

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

European J Org Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 September 16.

Published in final edited form as: *European J Org Chem.* 2015 February ; 2015(4): 750–764. doi:10.1002/ejoc.201403250.

Cycloaddition of Arynes and Cyclic Enol Ethers as a Platform for Access to Stereochemically Defined 1,2-Disubstituted Benzocyclobutenes

Vijayendar R. Yedulla^a, Padmanava Pradhan^a, Lijia Yang^a, and Mahesh K. Lakshman^{*,a}

^a Department of Chemistry, The City College and The City University of New York, 160 Convent Avenue, New York, NY 10031, USA

Abstract

Benzocyclobutenes (BCBs) are important entities in a multitude of areas, such as complex organic synthesis, materials and polymer chemistry, and electronics. Whereas reactions between arynes and ketene acetals have been well studied, reactions with cyclic enol ethers are unknown. A cis olefin geometry in cyclic enol ethers makes them well suited for formal [2 + 2] cycloaddition with arynes than for competing ene reactions, making them effective reactants. Reactions of 2,3-dihydrofuran, 2,3-dihydro-3*H*-pyran, 5-butyl-2,3-dihydrofuran, (*S*)-2-((benzyloxy)methyl)-2,3-dihydrofuran, and 1,4-dioxene with various arynes were successful. An advantage of the use of cyclic enol ethers is that despite the plausible intermediacy of zwitterionic intermediates, the products are limited to a cis ring junction. This can be exploited for potential access to stereochemically-defined 1,2-disubstituted BCBs. As a demonstration, ether ring cleavage with BBr₃ provided trans-functionalized BCBs and displacement with azide then provided cis derivatives. DFT computations have been utilized to understand the structures of three arynes in relation to the cycloadditions and for a predictive evaluation of product ratios in two cases. A comparative evaluation of the HOMO energies of a related series of cyclic olefins has also been performed by DFT computations.

Keywords

Arynes; Cycloaddition; Fused-ring systems; Regioselectivity; Enol ethers; Density functional calculations

Introduction

From the time of its discovery over a century ago,^[1] and its structural characterization about half a century later,^[2] bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1,3,5-triene (also commonly known as benzocyclobutene, BCB) and its derivatives have emerged as powerful, reactive entities.

^{*} lakshman@sci.ccny.cuny.edu, http://www.ccny.cuny.edu/profiles/Mahesh-Lakshman.cfm.

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): Synthetic procedures, characterization details, copies of 1 H NMR spectra with expanded spectra and 13 C NMR spectra of all products, gCOSY, NOESY, gHMQC, and HMBC spectra of selected products for structure elucidation purposes, and IR spectra of compounds **24** and **25**.

Applications of BCBs range from complex organic syntheses,^[3,4] materials and polymer chemistry, and organic light-emitting diodes to electronics.^[4,5]

The exceptional utility of BCBs has therefore resulted in a number of creative approaches to their syntheses. However, the most obvious route to BCBs is through a formal [2 + 2] cycloaddition between arynes and olefins. On the basis of its unusual C \equiv C stretch (1846 cm⁻¹), benzyne is predicted to not have significant alkyne character,^[6] and is considered a diradical.^[7] This can be associated with its chemical reactivity in [2 + 2] reactions with alkenes, although zwitterionic intermediates are plausible with dipolar reactants.^[8] The low-lying LUMO of benzyne^[9] renders it generally electrophilic and thus capable of reactions with both charged and charge-neutral nucleophiles. On the other hand, the structures, and therefore the reactions, of substituted arynes can be influenced by the nature of the substituents. This has been the subject of reactivity and computational analyses, as well as synthetic applications.^[10–12]

Formal [2 + 2] cycloaddition between arynes and alkenes has been of significant interest and has led to the development of powerful synthetic methods. With rare exceptions, reactions between simple alkenes and benzyne generally give low yields of cycloaddition products by diradial pathways, with *ene* reactions predominating.^[13–17] Reactions between enamines and arynes^[18] have led to a ring-expansion methodology involving BCB intermediates.^[19] However, these are also prone to *ene* reactions.^[20] Enolate additions to arynes have proven to be of exceptional synthetic value. These reactions proceed through the intermediacy of benzocyclobutenols and directly yield products of σ -bond insertion.^[21–23]

Reaction between arynes and simple enol ethers are rare in the literature. Benzyne, produced by the diazotization of anthranilic acid, reacts with ethyl vinyl ether and vinyl acetate to yield the corresponding [2 + 2] products in 40–45% yields.^[24] However, use of 1- ethoxyvinyl acetate gave benzocyclobutenone in only 4% yield, with the ethyl ester of *o*-acetylphenylacetic acid being the major product (25%).^[24] The reaction between benzyne and *trans*-methoxypropene gave significant amounts of 3-methoxy-3-phenylpropene (ca. 50% of the product mixture), whereas that between benzyne and *trans*-acetoxypropene gave 1-phenylallyl acetate (>80% of the product mixture), by the ene pathway.^[13] Interestingly, *cis*-methoxypropene gave a greater proportion of the [2 + 2] products, as a cis/trans mixture of 3-methoxy-4-methylbenzocyclobutenes, albeit only in low to moderate yields.^[13]

In contrast with the limited literature on the reactions between arynes and enol ethers, literature on the reactions between arynes and ketene acetals is more extensive,^[25,26] and the utility of reactions between alkoxy-substituted arynes and silyl ketene acetals has been substantially documented.^[26] These reagents have provided access to benzocyclobutenones and related complex architectures.^[26]

A drawback to many of these methods is the need for strong bases for aryne generation. The advent of *o*-silyl aryltriflates^[27] has had a major contribution to synthetic chemistry due to the ease of aryne generation under mild conditions.^[23] Notably, reactions between arynes and enamides, leading both to indolines and to isoquinolines and also to BCBs *en route* to natural product scaffolds, have been achieved by this method.^[28,29]

Results and Discussion

In this overall context we were intrigued by the fact that ethyl vinyl ether reacts modestly with benzyne^[24] in a [2 + 2] manner as does *cis*-1-methoxypropene, but not the trans isomer.^[13] This prompted the consideration that cyclic enol ethers fit the geometric requirements for favorable [2 + 2] cycloaddition over the undesirable ene reaction. Furthermore many cyclic enol ethers are readily available. Cycloadditions between arynes and cyclic enol ethers have not been evaluated to date, but these reactions appear to offer a variety of synthetic advantages. The relative stereochemistry at the ring junction involving the cyclobutene moiety would be cis. A similar stereochemical outcome has been reported for the significantly electron-rich, acyclic $E/Z\beta$ -silyloxy ketene silyl acetals derived from α -(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl acetate and the E/Z-ketene silvl acetals drived from ethyl propionate.^[26g] However, in that study as well, the *E*-ketene silyl acetal underwent an enetype process to a significant extent, and the minor erosion of stereochemistry observed at the ring junction was attributed to this side reaction (called a [4 + 2] process).^[26g] All such problems are likely avoidable in reactions of cyclic enol ethers. Further, the ether rings in the cycloadducts should be cleavable to unmask classical BCBs, which could be used for further manipulations. Finally, the ability to introduce olefinic substituents by metalation alpha to the ether oxygen allows for greater synthetic utility.

With these considerations, we began our evaluation of the scope and feasibility of [2 + 2] cycloaddition between benzyne and cyclic enol ethers. As shown in Table 1, diazotization of anthranilic acid and subsequent reaction with 2,3-dihydrofuran (DHF) gave cycloadduct **1** in 48% yield (entry 1), comparable to the literature result with ethyl vinyl ether.^[24] Use of 2,3-dihydro-3*H*-pyran (DHP) in place of DHF gave a similar yield of cycloadduct **2** (entry 2). Attempts to generate the aryne by lithium/halogen exchange processes were ineffective (entries 3 and 4) so such an approach was not pursued at this time. Generation of benzyne from 2-(trimethylsilyl)phenyl triflate, with the aid of 1:1 CsF/18-Cr-6 in MeCN as described,^[30] and subsequent reaction with DHF gave product **1** in an excellent 82% isolated yield (entry 5).

