

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Yeast.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

Published in final edited form as: Yeast. 2016 September ; 33(9): 507–517. doi:10.1002/yea.3172.

# Discovery of genes involved in mitosis, cell division, cell wall integrity and chromosome segregation through construction of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* deletion strains

Jun-Song Chen<sup>1</sup>, Janel R. Beckley<sup>1</sup>, Liping Ren<sup>1</sup>, Anna Feoktistova<sup>1</sup>, Michael A. Jensen<sup>2</sup>, Nick Rhind<sup>3</sup>, and Kathleen L. Gould<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

<sup>2</sup>Genome Technology Center, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA 01605, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01605, USA

# Abstract

The fission yeast model system *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* is used to study fundamental biological processes. To continue to fill gaps in the *S. pombe* gene deletion collection, we constructed a set of 90 haploid gene deletion strains covering many previously uncharacterized genes. To begin to understand the function of these genes, we exposed this collection of strains to a battery of stress conditions. Using this information in combination with microscopy, proteomics and mini-chromosome loss assays, we identified genes involved in cell wall integrity, cytokinesis, chromosome segregation and DNA metabolism. This subset of nonessential gene deletions will add to the toolkits available for the study of biological processes in *S. pombe*.

## Keywords

Gene deletions; *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; fission yeast; cytokinesis; stress sensitivities; DNA metabolism

# Introduction

The utility of large-scale genetic screening for deciphering functional relationships for genes of unknown or redundant function is well established (reviewed in (Costanzo *et al.*, 2011)). Although this approach was developed in budding yeast (reviewed in (Dixon *et al.*, 2009)), it has been successfully adapted for use in the fission yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (Kennedy *et al.*, 2008, Kelly *et al.*, 2011, Chen *et al.*, 2012, Navarro *et al.*, 2012, Pan *et al.*, 2012, Ryuko *et al.*, 2012, Hayles *et al.*, 2013, Zhang *et al.*, 2013, Zhou *et al.*, 2013, Rallis *et al.*, 2014, Ucisik-Akkaya *et al.*, 2014, Chen *et al.*, 2015)(reviewed in (Costanzo *et al.*, 2011)). The majority of *S. pombe* genetic screens have been performed with a haploid

In preparation for YEAST March 2016 as a "Genome Screen Report"

collection of about 3,300 protein coding gene deletions constructed by and obtained from Bioneer Corp. This valuable collection represents a significant portion of the 3,576 nonessential genes known at the time (Kim *et al.*, 2010). At the time this ambitious deletion project began, it was estimated that 4914 protein coding genes existed in the *S. pombe* genome. Since then, additional protein-coding genes have been identified or predicted (Bitton *et al.*, 2011, Rhind *et al.*, 2011, Hayles *et al.*, 2013), and the current annotated *S. pombe* genome sequence predicts 5124 protein coding genes (http://www.pombase.org/) (Wood *et al.*, 2012, McDowall *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the particular collection utilized for many genetic screens did not include all possible viable *S. pombe* gene deletions.

We have constructed an additional 90 haploid, isogenic gene deletion mutants that were not available in the version 3 collection from Bioneer Corp or in a complementary collection that added 281 strains (Chen *et al.*, 2015). We assayed cell growth for each of these gene deletions under a variety of different environmental stresses and found new players in the processes of cell wall integrity, mitosis, and chromosome segregation.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Yeast strains, media, and materials

The parent strain used for constructing the gene deletions was *ade6-M210 ura4-D18 leu1-32* h+ (KGY247) unless otherwise noted below. All chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless indicated otherwise.

The following gene deletion strains were generated previously: <u>SPAC23C4.04c</u>, <u>SPAC27E2.12</u>, <u>SPAPB1A10.16</u>, <u>SPCC1393.14</u>, <u>SPAC2F7.16c</u>, <u>SPBC16G5.19</u>, <u>SPBC17G9.06c</u>, <u>SPBC685.08</u>, <u>SPBC6B1.12c</u>, <u>SPBC800.14c</u>, <u>SPBP23A10.11c</u>, <u>SPBPB8B6.06c</u>, <u>SPAC15E1.03</u>, <u>SPBC215.15</u>, <u>SPAC23D3.14c</u>, <u>SPAC9.09</u>, <u>SPAPB15E9.01c</u>, <u>SPBC21D10.06c</u>, <u>SPBPB8B6.03</u> as *kanMX4* deletions and graciously provided to us (Spirek *et al.*, 2010). We attempted to confirm each of these deletions using the dual flank and gene PCR reactions, as described below. Genes underlined indicate gene deletions we were able to confirm. The others were not confirmed bky our PCR methods and were therefore not included in our analysis.

