Bitish Journal of Ophthalmology

Editorials

Proliferative vitreoretinopathy

The term proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) is the latest and most enduring of a series of terms used since the early 1960s to describe the proliferation and migration of cells to form fibrocellular membranes on and around the retina. The clinical picture of fibrous contraction, leading to inoperable retinal detachment, was initially thought to be a process originating within the vitreous, the contracted gel leading to retinal shortening and irreversible fibrotic contraction, hence the term massive vitreous retraction (MVR). Both Taylor-Smith¹ and Cibis,² however, recognised and reported the significance of epiretinal membranes in the development of complicated retinal detachments.

With the introduction of closed intraocular microsurgery by Machemer,³ direct access to the preretinal and subretinal spaces enabled him and others to confirm that PVR was in fact a process of periretinal cellular proliferation, the more clinically significant and damaging element of which was epiretinal. The term massive periretinal proliferation (MPP) was therefore coined by Machemer,⁴ but subsequently changed to PVR, at the behest of the Retina Society.⁵

Proliferative vitreoretinopathy describes a fibrocellular cascade, in which both glial and retinal pigment epithelial cells participate⁶⁻¹² and, encouraged to proliferate, migrate, and undergo metaplasia by fibronectin, growth factors, and other stimulants,¹³⁻²² form contractile membranes on the surface of the retina and beneath it. Contrary to previous thinking, the role of the vitreous gel in the development of PVR is comparatively small. Posterior vitreous detachment generally initiates the chain of events leading to PVR, by creating dehiscences in the internal limiting lamina and/or full thickness retinal breaks, but cells released into the vitreous gel proliferate only locally, do not form membranes, and do not cause contraction of the gel. Proliferation of cells forming contractile membranes on the posterior surface of the detached gel and at the vitreous base, nevertheless, contributes to the forces acting on the anterior retina (anterior PVR).

The simplest membranes are those that derive from glial cells and commonly develop in the absence of full thickness retinal breaks. They sometimes result in areas of localised retinal shortening, as in macular pucker, but are often found in the eyes of asymptomatic individuals, in response to small dehiscences in the internal limiting lamina.^{23 24} Such membranes have only limited contractile properties and rarely lead to extensive retinal detachment.

In more complex membranes, usually resulting from the release of cells into the retrohyaloid space (through full thickness retinal breaks following posterior vitreous detachment), RPE cells together with glial cells proliferate, migrate, and form strongly contractile fibrocellular sheets.⁴ ⁶⁻¹¹ These commonly lead to gross retinal shortening and may distort, enlarge, and hold open retinal breaks, leading to persistent, recurrent, and/or progressive retinal detachment. Proliferation of similar cells in the subretinal space, however, uncommonly gives rise to cohesive membrane formation and adhesion to the undersurface of the retina is usually sparse and weak, so that gross retinal shortening is uncommon.²⁵⁻²⁷

The paper by Mietz and Heimann, on page 874 of this issue of B_{JO}^{*} , indicates that the time course during which the process of fibrocellular proliferation, migration, and contraction occurs, is remarkably consistent, adding weight to the view that the cascade of events leading up to development of clinical PVR is a consistent one and, hence, that its interruption by therapeutic agents at one or more points in the chain, may be feasible.

Treatment of PVR can be preventive or curative. Prevention is better than cure and, as Scott²⁸ and others have suggested, the most promising solution to the prevention of PVR lies in the success of primary retinal reattachment surgery. Nevertheless, PVR can, and often does, supervene even after initially appropriate and successful surgery for retinal detachment, or even before any attempt at repair has been made. Work has been undertaken in the past 15 years by Binder, Blumenkranz, Tano, Wiedemann and others,²⁹⁻⁴¹ to test a wide variety of agents, targeted at various points along the PVR cascade, most of them more or less successful in the laboratory, but with only limited success in the clinical arena. Why this should be so is a matter for conjecture, but it is probably because the timing of delivery in the animal model is very different from that which presents as an opportunity in the clinical context. This is what makes the paper by Mietz and Heimann so interesting and apposite. The tight time course of PVR development should allow us to target treatment at a specific stage, identifiable by the time at which each part of the process occurs, not only in primary cases, but in recurrences.

Prevention of PVR, rather than its cure, is particularly urgent at the present time because surgical treatment of complex retinal detachments is costly, in terms of a skilled workforce and expensive equipment, while the quality of visual outcomes, even in successful cases, is open to question. Although recent studies by some workers have indicated that patients with retinal detachments

The use of a 'cocktail' of agents aimed at arresting the progress of PVR at an early stage in its development, each targeted at a specific point in the fibrocellular cascade, could be more effective than any single agent alone. A multicentre, randomised, clinical trial of just such a 'cocktail' of agents is about to be undertaken in the UK. The ultimate goal of the study is to find an agent or combination of agents which can be given safely to all patients who develop retinal breaks with posterior vitreous detachment, whether they require surgical intervention or just retinopexy. Such a compound, given locally, systemically, or both, would ideally prevent the migration and proliferation of cells which form membranes, without hindering the reparative processes in the retina necessary to seal retinal breaks. The problems concerned with developing such an agent are, in some ways, common to those experienced in the fight against neoplastic disease, the treatment sometimes being worse than the cure.

