## **NANO EXPRESS** Open Access and the set of the



# Comparative Study on Single-Molecule Junctions of Alkane- and Benzene-Based Molecules with Carboxylic Acid/Aldehyde as the Anchoring Groups

Fang Chen, Lin-Lu Peng, Ze-Wen Hong, Jin-Chuan Mao, Ju-Fang Zheng, Yong Shao, Zhen-Jiang Niu and Xiao-Shun Zhou\*

## Abstract

We have measured the alkane and benzene-based molecules with aldehyde and carboxylic acid as anchoring groups by using the electrochemical jump-to-contact scanning tunneling microscopy break junction (ECSTM-BJ) approach. The results show that molecule with benzene backbone has better peak shape and intensity than those with alkane backbone. Typically, high junction formation probability for same anchoring group (aldehyde and carboxylic acid) with benzene backbone is found, which contributes to the stronger attractive interaction between Cu and molecules with benzene backbone. The present work shows the import role of backbone in junction, which can guide the design molecule to form effective junction for studying molecular electronics.

Keywords: Single-molecule junctions, ECSTM-BJ, Junction formation probability, Carboxylic acid, Aldehyde

## Background

In recent years, single-molecule junctions have attracted wide attention because of its potential application in nano-electronic and molecular electronic device [[1](#page-4-0)–[10](#page-4-0)]. At present stage, it is important to fully understand the electron transport of single-molecule junctions and its influence factors [\[11\]](#page-4-0). Many factors can affect the conductance of single-molecule junctions, such as anchoring group, molecule structure, the contact configuration between molecule and electrode, and temperature [[5, 7](#page-4-0), [12](#page-4-0)–[17](#page-4-0)]. Among them, anchoring group is very important in forming the molecular junction, and it was found that different anchoring groups have different junction formation probabilities [[14](#page-4-0), [18](#page-4-0)–[20](#page-4-0)]. However, another interesting question is still unclear that how molecular structure would influence the junction formation probability for same anchoring group.

In this work, we will focus on the junction formation probability of same anchoring group with different

\* Correspondence: [xszhou@zjnu.edu.cn](mailto:xszhou@zjnu.edu.cn)

molecular structures (saturated and conjugated structure) by using electrochemical jump-to-contact scanning tunneling microscopy break junction (ECSTM-BJ) approach (Fig. [1a\)](#page-1-0) [[21, 22\]](#page-4-0). Aldehyde and carboxylic acid anchoring groups binding to Cu electrode are used in the current study, for they have been demonstrated to form effective junctions [\[23](#page-4-0)–[25\]](#page-4-0). We use 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid and pentanedioic acid as target molecules (Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)) to study the influence of different structures on the junction formation probability. Those molecules have different backbones with saturated (alkane) or conjugated (benzene) structure.

## Methods

 $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  (99.995 %) and  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>$  (99.999 %) were purchased from Alfa-Aesar, while petanedioic acid, 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid, glutaraldehyde, and 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ultrapure water ( $\geq$ 18.2 M $\Omega$  cm) was used for preparing aqueous solutions. Naturally formed Au(111) was used as the substrate, and cut Pt-Ir STM tip was covered with thermosetting glue to reduce the electrochemical current.



© 2016 The Author(s). Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Advanced Catalysis Materials, Institute of Physical Chemistry, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang 321004, China

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Meantime, Pt and Cu wires were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively.

The conductance measurement was performed by ECSTM-BJ approach on the modified Nanoscope IIIa STM (Veeco, USA); especially, preamplifier with fourout linear current-to-voltage converters was used [\[23](#page-4-0)]. The experiment was carried out in aqueous solution containing saturated target molecule + 1 mM  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>$  + 50 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  as following: Firstly, the tip potential was set at −5 mV to allow the bulk deposition of Cu. Secondly, after applying the pulse voltage on z-piezo, the deposited Cu on the tip would transfer to the substrate and build a metallic contact due to the tip closed to the surface. Thirdly, Cu atomic wire could be formed during the separation of tip and substrate with 20 nm/s, and then, molecule could simultaneously bridge to the both electrodes upon the breaking of metal atomic wire. The tip current vs. distance curves were recorded with sampling frequency of 20 kHz. More detailed procedure can be seen in our previously reports [[22, 23\]](#page-4-0).

