

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v7.i10.657 World J Orthop 2016 October 18; 7(10): 657-663 ISSN 2218-5836 (online) © 2016 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

MINIREVIEWS

Posterior ankle impingement syndrome: A systematic fourstage approach

Youichi Yasui, Charles P Hannon, Eoghan Hurley, John G Kennedy

Youichi Yasui, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, 2nd Teikyo University School of Medicine, Tokyo 173-8606, Japan

Youichi Yasui, Charles P Hannon, Eoghan Hurley, John G Kennedy, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY 10021, United States

Charles P Hannon, 2nd Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center (C.P.H.), Chicago, IL 60612, United States

Eoghan Hurley, 2nd Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland

Author contributions: All authors equally contributed to this paper with conception and design of the study, literature review and analysis, drafting and critical revision and editing, and final approval of the final version.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Kennedy JG is a consultant for Arteriocyte, Inc.; has received research support from the Ohnell Family Foundation, Mr. and Mrs. Michael J Levitt, and Arteriocyte Inc.; is a board member for the European Society of Sports Traumatology, Knee Surgery, and Arthroscopy, International Society for Cartilage Repair of the Ankle, American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society Awards and Scholarships Committee, International Cartilage Repair Society finance board.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: John G Kennedy, MD, MCh, MMSc, FRCS (Orth), Hospital for Special Surgery, 523 East 72nd Street, Suite 507, New York, NY 10021, United States. kennedyj@hss.edu Telephone: +1-646-7978880 Fax: +1-646-7978966

Received: May 18, 2016 Peer-review started: May 19, 2016 First decision: July 5, 2016 Revised: July 15, 2016 Accepted: July 29, 2016 Article in press: August 1, 2016 Published online: October 18, 2016

Abstract

Posterior ankle impingement syndrome (PAIS) is a common injury in athletes engaging in repetitive plantarflexion, particularly ballet dancers and soccer players. Despite the increase in popularity of the posterior twoportal hindfoot approach, concerns with the technique remain, including; the technical difficulty, relatively steep learning curve, and difficulty performing simultaneous anterior ankle arthroscopy. The purpose of the current literature review is to provide comprehensive knowledge about PAIS, and to describe a systematic four-stage approach of the posterior two-portal arthroscopy. The etiology, clinical presentation, diagnostic strategies are first introduced followed by options in conservative and surgical management. A detailed systematic approach to posterior hindfoot arthroscopy is then described. This technique allows for systematic review of the anatomic structures and treatment of the bony and/ or soft tissue lesions in four regions of interest in the hindfoot (superolateral, superomedial, inferomedial, and inferolateral). The review then discusses biological adjuncts and postoperative rehabilitation and ends with a discussion on the most recent clinical outcomes after posterior hindfoot arthroscopy for PAIS. Although clinical evidence suggests high success rates following posterior hindfoot arthroscopy in the short- and mid-term it may be limited in the pathology that can be addressed due to the technical skills required, but the systematic four-stage approach of the posterior two-portal arthroscopy may



WJO www.wjgnet.com

Yasui Y et al. A review of posterior ankle impingement syndrome

improve upon this problem.

Key words: Posterior ankle impingement syndrome; Arthroscopy; Endoscopy; Review; Os trigonum

© **The Author(s) 2016.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: A systematic four-stage approach was developed to standardize technical variety of posterior two-portal hindfoot arthroscopy for the treatment of posterior ankle impingement syndrome (PAIS). After making two-portals using the "nick and spread" technique, hindfoot strictures are divided into 4 regions of interest (superolateral, superomedial, inferomedial, and inferolateral) based on the intermalleolar ligament. In each region, anatomical structures are systematically reviewed and treated in regards to the presence of mechanical impingement and inflammation. Clinical evidence suggests high success rates following arthroscopic approach in short- and midterm follow-up. This technique can help the surgeons optimize the outcomes following two-portal hindfoot arthroscopy for PAIS.

Yasui Y, Hannon CP, Hurley E, Kennedy JG. Posterior ankle impingement syndrome: A systematic four-stage approach. *World J Orthop* 2016; 7(10): 657-663 Available from: URL: http:// www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v7/i10/657.htm DOI: http:// dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v7.i10.657

INTRODUCTION

Posterior ankle impingement syndrome (PAIS) is a spectrum of clinical disorders characterized by posterior ankle pain during plantar flexion or hyper flexion^[1]. PAIS has become more commonly recognized, particularly in athletes because of heightened awareness^[2-4] and more advanced imaging^[5-7]. Conservative treatment may be indicated in the early stage of PAIS, however; approximately 40% patients eventually require surgical intervention due to intractable hindfoot pain.

