

HHS Public Access

J Am Acad Dermatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 August 23.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

J Am Acad Dermatol. 2008 November ; 59(5): 758–771. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2008.07.018.

A GENE SIGNATURE OF NON-HEALING VENOUS ULCERS: POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC MARKERS

Carlos A. Charles, MD1, **Marjana Tomic-Canic, PhD**4,5, **Vladimir Vincek, MD, PhD**1,3, **Mehdi Nassiri, MD**3, **Olivera Stojadinovic, MD**4, **William H. Eaglstein, MD**1, and **Robert S. Kirsner, MD, PhD**1,2

¹Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA

²Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA

³Department of Pathology, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA

⁴Tissue Repair Lab, Tissue Engineering, Regeneration and Repair Program, Hospital for Special Surgery of the Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY 10021, USA

⁵ Department of Dermatology, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY 10021, USA

Abstract

Background— Venous leg ulcers are responsible for more than half of all lower extremity ulcerations. Significant interest has been focused on understanding the physiologic basis upon which patients fail to heal with standard therapy.

Objective—This study uses complementary DNA microarray analysis of tissue samples from healing and non-healing venous leg ulcers to identify the genetic expression profiles from these dichotomous populations.

Methods—Ulcer size and chronicity, factors that have been identified as prognostic indicators for healing, were used to distribute venous leg ulcers as healing versus non-healing. Punch biopsy samples were obtained from the wound edge and wound bed of all venous leg ulcers. The top fifteen genes with differential expression greater than twofold between the two populations of wounds $(p < 0.05)$ were reported.

Results—Significant differences were demonstrated in the expression of a diverse collection of genes, with particular differences demonstrated by genes coding for structural epidermal proteins, genes associated with hyperproliferation and tissue injury, as well as transcription factors.

Correspondence: Robert S. Kirsner, M.D., PhD, Professor & Vice Chairman, Department of Dermatology & Cutaneous Surgery, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, 1600 N.W. 10th Avenue, RMSB, Room 2023-A, Miami, Florida 33136, 305 243 4472 (office), 305 243 6191 (fax), Rkirsner@med.miami.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Limitations—Small sample size may mitigate potential clinical implications of findings.

Conclusions—The genetic expression profiles displayed here may have implications for the development of novel therapies for chronic venous leg ulcers, and may also serve as prognostic indicators for wound healing.

INTRODUCTION

Venous leg ulcers are responsible for more than half of all lower extremity ulcerations, affecting over one million Americans annually with an annual cost upward of \$2.5 billion dollars (1). The standard of care, multi-layered compression bandages, is effective in only one half to two thirds of patients (2-3). Significant interest has focused on understanding the population of patients who fail to heal with standard therapy. Several theories have suggested that the development of senescent cells within and at the wound edge, deficiency or lack of availability of cytokines or their receptors, and/or the presence of an abnormal wound bed matrix may be responsible for wound chronicity (4-7). Essential to our understanding of wound healing is knowledge of the genetic signals that lead to wound healing progression (8).

Studies have used high-density cDNA microarrays to delineate the gene expression of normal human skin (9-10) and early gene expression profiles of human skin following injury (11-13). Analysis of normal human skin found variability of genetic expression in 1.7% of the genes studied, these included genes coding for transport proteins, transcription, cell signaling proteins, and cell surface proteins. Little variability was identified in the wound matrix genes, growth factor genes and other groups of genes commonly thought to be involved in wound healing, suggesting that any newly identified expressed genes may be important to the wound healing process. Microarray analysis of injured human skin found expression of several genes historically unappreciated in the study of wound healing, specifically cytokine suppressor genes. Furthermore, microarray analysis has been performed to study the temporal analysis of gene expression in wound healing in an animal model, in which the temporal gene expression profile of wound healing was studied in the ear-punched tissue of mice (14). This study identified expression of genes principally related to the inflammatory stage that had not previously been associated with wound healing. Recent microarray study of biopsies of venous ulcers from two different wound edge locations (prior and post debridement) identified distinct wound region that may be better responsive to therapy (15). Finally, the study comparing biopsies obtained from a non – healing edges of venous ulcers to healthy, normal skin revealed de-regulation of keratinocytes activation and differentiation pathways in the epidermis deriving from a venous ulcers non – healing edges (16). In sum, the high-throughput analysis of gene expression by microarray technology in wound healing models has provided for the identification of novel genes that may play a critical role in non-healing.

