



# Draft genome sequence of *Microbacterium oleivorans* strain Wellendorf implicates heterotrophic versatility and bioremediation potential



Anton P. Avramov<sup>a</sup>, M.B. Couger<sup>a</sup>, Emily L. Hartley<sup>a</sup>, Craig Land<sup>a</sup>, Rachel Wellendorf<sup>a</sup>, Radwa A. Hanafy<sup>a</sup>, Connie Budd<sup>a</sup>, Donald P. French<sup>b</sup>, Wouter D. Hoff<sup>a</sup>, Noha Youssef<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, United States

<sup>b</sup> Department of Integrative Biology, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 19 July 2016

Received in revised form 8 September 2016

Accepted 14 September 2016

Available online 17 September 2016

### Keywords:

*Microbacterium oleivorans*

Draft genome

Detailed annotation

Student Initiated Microbial Discovery (SIMD)

project

Bioremediation potential

Metabolic versatility

## ABSTRACT

*Microbacterium oleivorans* is a predominant member of hydrocarbon-contaminated environments. We here report on the genomic analysis of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf that was isolated from an indoor door handle. The partial genome of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf consists of 2,916,870 bp of DNA with 2831 protein-coding genes and 49 RNA genes. The organism appears to be a versatile mesophilic heterotroph potentially capable of hydrolysis a suite of carbohydrates and amino acids. Genomic analysis revealed metabolic versatility with genes involved in the metabolism and transport of glucose, fructose, rhamnose, galactose, xylose, arabinose, alanine, aspartate, asparagine, glutamate, serine, glycine, threonine and cysteine. This is the first detailed analysis of a *Microbacterium oleivorans* genome.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

The strain Wellendorf was isolated from a door handle surface with frequent human use in Stillwater, OK as part of the Student Initiated Microbial Discovery (SIMD) project (introduced in [1]). The *Microbacterium* genus is a phylogenetically and physiologically diverse genus with members ubiquitously found in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)-contaminated [2,3], as well as heavy metal-contaminated [4,5] soils. PAHs and heavy metals are persistent environmental contaminants with both environmental and human health concerns [6–8]. Genomic analysis of strains belonging to the genus *Microbacterium* can contribute to our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of PAHs degradation and heavy metal mobilization and could potentially contribute to natural-attenuation-based, and engineered bioremediation schemes in multiple environments [9,10]. Here we present the draft genomic sequence, and first detailed genomic annotation and analysis of a *Microbacterium oleivorans* strain.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Genome project history

The draft assembly and annotation were completed in 2015–2016. Table 1 shows the genome project information.

### 2.2. Growth conditions and genomic DNA preparation

*M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf was grown overnight at 30 °C on tryptic soy agar plates. Genomic DNA of high sequencing quality was isolated using the MPBio PowerSoil® DNA extraction kit according to manufacturer's instructions. Negative stain TEM micrographs were obtained using the services of the Oklahoma State University Microscopy Lab. Briefly, the sample was placed on a carbon film TEM grid and allowed to incubate for 2 min, after which the excess liquid was wicked off. Phosphotungstic acid (PTA; 2% w/v) was then added to the grid followed by a 45-s incubation. Excess PTA was blotted off and the grid was allowed to dry before it was visualized using JOEL JEM-2100 transmission electron microscope.

### 2.3. Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf was sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform at the University of Georgia Genomics Facility using 2 × 300 paired end chemistry and an average library insert

\* Corresponding author at: 1110 S Innovation Way, Stillwater, OK 74074, United States.  
E-mail address: [noha@okstate.edu](mailto:noha@okstate.edu) (N. Youssef).

**Table 1**

Project information.

MIGS ID	Property	Term
MIGS 31	Finishing quality	Draft
MIGS-28	Libraries used	2 × 300 paired end chemistry
MIGS 29	Sequencing platforms	Illumina Miseq
MIGS 31.2	Fold coverage	300×
MIGS 30	Assemblers	Velvet 2.0
MIGS 32	Gene calling method	Prodigal
	GenBank ID	MAYO000000000
	GenBank date of release	July 2016
	GOLD ID	Gp0126761
	BIOPROJECT	PRJNA327390
MIGS 13	Project relevance	Environmental


size of 700 bp. Quality filtered sequence data were assembled with the short read de Bruijn graph assembly program Velvet [11] using a kmer value of 101 bp and a minimum contig coverage value of 7×. The genome project is deposited in GOLD (Genomes On-Line Database) and this Whole Genome Shotgun (WGS) project has been deposited in GenBank under the accession MAYO000000000. The version described in this paper is version MAYO01000000.