#### PCR mediated gene deletions

Gene deletions were accomplished using a two-step PCR method we previously described (Chen *et al.*, 2015), with the 2<sup>nd</sup> round PCR being performed as described (Krawchuk *et al.*, 1999) with the following modifications. Briefly, in addition to the components added as described (Chen *et al.*, 2015), 0.4  $\mu$ M (final concentration) of each of the two outer most primers (5F and 3R, as shown in Figure 1 in Chen *et al.*, 2015) was also included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> round PCR reaction, and the amount of Easy A Hi-Fi polymerase (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) was increased to 1  $\mu$ l per 50- $\mu$ l reaction. The program used by the 2<sup>nd</sup> round PCR was modified as following: 94°C, 1 min; 7 cycles of (94°C for 30 sec; 45°C for 5 min; and 72°C for 4 min); 20 cycles of (94°C for 1 min; 55°C for 1 min; and 72°C for 4 min); 5  $\mu$ l of PCR product was checked on 0.8% agarose gel. In cases where no good 2<sup>nd</sup> round PCR products were produced, Pfu Turbo (Agilent Technologies,

Santa Clara, CA) or PrimeStar GXL (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) polymerases were also used.

#### Generation of kanMX6 resistant strains by marker exchange

Existing deletion strains containing the *ura4*<sup>+</sup> marker (kindly provided by Paul Russell, Takashi Toda, Jian-Qiu Wu, Sergio Moreno, and Peter Baumann) were used to generate *kanMX6* deletion strains as follows. Homologous sequences shared between the *ura4* and *kanMX6* cassettes were exploited to generate a PCR product for marker exchange from *ura4* to *kanMX6*. Primers containing the homologous region were used to amplify the *kanMX6* cassette. Sequences were as follows: 5'-

#### Yeast transformations

Transformations were performed as described previously (Chen *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, *S. pombe* strain *leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210*  $h^+$  was grown in YE media at 32°C overnight to mid-log phase, washed in TE/LiAc (10 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM lithium acetate) twice, and re-suspended in TE/LiAc. 100 µl of the suspension was incubated with transforming DNA at room temperature for 10 min., mixed with 260 µl of TE/LiAc/PEG (40% PEG in TE/LiAc), vortexed gently and then incubated at 32°C for 30–45 min. 43 µl of DMSO was then added to each tube followed by incubation at 42°C for 6 min. Cells were then washed with sterile H<sub>2</sub>O before being re-suspended in 5 ml of YE media and incubated at 29°C overnight. 500 µl of the cell suspension was then plated on YE-G418 plates and incubated at 29°C for 3–5 days. Each plate was replica-plated 3 times to fresh YE-G418 plates before colonies were checked by PCR.

#### Whole cell PCR checking of yeast transformants

Whole cell PCR was used to check and select the colony with the correct deletion. A pointed toothpick was used to add a small amount of cells to each reaction. For each PCR reaction, 5  $\mu$ l of 4  $\mu$ M of both forward and reverse oligos were mixed with 10  $\mu$ l GoTaq green. The following PCR program was used: 95°C, 1 min; 12 cycles of (95°C for 45 seconds, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min); 25 cycles of (95°C for 45 seconds, 52°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 1 min). 10  $\mu$ l of each PCR product was checked on an 0.8% agarose gel.

#### Sensitivity/resistance assay

Wildtype and deletion strains were grown in yeast extract media (YE) in 96-well plates to saturation. 10-fold serial dilutions of each strain were made and spotted onto minimal medium agar plates or YE agar plates in the absence or presence of the following additions:

brefeldin A (BFA, 25 µM); bleomycin (1 µg/ml, Bleo); calcofluor (Calc, 0.5 mg/ml); cycloheximide (CHX, 10 µg/ml); EGTA (5 mM); hydroxyurea (HU, 7.5 mM); KCl (1 M); latrunculin A (Cayman chemical, Ann Arbor, Michigan) (LatA, 0.20 µM); Methyl 1-(butylcarbamoyl)-2-benzimidazolecarbamate (Benomyl, Ben, 10 µg/ml) (Roy *et al.*, 1982); methyl methanesulfonate (MMS, 0.01%); sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, 0.005%); sorbitol (Sorb, 1.2 M); thiabendazole (TBZ, 12.5 µg/ml). Plates were incubated at 29°C or other temperatures as indicated for 2–8 days and then colonies were imaged with a scanner. The following criteria were used to define not sensitive (NS), sensitive (S), very sensitive (VS) and resistant (R) strains: deletion strains that grew similarly or within 1 dilution factor of wildtype cells were scored as NS, deletion strains that grew at 2 or more dilutions less than wildtype under same conditions were classified as S, deletion strains that grew better than wildtype cells grew were classified as VS, and deletion strains that grew better than wildtype cells were scored R.

