J Clin Pathol 1998;51:818-824

Retinoblastoma (RB1) gene product expression in breast carcinoma. Correlation with Ki-67 growth fraction and biopathological profile

Claudio Ceccarelli, Donatella Santini, Pasquale Chieco, Mario Taffurelli, Michela Gamberini, Stefano A Pileri, Domenico Marrano

Abstract

Aims—To investigate the expression of retinoblastoma protein (pRb) in invasive breast tumours and compare its expression with the major biopathological prognostic indicators to identify more aggressive subgroups.

Material—Archival paraffin embedded tissues from 153 consecutive primary breast carcinomas.

Methods—pRb, Ki-67, and oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor proteins were identified by immunohistochemistry and score values were recorded by image cytometric analysis; p53 and EGFr expression was also evaluated.

Results—pRb scores correlated strongly with proliferation activity as determined by Ki-67 staining. Positive relations were also observed between pRb scores, tumour size, nuclear and histological grade, and oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor content, while abnormal p53 accumulation was not associated with pRb expression. Among the high proliferating carcinomas it was possible to identify 13 cases with loss of pRb expression.

Conclusions—pRb expression paralleled proliferative activity in the majority of breast carcinomas examined, suggesting that in these cases the protein behaves normally in regulating the cell cycle. Conversely in cases with loss of pRb immunostaining, the combined expression of specific highly aggressive factors (EGFr and p53 expression, oestrogen receptor/ progesterone receptor negative status, and high K67) seems to characterise a more aggressive phenotype showing growth advantage and cellular "progression" rather than significant nodal involvement. (*J Clin Pathol* 1998;51:818–824)

Keywords: pRb; retinoblastoma; breast cancer; immunohistochemistry

Mutational inactivation of the retinoblastoma (RB1) gene is considered to be of central importance in the pathogenesis of many human malignant neoplasms. The negative regulator function now attributed to retinoblastoma protein (pRb) in the control of G1/S phase progression has renewed interest in its key role in tumour growth control.¹ It is known that pRb influences the activity of E2Fs and other transcriptional regulators by a direct protein to protein interaction²; pRb molecules, sequentially phosphorylated by cyclin depend-

ent kinases (cdk4/cdk6 and cdk2), release E2F factors, allowing the transcription functions of pRb to initiate the DNA duplication machinery and G1/S transition.^{1 3} Over the past decade it has become clear that the genomic or epigenetic loss of pRb function leads to uncontrolled cell growth and apoptosis.^{4 5} This is not only an initiating event in tumorigenesis, but also a step associated with malignant progression and aggressive outcome.^{1 6-9}

Most studies investigating pRb in human malignancies have been conducted with the aim of demonstrating loss of heterozygosity or the presence of mutations at the RB1 gene locus. As well as in retinoblastoma,¹⁰ RB1 structural alterations have been detected in several mesenchymal and epithelial malignancies,¹¹⁻¹⁵ including breast cancer.¹⁶⁻¹⁹

Surprisingly, allelic loss of one RB1 gene and alterations in the second alleles have not been shown in several breast cancers not expressing pRb, which suggests that there may be pRb inactivating mechanisms other than the unmasking of a recessive mutation.²⁰ However, the presence of a well defined gene product easily detectable by immunohistochemistry, the finding that pRb inactivation may also occur through the formation of complexes with viral proteins, and the proven value of the immunohistochemical assay independent of heterozygosity analysis,^{6 21-23} have aroused interest in the study of the presence and distribution of pRb in neoplastic cells. Immunohistochemical studies on pRb expression in large tumour series are limited and often use incompatible methodology.^{6-9 19 24 25} There are still fewer investigations comparing pRb immunostaining with the clinical behaviour of breast carcinoma and with the main prognostic indices used in breast cancer.^{19 24-27}

Our aims in this study were to determine the extent to which the presence of pRb immunoreactivity correlates with cell proliferation in breast carcinoma, and to evaluate whether an integrated approach comparing pRb presence with the major biopathological prognostic indicators may help in identifying aggressive subtypes.

