

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Healthc (Amst).* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Healthc (Amst). 2016 September ; 4(3): 173-180. doi:10.1016/j.hjdsi.2015.08.007.

Value Based Care and Bundled Payments: Anesthesia Care Costs for Outpatient Oncology Surgery Using Time-Driven Activity-Based Costing

Katy E. French, M. D. [Associate Professor of Anesthesiology & Perioperative Medicine], The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center

Alexis B. Guzman, M.B.A. [Project Consultant, Institute for Cancer Care Innovation], The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center

Augustin C. Rubio, M.B.A. [Director of Anesthesiology & Critical Care Administration], Division of Anesthesiology & Critical Care, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center

John C. Frenzel, M.D., M.S. [Professor of Anesthesiology & Perioperative Medicine and Chief Medical Informatics Officer], and The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Conter

The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center

Thomas W Feeley, M. D. [Helen Shafer Fly Distinguished Professor of Anesthesiology, Head, Institute for Cancer Care Innovation]

The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center; Senior Fellow, Harvard Business School

Abstract

Background—With the movement towards bundled payments, stakeholders should know the true cost of the care they deliver. Time-driven activity-based costing (TDABC) can be used to estimate costs for each episode of care. In this analysis, TDABC is used to both estimate the costs of anesthesia care and identify the primary drivers of those costs of 11 common oncologic outpatient surgical procedures.

Methods—Personnel cost were calculated by determining the hourly cost of each provider and the associated process time of the 11 surgical procedures. Using the anesthesia record, drugs, supplies and equipment costs were identified and calculated. The current staffing model was used to determine baseline personnel costs for each procedure. Using the costs identified through TDABC analysis, the effect of different staffing ratios on anesthesia costs could be predicted.

Corresponding Author: Katy E. French, M.D., 1400 Holcombe Blvd., Unit 409, Houston, Texas 77030, Phone: (713)792-6133, Fax: (713)792-4016, kefrench@mdanderson.org. Institution: The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center and Harvard Business School.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The article *"Bundled Payments: Measuring the Costs of Anesthesia Care in an Outpatient Oncology Surgery Center Using Time-Driven Activity-Based Costing"* is authored by Katy French, Alexis Guzman, Augustin Rubio, John Frenzel and Thomas Feeley and submitted to Healthcare as an original article. Below all authors have disclosed relevant commercial associations that might pose a conflict of interest

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Results—Costs for each of the procedures were determined. Process time and costs are linearly related. Personnel represented 79% of overall cost while drugs, supplies and equipment represented the remaining 21%. Changing staffing ratios shows potential savings between 13-28% across the 11 procedures.

Conclusions—TDABC can be used to estimate the costs of anesthesia care. This costing information is critical to assessing the anesthesiology component in a bundled payment. It can also be used to identify areas of cost savings and model costs of anesthesia care. CRNA to anesthesiologist staffing ratios profoundly influence the cost of care. This methodology could be applied to other medical specialties to help determine costs in the setting of bundled payments.

Introduction

As our nation moves to redesign healthcare delivery and reimbursement the concept of value is gaining ever increasing attention. Michael Porter defines value in healthcare as the balance between outcomes that matter to patients and the cost to achieve those outcomes¹ Alternative payment models include bundled-payment arrangements where health care providers are accountable for the outcomes and cost of the care they deliver to patients². As we transition away from our current model of reimbursement health care organizations need to have a better understanding of their outcomes and their costs of care.

Most healthcare costing systems are based upon charges yet cost shifting has made most charge-based systems unreliable when used to measure costs³. In 2004, Kaplan and Anderson introduced a new approach to activity based costing called time-driven activity based costing (TDABC) which uses estimates of resource consumption during business activities to measure costs of services⁴. Recently, TDABC has been applied to healthcare to develop more accurate estimates of healthcare costs^{5,6}.

Anesthesia is one of the most costly aspects of healthcare delivery. In a 2011 report, the Healthcare Cost Institute found that anesthesia care had the highest average price per service for a professional procedure⁷. Previously, anesthesia cost assessments have been tied to coding systems for reimbursement, such as the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification or American Society of Anesthesiologists' Relative Value Scale system ⁸. Anesthesia cost assessments focused on differences in anesthesia technique, personnel costs, staffing models, and budgets related to physician salaries, equipment, drugs and service contracts ^{9,10}. Other studies have described anesthesia cost drivers and proposed alternative costing methodologies to assess hospitals' costs of anesthesia costs. It found 40% of direct costs related to personnel salaries, 32% to drugs and supplies, and 28% on other costs such as analyses and equipment ¹².