#### Microscopy methods

For protein localization studies, live cells were imaged. For visualization of DNA, cells were fixed with ice cold 70% ethanol. After washing with PBS the fixed cells were incubated with PBS containing 5 mg/ml methyl blue (MB) for 15 min on ice. MB-stained cells were then pelleted by centrifugation and re-suspended in PBS prior to imaging. Cells were mixed with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) before imaging. One of the two following microscopes were used to collect images: a spinning-disk confocal microscope (Ultraview LCI; PerkinElmer) with a 100× NA 1.40 Plan-Apochromat oil immersion objective and a 488-nm argon ion laser (GFP) or a 594-nm helium neon laser (mCherry and RFP) or a personal microscope system (DeltaVision; Applied Precision) including a microscope (IX71; Olympus), 60× NA 1.42 PlanApo and 100× NA 1.40 UPlanSApo objectives, fixed- and live-cell filter wheels, a camera (CoolSnap HQ2; Photometrics), and softWoRx imaging software. Images on the confocal were captured on a charge-coupled device camera (Orca-ER; Hamamatsu Photonics. Images were manipulated in Image J and imported into Adobe Illustrator for construction of final figures.

#### **GFP-Trap purification**

A 2 L culture of *rng10-GFP* was grown in 4X YE media (meaning 4 x the concentration), generating a pellet of cells (approximately 20 mL packed volume). Cells were lysed under native conditions in a glass bead beater with NP-40 buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, 0.15 M NaCl, 1% Nonidet P40, 2 mM EDTA, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 100  $\mu$ M sodium orthovanadate, 5 ug/ml leupeptin) plus Protease Inhibitors (Roche). The lysate was cleared at low speed (ca. 3000 rpm) on a tabletop centrifuge. The supernatant was transferred to a clean Falcon tube and 30  $\mu$ l of GFP-TRAP magnetic agarose beads (GFP-Trap®\_MA, ChromoTek) pre-washed with NP-40 buffer two times (5 mL) and then once with 5ml Low-NP-40 buffer (0.02% NP-40) prior to elution from beads with 100  $\mu$ l of 200 mM glycine twice. The eluate was trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitated (25% TCA on ice) and proteins were identified using LC-mass spectrometry (MS) as detailed below. A control purification was also performed from untagged yeast and proteins identified by MS were subtracted from the list of potential interactors.

#### **MS** analysis

Protein pellets were resuspended, digested and analyzed by LC-MS as previously described (Chen *et al.*, 2013) with the following modifications: Peptide identifications were filtered and assembled using Scaffold (version 4.4.8; Proteome Software, Portland, OR) using the following filters: minimum of 99.0% protein identification probability, minimum of 2 unique peptides, minimum of 95% peptide identification probability. Protein hits were further filtered in Excel to define proteins localized to the division site (TSC 5) according to Pombase.org (Wood *et al.*, 2012).

### **Results and Discussion**

To expand the toolkits available for *S. pombe* genetic screens (Gregan *et al.*, 2006, Kim *et al.*, 2010, Rumpf *et al.*, 2010, Spirek *et al.*, 2010, Hayles *et al.*, 2013) we have assembled a collection of 90 gene deletion strains, many of which were missing from previously available deletion collections. We analyzed the morphology of each deletion strain and assayed the growth of this cohort of strains using a battery of stress tests, identifying new players in cell wall integrity, mitosis and chromosome segregation.

#### Gene deletion construction

We used a PCR-based strategy to make 71 new *S. pombe* gene deletions, replacing ORFs with the *kanMX6* cassette (Wach *et al.*, 1994, Bahler *et al.*, 1998) as we described previously (Chen *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, gene flanks were amplified using PCR and then using overlap extension, fused to the *kanMX6* cassette. This product was then transformed into cells and deletions were selected on YE-G418 plates at 29°C. To confirm replacement of the ORFs with *kanMX6*, PCR reactions were performed on G418-resistant colonies (1–2 per targeted gene). Two PCR reactions targeted the gene flanks from the *kanMX6* cassette (3' and 5') and a third reaction targeted the gene sequence to make sure the ORF had been removed (ORF check).

#### Deletion marker and genetic background exchange

A number of additional deletion strains were acquired from other labs as  $ura4^+$  or  $kan^R$  knockout strains to add to the new deletion strain cohort. For  $ura4^+$  strains (kindly provided by Paul Russell, Takashi Toda, Jian-Qiu Wu, Sergio Moreno, and Peter Baumann), we used a PCR-based strategy to swap kanMX6 cassettes into the deletion locus. Briefly, the kanMX6 cassette was amplified with flanks corresponding to sequences inside the ura4 cassette to allow for homologous recombination of the marker cassette without using gene-specific sequences. We successfully applied this strategy, swapping  $ura4^+$  cassettes out for kanMX6 in seven strains. About a dozen  $kan^R$  deletion strains constructed previously (Spirek *et al.*, 2010) were confirmed using our PCR methods outlined in experimental methods and we crossed these strains to acquire the appropriate auxotrophic markers and mating type such that they were isogenic with other gene deletion strains in common use (Kim *et al.*, 2010) prior to stress testing. Using these two approaches, a collection of 90 gene deletion strains were constructed (Table S1) and have been deposited in the yeast NBRP, Japan (http://yeast.lab.nig.ac.jp/nig/index\_en.html).