Methods

We assessed 153 invasive breast carcinomas. The tumours were staged and histologically classified according to the UICC-TNM and WHO criteria, respectively. Ductal not otherwise specified (NOS) invasive carcinoma was histologically graded (G).²⁸ Irrespective of the histopathological diagnosis, tumours were typed by nuclear grading (NG) as follows: well

Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy: Laboratorio di Immunocitopatologia Oncologica C Ceccarelli M Gamberini

Secondo Servizio di Anatomia ed Istologia Patologica D Santini S A Pileri

Clinica Chirurgica I M Taffurelli D Marrano

Policlinico S Orsola, Bologna, Italy: Istituto di Oncologia "F Addarii" P Chieco

Correspondence to: Dr.ssa Donatella Santini, Secondo Servizio di Anatomia ed Istologia Patologica, Policlinico S Orsola, Via Massarenti 9, 40138 Bologna, Italy.

Accepted for publication 17 June 1998



Regression analysis after dummy coding Intercept (T1) = 0.357 ± 0.019 , n = 82

D (SE)(II)	<u> </u>	<u>р</u>
0.047 (0.030)(51)	1.53	NS
0.105 (0.066)(7)	1.57	NS
0.192 (0.063)(8)	3.07	0.0026
	0.047 (0.030)(51) 0.105 (0.066)(7) 0.192 (0.063)(8)	D (SE)(1) 1 0.047 (0.030)(51) 1.53 0.105 (0.066)(7) 1.57 0.192 (0.063)(8) 3.07

ANOVA test: F = 3.92, p = 0.0101

Student's *t* test for Ang pRB grouped by N: DF = 139, t = -1.771, p = NS.

Figure 1 (A) Box plot graphic display (left), and linear regression analysis (right) for angular pRb (Ang pRb) values grouped by tumour size (T). (B) Box plot graphic display (left), and linear regression analysis (right) for angular pRb (Ang pRb) values grouped by N status. The three horizontal bars in the box for top to bottom are the 75th, 50th (median), and 25th centiles. The upper line ends at the 90th centile and the lower line at the 10th centile. Outliers are represented by circles.

differentiated (NG1), intermediate (NG2), poorly differentiated (NG3). Axillary node involvement was recorded (N0/N+) using a three point scale: N0, no lymph node metastases; N+ 1-3, one to three positive nodes; N+ > 3, more than three lymph node metastases.

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY

Serial sections from formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissue blocks were collected on 3-aminopropyltriethoxy-silane treated slides (Sigma), dried overnight at 37°C, and processed for immunohistology according to a slightly modified SABC technique.29 Microwave pretreatment was performed with a citrate buffer solution (pH 6.0) when using anti-oestrogen receptor and anti-progesterone receptor monoclonal antibodies (clones 1D5 and 1A6, respectively; BioGenex Laboratories), anti-p53 (clone BP53-12.1; BioGenex Laboratories), and the polyclonal antiserum anti-Ki67 (Dakopatts a/s); or with an EDTA buffer solution (pH 8.0) when using anti-pRb monoclonal antibody (clone G3-245, BioGenex Laboratories). This antibody was developed at PharMingen (Torreyana, San Diego, USA) and then widely characterised.³⁰ Finally, we performed enzyme digestion with 0.05% protease XIV (Sigma) in 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline solution (pH 7.4) at 37°C for five minutes before application of anti-EGFr monoclonal antibody (clone 31G7; Zymed Laboratories).

Nuclear and cell membrane immunostaining for p53 and EGFr, respectively, was scored independently by two observers (CC and DS) using a three point ordinal scale as follows: 0 =absence of labelled neoplastic cells; 1 = less than 25% of neoplastic cells immunopositive; 2 =more than 25% of neoplastic cells positive.