#### 2.4. Genome annotation

Gene models were created using the prokaryotic gene calling software package Prodigal [12]. A total of 2885 gene models were predicted. The average gene size was 961 bp. Translated protein sequences were functionally annotated using a combination of NCBI Blast C++ homology search and HMMER 3.0 [13] hmmscan against the PFAM 26.0 database [14]. Additional gene analysis and functional annotation were carried out through the Integrated Microbial Genomes Expert Review (IMG-ER) platform.

#### 2.5. Phylogenetic analysis

A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed using multiple sequence alignments of 16S rRNA genes sequences. Multiple sequence alignment was conducted in Mega, as were the selection of the best substitution model, and the maximum likelihood analysis [15]. The tree was obtained under “TN93 + G + I” model with, a proportion of invariable sites of 0.25, and a variable site  $\gamma$  shape parameter of 0.51. *Escherichia coli* partial 16S rRNA gene isolate ECSD9 was used as the outgroup. Bootstrap values, in percent, were based on 200 replicates.



**Fig. 1.** Negative stain TEM micrograph of *Microbacterium oleivorans* strain Wellendorf.

**Table 2**

*M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf 16S rRNA gene percentage similarity to other *Microbacterium* species.

Microbacterium species	Type strain	Wellendorf strain % similarity
<i>M. aerolatum</i>	V-73	98.27%
<i>M. agarici</i>	CC-SBCK-209	94.05%
<i>M. amylolyticum</i>	N5	93.23%
<i>M. aoyamense</i>	KV-492	97.82%
<i>M. aquimaris</i>	JS54-2	97.97%
<i>M. arabinogalactanolyticum</i>	ATCC 51926	97.44%
<i>M. arborescens</i>	ATCC 4358	97.20%
<i>M. arthrospiraerae</i>	CCM 7681	97.48%
<i>M. aurantiacum</i>	ATCC 49090	97.89%
<i>M. aurum</i>	ATCC 51345	97.51%
<i>M. awajiense</i>	YM13-414	97.66%
<i>M. azadirachtae</i>	AI-S262	97.95%
<i>M. binotii</i>	CIP 101303	97.42%
<i>M. chocolatum</i>	BUCSAV 207	97.72%
<i>M. deminutum</i>	KV-483	97.66%
<i>M. dextranolyticum</i>	M-73	97.89%
<i>M. enclense</i>	NIO-1002	97.81%
<i>M. endophyticum</i>	PA15	97.35%
<i>M. esteraromaticum</i>	ATCC 8091	97.51%
<i>M. flavescens</i>	ATCC 13348	98.12%
<i>M. flavum</i>	YM18-098	98.58%
<i>M. fluvii</i>	YSL3-15	97.89%
<i>M. foliorum</i>	P 333/02	98.43%
<i>M. ginsengisoli</i>	Gsoil 259	96.35%
<i>M. ginsengiterrae</i>	DCY37	98.65%
<i>M. gubbeenense</i>	DPC 5286	93.25%
<i>M. halimionae</i>	PA36	97.58%
<i>M. halophilum</i>	N° 76	96.02%
<i>M. halotolerans</i>	YIM 70130	95.63%
<i>M. hatanonis</i>	FCC-01	97.51%
<i>M. hominis</i>	CIP 105731	98.27%
<i>M. humi</i>	CC-12309	94.12%
<i>M. hydrocarbonoxydans</i>	BNP48	98.35%
<i>M. hydrothermale</i>	0704C9-2	97.58%
<i>M. immunditiarum</i>	SK 18	96.50%
<i>M. imperial</i>	ATCC 8365	97.28%
<i>M. indicum</i>	BBH6	94.53%
<i>M. insulae</i>	DS-66	98.12%
<i>M. invictum</i>	DSM 19600	97.51%
<i>M. jejuense</i>	THG-C31	97.20%
<i>M. keratanolyticum</i>	ATCC 35057	98.42%
<i>M. ketosireducens</i>	CIP 105732	97.66%
<i>M. kitamiense</i>	C2	97.81%
<i>M. koreense</i>	JS53-2	97.74%
<i>M. kribbense</i>	MSL-04	95.88%
<i>M. kyunghense</i>	THG-C26	97.82%
<i>M. lacticum</i>	ATCC 8180	98.12%
<i>M. lacus</i>	A5E-52	97.67%
<i>M. laevaniformans</i>	ATCC 15953	97.88%
<i>M. lemovicicum</i>	VIU22	97.74%
<i>M. lindanitolerans</i>	MNA2	93.77%
<i>M. luteolum</i>	ATCC 51474	98.34%
<i>M. luticocti</i>	SC-087B	94.99%
<i>M. mangrove</i>	MUSC 115	96.82%
<i>M. marinilacus</i>	YM11-607	95.80%
<i>M. marinum</i>	H101	98.04%
<i>M. maritypicum</i>	ATCC 19260	98.42%
<i>M. mitrae</i>	M4-8	97.04%
<i>M. murale</i>	01-Gi-001	97.88%
<i>M. nanhaiense</i>	OAct400	93.98%
<i>M. natoriense</i>	TNJI143-2	98.80%
<i>M. neimengense</i>	7087	97.20%
<i>M. oleivorans</i>	BAS69	100%
<i>M. oryzae</i>	MB10	95.64%
<i>M. oxydans</i>	DSM 20578	98.42%
<i>M. paludicola</i>	US15	95.57%
<i>M. panaciterrae</i>	DCY56	97.67%
<i>M. paraoxydans</i>	CF36	98.73%
<i>M. petrolearium</i>	LAM0410	96.81%
<i>M. phyllosphaerae</i>	P 369/06	98.65%
<i>M. populi</i>	10-107-8	94.67%
<i>M. profundii</i>	Shh49	98.12%
<i>M. proteolyticum</i>	RZ36	97.97%
<i>M. pseudoresistens</i>	CC-5209	96.66%