The traditional open surgical treatment of PAIS through a lateral or medial approach has had good results, however complication rates are high^[8]. Since its introduction in 2000^[1], the posterior two portal hindfoot approach has been adopted by many surgeons for treatment of PAIS. Recently, a systematic review by Zwiers *et al*^[9] highlighted the advantages of the endoscopic approach over the open approach including lower complication rates, shorter recovery time, less blood loss, less postoperative pain, and comparable functional outcomes. However, concerns with the technique remain; including the technical difficulty, relatively steep learning curve, and difficulty performing simultaneous anterior ankle arthroscopy^[3].

This review discusses the etiology of PAIS, the spectrum of clinical disorders it encompasses, its clinical presentation and management. The review provides

Table 1 Posterior ankle impingement syndrome pathology

Osseus lesions	Soft tissue lesions				
Stieda process	Flexor hallux longus tenosynovitis				
Os trigonum	Synovitis				
Osteophytes	Impingement of the joint capsule				
Osteochondral lesion	Impingement of the anomalous muscles				
Loose bodies					
Chondromatosis					
Subtalar coalition					

an up-to date assessment of the clinical evidence for the treatment of PAIS and describes a systematic fourstage approach of the posterior two-portal hindfoot arthroscopy.

ETILOGY

PAIS pathology can be due to both osseous and/or soft tissue lesions and anatomic variants (Table 1)^[10]. Osseous lesions include a Stieda process (elongated protuberance)^[10], pathological os trigonum (non-fused osside found in up to 25% of the normal adult population)^[11], osteophytes, osteochondral lesion (OCL), loose bodies, chondromatosis, and subtalar coalition. In soft tissue lesions, flexor hallux longus (FHL) tenosynovitis, synovitis, impingement of the joint capsule, and impingement of the anomalous muscles^[12] are described.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION AND DIAGNOSIS

PAIS is characterized by deep posterior ankle pain caused by plantar flexion of the ankle joint^[13]. Pain is described as consistent, sharp, dull and radiating, however, it is usually hard for patients to indicate the exact location of the pain in the hindfoot. It is most commonly seen in athletes who participate in sports that require repetitive plantar flexion such as ballet dancers, soccer players, and downhill runners^[14]. In these athletes PAIS may present acutely after a forced plantar flexion injury or chronically due to overuse. After an acute injury, patients have a robust inflammatory response leading to pain and swelling that manifests in the hindfoot 3-4 wk after the injury. More commonly, PAIS develops over time in these athletes because repetitive flexion causes increased compression and forces on the anatomic structures between the calcaneus and the posterior part of the distal tibia. In these athletes who present with chronic hindfoot pain, the clinician must have a heightened suspicion for PAIS as these symptoms may mimic posterior capsulitis and rheumatoid arthritis. Clinically, it is less common to see PAIS in the non-athletic population or athletes who perform plantar flexion of ankle joint less frequently. In patients who present with chronic hindfoot pain and do not engage in activities with repetitive flexion, anatomic variants may be implicated in the development of PAIS.

A full history and physical examination is critical in the

diagnosis of PAIS. Physical examination should include a complete neurovascular examination as well strength and range of motion assessment. Hindfoot pain aggravated by plantar flexion of the ankle indicates a positive plantar flexion test. A negative plantar flexion test makes a diagnosis of PAIS significantly less likely, but no studies have reported on the specificity or sensitivity of the plantar flexion test in the diagnosis of PAIS. Patients may also be tender over the posteromedial (PM) aspect of the ankle joint. The clinician must pay special attention to the exact location of tenderness, as pain over the posterior tibial tendon may indicate posterior tibial tendon tenosynovitis or dysfunction and not PAIS. To further clarify the location of the pain, the clinician may passively flex and extend the great toe. If the patient is tender during passive or active ROM, it may indicate pathology involving the FHL tendon. A neurologic examination should be performed to exclude tarsal tunnel syndrome, as the pain may be caused by Valleix's sign^[15].