#### Morphology of gene deletion strains

To identify strains with aberrant phenotypes, cells of each deletion strain were examined by light microscopy. One strain exhibited a cell lysis phenotype in over 30% of cells (SPAC688.07c, Fig. 1A). Lysis was partially but not completely suppressed by the addition of sorbitol to the medium (Fig. 1A, right panel). To define the cellular localization of the protein encoded by SPAC688.07c, sequences encoding GFP were endogenously integrated at the 3' end of SPAC688.07c. The GFP fusion protein was visualized in a strain also containing the spindle pole body (SPB) protein Sid4 fused to RFP (Fig. 1B). Imaging revealed localization of the protein at cell tips in interphase and the cell division site during mitosis and cytokinesis. Because of this localization, this gene product has been named Rng10 for ring protein 10. Real time imaging of live cells indicates that Rng10 arrives at the division site as cells enter anaphase B (Fig. 1B, left panel) and constricts with the contractile ring (CR), but a portion of the protein remains at the cell division site during septation (Fig. 1B, right panel). Purification of Rng10-GFP using GFP-TRAP® beads followed by LC-MS analysis revealed that Rng10's top protein interactor was Rga7, an F-BAR protein involved in cytokinesis (Martin-Garcia et al., 2014, Arasada et al., 2015) (Fig. 1C), indicating a possible direct role for Rng10 in cytokinesis. Interestingly, *rng10* exhibits a cell lysis phenotype reminiscent of rga7 (Fig. 1A) (Martin-Garcia et al., 2014), suggesting that they may act together to ensure cell wall integrity. Consistent with this idea, rng10 showed negative genetic interactions with mutations in genes encoding cell wall synthetic enzymes Cps1/Bgs1 (Liu et al., 2000) and Cwg1/Bgs4 (Ribas et al., 1991) and positive regulators of those enzymes, the RhoGEF Rgf3 (Tajadura et al., 2004, Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005, Mutoh et al., 2005) and the septation initiation network kinase, Sid2 (Balasubramanian et al., 1998) (Fig. 1D).

#### Stress sensitivities of the deletion strains

Many of these deletions strains have no functional assignment (Wood *et al.*, 2012); thus, to help define the roles of these uncharacterized genes in biological processes and expand knowledge of genes of known function, the growth of each of the 90 deletion strains was assayed under 16 different stress conditions. Specifically, the strains were tested for growth at 29°C on rich YE medium containing fungal microtubule destabilizing drugs thiabendazole (TBZ) or Methyl 1-(butylcarbamoyl)-2-benzimidazolecarbamate (Benomyl, Ben) (Roy *et al.*, 1982), actin cytoskeleton destabilizing drug latrunculin A (LatA)(Ayscough, 1997), DNA replication inhibitor hydroxyurea (HU) (Slater, 1973, Yarbro, 1992), DNA damage inducing drugs bleomycin (Bleo) (Povirk, 1996) and methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) (Beranek, 1990), secretory pathway inhibitor brefeldin A (BFA) (Turi *et al.*, 1994), protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX), cell wall/membrane compromising agents calcofluor (Calc) or sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), high salt (KCl), osmolyte sorbitol (Sorb), or the calcium chelator EGTA, and for growth on rich medium at low (19°C) or high temperature (36°C). Finally, the deletion strains were also assayed for growth at 29°C on minimum media plates (Min).

The sensitivities of the 36 deletion strains whose growth was affected by at least one stress are summarized in Figure 2. Loss of a few genes resulted in pleotropic effects (i.e. multiple

sensitivities, e.g. *och1* and *omh6*), likely because these genes are involved in core cellular functions, but most deletion strains had unique patterns of sensitivities.

#### New players in DNA metabolism

There were 14 deletion mutants sensitive to one or more drugs that interfere with DNA metabolism (Fig. 2, Table S1). The three drugs we used differ in their modes of action: bleomycin causes base loss, single and double strand breaks (Stubbe *et al.*, 1987), MMS methylates bases, blocking the replicative polymerases and causing replication stress (Beranek, 1990, Lundin *et al.*, 2005), and HU inhibits the enzyme ribonucleotide reductase, leading to a depletion of dNTPs and to a stalling of replication forks (Slater, 1973, Yarbro, 1992). Thus the deletion strain sensitivities varied in their response to these perturbations. Two gene deletion strains (*SPAC18G6.09c* and *SPAC167.05*) that showed selective sensitivity to bleomycin (they were not sensitive to HU or MMS) were named deletion bleomycin sensitive (dbs) 1 and 2, respectively and were analyzed further.