The current method for billing anesthesia services includes a time component; however, this is combined with two other procedure and patient related factors that may or may not correlate to the actual cost of anesthesia. Anesthesia professional billing is unique because it is the only professional service that uses time as a component of its billing methodology. All other professional services (e.g. surgery) bill for visits and procedures.

Currently, the anesthesia charge results from the creation of two separate charges – professional charge and facility charge. Anesthesia charge covers pre-operative anesthesia assessment, delivery of anesthesia and immediate postoperative care. Professional charges comprise three units: Base Unit, Time Unit, and Special Units. Assigned by the ASA, Base Unit relates to surgery procedure type and difficulty level to deliver anesthesia. The Time Unit is the time anesthesia care starts to the end of the surgical case. Most insurance carriers and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) assign 15 minutes to each Time Unit. Special Units may be added for several complicating conditions. Examples include patients of extreme age (e.g. less than one year old) or anesthesia complicated by patient acuity (e.g. ASA status). The final professional charge is determined by adding Base, Time, and Special Units together. Professional practices determine the charge per unit. The total professional charge is the Total Units multiplied by per unit charge.

The facility charge for anesthesia services is related to supplies, equipment, time of technical personnel used to support the service and overhead. Its calculation methodology is less proscriptive than the professional charge. At this institution, the facility charge is determined by two factors: location of anesthesia service and anesthesia time associated with the case. All related, allowed expenses are bundled into this charge.

For a bundled payment, costs of anesthesia care will be built into the reimbursement for a specific surgical procedure or a longer episode of care and paid in the form of a lump sum to the healthcare provider and/or provider organization. Most anesthesiologists and anesthesia provider organizations have independent billing relationships with insurance companies and CMS. In a bundled payment model, the internal allocation of the single payment will most likely reflect the proportional costs of the care delivered by all services. Therefore, anesthesiologists and all stakeholders need costing systems that accurately define costs of the care as internal negotiations for their portion of the bundled payment begin.

Our institution has used a form of activity-based costing known as time-driven activitybased costing (TDABC)⁴ to assess the costs of patient care cycles within the head and neck center and preoperative assessment center^{5,6}. In this study, TDABC estimates the cost of the entire episode of anesthesia care for 11most commonly performed outpatient oncologic surgical procedures. The objective was to quantify the anesthesia costs for each outpatient oncologic surgical procedure, define the distribution of those costs and identify cost drivers. Once the predominant cost driver was defined, different staffing ratios were modeled to better understand how these scenarios affected anesthesia costs. The overall goal was to estimate the costs of anesthesia care using the TDABC methodology for a proposed bundled payment system.

Methods

Process maps of anesthesia care for 11 most commonly performed outpatient oncologic surgical procedures were created as seen in Figure A. Process times were either estimated or measured directly. Anesthesia providers and staff estimated clinical process time directly associated with the patient for the first of the three phases shown in the process map - the

Pre-Procedure Phase. The other two phases, Operating Room and Post-Anesthesia Care Unit, times were derived from the anesthesia record.

Preliminary data was extracted from the institution's perioperative electronic health record¹, billing database², financial database³, and the pharmacy database⁴. We evaluated 5,357 outpatient anesthesia cases across 261 procedure codes. At the discretion of anesthesia providers, procedures were grouped by common procedure terminology codes (Appendix 1). The resulting 11 procedure groups were generated: thyroid surgery (n=221), mastectomy (n=1242), breast reconstruction (n=567), lymphadenectomy axillary (n=100), excision wide local (n=443), parathyroid surgery (n=93), brachytherapy seeds (n=88), biopsy cervix cone (n=172), cystoscopy (n=953), port-a-cath (n=1348) and exam under anesthesia (EUA) dilatation and curettage with hysteroscopy (n=130) (Table 1). Anesthesia providers and administrators defined the following cost drivers for analysis: anesthesia personnel, drug, supplies and equipment depreciation. Indirect costs were excluded.