**Table 2** (continued)

Microbacterium species	Type strain	Wellendorf strain % similarity
<i>M. pumilum</i>	KV-488	97.74%
<i>M. pygmaeum</i>	KV-490	97.67%
<i>M. radiodurans</i>	GIMN 1.002	97.43%
<i>M. rhizomatis</i>	DCY100	95.17%
<i>M. saccharophilum</i>	K-1	98.04%
<i>M. saperdae</i>	ATCC 19272	98.27%
<i>M. schleiferi</i>	ATCC 51473	98.42%
<i>M. sediminicola</i>	YM10-847	96.81%
<i>M. sediminis</i>	YLB-01	96.27%
<i>M. shaanxiense</i>	CCNWSPP60	97.90%
<i>M. soli</i>	DCY 17	95.25%
<i>M. suwonense</i>	M1T8B9	96.27%
<i>M. terrae</i>	ATCC 51476	97.65%
<i>M. terregens</i>	ATCC 13345	97.74%
<i>M. terricola</i>	KV-448	97.74%
<i>M. thalassium</i>	CIP 105728	98.12%
<i>M. trichothecenolyticum</i>	ATCC 51475	97.82%
<i>M. ulmi</i>	XIL02	96.66%
<i>M. xylanilyticum</i>	S3-E	97.05%
<i>M. yannicii</i>	G72	97.89%

## 2.6. Comparative genomics

We sought to compare the genome of *Microbacterium oleivorans* strain Wellendorf to 17 closely related genomes (IMG genome IDs: 2576861779, 2519899511, 2639762631, 2627854169, 2619619265, 2609459760, 2576861795, 2639762630, 2636415545, 2645728100, 2540341240, 2643221903, 2627854213, 2541047020, 2608642165, 2522572100, and 2526164566) using the “Genome clustering” function

