#### COMMENTARY AND VIEWS

# Do microbiotas warm their hosts?

Eugene Rosenberg<sup>[a](#page-0-0)</sup> and Ilana Zil[b](#page-0-0)er-Rosenberg<sup>b</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup>Department of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; <sup>b</sup>Independent scientist, Givat Shmuel, Israel

#### **ABSTRACT**

All natural animals and plants are holobionts, consisting of a host and abundant and diverse microbiota. During the last 20 years, numerous studies have shown that microbiotas participate in the ability of their hosts to survive and reproduce in a particular environment in many ways, including contributing to their morphology, development, behavior, physiology, resistance to disease and to their evolution. Here we posit another possible contribution of microbiotas to their hosts, which has been underexplored - the generation of heat. We estimate that microbial metabolism in the human gut, for example, produces 61 kcal/h, which corresponds to approximately 70% of the total heat production of an average person at rest.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 1 March 2016

Revised 23 March 2016 Accepted 19 April 2016

**KEYWORDS** body temperature; holobiont; microbial heat generation; microbiota

Microorganisms, like all cells, produce heat as a byproduct of the enzymatic catabolism of substrates and synthesis of cell material. When expressed per unit weight, a microorganism produces more heat than any other organism.<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup> The amount of heat generated by bacteria depends on the growth substrate, growth rate and growth stage. $^2$  In general, heat production is inversely proportional to growth rate: the faster the growth, the lower the rate of heat production per unit weight. Using micro-calorimeter measurements, it was reported that Bacteroides ruminicola, reclassified as Prevotella ruminicola,<sup>[3](#page-1-2)</sup> grown anaerobically with glucose as a substrate has specific rates of heat production of 135 milliwatts (mW)/g (dry weight) and 247 mW/g at doubling times of 2.5 h and 4 h, respec-tively.<sup>[4](#page-1-3)</sup> Considering the average doubling time of bacteria in the mammalian gut to be  $2.7-2.9$  h,<sup>[5](#page-1-4)</sup> the predicted heat production would be  $168 \pm 11$  mW/g bacteria. This is close to the value (170 mW/g bacteria) reported for Streptococcus bovis growing anaerobi-cally on glucose.<sup>[6](#page-1-5)</sup>

Since 1  $W = 0.24$  cal/sec, the average estimated heat production of gut bacteria, 168 mW/g, would equal 0.0403 cal/sec per g bacteria. Thus, the human colon's resident bacteria, corresponding to ca. 300 g dry weight bacteria (NIH Human Microbiome Project 2012), would produce about 12 cal/sec, or 43 kcal/h.

Assuming the heat was spread over a 70 kg person and there was no heat loss, gut bacteria would raise body temperature by about  $1.0^{\circ}$ C/h. By comparison, the total measured heat produced by a human at rest is about 1 W/kg, or [7](#page-1-6)0 W for an average person, $7$  corresponding to 60 kcal/h. Thus, about 70% of body heat at rest is the result of bacterial metabolism in the gut. The major sources of substrates for microbial growth and heat production in the mammalian intestines are complex non-digestible dietary carbohy-drates<sup>[8](#page-1-7)</sup> and host-derived mucins.<sup>9</sup>

This theoretical argument contains several assumptions, some of which were already mentioned above. Others are that gut bacteria produce heat at a rate similar to P. ruminicola growing slowly in an anaerobic chemostat, and that the dry weight of bacteria in the human gut, which is variable, is ca. 300 g. Regardless of the exact magnitude of the temperature rise, it is clear that microbe-generated heat contributes to maintaining body temperature in animals. Consistent with this concept are the reports that treatment of rab-bits<sup>[10](#page-2-0)</sup> and rodents<sup>[11](#page-2-1)</sup> with antibiotics lowered their body temperature. A similar decrease in body temperature was found in germ-free mice and miniature pig-lets in comparison to conventionally raised animals.<sup>[12](#page-2-2)</sup> Heat output by gut microbiota may also help explain the observation that germ-free mice had 40% less total

