abundance of macrophages. However, markers for the activation state of macrophages were modulated to some degree. In later stage OA, synovial macrophages express markers indicative of both M1 (CD86, Tumor necrosis factor-alpha, inducible nitric oxide synthase) and M2 (CD206, Interleukin-10 and Transforming growth factor-beta) activation.[37,38] In general, it is thought that M1 macrophages perpetuate inflammation and proteolysis and that M2 macrophages have anti-inflammatory function and regulate wound healing.[31] Thus, a conversion from M1 to M2 macrophages may move the synovium towards an antiinflammatory function. Here we observed that, in comparison to the healthy samples, two M1 macrophage markers were upregulated in the untreated ACL transection group (SOCS3 and MARCO), while only SOCS3 was significantly upregulated after corticosteroid treatment. Further, only one M2 macrophage marker (CHI3L1) was significantly upregulated in the untreated ACL transection groups, while two (CHI3L1 and CCL24) were significantly upregulated after corticosteroid treatment. However, the observed differences were not statistically significant in the direct comparison of corticosteroid treated with untreated ACL transection, and thus require further study.

The effect of triamcinolone on collagen degradation and structural progression

In response to triamcinolone, the level of synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C short fragments was significantly reduced at 14 days post-injury. While this experiment was designed to evaluate the early effects of an immediate post-injury corticosteroid injection, previous studies analyzed the effect of a long-term systemic administration of corticosteroid on the structural progression of post-traumatic osteoarthritis. While high doses of oral prednisone (0.3 mg/kg/ day) protected against the development of osteophytes and cartilage lesions after ACL transection in dogs, lower doses (0.1 mg/kg/day) administered troughout 12 weeks postinjury did not modulate synovial inflammation or protect against structural progression. [39,40] Similar to high dose oral prednisone, the intraarticular injection of 5 mg triamcinolone hexacetonide immediately and 4 weeks post-injury protected against structural post-traumatic ostearthritis in the Pond-Nuki modell at 8 weeks.[39] In summary of these preclinical experiments, corticosteroids appear to prevent degradation of cartilage extracellular matrix (measured directly or by associated synovial fluid biomarkers) after surgical injury to the joint capsule and anterior cruciate ligament, if a sufficient local dose is achieved. We expected that this effect is mediated by a reduced synovial expression of proteases and assessed MMP1-MMP15 and other markers of macrophage function in inflammation and wound healing (Table 2). Unexpectedly, the corticosteroid treatment did

not reduce the injury induced expression of *MMP1* and *MMP2*, but further increased protease expression including a significant upregulation of *MMP8* in comparison to the untreated ACL transection group. Thus, the observed changes in synovial membrane protease expression do not intuitively explain the changes in synovial fluid collagen fragment levels.

The association of cellular and molecular outcomes with collagen degradation

To identify cellular and molecular changes that are strongly associated with the extent of collagen degradation in this early post-injury stage, we performed linear regression analysis to detect the association between the assessed histological outcomes and marker gene expression levels with the synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C short concentration, and found that the expression of MARCO, SOCS3, CCR1, IL4R and MMP2 were significantly positively associated with the fragment level. Further, the expression of these marker genes ($R^2=0.35$ -0.24) better predicted the synovial fluid fragment concentration than histological measures of cellularity ($R^2=0.10-0.09$). This strategy appears promising to delineate those processes within the early synovial response to injury that are associated with and could possibly contribute to the permanent destruction of the collagenous architecture of articular cartilage. It would be expected that some of these genes have previously been described to be implicated in osteoarthritis, and indeed those reports exist for MMP2,[42, 43] CCR1,[44] and IL4R.[45] MMP2 expression levels in human synovial membranes were associated with the clinical stage of osteoarthritis, [41] and upregulated after destabilization of the medial meniscus in mice.[42] CCR1 is abundantly expressed in synovial membranes of patients with osteoarthritis, [43] and was previously described to be involved in the recruitment of monocytes into inflamed tissues.[30] IL4R encodes Interleukin-4 receptor subunit alpha [IL-4RA], which is further cleaved into soluble IL-4RA. Soluble IL-4RA is present in elevated concentrations in the serum of knee osteoarthritis patients.[44] Interestingly, the 5 genes significantly associated with the synovial fluid level of cartilage fragments included one chemokine receptor involved in monocyte recruitment (CCR1),[30] one cytokine receptor of general macrophages (IL4R) and two markers of an M1 macrophage activation state (MARCO, SOCS3).[31] We further report that the respective genes were increasingly expressed in the synovial membrane in response to joint injury. However, it is yet unclear whether the identified genes have a causal role in osteoarthritis.

In conclusion, the immediate intraarticular corticosteroid administration mitigated the injury-induced increase of synovial fluid COL2 3/4C short levels in a preclinical model. Amongst cellular and molecular measures related to synovitis, markers of monocyte recruitment and M1 macrophage activation were significantly associated with the collagen fragment levels and were more predictive than histological measures of synovial cellularity.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

The authors thank the ARCH staff, Arthur Nedder, Kathryn Donovan, Dana Bolgen and Courtney White, for their assistance and care in handling the minipigs. Further we want to thank Elise Magarian, Ryu Yoshida, Patrick Vavken and Brian Kelly for their engagement in surgery and tissue collection. We thank Matthew Warman for his contributions to the experimental design, Carla Haslauer for her contributions to the experimental design, tissue harvesting and processing and Andre Steinert for his contributions to the histological assessment of synovitis. Bioanalyzer analysis was performed in the BCH IDDRC Molecular Genetic Core that is supported by National Institutes of Health award NIH-P30-HD 18655. This investigation was supported by the National Institutes of Health under NIAMS AR054099, AR056834 and the Boston Children's Hospital Translational Research Program.