**Table 3**  
Classification and general features of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf [30].

MIGS ID	Property	Term	Evidence code <sup>a</sup>	
	Classification	Domain Bacteria	TAS [22]	
		Phylum Actinobacteria	TAS [22]	
		Class Actinobacteria	TAS [22]	
		Order Micrococcales	TAS [22]	
		Family Microbacteriaceae	TAS [22]	
		Genus <i>Microbacterium</i>	TAS [22]	
		Species <i>oleivorans</i>	TAS [22]	
		(Type) strain: Wellendorf		
		Gram stain	Positive	TAS [22]
		Cell shape	Irregular rods	TAS [22]
	Motility	Non-motile	TAS [22]	
	Sporulation	Non-spore forming	TAS [22]	
	Temperature range	Mesophile	TAS [22]	
	Optimum temperature	30 °C	TAS [22]	
	pH range; optimum	Unknown		
	Carbon source	L-arabinose, D-cellobiose, D-fructose, D-galactose, gluconate, D-glucose, D-maltose, D-mannose, α-D-melibiose, L-rhamnose, D-ribose, D-sucrose, salicin, D-trehalose, L-xylose, D-mannitol, sorbitol, fumarate, DL-lactate, L-malate, pyruvate, L-aspartate, L-histidine, putrescine and 4-hydroxybenzoate	TAS [22]	
MIGS-6	Habitat	Indoor environment, door handle	TAS [22]	
MIGS-6.3	Salinity	2–4% NaCl (w/v)	TAS [22]	
MIGS-22	Oxygen requirement	Obligate aerobe	TAS [22]	
MIGS-15	Biotic relationship	free-living	IDA	
MIGS-14	Pathogenicity	Unknown		
MIGS-4	Geographic location	USA	IDA	
MIGS-5	Sample collection	March 2016	IDA	
MIGS-4.1	Latitude	36.1157	IDA	
MIGS-4.2	Longitude	–97.0586	IDA	
MIGS-4.4	Altitude	1 M	IDA	

<sup>a</sup> Evidence codes - IDA: inferred from direct assay; TAS: traceable author statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: non-traceable author statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [31].


on the IMG-ER analysis platform based on the COG profile. We also used principal component analysis to compare the genomes based on several genomic features including the genome size, the number of genes, the number of transporters identified, the GC content, the number of non-coding bases, the number of genes belonging to COG categories, as well as the number of genes belonging to each COG category [16,17]. The PCA analysis was conducted using the “princomp” function in the labdsv library of R [18]. The results were visualized using a biplot, where genomes were represented by stars and genomic features or COG categories used for comparison were represented by arrows, where the arrow directions follow the maximal abundance, and their lengths are proportional to the maximal rate of change between samples.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Classification and features

Cells of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf are Gram positive, non-motile, aerobic irregular rods that were arranged in pairs (Fig. 1). Colonies on TSA agar were orange-red.

Within the genus *Microbacterium*, 94 species are described with validly published names. Strain Wellendorf shares 93.23–100% 16S rRNA gene identity with other species in the *Microbacterium* genus (Table 2). Compared to other *Microbacterium oleivorans* strains with sequenced genomes, Strain Wellendorf shares 99% 16S rRNA gene similarity with *Microbacterium oleivorans* strains CD11\_3 (GenBank accession number LSTV000000000) and NBRC 103075 (GenBank accession number BCRG01000000), and 100% similarity to strain RIT293 [19].



**Fig. 2.** A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree constructed using multiple sequence alignments of 16S rRNA genes. “*Microbacterium oleivorans* strain Wellendorf” sequence is shown in bold. GenBank accession numbers are given in parentheses. The tree was obtained under “TN93 + G + I” model with, a proportion of invariable sites of 0.25, and a variable site  $\gamma$  shape parameter of 0.51. The tree was rooted using *Escherichia coli* partial 16S rRNA gene isolate ECS09 (not shown). Bootstrap values, in percent, are based on 200 replicates and are shown for branches with >50% bootstrap support. Multiple sequence alignment, model selection, and maximum likelihood analysis using MEGA [15].

Phylogenetic analysis based on the 16S rRNA gene placed strain *M. oleivorans* BAS69 as the closest taxonomic relative of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf (Table 3 and Fig. 2).

### 3.2. Genome properties

The genome assembly produced a contig N50 of 2,860,671 bp with a total genome size of 2,916,870 bp. The GC content was 69.57%. Forty nine RNA genes were identified in the genome including 4 ribosomal RNA and 45 tRNA genes. The ribosomal RNA operon showed an atypical organization. Of the 2885 detected, 2831 were protein-coding, of which 76.26% had a function prediction, 65.34% represented a COG functional category, and 4.99% were predicted to have a signal peptide. Psort [20] classified proteins as 49.45% cytoplasmic, 0.85% extracellular, and 31.54% associated with the membrane. Based on the presence of 139 single copy genes [21], the genome is predicted to be 77.69% complete. Genome statistics are shown in Table 4. The distribution of genes into COG functional categories is shown in Table 5.