In the present study we used high throughput cDNA microarray analysis to examine the patterns of local genetic expression to in order compare healing and non-healing chronic venous leg ulcers. Taking advantage of well-described prognostic indicators for wound healing in venous leg ulcers, ulcer size and duration; we grouped wounds into healing and

non-healing groups (17-18). Using biopsy samples obtained from these dichotomous populations of chronic venous leg ulcers, we analyzed genetic expression from keratinocytes, fibroblasts, and other cells, from within the tissue sampled using the microarray technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Patients were recruited according to a protocol approved by the University of Miami School of Medicine Institutional Review Board. Consent was obtained from all patients, and the Declaration of Helsinki protocols were followed. Five patients with non-healing and five patients with healing chronic venous leg ulcers as defined by previous prognostic models for wound healing in venous leg ulcers were studied (17-18). All ulcers were present for at least 4 weeks. Healing venous leg ulcers were defined as ulcers that were less than 5cm^2 in surface area and present for less than 6 months, while non-healing ulcers were greater than 5cm² and present longer than 6 months. Venous etiology of the leg ulcers was determined clinically and confirmed by vascular studies, if needed. Clinical parameters used to identify venous leg ulcers were the presence of a lower leg ulcer and at least two of the following: dermatitis, atrophie blanche, varicosities, hyperpigmentation, or lipodermatosclerosis. If these were not present, patients were studied with duplex venous Doppler ultrasound to document venous insufficiency. Patients with moderate to severe arterial disease were excluded, initially by an ankle brachial index $(<0.9$) and if needed, by pulse volume recordings.

Under local anesthesia, two 4-millimeter punch biopsy specimens were obtained from each venous leg ulcer: one from the wound margin, one from the center of the wound bed. All wounds were subsequently treated as per standard of care for chronic venous leg ulcers (19). Tissue samples were processed using a fixative based on polyethylene glycol and methanol (Universal Molecule Fixative) that preserves the tissue morphology as well as the integrity of the nucleic acids, including DNA and RNA (20).

RNA Extraction and Labeling

Total RNA extraction was performed by addition of Trizol reagent (GibcoBRL, Gaithersburg, MD) and subsequent homogenization using a Tissue Tearor (Biospec Products Inc, Bartlesville, OK). The RNA from homogenized tissue was extracted with chloroform followed by isopropyl precipitation on ice. The RNA pellets were re-suspended in 100 ml of diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. Standard 1% agarose gel under denaturing condition with ethidium bromide was used to assess the integrity of RNA. In addition, RNA was run on an Agilent Technologies Bioanalyzer 2100 using RNA 6000 Nano Chips (Lindenhurst, NY) to determine RNA integrity and the ratio of ribosomal RNA. The quantity of the extracted RNA was determined by spectrophotometery (Ultraspec III, Pharmacia, Netherlands). RNA samples were analyzed at Expression Analysis Inc (Durham, NC) using Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA) Human Genome U133 PLUS 2.0 Array and supporting kits and protocols for preparation of template and hybridization. Shortly, two micrograms of total RNA was used to synthesize double-stranded cDNA using One-Cycle cDNA Synthesis Kit