In its ¹H NMR spectrum, compound **1** shows a doublet at $\delta = 5.56$ ppm (J = 3.4 Hz). Cycloadduct **2** displays a doublet at $\delta = 5.16$ ppm (J = 4.5 Hz). These data are comparable with those reported in the literature for similar products obtained from Fisher carbene complexes.^[31,32] However, in the literature procedures, alkenyl cyclobutenyl Fisher carbenes were first obtained by [2 + 2] cycloaddition between enol ethers (alkenylethynyl)carbene complexes. Thermal CO insertion and cyclization then led to *o*-methoxyphenol derivatives containing the fused BCB-cyclic ether core, through a process similar to the Dötz reaction.^[31] A similar approach has also been used for the synthesis of methoxy naphthalene derivatives by a metathesis pathway.^[32]

The results in Table 1 clearly demonstrated the feasibility and simplicity of the approach under relatively mild aryne-generation conditions. With these initial results, we then proceeded to evaluate the broader applicability of the reactions between benzyne and other enol ethers, as well as vinylene carbonate. These data are shown in Table 2.

The reaction with DHP gave a good product yield (entry 1). As mentioned earlier, the ability to introduce a substituent at the vinylic position adjacent to the oxygen atom is exemplified in entry 2 (2-n-butyl-DHP was readily synthesized by lithiation/alkylation^[33]). Importantly, the enol ether can be substituted at the nonvinylic position adjacent to the ether oxygen, as demonstrated by the use of (*S*)-2-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-2,3-dihydrofuran in entry 3. In this case a 1:1 diastereomeric pair of chromatographically separable cycloadducts **4a** and **4b** was obtained (see discussion below for additional details).

A simple enol ether also reacted (entry 4), albeit in modest yield. Not surprisingly, vinylene carbonatedid not yield a product (entry 5). What was surprising however, was the absence of product formation with the glycal derived from deoxyribose^[34] (entry 6). We speculate that the allylic, inductively electron-withdrawing substituent is responsible, although other factors cannot be ruled out. Finally, the reaction with 1,4-dioxene gave an excellent yield of compound **6** (entry 7), a potential precursor to other stereochemically defined BCB derivatives.

The presence of the chiral center in the newly formed four-membered ring was clearly evident in the ¹H NMR of cycloadduct **5**, in which the diastereotopic OC \underline{H}_2 protons appear as apparent quintets at $\delta = 3.75$ and 3.69 ppm ($J_{AB} = 15.2$ Hz and $J_{vic} = 7.3$ Hz in each case). For additional confirmation of the BCB structural element, compound **5** was subjected to ring opening and cycloaddition with maleic anhydride (Scheme 1, 82% yield of compound **7**). The anticipated all cis stereochemistry in the product **7** was confirmed by NOESY experiments, which showed correlations between the protons indicated with the grey ovals (Scheme 1). The OC \underline{H}_2 appear at higher field than might be expected (cf., for example, compound **5**), likely due to the anisotropy of both the anhydride carbonyl and the aromatic moiety. The substantial differences in the chemical shifts of the C \underline{H}_2 resonances of the central ring are also likely due to these two functionalities. It should be noted is that these data were obtained in C₆D₆, in which overlap of aliphatic resonances observed in CDCl₃ was eliminated.

The next stage in the evaluation of the methodology was an assessment of the reaction scope with various arynes and enol ethers. Figure 1 shows the products synthesized, the reaction times, and yields obtained.

Reactions of DHF and DHP proceeded in very good to excellent yields to afford products **8**–**16** (73–86 %). Substitution with the *n*-butyl group at the vinylic position adjacent to the oxygen atom in DHF gave a slightly lower yield of **17**, as in the reaction with benzyne (Table 2, entry 2). The isolated yields of **3** and **17** are both comparable and reasonable. The reaction between ethyl vinyl ether and 4,5-dimethoxybenzyne gave a 51% yield of compound **19**, also comparable to that obtained with benzyne (Table 2, entry 4). A longer reaction time in this case did not provide a yield improvement. Products **20** and **21** were obtained in good yields from the reactions of 1,4-dioxene with 4,5-dimethoxybenzyne and 3-methoxybenzyne, respectively.

Structure Evaluations of the Cycloadducts

With 3-methoxybenzyne, single regioisomers of **9** and **14** were formed (Figure 1), consistently with previous results on cycloaddition between 3-alkoxyarynes and ketene acetals. Here NOESY analysis showed correlations between the OC \underline{H}_3 and bridgehead OC \underline{H} resonances (shown in bold and by the arrows in Figure 2).

With 4-methoxy-, 3-methyl-, and 4-methylbenzynes, pairs of regioisomers were produced (**10**, **11**, **12**, **15**, and **16**). The ratios of the regioisomers in each case are indicated in Figure 1, and structure assignments of **10** and **15** were made on the basis of HMQC and HMBC data. These spectroscopic correlations are shown in Figure 2 (correlated pairs are shown in bold). At the current time, we have not been able to establish structures of the major and minor isomers in the other cases due to overlapping methyl and methylene resonances of the isomers.

The reaction between (*S*)-2-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-2,3-dihydrofuran and 3-methoxybenzyne gave a 1:1 ratio of diastereomeric products **18a** and **18b**, which were chromatographically separable. The result from this reaction was comparable to that of the reaction with benzyne (entry 3 in Table 2). In the case of the slower-eluting isomer **4b** obtained from the reaction with benzyne, the AB_q pattern of the benzyloxy methylene protons is better separated ($\nu_{AB} = 74.9 \text{ Hz}$), and the resonances are shifted upfield relative to the corresponding protons in the faster-eluting isomer **4a** ($\nu_{AB} = 16.2 \text{ Hz}$, Figure 3). We propose that this is due to the all cis relative stereochemistry in the slower-eluting isomer, which places the methylene protons in the shielding region of the BCB aryl ring. Additional evidence for the proposed structure assignment of **4a** was obtained from NOESY data (Figure 3). Here a furanyl methylene proton at $\delta = 4.11$ ppm and a methylene proton at $\delta = 3.58$ ppm. The correlation of this furanyl methylene proton to the methine proton at $\delta = 3.99-3.94$ ppm was very weak (shown by the dashed arrow).

The regiochemistry of the cycloaddition leading to isomers **18a** and **18b**, which was anticipated from products **9** and **14**, was supported by NOESY correlations between the OC \underline{H}_3 and the bridgehead OC \underline{H} resonances in both diastereomers (Figure 3). The ¹H NMR spectra of the two isomers of compound **18** shared common features with those of compound **4**. In **18b**, just as in **4b**, the AB_q resonances for the benzylic methylene protons are shifted upfield relative to **18a** and are better separated ($\nu_{AB} = 57.7$ Hz). However, in the faster-eluting **18a** the central lines of the AB_q are very closely spaced ($\nu_{AB} = 5.3$ Hz) relative to the case of cycloadduct **4a**. On the basis of the upfield shifts of the benzylic methylene protons in **18b** (slower-eluting isomer), and the very weak NOESY correlation observed between the protons on either side of the furanyl-ether linkage (Figure 3), an all cis geometry is proposed in this case. Although this NOESY correlation was not observed in the corresponding isomer **4b** the other close spectral features of pairs **4a** and **18a** as well as **4b** and **18b**, combined with the NOESY data for **4a** supported the assignments. Additionally, compounds **4a** and **18a** share similarities in the splitting patterns of protons resonating at 1.6–2.0 and 3.5–3.7 ppm. Correspondingly, there are similarities in the splitting patterns of

protons resonating at 1.9–2.3, 2.7–3.1, and 4.1–4.7 ppm in compounds **4b** and **18b** (see the spectra in the Supporting Information).

Evaluation of the Regiochemistry of the Cycloaddition and Computational Analyses

Electronic and steric influences have been argued to explain the regioselectivity in the cycloaddition reactions of unsymmetrical arynes with substituted furans.^[10] Recently, computational methods have been utilized to better understand how cycloaddition and nucleophilic addition reactions to arynes are influenced by aryne structures.^[11,12] In these computations, both the bond angle and the charge at each triply bonded aryne carbon atom have been considered.

For example, in DFT calculations, the C-1 atom of 3-methoxybenzyne is flatter (θ = 135°) than the C-2 atom (θ = 119°) and nucleophilic attack is predicted at C-1.^[12c] This regioselectivity is also consistent with the calculated Mulliken charges (C-1 = 0.01*e* and C-2 = -0.10*e*). The regiochemistry observed here in the reactions of DHF and DHP with 3-methoxybenzyne are fully consistent with the computational results, leading to products **9** and **14**, respectively. Hence, we adopted a similar analysis for 4-methoxy-, 3-methyl-, and 4-methylbenzyne (3-methoxybenzyne was reanalyzed for comparison to the literature data^[12c]).