IMAGE CYTOMETRY

Nuclear immunostaining of pRb, oestrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and Ki-67 was quantified by image cytometry with "Cytometrica" software (C & V, Bologna, Italy) as detailed previously.³¹ The labelling index was expressed as the percentage of labelled over total neoplastic nuclear area (%LIa) in the section. With an optimally contrasted image, the error introduced in the final measurement by the segmentation procedure used is below 5%.³² ³³

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Labelling indices of pRb and Ki-67 were subjected to angular transformation (arcsin proportion) to stabilise variance of binominal proportions, and their relation was evaluated by linear regression.³⁴ Labelling indices of oestrogen receptor (OR) and progesterone receptor (PGR) were pooled (0.5OR + 0.5PGR) to a single variable, OR/PGR, which was categorised into three groups: low, mid, and high, including respectively the lowest 33% of the



Linear regression analysis of Ang pRB ν T: Intercept = 0.228 ± 0.066, b = 0.076 ± 0.029 ANOVA test: DF = 111, F = 6.83, p = 0.0102 R^2 = 0.058

Regression analysis after dummy coding of G: Intercept (G1) = 0.227 \pm 0.066, n = 6

Group	b (SE)(n)	<u>t</u>	р
G2	0.165 (0.069)(75)	2.40	0.018
G3	0.213 (0.072)(31)	2.96	0.004
ANOVA	test: F = 4.47, p = 0	0.014	

Linear regression analysis of Ang pRB ν GN: Intercept = 0.207 \pm 0.048, b = 0.075 \pm 0.020 ANOVA test: DF = 421, F = 14.77, p = 0.002 R^2 = 0.095

Regression analysis after dummy coding of GN: Intercept (G1) = 0.301 ± 0.038 , n = 18 Group b (SEVa)

Group	D (SE)(II)	ι	þ
GN2	0.042 (0.044)(53)	0.96	NS
GN3	0.135 (0.043)(72)	3.15	0.002
ANOVA	test: $F = 7.70$, $p = 0$	0.008	

Figure 2 (A) Box plot graphic display (left), and linear regression analysis (right) for angular pRb (Ang pRb) values grouped by histological grade (G). (B) Box plot graphic display (left), and linear regression analysis (right) for angular pRb (Ang pRb) values grouped by nuclear grade (NG). For explanations about box plots, see fig 1.

values, the intermediate values, and the highest 33% of the values.³⁵

The association of pRb with the clinicopathological variables (T, G, and NG) was quantified by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Since the groups were heavily unbalanced, ANOVA was performed using linear regression analysis after dummy coding of explanatory variables which did not depend upon equal numbers for their interpretation.³⁶ The lowest score of each clinicopathological variable (T1, G1, and NG1) was coded "0" on all sets of dummy codes and taken as the reference group in the analysis. Thus the intercept in the regression equation is the mean of the reference group, and each regression coefficient is the mean difference between the corresponding group and the reference group. The association of pRb with nodal status was examined by Student's t test because the two groups were balanced and-following angular transformation of pRb values-of similar variance.

Statistical calculations were performed using software from CSS Statistica (Statsoft) and Statistix (Analytical Software).

Results

HISTOPATHOLOGY

Of the 153 cases, 128 were diagnosed as ductal NOS invasive carcinoma, 13 as lobular, seven as mucoid, three as medullary, and two as sarcomatoid carcinomas. Eighty two of 148 cases (55.4%) were classified as pT1, 51 (34.5%) as

pT2, seven (4.7%) as pT3, and eight (5.4%) as pT4; five cases were of uncertain extent. Lymph node metastases (N+) were present in 70 cases (49.6%). Histological grading was performed in 112 ductal NOS carcinomas: six cases (5.3%) were G1, 75 (67.0%) were G2, and 31 (27.7%) were G3. Nuclear grading was evaluated in 143 cases: 18 cases (12.6%) were GN1, 53 (37.1%) were GN2, and 72 (50.3%) were GN3.

ASSOCIATION OF pRb LABELLING WITH

 $\label{eq:clinicopathological prognostic indicators and ki-67$

The labelling values for pRb ranged from 0% to 73% LIa, with a mean (SD) of 16.2 (12) and a median of 14. Ki-67 values ranged from 3.1% to 82.3% LIa with a mean of 26.3 (18) and a median of 22.