Personnel Costs

To determine the per-minute cost for each anesthesia team member, a model of the current staffing ratio was created that identified the total full-time evaluates (FTE) required to staff the outpatient operating room (OR). For analysis, the average length of a workday in the OR was defined as the time between scheduled start and average maximum anesthesia end time plus and additional to account for patient and discharge. This was determined to be 10 hours and 44 minutes. The staffing model was based on an anesthesia-staffing ratio of one anesthesiologist providing medical direction to two CRNA's. The total FTE's needed to staff the OR also included non-productive time (e.g. Sick Leave). Non-productive time was derived from the average of the group over the period of a year. Using average length of day Non-productive time and staffing ratios the total number of FTE's required for anesthesia services is determined. From the general ledger, total annual personnel costs were divided by total FTE's to calculate the cost/FTE/year. To calculate cost per minute for each resource the cost/FTE/year was divided by the product of average total minutes for a length of day (644 minutes) and the number of workdays (250 days). The result was the cost rate/minute/FTE. Cost rates were derived for all anesthesia providers involved in direct patient care: anesthesiologist, CRNA's, anesthesia and supply technicians. Using a process map (Figure A), personnel costs were derived for each outpatient oncologic surgical procedure. The total anesthesia process time included three phases: Phase 1: Pre-Procedure, average duration of 23 minutes; Phase 2, Operating Room, average durations 62-172 minutes; and Phase 3, Post-Anesthesia Care Unit, average duration of 38 minutes.

Drug Cost

Patient pharmacy data was matched with institutional drug acquisition costs to determine the per-case cost of all drugs, fluids and inhalation agents delivered. These costs varied by case type and provider.

¹Picis[®], Optum, Inc. Wakefield, MA.

²IDX Systems Corporation. South Burlington, VT.

³PeopleSoft[®], Oracle, Inc. Redwood Shores, CA. ⁴Pyxis Technologies[®], Care Fusion Corporation. San Diego, CA.

Supply & Equipment Depreciation Costs

Average annual supply cost per case rate was derived from the general ledger then applied to each procedure. Total anesthesia equipment depreciation for the study period was identified from the general ledger and allocated equally to each case.

Average Estimated Total Anesthesia Patient Care Costs

The estimated total costs for each of the 11 surgical procedure groups were calculated by adding the weighted averages of each case within each procedure group. Costs include personnel, drug, supply and equipment. Average total cost for each of the common oncologic surgical procedures is depicted with standard deviations in Figure B. All costs are reported as normalized ratios to the lowest total TDABC in all three proposed staffing models to allow for relative cost comparisons (Table 2).

Projected Anesthesia Patient Care Costs

Projected costs were calculated by changing the ratio of CRNA-to-anesthesiologist, from the current model of 2:1 to models of both 3:1 and 6:1. Each of these models change the perminute cost of the personnel variable in the total cost of anesthesia patient care. The two resulting personnel cost per minute values were then inserted into the existing TDABC based process map to determine and quantify the change in costs.

Statistical Analysis

Eleven of the most common outpatient oncological procedure categories were developed with multiple procedure codes within each group (Appendix 1). Descriptive and quantitative statistical analyses were used to describe the nature of cost data and illustrate the quantitative measure of correlation between time and costs. Mean of relative TDABC cost was calculated for each procedure category for comparison. Standard deviation was calculated for each procedure category to evaluate variability of costs due to case difference within one category. Correlation coefficient was tested to evaluate potential relationships between relative costs and total process time for all cases in the 11 most common outpatient oncologic procedures categories⁵. This analysis help to illustrate the portion distribution of costs across four predominant cost drivers.

Results

Relative TDABC mean and standard deviation were compared for eleven common outpatient oncologic surgical procedure categories in Figure B. Estimated cost for thyroid surgery procedures topped the list with a relative cost mean of 5.19. EUA sDilation/ Curettage/Hysteroscopy was estimated least costly with a relative cost mean of 2.73. The standard deviation showed variation of costs within each procedure group was relatively small, largest value of 1.54 for mastectomy procedures. The case frequency distribution was unbalanced among the 11procedure groups with a maximum 1348 Port-A-Cath procedures and minimum 88 cases of brachytherapy seeds.

⁵StataCorp[®], LP. College Station, TX.

Healthc (Amst). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

The correlation coefficient was computed between the cost mean and process time mean among eleven procedure groups in Figure C. A strong correlation is seen between the total relative cost and total process time for each procedure with an R^2 value of 0.9461 and p-value of less than 0.05.