References

- Øiestad BE, Holm I, Aune AK, Gunderson R, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, et al. Knee Function and Prevalence of Knee Osteoarthritis After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction A Prospective Study With 10 to 15 Years of Follow-up. Am J Sports Med. 2010; 38:2201–2210. [PubMed: 20713644]
- Lohmander LS, Östenberg A, Englund M, Roos H. High prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, pain, and functional limitations in female soccer players twelve years after anterior cruciate ligament injury. Arthritis & Rheumatism. 2004; 50:3145–3152. [PubMed: 15476248]
- 3. von Porat A, Roos EM, Roos H. High prevalence of osteoarthritis 14 years after an anterior cruciate ligament tear in male soccer players: a study of radiographic and patient relevant outcomes. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004; 63:269–273. [PubMed: 14962961]
- Lohmander LS, Englund PM, Dahl LL, Roos EM. The Long-term Consequence of Anterior Cruciate Ligament and Meniscus Injuries Osteoarthritis. Am J Sports Med. 2007; 35:1756–1769. [PubMed: 17761605]
- Brophy RH, Gray BL, Nunley RM, Barrack RL, Clohisy JC. Total knee arthroplasty after previous knee surgery: expected interval and the effect on patient age. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014; 96:801– 805. [PubMed: 24875020]
- Kraus VB, Burnett B, Coindreau J, Cottrell S, Eyre D, Gendreau M, et al. Application of biomarkers in the development of drugs intended for the treatment of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage. 2011; 19:515–542. [PubMed: 21396468]
- Wen C, Lohmander LS. Osteoarthritis: Does post-injury ACL reconstruction prevent future OA? Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2014; 10:577–578. [PubMed: 25048761]
- 8. Conaghan PG, Kloppenburg M, Schett G, Bijlsma JWJ. Osteoarthritis research priorities: a report from a EULAR ad hoc expert committee. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014 annrheumdis–2013–204660.
- 9. Sellam J, Berenbaum F. The role of synovitis in pathophysiology and clinical symptoms of osteoarthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2010; 6:625–635. [PubMed: 20924410]
- Scanzello CR, Goldring SR. The role of synovitis in osteoarthritis pathogenesis. Bone. 2012; 51:249–257. [PubMed: 22387238]
- Ayral X, Pickering EH, Woodworth TG, Mackillop N, Dougados M. Synovitis: a potential predictive factor of structural progression of medial tibiofemoral knee osteoarthritis – results of a 1 year longitudinal arthroscopic study in 422 patients. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage. 2005; 13:361– 367. [PubMed: 15882559]
- 12. Murphy G, Nagase H. Reappraising metalloproteinases in rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis: destruction or repair? Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol. 2008; 4:128–135. [PubMed: 18253109]
- Bigoni M, Sacerdote P, Turati M, Franchi S, Gandolla M, Gaddi D, et al. Acute and late changes in intraarticular cytokine levels following anterior cruciate ligament injury. Journal of Orthopaedic Research. 2013; 31:315–321. [PubMed: 22886741]
- Irie K, Uchiyama E, Iwaso H. Intraarticular inflammatory cytokines in acute anterior cruciate ligament injured knee. The Knee. 2003; 10:93–96. [PubMed: 12649034]
- Cuellar VG, Cuellar JM, Golish SR, Yeomans DC, Scuderi GJ. Cytokine Profiling in Acute Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2010; 26:1296–1301. [PubMed: 20887928]