A positive trend was found between the pRb values T (fig 1A) and G (fig 2A). When nuclear grade (NG) was considered, NG3 tumours had a higher degree of pRb labelling than NG2 and NG1 cases; the labelling values for the latter were not significantly different (fig 2B). No difference in pRb labelling was found between N0 and N+ patients (fig 1B).

Like Ki-67 (fig 3A), pRb was always located in the nucleus (fig 3B). A strong correlation was found between pRb and Ki-67 labelling values in the different tumours (fig 4A). Moreover, pRb distribution overlapped Ki-67 immunolabelling in serial sections (fig 3A and 3B). In the scatter plot of fig 4, it appears that



Figure 3 Serial sections of infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the breast immunostained with (A) an anti-Ki-67 polyclonal antiserum, and (B) anti-pRb monoclonal antibody G3-245. pRb immunostained nuclei overlapped the Ki-67 labeled neoplastic population. (Immunoperoxidase SABC method, ×345.)

most of pRb and Ki-67 values were positioned close to the hypothetical line of perfect positive relation, with pRb generally accumulating below the line, indicating a lower pRb labelling compared to Ki-67 in the same tumour. Starting at a Ki-67 value of about 25%LIa, however, several tumours showed a pRb content much lower than the corresponding Ki-67 value. In at least 13 tumours with high proliferative activity, neoplastic cells were devoid of pRb. Among this latter group, six cases were T1, five were T2, and two were T3. The majority of pRb negative tumours were G2 (3/11) or G3 (8/11), while all tumours were NG3. If the data are split into two subsets at a Ki-67 labelling of 25%, where the first pRb negative tumour appeared (fig 4), it can be seen that pRb expression paralleled Ki-67 expression in tumours with low proliferative activity (r = 0.85, p < 0.0001), at the bottom left of fig 4. In the subset of tumours with high proliferative activity at the centre right of the same figure, there was no correlation (r = 0.07) because tumours expressing or not expressing pRb were randomly scattered along the whole range of Ki-67 values.

Although a bivariate relation between the extent of pRb labelling and N status was absent (fig 1B), if nodal status was re-evaluated by considering the two regression lines of pRb plotted as a function of Ki-67 low and high labelling values (fig 5), and with patients stratified by number of metastatic nodes, it was nevertheless evident that all tumours with massive lymph node involvement (nodes > 3) were expressing pRb, irrespective of proliferative activity. Conversely, all cases without or with very low pRb labelling of cells showed a lesser metastatic potential (nodes = 0 or \leq 3).

ASSOCIATION OF pRb LABELLING WITH OESTROGEN RECEPTOR/PROGESTERONE RECEPTOR STATUS, p53 IMMUNOSTAINING, AND EGFR EXPRESSION

Oestrogen receptor and progesterone receptor values ranged from 0 to 98.9% (mean (SD) 46.0(33.9)%) and from 0 to 97.0% (19.2(23.7)%), respectively. The correlation between pRb and oestrogen receptor/ progesterone receptor status is summarised in fig 6B. Cases associated with low Ki-67 values mainly showed high or intermediate oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor expression. The great majority of pRb negative tumours and most of the highly proliferative tumours were devoid of, or expressed low levels of, oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor.

Immunoreactive p53 nuclear protein accumulation was observed in 52 cases (34%): 28 (18.3%) scored highly positive and 24 (15.7%) had intermediate scores; the highly positive p53 cases started to appear at a 17% Ki-67 Lia. Abnormal p53 accumulation was not associated with pRb expression, being present in eight (61%) of the 13 cases devoid of pRb. Because of the strong association between p53 accumulation and proliferative activity,³⁷ problems of colinearity did not allow us to make any further exploration of the relations with pRb in highly proliferating tumours.

Finally, the correlation between pRb and EGFr scores is shown in the scattergram in fig 6A. EGFr expression was mostly found in tumours with high proliferative activity without any apparent relation with pRb expression. Nevertheless, 11 of the 13 cases (84.6%) with high proliferative activity and low or absent pRb expression had an appreciable EGFr immunopositive neoplastic population.