### 3.3. Insights from the genome sequence

Genome analysis of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf identified a Gram positive microorganism with an atypical cell wall structure, with genomic evidences of a peptidoglycan layer lacking pentaglycine bridges and with meso-diaminopimelic acid (meso-DAP) as the second amino acid in the peptide linkage. This is different from *Microbacterium oleivorans* type strain whose cell wall was shown to be devoid of meso-

**Table 4**  
Genome statistics.

Attribute	Value	% of Total
Genome size (bp)	2,916,870	100%
DNA coding (bp)	2,726,938	93.49%
DNA G + C (bp)	2,029,207	69.57%
DNA scaffolds	2	100%
Total genes	2885	100%
Protein coding genes	2831	98.13%
RNA genes	54	1.87%
Pseudo genes	0	
Genes in internal clusters	527	18.27%
Genes with function prediction	2159	74.84%
Genes assigned to COGs	1889	65.48%
Genes with Pfam domains	2271	78.72%
Genes with signal peptides	144	4.99%
Genes with transmembrane helices	807	27.97%
CRISPR repeats	0	


DAP [22]. We identified genes encoding for the biosynthesis of the phosphoglycerolipid CDP-diacyl-glycerol in the genome. The analysis also revealed the absence of flagellar assembly genes and the presence of extracellular structures including Flp and Type IV pilus.

Further genomic analysis identified almost compete to complete catabolic KEGG pathways for each of the following carbon sources: glucose, fructose, rhamnose, galactose, xylose, arabinose, alanine, aspartate, asparagine, glutamate, serine, glycine, threonine and cysteine, and fatty acids as carbon and energy sources. The genome also encodes a complete TCA cycle and electron transport chain with P/V/-type ATPase subunits confirming the aerobic nature of the microorganism. While lactate and acetate fermentation capabilities were also identified in the genome, the facultative nature of this organism was not confirmed in the lab. Genomic analysis suggested auxotrophy for arginine, asparagine, thiamine, ubiquinone and biotin. In agreement with this observation, comparison of the protein-coding genes against the transporter database [23] identified several ABC and secondary transporters that could potentially import these elements.

**Table 5**  
Number of genes associated with general COG functional categories.

Code	Value	% age	Description
J	163	7.66%	Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis
A	1	0.05%	RNA processing and modification
K	191	8.98%	Transcription
L	96	4.51%	Replication, recombination and repair
B	0	0%	Chromatin structure and dynamics
D	22	1.03%	Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning
V	40	1.88%	Defense mechanisms
T	88	4.14%	Signal transduction mechanisms
M	98	4.61%	Cell wall/membrane biogenesis
N	16	0.75%	Cell motility
U	29	1.36%	Intracellular trafficking and secretion
O	82	3.85%	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones
C	106	4.98%	Energy production and conversion
G	230	10.81%	Carbohydrate transport and metabolism
E	217	10.2%	Amino acid transport and metabolism
F	76	3.75%	Nucleotide transport and metabolism
H	123	5.78%	Coenzyme transport and metabolism
I	93	4.37%	Lipid transport and metabolism
P	108	5.08%	Inorganic ion transport and metabolism
Q	38	1.79%	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism
R	203	9.54%	General function prediction only
S	95	4.46%	Function unknown
-	1000	34.66%	Not in COGs

The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the genome.



**Fig. 3.** (A) COG profile clustering of the genomes compared in this study. (B) Principal component analysis biplot based on the genomic features and COG category distribution in the genomes compared. Genomes are represented by stars (strain names are shown). Strain Wellendorf is shown in blue. Arrows represent genomic features or COG categories used for comparison. The arrow directions follow the maximal abundance, and their lengths are proportional to the maximal rate of change between genomes. The first two components explained 75% of variation.

When compared against the virulence factor database [24], the genome of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf showed 668 virulence factor hits (19% of the protein-coding genes). These included secretion systems Type I and Type VII, among others.