Table 1 showed cost distribution of four predominant cost drivers for each of the eleven outpatient oncologic surgical procedure categories. The dominant cost driver was personnel, which accounted for 79% of the total costs, followed by drugs (15%), supplies (4%) and equipment (2%).

Modeling changes to personnel, by substituting different CRNA to anesthesiologist staffing ratios; Table 2, shows current and projected anesthesia patient care relative costs. These changes show projected cost decreases between 13-28%.

Discussion

While there is generalized assumption that personnel is the most costly area of anesthesia care, this study provides new insight into the cost breakdown by quantifying the very large component of personnel costs(79%)compared to the small contribution of all other anesthesia related costs (21%). It provides a costing framework that can be applied to other specialties that supervise midlevel providers, such as advanced practice nurses, physician's assistants, and residents in training. A basic concept of care redesign is that each provider work to the highest level of their degree and training. Applying TDABC to other clinical care settings could help to design care that is less costly. Mapping clinical processes across specialties could highlight other areas for cost savings across an institution or multi-specialty practice in addition to the optimization of staffing ratios of all MD to MLP's illustrated by the anesthesia example in this study. TDABC is a tool to help predict cost savings prior to implementing change. However, in all care redesign the outcomes of that care must be evaluated to be sure that less costly care does not diminish the quality of the care provided. Further investigations need to be developed to study how various staffing ratios within anesthesia and other healthcare specialties affect outcomes.

TDABC identifies areas of potential cost savings

Personnel was identified as the primary driver of cost and quantified the relationship between personnel cost and total surgical procedure process time. Opportunity to potentially decrease costs exists via two pathways, decrease overall process time or increase productivity of the personnel. This study assessed augmenting personnel productivity by increasing the number of CRNA's each anesthesiologist would supervise, or staffing ratios. Using TDABC, proposed changes to the current 2:1 staffing ratio were applied to assess the total costs for each procedure using 3:1 and 6:1 staffing ratios. These proposed changes modeled potential cost savings between 13-28%, depending on the specific surgical procedure.

Although various CRNA staffing models have not been found to be associated with increased risks to patients¹³, our study acknowledges that serious thought must continue to go into determining the anesthesia staffing that is appropriate given the complexity of patient

Page 7

comorbidities and case acuity. This study does not presume to suggest there is one best staffing model, or that the most cost saving ratio should be applied in all scenarios. Proper matching of patients and cases with anesthesiologists and CRNA's is essential. In addition to modeling different staffing ratios for CRNA's, this form of analysis can be used to evaluate the costs of care provided by other physician extenders in the operating room such as anesthesia assistants and anesthesia residents. In all situations where care delivery is modified based on cost, it is essential to monitor the outcome of care to be sure that cost reduction does not diminish the quality of care provided.

Secondary cost drivers were identified as drug, supplies and equipment costs. While the contributions of secondary drivers in total comprise just 21% of the overall cost, standardizing and decreasing variability could also lead to decreased costs.

TDABC identifies relationship between anesthesia costs and procedure duration

TDABC illustrates an important connection, the relationship between surgical duration and cost of anesthesia. Phase 2 of the process map (Figure A) contributes the largest amount of time to the total process time, approximately 64%. Phase 2 is almost entirely comprised of the surgical duration, the length of which anesthesia providers have little, if any, control over. Current billing of anesthesia services is based on units of time, thus it is imperative to understand this relationship as reimbursement moves towards bundled payments. How will the anesthesia providers and other healthcare providers negotiate their portion of the payment? How is the payment split if surgical times for the same procedure vary greatly due to surgeon and patient variability? This variation can be seen in this study in the form of the standard deviation shown in Figure B. The standard deviation represents the difference in costs based on different surgeons performing the same procedures. Given this correlation, it would behoove all stakeholders to work towards reducing the variability between surgical times. It remains difficult to determine how this standardization should take place, but as payment reform continues to develop, this relationship needs to be acknowledged and addressed.

Limitations of TDABC to estimate costs and unanticipated consequences of bundled payments

There are limits to the accuracy of TDABC methods. TDABC calculates only direct patient contact time. When reviewing the process map, documented times do not include time salaried anesthesia providers are working but not conducting direct patient care. Assigning costs to a process of care based solely on time spent providing direct patient care could lead to underestimation of provider work load, decreasing reimbursement and compensation. This could lead to provider dissatisfaction and inaccuracy of documentation by providers when not in the presence of patients. Conversely too much focus could be placed on documenting, causing distraction while in the presence of patients in order to add more time to this process step.