#### Results and Discussion

## Comparative Study on Single-Molecule Conductance of Glutaraldehyde and 1,4-Benzenedicarboxaldehyde with Cu Electrode

We firstly measure the conductance of Cu-glutaraldehyde-Cu junction. Conductance curves with obvious step can be seen in Fig. [2a](#page-2-0) and then were treated by logarithm and binning to construct the histogram (Fig. [2c](#page-2-0)). A peak at 10−3.45  $G_0$  (27 nS) is found in the Fig. [2c.](#page-2-0) Comparing with glutaraldehyde, pronounced peak at  $10^{-3.6}$  G<sub>0</sub> (19 nS) is found for 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde (Fig. [2b](#page-2-0), [d\)](#page-2-0), and this value is consistent with our previously report [\[23\]](#page-4-0). Obviously, the peak intensity of 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde is higher than that of glutaraldehyde. And the different intensity of peaks between glutaraldehyde and 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde in the histograms may show internal property of benzene and alkane backbone.

Then, we also construct histograms by using linear binsize. Obvious difference is again observed for those molecules in Fig. [3.](#page-2-0) While rather weak peak can be found for petanedioic acid (Fig. [3a](#page-2-0)), pronounced peak is shown for 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde (Fig. [3b\)](#page-2-0). Conductance values of 4 and 11 nS are found for 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde, which is different from the conductance value shown in log-scale histogram (Fig. [2d\)](#page-2-0). This is caused by the different statistical methods between linear and logarithm bin-size, and different molecule-electrode configurations are shown in those histograms [\[23](#page-4-0)]. As our previously report, we can also obtain all conductance values of 4, 11, and 20 nS using data selection with linear bin-size [\[23\]](#page-4-0). However, the linear-bin histograms show even large difference of intensity between molecules with benzene and alkane backbone.

Return back to the difference histograms between benzene and alkane backbone, the weak peak can be caused by the less probability in the forming the molecular junctions. Usually, the junction formation probability can be analyzed by stretched distance distribution [\[26, 27](#page-4-0)] or counting the number of curves with step [\[28, 29\]](#page-4-0) as previously reports. Here, we manually analyze the opportunity of step (typically, the curve with step length longer than 0.05 nm) in conductance curves showing step value smaller than  $10^{-2}$  G<sub>0</sub>, it is found that step opportunity is around 40 % in forming junction of 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde, while around 22 % for glutaraldehyde. From above, we can

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

conclude that the anchoring group of aldehyde with benzene backbone has high junction formation probability than that with alkane backbone connecting with Cu electrode, and we will discuss it later.

### Comparative Study on Single-Molecule Conductance of Pentanedioic Acid and 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic Acid with Cu Electrode

In order to prove the role of backbone in forming molecular junction, we also use carboxylic acid as the anchoring group to comparing difference between petanedioic acid and 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid. As shown in Fig. [4,](#page-3-0) similar behavior is also found that 1,4 benzenedicarboxylic acid shows more pronounced peak

comparing with petanedioic acid. Again, different conductance values are found in different statistical method between linear and log bin-size. According to Fig. [4](#page-3-0), the junction formation probability of 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid is higher than that of petanedioic acid in both linear-scale and log-scale statistical histograms. We found that the step opportunity of 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid and petanedioic acid is around 51 % and 33 %, respectively, which illustrates the similar results as the 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde and glutaraldehyde. However, molecules with carboxylic acid have larger junction formation probability than those with aldehyde anchoring group; this may be caused by that carboxylic acid can also bind to the Cu through carboxylate form with



<span id="page-3-0"></span>

two O atoms binding to the electrode, while only one O atom can bind to the electrode for aldehyde group.

#### The Role of Backbone in Forming Molecular Junction

According to above results, those molecules with benzene backbone have higher junction formation probabilities than those with alkane backbone connecting with Cu electrode, which should be caused by the stronger interaction between anchoring group and Cu in 1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde and 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid.

Taking carboxylic acid as example, carboxylic acid binds to the Cu electrode through carboxylate group [[30\]](#page-4-0). It was reported that the bond length of Cu-O for benzene system is shorter than that of alkane system, which may reveal that benzene-based molecule and Cu system has stronger attractive interaction than that of alkane-based molecule and Cu system [\[30, 31](#page-4-0)]. This can explain our result that the junction formation probability of 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid is higher than that of petanedioic acid. We deduce the similar situation for molecules with aldehyde anchoring group, since similar Cu–O bond is formed in the junctions [[23\]](#page-4-0). The current work shows the import role of backbone in forming molecular junctions and may help the design of molecule in studying the electron transport of singlemolecule junction.