The Wellendorf genome also encoded several proteins with bioremediation potential. These include enzymes for 4-hydroxyphenylacetate degradation via the meta-cleavage pathway, as well as for detoxification of nitronate [25], a known plant-secreted toxin [26], and of nitriloacetate [27], a chelating agent used in industry and frequently encountered in soil [28]. The genome also encodes for enzymes that can salvage S from organo-S-compounds (e.g. alkanesulfonates) in cases of limiting inorganic S [29].

### 3.4. Insights from comparative genomics

When the genome of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf was compared to 17 closely related genomes based on their COG profile, the genome clustered with *Microbacterium oleivorans* strain RIT293 (Fig. 3A). A closer look at the COG function profile of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf in comparison to only *Microbacterium oleivorans* strains is shown in Table S1. Similarity to *M. oleivorans* strains at the functional level was in agreement with the phylogenetic position of the isolate as a member of the genus (Fig. 2). We used genomic features including the genome size, the number of genes, the number of transporters identified, the GC content, the number of non-coding bases, the number of genes belonging to COG categories, as well as the number of genes belonging to each COG category to cluster *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf genome in comparison to the 17 other closely related genomes. Results are shown in Fig. 3B. The genome of *M. oleivorans* strain Wellendorf clustered with the other *M. oleivorans* genome based on the enrichment in the number of transporters identified in the genomes.

## 4. Conclusions

This study presents the genome sequence and annotation of *Microbacterium oleivorans* strain Wellendorf. The genome revealed an extensive sugar and amino acid degradation machinery (for glucose, fructose, rhamnose, galactose, xylose, arabinose, alanine, aspartate, asparagine, glutamate, serine, glycine, threonine and cysteine). Comparison to the virulence factor database identified 668 genes in the genome with potential virulence-associated function including type Type I, and Type VII secretion systems. The genome also suggests the capability of degradation of fatty acid and the detoxification of several environmental contaminants including phenylacetate, nitronate, and nitriloacetate. Comparative genomics using general genomic features as well as the COG function profile coincided with the phylogenetic position predicted based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence and clustered the strain Wellendorf with another representative of the *M. oleivorans* species.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gdata.2016.09.005>.

### Transparency document

The Transparency document associated with this article can be found, in the online version.

### Competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

APA, ELH, CL, MBC, and NY contributed to the analysis. APA, WDH, DPF, and NY wrote the manuscript. RW, CB, and RAH performed the lab experiments.

## Acknowledgements and funding

*Microbacterium oleivorans* strain Wellendorf was selected for sequencing as part of a project at Oklahoma State University funded by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute aimed at improving student persistence through authentic, undergraduate research. The strain was isolated by an undergraduate student (RW) in an introductory microbiology course, modified to be the initial course in our microbial-discovery and genome-analysis two-semester course sequence. The genome was analyzed by a team of undergraduate (ALH and CL) and graduate (APA) students as part of an upper division microbial genomics class. This is Draft Genome #4 in the SIMD project supported in part by a grant from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (grant number 1554854) through the Science Education Program. WDH acknowledges support by NSF grants MCB-1051590, MRI-1338097, and CHE-1412500.