Dbs1 (SPAC18G6.09c) is only found amongst Schizosaccharomyces species and encodes a protein that has no known domain structure and localizes to the nucleus and cytoplasm (Matsuyama et al., 2006, Wood et al., 2012). We found that dbs1 cells are significantly longer (19.9 µm vs 14.5 µm) but not wider (4.03 µm vs 3.86 µm) relative to wildtype (Fig. 3A), possibly because they contain damaged DNA, which may trigger a G2/M checkpoint delay. SPAC167.05 (dbs2) was previously described as having a meiotic defect (Gregan et al., 2005), localizes to the nucleus and cytoplasm (Matsuyama et al., 2006) and contains a universal stress protein A domain (Wood et al., 2012). When exposed to bleomycin, both dbs1 and dbs2 exhibited elongated phenotypes, like wildtype cells (Fig. 3B), indicating an intact DNA damage checkpoint response. However, unlike wildtype, the DNA of dbs1 and *dbs2* appeared indistinct and/or fragmented in many cells, suggesting a possible defect in chromosome organization. Using Rad22-GFP-positive foci (Noguchi et al., 2009) as a marker for DNA damage, we quantitated the number of Rad22-GFP foci in nuclei of wildtype and mutant cells (Fig. 3C). There were more Rad22-GFP foci in dbs1 cells, but fewer in *dbs2* cells compared to wild-type (Fig. 3C), suggesting that Dbs2 may be important for assembly or maintenance of DNA repair foci. To explore the possibility that these strains were defective in chromosome segregation, we performed mini-chromosome loss assays and found that *dbs1*, but not *dbs2* lost mini-chromosomes at an elevated rate, indicating that dbs1 has a defect in chromosome segregation (Fig. 3D). Given the very high rate of minichromosome loss in cells lacking Dbs1, we next defined Dbs1's impact on mitotic timing and chromosome movement. Wildtype and dbs1 cells containing spindle pole (Sid4mCherry) and kinetochore markers (GFP-cen2) were imaged and analyzed for the timing of metaphase and anaphase A, measuring the time from spindle pole body separation to spindle elongation (Fig. 3E). While wildtype cells progressed through this phase of constant spindle length with an average time of 12 min., dbs1 cells were delayed and on average spent much longer in metaphase and/or anaphase A (20 min.), indicating significant defects in chromosome segregation and highlighting a role for Dbs1 in mitotic progression. It will be interesting in the future to determine at what cellular locale Dbs1 impacts mitotic progression.

In bacteria, the universal stress domain containing proteins, like Dbs2, are thought to play a role in resistance to DNA damaging agents (Kvint *et al.*, 2003), but a role for them in yeast has not been reported. Although *dbs2* cells have no defect in mini-chromosome retention, they are very sensitive to bleomycin and have fewer Rad22-GFP DNA repair foci, suggesting a conserved role for this protein in protection from DNA damage through an unknown mechanism.

#### Morphogenesis factors

Eight gene deletions showed increased sensitivity only to latrunculin A (LatA) or LatA plus one additional factor (Fig. 2, Table S1). Loss of either the actin bundler Fim1 or the Rho-GAP Rga4 renders cells very sensitive to LatA treatment, likely because inhibition of actin filament formation further disrupted the actin cytoskeleton resulting in cell death. This is the first report of sensitivities of *fim1* cells to external stressors.

In sum, we have generated 90 new *S. pombe* deletion strains in an effort to fill gaps in available deletion collections. In addition to the 36 deletions for which we identified sensitivities to one or more stress conditions, 54 have no obvious phenotypes. These genes may have biological functions not tested in our panel of stress conditions, or they may have redundant functions that mask their functions. Nonetheless, the deletions reported here will provide an important resource for the characterization of these gene functions and, in combination with other deletion collections (Kim *et al.*, 2010, Spirek *et al.*, 2010, Chen *et al.*, 2015) for future genome-wide genetic screens.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Paul Russell (Scripps Research Institute), Susan L. Forsburg (University of Southern California), Gennaro D'Urso (University of Miami), Peter Baumann (Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Stowers Institute for Medical Research), Li-Lin Du (National Institute of Biological Sciences, Beijing), Anthony M. Carr (University of Sussex), Fred Winston (Harvard Medical School), Danesh Moazed (Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Harvard Medical School), Rob Martienssen (Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Phong Tran (University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine), Takashi Toda (The Francis Crick Institute and Hiroshima University), Jian-Qiu Wu (The Ohio State University), and Sergio Moreno (CSIC/University of Salamanca) for providing reagents and/or financial support for this project. This work was supported by NIH GM101035 to K.L.G. and GMGM098815 to N.R.