(Affymetrix) following manufacturer's instructions. In vitro transcription amplification and biotin labeling to prepare targets for arrays was performed using Affymetrix GeneChip IVT Labeling Kit following their protocol. Biotinylated cRNA was cleaned using GeneChip Sample Cleanup Module (Affymetrix). After hybridization for 16 hours, microarrays were washed and stained using Affymetrix standard protocol. Scanned images were processed and analyzed using Affymetrix GCOS software. Two group comparison was done on normalized expression values that were individually transformed using base 2 logarithm of the expression index. On log–transformed scale, the mean was calculated for every probe set within each group and a two sample, two-sided t-test was conducted (MS Excel)

Image Analysis

Following hybridizaton, an Axon GenePix 4000 scanner was used to scan slides and background-subtracted feature intensity was calculated. Quality criteria for inclusion in the data set for further analysis included signal to background > 3, no more than 20% missing or saturated pixels, and a minimum background subtracted signal level > 50. Intensity data was normalized and evaluated using GEMTools software.

Data Analysis

Duplicate hybridizations were performed on microarrays made by Affymetrix ® containing over 47,000 transcripts.

The difference ratios between the signals in healing and non-healing ulcer samples were then calculated for the subset of cases with complete and incomplete responses, identifying those genes that were most different between the two. Gene features that exhibited at least a twofold difference in intensity ratio with respect to the reference RNA in at least 2 wounds were selected for further analysis. A first pass analysis evaluated a 2-cluster classification, using k-means methods, on progressively smaller sets of genes to identify a set with maximum distance between groups. The list was narrowed based on the correlation response. Such analysis (e.g. bootstrapping and/or leave-one-out cross validation) was performed until an optimal group of genes was identified that correlated with wound chronicity or healing. Once duplicates were eliminated top 15 regulated (induced and downregulated) are selected and presented in the tables. Disease associations and gene functions were obtained from several gene and genome search engines: [http://smd.stanford.edu/cgi](http://smd.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/source/sourceResult)[bin/source/sourceResult](http://smd.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/source/sourceResult); [http://genome-www.stanford.edu/genecards/index.shtml;](http://genome-www.stanford.edu/genecards/index.shtml) [http://](http://www.dsi.univ-paris5.fr/genatlas/) www.dsi.univ-paris5.fr/genatlas/

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimal wound healing depends upon the concerted interplay of thousands of genes. Of those identified to be differently expressed between these two groups of venous leg ulcers, several specific genes deserve special mention.

Gene Expression Profile of Healing versus Non-Healing Epidermal Wound Edge

Tables 1 and 2 demonstrate the top fifteen genes that were differentially expressed between the two groups of venous leg ulcers from the keratinocytes of the tissue sampled at the non-

healing wound edge. The most striking finding in this data set is the extent to which genes implicated in epidermal hyperproliferation and tissue repair were differentially expressed. Of additional interest is that the down- regulation (> 250.00) demonstrated in the non-healing wound edges was much greater than the up- regulation (>10.00) evidenced by the nonhealing wounds.

The top activated gene in non-healing edges is secreted frizzled-related protein 4 (SFRP4), a mediator of Wnt signaling. Furthermore, branched chain aminotransferase 1 (BCAT1) was also found up-regulated, and this gene has been associated with c-myc induced tumors. These findings are consistent with previous findings of activation of b-catenin and c-myc in non-healing edges of venous ulcers (16). Another novel finding revealed up- regulation of cytochrome P450 (CYP1B1) and 17-beta-hydroxisteroid dehydrogenase VI (HSD17B6), genes associated with steroidogenesis . CYP1B1 has been found induced in keratinocytes upon challenge with UVB (21-22). Up- regulation of steroidogenesis associated genes suggests that steroid synthesis and metabolism may participate in the pathogenesis of non – healing ulcers . Secreted frizzled-related protein 4 have shown increased expression in disease processes associated with increased cell death (23), particularly those where apoptosis is occurring, such as heart failure and degenerative retinal disease and this propensity for cellular apoptotosis may have implications for impaired tissue regeneration in wound healing. Furthermore, adhesion molecules such as selectin E (SELE) have been shown to be expressed on activated endothelium and platelets at sites of vascular injury and inflammation. P and E selectin have been associated with microvascular dysfunction in chronic venous insufficiency, and studies have identified the importance of their combined roles in the process of wound healing (24). A study of mice deficient in both P- and Eselectins demonstrated markedly reduced recruitment of inflammatory cells and impaired wound clousure. Additionally, a wider epithelial gap was observed in the wounds of the Pand E-selectin-double-deficient mice 3 days after wounding indicating delayed keratinocyte migration.

