SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 11 July 2016 accepted: 02 September 2016 Published: 22 September 2016

Curli mediate bacterial adhesion OPENto fibronectin via tensile multiple bonds

Yoo JinOh¹, Michael Hubauer-Brenner¹,², Hermann J.Gruber¹, YidanCui³, LukasTraxler¹, Christine Siligan¹, Sungsu Park³,⁴ & Peter Hinterdorfer¹,²

Many enteric bacteria including pathogenic *Escherichia coli* **and** *Salmonella* **strains produce curli fibers that bind to host surfaces, leading to bacterial internalization into host cells. By using a nanomechanical force-sensing approach, we obtained real-time information about the distribution of molecular bonds involved in the adhesion of curliated bacteria to fibronectin. We found that curliated** *E. coli* **and fibronectin formed dense quantized and multiple specific bonds with high tensile strength, resulting in tight bacterial binding. Nanomechanical recognition measurements revealed that approximately 10 bonds were disrupted either sequentially or simultaneously under force load. Thus the curli formation of bacterial surfaces leads to multi-bond structural components of fibrous nature, which may explain the strong mechanical binding of curliated bacteria to host cells and unveil the functions of these proteins in bacterial internalization and invasion.**

Bacteria have developed a huge variety of sophisticated molecular strategies in order to colonize their hosts, to build up persistent infections, and to bypass the host's defense mechanisms. One of these strategies is to use extracellular adhesion molecules which is often mediated via fibrous structures. These microbial filaments, e.g. curli¹, flagella, and pili^{[2](#page-6-1)} are key molecular players in the bacterial adhesion and initiate aggregation of bacterial cells to promote the formation of highly resistant and impervious biofilm. Among them, curli fibres exhibit typical characteristics of amyloids and their biogenesis and amyloid fibre formation. Microbial curli from many Enterobacteriaceae and other fungal amyloid domains from *Candida albicans^{[2,](#page-6-1)3}* and yeast cells⁴ are well-known for being responsible for several neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, and autoimmune disease^{5–7}. Similarly, microbial amyloid curli are important molecular players in the adhesion to the host's surface fibres^{[8](#page-6-5)}, such as fibronectin⁹⁻¹¹, a large and essential cellular multi-domain glycoprotein with multiple adhesive properties. Despite structural^{[12](#page-6-7)} and nano-mechanical^{13,14} studies, the exact mechanism of binding and the molecular nature of the specificity remain obscure.

Investigating bacterial surfaces at the single molecular level requires high resolution microscopy methods. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is an appropriate tool for investigating cellular and microbial surface nanostructures in native environments¹⁵⁻¹⁷. An exquisite feature of AFM is the potential to explore molecular forces with high precision and accuracy from interactions of single molecular receptor/ligand pairs under physiological condition[s18–20.](#page-7-3) From such single molecular force spectroscopy (SMFS) experiments, structural insight into binding pockets, chemical rate constants, and interaction energy landscapes can be deduced. Thus, we exploited SMFS to reveal essential details about how curli binds to fibronectin (FN). In particular, we used curli both in its monomeric isolated form and in its oligomeric fibre state expressed on bacterial surfaces to study its binding forces to various fibronectin constructs. We initially measured specific interaction forces of bacteria curli protein CsgA monomers to multi-domain full-length fibronectin (FN), isolated domain III (FN III), or a peptide with the core RGD sequence (RGD). Dimeric FN consists of two FNIII domains, each of which contains one wide-spread and specific binding sequence RGD (Fig. S1).

AFM cantilever tips functionalized with the FN constructs were repeatedly brought into contact with surfaces coated with CsgA to allow molecular bond formation ([Fig. 1A–C](#page-1-0)). The cantilever was then retracted (grey

¹Institute of Biophysics, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Gruberstrasse 40, 4020 Linz, Austria. ²Center for Advanced Bioanalysis (CBL), Gruberstrasse 40, 4020 Linz, Austria. ³Mechanobiology Institute, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117411, Singapore. ⁴School of Mechanical Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440746, Korea. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.J.O. (email: [yoo_jin.oh@jku.at\)](mailto:yoo_jin.oh@jku.at) or P.H. (email: peter.hinterdorfer@jku.at)