From the DFT-computed structure, 4-methoxybenzyne is quite different from the 3-methoxy isomer (Figure 4). In the former, angles at both alkynyl carbon atoms are very similar, and the calculated Mulliken charges are -0.021e at the C-1 atom and -0.004e at the C-2. On the basis of the bond angles, nucleophilic reaction by the electron-rich β -carbon atom of the enol ether could occur at both the C-1 and C-2 atoms. The Mulliken charges indicate that the C-2 atom may be preferred over C-1 for nucleophilic reaction. Interestingly, it was reported that cycloaddition of 4-methoxybenzyne with 3-methoxyfuran gave a 1:1 mixture of regioisomers,^[10a] which seems to indicate that Mulliken charge partitioning might not be a good indicator of the regioselection with this aryne. Reactions of 4-methoxybenzyne with both DHF and DHP showed a significant preference for one product, which contrasts with the results obtained with 3-methoxyfuran. In both cases, the major product resulted from reaction of the electron-rich β -carbon atom of the enol ether at the C-1 atom of the aryne. One plausible explanation for this would be an asynchronous mechanism, in which nucleophilic reaction by the enol ether at the C-1 atom of the aryne results in accumulation of electron density more proximal to the inductively electron-withdrawing methoxy group (Scheme 2). In contrast, initial reaction at the C-2 position of the aryne would cause accumulation of electron density at the para-position to the methoxy group, a location that is influenced by its mesomeric electronic-donating effect.

Although we have not been able to ascertain structures of the major/minor products unambiguously in the reactions of 3-methylbenzyne with DHF (**11a** and **11b**) and DHP (isomers **16a** and **16b**), there is clearly a preference for one product in each case. On the basis of the DFT computed structure of 3-methylbenzyne (Figure 4) and the weak inductive effect of a methyl group, no selectivity would be expected. However, a 2.9:1 ratio of products **16a** and **16b** was obtained in the reaction with DHP and a modest 1.3:1 ratio of compounds **11a** and **11b** was observed in the reaction with DHF. If steric factors had some

role in the regioselection, then **11a** and **16a** where the aryl methyl group and the oxygen atom are proximal would be expected as the major regioisomers. On the basis of this assumption, we performed energy minimizations by DFT on the two plausible minor isomers. The Haasnoot model was used to constrain only the bridgehead protons with the observed coupling constants.^[35] The ball-and-stick and corresponding space-filling models are shown in Figure 5.

In the product from the reaction with DHP (Panel **A** in Figure 5), the distance between the carbon atoms of the aryl methyl and proximal methylene group is 3.96 Å, and the closest H–H distance between these groups is 3.20 Å. In the corresponding product arising from DHF (Panel **B** in Figure 5) the same C–C and H–H distances are 4.22 Å and 3.26 Å, respectively. Thus, if steric buttressing indeed has an influence, then this could account for the higher selectivity in the reaction with DHP, as compared to that with DHF.

Finally, with 4-methylbenzyne, in which the bond angles at the alkynyl carbon atoms of the aryne are again comparable, and where steric influence of the aryne methyl group is eliminated, a 1:1 ratio of regioisomeric products (**12a** and **12b**) was observed, as would be expected.

From the standpoint of orbital interactions, ketene silyl acetals are expected to have higher HOMO energies than simple enol ethers, and this is implicated in their higher reactivity with arynes.^[26g] In order to gain insight into the plausible reactivity order of various olefins with benzyne, we performed a DFT analysis. The LUMO energy of the benzyne triple bond was calculated at the Becke3LYP/6-311G++(d,p) level. The obtained value of -2.351 eV was comparable to that reported (-2.355 eV) using the Becke3LYP/6-311G9(d,p).^[36] Next, the HOMO energies of a related series of alkenes were calculated (Figure 6).

From these data, the relative HOMO-energy-based ordering of olefins that emerges is 2-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxy)DHF > 1,4-dioxene > DHF > DHP > 1-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-1*H*pyrrole (a representative enamide) > cyclopentene. As expected, the HOMO energy of DHF is about 10.8 Kcal/mol lower than that of the structurally related 2-(*t*butyldimethylsilyloxy)DHF. Although enol silyl ethers have been reported to produce lower yields in [2 + 2] reactions with arynes, possibly as a consequence of their lower HOMO energies,^[26g] it is notable that the reactions of cyclic enol ethers reported here are generally good to excellent. All of the enol ethers in Figure 6 have now been shown to display meaningful reactivity towards arynes, complementing the reactions with some enamides and ketene acetals described earlier.

Further Transformations of the Cycloadducts

For characterization purposes as well as to demonstrate the possibilities for conversion into other substituted BCBs, compounds **1** and **2** were subjected to ether-bond cleavage (Scheme 3) with BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂, to afford the corresponding bromo alcohols in 86% and 94% yield. The bromo alcohols were acetylated to produce compounds **22** and **23**. Here, a two-step conversion of cycloadduct **1** into bromo acetate **22** proceeded in 80% yield without purification of the intermediate bromo alcohol. Acetylation of the purified bromo alcohol obtained from cycloadduct **2** proceeded in 85% yield. Each bromo acetate was then

converted into the corresponding azido acetate in good yield (**24**: 70%, **25**: 74%). These experiments and the following NMR analyses proved to be important because the ether ring cleavage presented an intriguing scenario.

HMBC data on compounds 23 and 25 showed correlations between the acetate carbonyl resonances ($\delta = 171.3$ ppm in 23 and $\delta = 171.4$ ppm in 25) and the ester OC \underline{H}_2 resonances (multiplet at $\delta = 4.18-4.12$ ppm in 23 and triplet at $\delta = 4.16$ ppm in 25), indicative of the regiochemistry of the ring-opening step. In the case of compound 24, HMBC data showed correlations between the acetate carbonyl resonance ($\delta = 171.3$ ppm) and the OC \underline{H}_2 proton resonances, which appear independently (each a triplet of doublets at $\delta = 4.36$ ppm and $\delta = 4.30$ ppm).

From a regiochemistry standpoint, we had initially assumed that complexation of BBr₃ to the ether oxygen would be followed by delivery of the bromide to the less hindered carbon atom. However, the spectroscopic evidence described above indicated that this was not the case. Initial complexation of BBr₃ to the oxygen atom can lead to two possible delivery modes of bromide (Scheme 3). In fact, this delivery occurs at the secondary carbon atom, plausibly because cleavage of a benzylic bond ensues. This selectivity in the ether-ring cleavage appears to parallel observations in which the cleavage reactivity order by BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ has been shown to be benzylic > propargylic > methyl.^[37] This is also consistent with computational results on the BBr₃-mediated ether cleavage.^[38]

NOESY correlations and coupling constant data provided insight into the relative stereochemistry in products. In the case of ring-opening product **23** a very weak correlation was observed between the C<u>H</u>Br resonance (singlet at $\delta = 5.01$ ppm) and the vicinal methine resonance (broad resonance at $\delta = 3.74$ ppm). Also, in compound **23** a singlet was observed for the C<u>H</u>Br resonance and a 1.5 Hz coupling constant was observed in its bromo alcohol precursor. Similarly, in the bromo alcohol leading to compound **22**, the C<u>H</u>Br resonance appeared as a singlet ($\delta = 5.10$ ppm), whereas a 1.8 Hz coupling constant was observed after acetylation. These are consistent with the small coupling constants reported (<2 Hz) between the methine protons in BCBs containing trans substituents.^[39] Either intramolecular or bimolecular delivery of bromide at the benzylic carbon^[38] will result in the same outcome.

Azido acetates 24 and 25, obtained by displacement of bromide with azide ion, should then have a cis relative stereochemistry. In compound 25, a strong NOESY correlation was observed between the C<u>H</u>N₃ resonance (doublet at $\delta = 5.01$ ppm) and the vicinal methine multiplet ($\delta = 3.78-3.74$ ppm). Furthermore, in compounds 24 and 25 the coupling constants between the BCB methine protons are 4.8 and 4.7 Hz, respectively. These values are consistent with those reported for BCBs containing cis substituents,^[39] and are comparable with that in the less strained cycloadduct 2 (4.5 Hz). The IR spectra of compounds 24 and 25 show bands at 2101 and 2102 cm⁻¹, consistent with the N₃ functionality. Whereas the examples in Scheme 3 are representative, conversions to other BCBs can be envisioned for various purposes.