- Marks PH, Donaldson MLC. Inflammatory Cytokine Profiles Associated With Chondral Damage in the Anterior Cruciate Ligament–Deficient Knee. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2005; 21:1342–1347. [PubMed: 16325085]
- Troeberg L, Nagase H. Proteases involved in cartilage matrix degradation in osteoarthritis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics. 2012; 1824:133–145. [PubMed: 21777704]
- Catterall JB, Stabler TV, Flannery CR, Kraus VB. Changes in serum and synovial fluid biomarkers after acute injury (NCT00332254). Arthritis Research & Therapy. 2010; 12:R229. [PubMed: 21194441]
- Kraus VB, Birmingham J, Stabler TV, Feng S, Taylor DC, Moorman CT 3rd, et al. Effects of intraarticular IL1-Ra for acute anterior cruciate ligament knee injury: a randomized controlled pilot trial (NCT00332254). Osteoarthr Cartil. 2012; 20:271–278. [PubMed: 22273632]
- Murray MM, Fleming BC. Use of a Bioactive Scaffold to Stimulate Anterior Cruciate Ligament Healing Also Minimizes Posttraumatic Osteoarthritis After Surgery. Am J Sports Med. 2013; 41:1762–1770. [PubMed: 23857883]
- Haslauer CM, Proffen BL, Johnson VM, Hill A, Murray MM. Gene expression of catabolic inflammatory cytokines peak before anabolic inflammatory cytokines after ACL injury in a preclinical model. J Inflamm. 2014; 11:34.
- 22. Haslauer CM, Elsaid KA, Fleming BC, Proffen BL, Johnson VM, Murray MM. Loss of extracellular matrix from articular cartilage is mediated by the synovium and ligament after anterior cruciate ligament injury. Osteoarthr and Cartil. 2013; 21:1950–1957.
- Krenn V, Morawietz L, Burmester GR, Kinne RW, Mueller-Ladner U, Muller B, et al. Synovitis score: discrimination between chronic low-grade and high-grade synovitis. Histopathology. 2006; 49:358–364. [PubMed: 16978198]
- Grant GR, Farkas MH, Pizarro AD, Lahens NF, Schug J, Brunk BP, et al. Comparative analysis of RNA-Seq alignment algorithms and the RNA-Seq unified mapper (RUM). Bioinformatics. 2011; 27:2518–2528. [PubMed: 21775302]
- 25. Ayturk UM, Jacobsen CM, Christodoulou DC, Gorham J, Seidman JG, Seidman CE, et al. An RNA-seq protocol to identify mRNA expression changes in mouse diaphyseal bone: Applications in mice with bone property altering Lrp5 mutations. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research. 2013; 28:2081–2093. [PubMed: 23553928]
- 26. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:2078–2079. [PubMed: 19505943]
- 27. Carlson, M.; Aboyoup, P.; Falcon, S.; Morgan, M.; Sarkar, D.; Lawrence, M. R package version 1.8.3. GenomicFeatures: Tools for making and manipulating transcript centric annotations.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26:139–140. [PubMed: 19910308]
- 29. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. 1995; 57:289–300.
- Shi C, Pamer EG. Monocyte recruitment during infection and inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2011; 11:762–774. [PubMed: 21984070]
- Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol. 2011; 11:723–737. [PubMed: 21997792]
- Myers SL, Brandt KD, O'Connor BL, Visco DM, Albrecht ME. Synovitis and osteoarthritic changes in canine articular cartilage after anterior cruciate ligament transection. Effect of surgical hemostasis. Arthritis Rheum. 1990; 33:1406–1415. [PubMed: 2403403]
- Brandt KD, Myers SL, Burr D, Albrecht M. Osteoarthritic changes in canine articular cartilage, subchondral bone, and synovium fifty-four months after transection of the anterior cruciate ligament. Arthritis Rheum. 1991; 34:1560–1570. [PubMed: 1747141]
- 34. Blom AB, van Lent PLEM, Holthuysen AEM, van der Kraan PM, Roth J, van Rooijen N, et al. Synovial lining macrophages mediate osteophyte formation during experimental osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2004; 12:627–635. [PubMed: 15262242]

- 35. van Lent PLEM, Blom AB, van der Kraan P, Holthuysen AEM, Vitters E, van Rooijen N, et al. Crucial role of synovial lining macrophages in the promotion of transforming growth factor betamediated osteophyte formation. Arthritis Rheum. 2004; 50:103–111. [PubMed: 14730606]
- 36. Bondeson J, Wainwright SD, Lauder S, Amos N, Hughes CE. The role of synovial macrophages and macrophage-produced cytokines in driving aggrecanases, matrix metalloproteinases, and other destructive and inflammatory responses in osteoarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2006; 8:R187. [PubMed: 17177994]
- Tsuneyoshi Y, Tanaka M, Nagai T, Sunahara N, Matsuda T, Sonoda T, et al. Functional folate receptor beta-expressing macrophages in osteoarthritis synovium and their M1/M2 expression profiles. Scand J Rheumatol. 2012; 41:132–140. [PubMed: 22211358]
- Fahy N, de Vries-van Melle ML, Lehmann J, Wei W, Grotenhuis N, Farrell E, et al. Human osteoarthritic synovium impacts chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells via macrophage polarisation state. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage. 2014; 22:1167–1175. [PubMed: 24911520]
- Pelletier JP, Martel-Pelletier J. Protective effects of corticosteroids on cartilage lesions and osteophyte formation in the pond-nuki dog model of osteoarthritis. Arthritis & Rheumatism. 1989; 32:181–193. [PubMed: 2920053]
- Myers SL, Brandt KD, O'Connor BL. Low dose prednisone treatment does not reduce the severity of osteoarthritis in dogs after anterior cruciate ligament transection. J Rheumatol. 1991; 18:1856– 1862. [PubMed: 1795325]
- Yang C-C, Lin C-Y, Wang H-S, Lyu S-R. Matrix Metalloproteases and Tissue Inhibitors of Metalloproteinases in Medial Plica and Pannus-like Tissue Contribute to Knee Osteoarthritis Progression. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8:e79662. [PubMed: 24223987]
- 42. Loeser RF, Olex AL, McNulty MA, Carlson CS, Callahan MF, Ferguson CM, et al. Microarray analysis reveals age-related differences in gene expression during the development of osteoarthritis in mice. Arthritis & Rheumatism. 2012; 64:705–717. [PubMed: 21972019]
- 43. Haringman JJ, Smeets TJM, Reinders-Blankert P, Tak PP. Chemokine and chemokine receptor expression in paired peripheral blood mononuclear cells and synovial tissue of patients with rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, and reactive arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2006; 65:294–300. [PubMed: 16107514]
- 44. Silvestri T, Pulsatelli L, Dolzani P, Facchini A, Meliconi R. Elevated serum levels of soluble interleukin-4 receptor in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage. 2006; 14:717–719. [PubMed: 16647277]



Figure 1.