#### Conclusions

In this work, we have measured the single molecular junction conductance of molecules with aldehyde and carboxylic acid anchoring groups. It has been found that the structure of backbone can influence the junction formation probability for same anchoring group (aldehyde and carboxylic acid), which contributes to the stronger attractive interaction between Cu and molecules with benzene backbone. Those results can guide the design molecule to form effective junction for studying molecular electronics.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LR15B030002) and the financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21573198 and 21273204).

#### Authors' Contributions

FC, LLP, and ZWH carried out the experiments; JCM and JFZ analyzed the results. FC, YS, ZJN, and XSZ conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the results, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Authors' Information

FC is a Master's degree student under the supervision of XSZ in the Institute of Physical Chemistry, Zhejiang Normal University, China.

#### Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 8 July 2016 Accepted: 17 August 2016 Published online: 26 August 2016

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>References

- Xiang D, Wang X, Jia C, Lee T, Guo X (2016) Molecular-scale electronics: from concept to function. Chem Rev 116:4318–4440
- Huang C, Rudnev AV, Hong W, Wandlowski T (2015) Break junction under electrochemical gating: testbed for single-molecule electronics. Chem Soc Rev 44:889–901
- 3. Arroyo CR, Frisenda R, Moth-Poulsen K, Seldenthuis JS, Bjornholm T, van der Zant HSJ (2013) Quantum interference effects at room temperature in OPVbased single-molecule junctions. Nanoscale Res Lett 8:1–6
- 4. Venkataraman L, Klare JE, Nuckolls C, Hybertsen MS, Steigerwald ML (2006) Dependence of single-molecule junction conductance on molecular conformation. Nature 442:904–907
- 5. Sedghi G, Garcia-Suarez VM, Esdaile LJ, Anderson HL, Lambert CJ, Martin S, Bethell D, Higgins SJ, Elliott M, Bennett N et al (2011) Long-range electron tunnelling in oligo-porphyrin molecular wires. Nat Nanotechnol 6:517–523
- 6. Guo CL, Wang K, Zerah-Harush E, Hamill J, Wang B, Dubi Y, Xu BQ (2016) Molecular rectifier composed of DNA with high rectification ratio enabled by intercalation. Nat Chem 8:484–490
- 7. Darwish N, Aragones AC, Darwish T, Ciampi S, Diez-Perez I (2014) Multi-responsive photo- and chemo-electrical single-molecule switches. Nano Lett 14:7064–7070
- 8. Fujii S, Tada T, Komoto Y, Osuga T, Murase T, Fujita M, Kiguchi M (2015) Rectifying electron-transport properties through stacks of aromatic molecules inserted into a self-assembled cage. J Am Chem Soc 137:5939–5947
- 9. Manrique DZ, Huang C, Baghernejad M, Zhao X, Al-Owaedi OA, Sadeghi H, Kaliginedi V, Hong W, Gulcur M, Wandlowski T et al (2015) A quantum circuit rule for interference effects in single-molecule electrical junctions. Nat Commun 6:6389
- 10. Zhou XS, Mao BW, Amatore C, Compton RG, Marignier J-L, Mostafavi M, Nierengarten J-F, Maisonhaute E (2016) Transient electrochemistry: beyond simply temporal resolution. Chem Commun 52:251–263
- 11. Sun L, Diaz-Fernandez YA, Gschneidtner TA, Westerlund F, Lara-Avila S, Moth-Poulsen K (2014) Single-molecule electronics: from chemical design to functional devices. Chem Soc Rev 43:7378–7411
- 12. Tao NJ (2006) Electron transport in molecular junctions. Nat Nanotechnol 1: 173–181
- 13. Rascón-Ramos H, Artés JM, Li Y, Hihath J (2015) Binding configurations and intramolecular strain in single-molecule devices. Nat Mater 14:517–522
- 14. Chen F, Li XL, Hihath J, Huang ZF, Tao NJ (2006) Effect of anchoring groups on single-molecule conductance: comparative study of thiol-, amine-, and carboxylic-acid-terminated molecules. J Am Chem Soc 128:15874–15881