Conclusions

In summary, a previously unstudied simple and modular [2 + 2] cycloadditions of readily availed cyclic enol ethers and arynes are described. They lead to facile functionalization of the cyclobutenyl ring and aryl moieties of BCBs, although with unsymmetrical arynes the regiochemistry is dependent upon the nature of the aryne. The reactions reported here are generally superior to those of acyclic enol ethers and acetates, which are plagued by low yields and significant competing side reactions. Further, the offer stereocontrol because each cycloaddition product possesses a cis ring junction despite the potentially stepwise process,^[8,14,40] likely via zwitterionic intermediates. This is an advantage for additional manipulations, as shown by the preliminary demonstration of the ether cleavage leading to bromo alcohols, and conversion into cis-functionalized compounds. Facile, high-yielding reactions of 1,4-dioxene and arynes lead to cycloadducts that can be utilized to yield other BCBs, also with a fixed relative stereochemistry. In contrast, reactions between arynes and ketene acetals generally produce cyclobutenones, whereas the reactions described here yield products with two functionalized sp³ carbon atoms. Thus, this cycloaddition methodology appears to be a convenient platform from which a range of new stereochemically defined 1,2-disubstituted BCBs can be obtained. Because of the easy access to various aryne precursors^[41-43] and the various functionalized BCBs that can be obtained from them, this</sup> chemistry is likely to see utility in wide-ranging areas, from organic synthesis to materials chemistry, and electronics.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Considerations

Thin-layer chromatography was performed on 200 µm aluminum-foil-backed silica gel plates. Column chromatographic purifications were performed on 200–300 mesh silica gel. CH₃CN and CH₂Cl₂ were distilled from CaH₂ prior to use. EtOAc and hexanes were distilled from CaSO₄, and commercial CH₂Cl₂ was redistilled for chromatography. Commercially available aryne precursors were used in this study. Other commercially available compounds and reagents were used without further purification. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 500 MHz in the solvents indicated and are referenced to residual protonated solvent resonances. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 125 MHz in the solvents indicated and are referenced to the solvent resonances. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are in Hertz (Hz). Standard abbreviations are used to designate resonance multiplicities. For convenience, where identified, we have designated resonances of major isomers with a subscripted *M* and those of minor isomers with a subscripted *m*. When NMR spectroscopic assignments have been made, 2D NMR techniques such as COSY, NOESY, HMQC, and HBMC have been utilized.

General Procedure for the [2 + 2] Cycloaddition of Arynes and Enol Ethers

An oven-dried screw-cap vial containing a magnetic stirring bar was charged with the enol ether (0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv), CsF (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), 18-crown-6 (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and anhydrous CH₃CN (volume used was to keep the mixture 0.125 M in the o-(trimethylsilyl)aryl triflate). Then the o-(trimethylsilyl)aryl triflate (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv)

was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2-6 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and washed with water. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc in hexanes) afforded the desired benzocyclobutanes. For specific details, please see the individual compound descriptions that follow.

2,3,3a,7b-Tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]furan (1)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (36.0 mg, 82 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.60. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.34 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.29 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.16 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.56 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.14–4.10 (m, 2H), 3.58 (ddd, J = 5.2, 9.3, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (dd, J = 5.2, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 1.90–1.82 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.6, 144.3, 129.8, 128.1, 123.4, 122.5, 81.4, 67.2, 49.4, 29.5. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₀H₁₁O [M + H]⁺ 147.0804; found 147.0821.

3,4,4a,8b-Tetrahydro-2H-benzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]pyran (2)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (34.4 mg, 72 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.61. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 2H, Ar-H,), 7.18 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.16 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.86–3.76 (m, 2H), 3.71 (app q, J_{app} = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.25–2.18 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.90 (m, 1H), 1.62–1.57 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.46 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.9, 146.2, 129.5, 127.4, 123.7, 122.9, 73.8, 62.1, 43.1, 23.6, 20.1. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₁₃O [M + H]⁺ 161.0961; found 161.0984.

7b-Butyl-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]furan (3)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a light yellow oil (41.7 mg, 69 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/10\% \text{ EtOAc in hexanes}) = 0.59$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.29$ (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.16 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 4.10–4.07 (m, 1H, OCH_aH_b),

3.71 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-3a), 3.49 (app q, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, OCH_a H_b), 2.02 (dt, J = 5.8, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.98 (dt, J = 5.8, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.88–1.85 (m, 2H, H-3), 1.59–1.44 (m, 2H, H-2'), 1.38 (app sextet, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, H-3'), 0.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, 4'-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 146.8$, 144.0, 129.6, 128.0, 122.7, 122.4, 92.3, 67.8, 52.7, 34.6, 30.1, 27.9, 23.4, 14.3. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₄H₁₉O [M + H]⁺ 203.1430; found 203.1431.

2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-*b*]furan (faster-eluting isomer 4a)



This compound was obtained by column chromatography of the mixture with 15% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless gummy oil (19.1 mg, 24 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.43. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.31 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.29–7.24 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.20 (d, J= 7.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.11 (d, J= 6.9 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.59 (d, J= 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-7a), 4.59, 4.56 (AB_q, J= 12.3 Hz, 2H, OC H_2 Ph), 4.11 (dd, J= 3.4, 8.2 Hz, 1H, H-3a), 3.99–3.94 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.64 (dd, J= 3.3, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J= 5.8, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (dd, J= 4.6, 12.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 1.67 (ddd, J= 8.4, 10.8, 12.2 Hz, 1H, H-3). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.8, 144.6, 138.4, 129.9, 128.6, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 123.8, 122.5, 95.0, 82.0, 73.6, 71.4, 49.6, 32.1. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₈H₁₈O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 289.1199; found 289.1188.

2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]furan (slower-eluting isomer 4b)

This compound was obtained by column chromatography of the mixture with 15% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless gummy oil (19.5 mg, 24 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.41. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.30–7.22 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.20–7.18 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.05 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.59 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-7a), 4.66–4.61 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.41, 4.26 (AB_q, J = 12.1 Hz, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.19–4.16 (m, 1H), 2.93 (dd, J = 7.3, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 5.9, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (td, J = 8.8, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (dt, J = 2.4, 13.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.9, 147.6, 138.3, 129.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 123.0, 122.7, 84.4, 83.1, 73.6, 73.3, 49.6, 31.9. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₈H₁₈O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 289.1199; found 289.1198.

7-Ethoxybicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1,3,5-triene (5)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc (5 %) in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (22.0 mg, 50 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.70. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.28 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.26–7.25 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.17 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.08 (dd, J = 1.0, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (app quint, J_{AB} = 15.2 Hz and J_{vic} = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (app quint, J_{AB} = 15.2 Hz and J_{vic} = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 4.4, 14.1

Hz, 1H), 3.16 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 146.4$, 142.8, 129.5, 127.2, 123.7, 122.9, 76.8, 64.5, 38.9, 15.6. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₀H₁₃O [M + H]⁺ 149.0961; found 149.0988.

2,3,4a,8b-Tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b][1,4]dioxine (6)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (40.9 mg, 84 %). $R_f(SiO_2/10\% \text{ EtOAc in hexanes}) = 0.40$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.39-7.38$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.35-7.33 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 5.36 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 146.0$, 130.1, 123.9, 74.0, 61.9. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₀H₁₁O₂ [M + H]⁺ 163.0754; found 163.0758.

4-Ethoxy-3a,4,9,9a-tetrahydronaphtho[2,3-c]furan-1,3-dione (7)



Benzocyclobutene **5** (29.6 mg, 0.2 mmol) and maleic anhydride (22.0 mg, 0.22 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) were placed in an oven-dried screw-cap vial also containing a magnetic stirring bar. The mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 18 h, after which time the reaction was complete. The reaction mixture was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and water. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude reaction mixture by chromatography on a silica gel column with 30% EtOAc in hexanes as eluting solvent afforded compound **7** as a light yellow solid (40.4 mg, 82 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.11. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 6.99 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.92 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.16 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 14.7 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.86, 2.76 (q of AB_q, *J* = 7.3, 14.0 Hz, 2H, OCH_aH_b), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 14.7 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.47–2.41 (m, 1H), 2.39 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 0.69 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 174.5, 171.5, 136.4, 135.1, 130.0, 129.4, 129.0, 127.1, 76.4, 64.5, 48.1, 38.1, 27.7, 15.3. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₄H₁₅O₄ [M + H]⁺ 247.0965; found 247.0966.