#### References

- Arasada R, Pollard TD. A role for F-BAR protein Rga7p during cytokinesis in S. pombe. J Cell Sci. 2015; 128:2259–2268. [PubMed: 25977474]
- Ayscough KR. High Rates of Actin Filament Turnover in Budding Yeast and Roles for Actin in Establishment and Maintenance of Cell Polarity Revealed Using the Actin Inhibitor Latrunculin-A. The Journal of Cell Biology. 1997; 137:399–416. [PubMed: 9128251]
- Bahler J, Wu JQ, Longtine MS, et al. Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile PCR-based gene targeting in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast. 1998; 14:943–951. [PubMed: 9717240]
- Balasubramanian MK, McCollum D, Chang L, et al. Isolation and characterization of new fission yeast cytokinesis mutants. Genetics. 1998; 149:1265–1275. [PubMed: 9649519]

- Beranek DT. Distribution of methyl and ethyl adducts following alkylation with monofunctional alkylating agents. Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 1990; 231:11–30. [PubMed: 2195323]
- Bitton DA, Wood V, Scutt PJ, et al. Augmented Annotation of the Schizosaccharomyces pombe Genome Reveals Additional Genes Required for Growth and Viability. Genetics. 2011; 187:1207– 1217. [PubMed: 21270388]
- Chen JS, Beckley JR, McDonald NA, et al. Identification of new players in cell division, DNA damage response, and morphogenesis through construction of Schizosaccharomyces pombe deletion strains. G3 (Bethesda). 2015; 5:361–370. [PubMed: 25552606]
- Chen JS, Broadus MR, McLean JR, et al. Comprehensive proteomics analysis reveals new substrates and regulators of the fission yeast clp1/cdc14 phosphatase. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2013; 12:1074–1086. [PubMed: 23297348]
- Chen Z, McCroskey S, Guo W, et al. A Genetic Screen to Discover Pathways Affecting Cohesin Function in Schizosaccharomyces pombe Identifies Chromatin Effectors. G3: Genes|Genomes| Genetics. 2012; 2:1161–1168. [PubMed: 23050226]
- Costanzo M, Baryshnikova A, Myers CL, et al. Charting the genetic interaction map of a cell. Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 2011; 22:66–74. [PubMed: 21111604]
- Dixon SJ, Costanzo M, Baryshnikova A, et al. Systematic Mapping of Genetic Interaction Networks. Annu Rev Genet. 2009; 43:601–625. [PubMed: 19712041]
- Gregan J, Rabitsch PK, Rumpf C, et al. High-throughput knockout screen in fission yeast. Nat Protoc. 2006; 1:2457–2464. [PubMed: 17406492]
- Gregan J, Rabitsch PK, Sakem B, et al. Novel genes required for meiotic chromosome segregation are identified by a high-throughput knockout screen in fission yeast. Curr Biol. 2005; 15:1663–1669. [PubMed: 16169489]
- Hayles J, Wood V, Jeffery L, et al. A genome-wide resource of cell cycle and cell shape genes of fission yeast. Open Biol. 2013; 3:130053. [PubMed: 23697806]
- Keeney JB, Boeke JD. Efficient targeted integration at leu1-32 and ura4-294 in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Genetics. 1994; 136:849–856. [PubMed: 8005439]
- Kelly FD, Nurse P. Spatial control of Cdc42 activation determines cell width in fission yeast. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 2011; 22:3801–3811. [PubMed: 21849474]
- Kennedy PJ, Vashisht AA, Hoe KL, et al. A Genome-Wide Screen of Genes Involved in Cadmium Tolerance in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Toxicological Sciences. 2008; 106:124–139. [PubMed: 18684775]
- Kim D-U, Hayles J, Kim D, et al. Analysis of a genome-wide set of gene deletions in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nat Biotechnol. 2010; 28:617–623. [PubMed: 20473289]
- Krawchuk MD, Wahls WP. High-efficiency gene targeting in Schizosaccharomyces pombe using a modular, PCR-based approach with long tracts of flanking homology. Yeast. 1999; 15:1419–1427. [PubMed: 10509024]
- Kvint K, Nachin L, Diez A, et al. The bacterial universal stress protein: function and regulation. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2003; 6:140–145. [PubMed: 12732303]
- Liu J, Wang H, Balasubramanian MK. A checkpoint that monitors cytokinesis in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. J Cell Sci. 2000; 113( Pt 7):1223–1230. [PubMed: 10704373]
- Lundin C, North M, Erixon K, et al. Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) produces heat-labile DNA damage but no detectable in vivo DNA double-strand breaks. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33:3799– 3811. [PubMed: 16009812]
- Martin-Garcia R, Coll PM, Perez P. F-BAR domain protein Rga7 collaborates with Cdc15 and Imp2 to ensure proper cytokinesis in fission yeast. J Cell Sci. 2014; 127:4146–4158. [PubMed: 25052092]
- Matsuyama A, Arai R, Yashiroda Y, et al. ORFeome cloning and global analysis of protein localization in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nat Biotechnol. 2006; 24:841–847. [PubMed: 16823372]
- McDowall MD, Harris MA, Lock A, et al. PomBase 2015: updates to the fission yeast database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43:D656–661. [PubMed: 25361970]
- Morrell-Falvey JL, Ren L, Feoktistova A, et al. Cell wall remodeling at the fission yeast cell division site requires the Rho-GEF Rgf3p. J Cell Sci. 2005; 118:5563–5573. [PubMed: 16291723]