Figure 1. Single-molecular force spectroscopy experiments on surface-bound CsgA monomers. Typical force-distance curves recorded using AFM cantilever tips functionalized with (**A**) RGD. (**B**) FN III. (**C**), FN. Monomeric CsgA was tethered to silicon chip surfaces (sketches in (**A**–**C**)) via a flexible poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) chain. Likewise, the RGD peptide, FN III, or FN were flexibly linked to AFM tips. The PEG linker that connected the molecules to the AFM tips and probe surfaces (Fig. S1) ensured sufficient motional freedom for unconstrained interaction measurements. Sketches on the left side show bond formation and the downward deflection of the AFM cantilever during retraction. Red arrows in force-distance curves indicate a bond load increase, circles mark bond rupture. (**D**) PDFs of unbinding forces at a retraction velocity of 500nm/s. For each PDF 1000 force curve measurements were recorded. (**E**) Binding probability (defined as the percentage of force experiments displaying unbinding events) for RGD ($n=3000$, 3 different tips), FN III ($n=3000$, 3 different tips), and FN. (n=6000, 6 different tips) Addition of RGD peptides into the measurement solution (blocked) resulted in a significant drop of the binding probability: from 16 to 7% for RGD ($n=3000$, 3 tips), from 10 to 3% for FN III ($n = 3000$, 3 tips), and from 12 to 6% for FN ($n = 3000$, 3 tips).

arrows in the sketches of [Fig. 1A–C](#page-1-0)) at a defined distance and speed. During the retraction, an increasing force load was applied to the molecular bond that was directly measured from the downward deflection of the AFM cantilever (red arrows in [Fig. 1A–C](#page-1-0)). Finally bond breakage occurred at a critical unbinding force (circles in [Fig. 1A–C\)](#page-1-0). In most of the force-distance curves recorded with RGD ([Fig. 1A](#page-1-0)), FN III ([Fig. 1B](#page-1-0)), and FN ([Fig. 1C\)](#page-1-0) single-molecule unbinding signatures were observed. Multiple unbinding events were occasionally detected for FN as a result of several sequential FN/CsgA bond ruptures.

The collected unbinding forces were gathered, summed up, and normalized to calculate the empirical probability density functions (PDFs) ([Fig. 1D](#page-1-0)). PDFs contain the original data and can be viewed as the equivalent of continuous force histograms. As such, they show the unprocessed distribution of unbinding forces. Their maxima represent the most probable measured unbinding force values and the uncertainties (widths) reflect the stochastic nature of the unbinding process rather than the experimental error. The values for the maxima were remarkably similar ([Fig. 1D\)](#page-1-0) for RGD (51 \pm 19 pN), FN III (43 \pm 16 pN), and FN (57 \pm 23 pN) (P = 0.77 for RGD/FN III, $P = 0.29$ for RGD/FN, $P = 0.69$ for FN III/FN), suggesting that binding to CsgA might occur through the same binding epitope. Binding was also specific, as verified in blocking experiments by adding the RGD peptide into solution ([Fig. 1E](#page-1-0)). This is strong evidence that the binding of all three FN constructs to the CsgA monomer is RGD-dependent.

We characterized the molecular bonds further to attain the kinetic off-rate constant K_{off} and the length scale of the interaction energy potential $X_\beta^{21,22}$ $X_\beta^{21,22}$ $X_\beta^{21,22}$ $X_\beta^{21,22}$ $X_\beta^{21,22}$. K_{off} and X_β characterize the molecular transition during dissociation ([Fig. 2A](#page-2-0)). We varied the pulling rate in our SMFS experiments and plotted each measured unbinding force as

Figure 2. Interaction energy mapping by use of single-molecular force spectroscopy. (**A**) Scheme depicting the transition from the bound to the unbound state for the dissociation of RGD, FN III, and FN from CsgA. (**B**) Plot of unbinding force versus loading rate for AFM tips containing RGD, FN III, and FN dissociating from a CsgA-coated surface. Unbinding forces versus loading rate for tip-coupled (**C**) RGD, (**D**) FN III, and (**E**) FN. 5 different loading rates with 1000 force curves respectively were recorded and tested with at least 3 different cantilevers and surface preparations. CsgA monomers were bound onto silicon surfaces. The unbinding force F_i and effective spring constant (slope at rupture) were determined from force curves showing unbinding events. The loading rate r_i of every individual curve was calculated by multiplying the effective spring constant with the pulling speed. The data variance reflects the stochastic nature of the unbinding process and not the measurement error. Data were fitted using a maximum likelihood approach^{[40](#page-7-8)}. For this, the negative log likelihood *nll* was minimized by varying K_{off} and X_β: *nll* = − Σ_i $p(K_{\rm off}$, X_β; F_i,r_i), with p being defined in Evan's single-energy barrier model^{21[,22](#page-7-5)}.