5,6-Dimethoxy-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]furan (8)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 15% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a white solid (50.5 mg, 82 %). R_f (SiO₂/20% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.49. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.75 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.68 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 5.44 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J = 3.4, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.55 (ddd, J = 5.0, 9.0, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (dd, J = 4.9, 12.2 Hz, 1H), 1.79–1.72 (m,

1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 151.5, 150.3, 137.0, 135.2, 106.8, 105.9, 80.8, 67.1, 56.3 (2 × C), 48.7, 29.3. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O₃ [M + H]⁺ 207.1016; found 207.1013.

7-Methoxy-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]furan (9)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 10% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless gummy oil (44.5 mg, 84 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/10\% \text{ EtOAc} \text{ in hexanes}) = 0.53.$ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.22$ (dd, J = 7.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.69 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.64 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (dd, J = 3.6, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.66 (ddd, J = 4.8, 8.7, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (dd, J = 5.1, 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.88–1.80 (m, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 154.9$, 147.3, 131.7, 126.8, 115.0, 114.5, 81.4, 67.0, 57.4, 49.2, 29.5. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₁₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 177.0910; found 177.0920.

5- and 6-Methoxy-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-*b*]furan (10a and 10b: ratio of isomers = 2.2:1)



This mixture of compounds was purified by column chromatography with 10% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a yellow gummy oil (43.1 mg, 82 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/10\% \text{ EtOAc}$ in hexanes) = 0.53. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.08 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 7.02 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_M), 6.86 (dd, J = 2.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_M), 6.80 (dd, J = 1.9, 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 6.76 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_M), 6.68 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 5.46 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 2H_{M+m}), 4.07 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H_M), 4.06 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H_m), 4.00 (dd, J = 3.2, 7.6 Hz, 2H_{M+m}), 3.78 (s, 3H, OMe_m), 3.77 (s, 3H, OMe_M), 3.57–3.52 (m, 2H_{M+m}), 1.90–1.74 (m, 4H_{M+m}). The ratio of isomers was determined by integration of the methoxy resonances at δ = 3.78 and 3.77 ppm. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 161.4 (C_m), 160.1 (C_M), 146.5 (C_m), 145.0 (C_M), 137.1 (C_M), 135.8 (C_m) 124.8 (C_m), 123.7 (C_M), 117.1 (C_M), 114.9 (C_m), 108.1 (C_M), 107.6 (C_m), 80.59 (C_m), 80.57 (C_M), 67.3 (C_M), 67.0 (C_m), 55.6 (C_M), 55.5 (C_m), 48.6 (C_m), 48.4 (C_M), 29.6 (C_M), 29.3 (C_m). HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₁₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 177.0910; found 177.0927.

4- and 7-Methyl-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-*b*]furan (11a and 11b: ratio of isomers = 1.3:1)



Unambiguous determination of the major isomer was not possible.

This mixture of compounds was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a yellow oil (41.1 mg, 86 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.58. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.22 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.19 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.10 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.05 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.94 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.55 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.12–4.03 (m, 4H), 3.59–3.54 (m, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H, Me), 2.25 (s, 3H, Me), 1.94–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.86–1.78 (m, 2H). The ratio of isomers was determined by integration of the methyl resonances at δ = 2.28 and 2.25 ppm, and of OC \underline{H} doublets at 5.55 and 5.51 ppm. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.2, 143.82, 143.81, 142.8, 134.1, 132.8, 130.5, 130.2, 128.9, 128.6, 120.6, 119.5, 80.83, 80.80, 67.24, 67.21, 48.8, 48.3, 29.4, 28.5, 16.8. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₁₃O [M + H]⁺ 161.0961; found 161.0960.

5- and 6-Methyl-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-*b*]furan (12a and 12b: ratio of isomers = 1:1)

 $\bigcirc 0 \qquad + \underbrace{^{\mathsf{TMS}}_{\mathsf{TfO}} }_{\mathsf{TfO}} \overset{\mathsf{Me}}{\longrightarrow} \bigcirc \underbrace{^{\mathsf{O}}_{\mathsf{TfO}} \overset{\mathsf{Me}}{\longrightarrow} }_{\mathsf{O}} \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\mathsf{Me}}{\longrightarrow} + \underbrace{^{\mathsf{O}}_{\mathsf{O}} \overset{\mathsf{Me}}{\longrightarrow} }_{\mathsf{O}} \overset{\mathsf{Me}}{\longrightarrow}$

This mixture of compounds was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (37.6 mg, 78 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.58. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.13 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.10–7.07 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.02 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.01 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.95 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 5.51–5.50 (m, 2H), 4.08 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.05–4.03 (m, 2H), 3.58–3.53 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H, Me), 2.35 (s, 3H, Me), 1.92–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.77 (m, 2H). The ratio of isomers was determined by integration of the methyl resonances at δ = 2.36 and 2.35 ppm. This is a best possible estimate because of overlapping resonances. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.6, 144.3, 142.3, 141.1, 139.8, 137.8, 130.7, 129.0, 123.9, 123.2, 123.0, 122.2, 81.1, 81.0, 67.2, 67.1, 49.0, 48.8, 29.6, 29.5, 22.4, 22.2. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₁₃O [M + H]⁺ 161.0961; found 161.0968.

6,7-Dimethoxy-3,4,4a,8b-tetrahydro-2H-benzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]pyran (13)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 15% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (51.7 mg, 78 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/20\% \text{ EtOAc} \text{ in hexanes}) = 0.49$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.84$ (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.71 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 5.02 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.81–3.76 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.68 (m, 1H), 3.57 (app q, $J_{\text{app}} = 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.14–2.08 (m, 1H), 1.85 (app sext, $J_{\text{app}} = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.55–1.52 (m, 1H), 1.48–1.43 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 151.5$, 149.9, 139.4, 137.1, 107.2, 106.4, 73.2, 61.9, 56.32, 56.31, 42.6, 23.7, 20.0. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₃H₁₇O₃ [M + H]⁺ 221.1172; found 221.1169.

8-Methoxy-3,4,4a,8b-tetrahydro-2H-benzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]pyran (14)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 10% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a yellow oil (48.0 mg, 84 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.53. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.24 (dd, J = 7.3, 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.74 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.73 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.23 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.88–3.83 (m, 1H), 3.80–3.75 (m, 1H), 3.66 (app q, J_{app} = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.20–2.13 (m, 1H), 1.92 (dt, J = 6.0, 12.1 Hz, 1H), 1.63–1.55 (m, 1H), 1.52–1.44 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 155.7, 149.6, 131.5, 128.9, 114.9, 114.4, 73.6, 61.8, 57.1, 43.0, 23.5, 19.9. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O₂ [M + H]⁺ 191.1067; found 191.1068.

6- and 7-Methoxy-3,4,4a,8b-tetrahydro-2*H*-benzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-*b*]pyran (15a and 15b: ratio of isomers = 2:1)



This mixture of compounds was purified by column chromatography with 10% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless gummy oil (41.8 mg, 73 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/10\% \text{ EtOAc} \text{ in} \text{ hexanes}) = 0.54$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.18$ (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 7.05 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 6.88 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 6.87 (s, 1H, Ar-H_m), 6.81 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 6.72 (s, 1H, Ar-H_m), 5.06 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H_m), 5.05 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H_m), 3.82–3.70 (m, 4H), 3.80 (s, 3H, OMe_m), 3.79 (s, 3H, OMe_M), 3.60 (app q, $J_{app} = 5.4$ Hz, 2H_{M+m}), 2.18–2.10 (m, 2H_{M+m}), 1.91–1.82 (m, 2H_{M+m}), 1.59–1.51 (m, 2H_{M+m}), 1.50–1.41 (m, 2H_{M+m}). The ratio of isomers was determined by integration of the methoxy resonances at $\delta = 3.80$ and 3.79 ppm. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 161.3$ (C_m), 159.7 (C_M), 148.8 (C_M), 146.9 (C_m), 139.4 (C_M), 137.7 (C_m), 125.0 (C_m), 124.1 (C_M), 116.8 (C_M), 114.4 (C_m), 108.6 (C_M), 108.1 (C_m), 73.01 (C_M), 72.99 (C_m), 62.2 (C_M), 61.8 (C_m), 55.6 (C_M), 55.5 (C_m), 42.5 (C_m), 42.1 (C_M), 23.7 (C_M), 23.4 (C_m), 20.0 (C_M), 19.9 (C_m). HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O₂ [M + H]⁺ 191.1067; found 191.1071.