Discussion

We found that the extent of pRb expression in primary breast carcinoma paralleled proliferation activity, as determined by Ki-67 labelling. This was particularly the case for tumours with low proliferative activity (r = 0.85, p < 0.0001). However, a consistent fraction of highly proliferative tumours (13 cases) had negligible pRb expression.

A similar correlation in breast cancer has not been reported in previous studies, which have mainly emphasised the association between abnormal pRb expression, or loss of pRb expression, and proliferative activity in tumour cells.⁹ ¹⁹ ²⁴ ²⁶ ³⁸ Loss of expression has generally



Figure 4 Scatter plot of pRb and Ki-67 %LIa values (angular scale). Diagonal line from bottom left to top right represented the hypothetical line of the perfect positive relation between the two variables.



Figure 5 Same graphic scatterplot as in fig 4. The continuous line was obtained by regression of pRB v Ki 67 values lower than 25% Lia, the dotted line by regression of pRB v Ki 67 values higher than 25% Lla. Regression was calculated on angular transformed pRB and Ki67 variables. Cases were labelled according the number of metastatic lymph nodes: \bigcirc = no metastatic involvement; \triangle = from one to three metastatic lymph nodes; + = more than three metastatic lymph nodes.

been identified using specific monoclonal antibodies such as clone 84-B3.1 (Novocastra Laboratories), which give positive results in normal and neoplastic populations without apparently discriminating between them. This immunohistochemical pattern is only capable of highlighting the loss of pRb expression. On the other hand, when a different monoclonal antibody (clone PGM3-245) is used—as in this study—a significant relation between pRb immunostaining and proliferative activity is observed.⁶⁻⁸

The central role of hyperphosphorylated pRb forms in the regulation of the G1/S transition of the cell cycle¹³—increasing during G1/ S progression, constant in S/G2 phases, and dephosphorylated in the M phase-supports our finding of a relation between pRb and Ki67 antigen expression. The possibility that Ki-67 immunostaining is related to hyperphosphorvlated pRb isoforms rather than to the entire pRb pool has recently been reported by Nieslen *et al*,³⁹ who also found a relation between loss of pRb immunostaining in highly proliferating breast tumours and accumulation of hypophosphorylated pRb isoforms by western blot analysis. More recently Ping Dou, using a wide range of anti-pRb monoclonal antibodies in a western blotting analysis of exponentially growing HL-60 cells, clearly showed that the antibody used here does not recognise the pRb unphosphorylated (p110) isoform but it is specific for the p115/p120 phosphorylated forms.40

This association, and our observation that in the same tumour the frequency of labelled Ki-67 cells generally exceeded that of pRb expressing cells, supports the view that the G3-245 monoclonal antibody does not recognise the entire pRb pool but reacts mainly with wild-type phosphorylated forms arising during G1/S transition and preserved in the S/G2/M phases until dephosphorylation. The G3-245 monoclonal antibody reacts with an epitope that maps to the region of aa 300-380, corresponding approximately to exons 9-12. This region borders the "pocket" domain (aa 378-869) involved in E2F interaction and is characterised by the presence of the four most critical cysteine residues phosphorylated during E2F release. It is therefore possible that phosphorylation, inducing conformational changes of the protein, unmasks sites recognised by the monoclonal antibody used here.

Whatever the unmasking mechanism, pRb expression paralleled proliferative activity in most of the breast carcinomas examined, suggesting that in these cases the protein behaves normally in regulating cell proliferation and may also be considered a potential growth marker. A relation between pRb expression and proliferative activity has already been reported in reactive lymphoid tissue, and stimulated lymphocytes in the maturing compartment of several normal tissues as well as in some neoplasms such as lymphomas and hepatocellular carcinomas.^{6-8 41}

Our results showed a positive trend between pRb values and tumour size, with T3 and T4 cases having the highest content (fig 1A). A similar positive association was also found for histopathological grade (G) (fig 2A) and nuclear grade (NG) (fig 2B). Thus a high pRb content was associated with histologically poorly differentiated tumours, a finding which