a function of its loading rate ([Fig. 2C–E\)](#page-2-0). In accord with the model of Bell and Evan[s21](#page-7-4)[,22](#page-7-5), we observed a linear rise in the unbinding force with respect to a logarithmically increasing loading rate ([Fig. 2B–E\)](#page-2-0). K_{off} and $X_{β}$ were evaluated from data fits ([Fig. 2\)](#page-2-0): $\rm K_{off}\!=\!1.19\pm0.08\,s^{-1}$ and $\rm X_{\beta}\!=\!2.84\pm0.05$ Å for RGD/CsgA, $\rm K_{off}\!=\!1.2\pm0.11\,s^{-1}$ and X_β = 4.04 \pm 0.08 Å for FN III/CsgA, and $K_{off}= 1.12\pm0.06$ s⁻¹ and X_β = 3.67 \pm 0.05 Å for FN/CsgA binding. K_{off} was similar for all three interactions (P = 0.74 for RGD/FN III, P = 0.6 for RGD/FN, P = 0.45 for FN III/FN), whereas X_8 varied to some extent (P = 0.26 for RGD/FN III, P < 0.001 for RGD/FN, P < 0.001 for FN III/FN). The good agreement among the K_{off} values verified the RGD epitope's almost equal bond strength when RGD monomer, FN III, and FN bind to CsgA. Differences in X_{β} , which represents an estimate of the bond length during the dissociation process, may be explained by the variable spatial accessibility of the RGD binding sites and the different lengths of the FN constructs. Bond lifetimes τ directly calculated from the kinetic off-rates according to $\tau\!=\!K_{\rm off}^{-1}$ revealed a relatively short bond survival of about 0.85 s.

We extended our SMFS studies to directly measure the interaction forces of the FN constructs with fibrous curli expressed on intact living bacterial surfaces and placed *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) onto gelatin-coated mica surfaces²³. Expression of curli amyloids on the surface of *E. coli* is enhanced during growth on a solid medium²⁴ and with the invasion of eukaryotic cells^{[8](#page-6-5)}. We have previously shown that different stages of curliation can be mimicked by using different mutants, i.e. wild type (WT), CsgA knock-out mutant (CsgA(−)), and CsgA-over-expressing mutant strains $(CsgA(+))^{24}$. AFM images were acquired in liquid to resolve curli production from the bacterial surface topology that resulted from the formation of curli complexes on the bacterial sur-face of CsgA(+) ([Fig. 3C](#page-3-0)) after induction of curli expression, whereas the mutant lacking curli expression showed a smoother surface structure [\(Fig. 3B](#page-3-0)). Molecular interactions to bacterial surfaces were studied using AFM tips conjugated with RGD, FN III, and FN ([Fig. 3A](#page-3-0)). It is important to note that, in contrast to the monomeric CsgA surfaces, multiple-bond rupture events with wide rupture lengths were observed here (Fig. S2). CsgA(+) and WT showed high binding probabilities in their interactions with RGD, FN III, and FN (9–15%). In contrast, CsgA(−) devoid of the CsgA protein on its bacterial membrane showed a very low binding probability (1–3%). For WT

and CsgA(+), the unbinding forces that originated from single-bond breakages with RGD, FN III, FN mostly fell in a force window between 45–60 pN ([Fig. 3D\)](#page-3-0). This compares nicely with the forces observed for monomeric CsgA and implies that the interaction between RGD and CsgA drives bacterial adhesion when curli fimbriae and fibronectin are involved.

The work required to de-adhere molecular complexes is a quantitative measure for molecular adhesion strength. Following this conception, we identified the adhesive interaction strength of RGD, FN III, and FN to curliated bacteria $(CsgA(+))$ by determining the work done by the pulling cantilever to detach the FN constructs from the bacterial surface. This non-equilibrium work for breaking the overall adhesion was calculated from the cumulative path integral of unbinding in force-distance curves (Fig. S2)^{[25](#page-7-9)}. It includes contributions from deforming the bacterial membrane and from extending the curli fibers involved in molecular complexation, as well as the energy required for breaking all molecular connections (Fig. S2). Histograms of the calculated de-adhesion work arising from the unbinding of RGD and FN III displayed characteristic maxima ([Fig. 3E](#page-3-0)) that were similarly distributed and consisted of three and four individual peaks of quantized nature, respectively. This implies that up to four tip-adorned molecules could access the bacterial membrane to contribute to the overall adhesion process. A work quantum of ~570pN·nm was spent when only one molecular bond was involved in adhesion. For several molecular connections (n > 1), the work per bond was slightly lower and amounted to ~430 pN·nm (cf. [Fig. 3E](#page-3-0)). This decrease in work consumption per bond might indicate that the energy for membrane deformation was partially shared among the bonds, as expected from the parallel bond detachment observed. In contrast to RGD and FN III, the fully extended wild type FN showed a broad work distribution lacking resolution of individual bonds with the most probable value being about seven- to eight-fold the work quantum required for single RGD de-adhesion.