Of the top twenty genes down- regulated more than 2 fold in the non-healing wound edge, majority genes express protein products that are considered crucial to epidermal structural integrity or are associated with epidermal injury, repair, hyperproliferation and or differentiation. Interestingly, the predominant gene group that is down- regulated are keratins. The gene that demonstrated the greatest downregulation in the non-healing epidermal wound edge was that which codes for keratin 16 (KRT16) (−258.78), a gene product that has been associated with cutaneous injury and timely epidermal repair (25).Two of its heterodimeric partners, keratin 6A (KRT6A) (−61.50) and 6B (KRT6B) (−43.98), which are thought to play an important role in epidermal regeneration and have been shown to be over-expressed in cutaneous injury and epithelial repair, demonstrated significant downregulation in the non-healing venous ulcer edges (26). Closely functionally related is also keratin 17 (KRT17) which is also participating in epidermal repair and may also play a role in contractility. Keratinocyte migration is also deficient in chronic wounds (16). Keratins K16, K6a, K6b and K17 play a role in epithelial migration and their downregulation may contribute to lack of epithelial migration. Finally, keratins K14 and K1 were also found down- regulated. Keratin K14 is basal layer specific and demarcates the mitotically active compartment whereas keratin 1 demarcates differentiating keratins. Thus,

keratins marking all three epidermal phenotypes: basal, differentiating and activated (wound-healing like) were down- regulated, further suggesting that all three biological processes essential for maintenance of epidermis are affected. Furthermore, a cluster of differentiation associated genes that participate in stratification, cornification and desmosome formation (stratifin (SFN) , cornifin (CFN) , filaggrin (FIL) and desmoplakin (DSP)) were also found to be down- regulated, suggesting that differentiation process is not properly executed. This data are in agreement with our previous findings of de-regulation of differentiation in non-healing edges of venous ulcers when compared to healthy skin (16). Other genes that deserve attention in these top twenty down- regulated genes demonstrated in the non-healing wound edges are the skin-derived anti leukoproteinase(SKALP/elafin) (−126.11) , S100 calcium binding protein A7 (S100A7) (−120.44), and Aquaporin 3 (AQP3) (−48.01). The SKALP/elafin gene has been described as an epidermal proteinase inhibitor (27). It is absent in the normal epidermis, however it has been shown to be expressed following epidermal injury and is also present in inflammatory skin conditions such as psoriasis. The exact physiologic role of SKALP/elafin is unknown; however it has been associated with cutaneous homeostasis involved in the regulation of inflammation via neutrophilic regulation. The S100A7 gene expresses the protein products that have been proposed to be involved in keratinocyte differentiation. This is a family of calcium-activated signaling proteins that interact with target proteins to modulate skin disease and their levels are markedly elevated in psoriatic epidermis, suggesting a role in epidermal proliferation (28). The Aquaporin 3 gene expresses for aquaporins, which are a family of small water and/or glycerol transmembrane channels. Eleven mammalian aquaporins have been described so far. Specifically, AQ3 is an aquaglyceroporin with expression in the kidney collecting cells, red cells, dendritic and epithelial cells. Aquaporin 3 deficient mice demonstrate delayed wound healing with decreased epidermal water and glycerol content and decreased skin elasticity (29).

It is quite surprising that none of the genes that are classically thought to be involved in stimulating wound repair, such as those which encode for platelet-derived growth factor or keratinocyte growth factor, were significantly down- regulated in the non-healing epidermal wound edges.