(A) Effects of ACL transection and intraarticular triamcinolone administration on synovial membrane total cellularity and synovial fluid COL-2 3/4C short concentration. Dots represent individual samples, horizontal lines group means, and vertical bars 95% confidence intervals. Total cellularity and COL-2 3/4C short levels were significantly increased after untreated ACL transection. Corticosteroid injection mitigated the injury-induced increase in COL-2 3/4C short fragment levels. (**B**) Synovitis sum score and subscores. Subscores range from 0-absent to 3-strong. Horizontal lines depict medians, boxes 25^{th} – 75^{th} percentiles and whiskers ranges. Significant group differences (adjusted p<0.05) are indicated by *.



Figure 2.

Cell type specific changes in synovial membrane biopsies. (A) Using a hematoxylin-eosin stained section of each biopsy, synovial lining and stromal areas with the highest total cellularity were identified and used to manually count the number of mononuclear leukocytes [MNL], fibroblast-like synoviocytes [FLS] and polymorphonuclear leukocytes [PMNL]. Photomicrographs correspond to the median MNL count per high power field [MNL / HPF] for each group. Bars represent 100 Km. MNL were more abundant than FLS and the latter were more abundant than PMNL in synovial areas of high cellularity. (B) Number of MNL, FLS or PMNL per HPF. Dots represent individual samples, horizontal lines group means, and vertical bars 95% confidence intervals. Significant group differences (adjusted p<0.05) are indicated by *. (C) Gene expression profiles of synovial membrane biopsies. Abundance of transcripts encoding markers of monocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes and their function is encoded by color using a logarithmic scale. Black indicates absence of detectable expression, pink corresponds to 2500 reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads [RPKM]. Of note, lymphocyte marker gene expression is almost absent, indicating that monocytes and macrophages are the predominant MNLs in homeostatic and post-injury synovial membrane biopsies.



Figure 3.

Synovial marker gene expression and association with COL-2 3/4C short levels. (**A**) Amongst the assessed set of 69 markers of monocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes and their function 13 were differentially expressed in at least one comparison of the three groups. ACL transection induced an upregulation of monocyte (*CX3CR1, CCR1*) and macrophage surface receptors (*IL4R*), M1 (*SOCS3* and *MARCO*) and M2 (*CHI3L1*) macrophage markers, as well as proteases (*MMP1, MMP2*) and a protease inhibitor (*TIMP1*) when compared to uninjured controls, indicated by *. Corticosteroid administration resulted in significant downregulation of *CX3CR1* and significant upregulation of *MMP8* when compared to untreated ACL transection, indicated by #. Bars represent mean fold-changes relative to intact controls, error bars indicate standard errors of the means. (**B**) Amongst these genes, *MARCO, SOCS3, CCR1, IL4R* and *MMP2* were significantly associated with the synovial fluid concentration of COL-2 3/4C short fragment levels. X-axis depicts the reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads [RPKM], dotted lines depict 95% confidence intervals of regressed lines.

genes
marker
hocyte
l lympl
anc
macrophage
f monocyte,
lo u
expression
Synovial

Mada terres		Ductofic	TOATM	11.D.V	CTCCC212
Marker type	Celle sylliou	Frouein	INIACI	AULI	SIEKUID
			mean RPK	ΧM	
	ITGAM	CD11b or Integrin alpha-M	41.6	78.6	56.9
Monocyte surface	CSFIR	CD115 or Macrophage colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor	5.6	10.7	13.9
	CD14	CD14, Monocyte differentiation antigen CD14	4.0	9.5	19.4 *
	CCRI	CCR-1, C-C chemokine receptor type 1	14.8	49.9 *	31.1
	CCR5	CCR-5, C-C chemokine receptor type 5	25.8	39.3	24.3
	CX3CRI	CX3CR1, CX3C chemokine receptor 1	4.6	23.7 *	5.7 #
Monocyte chemokine recentors	CCR6	CCR-6, C-C chemokine receptor type 6	0.6	1.1	1.5
	CCR2	CCR-2, C-C chemokine receptor type 2	0.5	1.1	1.3
	CCR8	CCR-8. C-C chemokine receptor type 8	0.2	0.1	0.1
	CCR7	CCR-7, C-C chemokine receptor type 7	0.1	0.1	0.1
		Pig CXCR2 orthologue (ENSSSCG0000016183)	0.1	0.0	1.0
	PECAMI	Platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule	<i>TT.</i> 2	74.9	68.1
	SELPLG	PSGL-1, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1	17.0	48.3	44.1
Monocyte adhesion molecules	SELL	L-Selectin	27.0	14.5	18.5
	ITGA4	Integrin alpha-4 or VLA-4 subunit alpha	6.4	9.5	6.8
	ITGAL	LFA1-1A or Integrin alpha-L	4.6	5.2	3.9
	LGALS3	MAC2 or Galectin-3	183.4	203.3	247.6
	EMRI	F4/80 or Adhesion G protein-coupled receptor E1	116.2	147.1	119.8
	ITGAX	CD11c or Integrin alpha-X	57.0	71.7	41.2
Macrophage surface	CD68	Macrosialin	42.0	66.7	113.7
	IL4R	IL4-RA, Interleukin-4 receptor subunit alpha	5.7	$26.0 \ ^{*}$	25.4 *
	CD163	CD163 or Scavenger receptor cysteine-rich type 1 protein M130	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARCO	Macrophage receptor MARCO	8.9	63.4 *	29.0
	IDOI	IDO-1, Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1	36.1	25.2	11.5
Macrophage M1 activation	SOCS3	SOCS-3, Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3	3.5	24.3 *	19.5 *
	NOS2	iNOS or Nitric oxide synthase, inducible	0.6	0.6	0.4