Figure 4. Microbial cell force spectroscopy experiments on FN-coated surfaces. (**A**) AFM tip-less cantilevers containing CsgA(+), CsgA(−), and WT. Surfaces were coated with FN. (**B**) Typical force-distance curves measured between bacterial mutants (WT, CsgA(−), CsgA(+)) and FN on the surface. (**C**) PDFs of unbinding forces and unbinding lengths (inset) (n=1000 for each). (**D**) Histogram of de-adhesion work upon dissociation of bacterial mutant (WT, CsgA(−), CsgA(+)) from the FN surface (n=1000 for each mutant). The y-axis was normalized with respect to unbinding probability.

We then studied the adhesion strength of single bacteria with fibronectin in microbial cell force spectroscopy experiments using bacterial cell probes ([Fig. 4A](#page-4-0))^{[26–28](#page-7-10)}. Bacterial cells (WT, CsgA(−), CsgA(+)) were immobilized onto a tip-less cantilever and interaction forces between the bacteria and the fibronectin coated surface ([Fig. 4A](#page-4-0)) were followed in force-distance curves [\(Fig. 4B](#page-4-0)). Most of the force curves (binding probability 94%) recorded using $CsgA(+)$ cell probes expressing curli showed multiple force spikes with a final large unbinding event ([Fig. 4B](#page-4-0)) of 413 ± 102 pN ([Fig. 4C](#page-4-0)) at full bacterial de-adhesion. De-adhesion occurred at extended unbinding lengths of 568 ± 152 nm ([Fig. 4C](#page-4-0), inset), reflecting the tensile nature of the binding complex. The last unbinding event comes from simultaneous multi-bond breakage, whereas the preceding force spikes (white arrow) are indicative of several gradual step-by-step ruptures that may arise from sequential molecular unbinding events or tether-like structures^{16,17}. The resulting de-adhesion rupture work, calculated as described above, was broadly distributed around 10950± 2710pN·nm ([Fig. 4D\)](#page-4-0). In contrast, WT and CsgA(−) ([Fig. 4B,C](#page-4-0)) cell probes detected lower forces (55 \pm 29 pN and 113 \pm 58 pN, respectively) and shorter unbinding lengths (310 \pm 207 nm for WT and 47± 28 nm for CsgA(−), [Fig. 4C](#page-4-0), inset). WT de-adhesion rupture work ([Fig. 4D\)](#page-4-0) originated from specific binding (binding probability 76%) and its magnitude of 643 ± 544 pN·nm is in line with the breakage of a few individual bonds. CsgA(−) adhered nonspecifically (binding probability 22%) and the adhesion (de-adhesion work of 1377 ± 488 pN·nm, [Fig. 4D](#page-4-0)) most likely arose from long flagella produced on the bacterial surface^{[24](#page-7-7)}. WT produces much less flagella²⁴, so that specific binding is not expected to be impaired.

E. coli cells producing $CsgA (CsgA(+)$ mutant) bound to surfaces of the extracellular matrix protein FN through specific multiple molecular connections via the RGD binding motif. Several pilus-associated adhesin also interact specifically with other ECM protein in a similar force range (75 ± 28 pN for interactions between pilin subunit SpaC and collagen^{[29](#page-7-13)}). The kinetic off rates, however, varied to some extend due to the different nature of the adhesion mechanisms²⁹. In addition, the de-adhesion force of CsgA(+) from the FN surface (413 \pm 102 pN) was comparable to single *Streptococcus mutants*-Salivary agglutinin interaction (~500pN, when about 10 adhesins are involved in) 27 .

In a simple bond analysis model it was shown that the simultaneous breakage of *N* number of bonds occurs at a force less equal than *N*-times the force for breaking a single bon[d30](#page-7-15). Thus, the ratio of the force required to dissociate CsgA(+) from an FN surface to the unbinding force of a single CsgA/RGD bond, 413 pN/51 pN = 8.1, implied that at least 9 bonds were broken simultaneously. In addition, several bonds may have disrupted sequentially [\(Fig. 4B](#page-4-0)) before the final unbinding occurred. This results in a total of around 10 specific bonds and a bond

density of about 100/ μ m² (the cell contact area of 116520 nm² was approximated via the Hertz model^{26,31}). This value appears reasonable, as similar values have also been found in other bacterial systems^{[27](#page-7-14),[32,](#page-7-17)33}. For a single RGD/CsgA bond, we attained a short lifetime $\tau = 1/K_{\text{off}}$ of about 0.85 s. The lifetime of 10 such bonds lies between 2.9 and 8.5 s (simultaneously *vs*. sequential unbinding³⁰), which does not mirror long-lasting interactions. However these estimates do not consider rebinding effects that are well expected when two fibrillary proteins, FN and CsgA curli, are involved in the binding process. In addition, the overall work for de-adhering curliated bacteria from the FN surface under mechanical force does not only contain contributions from the binding energy of the molecular bonds, but also from stretching the fibrous proteins and deforming the bacterial membrane. We found that the work required to finally dissociate $CsgA(+)$ from the FN surface amounted to a value as high as 11000 pN·nm, which equals 2750 times the thermal energy $k_B T$. This value can be taken as the upper threshold that allows these bacterial cells to resist detachment from external forces induced by external fluid flows.