Basing models for change and cost saving on models that substitute physicians with midlevel providers could lead to patient dissatisfaction, compromise patient safety, and provider burnout as clinicians are asked to do more in less time. How changing staffing models across

all specialties of medicine potentially effect patient safety is an area which needs further study with outcomes data. The correct balance of costs while preserving quality of patient care also warrants further investigation.

The implementation of a bundled payment system requires significant startup costs and effort and must be understood before developing and implementing a bundle. The current billing system does not easily support a bundled payment system and would require, at least in the near term, a second system of accounting and billing. This could create additional expense for the administration of this program. An anesthesia bundle that is developed independently from surgery or postoperative care could also be negatively impacted by surgeons and nursing if they do not share the same incentives.

Also absent from the anesthesia care costs in this study are the basic overhead costs of running the outpatient surgical center. This is a limitation in using this model since these costs are not insignificant. However, TDABC identifies baseline costs, cost drivers and how those contribute to overall patient care costs. TDABC and process mapping will be a useful tool in allocating payments to services in a bundled payment structure. It allows for changes to be modeled and costs visualized prior to implementation, such that the highest level of patient care may be maintained, while continuously assessing ways to improve value.

Acknowledgments

My-Hanh V. Phan, Research Programmer, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center

Gilbert Castro, Application Analyst, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center

Financial Support: This research is supported in part by the National Institutes of Health through M. D. Anderson's Cancer Center Support Grant CA 016672 and the Helen Shafer Fly Endowment fund.

Appendix 1

Outpatient Oncologic Surgical <u>Procedures</u>	CPT Code	Code Description
	00400	Biopsy Breast w/ Needle Loc
	00400	Excision Lesion/ Nodule Breast
Lymphadenectomy Axillary	00912	Lymphadenectomy Axillary
	01610	Biopsy Lymph Node Axillary
	01010	Lymphadenectomy (Other)
		Biopsy Excisional Breast
	00400	Mastectomy Prophylactic
		Mastectomy Segmental
	00402	Excision Additional Margin Breast
Mastectomy	00402	Mastectomy w/ Plastic Recon
	00404	Mapping & Biopsy Sentinel Lymph Node (IOLM& SNB)
	00404	Mastectomy
	01610	Mastectomy Total w/ IOLM SNB

French et al.

Outpatient Oncologic Surgical <u>Procedures</u>	CPT Code	Code Description
	64421	Mastectomy Total w/ IOLM SNB w/ Plastic Recon
		Mastectomy Modified Radical
	00100	Mastectomy Segmental IOLM SNB
	99100	Mastectomy Segmental Needle Loc IOLM SNB
		Mastectomy Total
		Excision Wide Local Facial Area
		Excision Wide Local Head
	00300	Excision Wide Local IOLM SNB
		Excision Wide Local Neck
Excision Wide Local	00400	Excision Wide Local IOLM SNB Lower Limb
	01470	Excision Wide Local Lower Limb
	01610	Excision Wide Local IOLM SNB Upper Limb
		Excision Wide Local
	99100	Excision Wide Local w/ Laser
	00400	Removal Port A Cath
Port A Cath		Placement Central Venous Catheter
	00532	Placement Port A Cath
		Laparoscopic Ligation Fallopian Tube
	00840	Laparoscopic Salpingo Oophorectomy
		Ablation Laser Vagina/ Vulva
		Biopsy Cervix
		Biopsy Cervix Cone w/ Cold Knife
		Biopsy Cervix w/ Laser
		Biopsy Cervix w/ LEEP
	00040	Biopsy Vulva Lesion
Biopsy Cervix Cone	00940	Colposcopy
		Excision Lesion Wide Local Vagina
		Excision Lesion Wide Local Vulva
		Insertion Intrauterine Device
		Repair Wound Vagina
		Vaginectomy (Colpectomy)
		Biopsy Vaginal Lesion
	99100	Colporrhaphy
		Exam Under Anesthesia Vaginal
	00940	EUA Dilatation & Curettage
EUA Dilatation & Curettage w/		EUA Dilatation & Curettage w/ Hysteroscopy
Hysteroscopy	00952	EUA Hysteroscopy
		EUA Hysteroscopy w/ Thermal Ablation
Parathyroid Surgery	00320	Parathyroidectomy

French et al.