5- and 8-Methyl-3,4,4a,8b-tetrahydro-2*H*-benzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-*b*]pyran (16a and 16b: ratio of isomers = 2.9:1)



Unambiguous determination of the major isomer was not possible.

This mixture of compounds was purified by column chromatography with 5% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless gummy oil (42.9 mg, 82 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/10\% \text{ EtOAc} \text{ in hexanes}) = 0.58$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.22$ (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_M), 7.17 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_m), 7.09 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H_m), 7.04 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_M),

6.96 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H_M), 5.11 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H_M), 5.08 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H_m), 3.81– 3.71 (m, 4H), 3.66 (app q, $J_{app} = 5.6$ Hz, 1H_m), 3.63 (app q, $J_{app} = 5.6$ Hz, 1H_M), 2.30 (s, 3H, Me_M), 2.22 (s, 3H, Me_m), 2.20–2.12 (m, 2H_{M+m}), 1.94–1.85 (m, 2H_{M+m}), 1.62–1.52 (m, 2H_{M+m}), 1.48–1.39 (m, 2H_{M+m}). The ratio of isomers was determined by integration of the methyl resonances at $\delta = 2.30$ and 2.22 ppm. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 147.5$ (C_M), 146.3 (C_m), 145.7 (C_m), 144.9 (C_M), 134.6 (C_M), 133.4 (C_m), 130.3 (C_m), 129.9 (C_M), 128.3 (C_M), 127.9 (C_m), 120.9 (C_m), 120.0 (C_M), 73.5 (C_M), 73.3 (C_m), 62.1 (C_m), 62.1 (C_M), 42.8 (C_M), 42.4 (C_m), 23.5 (C_M), 22.8 (C_m), 20.2 (C_m), 20.0 (C_M), 17.1 (2 × C_{M+m}). HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O [M + H]⁺ 175.1117; found 175.1116.

7b-Butyl-5,6-dimethoxy-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]furan (17)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 15% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a yellow oil (53.0 mg, 67 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/20\% \text{ EtOAc} \text{ in hexanes}) = 0.37$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.71$ (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.68 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.07–4.04 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.62 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.50–3.45 (m, 1H), 1.99–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.83–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.53–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.36 (app sext, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 151.2$, 150.1, 137.6, 135.1, 106.1, 105.8, 91.6, 67.6, 56.3, 56.2, 52.0, 34.7, 29.8, 27.9, 23.3, 14.2. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₆H₂₃O₃ [M + H]⁺ 263.1642; found 263.1625.

2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-7-methoxy-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-*b*]furan (faster-eluting isomer 18a)



This compound was obtained by column chromatography of the mixture with 20% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (22.3 mg, 25 %). R_f (SiO₂/20% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.35. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36–7.32 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.30–7.27 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.22 (dd, J = 7.3, 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.69 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.71 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-7a), 4.59, 4.58 (AB_q, J = 12.1 Hz, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.12–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.70 (dd, J = 3.3, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 5.5, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (dd, J = 4.8, 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 1.74 (ddd, J = 8.5, 11.0, 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-3). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 154.9, 147.5, 138.3, 131.8, 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 127.0, 115.2, 114.4, 81.9, 77.4, 73.6, 71.2, 57.6, 49.4, 32.1. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₃Na [M + Na]⁺ 319.1305; found 319.1286.

2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-7-methoxy-2,3,3a,7b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b]furan (slower-eluting isomer 18b)

This compound was obtained by column chromatography of the mixture with 20% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (24.2 mg, 27 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/20\% \text{ EtOAc} \text{ in hexanes}) = 0.33.$ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.31-7.25$ (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.16 (dd, J = 7.0, 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.66 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.64 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.70 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-7a), 4.66–4.62 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.41, 4.29 (AB_q, J = 12.1 Hz, 2H, OC H_2 Ph), 4.14 (dd, J = 3.2, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.04 (dd, J = 7.5, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (dd, J = 6.2, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (td, J = 9.1, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (td, J = 2.2, 13.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 154.1$, 149.5, 138.3, 131.7, 130.1, 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 115.4, 114.6, 84.1, 83.0, 73.6, 73.4, 57.7, 49.4, 32.1. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₃Na [M + Na]⁺ 319.1305; found 319.1301.

7-Ethoxy-3,4-dimethoxybicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1,3,5-triene (19)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 15% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (31.9 mg, 51 %). $R_f(SiO_2/20\% \text{ EtOAc} \text{ in hexanes}) = 0.39$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.83$ (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.71 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.96 (dd, J = 1.3, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.70–3.61 (m, 2H), 3.36 (dd, J = 4.0, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 151.4, 149.7, 137.2, 134.3, 107.3, 106.8, 76.1, 64.2, 56.39, 53.35, 38.3, 15.6. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₂H₁₇O₃ [M + H]⁺ 209.1172; found 209.1159.$

6,7-Dimethoxy-2,3,4a,8b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b][1,4]dioxine (20)

This compound was purified by column chromatography with 15% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a light yellow solid (52.2 mg, 78 %). $R_f(\text{SiO}_2/20\% \text{ EtOAc in hexanes}) = 0.37$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.87$ (s, 2H, Ar-H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 3.88 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.76 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 4H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 152.0$, 137.6, 106.5, 73.6, 61.5, 56.3. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O₄ [M + H]⁺ 223.0965; found 223.0945.

5-Methoxy-2,3,4a,8b-tetrahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-b][1,4]dioxine (21)



This compound was purified by column chromatography with 10% EtOAc in hexanes and isolated as a colorless oil (43.7 mg, 76 %). $R_f(SiO_2/20\% \text{ EtOAc in hexanes}) = 0.43$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.30$ (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.92 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.45 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s,

3H, OMe), 3.84–3.78 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 155.9, 147.6, 132.0, 129.1, 116.5, 115.8, 73.8, 73.7, 61.6, 61.5, 57.2. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₁₃O₃ [M + H]⁺ 193.0859; found 193.0852.

2-[8-Azidobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]ethyl acetate (24)

Step 1: synthesis of 2-[8-bromobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]ethyl acetate (22).



Benzocyclobutene 1 (51.2 mg, 0.35 mmol) and BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 m, 3.5 mL) were placed in an oven-dried 10 mL round-bottomed flask, also containing a magnetic stirring bar, at $0 \,^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred at $0 \,^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with water, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with anhydrous Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (3.5 mL) at 0 °C. Ac₂O (40 µL, 0.42 mmol) and DMAP (4.3 mg, 35 µmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with water, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with anhydrous Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was chromatographed on a silica gel column with 5-10 % EtOAc in hexanes to yield compound 22 as a colorless liquid (75.1 mg, 80 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.39. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.31 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.21–7.20 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.14–7.13 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 5.08 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.35-4.30 (m, 1H), 4.26 (dt, J = 5.9, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (t, J = 7.1)Hz, 1H), 2.19–2.06 (m, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.2, 144.7, 143.6, 130.3, 129.0, 123.0, 122.8, 62.8, 55.2, 46.9, 31.6, 21.2. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd. for C1₂H₁₃BrO₂Na [M + Na]+ 290.9991; found 290.9999.

Step 2: synthesis of 2-[8-azidobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]ethyl acetate (24).



Compound **22** (26.9 mg, 0.1 mmol) and NaN₃ (13.0 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMF (1.0 mL) were placed in an oven-dried screw-cap vial also containing a magnetic stirring bar. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 1 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with aq. NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with water followed by brine, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was chromatographed on a silica gel column with 5% EtOAc in hexanes to yield compound **24** as a pale yellow liquid (16.1 mg, 70 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.40. IR (neat): 3068, 2957, 2101, 1736, 1366, 1239, 1036, 747 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.40–7.26 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.07 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (td, J = 6.6, 11.0

Hz, 1H), 4.30 (td, J= 6.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.85 (m, 1H), 2.20–2.10 (m, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.00–1.95 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.3, 146.8, 141.6, 130.2, 128.4, 123.5, 123.3, 63.0, 62.1, 47.2, 29.5, 21.2. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₂H₁₃N₃O₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 254.0900; found 254.0898.