Bacteria follow diversified strategies to accomplish attachment and internalization into hosts. For example, Type IV pili in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* generate a high motile force to swim close to host cells and also invade host cells through binding to receptors. Since Type IV pili generate high contractile forces¹⁶, several pilus-host recep-tor binding events are sufficient to induce bacterial internalization^{[34](#page-7-19),[35](#page-7-20)}. On the contrary, curli in *E. coli*, which are expressed by many pathogenic isolates of *E. coli* and present on several *Salmonella* strains^{[6,](#page-6-8)8}, do not generate motile force and are mainly used to bind fibronectin⁸. We have shown that curliated *E. coli* form quantized and multiple bonds of high tensile strength with fibronectin through specific RGD/CsgA connections that lead to quasi-irreversible bacterial attachment. The suchlike accomplished tight binding may allow bacteria to resist detachment from host cells induced by shear force in blood and interstitial fluids to facilitate bacterial internalization and invasion. Our insights provided by single molecule and microbial cell force spectroscopy measurements constitute the basis for unraveling novel mechanisms that govern bacteria-host cell interaction. This also offers exciting perspectives for controlling bacteria-host binding and thus opens new possibilities for alternative therapeutic strategies.

Methods

Bacteria strain, mutants, and cultivation. *E.coli* K-12 strain W3110 (wild-type (WT) strain), its *CsgA* single-gene knock out mutant (CsgA(−)), and *CsgA* overexpressing mutant (CsgA(+)) were obtained from National Bio Resource Project (NBRP, Japan). For maintaining the plasmid encoding *CsgA* in CsgA(+) mutant, choloramphenicol (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Luis, MO) was used at a final concentration of 30μg/ml during cell culture[36](#page-7-21). *E. coli* strains were grown overnight in 15 ml round poly propylene tubes containing Luria-Bertani medium (LB) (Difco) at 37 °C and 220 rpm. The cultures were then diluted 100-fold in fresh LB medium and continuously grown at 37 °C with aeration until their optical density at 600 nm reached 0.4. CsgA(+) mutant was grown for an additional 2h after adding 1mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, Sigma) to overproduce Csg A^{24} . CsgA monomers were purified as published^{[36](#page-7-21)}.

AFM tip amino-functionalization. Commercially available AFM cantilevers (MSCT, Bruker, CA, USA) with a nominal spring constant of 0.01–0.03 N/m were functionalized with amino groups by using a 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) coating procedure^{[37](#page-7-22)}. All steps of tip functionalization were performed according to the instructions found at<http://www.jku.at/biophysics/content/e257042>.

Conjugation of fibronectin through lysine residues to the cantilever. A heterobifunctional poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) linker with a length of 6–9 nm as synthesized in our lab was attached to the 3-aminoprobyl triethoxysilane (APTES)-coated cantilever via its N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) ester group and the aldehyde function on the free-tangling end of PEG was used for coupling or protein via one of the lysine residues. The bond was fixed by reduction with NaCNBH₃. The overall procedure was done as described before³⁷. Human fibronectin (Yo Proteins AB. Huddinge, Sweden) in phosphate-buffer saline (PBS, pH7.4) at 0.2 mg/ml (final conc.) was used for tip functionalization. The functionalized cantilevers were washed with PBS and stored in PBS at 4 °C.

Conjugation of recombinant human fibronectin fragment 3, and CsgA monomers through histidine residues of His6-tagged protein. The maleimide-PEG linker was attached to the APTES-coated cantilever by incubating the cantilevers for 2h in 500μl of chloroform containing 1mg of maleimide-PEG-NHS (Polypure, Oslo, Norway) and 30μl of triethylamine. Subsequently, cantilevers were washed with chloroform and dried with nitrogen gas. 50μl of 4mM thiol-trisNTA in Hepes-EDTA buffer was first mixed with 3μl of 100mM tris(carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) hydrochloride and then with 3μl of nominally 1M Hepes (prepared from 1M Hepes acid solution by adjusting pH 10.0 with 20% NaOH). The cantilevers were immersed in this solution for 2 h and then washed with Hepes buffer. Subsequently, the cantilevers were incubated for 5 min in 50 μ l of Hepes-buffer saline (HBS) containing 2μl of 5 mM NiCl₂ to obtain a final concentration of 200μM NiCl₂. After Ni^{2+} loading, the cantilevers were incubated for 3 hours in a mixture of 2 μl of 5 mM NiCl₂ and 50 μl of HBS containing 0.2 mg/ml of $His₆$ -tagged fibronectin fragment 3 ((FNIII), R&D systems, UK) or isolated CsgA-His $₆$ </sub> monomer. After washing three times with HBS, the cantilevers were stored in HBS at 4 °C.