- Mutoh T, Nakano K, Mabuchi I. Rho1-GEFs Rgf1 and Rgf2 are involved in formation of cell wall and septum, while Rgf3 is involved in cytokinesis in fission yeast. Genes Cells. 2005; 10:1189–1202. [PubMed: 16324155]
- Navarro FJ, Nurse P. A systematic screen reveals new elements acting at the G2/M cell cycle control. Genome Biol. 2012; 13:R36. [PubMed: 22624651]
- Noguchi E, Ansbach AB, Noguchi C, et al. Assays Used to Study the DNA Replication Checkpoint in Fission Yeast. Methods in Molecular Biology, Springer Science + Business Media. 2009:493–507.
- Pan X, Lei B, Zhou N, et al. Identification of novel genes involved in DNA damage response by screening a genome-wide Schizosaccharomyces pombe deletion library. BMC Genomics. 2012; 13:662. [PubMed: 23173672]
- Povirk LF. DNA damage and mutagenesis by radiomimetic DNA-cleaving agents: bleomycin, neocarzinostatin and other enediynes. Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 1996; 355:71–89. [PubMed: 8781578]
- Rallis C, Lopez-Maury L, Georgescu T, et al. Systematic screen for mutants resistant to TORC1 inhibition in fission yeast reveals genes involved in cellular ageing and growth. Biology Open. 2014; 3:161–171. [PubMed: 24463365]
- Rhind N, Chen Z, Yassour M, et al. Comparative functional genomics of the fission yeasts. Science. 2011; 332:930–936. [PubMed: 21511999]
- Ribas JC, Diaz M, Duran A, et al. Isolation and characterization of Schizosaccharomyces pombe mutants defective in cell wall (1-3)beta-D-glucan. J Bacteriol. 1991; 173:3456–3462. [PubMed: 1828464]
- Roy D, Fantes PA. Benomyl resistant mutants of Schizosaccharomyces pombe cold-sensitive for mitosis. Curr Genet. 1982; 6:195–201. [PubMed: 24186545]
- Rumpf C, Cipak L, Novatchkova M, et al. High-throughput knockout screen in Schizosaccharomyces pombe identifies a novel gene required for efficient homolog disjunction during meiosis I. Cell Cycle. 2010; 9:1802–1808. [PubMed: 20404563]
- Ryuko S, Ma Y, Ma N, et al. Genome-wide screen reveals novel mechanisms for regulating cobalt uptake and detoxification in fission yeast. Molecular Genetics and Genomics. 2012; 287:651–662. [PubMed: 22806344]
- Slater ML. Effect of reversible inhibition of deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis on the yeast cell cycle. J Bacteriol. 1973; 113:263–270. [PubMed: 4120066]
- Spirek M, Benko Z, Carnecka M, et al. S. pombe genome deletion project: An update. Cell Cycle. 2010; 9:2399–2402. [PubMed: 20519959]
- Stubbe J, Kozarich JW. Mechanisms of bleomycin-induced DNA degradation. Chem Rev. 1987; 87:1107–1136.
- Tajadura V, Garcia B, Garcia I, et al. Schizosaccharomyces pombe Rgf3p is a specific Rho1 GEF that regulates cell wall beta-glucan biosynthesis through the GTPase Rho1p. J Cell Sci. 2004; 117:6163–6174. [PubMed: 15546915]
- Turi TG, Webster P, Rose JK. Brefeldin A sensitivity and resistance in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Isolation of multiple genes conferring resistance. J Biol Chem. 1994; 269:24229–24236. [PubMed: 7929079]
- Ucisik-Akkaya E, Leatherwood JK, Neiman AM. A Genome-Wide Screen for Sporulation-Defective Mutants in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2014; 4:1173–1182. [PubMed: 24727291]
- Wach A, Brachat A, Pöhlmann R, et al. New heterologous modules for classical or PCR-based gene disruptions inSaccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast. 1994; 10:1793–1808. [PubMed: 7747518]
- Wood V, Harris MA, McDowall MD, et al. PomBase: a comprehensive online resource for fission yeast. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:D695–699. [PubMed: 22039153]
- Yarbro JW. Mechanism of action of hydroxyurea. Semin Oncol. 1992; 19:1-10. [PubMed: 1641648]
- Zhang L, Ma N, Liu Q, et al. Genome-Wide Screening for Genes Associated with Valproic Acid Sensitivity in Fission Yeast. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8:e68738. [PubMed: 23861937]
- Zhou X, Ma Y, Fang Y, et al. A Genome-Wide Screening of Potential Target Genes to Enhance the Antifungal Activity of Micafungin in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8:e65904. [PubMed: 23738021]