Gene Expression Profile of Healing versus Non-Healing Dermal Wound Bed

Tables 3 and 4 demonstrate the top fifteen genes that were differentially expressed between the two groups of venous leg ulcers from the cells sampled at the wound bed. Interestingly, the extent of differential gene expression between the two groups of ulcers is much less than that seen in the wound edge cells. This piece of data may be indicative of the importance of proper epithelial migration for appropriate wound closure.

The tissue sampled from the non-healing wound bed also demonstrated a heterogeneous group of genes that were up- regulated greater than two-fold. The gene that demonstrated the greatest extent of up- regulation, properdin (BF) (+8.41), codes for a factor of the alternative pathway of complement activation known as complement factor B, implicating an association between immune function and optimal wound healing. In addition, strong properdin induction was noticed by turbulent flow and possibly associated with

atherosclerosis (30) Additionally, of the top twenty up- regulated genes from non-healing dermal wound bed cells, several code for proteins that have been directly associated with tissue injury, extracellular matrix formation, and the wound healing process. The extracellular matrix protein dermatopontin (DPT) $(+7.77)$ has been shown to play a critical role in tissue elasticity and collagen accumulation necessary for collagen fibrillogenesis in *in* vivo murine wound healing models (31). Additionally, transforming growth factor-beta 1 can increase the expression of dermatopontin in normal cultured human skin fibroblasts indicating a potential association between dermatopontin and cytokines critical in the wound healing process (32). Another important group of extracellular matrix proteins important in the wound healing process are the fibulins. Fibulin 1 (FBLN1) (+3.50) has been found to be present in normal skin granulation tissue and wounds; but has not been shown to be distinctly up- regulated during the healing process of murine wounds (33). Two other genes that deserve special mention in this group of upregulated genes are thrombospondin 1 (THBS1) (+3.39) and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFRA) (+3.36). The protein encoded by thrombospondin 1 is a multifunctional extracellular matrix molecule that has been shown to be involved in re-epithelialization as well as dermal reorganization in murine wound models (34). Additionally, this matricellular glycoprotein has been associated with skin angiogenesis through its interactions with the cytokine vascular endothelial growth factor (35). Lastly, the association between platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and wound healing has been well described.

The tissue sampled from the wound bed demonstrated a diverse array of genes that were also down- regulated greater than two-fold. A number of these genes also deserve special mention. The gene that encodes for the protein kazrin (KIAA1026) (−2.75) may be important for epidermal repair and the wound healing process. Kazrin is a novel component of desmosomes that associates with periplakin (36). Interestingly, two tumor suppressor genes were significantly down- regulated in this non-healing population of wounds, retinoblastoma binding protein 6 (RBBP6) (−2.57) and SAM and SH3 domain containing 1 (SASH1) (−2.49), implicating a role of altered cell cycle regulation in normal wound healing. A novel macrophage expressed gene 1 (MPEG1) (−2.48) was found downregulated in a wound bed of non – healing ulcers. Macrophages play an important role in the adult inflammatory response to wounding and are responsible for cellular debridement. They recruit other inflammatory and fibroblastic cells and influence cell proliferation and tissue remodeling as a source of growth factors and cytokines (37) . Interestingly, a disintegrinlike and metalloproteinase with trombospondin type 1 motif, 14 (ADAMTS14) (−2.27) was found down- regulated as well. Down- regulation of ADAMTS-14 in a wound bed of nonhealing wounds may play a role in decreased collagen synthesis and consequently to improper wound bed formation. Lastly, of great importance, down- regulation was demonstrated in heparin-binding epidermal growth factor – like growth factor (HBEGF) (−2.40), a gene that has clearly been associated with appropriate wound healing. Studies of wound healing have revealed that wound closure is markedly impaired in keratinocytespecific HB-EGF-deficient mice (38) and that ligand shedding of heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor is important for keratinocyte migration and proper wound epithelialization (39). Furthermore, HB-EGF has been shown to be a major growth factor component of wound fluid and, since it is mitogenic for fibroblasts and keratinocytes it plays an important