Outpatient Oncologic Surgical Procedures	CPT Code	Code Description
	36620	Parathyroidectomy w/ PTH Assay Studies
	00100	Parotidectomy
		Dissection Neck Paratracheal
		Dissection Neck Selective
	00220	Lobectomy Thyroid
T 10	00320	Thyroidectomy
I hyroid Surgery		Thyroidectomy w/ Isthmusectomy
		Thyroidectomy w/ Neck Dissection
	00404	Dissection Neck
		Exploration Neck
	99100	Thyroidectomy Total
	00300	Revision Flap
		Transfer or Rearrangement Adjacent Tissue
	00320	Reconstruction Acquired Defect
	00400	Autograft Fat
		Augmentation Mammoplasty
		Capsulectomy
		Capsulotomy
		Exchange Breast Implant
		Exchange Tissue Expander (Other)
		Exchange Tissue Expander to Breast Implant
		Placement Tissue Expander Breast
		Reconstruction Breast w/ Breast Implant
Breast Reconstruction		Reconstruction Nipple (Areola)
		Reduction Mammoplasty
	00402	Release Scar
		Removal Breast Implant Fill Port
		Removal Implanted Breast
		Removal Tissue Expander
		Repositioning Breast Implant
		Revision Breast Reconstruction
		Revision Inframammary Fold
		Revision Nipple Areolar Reconstruction
		Revision Scar
		Revision TRAM Flap
	99100	Mastopexy
	00902	Biopsy Prostate
Brachytherapy seeds	99100	Implantation Brachytherapy Seed/s
Cystoscopy	00870	Cystoscopy
I	L	

Page	11

Outpatient Oncologic Surgical <u>Procedures</u>	CPT Code	Code Description
		Cystoscopy w/ Extraction Bladder Stone
		Ureteroscopy
		Cystoscopy (Cystourethroscopy) w/ Rectal EUA
		Cystoscopy Biopsy EUA
		Cystoscopy Fulguration Bladder
	00010	Cystoscopy Ureteroscopy
	00910	Cystoscopy w/ Bladder Hydrodistention
		Cystoscopy w/ Insertion Ureteral Stent
		Cystoscopy w/ Retrograde Pyelogram EUA
		Exchange Ureteral Stent(s)
	00918	Cystoscopy w/ Laser Lithotripsy
	00100	Cystoscopy Transurethral Resection Prostate EUA
	99100	Cystoscopy TURBT EUA

References

- 1. Porter ME, Lee TH. The strategy that will fix health care. Harv Bus Rev. 2013; 91:50–70. [PubMed: 23898735]
- Burwell SM. Setting Value-Based Payment Goals- HHS Efforts to Improve US Health Care. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:897–9. [PubMed: 25622024]
- Kaplan RS, Porter ME. How to solve the cost crisis in health care. Harv Bus Rev. 2011; 89:46–52, 54. 56–61 passim. [PubMed: 21939127]
- Kaplan RS, Anderson SR. Time-driven activity-based costing. Harv Bus Rev. 2004; 82:131–8. 150. [PubMed: 15559451]
- 5. French KE, Albright HW, Frenzel JC, Incalcaterra JR, Rubio AC, Jones JF, Feeley TW. Measuring the value of process improvement initiatives in a preoperative assessment center using time-driven activity-based costing. Healthcare. 2013; 1:136–42. [PubMed: 26249782]
- 6. Albright HW, Feeley TW. A cancer center puts the new approach to work. Harv Bus Rev. 2011; 89:61–2. [PubMed: 21939128]
- 7. Health Cost Institute. Washington D.C.: 2011. Health Care Cost & Utilization Report 2011. at http://www.healthcostinstitute.org/files/HCCI_HCCUR2011.pdf>
- Dexter F, Lubarsky DA, Gilbert BC, Thompson C. A method to compare costs of drugs and supplies among anesthesia providers: a simple statistical method to reduce variations in cost due to variations in casemix. Anesthesiology. 1998; 88:1350–6. [PubMed: 9605696]
- 9. Schuster M, Standl T. Effect of different cost drivers on cost per anesthesia minute in different anesthesia subspecialties. Anesthesiology. 2004; 101:1435–43. [PubMed: 15564953]
- Schuster M, Standl T. Cost drivers in Anesthesia: Manpower, Technique and other Factors. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2006; 19:177–84. [PubMed: 16552225]
- 11. Jones R, Plantes K. Cost containment in anesthesiology: a survey of the association of anesthesia clinical directors. J Clin Anesth. 1994; 6:409–10. [PubMed: 7986514]
- Majstorovi BM, Kastratovi DA, Vu ovi DS, Milakovi BD, Mili i BR. Operating cost analysis of anaesthesia: activity based costing (ABC analysis). Srp Arh Celok Lek. 139:501–8. [PubMed: 21980662]
- Dulisse, Brian; Cromwell, J. No Harm Found When Nurse Anesthetists Work Without Supervision By Physicians. Health Aff. 2010; 29:1469–75.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