Conjugation of RGD through cysteine. The maleimide-PEG linker was attached to the APTES-coated cantilever that was prepared as described above. First, 3μl 100mM TCEP was added to 50μl of 4mM RGD peptide in PBS, before 3μl of 1M Hepes (pH10.0) was added. The cantilevers were incubated in this solution for 2h and then washed with PBS three times. The sequence of the RGD peptide studied in this paper was CGGRGDS (custom-synthesized by Peptide 2.0, VA, USA).

Sample preparation. For single molecular force spectroscopy (SMFS) measurements on intact bacte-rial surfaces, bacteria were immobilized on gelatin-coated mica surface as described before^{[23](#page-7-6)}. A gelatin solution was prepared by dissolving 500mg gelatin (Gelatin from porcine skin, G6144, Sigma) in 100ml of distilled water at 60 °C. After cooling to 40 °C, both sides of freshly cleaved mica surfaces were vertically dipped into the gelatin solution and allowed to air dry by standing on a paper towel. Bacterial suspensions were centrifuged $(1500 \times g)$ for 2 min and the pellet was re-suspended in PBS buffer. This washing step was repeated two times. The final bacterial collection was then re-suspended in 100μl of PBS buffer and this aliquot was pipetted onto the gelatin-coated mica surface. The samples were allowed to stand for 30min, and then rinsed with 10-fold diluted PBS buffer. This method for sample preparation did not involve chemical treatment or a drying process.

Immobilization of bacteria on tipless cantilever. Commercially available tipless cantilevers (MLCT, Bruker, CA, USA) with a nominal spring constant of 0.03–0.1N/m were functionalized with poly-L-lysine and glutaraldehyde to immobilize bacteria onto the cantilever. The cantilever was dipped in a 0.01% concentration of a 150–300 kDa poly-L-lysine (P4832, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) solution and dried at room temperature. Subsequently, the cantilever was immersed in 0.1% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) solution for 15min. After incubation, the tipless cantilever was washed with deionized water more than 10 times. The 100μl bacteria suspension was applied to the tipless cantilever and incubated for 1h. With this procedure, the cantilevers were sparsely covered with bacteria, i.e. within a surface concentration of ~5–10 cells/100 μ m²³⁸. Therefore, with the given tilting angle of the cantilever during experiments (\sim 20 $^{\circ}$), the probability is very low that two or more bacterial cells touch the surface at the same time with the applied moderate forces (400 pN).

Single molecular force spectroscopy measurements. Force distance measurements were performed at room temperature (\sim 25 °C) using tips of 0.01–0.03 N/m nominal spring constants, conjugated with fibronectin constructs (FN, FN III, RGD). Spring constants (*K_C*) precisely of AFM cantilever were determined by measuring the thermally driven mean-square bending of the cantilever using the equipartition theorem in an ambient environment³⁹. The deflection sensitivity was calculated from the slope of the force-distance curves recorded on bare silicon substrate. Determined spring constants ranged from 0.009 to 0.012N/m.

In the force spectroscopy measurements, force distance curves were acquired by recording at least thousand force distance cycles with vertical sweep rates between 0.5 and 10Hz at a z-range of typically 500–1000nm, resulting in loading rates from 100 to 5000 pN/s. The loading rates were determined by multiplying the pulling velocity with the effective spring constants (K_{eff}), which were obtained by the spring constant of the cantilever (K_C) and the spring constant of the PEG-linker (K_L), according to K_{eff} = [$1/K_C + 1/K_L$]⁻¹. K_L was calculated by fitting the force distance curves with the worm-like chain model.

The relationship between experimentally measured unbinding forces and parameters from the interaction potential were taken from the kinetic models of Bell^{[21](#page-7-4)}, and Evans and Ritchie²². Here, the unbinding force F^{*} as a function of the loading rate *r* is described by $F^*=(k_B T/X_0)$ · ln(r·X_β/K_{off}·*k_BT*), where $k_B T$ is the Boltzmann thermal energy, X_8 marks the thermally averaged projection of the transition state along the direction of the force, and K_{off} is the kinetic off rate for the bond in an absence of applied load³⁹.

Calculation of de-adhesion work from force-distance curves. The work required for breaking the adhesion (de-adhesion work *W*) was calculated from the cumulative path integral of unbinding events in force-distance curves, according to $W = \int_c F \cdot dx$, where x is the pulling coordinate (molecular extension), and *F* is the force obtained from the deflection of the cantilever during retraction. Calculated de-adhesion works from individually measured force-distance curves were plotted in histograms and fitted with multi-Gaussian functions as shown in [Figs 3](#page-3-0)E and [4D](#page-4-0). 1000 force-distance curves from at least 5 different samples were taken to obtain the de-adhesion work distributions.