CONTACT Eugene Rosenberg eros@post.tau.ac.il Department of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel © 2016 Taylor & Francis

body fat than conventionally raised mice, even if their caloric intake was 29% higher than that of convention-ally raised animals.<sup>[13](#page-2-3)</sup>

In ruminants, termites and other lignocellulosedegrading animals a large part of heat production is the result of microbiota, either directly from microbial metabolism or indirectly by the production of fermentation products that are used by the host for metabolic processes. It was observed that heat production in goats, after emptying the rumen of their microbiota, decreased by about 50%, suggesting that during fasting half of the heat produced by the animal was due to microbial fermentation and half to host metabolism.<sup>[14](#page-2-4)</sup> It was also observed that the amount of heat produced by rumen microbiota is influenced by diet. Certain food additives decreased the temperature of the rumen of steers by optimizing microbial fermentation to pro-duce more propionate and less acetate.<sup>[15](#page-2-5)</sup> Based on these data and the fact that diet influences human gut microbiota composition,<sup>16</sup> we suggest that foods can have warming or cooling properties depending on what changes they bring about in the microbiota. Could this help explain the traditional Chinese medicine concept of heating and cooling foods?

<span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-3"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>To our knowledge the only published report that has considered the warming effect of microbiota in plants involved flowers of the winter-blooming herb Helleborus foetidus.<sup>[17](#page-2-7)</sup> Heat produced by the sugar catabolism of yeast populations inhabiting floral nectar increased the temperature of floral nectar and, more generally, modified the within-flower thermal microenvironment.  $\Delta T$  of nectars was linearly related to log yeast cell density, and reached  $+6^{\circ}$ C in nectars with the densest yeast populations. The thermal effects associated with the presence of yeast in H. foetidus nectar are the outcome of intense fermentative–oxidative sugar metabolism, particularly when it takes place under extreme C: N imbalance,  $^{18}$  as typically found in floral nectar.

<span id="page-1-8"></span><span id="page-1-7"></span><span id="page-1-6"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span>In summary, heat production by symbiotic microbes is a general phenomenon because all animals and plants contain abundant microorganisms and all microorganisms produce heat. Heat the microbiota produces will combine with other sources of body heat, and in warm-blooded animals, temperature will be controlled by the well-studied feedback system. Though the significance of heat production by microbiotas has scarcely been studied, its contribution may have far-reaching implications. It may help warmblooded animals avoid hypothermia in cold climates, and in cold-blooded animals (ectotherms), it can raise the body temperature. In this regard, it would be interesting to examine if evolution selected for microbiotas that produce more heat in animals (and may be also in humans) that live in cold environments compared to those in warm climates.

#### Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

## Acknowledgments

We thank I. Mizrahi for helpful comments on the manuscript.