Standard plain X-rays^[6], computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are useful for diagnosis and preoperative planning^[7]. In standard plain X-rays, anteroposterior (AP), mortise, and lateral views of ankle joint are commonly used. The lateral view is the most useful view to observe osseous lesions of hindfoot (*e.g.*, Stieda process, os trigonum, osteophytes, loose bodies, chondromatosis, subtalar coalition). Recently, the posterior impingement (PIM) view has been recommended instead of a conventional lateral view for symptomatic hindfoot pain. The PIM view is a lateral, 25-degree external rotation, oblique view of the ankle, which has shown significant superior diagnostic accuracy compared with the lateral view in the detection of os trigonum^[16].

Compared with radiographs, multi-slice helical CT is more useful to evaluate osseous pathologies. CT provides fine detail regarding the size, location, and number of anatomical bony abnormalities^[17]. Many surgeons prefer CT to examine the osteophyte of the tibia that sometimes co-exists with PAIS^[18] and thus often use it to determine whether the anterior or posterior scope would be performed^[18].

MRI is more useful to evaluate soft tissue lesions of the ankle. Of note, the presence and location of anomalous muscles should be evaluated. These anomalous muscles cause PAIS, but also increase the difficulty of operative treatment^[12]. The peroneus quartus is the most commonly reported anomalous muscle, with between 7% and 22% of the population having them, other anomalous muscles such as flexor digitorum accessorius longus only occur in between 1% and 8% of the population^[12].

After the positive plantar flexion test is elicited, the authors prefer to evaluate the condition of the hindfoot structures using standard plain X-ray and MRI. Then, we perform an ultrasound diagnostic injection using a local anesthetic to confirm the diagnosis (Figure 1).

TREATMENT

Conservative treatment

Conservative treatment includes rest, modification of activity, physiotherapy, anti-inflammatory drugs, and ultrasound-guided injections^[19]. Ultrasound-guided injections may be useful in high-level athletes to allow them to finish the season^[20]. Although no substantial evidence has published the success rate with conservative treatment^[19], a small cohort study reported approximately 60% success rates following conservative treatment in PAIS^[21].

Surgical indications

Surgical management is indicated for patients following failure to address symptoms after 3 mo of conservative treatment. However, if athletic patients want to return to athletic activity promptly, then surgical intervention can be recommended early in the treatment process. Options include open treatment or arthroscopic intervention^[3,22,23]. The advantages of arthroscopic procedures for PAIS are that they are less invasive, have a lower risk of postoperative complications, and shorter recovery time for returning to full activity. However, the technical difficulty and relatively steep learning curve are disadvantages^[3]. Additionally, it is difficult to perform simultaneous treatments for anterior ankle pathologies using a posterior two-portal approach, while subtalar arthroscopy or conventional ankle arthroscopy with posterolateral (PL) portal are more available^[24].

For patients who have isolated PAIS, the authors utilize posterior hindfoot arthroscopy. For patients who require operative intervention for both PAIS and ankle anterior pathologies (*e.g.*, anterior impingement syndrome, anterior OCL, degenerative ankle arthritis), the authors prefer to treat anterior pathologies in the supine position with traditional anterior arthroscopic portals, then, switch to the prone position for posterior hindfoot arthroscopy.

Posterior hindfoot arthroscopy - a systematic four-stage approach $^{\scriptscriptstyle[9]}$

The senior author (John G Kennedy) uses the original posterior two-portal technique, similar to the 21-point systematic surgical approach in anterior ankle arthroscopic surgery^[25]. The senior author utilizes a systematic four-stage approach for posterior hindfoot arthroscopy beginning with a systematic evaluation of the anatomical structures and subsequent operative treatment for pathological abnormalities.

Equipment

Typical arthroscopy equipment used in anterior ankle arthroscopy is required for posterior hindfoot arthroscopy. A 2.7/4.0 mm arthroscope with 30/70 degree viewing angle, a 3.5/4.5 mm shaver for soft tissue debridement, a 4.0 mm aggressive shaver or burr for bony resection,

WJO www.wjgnet.com

Yasui Y et al. A review of posterior ankle impingement syndrome



Figure 1 Untrosound guided diagnostic injection. AT: Achilles tendon; FHL: Flexor hallucis longus tendon; T.N: Tibial nerve.



Figure 2 The posterolateral and posteromedial arthroscopic portals.

osteotomy, and fluoroscopy (optional) are used. Sizes of arthroscopes can be selected depending on the surgeon's preference. A thigh tourniquet is necessary to obtain good visualization of hindfoot anatomical structures. Additionally, an irrigation system is useful. The fluid pressure is usually set to 50-60 mmHg, and fluid flow is 0.5 L/min. Although dorsiflexion of hindfoot is usually applied for providing good visualization of the ankle and subtalar joints, a non-invasive distractor is may be applied to assist with visualization.

Patient position

The patient should be positioned in the prone or sloppy lateral position. The senior authors have found that general or spinal anesthesias with a regional block are most effective. The operative foot should be elevated using a support or cushion placed underneath the lower leg, so that the leg is raised approximately 15 cm above the contralateral leg. This position can prevent contact of the arthroscope or instruments with the contralateral side in the operative procedure.

Technique

Marking anatomical landmarks and portal sites: In posterior hindfoot arthroscopy, a PL and PM portal are most commonly utilized. Prior to incision, landmarks including lateral malleoli (LM), medial malleoli (MM) and Achilles tendon should be marked using a sterile surgical marker. Portal sites should then be marked out. The portal sites are 1.0 mm anterior to the borders of Achilles tendon and at the level between the horizontal lines running from the inferior poles of MM and tip of LM (Figure 2). The sural nerve can be palpated and its course marked to avoid iatrogenic nerve injury.

Establishing portals: After all anatomic landmarks and portal sites have been identified and marked, a #11 blade should be used to make 1 cm vertical incisions at the labeled portal sites for the PM and PL portals. Then, subcutaneous blunt dissection using a mosquito clamp is performed via both portals. At this time, care must be taken to avoid damage to the sural nerve. The "nick and spread" technique is important to avoid sural neurovascular damages. A 2.7-mm arthroscope sleeve with trocar is carefully advanced via a PL portal to touch the posterior aspect of the talus by directing it towards the first interdigital web space. All instruments should be directed towards first interdigital web space to prevent iatrogenic neurovascular bundle injury in the hindfoot. Once the bone can be palpated with the trocar, it is switched out for a 2.7-mm arthroscope.

Creating working space: Initial visualization is poor because of the fat tissue located behind the posterior aspect of talus. After the shaver blade is confirmed in arthroscopic view, soft tissue is debrided to expose the intermalleolar (IM) ligament using a 3.5 or 4.0 mm aggressive shaver. The shaver blade must always be maneuvered very gently under arthroscopic visualization to avoid iatrogenic injury to healthy tissue.

Systematic four-stage approach to visualization

of the hindfoot: The systematic approach in posterior ankle arthroscopy allows for a full assessment of all structures at the posterior ankle and subtalar joint (Figure 3). The anatomic landmark for defining the quadrants is the IM ligament that has been well described previously^[26,27] based on the IM ligament, the hindfoot structures are divided into 4 regions of interest (superolateral, superomedial, inferomedial, and inferolateral). The authors prefer to start the inspection from the superolateral quadrant and then proceed to the other regions in a counterclockwise fashion for right ankles and a clockwise fashion for left ankles.







Figure 3 Hindfoot extra-articular structures divided into quadrants as defined by the intermalleolar ligament. (1) Fibula, (2) tibia, (3) posterior-inferior tibiofibular ligament (transverse ligament), (4) flexor hallucis longus tendon, (5a) intermalleolar ligament, (5b) superior tibial insertion of the intermalleolar ligament, (6) tibiotalar joint, (7) subtalar joint, (8) posterolateral talar process, (9) flexor hallucis longus retinaculum, (10) calcaneofibular ligament, and (11) posterior talofibular ligament. Illustration is a copyright of and reproduced with permission from Kennedy JG, MD. Reproduction without express written consent is prohibited.

This quadrant contains the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament, transverse ligament, and IM ligament. The IM ligament may be associated with PIM^[8,27]. During inspection of the superolateral quadrant, the ankle should be passively plantarflexed to see if any of these ligaments are impinged under direct visualization^[26]. If impingement is present, the related structures should be debrided using a shaver or punch.

The FHL tendon and its associated fibro-osseous tunnel are found in this quadrant. Of note, the neurovascular bundle lies just medial to FHL tendon. It is therefore essential that any instruments should be maneuvered in the area lateral to FHL tendon. Additionally, surgeons should evaluate if the anomalous muscles particularly the peroneous qaurtus are present^[13]. It is sometime difficult to expose the FHL tendon because of soft tissue cicatrization. In these cases, moving (passive flexion/ extension) the great toe may help surgeons identify the FHL tendon.

Tenosynovitis around FHL tendon is a typical finding in patients with hindfoot pain (63% to 85%)^[8,28]. By moving the great toe, impingement of the tendon in its sheath can be identified and resected using a 4.5-mm shaver. A low-lying muscle of FHL can be found, which may cause impingement between the associated bony or soft tissues. Any tenosynovitis or identified impingement should be debrided.

A Stieda process or separate os trigonum can be observed in this region. These bony structures are removed using osteotomes or shaver, with care taken to avoid causing iatrogenic cartilage lesions in the subtalar joint. The scope and shaver are switched in order to gain optimal access to achieve adequate debridement. The posterior talofibular ligament (PTFL) that attaches to these structures may need to be released, however the authors prefer to preserve as much as possible of the posterior talofibular ligament.

Once those osseous structures are removed, the arthroscope is advanced into the fibro-osseous tunnel, which allows full visualization of the FHL tendon. Any pathology restricting smooth passive movement of the FHL tendon in the fibro-osseous tunnel such as vincula, nodules, or cicatrization should be debrided and removed.

The PTFL and the calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) are found in this region. The PTFL may be thickened and hypertrophied, requiring debridement. In the case of an ankle history of chronic lateral ankle instability, attenuation or scarring of the CFL may be found. Any tenosynovitis or identified impingement should be debrided.

Intra-articular inspection of the talocrural and subtalar joints: The talocrural joint and subtalar joint are inspected following visualization of all four quadrants of the hindfoot. Both joints can be visualized using same standard portals. Ankle dorsiflexion can allow full visualization of joint surfaces, however, soft tissue distractors are sometimes used to obtain better visualization^[29]. Any pathology detected including OCLs, synovitis, osteophytes, and hypertrophic capsule should be addressed. For OCLs, the authors recommend bone marrow stimulation using a microfracture pic or drilling to produce fibrocartilage repair tissue.

Biologics

Biologics including platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and concentrated bone marrow aspirate (CBMA) may be used at the time of the surgery. These biologic augments are becoming recognized as promising adjuvants that may improve the quality of regenerative tissue and decrease inflammatory responses^[30]. For PAIS, PRP and CBMA are injected into the degenerative tendon or bed of the lesion after irrigation water is stopped. The authors also recommend injecting these biological adjuvants into the joint after the wound is closed to limit the inflammatory response.

Postoperative rehabilitation

A compression bandage is applied after surgery and patients are allowed to be weightbearing as tolerated immediately after surgery. Patients may also begin ranging their ankle as tolerated. The goal of early ROM and weightbearing is to prevent post-operative stiffness and hopefully limit the delay in return to sport^[13,30]. Typically, ankle immobilization is not necessary, unless patients had more significant osseous injury, which may require modifications of the above protocol.

Clinical outcomes following posterior hindfoot arthroscopy

Several clinical studies have reported good short-term clinical results following posterior two-portal hindfoot arthroscopy for PAIS (Table 2)^[28,29,31-41]. A majority

Yasui Y et al. A review of posterior ankle impingement syndrome

Table 2 Reported clinical outcomes following hindfoot arthroscopy										
Ref.	Year	No. of cases (n)	LoE	Follow-up (mo)	Primary outcome measure	Pre-operative score	Post-operative score	Return to sport (wk)		
Jerosch et al ^[32]	2006	10	IV	28 (6-61)	AOFAS	43	87	12		
Tey et al ^[33]	2007	15	IV	3 (15-63)	AOFAS	84.4	98.5	14.1		
Horibe et al ^[34]	2008	11	IV	33.8 (12-58)	AOFAS	71	99	12		
Scholten et al ^[28]	2008	55	IV	38 (24-54)	AOFAS	71.1	90	18.9		
Willits et al ^[31]	2008	16	IV	3 (6-74)	AOFAS	N/A	91	63		
Calder et al ^[35]	2010	27	IV	23 (15-49)	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.9		
Noguchi et al ^[36]	2010	12	IV	9.7 (6-14)	AOFAS	68	98.3	5.9		
Galla et al ^[37]	2011	30	IV	9.7 (6-14)	AOFAS	60	90	N/A		
Ogut et al ^[38]	2011	14	IV	31.6 (8-75)	AOFAS	53.6	84.2	30.6		
Nikisch et al ^[39]	2012	80	IV	15.4 (5-59)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
van Dijk <i>et al</i> ^[29]	2009	55	IV	90 (24-480)	AOFAS	75	90	N/A		
Lopez-Valerio et al ^[40]	2015	20	IV	78.6 (24-120)	VAS	7.5	0.8	6.7		
Dinato et al ^[41]	2015	17	III	N/A	AOFAS	62.9	92.3	15.6		
		15				67.9	94	16.3		

AOFAS: American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) Score; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; N/A: Not applicable.

of studies have reported post-operative American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) Scores greater than $85^{[28,29,31\cdot34,36,37,39,41]}$ at short-term follow-up. A recent systematic review by Zwiers *et al*⁽⁹⁾ demonstrated that the mean time to return to full activity was on average 11.3 wk (5.9-12.9 wk) following arthroscopic treatment. Complication rates after posterior hindfoot arthroscopy were also low with 1.8% of patients suffering a major complication ^{9]}. However, the current literature is limited by long-term follow-up studies evaluating the outcomes after posterior hindfoot arthroscopy for PAIS.

CONCLUSION

PAIS is a clinical spectrum of both soft tissue and osseous pathology that is common in athletes who repetitively plantar flex their ankle. Patients who do not respond to conservative management may require operative intervention. While open treatments have showed good success in the short-term for PAIS, posterior hindfoot arthroscopy may lead to equivalent outcomes with less morbidity. Performing two-portal hindfoot arthroscopy in the described systematic fourstage approach allows for standardized evaluation of the anatomic structures of the hindfoot and ultimately to address any pathology that may be present. Clinical outcomes after posterior hindfoot arthroscopy for PAIS are very good in the short-term with low complication rates, however future long-term studies are warranted.

REFERENCES

- van Dijk CN, Scholten PE, Krips R. A 2-portal endoscopic approach for diagnosis and treatment of posterior ankle pathology. *Arthroscopy* 2000; 16: 871-876 [PMID: 11078550 DOI: 10.1053/jars.2000.19430]
- 2 van Dijk CN, van Bergen CJ. Advancements in ankle arthroscopy. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2008; 16: 635-646 [PMID: 18978286]
- 3 Coetzee JC, Seybold JD, Moser BR, Stone RM. Management of Posterior Impingement in the Ankle in Athletes and Dancers. Foot

Ankle Int 2015; **36**: 988-994 [PMID: 26163559 DOI: 10.1177/107110 0715595504]

- 4 Nault ML, Kocher MS, Micheli LJ. Os trigonum syndrome. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2014; 22: 545-553 [PMID: 25157036]
- 5 Sofka CM. Posterior ankle impingement: clarification and confirmation of the pathoanatomy. HSS J 2010; 6: 99-101 [PMID: 20012503 DOI: 10.1007/s11420-009-9147-2]
- 6 Wiegerinck JI, Vroemen JC, van Dongen TH, Sierevelt IN, Maas M, van Dijk CN. The posterior impingement view: an alternative conventional projection to detect bony posterior ankle impingement. *Arthroscopy* 2014; 30: 1311-1316 [PMID: 25023737 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2014.05.006]
- 7 Hayashi D, Roemer FW, D'Hooghe P, Guermazi A. Posterior ankle impingement in athletes: Pathogenesis, imaging features and differential diagnoses. *Eur J Radiol* 2015; 84: 2231-2241 [PMID: 26239710 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2015.07.017]
- 8 Hamilton WG, Geppert MJ, Thompson FM. Pain in the posterior aspect of the ankle in dancers. Differential diagnosis and operative treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1996; 78: 1491-1500 [PMID: 8876576]
- 9 Zwiers R, Wiegerinck JI, Murawski CD, Smyth NA, Kennedy JG, van Dijk CN. Surgical treatment for posterior ankle impingement. *Arthroscopy* 2013; 29: 1263-1270 [PMID: 23541613 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2013.01.029]
- 10 Maquirriain J. Posterior ankle impingement syndrome. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2005; 13: 365-371 [PMID: 16224109 DOI: 10.5435/001 24635-200510000-00001]
- 11 Lawson JP. Symptomatic radiographic variants in extremities. *Radiology* 1985; **157**: 625-631 [PMID: 4059550 DOI: 10.1148/ radiology.157.3.4059550]
- 12 Best A, Giza E, Linklater J, Sullivan M. Posterior impingement of the ankle caused by anomalous muscles. A report of four cases. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005; 87: 2075-2079 [PMID: 16140823 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.D.01916]
- 13 Smyth NA, Murawski CD, Levine DS, Kennedy JG. Hindfoot arthroscopic surgery for posterior ankle impingement: a systematic surgical approach and case series. *Am J Sports Med* 2013; 41: 1869-1876 [PMID: 23720445 DOI: 10.1177/0363546513489489]
- 14 Smyth NA, Zwiers R, Wiegerinck JI, Hannon CP, Murawski CD, van Dijk CN, Kennedy JG. Posterior hindfoot arthroscopy: a review. *Am J Sports Med* 2014; 42: 225-234 [PMID: 23868522 DOI: 10.1177/03 63546513491213]
- 15 Umans H. Ankle impingement syndromes. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol* 2002; 6: 133-139 [PMID: 12077702 DOI: 10.1055/s-2002-32 359]
- 16 Wiegnerinck JI, Kerkhoffs GMM, Struijs PAA, van Dijk CN. The

posterior impingement-view: An alternative conventional projection to detect bony posterior ankle impingement. *Arthroscopy* 2014; **30**: 1311-1316 [PMID: 25023737 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2014.05.006]

- 17 Burghardt AJ, Link TM, Majumdar S. High-resolution computed tomography for clinical imaging of bone microarchitecture. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2011; 469: 2179-2193 [PMID: 21344275 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-010-1766-x]
- 18 Niek van Dijk C. Anterior and posterior ankle impingement. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2006; **11**: 663-683 [PMID: 16971256 DOI: 10.1016/j.fcl.2006.06.003]
- 19 Ribbans WJ, Ribbans HA, Cruickshank JA, Wood EV. The management of posterior ankle impingement syndrome in sport: a review. *Foot Ankle Surg* 2015; 21: 1-10 [PMID: 25682399 DOI: 10.1016/j.fas.2014.08.006]
- 20 Roche AJ, Calder JD, Lloyd Williams R. Posterior ankle impingement in dancers and athletes. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2013; 18: 301-318 [PMID: 23707179 DOI: 10.1016/j.fcl.2013.02.008]
- 21 Hedrick MR, McBryde AM. Posterior ankle impingement. *Foot Ankle Int* 1994; **15**: 2-8 [PMID: 7981792 DOI: 10.1177/10711007940 1500102]
- 22 Marumoto JM, Ferkel RD. Arthroscopic excision of the os trigonum: a new technique with preliminary clinical results. *Foot Ankle Int* 1997; 18: 777-784 [PMID: 9429879 DOI: 10.1177/1071100 79701801205]
- 23 Allegra F, Maffulli N, Cerza F, Delianni E. Postero-medial approach procedure in the supine position for one-step anterior and posterior ankle arthroscopy. *Sports Med Arthrosc* 2009; 17: 185-189 [PMID: 19680115 DOI: 10.1097/JSA.0b013e3181b12745]
- 24 Ferkel RD. In which position do we perform arthroscopy of the hindfoot--supine or prone? Commentary on an article by Florian Nickisch, MD, et al.: "Postoperative complications of posterior ankle and hindfoot arthroscopy". *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012; **94**: e33 [PMID: 22398746 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.K.01634]
- 25 Ferkel RD, Fischer SP. Progress in ankle arthroscopy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1989; 240: 210-220 [PMID: 2917435 DOI: 10.1097/00003 086-198903000-00027]
- 26 Golanó P, Vega J, de Leeuw PA, Malagelada F, Manzanares MC, Götzens V, van Dijk CN. Anatomy of the ankle ligaments: a pictorial essay. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2010; 18: 557-569 [PMID: 20309522 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-010-1100-x]
- 27 Oh CS, Won HS, Hur MS, Chung IH, Kim S, Suh JS, Sung KS. Anatomic variations and MRI of the intermalleolar ligament. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2006; 186: 943-947 [PMID: 16554561 DOI: 10.2214/ AJR.04.1784]
- 28 Scholten PE, Sierevelt IN, van Dijk CN. Hindfoot endoscopy for posterior ankle impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008; 90: 2665-2672 [PMID: 19047712 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.F.00188]
- 29 van Dijk CN, de Leeuw PA, Scholten PE. Hindfoot endoscopy for posterior ankle impingement. Surgical technique. J Bone Joint Surg

Am 2009; **91** Suppl 2: 287-298 [PMID: 19805591 DOI: 10.2106/ JBJS.L00445]

- 30 Cassano JM, Kennedy JG, Ross KA, Fraser EJ, Goodale MB, Fortier LA. Bone marrow concentrate and platelet-rich plasma differ in cell distribution and interleukin 1 receptor antagonist protein concentration. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2016 Feb 1; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 26831858 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-016-3981-9]
- 31 Willits K, Sonneveld H, Amendola A, Giffin JR, Griffin S, Fowler PJ. Outcome of posterior ankle arthroscopy for hindfoot impingement. *Arthroscopy* 2008; 24: 196-202 [PMID: 18237704 DOI: 10.1016/ j.arthro.2007.08.025]
- 32 Jerosch J, Fadel M. Endoscopic resection of a symptomatic os trigonum. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2006; 14: 1188-1193 [PMID: 16763849 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-006-0089-7]
- 33 Tey M, Monllau JC, Centenera JM, Pelfort X. Benefits of arthroscopic tuberculoplasty in posterior ankle impingement syndrome. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2007; 15: 1235-1239 [PMID: 17589829 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-007-0349-1]
- 34 Horibe S, Kita K, Natsu-ume T, Hamada M, Mae T, Shino K. A novel technique of arthroscopic excision of a symptomatic os trigonum. *Arthroscopy* 2008; 24: 121.e1-121.e4 [PMID: 18182212 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2007.04.019]
- 35 Calder JD, Sexton SA, Pearce CJ. Return to training and playing after posterior ankle arthroscopy for posterior impingement in elite professional soccer. *Am J Sports Med* 2010; 38: 120-124 [PMID: 19966105 DOI: 10.1177/0363546509346390]
- 36 Noguchi H, Ishii Y, Takeda M, Hasegawa A, Monden S, Takagishi K. Arthroscopic excision of posterior ankle bony impingement for early return to the field: short-term results. *Foot Ankle Int* 2010; 31: 398-403 [PMID: 20460066 DOI: 10.3113/FAI.2010.0398]
- Galla M, Lobenhoffer P. Technique and results of arthroscopic treatment of posterior ankle impingement. *Foot Ankle Surg* 2011; 17: 79-84 [PMID: 21549977 DOI: 10.1016/j.fas.2010.01.004]
- 38 Ogut T, Ayhan E, Irgit K, Sarikaya AI. Endoscopic treatment of posterior ankle pain. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2011; 19: 1355-1361 [PMID: 21311860 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-011-1428-x]
- 39 Nickisch F, Barg A, Saltzman CL, Beals TC, Bonasia DE, Phisitkul P, Femino JE, Amendola A. Postoperative complications of posterior ankle and hindfoot arthroscopy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012; 94: 439-446 [PMID: 22398738 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.K.00069]
- 40 López Valerio V, Seijas R, Alvarez P, Ares O, Steinbacher G, Sallent A, Cugat R. Endoscopic repair of posterior ankle impingement syndrome due to os trigonum in soccer players. *Foot Ankle Int* 2015; 36: 70-74 [PMID: 25249322 DOI: 10.1177/1071100714552078]
- 41 Dinato MC, Luques IU, Freitas Mde F, Pereira Filho MV, Ninomiya AF, Pagnano RG, Etchebehere M. Endoscopic treatment of the posterior ankle impingement syndrome on amateur and professional athletes. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2016; 24: 1396-1401 [PMID: 26264381 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-015-3747-9]

P- Reviewer: Anand A, Fernandez-Fairen M S- Editor: Ji FF L- Editor: A E- Editor: Lu YJ





WJO www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com

