HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Drug Alcohol Depend. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016 September 1; 166: 249–253. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.06.021.

Genetic variation in *FAAH* is associated with cannabis use disorders in a young adult sample of Mexican Americans*

Whitney E. Melroy-Greif[a], **Kirk C. Wilhelmsen**[b], and **Cindy L. Ehlers**[a,**]

[a]Department of Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

[b]Department of Genetics and Neurology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

Abstract

Background—Cannabis is a commonly used drug and studies have shown that a significant portion of the variation in cannabis use disorders (CUDs) is heritable. Five genes known to play a role in the endocannabinoid system and CUDs were examined in a community sample of young adult Mexican Americans (MAs): *CNR1*, *MGLL*, *FAAH*, *DAGLA,* and *DAGLB.*

Methods—Gene-based tests were run to test for association between each gene and two DSM-5 cannabis phenotypes. Subsequent linear regressions were run in PLINK using an additive model to determine which single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were driving the association.

Results—*FAAH* was significantly associated with DSM-5 cannabis use disorder group count (DSM-5 CUD) using a gene-based test ($p = 0.0035$). This association survived Bonferroni correction for multiple testing at $p < 0.004$. Post hoc analyses suggested this association was driven by two common (minor allele frequency > 5%) SNPs in moderate linkage disequilibrium, rs324420 and rs4141964, at $p = 0.0014$ and $p = 0.0023$, respectively. In both cases the minor allele increased risk for DSM-5 CUD.

Conclusions—Genetic variation in *FAAH* was associated with DSM-5 CUD in MAs. This association was primarily driven by the missense SNP rs324420. *In vitro* work has provided evidence that the risk allele generates an enzyme with decreased expression and cellular stability. Although this SNP has been previously associated with substance use in the literature, this is the first association in a young adult MA sample.

*Supplementary material can be found by accessing the online version of this paper at http://dx.doi.org and by entering doi:...

**Corresponding author: Dr. Cindy L. Ehlers, Department of Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Rd. La Jolla, CA 92037, Mail SP30-1501, Tel: 858-784-7058, Fax: 858-784-7409, cindye@scripps.edu.

Contributors

WEMG performed the literature review, data analysis, and drafted the manuscript. KWC contributed to the genotyping. CLE contributed to the recruitment, collection, and analysis of phenotypic and genotypic data for the sample. All authors contributed to the writing and review of this brief communication and have approved the final report.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

Keywords

cannabis dependence; gene-based test; human genetic association study

1. INTRODUCTION

Cannabis is the most widely used substance, second to alcohol, in the U.S. (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2016). The brain's endogenous endocannabinoid system (ECS) is comprised of cannabinoids (endocannabinoids, eCBs), cannabinoid receptors, and enzymes responsible for the synthesis and degradation of eCBs. The two major ligands in the ECS are arachidonoyl ethanolamide (anandamide, AEA) and 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG) (see Lu and Mackie, 2016 for review). Unlike other neurotransmitters, typically synthesized and stored in synaptic vesicles, AEA and 2-AG are synthesized "on demand" from precursors present in lipid membranes. Specifically, AEA is manufactured from *N*-arachidonoyl phosphatidyl ethanol. 2-AG is produced by a two-step hydrolysis: first, an arachidonoyl-containing phosphatidyl inositol bis-phosphate is hydrolyzed into a diacylglycerol (DAG); and second, DAG is hydrolyzed into 2-AG by two DAG lipases (encoded by *DAGLA* and *DAGLB*). Once released into the synapse, eCBs primarily bind the cannabinoid type 1 and 2 receptors (CB1 and CB2, encoded by *CNR1* and *CNR2*, respectively). CB1 is the primary eCB receptor at which AEA and 2-AG have higher efficacy than at CB2 receptors. CB1 is also abundantly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS). Catabolism of AEA and 2-AG is predominantly carried out by fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) and monoglyceride lipase (MGLL), respectively. Endocannabinoid receptors also bind Δ^9-tetrahydrocannabinol, the psychoactive component present in cannabis (Mechoulam and Gaoni, 1965), and can cause a myriad of changes depending on the experimental conditions. Thus, the ECS is a promising system for candidate gene (CG) studies of cannabis use disorders (CUDs).