Author
Mar
JUSC
ript

Author	
Manuscrip ⁻	
-	

Au	
thor	
Ma	
nus	
cript	

Image $PTGS2$ COX-2 or Prostaglandin G/H synthase 20.6 $TTGS2$ COX-2 or Prostaglandin G/H synthase 20.6 $L12B$ LL-12B, Interleukin-12 suburit beta0.0 $CH13LI$ Chrimase 3-like protein 134.7 $KL74$ Kruepel-like factor 42.7 $CC24$ C-C motif chemokine 242.3 $CC24$ C-C motif chemokine 242.3 $CC24$ C-C motif chemokine 242.3 $RC22$ SOCS2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 23.6 $CC24$ C-C motif chemokine 242.3 $RC213$ C-X-C motif chemokine 241.6 $CH24$ AMCase, Acidic mammalian chrimase1.6 $RE7ALIB$ Restin-like ben, pig <i>Renta</i> orthologue1.6 $RE7ALIB$ Restin-like ben, pig <i>Renta</i> orthologue0.1 $RE7ALIBRestin-like ben, pig Renta orthologue0.1RE7ALIBRestin-like ben, pig Renta orthologue0.1RA4Interfeuor regulatory factor 40.1RA4Interfeuor regulatory factor 40.1RA4Restin-like ben, pig Renta orthologue0.1RA4Restin-like ben, pig Renta orthologue0.1RA4Restin-like ben, pig$	Marker type	Gene symbol	Protein	INTACT	ACLT	STEROID
PTGS2COX-2 or Prostaglandin G/H synthase 200 $II.12B$ IL-12B, Interleukin-12 subunit beta00 $II.12B$ $II12B$, Interleukin-12 subunit beta00 $CHB1L$ Chtinase 3-like protein 134.7 $KIP4$ Kueppel-like factor 427.2 $KIP4$ Kueppel-like factor 427.3 $KIP4$ C.C mitchemokine 2427.0 $SOC32$ SOC5-2, Suppresor of cytokine signaling 223.9 $SOC32$ SOC5-2, Suppresor of cytokine signaling 223.9 $Macrophage M2 activationCXCIJ3C.X.C molit chemokine 1327.7Macrophage M2 activationCXCIJ3C.X.C molit chemokine 1323.9Macrophage M2 activationCXCIJ3C.X.C molit chemokine 1323.9Macrophage M2 activationCXCIJ3C.X.C molit chemokine 1323.9Macrophage Context-dependentLIIOMacrophage manose receptor 1103.3Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIOLIIO24.6Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIOLIIO23.9Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIOLIIO23.9Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIO20.420.4Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIO20.4Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIO20.4Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIO20.4Macrophage context-dependentLIIOLIIO20.4Macrophage context-de$				mean RPK	W	
L12B $L-12B$, Interleukin-12 subunit beta00 $CH3L1$ Chrimase 3-like protein 134.7 $CH3L1$ Chrimase 3-like protein 134.7 $KL4$ Krueppel-like factor 432.7 $CC224$ CC motif chemokine 2470 $CC224$ SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 233.9 $SOCS2$ SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 233.9 $RC213$ CX-C motif chemokine 1336.6 $CC213$ CX-C motif chemokine 1336.6 $CH3L2$ CAC motif chemokine 1336.6 $CH3L2$ CAC motif chemokine 1336.6 $CH2A$ AMCase, Acidic mammalian chritinase16.6 $RF4$ AMCase, Acidic mammalian chritinase16.3 $RF4$ AmCase, Acidic mammalian chritinase0.3 $RF4$ AmCase, Acidic mammalian chritinase16.3 $RF4$ AmCase, Acidic mammalian chritinase0.3 $RF4$ Interferon regulatory factor 40.3 $RF4$ Macrophage context- dependent $L10$ $RF4$ Macrophage mamose receptor 1103.3 $Macrophage context- dependentL10L10RF4Arginase-1CD4RC4PTGS2COX-2 or Prostaglandin G/H synthase 20.60.50.5$		PTGS2	COX-2 or Prostaglandin G/H synthase 2	0.6	0.5	0.5
CH3LIChinase-3-like protein 134.7 $KLF4$ Kunepel-like factor 45.7 $KLF4$ Kunepel-like factor 45.7 $CCL24$ C-C motif chemokine 247.0 $CCL24$ C-C motif chemokine 133.6 $SOCS2$ SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 23.3 $SOCS2$ SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 23.3 $SOCS2$ SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 23.6 $SOCS2$ SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 23.6 $SOCS2$ SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 23.6 $SOCS2$ CH13C-X-C motif chemokine 133.6 $CH232$ Chinase-3-like protein 21.6 $CH4$ AMCase, Acidic mamualian chininase1.6 $RF4$ Interferon regulatory factor 40.3 $RF4$ Interferon regulatory factor 40.3 $MRC1$ Macrophage context- dependentLJ-0 $MRC1$ Macrophage manose receptor 1103.3 $MRC1$ Macrophage manose receptor 1103.3 $MRC1$ Arginase-1CD4 $MRC1$ Arginase-12.8 $MRC1$ Marcophage manose receptor 1103.3 $MRC1$		IL 12B	IL-12B, Interleukin-12 subunit beta	0.0	0.0	0.0
KLF4Kueppel-like factor 452.7CCL24CC motif chemokine 2470CCL24CC motif chemokine 2470SOCS2SOCS-2. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 233Macrophage M2 activation $CXCL13$ $CX-C$ motif chemokine 1336CH124CYC13 $CX-C$ motif chemokine 1336CH124CH124CH134 C_113 36CH124CH134CH14AMCase, Acidic mammalian chtinase115RF4Interferon regulatory factor 40333Macrophage context- dependentL/0Interferon regulatory factor 403Macrophage context- dependentL/0NCAMISesitin-like beta, pig <i>Remla</i> orthologue01Macrophage context- dependentL/0Int-10Remla secontext03Macrophage context- dependentL/0Int-10Remla secontext03Macrophage context- dependentL/0Int-10Remla secontext01Macrophage context- dependentL/0Int-10Remla secontext10Macrophage context- dependentL/0CD4CD4CD410Macrophage context- dependentCD4CD4CD4CD4 <td></td> <td>CHI3L1</td> <td>Chitinase-3-like protein 1</td> <td>34.7</td> <td>127.9 *</td> <td>279.9 *</td>		CHI3L1	Chitinase-3-like protein 1	34.7	127.9 *	279.9 *
CC2.4 $CC motif chemokine 24$ 70 $SOCS2$ $SOCS2$. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 223.9 $SOCS2$ $SOCS2$. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 23.6 $CHLA$ $CXCLI3$ $CX-C$ motif chemokine 133.6 $CHLA$ $CXCLI3$ $CX-C$ motif chemokine 133.6 $CHLA$ $CXCLI3$ $CX-C$ motif chemokine 133.6 $CHLA$ $CMCLI3$ $CN-C$ motif chemokine 133.6 $CHLA$ $AMCase, Acidic mammalian chitinase1.6RF4Interferon regulatory factor 40.3RF7NLBResitin-like beta, pig Retula orthologue0.1MRCIMacrophage context- dependentL/01L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL/0L/01L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL/0CD4, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD42.8Macrophage context- dependentL/0CD2, B-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain1.0Lymbhocyte surfaceCD3GCD3G, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain1.2Lymbhocyte surfaceCD3G, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain0.7CD3GCD3G, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain0.7Lymbhocyte surfaceCD3G, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain0.7CD3GCD3G, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain0.7CD3GCD3G, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain0.7CD3GCD3G, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon cha$		KLF4	Krueppel-like factor 4	52.7	41.4	45.0