Advances in pharmacology, and other therapeutic modalities, combined with improvements in methods of retinopexy engendered by advances in laser technology, are most likely to result in the development of new treatment methods which will rid us of the scourge of PVR.

PETER K LEAVER

Moorfields Eye Hospital, City Road, London EC1V 2PD

- Smith TR. Pathological findings after retinal surgery. In: Schepens S, ed. Importance of vitreal body in retinal surgery with special emphasis on reopera-tion. St Louis: C V Mosby, 1960:61.
 Cibis PA. Vitreoretinal pathology and surgery in retinal detachment. St Louis: C
- V Mosby, 1965.
 Machemer R, Buettner H, Norton EWD, Parel JM. Vitrectomy: a pars plana approach. Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol 1971; 75: 813.
 Machemer R, Laqua H. Pigment epithelium proliferation in retinal detach-
- ment (massive periretinal proliferation). Am J Ophthalmol 1975; 80: 1. 5 The Retina Society Terminology Committee. The classification of retinal
- detachment with proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Ophthalmology 1983; 90: 121.

- 121.
 Laqua H, Machemer R. Glial cell proliferation in retinal detachment (massive periretinal proliferation). Am J Ophthalmol 1975; 80: 602.
 Van Horn DL, Aaberg TM, Machemer R, Fenzl R. Glial cell proliferation in human retinal detachment with massive periretinal proliferation. Am J Ophthalmol 1977; 84: 383.
 Smith RS, van Heuven WAJ, Streeten B. Vitreous membranes: a light and electron microscopic study. Arch Ophthalmol 1976; 94: 1556.
 Clarkson JG, Green WR, Massof D. A histopathologic review of 168 cases of preretinal membrane. Am J Ophthalmol 1977; 84: 1.
 Kampik A, Kenyon KR, Michels RG, Green WR, de la Cruz ZC. Epiretinal and vitreous membranes: comparative study of 56 cases. Arch Ophthalmol and vitreous membranes: comparative study of 56 cases. Arch Ophthalmol
- 1981: 99: 1445.

- 1981; 99: 1445.
 Grierson I, Rahi AHS. Structural basis of contraction in vitreal fibrous membranes. Br J Ophthalmol 1981; 65: 737.
 Roth AM, Foos RY. Surface wrinkling retinopathy in eyes enucleated at autopsy. Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol 1971; 75: 1047.
 Weller M, Heimann K, Wiedemann P. Immunohistochemical findings in proliferative retinal diseases: on the significance of fibronectin, macrophages and transferrin. Fortschr Ophthalmol 1989; 86: 121.
 Weller M, Wiedemann P, Bresgen M, Heimann K. Vitronectin: mediator of cell adhesion in proliferative vitreoretinopathy? Fortschr Ophthalmol 1990; 87: 221.

- 15 Hiscott P, Waller HA, Grierson I, Butler MG, Scott D. Local production of fibronectin by ectopic human retinal cells. Cell Tissue Res 1992; 267:
- 16 Wilson-Holt N, Khaw P, Savage F, Grierson I. The chemoattractant activity of the vitreous to human scleral fibroblasts following retinal detachment and proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Br J Ophthalmol 1992; 76: 159.
- 17 Campochiaro PA, Jerdan JA, Glaser BM. Serum contains chemoattractants for human retinal pigment epithelial cells. Arch Ophthalmol 1984; 102: 1830
- 18 Campochiaro PA, Glaser BM. Platelet-derived growth factor is chemotactic for human retinal pigment epithelial cells. Arch Ophthalmol 1985; 103: 576
- 576.
 19 Connor TB, Roberts AB, Sporn MB, Danielpour D, Dart LL, Michels RG, et al. Correlation of fibrosis and transforming growth factor-beta type 2 levels in the eye. J Clin Invest 1989; 83: 1661.
 20 Gaudric A, Glacet-Bernard A, Clement G, Falquerho L, Barritault D, Coscas G, et al. Transforming growth factor beta in the vitreous of patients with epiretinal proliferation. Ophtalmologie 1990; 4: 51.
 21 Wiedemann P. Growth factors in retinal disease: proliferative vitreorer transforming entry and disease: proliferative vitreorer to retinonathy. and retinal degeneration
- retinopathy, proliferative diabetic retinopathy, and retinal degeneration. Surv Ophthalmol 1992; 35: 373.
- Campochiaro PA, Jerdan JA, Glaser BM. The extracellular matrix of human retinal pigment epithelial cells in vivo and its synthesis in vitro. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1986; 27: 1615.
 Foos RY. The spectrum of nonvascular proliferative extra-retinopathies. In:
- Nicholson, ed. Ocular pathology update. Los Angeles, 1980: 107.
 Foos RY. Surface winkling retinopathy. In: Freeman HM, Hirose T, Schepens CL. eds. Vitreous surgery and advances in fundus diagnosis and treatment. New York: Appleton Century Crofts, 1977: 23.
 Sternberg P, Machemer R. Subretinal proliferation. Am J Ophthalmol 1984; 92, 456
- 98: 456