References

- 1. Gebbink, M. F., Claessen, D., Bouma, B., Dijkhuizen, L. & Wosten, H. A. Amyloids-a functional coat for microorganisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **3,** 333–341 (2005).
- 2. Lipke, P. N. *et al.* Strengthening relationships: amyloids create adhesion nanodomains in yeasts. *Trends in Microbiology* **20,** 59–65 (2012).
- 3. Gilchrist, K. B., Garcia, M. C., Sobonya, R., Lipke, P. N. & Klotz, S. A. New Features of Invasive Candidiasis in Humans: Amyloid Formation by Fungi and Deposition of Serum Amyloid P Component by the Host. *The Journal of infectious diseases* **206,** 1473–1478 (2012)
- 4. Kryndushkin, D., Pripuzova, N., Burnett, B. & Shewmaker, F. Non-targeted identification of prions and amyloid-forming proteins from yeast and mammalian cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **288,** 27100–27111 (2013).
- 5. Cegelski, L. *et al.* Small-molecule inhibitors target Escherichia coli amyloid biogenesis and biofilm formation. *Nat Chem Biol* **5,** 913–919 (2009).
- 6. Gallo, P. M. *et al.* Amyloid-DNA Composites of Bacterial Biofilms Stimulate Autoimmunity. *Immunity* **42,** 1171–1184 (2015).
- 7. Spaulding, Caitlin N., Dodson, Karen W., Chapman, Matthew R. & Hultgren, Scott J. Fueling the Fire with Fibers: Bacterial Amyloids Promote Inflammatory Disorders. *Cell Host & Microbe* **18,** 1–2 (2015).
- 8. Gophna, U., Oelschlaeger, T. A., Hacker, J. & Ron, E. Z. Role of fibronectin in curli-mediated internalization. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **212,** 55–58 (2002).
- 9. Casillas-Ituarte, N. N., Lower, B. H., Lamlertthon, S., Fowler, V. G. & Lower, S. K. Dissociation Rate Constants of Human Fibronectin Binding to Fibronectin-binding Proteins on Living Staphylococcus aureus Isolated from Clinical Patients. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287,** 6693–6701 (2012).
- 10. Li, L., Huang, H. H., Badilla, C. L. & Fernandez, J. M. Mechanical unfolding intermediates observed by single-molecule force spectroscopy in a fibronectin type III module. *Journal of molecular biology* **345,** 817–826 (2005).
- 11. Olsen, A. *et al.* Identification of two protein-binding and functional regions of curli, a surface organelle and virulence determinant of Escherichia coli. J *Biol Chem* **277,** 34568–34572 (2002).
- 12. Shu, Q. *et al.* The E. coli CsgB nucleator of curli assembles to β-sheet oligomers that alter the CsgA fibrillization mechanism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109,** 6502–6507 (2012).
- 13. A, S. M. *et al.* Mechanically functional amyloid fibrils in the adhesive of a marine invertebrate as revealed by Raman spectroscopy and atomic force microscopy. *Archives of histology and cytology* **72,** 199–207 (2009).
- 14. Zhong, C. *et al.* Strong underwater adhesives made by self-assembling multi-protein nanofibres. *Nat Nano* **9,** 858–866 (2014).
- 15. Beaussart, A. *et al.* Nanoscale Adhesion Forces of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type IV Pili. *ACS Nano* **8,** 10723–10733 (2014).
	- 16. Dufrêne, Y. F. Sticky microbes: forces in microbial cell adhesion. *Trends in Microbiology* **23,** 376–382 (2015).
	- 17. Muller, D. J., Helenius, J., Alsteens, D. & Dufrene, Y. F. Force probing surfaces of living cells to molecular resolution. *Nat Chem Biol* **5,** 383–390 (2009).
	- 18. Florin, E. L., Moy, V. T. & Gaub, H. E. Adhesion forces between individual ligand-receptor pairs. *Science* **264,** 415–417 (1994). 19. Hinterdorfer, P., Baumgartner, W., Gruber, H. J., Schilcher, K. & Schindler, H. Detection and localization of individual antibody-
	- antigen recognition events by atomic force microscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **93,** 3477–3481 (1996). 20. Lee, G. U., Chrisey, L. A. & Colton, R. J. Direct measurement of the forces between complementary strands of DNA. *Science* **266,**
- 771–773 (1994). 21. Bell, G. Models for the specific adhesion of cells to cells. *Science* **200,** 618–627 (1978).
-
- 22. Evans, E. & Ritchie, K. Dynamic strength of molecular adhesion bonds. *Biophysical Journal* **72,** 1541–1555 (1997).
- 23. Doktycz, M. J. *et al.* AFM imaging of bacteria in liquid media immobilized on gelatin coated mica surfaces. *Ultramicroscopy* **97,** 209–216 (2003).