SOCS2SOCS-2. Supressor of cytokine signaling 223.9Macrophage M2 activation $CXCLI3$ $CX-C$ motif chemokine 133.6 $CH132$ $CY-C$ motif chemokine 133.6 $CH122$ $CH132$ $CY-C$ motif chemokine 131.6 $CH124$ $CH132$ $Critinase-3-like protein 21.6CH24AMCase, Acidic mammalian chitinase1.5RF4MRC1AMCase, Acidic mammalian chitinase1.3MRC1MRC1MRC1MRC10.3MRC1MRC1Macrophage mamose receptor 1103.3MRC1MRC1Macrophage mamose receptor 1103.3MRC1MRC1Macrophage mamose receptor 1103.3Macrophage context- dependentL_10, Interleukin-10R_7103.3Macrophage context- dependentL_10, Interleukin-10R_7103.3LopacCD2CD2, CD2, R_7CD2, R_7103.3$		CCL24	C-C motif chemokine 24	7.0	16.7	31.4 *
Macrophage M2 activation $CXCL13$ $C.X.C motif chemokine 13$ 3.6 $CH3L2$ $CHinase -3 like protein 2$ 1.6 $CH3L2$ $Chinase -3 like protein 2$ 1.6 $CH2$ $AMCase, Acidic mammalian chinase1.5RF4AMCase, Acidic mammalian chinase1.5RF7NLBResitu-like beta, pig Rema orthologue0.1RF7MRC1Macrophage manose receptor 10.3Macrophage context- dependentL/0L/0L/0L/0MC1ARG1Arginase-10.0Macrophage context- dependentL/0L/0L/0Macrophage context- dependentL/0L/0L/0MacrophageL/0L/0$		SOCS2	SOCS-2, Suppressor of cytokine signaling 2	23.9	13.1	11.4
CHF3L2Chitases-3-like protein 21.6CHF4AMCase, Acidic mammalian chitinase1.5CHF4AMCase, Acidic mammalian chitinase1.5 $RF4$ Interferon regulatory factor 40.3 $RF7$ Resistin-like beta, pig $Rernha$ orthologue0.1 $MRC1$ Macrophage mamose receptor 10.3 $MRC1$ Macrophage mamose receptor 10.3 $Macrophage context- dependentL/10L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL/10L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL/10L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL-10L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL-10L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL-10L-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL-10, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependentL-10, Interleukin-102.8Macrophage context- dependentL-1$	Macrophage M2 activation	CXCL13	C-X-C motif chemokine 13	3.6	6.0	1.6
CHIAAMCase, Acidic mammalian chitinase15 $RF4$ Interferon regulatory factor 40.3 $RF4$ Interferon regulatory factor 40.3 $RE7NLB$ Resistin-like beta, pig $Remla$ orthologue0.1 $MRC1$ Macrophage manose receptor 1103.3Macrophage context- dependent $LL/10$ IL-10, Interleukin-108.7 $MRC1$ ArGiArginase-10.0 $ARG1$ Arginase-12.8 $CD4$ CD4, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD42.8 $CD2$ CD2, B-cell receptor CD21.5 $CD3E$ CD3, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain1.0 $Lymphocyte surfaceCD3GCD3, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 deplan chain0.7Lymphocyte surfaceCD3DCD3, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 deplan chain0.7Lymphocyte surfaceCD3BCD34, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 deplan chain0.7CD3DCD3GCD34, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 deplan chain0.7CD3DCD3BCD34, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 depla chain0.7CD8BCD80, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD8BCD80, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD80.7CD8BCD80, CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19$		CHI3L2	Chitinase-3-like protein 2	1.6	1.4	2.5
<i>IRF4</i> Interferon regulatory factor 40.3 <i>REVLB</i> Restinulike beta, pig <i>Retula</i> orthologue0.1 <i>MRC1</i> Macrophage mannose receptor 1103.3Macrophage context- dependent $LL IO$ IL-IO, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependent $LL IO$ IL-IO, Interleukin-108.7ARG1Arginase-10.08.7ARG1Arginase-10.08.7CD4CD4, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD42.8CD2CD22, B-cell receptor CD221.5NCAMICD56 or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8CD3ECD36, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain1.0Lymphocyte surfaceCD36CD36, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 deta chain0.7Lymphocyte surfaceCD36CD36, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 deta chain0.7CD3DCD34, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD3DCD34, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD3DCD80, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD3DCD80, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD3DCD90, CD19, P-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7CD19CD19, CD19CD190.7CD19CD19, CD190.7CD19CD19, CD190.7CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19CD19C		CHIA	AMCase, Acidic mammalian chitinase	1.5	1.3	1.1
RETVLBResistin-like beta, pig Renha orthologue0.1 $MRCI$ Macrophage mannose receptor 1103.3Macrophage context- dependent $L_I / 0$ $L_I - 10$, Interleukin-108.7Macrophage context- dependent $L_I / 0$ $L_I - 10$, Interleukin-108.7ARGIArginase-1 $CD4$ $CD4$, Tcell surface glycoprotein $CD4$ 5.4 $CD4$ $CD4$, CD4, Tcell surface glycoprotein $CD4$ 5.4 $CD22$ $CD22, B-cell receptor CD221.5NCAMICD56 or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8CD3ECD3E, Tcell surface glycoprotein CD3 gestilon chain1.0Lymphocyte surfaceCD3E, Tcell surface glycoprotein CD3 gestilon chain0.7Lymphocyte surfaceCD3E, Tcell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain0.7CD3BCD8A, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain0.7CD8BCD8A, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 deha chain0.7CD8BCD8A, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD8BCD8A, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD8BCD8A, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD99CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7CD19CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7CD19CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7CD19CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7$		IRF4	Interferon regulatory factor 4	0.3	0.6	0.7