Figure 6 Same graphic scatterplot as in fig 4. In (A) cases were labelled according to EGFr cellular immunostaining: \bigcirc = absent; \triangle = less than 25% neoplastic immunopositive cells; + = more than 25% neoplastic immunopositive cells. In (B), cases were labelled according to categorised oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor content as follows: \bigcirc = absent or low; \triangle = mid; + = high.

reinforces the observation that pRb expression parallels proliferation and is usually greatly increased in aggressive breast carcinomas. It is therefore probable that pRb expression is normally regulated in these more aggressive breast carcinomas. On the other hand, among these highly proliferative tumours we observed another group of cases without pRb expression in which the correlation between proliferative activity—as determined by Ki67 immunostaining and pRb-was lost. These neoplasms showed loss of oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor proteins, overexpression EGFr (84.6%), and to a lesser extent altered p53 expression (61%). While loss of Rb function has been shown to be a critical initiating factor in the development of retinoblastoma,¹⁰ ° in our cases it is equally possible that some tumours possess this pRb phenotype from the start, and that in others the loss of pRb may have been a later event. The pRb expression was observed in both slow and fast growing tumours and it is plausible that in rapidly growing tumours the rate of pRb mutation increases and consequently tumours with pRb loss may emerge. In our study no apparent relation between N status and pRb expression was observed, suggesting that cell cycle deregulation (pRb loss) may not to be directly related to metastasis, even if the existence of other unknown, indirect, mechanisms cannot be excluded. The EGFr positivity in 84.6% of our pRb negative cases should reinforce this hypothesis, in view of the fact that its expression was not related to N status in the majority of studies.⁴² Thus the absence of any relation between pRb loss and N status may be explained by assuming that this oncogenic factor enhances cell division rather than cell motility, which in breast cancer seems to be mediated mainly by other factors such as ErbB-2 expression.43 4

There is recent evidence that in breast carcinoma an "interconverted phenotype" may be associated with some markers of poor prognosis and adverse outcome, such as tumour size, nodal status, high proliferative index, aneuploidia, vimentin expression, absence of oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor, and EGFr positivity.^{45 46} Thus the particular combination of markers (high nuclear grade, EGFr expression, absence of oestrogen receptor/ progesterone receptor, high proliferative status, and p53 positivity) found in our pRb negative cases might identify a subset of neoplasias with an aggressive biological phenotype characterised mainly by growth advantage and cellular "progression"-an observation which appears to be in line with the recently recognised role of pRb in cell cycle withdrawal during differentiation.4

In conclusion, pRb immunolabelling strongly paralleled the Ki-67 proliferative fraction, showing that this nuclear protein is a potential growth marker in breast carcinoma. Because hyperphosphorylated pRb forms are closely associated with G1/S progression, this could provide additional in situ information on proliferative growth and cell cycle progression of neoplastic cells.

- Cordon-Cardo C. Mutation of cell cycle regulators. Biological and clinical implications for human neoplasia. Am J Pathol 1995;147:545-60.
- Nevins JR. E2F: a link between the Rb tumor suppressor protein and the viral oncoproteins. *Science* 1992;258:424– 0
- 3 Musgrove EA, Hui R, Sweeney KJE, et al. Cyclins and breast cancer. J Mammary Gland Biol Neopl 1996;1:153– 62.

This work was supported by a grant from MURST, Rome (60% of the funds). We thank Ms Milena Pariali for her skilful technical assistance, and Mr Alessandro Busi for photographic help.