role in wound healing (40) Therefore down– regulation of HB- EGF in biopsies deriving from a wound bed of non – healing ulcers can partly explain phenotype of non – healing wounds. It is noteworthy that - SMART/HDAC1 associated repressor protein (SHARP), was also down- regulated indicating that perhaps histones may be acetylated and that chromatin changes may favor transcriptional activity.

The findings of the present study demonstrate a diversity of genetic expression associated with wound healing in chronic venous leg ulcers. Altered expression was seen in genes that code for structural factors, mediators of inflammation, and apoptotic pathways. While the significance of this information is yet to be determined, this study provides a unique understanding by demonstrating that healing and non-healing venous leg ulcers do display a unique and dichotomous genetic physiology. With this information, future studies may focus on topical growth factors and/or genetically modified tissue engineered skin that may optimize the wound environment for optimal healing. Furthermore, the factors demonstrated in this study may represent physiologic prognostic indicators of wound healing and may be useful for stratifying venous leg ulcers according to their potential to heal. This may help clinicians to identify venous leg ulcers that may require advanced wound healing treatment modalities in conjunction with compression therapy at the outset of treatment. Additionally, studies should be conducted to evaluate the information described herein for the development of improved therapeutic approaches. Techniques such as reverse transcriptase real-time polymerase chain reaction may be employed to study the gene expression of a similar population of large group of venous leg ulcers to help further investigate the significance of the present findings.

In conclusion, significant differences exist in the genetic expression between healing and non-healing venous leg ulcers. These findings should help to identify the aberrant physiologic processes associated with impaired tissue repair in this population of wounds. More importantly, the genetic expression profiles displayed here may have implications for the development of novel therapies for chronic venous leg ulcers, and may also serve as prognostic indicators for healing.

AKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our research is supported by the National Institutes of Health grants NR008029; AG030673 and a pilot award from the UL1RR024996 Center for Translational Science Award of the Weill Cornell Medical College.

References

- 1. Valencia IC, Falabella A, Kirsner RS, Eaglstein WH. Chronic venous insufficiency and venous leg ulceration. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2001; 44:401–421. [PubMed: 11209109]
- 2. Falanga V, Margolis D, Alvarez O, et al. Healing of venous ulcers and lack of clinical rejection with an allogeneic cultured human skin equivalent. Arch Dermatol. 1998; 134:293–300. [PubMed: 9521027]
- 3. Phillips TJ. Successful methods of treating venous ulcers: the tried and true, plus the novel and new. Postgrad Med. 1999; 105:159–179. [PubMed: 10335328]
- 4. Stanley A, Osler T. Senescence and the healing rates of venous ulcers. J Vasc Surg. 2001; 33:1206– 1211. [PubMed: 11389419]