MRC1Macrophage manose receptor 1103.3Macrophage context- dependent $L_1/0$ $L_1/0$, Interleukin-10 8.7 Macrophage context- dependent $L_1/0$ $L_1/0$, Interleukin-10 8.7 ARG1Arginase-1 0.0 8.7 $ARG1$ CD4, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD4 5.4 $CD2$ CD2, B-cell receptor CD22 1.5 $NCAMI$ CD5, 6 or Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 2.8 $NCAMI$ CD5, 7-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gamma chain 1.0 $Uymbhocyte surfaceCD3d, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 defta chain0.7Uymbhocyte surfaceCD3d, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 defta chain0.7CD84CD8d, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 defta chain0.7CD84CD8, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 defta chain0.7CD84CD8d, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 defta chain0.7CD84CD8, CD9, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7CD94CD9CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7$		RETNLB	Resistin-like beta, pig <i>Retnla</i> orthologue	0.1	0.0	0.0
Macrophage context- dependent $IL 10$ IL-10, Interleukin-10 8.7 ARG1Arginase-100 $ARG1$ Arginase-100 $CD4$ CD4, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD45.4 $CD2$ CD22, B-cell receptor CD221.5 $NCAM1$ CD56 or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8 $D3G$ CD36, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gamma chain1.0 $CD3G$ CD36, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 dehta chain0.7 $CD8A$ CD84, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 dehta chain0.7 $CD8A$ CD84, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7 $CD8A$ CD84, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7 $CD8A$ CD84, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7 $CD8A$ CD9, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7 $CD19$ CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.7		MRCI	Macrophage mannose receptor 1	103.3	78.8	119.2
ARGIArginase-10.0 $CD4$ $CD4$ $CD4$, T-cell surface glycoprotein $CD4$ 5.4 $CD22$ $CD22$, B-cell receptor $CD22$ 1.5 $RCAMI$ $CD56$ or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8 $NCAMI$ $CD56$ or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8 $NCAMI$ $CD56$ or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8 $Lymphocyte surfaceCD36CD36, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gestion chain1.0Lymphocyte surfaceCD36CD34, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain0.7CD8ACD8A, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 delta chain0.7CD8BCD8h, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD8BCD8h, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7CD19CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.1$	Macrophage context- dependent	П.10	IL-10, Interleukin-10	8.7	15.0	16.8
CD4 $CD4$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD45.4 $CD22$ $CD22$, B-cell receptor CD221.5 $CD22$ $CD22$, B-cell receptor CD221.5 $NCAMI$ $CD56$ or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8 $NCAMI$ $CD56$ or Neural cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gamma chain1.0 $CD3G$ $CD3g$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gamma chain1.0 $CD3D$ $CD3d$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain0.7 $CD8A$ $CD8A$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 delta chain0.7 $CD8A$ $CD8A$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7 $CD8A$ $CD8A$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7 $CD8A$ $CD8A$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7 $CD8A$ $CD8A$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.7 $CD19$ $CD19$, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.1		ARGI	Arginase-1	0.0	0.3	1.6
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		CD4	CD4, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD4	5.4	6.8	3.1
NCAM1CD56 or Neural cell adhesion molecule 12.8 $CD3E$ CD3e, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain1.0Lymphocyte surface $CD3G$ CD3e, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gamma chain1.2Lymphocyte surface $CD3D$ CD3d, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain0.7 $CD3D$ $CD3d$, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain0.7 $CD8A$ CD8a, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 alpha chain0.5 $CD8B$ CD8b, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain0.5 $CD19$ CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD190.1		CD22	CD22, B-cell receptor CD22	1.5	1.8	1.2
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		NCAMI	CD56 or Neural cell adhesion molecule 1	2.8	1.6	0.9
Lymphocyte surface CD3G CD3g, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gamma chain 1.2 Lymphocyte surface CD3D CD3d, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain 0.7 CD8A CD8A CD8A, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 deha chain 0.7 CD8B CD8b, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain 0.1 CD19 CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19 0.1		CD3E	CD3e, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 epsilon chain	1.0	0.8	0.4
Lymprocyte surface CD3d. T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain 0.7 CD8A CD8a, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 alpha chain 0.5 CD8B CD8b, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain 0.1 CD19 CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19 0.1	T viendo overtes essentiono	CD3G	CD3g, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 gamma chain	1.2	0.7	0.4
CD8A CD8a, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 alpha chain 0.5 CD8B CD8b, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain 0.1 CD19 CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19 0.2	rympnocyte surface	CD3D	CD3d, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 delta chain	0.7	0.7	0.3
CD8B CD8b, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain 0.1 CD19 CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19 0.2		CD8A	CD8a, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 alpha chain	0.5	0.5	0.2
CD19 CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19 0.2		CD8B	CD8b, T-cell surface glycoprotein CD8 beta chain	0.1	0.1	0.1
		CD19	CD19, B-lymphocyte antigen CD19	0.2	0.1	0.1
MS4A1 CD20, B-lymphocyte antigen CD20 0.1		MS4A1	CD20, B-lymphocyte antigen CD20	0.1	0.1	0.0

