

Erratum to: Pinkham AE, Penn DL, Green MF, Harvey PD. Social cognition psychometric evaluation: results of the initial psychometric study. *Schizophr Bull.* 2015;42(2):494–504.

In [table 5](#), several lines were inadvertently omitted during typesetting, making it appear that the regression model for the SSPA average contained redundant variables. The complete table is provided below, and the formerly missing lines are now in bold.

Table 5. Regression Models Demonstrating the Overall Contribution of the Social Cognitive Tasks to Outcomes

	<i>R</i> ²	Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>b</i> [*]	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>sr</i> ²
UPSA total	.332	.308	13.92	<.001				
BLERT					−.08	−.88	.382	.003
ER-40					.11	1.28	.20	.007
Eyes					.09	.99	.32	.004
Hinting					.29	3.91	<.001	.06
RAD					.19	2.29	.02	.02
TASIT					.13	1.47	.15	.008
SSPA average	.186	.156	6.35	<.001				
BLERT					.04	.39	.70	.000
ER-40					.05	.48	.63	.001
Eyes					.08	.77	.44	.002
Hinting					.29	3.46	.001	.06
RAD					.01	.12	.91	.000
TASIT					.09	.90	.37	.004
SLOF total	.125	.104	6.04	<.001				
BLERT					.21	2.35	.02	.03
Hinting					.04	.52	.60	.001
RAD					−.02	−.26	.79	.000
TASIT					.19	1.94	.05	.02
SLOF-HQ	.190	.159	6.13	<.001				
AIHQ-BS					−.12	−1.52	.13	.01
BLERT					.38	3.83	<.001	.09
Hinting					−.01	−.08	.94	.000
RAD					−.05	−.47	.64	.001
TASIT					.08	.72	.47	.003

Note: SLOF-HQ indicates ratings from high-quality informants (ie, professionals with mental health experience). AIHQ, Ambiguous Intentions Hostility Questionnaire; BLERT, Bell Lysaker Emotion Recognition Task; *b*^{*}, standardized coefficients; RAD, Relationships Across Domains; TASIT, The Awareness of Social Inferences Test.

doi:10.1093/schbul/sbw091
Advance Access publication June 25, 2016