#### Figure 1. Characterization of SPAC688.07c (rng10)

A) Differential interference contrast (DIC) images of wildtype and rng10 cells, illustrating the cell lysis phenotype. Quantitation of cell lysis is included to the right (n=100 for each data point, the average from three replicates and standard deviation are plotted). Scale bar, 5 µm. **B**) Montages of live cell images of rng10-GFP sid4-RFP cells. Left panel emphasizes the arrival time of Rng10 to the division site; right panel shows Rng10 localization during contractile ring constriction. Scale bar for both right and left panels, 5 µm. **C**) List of proteins that localize to the cell division site identified by LC-MS of a Rng10-GFP GFP-trap experiment. TSC = total spectral counts. **D**) Negative genetic interactions of rng10 with mutations in glucan synthase genes (cps1/bgs1 and bgs4/cwg1) and septation regulatory genes (rgf3 and sid2). 10-fold dilutions of the indicated strains were spotted on YE plates and incubated for 2–3 days at the indicated temperatures.

-
_
Ē
_
$\mathbf{O}$
$\mathbf{U}$
_
-
<sup>m</sup>
=
=
ŝ
0
~
$\sim$

ORF	Name	LatA	Bleo	MMS	HU	TBZ	Ben	Min	CHX	EGTA	SDS	KCI	Calc	19°C	36°C
SPAC1006.05c	och1														
SPAC167.05	dbs2														
SPAC18G6.09c	dbs1														
SPAC19E9.03	pasl														
SPAC1F3.02c	mkh1														
SPAC222.19	taml														
SPAC2E1P5.01c	mnsl														
SPAC2F3.13c															
SPAC3A11.08	pcu4														
SPAC688.07c	rng10														
SPAC7D4.06c	alg3														
SPAC806.03c	rps2601														
SPAC821.04c	cid13														
SPAC959.04c	omh6														
SPAC977.10	nhel														
SPAP4C9.02	emc5														
SPAPJ760.03c	adg1														
SPBC1289.01c	chr4														
SPBC12D12.04c	pck2														
SPBC12D12.05c															
SPBC16C6.10	chp2														
SPBC16E9.19															
SPBC1778.06c	fiml														
SPBC19C7.04c															
SPBC19C7.11															
SPBC28E12.03	rga4														
SPBC29A3.01	ccc2														
SPBC2F12.13	klp5														
SPBC56F2.12	ilv5														
SPBC582.05c	brc1														
SPBC6B1.12c	sus1														
SPBC713.02c	ubp15														
SPBP26C9.03c	fe14														
SPBP35G2.16c	ecl2														
SPCC1442.15c	cox18														
SPCC777.09c	argl														

Very sensitive					
Sensitive					
Not sensitive					
Resistant					

**Figure 2. Summary of the deletions that showed sensitivity or resistance to any stress condition** LatA = latrunculin A, Bleo = bleomycin, MMS = methyl methanesulfonate, HU = hydroxyurea, TBZ = thiabendazole, Ben = benomyl, Min= minimal media, CHX = cycloheximide, Calc = calcofluor. The following criteria were used to define not sensitive (NS), sensitive (S), very sensitive (VS) and resistant (R) strains: deletion strains that grew similarly or within 1 dilution factor of wildtype cells were scored as NS, deletion strains that grew at 2 or more dilutions less than wildtype under same conditions were classified as S, deletion strains that did not grow when wildtype cells grew were classified as VS, and deletion strains that grew better than wild-type cells were scored R.



#### Figure 3. Characterization of deletion sensitive in bleomycin (dbs) strains

A) Left, DIC images of wildtype and *dbs1* cells, illustrating the elongated cell phenotype. Scale bar, 5 µm. Middle, quantitation of cell lengths at septation of wildtype and *dbs1* cells measured in Image J (\*\*\*\*p = <0.0001). Right, quantitation of cell widths at septation of wildtype and *dbs1* cells measured in Image J. **B**) Images of DAPI staining of wildtype, *dbs1* and *dbs2* cells untreated or treated with 1.25 µg/ml bleomycin for 4h at 32°C. **C**) Percent of nuclei containing 1 or 2+ Rad22-GFP DNA repair foci in wildtype, *dbs1* and *dbs2* strains. **D**) Percent of colonies exhibiting mini-chromosome loss in wildtype and *dbs1*, and *dbs2* strains. **E**) Live cell microscopy of the indicated strains growing at 32°C. Scale bar, 5 µm. Right, quantitation of time from onset of SPB duplication until onset of anaphase B. (\*\*\*p = 0.0006). Significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired *t*-test.