- 24. Oh, Y. J. *et al.* Characterization of Curli A Production on Living Bacterial Surfaces by Scanning Probe Microscopy. *Biophysical Journal* **103,** 1666–1671 (2012).
- 25. Preiner, J. *et al.* Free Energy of Membrane Protein Unfolding Derived from Single-Molecule Force Measurements. *Biophysical Journal* **93,** 930–937 (2007).
- 26. Benoit, M., Gabriel, D., Gerisch, G. & Gaub, H. E. Discrete interactions in cell adhesion measured by single-molecule force spectroscopy. *Nat Cell Biol* **2,** 313–317 (2000).
- 27. Sullan, R. M., Li, J. K., Crowley, P. J., Brady, L. J. & Dufrene, Y. F. Binding forces of Streptococcus mutans P1 adhesin. *ACS Nano* **9,** 1448–1460 (2015).
- 28. Vanzieleghem, T., Herman-Bausier, P., Dufrene, Y. F. & Mahillon, J. Staphylococcus epidermidis Affinity for Fibrinogen-Coated Surfaces Correlates with the Abundance of the SdrG Adhesin on the Cell Surface. *Langmuir* **31,** 4713–4721 (2015).
- 29. Tripathi, P. *et al.* Adhesion and nanomechanics of pili from the probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG. *ACS Nano* **7,** 3685–3697 (2013).
- 30. Williams, P. M. Analytical descriptions of dynamic force spectroscopy: behaviour of multiple connections. *Analytica Chimica Acta* **479,** 107–115 (2003).
- 31. Benoit, M. & Gaub, H. E. Measuring Cell Adhesion Forces with the Atomic Force Microscope at the Molecular Level. *Cells Tissues Organs* **172,** 174–189 (2002).
- 32. Lower, S. K. *et al.* Polymorphisms in fibronectin binding protein A of Staphylococcus aureus are associated with infection of cardiovascular devices. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108,** 18372–18377 (2011).
- 33. Sullan, R. M. *et al.* Single-cell force spectroscopy of pili-mediated adhesion. *Nanoscale* **6,** 1134–1143 (2014).
- 34. Saldana, Z. *et al.* Synergistic role of curli and cellulose in cell adherence and biofilm formation of attaching and effacing Escherichia coli and identification of Fis as a negative regulator of curli. *Environmental microbiology* **11,** 992–1006 (2009).
- 35. Schilling, J. D., Mulvey, M. A. & Hultgren, S. J. Structure and function of Escherichia coli type 1 pili: new insight into the pathogenesis of urinary tract infections. *The Journal of infectious diseases* **183** Suppl 1, S36–40 (2001).
- 36. Kitagawa, M. *et al.* Complete set of ORF clones of Escherichia coli ASKA library (a complete set of E. coli K-12 ORF archive): unique resources for biological research. *DNA research : an international journal for rapid publication of reports on genes and genomes* **12,** 291–299 (2005).
- 37. Ebner, A. *et al.* A New, Simple Method for Linking of Antibodies to Atomic Force Microscopy Tips. *Bioconjugate Chemistry* **18,** 1176–1184 (2007).
- 38. Gultekinoglu, M. *et al.* Nanoscale characteristics of antibacterial cationic polymeric brushes and single bacterium interactions probed by force microscopy. *RSC Advances* **6,** 17092–17099 (2016).
- 39. Rankl, C. *et al.* Multiple receptors involved in human rhinovirus attachment to live cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105,** 17778–17783 (2008).
- 40. Wildling, L. *et al.* Probing Binding Pocket of Serotonin Transporter by Single Molecular Force Spectroscopy on Living Cells. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287,** 105–113 (2012).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by an APART (Austrian Programme for Advanced Research and Technology) fellowship of the Austrian Academy of Science (to Y. J. O.). S.P. acknowledges the Public Welfare & Safety research program (#2012M3A2A1051681), and a grant (#2012012221) of NRF (National Research Foundation) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (MSIP) of Korea.

Author Contributions

Y.J.O. and P.H. designed the project. Y.J.O. and M.H.-B. performed experiments and the data analysis. H.J.G. synthesized ligands and crosslinkers and developed the tip chemistry methods. L.T. provided technical advice. C.S., Y.C. and S.P. purified isolated CsgA proteins and prepared bacteria mutants. Y.J.O. and P.H. prepared the final manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Oh, Y. J. *et al.* Curli mediate bacterial adhesion to fibronectin via tensile multiple bonds. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 33909; doi: 10.1038/srep33909 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016