Significantly differentially expressed between ACLT and STEROID

Table 2

Synovial expression of marker genes related to macrophage function in inflammation and wound healing

Monton trace	Come and	Durdelte	INTACT	ACLT	STEROID
IVIALKET LYDE	Celle symbol	L'OUCHI	mean RPK	W	
	IdWIN	MMP-1 or Interstitial collagenase	149.5	1452.9 *	1086.7 *
	MMP2	MMP-2 or 72 kDa type IV collagenase	127.3	488.8 *	501.4 *
	MMP3	MMP-3 or Stromelysin-1	264.8	457.3	1068.3 *
	MMP7	MMP-7 or Matrilysin	0.6	0.4	0.3
	8dWW	MMP-8 or Neutrophil collagenase	8.4	6.6	37.2 *, §
	6dWW	MMP-9, Matrix metalloproteinase-9	0.0	0.4	0.3
Inflammatory response	IIIAWW	MMP-11 or Stromelysin-3	5.1	5.7	5.5
	MMP13	MMP-13 or Collagenase 3	0.1	0.6	0.2
	MMP14	MT1-MMP or Matrix metalloproteinase-14	24.5	60.1	62.2
	MMP15	MT2-MMP or Matrix metalloproteinase-15	0.5	1.0	0.5
	TNFA	TNF-alpha, Tumor necrosis factor	0.7	1.4	1.3
	ILIA	IL-1 alpha, Interleukin-1 alpha	1.0	0.8	0.5
	ILIB	IL-1 beta, Interleukin-1 beta	0.7	0.5	0.4
	TIMPI	TIMP-1, Metalloproteinase inhibitor 1	28.1	88.2 *	95.1 *
	TGFB1	TGF-beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-1	18.7	26.7	17.4
	PDGFB	PDGF subunit B, Platelet-derived growth factor subunit B	1.2	3.1	2.8
Wound healing and fibrosis	PDGFA	PDGF subunit A, Platelet-derived growth factor subunit A	0.6	1.0	1.2
	PDCD1LG2	PD-L2, Programmed cell death 1 ligand 2	0.4	0.2	0.2
	MMP12	MMP-12, Macrophage metalloelastase	0.0	0.0	0.2
* Significantly differentially ex	pressed to INTAC	T			

Arthritis Rheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 July 01.

 $\overset{\ensuremath{\mathcal{S}}}{}_{\mbox{Significantly differentially expressed between ACLT and STEROID}$