- growth. Science 1991;252:1492. 5 Haas-Kogan DA, Kogan SC, Levi D, et al. Inhibition of apoptosis by the retinoblastoma gene product. *EMBO J* 1995;14:461-72.
- 6 Martinez JC, Piris MA, Sanchez-Beato M, et al. Retinoblastoma (Rb) gene product expression in lymphomas. Correlation with Ki-67 growth fraction. J Pathol 1993;**169**: 405-12
- Seki S, Kawakita N, Yanai A, et al. Expression of the retino-7 blastoma gene product in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hum Pathol* 1995;26:366–74.
 8 Jares P, Campo E, Pinyol M, et al. Expression of retinoblast-
- Jares P, Campo E, Pinyol M, et al. Expression of retinoblast-oma gene product (pRb) in mantle cell lymphomas. Corre-lation with cyclin D1 (PRAD1/CCND1) mRNA levels and proliferative activity. Am § Pathol 1996;148:1591-600.
 McIntosh GG, Anderson JJ, Milton I, et al. Determination of the prognostic value of cyclin D1 overexpression in breast cancer. Oncogene 1995;11:885-91.
 Cavenee WK, Dryja TP, Philips RA, et al. Expression of recessive allelee by chromosomal mechanisme in ration
- 10 Cavenee wK, Drya TY, Hings KA, et al. Expression of recessive alleles by chromosomal mechanisms in retinoblastoma. *Nature* 1983;305:779–84.
 11 Harbour JW, Lai S-L, Whang-Peng J, et al. Abnormalities in structure and expression of the human retinoblastoma gene
- in SCLC. Science 1988;241:353-7.
 12 Stratton MR, Williams S, Fisher C, et al. Structural alterations of the RB1 gene in human soft tissue tumours. Br J Cancer 1989;60:202-5.
- Br J Cancer 1989;00:202-5.
 13 Horowitz JM, Park S-H, Bogenmann E, et al. Point mutational inactivation of the retinoblastoma anti-oncogene. Science 1989;243:937-40.
 14 Lee WH, Bookstein RE, Lee EYHP. Molecular biology of
- the human retinoblastoma gene. In: Klein G, ed. Timour suppressor genes. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1990:169–99.
 Liu Y, Heyman M, Wang Y, et al. Molecular analysis of the Tumour
- retinoblastoma gene in primary ovarian cancer cells. Int J Cancer 1994;58:663-7.
- Cancer 1994;58:663-7.
 T'Ang A, Varley JM, Chakraborty S, et al. Structural rearrangement of the retinoblastoma gene in human breast carcinoma. Science 1988;242:263-6.
 Lee EY, To H, Shew J-Y, et al. Inactivation of the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene in human breast cancers. Science 1988;241:218-21.
 Varley IM, Arrowstr J, Surguet J, and J, and J, and J, and J. Arrowstra Structure and the statement of the st
- 18 Varley JM, Armour J, Swallow JE, et al. The retinoblastoma gene is frequently altered leading to loss of expression in primary breast tumors. Oncogene 1989;4:725–9.
 19 Trudel M, Mulligan L, Cavenee W, et al. Retinoblastoma
- and p53 gene product expression in breast carcinoma: immunohistochemical analysis and clinicopathologic cor-relation. *Hum Pathol* 1992;23:1388–94.
 Borg A, Zhang Q-X, Alm P, et al. The retinoblastoma gene
- in breast cancer; allele loss is not correlated with loss of gene protein expression. *Cancer Res* 1992;52:2991–4.
 21 Benedict WF, Xu HJ, Hu SX, et al. Role of the retinoblast-
- Deficited with Xu Hy Hu SX, et al. Note of the reinfoldsc-oma gene in the initiation and progression of human cancer. *J Clin Invest* 1990;85:988–93.
 Dodson MK, Cliby WA, Xu H-J, et al. Evidence of functional RB protein in epithelial ovarian carcinomas destributes for the providence in the BPC human Caronas. despite loss of heterozygosity at the RBG locus. Cancer Res 1994;54:610-13
- 23 Dei Tos AP, Maestro R, Doglioni C, et al. Tumor suppressor genes and related molecules in leiomyosarcoma. Am J Pathol 1996;148:1037-45.
- 24 Sawan A, Randall B, Angus B, et al. Retinoblastoma and p53 gene expression related to relapse and survival in human breast cancer: an immunohistochemical study. \mathcal{J} Pathol 1992:168:23-8.
- 25 Bianchi S, Calzolari A, Giannelli E, et al. Expression of retinoblastoma protein in human breast cancer: an immu-nohistochemical study. *Pathologica* 1995;**86**:146–9.
- Pietilainen T, Lipponen P, Aaltomaa S, et al. Expression of retinoblastoma gene protien (Rb) in breast cancer as related to established prognostic factors and survival. Eur J Cancer 1995;31A:329–33.