- 5. Mendez MV, Raffetto JD, Phillips T, Menzoian JO, Park HY. The proliferative capacity of neonatal skin fibroblasts is reduced after exposure to venous ulcer wound fluid: A potential mechanism for senescence in venous ulcers. J Vasc Surg. 1999; 30:734–743. [PubMed: 10514213]
- 6. Schäfer M, Werner S. Transcriptional control of wound repair. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2007; 723:69–92. [PubMed: 17474876]
- 7. Raja, Sivamani K, Garcia MS, Isseroff RR. Wound re-epithelialization: modulating keratinocyte migration in wound healing. Front Biosc. 2007; 12:2849–68.
- 8. Martin P. Wound Healing: Aiming for perfect skin regeneration. Science. 1997; 276:75–81. [PubMed: 9082989]
- 9. Cole J, Tsou R, Wallace K, Gibran N, Isik F. Comparison of normal human skin gene expression using cDNA microarrays. Wound Rep Reg. 2001; 9:77–85.
- 10. Gazel A, Ramphal P, Rosdy M, et al. Transcriptional profiling of epidermal keratinocytes: comparison of genes expressed in skin, cultured keratinocytes, and reconstituted epidermis, using large DNA microarrays. J Invest Dermatol. 2003; 121:1459–68. [PubMed: 14675197]
- 11. Cole J, Tsou R, Wallace K, Girbran N, Isik F. Early gene expression profile of human skin to injury using high-density cDNA microarrays. Wound Rep Reg. 2001; 9:360–371.
- 12. Tomic-Canic M, Brem H. Gene array technology and pathogenesis of chronic wounds. Am J Surg. 188(1A Suppl):67–72. 2004. [PubMed: 15223505]
- 13. Blumenberg M, Tomic-Canic M. Gene Profiling: Implications in Dermatology) Expert Review of Dermatology. 2007; 2:763–768. [PubMed: 27525033]
- 14. Samulewicz SJ, Seitz A, Clark L, Heber-Katz E. Expression of preadipocyte factor-1(Pref-1), a delta-like protein, in healing mouse ears. Wound Repair Regen. 2002; 10:215–221. [PubMed: 12191003]
- 15. Brem H, Stojadinovic O, Diegelmann RF, et al. Molecular markers in patients with chronic wounds to guide surgical debridement. Mol Med. 2007; 13:30–9. [PubMed: 17515955]
- 16. Stojadinovic O, Brem H, Vouthounis C, Lee B, Fallon J, Stallcup M, Merchant A, Galiano RD, Tomic-Canic M. Molecular Pathogenesis of Chronic Wounds: The Role of ß-Catenin and c-myc in the Inhibition of Epithelialization and Wound Healing. Amer J Path. 2005; 167:59–69. [PubMed: 15972952]
- 17. Margolis DJ, Berlin JA, Strom BL. Which venous leg ulcers will heal with limb compression bandages? Am J Med. 2000; 109:15–9. [PubMed: 10936473]
- 18. Phillips TJ, Machado F, Trout R, et al. Prognostic indicators in venous ulcers. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2000; 43:627–30. [PubMed: 11004617]
- 19. De Araujo TS, Hexsel CL, Kirsner RS. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med. 2005; 7:131–138. [PubMed: 15935121]
- 20. Vincek V, Nassiri M, Nadji M. A tissue fixative that protects macromolecules (DNA, RNA, and protein) and histomorphology in clinical samples. Lab Invest. 2003; 83:1427–1435. [PubMed: 14563944]
- 21. Villard PH, Sampol E, Elkaim JL, Puyoou F, Casanova D, Seree E, Durand A, Laca relle B. Increase of CYP1B1 transcription in human keratinocytes and HaCaT cells after UV-B exposure. Toxicol Applied Pharmacol. 2002; 178:137–143.
- 22. Yengi LG, Xiang Q, Pan J, Scatina J, Kao J, Ball SE, Fruncillo R, Ferron G, Roland Wolff C. Quantitation of cytochrome P450 mRNA levels in human skin. Annal Biochem. 2003; 316:103– 110.
- 23. Jones S, Jomary C. Secreted Frizzled-related proteins: searching for relationships and patterns. Bioessays. 2002; 24:811–820. [PubMed: 12210517]
- 24. Subramaniam M, Saffaripour S, Van De Water L, Frenette PS, Mayadas TN, Hynes RO, Wagner DD. Role of endothelial selectins in wound repair. Am J Pathol. 1997; 150:1701–1709. [PubMed: 9137094]
- 25. Mazzalupo S, Wong P, Martin P, Coulombe PA. Role for keratins 6 and 17 during wound closure in embryonic mouse skin. Dev Dyn. 2003; 226:356–65. [PubMed: 12557214]
- 26. Wojcik SM, Bundman DS, Roop DR. Delayed wound healing in keratin 6a knockout mice. Mol Cell Biol. 2000; 20:5248–5255. [PubMed: 10866680]