Reference Appendix 1

Figure A. Process Map for Outpatient Oncologic Surgical Procedures- Overview

Time-driven activity based costing process maps of anesthesia patient care were created for each of the 11 most commonly performed outpatient oncologic surgical procedures.

Relative TDABC Cost & Case Frequency for Outpatient Oncologic Procedures 7 6 5 **Relative TDABC Cost** 4 2 1 0 EUA Dilatation & Curettage w/ Hysteroscopy Cone cervi BIOPS EXC par Relative TDABC Cost **Common Outpatient Oncologic Procedures**

Figure B. Cost of Anesthesia Patient Care for Outpatient Oncologic Surgical Procedures The graph displays the average total cost for the 11 common outpatient oncologic surgical procedures; total costs include personnel, drug, and supply and equipment costs. The average cost for each of the common oncologic surgical procedures is depicted with standard deviations. Costs are reported as normalized ratios.



Relative TDABC Cost in Process Time



Figure C. Relationship between Anesthesia Patient Care Cost and Process Time

There is a positive correlation between the relative cost and total process time for all cases in the 11 common outpatient oncologic surgical procedure groups. Costs are reported as normalized ratios.

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Distribution of Defined Cost Drivers by Outpatient Oncologic Surgical Procedure Groups

The distribution of costs by defined cost drivers are shown below for each outpatient oncologic surgical procedure group.

French et al.

Outpatient Oncologic Surgical Procedures	z	% Personnel	% Drugs	%Supplies	%Equipment
Thyroid Surgery	221	%6L	16%	3%	1%
Mastectomy	124 2	83%	13%	3%	1%
Breast Reconstruction	567	80%	15%	4%	1%
Lymphadenectomy Axillary	100	83%	12%	4%	1%
Excision Wide Local	443	83%	11%	4%	2%
Parathyroid Surgery	93	81%	13%	5%	2%
Brachytherapy seeds	88	81%	12%	5%	2%
Biopsy Cervix Cone	172	%LL	15%	6%	2%
Cystoscopy	953	71%	21%	%9	%7
Port A Cath	134 8	78%	14%	6%	2%
EUA Dilatation & Curettage w/ Hysteroscopy	130	75%	16%	6%	2%
	<u>5357</u>	<u>79%</u>	<u>15%</u>	<u>4%</u>	<u> 7%</u>

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Current and Projected Anesthesia Patient Care Costs

The current and projected relative cost per procedure for each staffing model are shown with projected change in cost.

	2 CRNA : 1MD	3 CRNA	: 1MD	6 CRNA	: 1MD
Outpatient Oncologic Surgical Procedure	Relative Cost per Procedure (baseline)	Relative Cost per Procedure	% Change (from baseline)	Relative Cost per Procedure	% Change (from baseline)
Thyroid Surgery	5.19	4.46	-14.0%	3.74	-28.0%
Mastectomy	4.83	4.12	-14.6%	3.42	-29.2%
Breast Reconstruction	4.73	4.06	-14.2%	3.39	-28.3%
Lymphadenectomy Axillary	4.52	3.86	-14.7%	3.20	-29.3%
Excision Wide Local	3.68	3.14	-14.6%	2.60	-29.2%
Parathyroid Surgery	3.35	2.87	-14.2%	2.40	-28.4%
Brachytherapy seeds	3.34	2.86	-14.4%	2.38	-28.7%
Biopsy Cervix Cone	2.97	2.56	-13.7%	2.16	-27.3%
Cystoscopy	2.95	2.58	-12.6%	2.21	-25.1%
Port A Cath	2.87	2.47	-13.8%	2.08	-27.5%
EUA Dilatation & Curettage w/ Hysteroscopy	2.73	2.36	-13.3%	2.00	-26.6%

Healthc (Amst). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

Г