- Sternberg r, Machemer K. Subreunal promeration. Am J Optimalmol 1984; 98: 456.
 Schwartz D, de la Cruz Z, Green WR, Michels RG. Proliferative vitreor-retinopathy: ultrastructural study of 20 retroretinal membranes removed by vitreous surgery. Retina 1988; 8: 275.
 Lewis L, Aaberg M, Abrams GW, McDonald HR, Williams GA, Mieler WF. Subretinal membranes in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Ophthalmology 1989; 96: 1403.
 Scott John D. Duke-Elder Lecture. Prevention and perspective in retinal detachment. Eye 1989; 3: 491.
 Binder S, Skorpic CH, Paroussis P, Kulnig W, Menapace R, Prokesch E, et al. High-energy electrons used to inhibit experimental intraocular proliferation and detachment. Ophthalmologica 1987; 195: 128.
 Binder S, Bonnet M, Velikay M, Gerard JP, Stolba U, Wedrich A, et al. Radiation therapy. A prospective randomised study. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1994; 232: 211.
 Blumenkranz MS, Ophir A, Clafin AJ, Hajek A. Fluorouracil for the treatment of massive periretinal proliferation. Am J Ophthalmol 1982; 94: 458.
- 458.
- 32 Blumenkranz MS, Hernandez E, Ophir A, Norton EW. 5-Fluorouracil: new applications in complicated retinal detachment for an established antimetabolite. Ophthalmology 1984; 91: 122.
 Blumenkranz MS, Clafin A, Hajek AS. Selection of therapeutic agents for
- intraocular proliferative disease: cell culture evaluation. Arch Ophthalmol 1984; 102: 598.
 34 Tano Y, Chandler D, Machemer R. Treatment of intraocular proliferation
- with intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide. Am J Ophthalmol 1980; 90: 810.
- 1980; 90: 810.
 Wiedemann P, Lemmen K, Schmiedl R, Heimann K. Intraocular dauno-rubicin for the treatment and prophylaxis of traumatic proliferative vitreo-retinopathy. Am J Ophthalmol 1987; 104: 10.
 Wiedemann P, Leinung C, Hilgers RD, Heimann K. Daunomycin and silicone oil for the treatment of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1991; 229: 150.
 Chandler DB, Hida T, Sheta, Proia AD, Machemer R. Improvement in effi-cacy of corticosteroid therapy in an animal model of proliferative vitreo-
- cacy of corticosteroid therapy in an animal model of proliferative vitreo-retinopathy by pretreatment. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1987; 225: 259.
- 239.
 38 Hui YN, Liang HC, Cai YS, Kirchhof B, Heimann K. Corticosteroids and daunomycin in the prevention of experimental proliferative vitreoretinopathy induced by macrophages. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1993; 231: 109.
- 39 Kuriyama S, Ohuchi T, Yoshimura N, Honda Y, Hiraoka M, Abe M, et al. Evaluation of radiation therapy for experimental proliferative vitre-oretinopathy in rabbits. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1990; 228: 552.
- Alvira G, Hartzer M, Blumenkranz MS. Heparin inhibits cell-mediated collagen gel contraction. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1986; 27: 305.
 Radtke ND, Weinsieder AD, Ballou RJ. Pharmacological therapy for pro-
- liferative vitreoretinopathy. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 1986; 224:
- 230.
 Sullivan PM, Luff AJ, Jubois SA, Canning CR. Patient satisfaction follow-ing vireoretinal surgery. *Eye* 1993; 7: 433.
 McCormack P, Simcock PR, Charteris D, Lavin MJ. Is surgery for prolifer-
- 43 McCormack P, Simcock PK, Charteris D, Lavin MJ. Is surgery for prolifer-ative vitreoretinopathy justifiable? *Eye* 1994; 8: 75.
 44 Andenmatten R, Gonvers M. Sophisticated vitreoretinal surgery in patients with a healthy fellow eye. An 11 year retrospective study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1993; 231: 495.