- 15. Aradhya SV, Meisner JS, Krikorian M, Ahn S, Parameswaran R, Steigerwald ML, Nuckolls C, Venkataraman L (2012) Dissecting contact mechanics from quantum interference in single-molecule junctions of stilbene derivatives. Nano Lett 12:1643–1647
- 16. Capozzi B, Xia J, Adak O, Dell EJ, Liu Z-F, Taylor JC, Neaton JB, Campos LM, Venkataraman L (2015) Single-molecule diodes with high rectification ratios through environmental control. Nat Nanotechnol 10:522–527
- 17. Zhou XY, Wang YH, Qi HM, Zheng JF, Niu ZJ, Zhou XS (2014) Singlemolecule conductance of dipyridines binding to Ag electrodes measured by electrochemical scanning tunneling microscopy break junction. Nanoscale Res Lett 9:77
- 18. Kaliginedi V, Rudnev AV, Moreno-Garcia P, Baghernejad M, Huang C, Hong W, Wandlowski T (2014) Promising anchoring groups for single-molecule conductance measurements. Phys Chem Chem Phys 16:23529–23539
- 19. Cheng ZL, Skouta R, Vazquez H, Widawsky JR, Schneebeli S, Chen W, Hybertsen MS, Breslow R, Venkataraman L (2011) In situ formation of highly conducting covalent Au-C contacts for single-molecule junctions. Nat Nanotechnol 6:353–357
- 20. Mishchenko A, Zotti LA, Vonlanthen D, Bürkle M, Pauly F, Cuevas JC, Mayor M, Wandlowski T (2011) Single-molecule junctions based on nitrileterminated biphenyls: a promising new anchoring group. J Am Chem Soc 133:184–187
- 21. Zhou XS, Wei YM, Liu L, Chen ZB, Tang J, Mao BW (2008) Extending the capability of STM break junction for conductance measurement of atomic-size nanowires: an electrochemical strategy. J Am Chem Soc 130:13228–13230
- 22. Zhou XS, Liang JH, Chen ZB, Mao BW (2011) An electrochemical jump-to-contact STM-break junction approach to construct single molecular junctions with different metallic electrodes. Electrochem Commun 13:407–410
- 23. Hong ZW, Chen F, Wang YH, Mao JC, Li DF, Tang Y, Shao Y, Niu ZJ, Zhou XS (2015) The binding sites of carboxylic acid group contacting to Cu electrode. Electrochem Commun 59:48–51
- 24. Peng ZL, Chen ZB, Zhou XY, Sun YY, Liang JH, Niu ZJ, Zhou XS, Mao BW (2012) Single molecule conductance of carboxylic acids contacting Ag and Cu electrodes. J Phys Chem C 116:21699–21705
- 25. Wang YH, Hong ZW, Sun YY, Li DF, Han D, Zheng JF, Niu ZJ, Zhou XS (2014) Tunneling decay constant of alkanedicarboxylic acids: different dependence on the metal electrodes between air and electrochemistry. J Phys Chem C 118:18756–18761
- 26. Hong W, Manrique DZ, Moreno-García P, Gulcur M, Mishchenko A, Lambert CJ, Bryce MR, Wandlowski T (2012) Single molecular conductance of tolanes: experimental and theoretical study on the junction evolution dependent on the anchoring group. J Am Chem Soc 134:2292–2304
- 27. Yoo PS, Kim T (2015) High probability of single molecule junction formation with Ag electrodes. Curr Appl Phys 15:124–128
- 28. Li XL, He J, Hihath J, Xu BQ, Lindsay SM, Tao NJ (2006) Conductance of single alkanedithiols: conduction mechanism and effect of moleculeelectrode contacts. J Am Chem Soc 128:2135–2141
- 29. Li ZH, Pobelov I, Han B, Wandlowski T, Blaszczyk A, Mayor M (2007) Conductance of redox-active single molecular junctions: an electrochemical approach. Nanotechnology 18:044018
- 30. Lennartz MC, Atodiresei N, Müller-Meskamp L, Karthäuser S, Waser R, Blügel S (2009) Cu-adatom-mediated bonding in close-packed benzoate/Cu(110) systems. Langmuir 25:856–864
- 31. Barbosa LAMM, Sautet P (2001) Stability of chiral domains produced by adsorption of tartaric acid isomers on the Cu(110) surface: a periodic density functional theory study. J Am Chem Soc 123:6639–6648

## **Submit your manuscript to a journal and benefit from:**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Convenient online submission
- $\blacktriangleright$  Rigorous peer review
- **> Immediate publication on acceptance**
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- $\blacktriangleright$  High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

#### **Submit your next manuscript at** 7 **springeropen.com**