## References

- [1] M.B. Couger, et al., Draft genome sequence of the environmental isolate *Chryseobacterium* sp. Hurlbut01. *Genome Announc.* 3 (5) (2015).
- [2] P. Kaushik, et al., Arsenic hyper-tolerance in four *Microbacterium* species isolated from soil contaminated with textile effluent. *Toxicol. Int.* 19 (2) (2012) 188–194.
- [3] D. Lal, et al., *Microbacterium lindanitolerans* sp. nov., isolated from hexachlorocyclohexane-contaminated soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60 (Pt 11) (2010) 2634–2638.
- [4] M.W. Henson, et al., Metabolic and genomic analysis elucidates strain-level variation in *Microbacterium* spp. isolated from chromate contaminated sediment. *PeerJ.* 3 (2015), e1395.
- [5] E. Corretto, et al., Draft genome sequences of 10 *Microbacterium* spp., with emphasis on heavy metal-contaminated environments. *Genome Announc.* 3 (3) (2015).
- [6] T. Chetwittayachan, D. Shimazaki, K. Yamamoto, A comparison of temporal variation of particle-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (pPAHs) concentration in different urban environments: Tokyo, Japan, and Bangkok, Thailand. *Atmos. Environ.* 36 (12) (2002) 2027–2037.
- [7] P.B. Tchounwou, et al., Heavy metals toxicity and the environment. *EXS* 101 (2012) 133–164.
- [8] A.M. Freije, Heavy metal, trace element and petroleum hydrocarbon pollution in the Arabian Gulf: review. *J. Assoc. Arab Univ. Basic Appl. Sci.* 17 (2015) 90–100.
- [9] P.C. Abhilash, S. Srivastava, N. Singh, Comparative bioremediation potential of four rhizospheric microbial species against lindane. *Chemosphere* 82 (1) (2011) 56–63.
- [10] P. Pattanapitpaisal, N.L. Brown, L.E. Macaskie, Chromate reduction by *Microbacterium liquefaciens* immobilised in polyvinyl alcohol. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 23 (1) (2001) 61–65.
- [11] D.R. Zerbino, E. Birney, Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res.* 18 (5) (2008) 821–829.
- [12] D. Hyatt, et al., Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinform.* 11 (2010) 119.
- [13] J. Mistry, et al., Challenges in homology search: HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41 (12) (2013), e121.
- [14] R.D. Finn, et al., The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44 (D1) (2016) D279–D285.
- [15] S. Kumar, G. Stecher, K. Tamura, MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33 (7) (2016) 1870–1874.
- [16] N.H. Youssef, et al., Insights into the metabolism, lifestyle and putative evolutionary history of the novel archaeal phylum 'Diapherotrites'. *ISME J.* 9 (2) (2015) 447–460.
- [17] N.H. Youssef, K.N. Ashlock-Savage, M.S. Elshahed, Phylogenetic diversities and community structure of members of the extremely halophilic Archaea (order Halobacteriales) in multiple saline sediment habitats. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78 (5) (2012) 1332–1344.
- [18] D. Roberts, Labdsv: ordination and multivariate analysis for ecology. 2007.
- [19] H.Y. Gan, et al., Whole-genome sequences of 13 endophytic bacteria isolated from shrub willow (*Salix*) grown in Geneva, New York. *Genome Announc.* 2 (3) (2014).
- [20] P. Horton, et al., WoLF PSORT: protein localization predictor. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35 (Web Server issue) (2007) W585–W587.
- [21] C. Rinke, et al., Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark matter. *Nature* 499 (7459) (2013) 431–437.
- [22] A. Schippers, et al., *Microbacterium oleivorans* sp. nov. and *Microbacterium hydrocarbonoxydans* sp. nov., novel crude-oil-degrading Gram-positive bacteria. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 55 (Pt 2) (2005) 655–660.
- [23] M.H. Saier Jr., et al., The transporter classification database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42 (Database issue) (2014) D251–D258.
- [24] L. Chen, et al., VFDB: a reference database for bacterial virulence factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33 (Database issue) (2005) D325–D328.
- [25] F. Salvi, et al., The combined structural and kinetic characterization of a bacterial nitronate monooxygenase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 establishes NMO class I and II. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289 (34) (2014) 23764–23775.
- [26] K. Francis, et al., The biochemistry of the metabolic poison propionate 3-nitronate and its conjugate acid, 3-nitropropionate. *IUBMB Life* 65 (9) (2013) 759–768.
- [27] Y. Zhang, et al., Structure of nitrilotriacetate monooxygenase component B from *Microbacterium thermoresistibile*. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun.* 67 (Pt 9) (2011) 1100–1105.

- [28] Y. Xu, et al., Cloning, sequencing, and analysis of a gene cluster from *Chelatobacter heintzii* ATCC 29600 encoding nitrilotriacetate monooxygenase and NADH: flavin mononucleotide oxidoreductase. *J. Bacteriol.* 179 (4) (1997) 1112–1116.
- [29] H.R. Ellis, Mechanism for sulfur acquisition by the alkanesulfonate monooxygenase system. *Bioorg. Chem.* 39 (5-6) (2011) 178–184.
- [30] D. Field, et al., The minimum information about a genome sequence (MIGS) specification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26 (5) (2008) 541–547.
- [31] M. Ashburner, et al., Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat. Genet.* 25 (1) (2000) 25–29.