- 27 Anderson JJ, Tiniakos DG, McIntosh GG, et al. Retinoblastoma protein in human breast carcinoma: immunohistochemical study using a new monoclonal antibody effective on routinely processed tissues. J Pathol 1996;180: 65 - 70.
- 28 Elston CW, Ellis IO. Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. I. The value of histological grade in breast cancer: Experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. Histopathology 1991;19:403-10.
- Santini D, Ceccarelli C, Mazzoleni G, et al. Demonstration 29 of cytokeratin intermediate filaments in oocytes of the developing and adult human ovary. *Histochemistry* 1993;**99**: 311-19
- Lee WH, Shew JY, Hong FD, et al. The retinoblastoma sus-30 ceptibility gene encodes a nuclear phosphornotein associ-ated with DNA binding activity. *Nature* 1988;**329**:642–5. Faccioli S, Chieco P, Gramantieri L, *et al.* Cytometric measurement of cell proliferation in echo-guided biopsies
- from focal lesions of the liver. *Mod Pathol* 1996;9:120–5. Caulet S, Lesty C, Raphael M, *et al.* Quantitative study of
- 32 Ki-67 antibody staining in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma using
- image analysis. Anal Quant Cytol Histol 1991;13:279–87. Chieco P, Pagnoni M, Romagnoli E, et al. A rapid and simple staining method using toluidine blue for analysing 33 mitotic figures in tissue sections. Histochem J 1993;25:569-77.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods. Ames, Iowa: The Iowa State University Press, 1990. Hill C. Valeur pronostique d'une variable continue et point
- de césure optimal. *Bull Cancer* 1993;**80**:649–52
- Bernstein IH, Garbin CP, Teng GK. Multiple regression and correlation—part 2. Advanced applications. In: Bern-stein IH, ed. *Applied multivariate analysis*. New York: Springer Verlag, 1988:123-4. 36
- Ceccarelli C, Santini D, Chieco P, et al. Multiple expression patterns of biopathological markers in primary breast carcinoma: a useful tool for elucidating its biological behaviour. Ann Oncol 1995;6:275-82.
- 38 Fung YK, T'Ang A. The role of retinoblastoma gene in breast cancer development. In: Dickson RB, Lippman ME, eds. Genes, oncogenes, and hormones: advances in cellular and molecular biology of breast cancer. Boston: Kluwer, 1992:59-68.
- Nieslen NH, Emdin SO, Cajander J, et al. Deregulation of 39 cyclin E and D1 in breast cancer is associated with inacti-vation of the retinoblastoma protein. Oncogene 1997;14: 295 - 304
- 40 Ping Dou Q. Putative roles of retinoblastoma protein in
- apoptosis. Apoptosis 1997;2:5–18. Cordon-Cardo C, Richon VM. Expression of the retino-blastoma protein is regulated in normal human tissues. Am J Pathol 1994;144:500–10. 41
- Fox SB, Harris AL. The epidermal growth factor receptor in breast cancer. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 1997;2: 42 131 - 41
- 43 De Potter CR. The neu-oncogene: more than a prognostic indicator? Hum Pathol 1994;25:1264-8.
- Bacus SS, Zelnick CR, Plowman G, et al. Am J Clin Pathol 1994;102(suppl 1):S13-24.
- Santini D, Ceccarelli C, Taffurelli M, et al. Differentiation pathways in primary invasive breast carcinoma as suggested by intermediate filament and biopathological marker expression. *J Pathol* 1996;**179**:386–91.
- Hendrix MJC, Seftor EA, Seftor REB, et al. Experimental co-expression of vimentin and keratin intermediate fila-46 ments in human breast cancer cells results in phenotypic interconversion and increased invasive behavior. Am \mathcal{J} Pathol 1997;150:483-95
- 47 Missero C, Dotto GP. p21waf1/cip1 and terminal differentiation control of normal epithelia. Mol Cell Diff 1996;4:1-16