- 27. Alkemade HA, Molhuizen HO, van Vlijmen-Willems IM, et al. Differential expression of SKALP/ Elafin in human epidermal tumors. Am J Pathol. 1993; 143:1679–1687. [PubMed: 8256855]
- 28. Eckert RL, Broome AM, Ruse M, et al. S100 proteins in the epidermis. Invest Dermatol. 2004; 123:23–33.
- 29. Zheng X, Bollinger Bollag W. Aquaporin 3 colocates with phospholipase d2 in caveolin-rich membrane microdomains and is downregulated upon keratinocyte differentiation. Invest Dermatol. 2003; 121:1487–1495.
- 30. Bongrazio M, Pries AR, Zakrzewicz A. The endothelium as physiological source of properdin: role of wall shear stress. Mol Immunol. 2003; 39:669–75. [PubMed: 12493642]
- 31. Takeda U, Utani A, Wu J. Targeted disruption of dermatopontin causes abnormal collagen fibrillogenesis. J Invest Dermatol. 2002; 119:678–683. [PubMed: 12230512]
- 32. Kuroda K, Okamoto O, Shinkai H. Dermatopontin expression is decreased in hypertrophic scar and systemic sclerosis skin fibroblasts and is regulated by transforming growth factor-beta1, interleukin-4, and matrix collagen. J Invest Dermatol. 1999; 112:706–10. [PubMed: 10233760]
- 33. Fassler R, Sasaki T, Timpl R. Differential regulation of fibulin, tenascin-C, and nidogen expression during wound healing of normal and glucocorticoid-treated mice. Exp Cell Res. 1996; 222:111– 116. [PubMed: 8549652]
- 34. DiPietro LA, Nissen NN, Gamelli RL. Thrombospondin 1 synthesis and function in wound repair. Am J Pathol. 1996; 148:1851–1860. [PubMed: 8669471]
- 35. Detmar M. The role of VEGF and thrombospondins in skin angiogenesis. J Dermatol Sci. 2000; 24(Suppl 1):S78–84. [PubMed: 11137400]
- 36. Groot KR, Sevilla LM, Nishi K. Kazrin, a novel periplakin-interacting protein associated with desmosomes and the keratinocyte plasma membrane. J Cell Biol. 2004; 30(166):653–659. [PubMed: 15337775]
- 37. Hopkinson-Woolley J, Hughes D, Gordon S, Martin P. Macrophage recruitment during limb development and wound healing in the embryonic and foetal mouse. J Cell Sci. 1994; 107:1159– 1167. [PubMed: 7929625]
- 38. Shirakata Y, Kimura R, Nanba D. Heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor accelerates keratinocyte migration and skin wound healing. J Cell Sci. 2005; 118:2363–70. [PubMed: 15923649]
- 39. Tokumaru S, Higashiyama S, Endo T, Nakagawa T, Miyagawa JI, Yamamori K, et al. Ectodomain shedding of epidermal growth factor receptor ligands is required for keratinocyte migration in cutaneous wound healing. J Cell Biol. 2000; 151:209–220. [PubMed: 11038170]
- 40. Marikovsky M, Breuing K Lui PY, Eriksson E, Higashiyama S, Farber P, et al. Appearance of heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor in wound fluid as a response to injury. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1993; 90:3889–3993. [PubMed: 8483908]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

 Author ManuscriptAuthor Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Top15 down-regulated genes in the edge of non-healing ulcers compared to the edge of healing ulcers. Top15 down- regulated genes in the edge of non-healing ulcers compared to the edge of healing ulcers.

Top 15 up- regulated genes in the bed of non-healing ulcers compared to the bed of healing ulcers.

Top 15 up- regulated genes in the bed of non-healing ulcers compared to the bed of healing ulcers.

Table 3

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