Synthesis of an intermediate: 2-[8-bromobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]ethan-1-ol.



Benzocyclobutene **1** (36.5 mg, 0.25 mmol) and BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 m, 2.5 mL) were placed in an oven-dried 10 mL round-bottomed flask, also containing a magnetic stirring bar, at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was separated, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was chromatographed on a silica gel column with 30% EtOAc in hexanes to yield the bromo alcohol as a yellow oil (48.9 mg, 86 %). R_f (SiO₂/20% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.20. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.21 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.12 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 5.10 (s, 1H), 3.88 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (s, 1H, O*H*), 2.06 (app q, J_{app} = 6.9 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.3, 143.7, 130.3, 128.9, 123.1, 122.9, 61.3, 55.4, 47.2, 35.6.

3-[8-Azidobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]propyl acetate (25)

Step 1: synthesis of intermediate 3-[8-bromobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]propan-1-ol.



Benzocyclobutene **2** (40.0 mg, 0.25 mmol) and BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 m, 2.5 mL) were placed in an oven-dried 10 mL round-bottomed flask, also containing a magnetic stirring bar, at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with water, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material, which was obtained in quantitative yield, can be chromatographed on a silica gel column with 30% EtOAc in hexanes to yield the bromo alcohol as a yellow oil (56.9 mg, 94 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.17. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.32–7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.21–7.18 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.12–7.11 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 5.01 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (app t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.88 (app quint, *J*_{app} = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.82–1.76 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.7, 143.7, 130.2, 128.8, 123.1, 122.8, 62.8, 57.8, 47.0, 30.7, 29.2.

Step 2: synthesis of 3-[8-bromobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]propyl Acetate (23).



3-[8-Bromobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]propan-1-ol (62.0 mg, 0.25 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was placed in an oven-dried screw-cap vial, also containing a magnetic stirring bar, at 0 °C. Ac₂O (28.4 µL, 0.3 mmol) and DMAP (3.1 mg, 25 µmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with water, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was chromatographed on a silica gel column with 5–10 % EtOAc in hexanes to yield compound **23** as a pale yellow liquid (60.0 mg, 85 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc in hexanes) = 0.36. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.32–7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.22–7.19 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.13–7.10 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 4.18–4.12 (m, 2H), 3.74 (br, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H, Me), 1.90–1.85 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.3, 145.4, 143.7, 130.3, 128.9, 123.1, 122.8, 64.3, 57.6, 46.8, 29.5, 26.8, 21.2. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₃H₁₅BrO₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 305.0148; found 305.0177.

Step 3: synthesis of 3-[8-azidobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl]propyl Acetate (25).



Compound **23** (28.3 mg, 0.1 mmol) and NaN₃ (13.0 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMF (1.0 mL) were placed in an oven-dried screw-cap vial also containing a magnetic stirring bar. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 1 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with aq. NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with water followed by brine, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was chromatographed on a silica gel column with 5% EtOAc in hexanes to yield compound **25** as a pale yellow liquid (18.1 mg, 74 %). R_f (SiO₂/10% EtOAc (10 %) in hexanes) = 0.38. IR (neat): 3055, 2958, 2102, 1734, 1367, 1265, 1045, 738 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36–7.28 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.01 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.78–3.74 (m, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H, Me), 1.89–1.83 (m, 3H), 1.76–1.72 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.4, 147.5, 141.7, 130.2, 128.3, 123.6, 123.1, 64.5, 62.3, 50.2, 27.1, 27.0, 21.2. HRMS (ESI/TOF): calcd for C₁₃H₁₆N₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 246.1237; found 246.1209.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Infrastructural support at CCNY was provided by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant G12MD007603 from the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities. The computational analysis was supported in part by National Science Foundation (NSF) Grants CNS-0958379 and CNS-0855217, and by The City University of New York High Performance Computing Center at the College of Staten Island. We thank Dr. Satish Sakilam, Dr. Prasanna Vuram and Mr. Hari Akula for assistance.

References

- 1. Finkelstein, H. Doctoral Dissertation. University of Strasbourg; 1909.
- 2. Cava MP, Napier DR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956; 78:500.
- For reviews, see: Sadana AK, Saini RK, Billups WE. Chem. Rev. 2003; 103:1539–1602. [PubMed: 12683790] Pellisier H, Santelli M. Tetrahedron. 2003; 59:701–730.Mehta G, Kotha S. Tetrahedron. 2001; 57:625–659.Michellys P-Y, Pellissier H, Santelli M. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1996; 28:545–608.Kametani T, Fukumoto K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976; 9:319–325.Klundt IL. Chem. Rev. 1970; 70:471–487.
- 4. Segura JL, Martín N. Chem. Rev. 1999; 99:3199-3246. [PubMed: 11749515]
- See for examples. a Ribas-Arino J, Marx D. Chem. Rev. 2012; 112:5412–5847. [PubMed: 22909336] b Yang, J.; Huang, Y.; Cao, K. Recent Progress in Benzocyclobutene Related Polymers.. In: De Souza Gomes, A., editor. Polymerization. Chapter 9. InTech –Open Access Company; 2012. p. 201-222.c Zuniga CA, Barlow S, Marder SR. Chem. Mater. 2011; 23:658–681.d So H-Y, Garrou PE, Im J-H, Ohba K. Benzocyclobutene-Based Polymers for Microelectronic Applications. polymers for Microelectronics and Nanoelectronics. Lin, Q.; Pearson, RA.; Hedrick, JC., editors. ACS Symposium Series Vol. Vol. 874. American Chemical Society; Washington, DC: 2004. p. 279-293.Chapter 21
- 6. Wenk HH, Winkler M, Sander W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003; 42:502-528.
- 7. Radziszewski JG, Hess BA Jr. Zahradnik R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992; 114:52–57.
- 8. Maier WF, Lau GC, McEwen AB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985; 107:4724-4727.
- 9. Rondan NG, Domelsmith LN, Houk KN, Bowne AT, Levin RH. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979; 20:3237–3240.
- a Baker RW, Baker (née Nicoletti) TM, Birkbeck AM, Giles RGF, Sargent MV, Skelton BW, White AH. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1991; 1:1589–1600.b Giles RGF, Hughes AB, Sargent MV. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1991; 1:1581–1587.c Giles RGF, Sargent MV, Sianipar H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1991; 1:1571–1579.d Gribble GW, Keavy DJ, Branz SE, Kelly WJ, Pals MA. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988; 29:6227–6230.e Newman MS, Kannan R. J. Org. Chem. 1976; 41:3356– 3359.
- a Nerurkar A, Chandrasoma N, Maina L, Brassfield A, Luo D, Brown N, Buszek KR. Synthesis. 2013; 45:1843–1852.b Garr AN, Luo D, Brown N, Cramer CJ, Buszek KR, VanderVelde D. Org. Lett. 2010; 12:96–99. [PubMed: 19961152]
- a Goetz AE, Bronner SM, Cisneros JD, Melamed JM, Paton RS, Houk KN, Garg NK. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012; 124:2812–2816.b Im G-YJ, Bronner SM, Goetz AE, Paton RS, Cheong P-HY, Houk KN, Garg NK. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:17933–17944. [PubMed: 21114321] c Cheong PH-Y, Paton RS, Bronner SM, Im G-YJ, Garg NK, Houk KN. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:1267–1269. [PubMed: 20058924]
- 13. Friedman L, Osiewicz RJ, Rabideau PW. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968; 9:5735-5737.
- 14. Gassman PG, Benecke HP. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969; 10:1089–1092.
- 15. Ahlgren G, Åkermark B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970; 11:3047–3048.
- 16. Bowne AT, Christopher TA, Levin RH. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976; 17:4111-4114.
- 17. Crews P, Beard J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973; 38:522-528.
- 18. Kuehne ME. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962; 84:837-847.
- 19. Keyton DJ, Griffin GW, Kuehne ME, Bayha CE. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969; 10:4163-4168.
- 20. Gingrich HL, Huang Q, Morales AL, Jones M Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1992; 57:3803-3806.