A recent meta-analysis estimated that 51–59% of the variation in CUDs is attributed to genetic influences (Verweij et al., 2010). Linkage peaks have been observed around genes pertinent to the ECS, including *CNR1* and *MGLL* (Agrawal et al., 2008; Ehlers et al., 2010; Hopfer et al., 2007). However, CG studies on CUDs have been inconsistent (reviewed in (Agrawal and Lynskey, 2009; Buhler et al., 2015)) and genome-wide association studies on CUDs have yielded no genome-wide significant hits (Agrawal et al., 2011, 2014; Minica et al., 2015; Verweij et al., 2013), consistent with results from a recent meta-analysis (Stringer et al., 2016).

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that genetic variation in ECS-related genes is associated with CUDs in a sample of Mexican Americans (MAs). The racial/ethnic composition of the U.S. is changing rapidly with the nonwhite segment of the population expanding faster than whites. In California, the population of individuals of Hispanic heritage, who are primarily MA, is currently predicted to be the majority population by the end of the decade. Thus, understanding heath disparities in this ethnic group is a major public health concern. A recent national survey found that past year cannabis users who were Hispanic had higher odds of CUD than whites (Wu et al., 2014), yet the unique risk factors that may contribute to this risk remain largely unknown. We used a gene-based

analysis to look at genetic variation in *CNR1*, *MGLL*, *FAAH*, *DAGLA,* and *DAGLB,* based on prior evidence for association with CUDs and/or their direct role in the ECS. Specifically, two gene-based tests were used to: 1) replicate genetic associations in the literature, which have primarily focused on common variants in European Americans, and 2) investigate rare variants specific to this population of MAs for association with CUDs.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data were derived from a cohort of 619 MAs, as previously described (Ehlers et al., 2011). Briefly, these subjects were recruited using a commercial mailing list that supplied the addresses of individuals with Hispanic surnames in 11 zip codes in San Diego County. Participants were required to be of Hispanic heritage, between 18 and 30 years old, living in the U.S. legally, and able to read and write in English; exclusionary criteria included being pregnant or nursing, or having a major medical or neurological disorder or injury. Hispanic heritage was self-reported and based on the origin of each subject's 8 grandparents. 97.9% of the sample self-identified as having 12.5% or more Hispanic heritage and 91.4% as having 50% or more Hispanic heritage. 83.8% of the sample had 50% or more Mexican heritage alone.

The Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism (Bucholz et al., 1994), a well-documented and reliable resource for diagnosing substance use (SU) behaviors (Bucholz et al., 1994; Hesselbrock et al., 1999), was used to collect information for several cannabis phenotypes. However, given the nested nature of some phenotypes, as well as high correlations between them (e.g. the DSM-IV and DSM-5 phenotypes), we focused on the following two DSM-5 phenotypes: DSM-5 cannabis use disorder group count (DSM-5 CUD, quantitative), and having moderate or severe CUD by DSM-5 (DSM-5 MSU, dichotomous). The Institutional Review Board of the Scripps Research Institute approved the protocol for this study. Written consent was obtained from all participants.

DNA was extracted from blood samples and subsequently prepared and genotyped using the Affymetrix Exome1A chip as previously described (Norden-Krichmar et al., 2014). Initial quality control was performed according to Affymetrix best practices (Affymetrix, 2011). In addition, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) out of Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) at p-value$<10^{-10}$ were removed, as were SNPs with bad genotype clusters. Genome-wide Complex Trait Analysis (Yang et al., 2011) was used to remove subjects of high hidden relatedness (genetic relationship cutoff 0.125) and calculate principal components (PCs). Gender, age, and 20 PCs were included as covariates in all analyses.

Variants were annotated to genes using the Affymetrix Exome1A chip description file. No minor allele frequency (MAF) cutoff was applied. The gene test was performed in R (R Development Core Team, 2012) with the Sequence Kernel Association Test (SKAT; Wu et al., 2011) package. This test allows for variants that differ in direction and magnitude of effect. We used two specific algorithms within this method: SKAT-O, an extension of SKAT in which an optimal test is derived from a burden and typical SKAT analysis (Lee et al., 2012); and SKAT_CommonRare, in which the combined effect of rare and common variants

is tested (Ionita-Laza et al., 2014). Tests were run using all default parameters (with the exception of method="optimal.adj" as opposed to "davis" for the SKAT-O test).

Multiple test correction for each hypothesis was employed as follows. First, to correct for the number of phenotypes tested, the effective number of independent phenotypes was calculated using the variance of the eigenvalues of the phenotype correlation matrix after correction for covariates (Cheverud, 2001; Nyholt, 2004). The Bonferroni corrected significance threshold was calculated at 0.004 by dividing 0.05 by the number of genes multiplied by the effective number of independent phenotypes.

In order to determine which variants were driving the gene-wise association, post hoc analyses were performed by running linear regressions in PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007) on each SNP in the associated gene using an additive model. PLINK was used to calculate MAF and linkage disequilibrium (LD).

3. RESULTS

Five hundred and forty eight subjects (228M, 320F) were used in the analysis, 389 (70.99%) of whom had used cannabis. The mean age at the time of interview was 23.70yrs. Additional sample demographics are provided in Supplementary Table 1[1].

No genes were associated with DSM-5 CUD or DSM-5 MSU using SKAT-O (results not shown). *FAAH* was associated with DSM-5 CUD using SKAT_CommonRare (Table 1). This association survived Bonferroni correction for multiple testing at $p<0.004$. A complete list of SNPs included in each gene-based test is provided in Supplementary Table 2[2]. Lack of association using SKAT-O and association using SKAT_CommonRare suggested these results were driven by the common variants. Univariate tests with the common SNPs in *FAAH* and DSM-5 CUD confirmed this; two common SNPs, rs4141964 and rs324420, were driving the association (Table 2). These SNPs were in LD ($R^2=0.585$ and $D'=0.988$), and in both cases the minor allele was shown to increase risk for DSM-5 CUD.

4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, five genes known to play a role in the ECS were tested for association with CUDs using a gene-based test; *FAAH* was associated with DSM-5 CUD after correction for multiple testing. Two previous studies have examined genetic variation in targeted ECS genes using a gene-based test; however, *FAAH* was not associated with cannabis use (Verweij et al., 2012) or cannabis dependence (Carey et al., 2015). This finding is not altogether surprising, given that these phenotypes differ from the construct used in the present study, and our MA subjects represent an ethnically unique sample at high risk for developing alcoholism (Criado and Ehlers, 2007; Ehlers and Phillips, 2007). Indeed most subjects with DSM-5 MSU had a comorbid DSM-5 alcohol use disorder.

[1]Supplementary material can be found by accessing the online version of this paper at http://dx.doi.org and by entering doi:...
[2]Supplementary material can be found by accessing the online version of this paper at http://dx.doi.org and by entering doi:...

Subsequent analyses revealed two correlated SNPs driving the association with *FAAH* and DSM-5 CUD: rs324420, a missense SNP resulting in the conversion of a proline to a threonine; and rs4141964, an intronic SNP. Although some studies have not detected an association between rs324420 and SU (Haughey et al., 2008; Proudnikov et al., 2010; Verweij et al., 2012), particularly in Asian populations (Iwasaki et al., 2007; Morita et al., 2005), the literature overwhelmingly supports a role for rs324420 in SU (Buhler et al., 2014; Flanagan et al., 2006). While one study found that subjects homozygous for the minor allele were 0.25 times less likely to be cannabis dependent (Tyndale et al., 2007), our results suggesting the A allele increases risk for CUDs are in line with previous findings (Li et al., 2011; Sipe et al., 2002). In addition, the A allele produces a defective mutant enzyme with reduced expression and stability (Chiang et al., 2004). Only one study investigated the effect of rs4141964 in SU; in a multi-ethnic study, Bidwell and colleagues (2013) found a significant main effect of a *FAAH* haplotype containing the minor alleles of rs4141964 and rs324420 that predicted higher marijuana-related problems. Thus, our results concur with the current literature and suggest that the minor alleles of rs4141964 and rs324420 are associated with CUDs.

CNR2 was not included in the original analysis because it is primarily expressed in the periphery (Munro et al., 1993). However, a post hoc gene-test was run on *CNR2* based on accumulating evidence for *CNR2* expression in the CNS (reviewed in (Onaivi et al., 2006a)) and involvement in SU (Ishiguro et al., 2007; Onaivi et al., 2006b, 2008). Although only three SNPs were included, *CNR2* was not associated with DSM-5 CUD or MSU (Supplementary Table 3[3]).

This study has several strengths and limitations. Gene-based tests are a more powerful alternative to single SNP tests, and by testing specific CGs we sidestepped several drawbacks when examining already curated gene tests (Wang et al., 2011). SKAT has higher power than several other burden tests to detect genetic effects (Wu et al., 2011). However, we were limited by what SNPs were genotyped on the Affymetrix Exome1A chip and were annotated to our target genes by the Affymetrix Exome1A chip description file, and thus important signals may have been missed in each gene. Due to the modest sample size, which could lead to false negatives, subjects who had never used cannabis were included. There are two reasons why this likely does not mitigate the results of this study: 1) a recent meta-analysis suggested that environmental influences play a larger role in cannabis initiation than CUD (Verweij et al., 2010), and 2) there may be some overlap in genetic influences between cannabis initiation and CUD (Agrawal et al., 2005; Fowler et al., 2007; Gillespie et al., 2009). Finally, as with other human genetic studies, replication is needed to support our findings. However, the exclusivity of the sample is both a strength and limitation; this sample is primarily of MA ancestry and has been previously shown to have a high risk of developing alcoholism (Criado and Ehlers, 2007; Ehlers and Phillips, 2007).

The present study provided evidence for a role of *FAAH* in CUDs in MAs. This association was primarily driven by a missense SNP in *FAAH* that has been previously associated with

[3]Supplementary material can be found by accessing the online version of this paper at http://dx.doi.org and by entering doi:...

SU in the literature and shown to influence enzyme stability. This is the first association between SNPs in *FAAH* and DSM-5 CUD in a young adult MA sample.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Role of funding source

This research was supported by grants from the National Institute on Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse (NIAAA) (AA006420 and AA013525) to Drs. Cindy L. Ehlers and Edward Riley, respectively, and a grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) (DA030976) to Drs. Kirk C. Wilhelmsen and Cindy L. Ehlers. NIAAA and NIDA had no further role in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of this data, nor in the writing or submission of this manuscript.

The authors would like to thank: Drs. Chris Bizon, Scott Chase, and Jeffrey Tilson for their genotyping efforts; Derek Willis, Dr. Qian Peng and Dr. Trina Norden-Krichmar for data organization and analysis; and Dr. David Gilder, Evie Philips, Gina Stouffer, and Corinne Kim for phenotypic data collection. Finally, the authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

References

Affymetrix. Affymetrix: Best Practice Supplement to Axiom Genotyping Solution Data Analysis User Guide Rev. 1. Vol. 1. Affymetrix, Inc; Santa Clara, CA, USA: 2011.

Agrawal A, Lynskey MT. Candidate genes for cannabis use disorders: findings, challenges and directions. Addiction. 2009; 104:518–532. [PubMed: 19335651]

Agrawal A, Lynskey MT, Bucholz KK, Kapoor M, Almasy L, Dick DM, Edenberg HJ, Foroud T, Goate A, Hancock DB, Hartz S, Johnson EO, Hesselbrock V, Kramer JR, Kuperman S, Nurnberger JI Jr, Schuckit M, Bierut LJ. DSM-5 cannabis use disorder: a phenotypic and genomic perspective. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2014; 134:362–369. [PubMed: 24315570]

Agrawal A, Lynskey MT, Hinrichs A, Grucza R, Saccone SF, Krueger R, Neuman R, Howells W, Fisher S, Fox L, Cloninger R, Dick DM, Doheny KF, Edenberg HJ, Goate AM, Hesselbrock V, Johnson E, Kramer J, Kuperman S, Nurnberger JI Jr, Pugh E, Schuckit M, Tischfield J, Rice JP, Bucholz KK, Bierut LJ. Consortium G. A genome-wide association study of DSM-IV cannabis dependence. Addict Biol. 2011; 16:514–518. [PubMed: 21668797]

Agrawal A, Neale MC, Jacobson KC, Prescott CA, Kendler KS. Illicit drug use and abuse/dependence: modeling of two-stage variables using the CCC approach. Addict Behav. 2005; 30:1043–1048. [PubMed: 15893102]

Agrawal A, Pergadia ML, Saccone SF, Lynskey MT, Wang JC, Martin NG, Statham D, Henders A, Campbell M, Garcia R, Broms U, Todd RD, Goate AM, Rice J, Kaprio J, Heath AC, Montgomery GW, Madden PA. An autosomal linkage scan for cannabis use disorders in the nicotine addiction genetics project. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008; 65:713–721. [PubMed: 18519829]

Bidwell LC, Metrik J, McGeary J, Palmer RH, Francazio S, Knopik VS. Impulsivity, variation in the cannabinoid receptor (CNR1) and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) genes, and marijuana-related problems. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2013; 74:867–878. [PubMed: 24172113]

Bucholz KK, Cadoret R, Cloninger CR, Dinwiddie SH, Hesselbrock VM, Nurnberger JI Jr, Reich T, Schmidt I, Schuckit MA. A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: a report on the reliability of the SSAGA. J Stud Alcohol. 1994; 55:149–158. [PubMed: 8189735]

Buhler KM, Gine E, Echeverry-Alzate V, Calleja-Conde J, de Fonseca FR, Lopez-Moreno JA. Common single nucleotide variants underlying drug addiction: more than a decade of research. Addict Biol. 2015; 20:845–871. [PubMed: 25603899]

Buhler KM, Huertas E, Echeverry-Alzate V, Gine E, Molto E, Montoliu L, Lopez-Moreno JA. Risky alcohol consumption in young people is associated with the fatty acid amide hydrolase gene polymorphism C385A and affective rating of drug pictures. Mol Genet Genomics. 2014; 289:279–289. [PubMed: 24407958]

Carey CE, Agrawal A, Zhang B, Conley ED, Degenhardt L, Heath AC, Li D, Lynskey MT, Martin NG, Montgomery GW, Wang T, Bierut LJ, Hariri AR, Nelson EC, Bogdan R. Monoacylglycerol lipase (MGLL) polymorphism rs604300 interacts with childhood adversity to predict cannabis dependence symptoms and amygdala habituation: evidence from an endocannabinoid system-level analysis. J Abnorm Psychol. 2015; 124:860–877. [PubMed: 26595473]

Cheverud JM. A simple correction for multiple comparisons in interval mapping genome scans. Heredity (Edinb). 2001; 87:52–58. [PubMed: 11678987]

Chiang KP, Gerber AL, Sipe JC, Cravatt BF. Reduced cellular expression and activity of the P129T mutant of human fatty acid amide hydrolase: evidence for a link between defects in the endocannabinoid system and problem drug use. Hum Mol Genet. 2004; 13:2113–2119. [PubMed: 15254019]

Criado JR, Ehlers CL. Electrophysiological responses to affective stimuli in Mexican Americans: Relationship to alcohol dependence and personality traits. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2007; 88:148–157. [PubMed: 17764730]

Ehlers CL, Gizer IR, Vieten C, Wilhelmsen KC. Linkage analyses of cannabis dependence, craving, and withdrawal in the San Francisco family study. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2010; 153B:802–811. [PubMed: 19937978]

Ehlers CL, Phillips E. Association of EEG alpha variants and alpha power with alcohol dependence in Mexican American young adults. Alcohol. 2007; 41:13–20. [PubMed: 17452295]

Ehlers CL, Phillips E, Criado JR, Gilder DA. N4 component responses to pre-pulse startle stimuli in young adults: relationship to alcohol dependence. Psychiatry Res. 2011; 188:237–244. [PubMed: 21550123]

Flanagan JM, Gerber AL, Cadet JL, Beutler E, Sipe JC. The fatty acid amide hydrolase 385 A/A (P129T) variant: haplotype analysis of an ancient missense mutation and validation of risk for drug addiction. Hum Genet. 2006; 120:581–588. [PubMed: 16972078]

Fowler T, Lifford K, Shelton K, Rice F, Thapar A, Neale MC, McBride A, van den Bree MB. Exploring the relationship between genetic and environmental influences on initiation and progression of substance use. Addiction. 2007; 102:413–422. [PubMed: 17298649]

Gillespie NA, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Pathways to cannabis abuse: a multi-stage model from cannabis availability, cannabis initiation and progression to abuse. Addiction. 2009; 104:430–438. [PubMed: 19207351]

Haughey HM, Marshall E, Schacht JP, Louis A, Hutchison KE. Marijuana withdrawal and craving: influence of the cannabinoid receptor 1 (CNR1) and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) genes. Addiction. 2008; 103:1678–1686. [PubMed: 18705688]

Hesselbrock M, Easton C, Bucholz KK, Schuckit M, Hesselbrock V. A validity study of the SSAGA--a comparison with the SCAN. Addiction. 1999; 94:1361–1370. [PubMed: 10615721]

Hopfer CJ, Lessem JM, Hartman CA, Stallings MC, Cherny SS, Corley RP, Hewitt JK, Krauter KS, Mikulich-Gilbertson SK, Rhee SH, Smolen A, Young SE, Crowley TJ. A genome-wide scan for loci influencing adolescent cannabis dependence symptoms: evidence for linkage on chromosomes 3 and 9. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2007; 89:34–41. [PubMed: 17169504]

Ionita-Laza I, Capanu M, De Rubeis S, McCallum K, Buxbaum JD. Identification of rare causal variants in sequence-based studies: methods and applications to VPS13B, a gene involved in Cohen syndrome and autism. PLoS Genet. 2014; 10:e1004729. [PubMed: 25502226]

Ishiguro H, Iwasaki S, Teasenfitz L, Higuchi S, Horiuchi Y, Saito T, Arinami T, Onaivi ES. Involvement of cannabinoid CB2 receptor in alcohol preference in mice and alcoholism in humans. Pharmacogenomics J. 2007; 7:380–385. [PubMed: 17189959]

Iwasaki S, Ishiguro H, Higuchi S, Onaivi ES, Arinami T. Association study between alcoholism and endocannabinoid metabolic enzyme genes encoding fatty acid amide hydrolase and monoglyceride lipase in a Japanese population. Psychiatr Genet. 2007; 17:215–220. [PubMed: 17621164]

Lee S, Emond MJ, Bamshad MJ, Barnes KC, Rieder MJ, Nickerson DA, Christiani DC, Wurfel MM, Lin X. NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project - ESP Lung Project Team. Optimal unified approach for rare-variant association testing with application to small-sample case-control whole-exome sequencing studies. Am J Hum Genet. 2012; 91:224–237. [PubMed: 22863193]

Li CY, Zhou WZ, Zhang PW, Johnson C, Wei L, Uhl GR. Meta-analysis and genome-wide interpretation of genetic susceptibility to drug addiction. BMC Genomics. 2011; 12:508. [PubMed: 21999673]

Lu HC, Mackie K. An introduction to the endogenous cannabinoid system. Biol Psychiatry. 2016; 1:516–525. [PubMed: 26698193]

Mechoulam R, Gaoni Y. A total synthesis of dl-delta-1-tetrahydrocannabinol, the active constituent of hashish. J Am Chem Soc. 1965; 87:3273–3275. [PubMed: 14324315]

Minica CC, Dolan CV, Hottenga JJ, Pool R, Fedko IO, Mbarek H, Huppertz C, Bartels M, Boomsma DI, Vink JM. Genome of the Netherlands C. Heritability, SNP- and gene-based analyses of cannabis use initiation and age at onset. Behav Genet. 2015; 45:503–513. [PubMed: 25987507]

Morita Y, Ujike H, Tanaka Y, Uchida N, Nomura A, Ohtani K, Kishimoto M, Morio A, Imamura T, Sakai A, Inada T, Harano M, Komiyama T, Yamada M, Sekine Y, Iwata N, Iyo M, Sora I, Ozaki N, Kuroda S. A nonsynonymous polymorphism in the human fatty acid amide hydrolase gene did not associate with either methamphetamine dependence or schizophrenia. Neurosci Lett. 2005; 376:182–187. [PubMed: 15721218]

Munro S, Thomas KL, Abu-Shaar M. Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids. Nature. 1993; 365:61–65. [PubMed: 7689702]

National Institute on Drug Abuse. [accessed on April 6, 2016] DrugFacts: Marijuana. 2016. Revised March, 2016 Retrieved from https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/drugfacts/marijuana

Norden-Krichmar TM, Gizer IR, Wilhelmsen KC, Schork NJ, Ehlers CL. Protective variant associated with alcohol dependence in a Mexican American cohort. BMC Med Genet. 2014; 15:136. [PubMed: 25527893]

Nyholt DR. A simple correction for multiple testing for single-nucleotide polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with each other. Am J Hum Genet. 2004; 74:765–769. [PubMed: 14997420]

Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Gong JP, Patel S, Meozzi PA, Myers L, Perchuk A, Mora Z, Tagliaferro PA, Gardner E, Brusco A, Akinshola BE, Hope B, Lujilde J, Inada T, Iwasaki S, Macharia D, Teasenfitz L, Arinami T, Uhl GR. Brain neuronal CB2 cannabinoid receptors in drug abuse and depression: from mice to human subjects. PLoS One. 2008; 3:e1640. [PubMed: 18286196]

Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Gong JP, Patel S, Perchuk A, Meozzi PA, Myers L, Mora Z, Tagliaferro P, Gardner E, Brusco A, Akinshola BE, Liu QR, Hope B, Iwasaki S, Arinami T, Teasenfitz L, Uhl GR. Discovery of the presence and functional expression of cannabinoid CB2 receptors in brain. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2006a; 1074:514–536. [PubMed: 17105950]

Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Sejal P, Meozzi PA, Myers L, Tagliaferro P, Hope B, Leonard CM, Uhl GR, Brusco A, Gardner E. Methods to study the behavioral effects and expression of CB2 cannabinoid receptor and its gene transcripts in the chronic mild stress model of depression. Methods Mol Med. 2006b; 123:291–298. [PubMed: 16506415]

Proudnikov D, Kroslak T, Sipe JC, Randesi M, Li D, Hamon S, Ho A, Ott J, Kreek MJ. Association of polymorphisms of the cannabinoid receptor (CNR1) and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) genes with heroin addiction: impact of long repeats of CNR1. Pharmacogenomics J. 2010; 10:232–242. [PubMed: 20010914]

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ, Sham PC. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007; 81:559–575. [PubMed: 17701901]

R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; Vienna, Austria: 2012. Retrieved from http://www.R-project.org [Last accessed May 5, 2016]

Sipe JC, Chiang K, Gerber AL, Beutler E, Cravatt BF. A missense mutation in human fatty acid amide hydrolase associated with problem drug use. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99:8394–8399. [PubMed: 12060782]

Stringer S, Minica CC, Verweij KJ, Mbarek H, Bernard M, Derringer J, van Eijk KR, Isen JD, Loukola A, Maciejewski DF, Mihailov E, van der Most PJ, Sanchez-Mora C, Roos L, Sherva R, Walters R, Ware JJ, Abdellaoui A, Bigdeli TB, Branje SJ, Brown SA, Bruinenberg M, Casas M, Esko T, Garcia-Martinez I, Gordon SD, Harris JM, Hartman CA, Henders AK, Heath AC, Hickie IB, Hickman M, Hopfer CJ, Hottenga JJ, Huizink AC, Irons DE, Kahn RS, Korhonen T, Kranzler HR, Krauter K, van Lier PA, Lubke GH, Madden PA, Magi R, McGue MK, Medland SE, Meeus WH, Miller MB, Montgomery GW, Nivard MG, Nolte IM, Oldehinkel AJ, Pausova Z, Qaiser B, Quaye L, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Richarte V, Rose RJ, Shin J, Stallings MC, Stiby AI, Wall TL, Wright MJ, Koot HM, Paus T, Hewitt JK, Ribases M, Kaprio J, Boks MP, Snieder H, Spector T, Munafo MR, Metspalu A, Gelernter J, Boomsma DI, Iacono WG, Martin NG, Gillespie NA, Derks EM, Vink JM. Genome-wide association study of lifetime cannabis use based on a large meta-analytic sample of 32 330 subjects from the International Cannabis Consortium. Transl Psychiatry. 2016; 6:e769. [PubMed: 27023175]

Tyndale RF, Payne JI, Gerber AL, Sipe JC. The fatty acid amide hydrolase C385A (P129T) missense variant in cannabis users: studies of drug use and dependence in Caucasians. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2007; 144B:660–666. [PubMed: 17290447]

Verweij KJ, Vinkhuyzen AA, Benyamin B, Lynskey MT, Quaye L, Agrawal A, Gordon SD, Montgomery GW, Madden PA, Heath AC, Spector TD, Martin NG, Medland SE. The genetic aetiology of cannabis use initiation: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies and a SNP-based heritability estimation. Addict Biol. 2013; 18:846–850. [PubMed: 22823124]

Verweij KJ, Zietsch BP, Liu JZ, Medland SE, Lynskey MT, Madden PA, Agrawal A, Montgomery GW, Heath AC, Martin NG. No association of candidate genes with cannabis use in a large sample of Australian twin families. Addict Biol. 2012; 17:687–690. [PubMed: 21507154]

Verweij KJ, Zietsch BP, Lynskey MT, Medland SE, Neale MC, Martin NG, Boomsma DI, Vink JM. Genetic and environmental influences on cannabis use initiation and problematic use: a meta-analysis of twin studies. Addiction. 2010; 105:417–430. [PubMed: 20402985]

Wang L, Jia P, Wolfinger RD, Chen X, Zhao Z. Gene set analysis of genome-wide association studies: methodological issues and perspectives. Genomics. 2011; 98:1–8. [PubMed: 21565265]

Wu LT, Brady KT, Mannelli P, Killeen TK, Workgroup NA. Cannabis use disorders are comparatively prevalent among nonwhite racial/ethnic groups and adolescents: a national study. J Psychiatr Res. 2014; 50:26–35. [PubMed: 24342767]

Wu MC, Lee S, Cai T, Li Y, Boehnke M, Lin X. Rare-variant association testing for sequencing data with the sequence kernel association test. Am J Hum Genet. 2011; 89:82–93. [PubMed: 21737059]

Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2011; 88:76–82. [PubMed: 21167468]

Highlights

- Genetic variation in *FAAH* was associated with DSM-5 cannabis use disorder.
- *CNR1, MGLL, DAGLA*, and *DAGLB* were not associated with DSM-5 cannabis use disorder.
- Previous associations with rs324420 and cannabis use disorders were replicated.

Table 1

SKAT_CommonRare results.

		DSM-5 CUD		DSM-5 MSU	
Gene	**# Tested markers (%rare[a])**	**Q[b]**	**P-value**	**Q**	**P-value**
CNR1	5 (0%)	306.68	0.2736	34.571	0.3241
FAAH	6 (66.67%)	5.728	0.0035[c]	2.499	0.1066
MGLL	6 (83.33%)	0.989	0.6195	1.051	0.5675
DAGLA	4 (75%)	2.535	0.0898	2.666	0.0814
DAGLB	9 (77.78%)	1.236	0.6352	1.167	0.6526

[a]The MAF cutoff for common vs rare variants is calculated in SKAT as follows: 1/ √[2 SampleSize]

[b]The test statistic of SKAT

[c]Survived Bonferroni correction for multiple testing at p < 0.004

Table 2

FAAH SNP results with DSM-5 CUD.

SNP	Alleles[a]	Beta[b]	STAT	P	MAF (sample)	MAF (1000G AMR)[c]
rs4141964	C/T	0.4534	3.062	0.0023	0.4413	49%
rs324420	C/A	0.5115	3.203	0.0014	0.3203	35%

[a] Major/minor

[b] A positive regression coefficient means that the minor allele is interpreted as the risk allele

[c] 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 MAF for the American population retrieved from the 1000 Genomes Browser (http://browser.1000genomes.org/index.html)