### References

- [1] Czerkawski JW. A novel estimate of the magnitude of heat produced in the rumen. Br J Nutr 1980; 43:239-43; PMID:[6768380; http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/BJN19800083](http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/BJN19800083)
- [2] Hempfling WP, Mainzer SE. Effects of varying the carbon source limiting growth yield and maintenance characteristics of Escherichia coli in continuous culture. J Bacteriol 1975; 123:1076-87; PMID:[169226](http://dx.doi.org/169226)
- [3] Avgustin G, Wallace RJ, Flint HJ. Phenotypic diversity among ruminal isolates of Prevotella ruminicola: Proposal of Prevotella brevis sp. nov., Prevotella bryantii sp. nov., and Prevotella albensis sp. nov. and redefinition of Prevotella ruminicola. Intl Syst Bacteriol 1997; 47:284-88; http://dx.doi.org[/10.1099/00207713-47-2-284](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-47-2-284)
- [4] Russell JB. Heat production by ruminal bacteria in continuous culture and its relationship to maintenance energy. J Bacteriol 1987; 168:694-701
- [5] Myhrvold C, Kotula JW, Hicks WM, Conway NJ, Silver PA. A distributed cell division counter reveals growth dynamics in the gut microbiota. Nat Comm 2015; 6:1-10; http://dx.doi.org[/10.1038/ncomms10039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10039)
- [6] Russel JB. A re-assessment of bacterial growth efficiency: the heat production and membrane potential of Streptococcus bovis in batch and continuous culture. Arch Microbiol 1991; 155:559-565; PMID[:1953297; http://dx.](http://dx.doi.org/1953297) [doi.org/10.1007/BF00245350](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00245350)
- [7] Henry CJ. Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: Measurement and development of new equations. Pub Health Nutr 2005; 8:1133-52; http://dx.doi.org/[10.1079/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/PHN2005801) [PHN2005801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/PHN2005801)
- [8] Flint HJ, Bayer EA, Rincon MT, Lamed R, White BA. Polysaccharide utilization by gut bacteria: potential for new insights from genomic analysis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2008; 6:121-31; PMID[:18180751; http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/18180751) [10.1038/nrmicro1817](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1817)
- [9] Derrien M, Vaughan EE, Plugge CM. Akkermansia muciniphila gen. nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2004; 54:1469-76; PMID[:15388697; http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/15388697) [10.1099/ijs.0.02873-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02873-0)
- <span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>[10] Fuller A, Mitchell D. Oral antibiotics reduce body temperature of healthy rabbits in a thermoneutral environment. J of Basic and Clinical Physiol and Pharm 2011; 10:1-14; http://dx.doi.org[/10.1515/JBCPP.1999.10.1.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/JBCPP.1999.10.1.1)
- <span id="page-2-1"></span>[11] Kluger MJ, Conn CA, Franklin B, Freter R, Abrams GD. Effect of gastrointestinal flora on body temperature of rats and mice. Am J Physiol 1990; 258:552-57
- <span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>[12] Podoprigora G. Body temperature and the reaction to pyrogenal in germ-free and ordinary animals. Biull Eksp Biol Med 1978; 85:263-4; PMID[:667317; http://dx.doi.](http://dx.doi.org/667317) [org/10.1007/BF00800148](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00800148)
- <span id="page-2-7"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span>[13] Bäckhed F, Ding H, Wang T, Hooper LV, Koh GY, Nagy A, Semenkovich CF, Gordon JI. The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proc Natl Acad Sci (U S A) 2004; 101:15718-23; PMID:[Can](http://dx.doi.org/Can't)'t; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407076101>
- <span id="page-2-8"></span><span id="page-2-4"></span>[14] Torrent J, Johnson DE. The effect of emptying the rumen on the heat production of wethers. Livestock

Prod 1996; 45:93-5; http://dx.doi.org[/10.1016/0301-6226](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-6226(95)00075-5) [\(95\)00075-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-6226(95)00075-5)

- [15] Cho S, Mbiriri DT, Shim K, Lee AL, Oh SJ, Yang J, Ryu C, Kim YH, Seo KS, Chae JI, Oh YK, Choi NJ. The influence of feed energy density and a formulated additive on rumen and rectal temperature in Hanwoo steers. Asian-Austr J Animal Sci. 2014; 27:1652-62; PMID:[25358327](http://dx.doi.org/25358327)
- [16] Graf D, Di Cagno R, Fåk F, Flint HJ, Nyman M, Saarela M, Watzl B. Contribution of diet to the composition of the human gut microbiota. Microb Ecol in Health and Dis. 2015; 26:26164
- [17] Herrera CM, Pozo MI. Nectar yeasts warm the flowers of a winter-blooming plant. Proc Biol Sci 2010; 277:1827-34; PMID:[20147331; http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.2252](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.2252)
- [18] Goddard MR. Quantifying the complexities of Saccharomyces cerevisiae's ecosystem engineering via fermentation. Ecology 2007; 89:2077-82; http://dx.doi.org/ [10.1890/07-2060.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/07-2060.1)