- 21. a Caubère P, Guillaumet G, Mourad MS. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971; 12:4673–4676.b Carre M-C, Gregoire B, Caubere P. J. Org. Chem. 1984; 49:2050–2052.
- 22. See for examples. a Kita Y, Higuchi K, Yoshida Y, Iio K, Kitagaki S, Ueda K, Akai S, Fujioka H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:3214–3222. [PubMed: 11457055] b Shair MD, Yoon T-Y, Mosny KK, Chou TC, Danishefsky SJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996; 118:9509–9525.c Danheiser RL, Helgason AL. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994; 116:9471–9479.d Guyot M, Molho D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973; 14:3433–3436.
- 23. Reviewed in: Tadross PM, Stoltz BM. Chem. Rev. 2012; 112:3550–3577. [PubMed: 22443517]
- 24. Wasserman HH, Solodar J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965; 87:4002–4003.
- 1,1-Dimethoxyethylene: Stevens RV, Bisacchi GS. J. Org. Chem. 1982; 47:2393–2396.; 2methylene-1,3-dioxepane: Maurin P, Ibrahim-Ouali M, Santelli M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002:151– 156.Mariet N, Ibrahim-Ouali M, Santelli M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002; 43:5789–5791.Maurin P, Ibrahim-Ouali M, Santelli M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001; 42:8147–8149.
- 26. a Hamura T, Ibusuki Y, Uekusa H, Matsumoto T, Siegel JS, Baldridge KK, Suzuki K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:10032–10033. [PubMed: 16881630] b Hamura T, Arisawa T, Matsumoto T, Suzuki K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006; 45:6842–6844.c Hamura T, Ibusuki Y, Uekusa H, Matsumoto T, Suzuki K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:3534–3535. [PubMed: 16536523] d Hamura T, Ibusuki Y, Sato K, Matsumoto T, Osamura Y, Suzuki K. Org. Lett. 2003; 5:3551–3554. [PubMed: 14507170] e Hamura T, Hosoya T, Yamaguchi H, Kuriyama Y, Tanabe M, Miyamoto M, Yasui Y, Matsumoto T, Suzuki K. Helv. Chim. Acta. 2002; 85:3589–3604.f Hosoya T, Hamura T, Kuriyama Y, Miyamoto M, Matsumoto K, Suzuki K. Synlett. 2002:520–522.g Hosoya T, Hasegawa T, Kuriyama Y, Suzuki K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995; 36:3377–3380.h Hosoya T, Hasegawa T, Kuriyama Y, Matsumoto T, Suzuki K. Synlett. 1995:177–179.
- 27. Himeshima Y, Sonoda T, Kobayashi H. Chem. Lett. 1983:1211–1214.
- 28. a Allan KM, Stoltz BM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:17270–17271. [PubMed: 19035638] b Gilmore CD, Allan KM, Stoltz BM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:1558–1559. [PubMed: 18193875]
- 29. a Ma Z-X, Feltenberger JB, Hsung RP. Org. Lett. 2012; 14:2742–2745. [PubMed: 22575071] b Feltenberger JB, Hayashi R, Tang Y, Babiash ESC, Hsung RP. Org. Lett. 2009; 11:3666–3669. [PubMed: 19624104]
- Campbell-Verduyn L, Elsinga PH, Mirfeizi L, Dierckx RA, Feringa BL. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008; 6:3461–3463. [PubMed: 19082144]
- a Barluenga J, Aznar F, Palomero MA, Barluenga S. J. Org. Chem. 2002; 68:537–544. [PubMed: 12530881] b Barluenga J, Aznar F, Palomero MA, Barluenga S. Org. Lett. 1999; 1:541–543.
- 32. Barluenga J, Andina F, Aznar F, Valdés C. Org. Lett. 2007; 9:4143–4146. [PubMed: 17887688]
- 33. Kessler SN, Neuberger M, Wegner HA. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011:3238-3245.
- 34. a Bravo F, Kassou M, Díaz Y, Castillión S. Carbohydr. Res. 2001; 336:83–97. [PubMed: 11689179] b Marcotte S, Gérard B, Pannecoucke X, Feasson C, Quirion J-C. Synthesis. 2001:929– 933.
- 35. Haasnoot CAG, de Leeuw FAAM, Altona C. Tetrahedron. 1980; 36:2783-2792.
- Maurin P, Ibrahim-Ouali M, Parrain J-L, Santelli M. J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem). 2003; 637:91– 100.
- 37. Punna S, Meunier S, Finn MG. Org. Lett. 2004; 6:2777-2779. [PubMed: 15281767]
- 38. Sousa C, Silva PJ. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013:5195-5199.
- 39. a Coll G, Costa A, Deyá PM, Flexas F, Rotger C, Saá JM. J. Org. Chem. 1992; 57:6222–6231.b Jung ME, Lam PY-S, Mansuri MM, Speltz LM. J. Org. Chem. 1985; 50:1087–1105.c Dhawan KL, Gowland BD, Durst T. J. Org. Chem. 1980; 45:922–924.d Tabushi I, Oda R, Okazaki K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968; 9:3743–3747.
- 40. Jones M Jr. Levin RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969; 91:6411-6415.
- a Tadross PM, Gilmore CD, Bugga P, Virgil SC, Stoltz BM. Org. Lett. 2010; 12:1224–1227. [PubMed: 20166704] b Atkinson DJ, Sperry J, Brimble MA. Synthesis. 2010:911–913.c Bronner SM, Garg NK. J. Org. Chem. 2009; 74:8842–8843. [PubMed: 19852458] d Peña D, Pérez D, Guitián E, Castedo L. J. Org. Chem. 2000; 65:6944–6950. [PubMed: 11031014]
- 42. Peña D, Cobas A, Pérez D, Guitián E. Synthesis. 2002:1454–1458.

43. Kirkham JD, Delaney PM, Ellames GJ, Row EC, Harrity JPA. Chem. Comm. 2010:5154–5156. [PubMed: 20552132]

Author Manuscript



Scheme 1. Reaction between BCB 5 and maleic anhydride.



Figure 1.

Structures of the various BCB derivatives that were prepared, reaction times, and yields. Pairs of isomeric products formed are indicated by **a** and **b**. In the cases of **10** and **15**, structures of major and minor regioisomers could be unequivocally established. Structures of major and minor regioisomers of **11** and **16** could not be determined unequivocally at this stage.





Spectroscopic data supporting the structural assignments to the cycloadducts.



Figure 3.

Key data for the structure elucidation of the cycloadducts resulting from reactions with (S)-2-((benzyloxy)methyl)-2,3-dihydrofuran.



Figure 4.

Structures of 4-methoxybenzyne, 3-methylbenzyne, and 4-methylbenzyne obtained from the B3LYP/6-31G(d) analysis.

Page 29







Figure 5.

B3LYP/6-31G(d) analysis of two regioisomeric products arising from the reactions of 3-methylbenzyne with DHP (Panel A) and with DHF (Panel B).

Author Manuscript



Figure 6.

HOMO energies of the olefins calculated by DFT at the Becke3LYP/6-311++(d,p) level.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript







Table 1

Initial Screening Results for the [2 + 2] Cycloaddition.



Entry	X and Y	Conditions	Result
1	$X = NH_2, Y = CO_2H$	tBuONO (2 equiv), MeCN, 80 °C, 4 h	1: 48% yield ^[a]
2	$X = NH_2, Y = CO_2H$	tBuONO (2 equiv), MeCN, 80 °C, 4 h	2: 46% yield ^[a]
3	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{r}$	<i>n</i> BuLi (1.1 equiv), THF, –78 °C, 1 h, then room temp., 16 h	1: Trace
4	X = Br, Y = OTs	<i>n</i> BuLi (1.1 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 1 h, then room temp., 16 h	1: Trace
5	X = TMS, Y = OTf	CsF (2 equiv), 18-Cr-6 (2 equiv), MeCN, room temp., 2 h	1: 82% yield ^[a]

[a] Yield of isolated and purified product. The products appear to be volatile.

Table 2

Reactions between benzyne and other enol ethers or vinylene carbonate.^[a]



[a]Reactions were conducted at a 0.125 m concentration of 2-(trimethylsilyl)phenyl triflate in MeCN, in the presence of 1.2 equiv. of the enol ether (or vinylene carbonate), 2 equiv. of CsF, and 2 equiv. of 18-Cr-6 at room temperature.

[b] Yield obtained with 3 equiv. of ethyl vinyl ether.

[c] n.a.: not applicable.

[d] About 86% of the glycal was recovered.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript