

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Analyst. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 October 28.

Published in final edited form as: *Analyst.* 2016 March 7; 141(5): 1551–1568. doi:10.1039/c5an01824b.

Aptamers in Analytics

Muslum Ilgu^{1,2,*} and Marit Nilsen-Hamilton^{1,2,3}

¹Roy J Carver Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Iowa State University, Ames IA 50011

²Aptalogic, Ames IA 50014

³Ames Laboratory, US DOE, Ames IA 50011

Abstract

Nucleic acid aptamers are promising alternatives to antibodies for analytics. They are generally obtained through an iterative SELEX protocol that enriches a population of synthetic oligonucleotides to a subset that can recognize the chosen target molecule specifically and avidly. A wide range of targets is recognized by aptamers. Once identified and optimized for performance, aptamers can be reproducibly synthesized and offer other key features, like small size, low cost, sensitivity, specificity, rapid response, stability, reusability. This makes them excellent options for sensory units on a variety of analytical platforms including those with electrochemical, optical, and mass sensitive transduction detection. Many novel sensing strategies have been developed by rational design to take advantage of the tendency of aptamers to undergo conformational changes upon target/analyte binding and employing the principles of base complementarity that can drive nucleic acid structure. Despite their many advantages over antibodies, surprisingly few aptamers have yet been integrated into commercially available analytical devices. In this review, we discuss how to select and engineer aptamers for their identified application(s), some of the challenges faced in developing aptamers for analytics and many examples of their reported successful performance as sensors in a variety of analytical platforms.

Graphical abstract



*Corresponding author: ilgu@iastate.edu.

1. Introduction

The discovery of aptamers in the 1990's¹⁻³ provided a new opportunity for sensor design to satisfy the continuing need for accurate and broadly applicable analytical tools. Aptamers are single stranded nucleic acid (NA, e.g. DNA or RNA) molecules selected *in vitro* from synthetic libraries of oligonucleotides (oligos) with randomly assigned sequences by a process called SELEX (Systematic Evolution of Ligands by EXponential enrichment), which involves multiple rounds of iterative selection. They have been isolated to recognize a wide range of molecular targets including proteins, small molecules, ions, toxic molecules and even whole cells.⁴⁻⁶ Aptamers provide an alternative to antibody-based detectors, which have for many years been the work-horses for detecting molecular markers in forensics applications and diagnosing diseases, food contaminations, and viral outbreaks. Although unlikely to fully supplant antibodies in these applications, aptamers bring new properties that can be used to great advantage in analytics.

A significant advantage over antibodies is the ability to tune the affinities and specificities of aptamers by the appropriate selection protocols and post-selection engineering. Aptamer affinities for their cognate analytes range from picomolar (pM) to micromolar (μ M) dissociation constants (K_d), with the lower affinity range covering small molecules, consistent with the fewer possible contacts between analyte and aptamer as the chemical framework of the former decreases. Aptamer specificities can be exquisite such as to distinguish two molecules that vary structurally in a single position. Examples are the theophylline aptamer that does not bind caffeine and the arginine citrulline and arginine aptamers, each of which distinguished their cognate analyte by a structural variation in a single position on the chemical structures.⁷⁻⁹

Once selected and optimized, aptamer stocks are replenished by chemical synthesis, which brings their production costs well below those for antibodies. Aptamers are also amenable to chemical modifications that increase their chemical and biological stabilities and adapt them to function on a variety of sensing platforms. These characteristics, in addition to their reusability and stability to dehydration and heat, identify the advantages of aptamers over antibodies as sensors. Recognition of the value of aptamers for analytics has surely fueled the rapid increase in reports of new aptamer sensors that started in 2007 and by 2015 brought reports on new aptamer-based sensors (aptasensors) to \sim 26% of all sensor reports listing aptamers or antibodies (Fig. 1). By contrast, new sensors that involve antibodies appear to have reached a steady state.

The allure of aptamers as sensors is their adaptability to different platforms and different signaling modalities. Aptamers can be integrated with other molecules or onto supporting surfaces. A variety of reporters can be attached to them for transducing the binding event to signal read-outs and multiplexing is possible for concurrent sensing of several analytes in small volumes over broad dynamic ranges.

After the initial requirement of obtaining and optimizing an aptamer for stability, specificity and selectivity is met, a major challenge for developing aptasensors is a consequence of the small sizes of aptamers. These short polymers form structures that are malleable and

singularly responsive to environmental factors such as pH, salt concentrations and temperature. Consequently, each aptasensor must be individually optimized by methods that require understanding of 1) the molecular biology of NA aptamers and their molecular targets (e.g. analytes), 2) thermodynamics of their interactions with analyte vs. non-target molecules, 3) synthetic chemistry for possible aptamer modifications, and 4) physical chemistry and surface physics if the aptamer is to be integrated onto signaling surfaces. In addition, aptamer responses and sensitivities may differ when they are attached to a surface compared with in solution. If the delay in availability of commercial aptasensors is seen in this perspective, it can be understood why such promising elements of analytical devices as aptamers are relatively slow to become commonplace. However, it is also evident from the current experimental reports that the future of analytics includes the application of many aptasensors.

Here, we discuss the process of aptamer selection, development and engineering and the application of aptasensors for a variety of analytes in solution, on solid supports, for synthetic biology and other *in vivo* applications. Notably absent from this review is reference to aptamers for their potential in therapeutics. This topic has been dealt with by the majority of reviews written about aptamers over the past five years despite that the majority of research papers have dealt with the application of aptamers as sensors (Fig. 2). Reviews that have focused on aptamers as sensors have generally limited the discussion to a particular platform or signaling mode.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ We take a broader view of the field of aptasensors to highlight the many possibilities for applications of aptamers to analytics and to discuss the remaining hurdles for developing robust aptasensors.

2. The SELEX Procedure

SELEX (Systematic Evolution of Ligands by EXponential enrichment) is the procedure by which aptamers are selected to bind a predefined target.¹⁻³ The best binder (the "fittest") is enriched through the selection process, by which the library complexity is decreased. Practically, the starting pool consists of around 10¹² to 10¹⁶ oligos of random sequence, each of which can adopt a unique subset of 3-dimensional (3D) structures defined by the combination of base-pairing, stacking, sugar packing and non-canonical intramolecular interactions. This structural complexity establishes a high probability of selecting an aptamer that can interact strongly and specifically with the target of interest. The binding interaction between aptamer and target includes hydrogen bonds, salt bridges, van der Waals, hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions.

SELEX experiments involve an *in vitro* iterative selection procedure that includes binding, partitioning and amplification. Each experiment starts with a pool of single stranded DNA (ssDNA) oligos, each with an internal randomized sequence, usually 30-80 nt, and terminal sequences complementary to predefined primers for PCR and/or RT-PCR. Although this pool is ready for selection of ssDNA aptamers, it requires conversion to RNA by transcription before selection of RNA aptamers. Transcription is performed on a double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) pool, which is frequently produced by extension by the DNA polymerase Klenow fragment from a 5' primer that contains the T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence.

For selection, the RNA or DNA oligo pools are incubated with the desired target under defined conditions for a determined time period after which the bound and unbound oligos are separated. For successful selection, it is crucial to identify an effective method and conditions for partitioning the unbound and bound species. Many methods have been used for this purpose, including nitrocellulose filter binding, affinity chromatography, size fractionation on columns, flow cytometry,¹⁷ surface plasmon resonance (SPR),^{18, 19} electrophoresis on through polyacrylamide gels (PAGE), capillary electrophoresis (CE).²⁰ and microfluidic separations.²¹ The application of these methods in SELEX has been reviewed in detail.²²

Following partitioning, the selected oligos are converted to cDNA by PCR amplification of ssDNA or by reverse transcription followed by PCR amplification and transcription for RNA aptamer selection. The selected pools from subsequent rounds of SELEX should have lower complexity compared with the initial pool and be enriched in oligo species with high affinities for the target. With increasingly stringent conditions (shorter incubation times, higher temperatures, higher NA/target ratios) in subsequent rounds of SELEX, the high-affinity oligos are enriched. The inclusion of negative selection cycles (binding of pool to the matrix without target or with an undesired target) eliminates species that bind the matrix or other related compounds expected to be present in the samples for analysis. Although negative selections are frequently performed between each positive selections does not affect selection, but that it is important to have more positive than negative selections for high specificity of the selected aptamers.²³ Therefore, because the probability of losing an oligo species is higher in the earlier cycles of SELEX, when there are fewer copies of each species, negative selections are better performed in later cycles.

Typically, for selections that do not involve NA-binding proteins, 7 to 15 positive selection cycles have been used to obtain an enriched pool with high affinity binders.²⁴ Historically, the outcomes of SELEX experiments have been evaluated by cloning and sequencing a subset of the final round of selected oligos to identify potential aptamer candidates, which appear as families of oligos with highly related sequences that have been enriched by SELEX. However, the recent application of next-generation sequencing combined with informatics analysis of the growing aptamer populations is a powerful improvement in the SELEX procedure.²⁵ In addition to having large databases by which the growth (or disappearance) of sequence families can be followed, informatics can be applied to evaluate potential oligo structures, thereby allowing the incorporation of structure into the analysis to identify putative aptamers.²⁶ Once identified, the putative aptamers can be synthesized to determine target affinity and specificity of binding over other possible targets.

One of the most important considerations for designing SELEX experiments relate to the conditions of selection pressure, such as buffer and other environmental components, and the conditions of negative selection, including the presence of non-target molecules. The latter can be selected against in separate cycles or included as competitors with the target molecule during a positive selection cycle.^{8, 27, 28} The ionic environment and pH of the buffer can significantly affect the structures and functionalities of NAs.²⁹⁻³² These considerations are important for developing robust aptamers for specific analytical applications.

Aptamers have a significant advantage over antibodies as sensing units because they can be used repeatedly with little loss of activity, whereas antibodies can be used only once or a few times if attached to a stable platform before functionality is lost due to irreversible protein denaturation.^{33, 34} For repeated use, the chemical and biological stabilities of aptamers must be considered. For example, they are susceptible to nucleases that are present in many biological samples. This is particularly true for RNA, which is also more chemically labile to high pH and temperature compared with DNA due to the close proximity of the 2′OH group and electrophilic phosphorous. Many chemical modifications can stabilize nucleic acids to degradation.⁶ Post-selection modifications can be done but it carries a large risk of altering the aptamer structure and decreasing or eliminating its affinity for the target analyte. A less risky approach is to generate environmentally and biologically stable aptamers by using chemically-substituted nucleotide analogs for synthesizing the oligos during selection.³⁵

SELEX protocols have been made more efficient by decreasing the time to completion from months to weeks with the inclusion of automation,³⁶⁻³⁸ delaying analyses (such as testing for binding and/or functionality) to later rounds of selection, limiting the number of selection rounds, and coupling selection with informatics to identify the aptamer families.^{26, 39, 40} Further efficiency comes with the inclusion of automation³⁶⁻³⁸ In photoSELEX, modified nucleotides are photocrosslinked to the target by absorption of light making the aptamer protein complexes very stable to washing.⁴¹ With these technical aspects have also come approaches to increasing the specificity of aptamer recognition of proteins by incorporating modified bases in the oligos that can be crosslinked to the target protein⁴¹ or that have added chemical groups with similarities to amino acid side chain.⁴² In addition to high affinity, specificity is the other requirement of a well-functioning aptamer. This problem seems best addressed by negative selections against the related molecules to which the aptamer should not bind. In this way, aptamers can be isolated that are specific for one of several members of the same protein family^{43, 44} or one of several related chemicals.⁷⁻⁹

Aptamers selected to bind small molecules usually have K_ds in the mid nanomolar (nM) to low μ M range. By comparison, the longer natural RNAs that can form more stable binding structures generally have higher affinities for the same ligand. For example, a number of aptamers selected to recognize guanine with K_ds in the 1 μ M range⁴⁵ are compared to the natural riboswitch with a K_d of 5 nM.⁴⁶ Similarly, the neomycin-B aptamer, with a K_d of 100-200 nM,^{31, 47} binds neomycin-B with lower affinity than riboswitches selected in yeast with K_ds around 10 nM.⁴⁸

Changes in ionic strength, pH, and temperature can affect target binding.^{31, 32} Therefore, the buffers used during aptamer selection can drastically impact the affinities and future performances of aptamers when used as sensors. Another important consideration for selecting aptamers to be used in analytical tools is that the target molecules for aptamer selection be identical to the analyte. This requirement is frequently met with protein analytes that might only be modified with a short protein tag when used as a SELEX target. It is often more difficult to ensure identity with the analyte with membrane proteins and small molecule that are used as SELEX targets. If the SELEX target is a recombinant extracellular

domain of a membrane protein, this target may lack the normal glycosylation pattern that could prevent aptamer access to the natural analyte and could also influence protein structure that could alter aptamer affinity. In addition, many membrane proteins are associated with other proteins, lipids or carbohydrates that influence their structures and the availability of surface docking sites for aptamers. To address some of these problems, new selection techniques like cell-SELEX^{49, 50} and tissue-SELEX⁵¹ have been developed.

For small molecule analytes, the target for aptamer selection is often a larger derivative of the small molecule, such as an immobilized analyte for separation of bound and unbound oligos. Thus, the chemistry is important that is used to attach the selection of ligand for aptamer capture. For example, if a short carbon chain separates the resin from the ligand, the aptamer is likely to recognize the analyte equally to the immobilized version, whereas additional chemical moieties close to the analyte framework might become included as part of the target to which the aptamer binds.

Because the fundamental feature of SELEX is the equilibrium between aptamer and target analyte, its optimization can be approached by computational and mathematics analyses.^{23, 24, 52, 53} In these studies, the stringency of the selection, necessity of negative selections, impact of pool and target concentrations in each SELEX round have been analyzed in detail and can be used as a basis of optimizing the SELEX process. In addition to experimental design, the success of SELEX depends on experimental aspects such as the chemical properties and purity of the target, use of proper enzyme combinations for amplification and pool productions as well as careful handling of the chemicals. Optimization of the procedure, by including considerations of the analytical platform and environmental/buffer conditions under which the anticipated aptamers will function, is critical to developing aptamers that function well as aptasensors.

3. Engineering aptamers for the task

Selecting a set of aptamer sequences that bind their cognate targets with high affinity and specificity is the initial step for obtaining functional aptamers. The next steps are structure and sequence-guided molecular engineering that include truncation and/or mutation of the sequence(s) to develop the shortest aptamer(s) with highest affinity and specificity. Practical knowledge has been gained from aptamer engineering strategies for designing, building, maintaining and improving aptamer-decorated sensory platforms and engineered aptamers have been successfully used for ultrasensitive biosensing. Overall, the results of many studies suggest that the optimal approach for efficient conversion of binding events into real-time detectable signals will vary and probably be specific to each aptamer. However, the process of selection and engineering requires attention to some important considerations that are defined by the anticipated samples for testing and the type of sensor being developed (Table 1).

3.1. Structural analysis

Structural characterization is important for optimizing aptamer performance after their selection from the pool. Optimization is often preceded by predicting secondary structures (mainly for identifying correct base pairing) and then tertiary structures (for approximate

atomic distributions in space). For secondary structure predictions, the thermodynamicsbased software, MFold, that predicts secondary structure, was for many years the most widely used program. Current folding algorithms can often predict tertiary NA structures with high accuracy.^{54, 55}

High throughput sequencing creates datasets that benefit from computational analysis of primary and secondary structures to identify oligos with the highest likelihood of having good aptamer qualities. The combination of experimental evolution of aptamers by SELEX with computational analysis of evolving populations based on structural motifs promises to shift the process of aptamer selection from a pure "fishing expedition" to a "guided search." Analysis of evolving aptamer populations during SELEX, from which up to 20 sequence families can be obtained, has been approached with algorithms to search for structural motifs that might be critical for aptamer-ligand interaction.^{26, 40, 56-59} Computational analysis has the potential to identify candidate aptamers of high affinity and high specificity by tracking sequence motifs that increase or decrease with selection protocols such as negative selection against analyte-related compounds. Future advances in aptamer selection are likely to involve more sophisticated directed evolution aided by computational identification of aptamer families with the affinities and specificities required for their applications as sensors.

Whereas computational analysis can predict the nucleotide bases important for ligand binding, biophysical analysis by nuclear magnetic resonance NMR or X-ray crystallography identifies the molecular details of the aptamer-ligand interaction. These biophysical analyses are viewed as the most definitive for resolving NA tertiary structures, but they are limited to buffer conditions compatible with data collection. The structures of NAs can be very sensitive to environmental conditions, such as salt types and concentrations, pH and temperature. Thus, it is important to evaluate aptamer structure under the conditions of their applications.

Aptamer-ligand interaction sites can be established by biochemical assays for structure such as nuclease protection, SHAPE,⁶⁰ and UV-cross-linking performed in the presence and absence of ligand.⁶¹ Knowledge of the binding pocket and the bases critical for its formation and for ligand contacts is essential for aptamer optimization. It provides a map that guides modifications to retain or increase binding affinity while altering aptamer properties such as size or structural stability.

3.2. Engineering aptamers as sensors

The ability of short NAs to readily transition between alternate structures opens many options for their molecular engineering as sensors for which analyte binding results in a structural shift in the aptamer that can be measured by a range of outputs, including radiative energy, electrical, or mechanical. For example, there are now more than 100 different aptamer-based detection assays for thrombin using the 15 nt G-rich DNA thrombin aptamer,³⁹ which is one of the earliest identified aptamers⁵⁹ for which the unimolecular G-quadruplex structure of the aptamer-ligand complex was solved by 2-dimensional ¹H NMR.⁶²

A challenge in optimizing an aptamer sensor is to maximize structural contacts in the oligo to encourage a dominant apo-aptamer (not bound to ligand) structure. Short oligos tend to be highly flexible and not to adopt single structures, whereas the ligand bound aptamer is believed to generally adopt a single more stable structure. Although a signal can be obtained from the transition from an apo-aptamer that adopts a variety of structures to a single stable aptamer-ligand complex, this signal is unlikely to be as strong or consistent as could be obtained from the transition between two predominant structures. A robust structural response to ligand binding is usually achieved by engineering the aptamer post-SELEX based on structural analysis. Some SELEX protocols have also been developed that include selection for aptamers that respond with a structural change upon ligand binding.^{63, 64}

Although most current engineering of aptamers is based on experimental probing in combination with computational structural predictions, the future lies in more extensive use of molecular modeling tools with which the impact of ionic surrounding can be assessed and bases identified with potential to be labeled in order to detect molecular motion. To accurately predict molecular motion, such as with the Molecular Dynamics software, requires a starting structure with molecular resolution. Three-dimensional structures are not available for most aptamers. Thus, the lack of ability to accurately predict three-dimensional structures of oligos still limits access to more sophisticated molecular modeling tools for most aptamer engineering.

4. Analytical applications of aptamers

The adaptability of aptamers to analytics, has resulted in a large variety of aptasensor technologies that have been developed for both identifying and determining the concentrations of proteins, small molecules, metabolites and ions. Clinical targets, including small metabolites and protein biomarkers of diseases, have been an important focus of sensor development using aptamers. For many sensor platforms aptamers must be immobilized for signal production. Conformational rearrangements occurring in aptamer structures as a result of analyte binding^{7, 65} create the signals produced in homogeneous assays and are transduced by most sensory platforms (Fig. 4). This section discussing analytical applications of aptamers is divided into two main categories: 1) aptamers used in homogenous assays and 2) aptamers integrated onto solid supports for signal production.

4.1. Assays developed with aptamers for homogeneous solution detection

Homogeneous assays with aptamers are simple and quick to perform. They involve either 1) fluorescent molecules or chromophores that nonspecifically interact with aptamers and are used as indicators of aptamer structural changes on ligand binding, 2) aptamers modified by fluorescent moieties for signal production, or 3) aptamers conjugated with DNAzymes, antibodies, quantum dots (QDs) or nanoparticles (NPs). Aptamer-analyte interactions in homogenous solution are mainly based on measurements of color, fluorescence or chemiluminescence.⁶⁶

Analyte-binding pockets in aptamers frequently contain stacked bases between which a flat molecule can insert. For small molecules with conjugated bonds, insertion into the pocket can stabilize their flattened form and thereby increase their color or fluorescence intensity.

Although, in some instances the analyte itself is a conjugated molecule, frequently conjugated molecules with much lower affinities for the aptamer than the analyte are used to monitor analyte binding by aptamers. The analyte is detected by its ability to successfully compete for its position in the binding pocket, with concomitant loss of signal due to release of the conjugated molecule. These dye-displacement methods require little structural characterization of the aptamer. For example, $[Ru(phen)_2(dppz)]^{+2}$ has no luminescence without oligo but luminesces upon intercalation. This feature was used to create homogeneous assays for IgE, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF-BB) and a-thrombin with detection limits determined as 100 pM, 1.0 nM and 10 pM, respectively.⁶⁷ Similarly, the intercalator TOTO (1-1'-(4,4,8,8-tetramethyl-4,8-diazaundecamethylene)-bis-4-(3methyl-2,3-dihydro(benzo-1,3-thiazole)-2-methylidene) quinolinium tetraiodide) was used to monitor interaction of the PDGF aptamer and PDGF-BB with a detection limit of 0.1 nM.⁶⁸ The cocaine aptamer was screened for its binding to 35 different dyes in the presence or absence of cocaine to develop a cocaine-specific colorimetric sensor.⁶⁹ Displacement of the dye, diethylthiotricarbocyanine iodide, by cocaine resulted in 40-50% attenuation of absorbance at 760 nm for cocaine concentrations from 100 to 600 μ M. The response was specific for cocaine and not observed with the addition of a cocaine metabolite, benzoyl ecgonine. In this case the dye was insoluble in aqueous buffer, but was maintained in solution as a complex with the aptamer. When competed out of the aptamer binding pocket by cocaine, the dye precipitated from solution, resulting in a visible change in color intensity.

A different twist in engineering a dye displacement assay takes advantage of the simple rules of complementarity that apply to all NAs. In one example, hybridization of the 15-nt thrombin aptamer with a complementary oligo created intercalation sites for ethidium bromide (EB) in the double-stranded complex. The addition of thrombin released both the EB and the complementary oligo, which resulted in decreased EB fluorescence.⁷⁰ The system was reported to have a 2.8 nM limit of detection (LOD) and a linear response range up to 23 nM. This design has the potential of a lower background because the oligos separate when the aptamer binds thrombin, which removes all intercalating sites that were present in the hybrid. However, the mechanism of sensing involves competition between analyte and complementary oligo for the aptamer and these affinities need to be balanced. Compared with the very low affinities of the intercalated dyes that directly compete for binding to the aptamer-analyte binding pocket, the affinity of a 15-mer complementary oligo for the aptamer is much higher. Calculations for the current case show that the affinity of the aptamer for its complement is higher than for the aptamer and thrombin. This unfavorable affinity balance probably explains why the maximum decrease in fluorescence due to thrombin was only 8% of the starting value. A similar strategy was used to develop an adenosine deaminase (ADA) sensor with the ATP aptamer and picagreen dye.⁷¹ Adenosine deaminase (ADA) converts adenosine into inosine. Release of aptamer-bound inosine enabled a complementary 19nt DNA strand to hybridize with the 31nt ATP aptamer. The resulting dsDNA provided intercalation sites for picagreen which then fluorescenced (λ^{ex} = 490 nm, $\lambda^{em} = 525$ nm). This sensor had a detection limit of 2 U/L, which is lower than the clinical requirement. ADA activity was detected with similar results in 10% serum and phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

Another means of engineering gain of function is to create aptamer molecules for which analyte binding switches the oligo to a structure that binds an additional molecule from which increased color or fluorescence is derived. For example, G-quadruplexes bind hemin⁷² and this binding results in peroxidase activity.⁷³ Thus, the conformational switch from a random coil to a G-quadruplex that occurs in the 8-OHdG aptamer when it binds the DNA adduct, 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), was used to create a gain of function peroxidase-like DNAzyme with a color change maximum at 416 nm.⁷⁴ The detection limit of this chimeric aptamer for 8-OHdG, a cancer marker found in human urine, was reported as 141 pM with a linear response range of 0.466 to 247 nM 8-OHdG.

Although dye-displacement assays are simpler than antibody methods, which require electrophoretic separation, substrate capture or immobilization, multiple washing and blocking, the signal intensities for most homogeneous aptamer competition assays decrease as a function of analyte concentration. Thus the assay resolution is limited for analyte concentrations close to or above the K_d of the aptamer, below which the readout approaches background values. Obtaining an increase in signal with analyte binding, requires a conformational switch of the aptamer that results in a gain of function. This condition occurs if the analyte is also a conjugated molecule that increases in fluorescence or color on interaction with aptamer. The malachite green aptamer (MGA) and spinach aptamers (SPNA) are examples for which the analyte fluorescence increases 2400-fold and 2000-fold, respectively, upon binding the aptamer.^{75, 76} Although there is little practical need to measure these analytes, the "switch-on" characteristic of these interactions can be used in chimeric formats for signal production. For example, the MGA was fused to adenosine triphosphate (ATP), flavin monophosphate, and theophylline aptamers and the SPNA was fused with aptamers that bind adenosine, ADP, S-adenosylmethionine, guanine, or guanosine 5'-triphosphate (GTP). These chimeric aptamers were engineered such that analyte binding stabilized the structures of the MGA or SPNA, which promoted binding of malachite green (MG) or 3,5-difluoro-4-hydroxybenzylidene imidazolinone (DFHBI),respectively.^{77, 78} In a related strand-displacement design, the adenosine aptamer was fused to the MGA and hybridized with a complementary oligo that overlapped the two aptamers.⁷⁹ Adenosine binding released the 6-base portion of the regulatory strand hybridized with the adenosine aptamer, leaving a less stable 9-base hybrid that could be displaced by MG, resulting in enhanced fluorescence signal from the MG-MGA complex. Thus, if the binding conditions (salts, pH etc.) and dissociation constants of two aptamers are compatible, chimeric aptamers are an excellent means of creating a homogeneous assay in which an increase in signal results from analyte binding.

An interesting approach that detects large aptamer structural changes is to use a watersoluble cationic poly-3-alkoxy-4-methylthiophene derivative, a cationic polymer, which interacts with the negative backbone of DNA. Poly-3-alkoxy-4-methylthiophenes have flexible conjugated backbones and can display different chromic properties depending on the orientation of conjugated bonds through the polymer. The thrombin aptamer shifts from little secondary structure in the absence of ligand to a quadruplex of two G quartets when it binds thrombin or K⁺. Interaction of this polymer with the DNA in these two configurations differently orients the conjugated bonds and to create different colors. The color shift due to the presence of thrombin gave a detection limit of 100 pM.⁸⁰

Fluorescence-signaling aptamers include fluorophores attached to the aptamer. If close enough to each other, the fluorophores interact in fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), which can result in an increase or a decrease in fluorescence, depending on if the transferred energy is released by the acceptor as light or heat, with the latter effect generally referred to as quenching. The occurrence of FRET requires the appropriate dyes with overlapping excitation and emission spectra for quenching or sensitized emission and the appropriate distance (10-100 Å) between the dyes. In the most common sensor designs based on FRET, the aptamer is labeled at opposite ends with a fluorophore and a quencher. Aptamers are usually structurally more stable when in complex with analyte. The switch with analyte binding to the more structurally stable aptamer-analyte complex changes the average distance between the opposite ends of the oligos, which in turn changes the fluorescence intensity.⁶⁴ For example, the thrombin and cocaine aptamers, each labeled at opposite ends with fluorophore, 6-FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein), and quencher, DABCYL (4-(4-dimethylaminophenylazo) benzoic acid), demonstrated decreased fluorescence with binding of their ligand as analyte.^{81, 82}

For an increasing signal as a function of analyte binding, the angiogenin aptasensor was labeled with 5' 6-FAM and 3' 6-carboxy-tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) as sensitized FRET donor and acceptor respectively.⁸³ The quantitative detection limit was 200 pM and the linear range was between 500 pM to 40 nM angiogenin. An aptamer design that provides an increase in fluorescence (due to the release of quenching) is the "molecular aptamer beacon" (MAB). The MAB is related to molecular beacons, for which a structural change to separate the 3' and 5' ends of a stem-loop structure is accomplished by hybridization of the centrally-located loop with a complementary NA strand.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁷ Replacement of this central loop with a thrombin aptamer allowed thrombin binding to cause rearrangements in the aptamer that separated the fluorophore from quencher, thereby increasing the fluorescence output due to complex formation.⁸⁸

Aptasensors can provide an increase in fluorescence upon analyte binding in the "structureswitching" or "aptamer switch" designs that can include one or two additional complementary oligos.⁸⁹ In these systems, either the aptamer and complementary oligo or the two complementary oligos are labeled with a fluorophore or quenching dye that are closely apposed in the assembled molecule. Analyte binding results in dissociation of the complex and an increase in fluorescence intensity. This design has been demonstrated for the ATP and thrombin aptamers with a positive (sensitized emission) or negative (quenched) FRET signal.^{64, 90, 91} However, because the analyte must compete with a complementary oligo for aptamer binding, the position and length of the complementary DNA must be carefully adjusted for optimal response. Also, the apparent K_d and thus the sensitivity of the assay are lower than for the isolated aptamer. An improvement on this design is to connect the aptamer and complementary oligo with a polyethylene glycol linker.⁹² Colocalization of the two oligos in space ensures a rapid response and allows the use of shorter complementary oligos. The complementary oligo can also be an extension of the aptamer sensor, which similarly ensures a rapid and reversible response.^{93, 94} Regulation by a linked short complementary sequence results in a more sensitive assay because the apparent K_d of the aptamer is less impacted than with longer complementary oligos.

Increased fluorescent intensity with analyte binding can also be achieve with a fluorescence protection assay in which an antibody, specific for the fluorophore by which the aptamer is labeled, is incubated with the aptamer in the presence of samples with unknown analyte concentrations or a series of known standard concentrations. An aptamer probe with this design displayed a dynamic range from 0.1 to 50 nM with an LOD of 0.1 nM IgE.⁹⁵

Engineering aptamers as sensors is greatly aided by available structural information. In one case, an identified conformational change induced by analyte binding informed the design of two alternative anti-adenosine aptamers with either a fluorophore insertion or a modified uridyl base⁶³; one with acridine in position 13 and the other with a fluorescein inserted between residues 7 and 8. Both sensors exhibited increased fluorescence intensity in the presence of 1 mM ATP than GTP, CTP or UTP. Also, the DNA ATP aptamer, with a pyrene excimer (excited dimer) incorporated into the phosphodiester backbone near the binding pocket, increased in fluorescence in the presence of ATP.⁹⁶ Alternative to nucleobase or backbone modifications, a prenylmethyl fluorophore attached to the 2[′] position of deoxyribose was used to detect ATP binding by the DNA aptamer.⁹⁷

Background noise can limit the usefulness of FRET sensors, particularly when the mechanism involves quenching. Using pyrene as the source of fluorescence is the basis of an aptasensor design in which the wavelength shifts, rather than changing in intensity, with analyte binding. The wavelength shift occurs when pyrene transitions from a monomer to an excimer as demonstrated with the PDGF-BB and thrombin aptamers labeled with pyrene at both ends.^{98, 99} For both aptamers, a shift from a peak reading of 400 nm to 485 nm due to stabilization of the aptamer stems was proportional to the analyte concentration. For PDGF-BB, the LOD was in the nM range with a linear response up to 40 nM and for the thrombin aptamer, the dynamic range of response to K⁺ ions was 600 μ M to 20 mM. Time-resolved measurements of the excimer, which has a much longer lifetime than most biological species (40 ns vs. 5 ns), can eliminate most background noise. This enables a homogeneous assay in complex mixtures such as those containing biological materials with significant endogenous fluorescence.

When the analyte is a large molecule or molecular complex, the apparent size of the aptamer increases substantially upon complex formation and decreases in rotational motion, which can be detected by a change in fluorescence anisotropy of a fluorophore-labeled aptamer. By this means, PDGF was detected by its interaction with a fluorescein-labeled 35-mer aptamer with an LOD of 220 pM.¹⁰⁰

Split aptamers can also be applied as sensors for proteins as demonstrated for the RNA aptamer specific for the trans-activating of transcription (Tat) factor of HIV-1, which binds the protein by a stem region containing a bulge in a stem-loop structure. The aptamer was split in the intervening loop to form two fragments, one of which forms a hairpin structure. The hairpin-forming fragment was labeled with fluorophore (fluorescein) and quencher (DABCYL) at the 5' and 3'-ends, respectively.¹⁰¹ Signal from this aptamer fragment is suppressed until the analyte, Tat, promotes the assembly of the two aptamer halves in a ternary complex in which the 3' and 5' ends of the hairpin-forming fragment are separated. Being a trimolecular reaction, this design has the disadvantage of being sensitive to the

concentration of each of the three components of the ternary complex and to their relative concentrations. Also, some sensitivity is lost due to the inevitable decrease in aptamer affinity for the analyte. In another example of a split aptamer assay, two fragments of the cocaine aptamer were separately modified with azide and cyclooctyne functional groups that reacted to ligate the fragments upon assembly of the complex with cocaine, thereby regaining structural stability of the intact aptamer. One fragment was also covalently linked to a surface to trap the complex on the surface. The second fragment was labeled with biotin that was used to capture streptavidin-horse radish peroxidase, which enabled amplification of the signal as is achieved in ELISA assays.¹⁰²

Amplification by enzymes in an ELISA format was used for the cholic acid detection in which the DNA aptamer was linked to alkaline phosphatase through an avidin-biotin interaction and present in complex with agarose-linked cholic acid.¹⁰³ The amount of aptamer displaced from the agarose by analyte was detected with a fluorogenic substrate for the enzyme. Signal amplification gave a linear response with 0.1 to 5 mM and an LOD of 10 μ M cholic acid. However, unlike the other sensors discussed in this section, the ELISA protocol is not a homogeneous assay format and involves several washes to complete the assay.

In the special cases of protein analytes that are dimers or for which there are two aptamers targeted to different regions of the same protein, homogeneous assays can be developed based on the proximity of the aptamers on the protein or protein complex. Originally demonstrated for the homodimer, PDGF-BB, the assay was developed as a proximity-dependent DNA ligation assay, which required PCR for quantification.¹⁰⁴ A homogenous assay was then developed with aptamer proximity signaled by the formation of G-quadruplexes by the adjacent aptamer extensions. The presence of the adjacent aptamers is signaled by the increased fluorescence yield of the dye, N-methylmesoporphyrin IX, which binds the G-quadruplexes.¹⁰⁵

Quantum dots offer several advantages over fluorescent dyes to enhance the detection of biological samples in real time. These include longer fluorescent lifetime, better photostability, sharper emission bands and larger effective Stokes shifts. Streptavidin-coated QD conjugated with 5' biotinylated thrombin aptamers hybridized to complementary oligos labeled at the 3' end with a quencher were used to detect thrombin binding, which was associated with release of the complimentary oligos and increased the fluorescent signal from the QDs.¹⁰⁶ QD-aptamer conjugates have also been used to detect spores from *Bacillus thuringiensis*,¹⁰⁷ and cancer cells that overexpress PSMA.¹⁰⁸

Gold nanoparticles (GNPs), provide an alternative to QDs that are less toxic, more biocompatible and easier to conjugate with aptamers. Their aggregation and disaggregation result in color changes from red ($\lambda_{max} = 650$ nm)to purple ($\lambda_{max} = 520$ nm). Aggregation of GNPs can be promoted by crosslinking the beads or by increasing the salt concentration. PDGF-BB, a homodimer, which binds two aptamers, caused aptamer-conjugated GNPs to aggregate, resulting in a color-change from purple to red.¹⁰⁹ The detection limit was 3.2 nM PDGF and, when adapted to a competitive binding assay, the method also allowed detection of 200 nM PDGF receptors. For proteins like PDGF-BB and thrombin that are either

homodimers or for which there are two aptamers, a sandwich assay can be developed using aptamer-conjugated nanoparticles. The linked nanoparticles can also be enlarged by gold or silver deposition so as to increase the sensitivity of the assay.^{110, 111}

The effect of salt concentration on GNP aggregation was the basis of an assay for adenosine in which adenosine aptamers were hybridized with short complementary inhibitory oligos attached to GNPs.¹¹² At the appropriate salt concentration (300 mM NaCl and 30 mM MgCl₂) the aptamer-hybridized GNPs do not aggregate. The addition of adenosine causes aptamer dissociation and GNP aggregation. This format is generic and has been adapted to quantify several analytes.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵

4.2. Aptamers integrated onto solid supports

Integration of aptamers into a sensory platform provides an opportunity for real-time detection of analytes. These types of aptasensors are developed based on one of several physicochemical properties like electrochemical, mechanical, acoustic and optical.

4.2.1. Electrochemical aptasensors—Electrochemical sensing involves a redox-tagged aptamer bound to an electrode. Structural changes in the aptamer due to analyte binding increase the probability for the aptamer-linked redox tag to strike the electrode, thereby increasing conductivity. Voltametric (amperometric), potentiometric, conductometric or impedimetric electrochemical aptamer (E-AB) sensors have been developed based on this design for which signal changes are generally reported as percent difference in signal strength from the unbound aptamer.¹¹⁶ E-AB sensors have been reported for proteins, including PDGF,¹¹⁷ thrombin,¹¹⁸⁻¹³¹ and immunoglobulin E (IgE),^{119, 121} small molecules including cocaine,¹³² theophylline^{133, 134} adenosine,^{135, 136} aminoglycosides,^{137, 138} and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) in both living cells¹³⁹ and in solution,^{121, 140} and inorganic ions such as potassium (K⁺).¹⁴¹ The leadzyme, one of a small group of aptazymes (aptamers that perform a catalytic event on binding the analyte), has also been integrated into a methylene-blued E-AB sensor to achieve parts-per-billion (nM) sensitivity and high selectivity for Pb²⁺ ions.¹⁴²

Compared to antibodies, aptamers can be adapted to a larger variety of detection formats when linked to a solid support. The thrombin aptamer provides a good example of this adaptability. Femtomolar (fM) LODs were reported for thrombin detection using strand-displacement coupled with enhanced electrochemical impedance signal detection,¹¹⁹ electrogenerated chemiluminescence,¹²⁰ a potentiometric sandwich assay based on aptamers labeled with CdS QDs,¹²² and a bifunctional aptamer with CdSe QDs lowered the LOD to attomolar detecting changes in impedance signal.¹⁴³ Similarly, an LOD of 1 pM was achieved with the ATP aptamer incorporated into a programmable capillary-based aptasensor using metal sulfide-functionalized DNA concatamer for signal amplification¹⁴⁴. A rapidly developing area of medical analysis, to detect circulating cancer cells, has been addressed by many groups with E-AB aptasensors.¹⁴⁵⁻¹⁵² For example, lung cancer cells were detected by voltammetry with the bioelectrodes functionalized with lung cell-specific aptamers attached to indium-tin-oxide coated glass.¹⁵³ The sensor displayed a linear

Page 15

concentration range of 10^3 to 10^7 cells/ml with a detection limit of 10^3 cells/ml and a response time of 60 sec.

In the absence of extensive structural knowledge, buffer conditions can often be found in which the apo-aptamer is less stable than the aptamer-analyte complex, such as for the thrombin aptamer for which structural stability of the apo-aptamer decreases with ionic strength. The enhanced analyte-induced folding at low ionic strength doubled the signal from thrombin binding (60% change) compared with that in higher ionic strength buffer when tested with a methylene blue E-AB.¹⁵⁴ Another means of destabilizing the unbound aptamer to increase the change in signal upon analyte binding is to increase the temperature and engineer the NA sequence, such as to shorten a stem. An example is the ATP aptamer that, modified with methylene blue and attached to a gold electrode, produced a maximum signal gain (relative change in signal upon target binding) of 130 % with a dynamic range of 0.1 to 3 mM.¹⁵⁵ Removal of two bases from each end of the aptamer resulted in a destabilized variant that produced up to 190 % signal gain. Similar modifications resulted in signal gains increasing to 150 % and 30 % from the thrombin and IgE aptamers, respectively.¹²¹

4.2.2. Microcantilever aptasensors—Aptamers have been incorporated into microcantilever technology, which offers the possibility of label-free target detection, low noise, high scalability, and small testing volumes. Detection of proteins and small molecule analytes, based on the deflection of an aptamer-decorated cantilever as a result of target binding, can be achieved with many sensing units including interferometry,^{34, 156, 157} and piezoresistivity¹⁵⁸ In an early example, gold-coated sensor cantilevers were functionalized with a thiolated DNA aptamer recognizing DNA polymerase from *Thermus aquaticus*.¹⁵⁹ The difference in bending between the reference and the sensing cantilever was measured using interferometry. An affinity of 15 pM (K_d) was calculated in this system. Specificity was tested with the unrelated protein, thrombin, for which 75 nM gave no significant cantilever bending. Successful detection was also achieved with the analyte in a bacterial lysate. Similarly, an RNA aptamer against hepatitis C virus helicase was integrated in nanomechanical microcantilevers operating in vibration modes (oscillation) for successful label-free selective detection of the helicase with a detection limit of 100 pg/ml.¹⁶⁰

Unlike for solution assays, the arrangement of the sensor on a surface, such as with microcantilevers and electrochemical detectors, creates a highly concentrated sensor population. This arrangement can have the advantage of effectively creating a multivalent sensor, which can increase the apparent affinity for an analyte by decreasing the effective off-rate.¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³ Alignment of aptamers in a small space is also a means of molecular crowding, which increases the folding efficiencies of single-stranded NAs.^{164, 165} Molecular crowding may also result in higher apparent affinity for the analyte. Consistent with these observations, we have found that the apparent affinities of two aptamers for their respective analytes (lipocalin-2 and cocaine) are about 10-fold higher for the microcantilever-associated aptamer compared with the aptamer in solution.^{34, 156}

4.2.3. Acoustic aptasensors—Acoustic aptasensors for which aptamers are linked to gold-coated quartz crystals depend on the frequency or phase shift between the input and

output light. The IgE aptamer was the first DNA aptamer to be used in a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) format and provided a detection limit of 3.3 mg/cm^2 of IgE.³³ Other QCM sensors were also characterized with low detection limits such as the 1 nM limit for thrombin¹⁶⁶ and 0.25 ppm of Tat in 100 µl.¹⁶⁷ A surface acoustic wave (SAW) biosensor was configured with an array of five sensor elements to measure human α -thrombin DNA aptamer or HIV-1 Rev RNA aptamer.¹⁶⁸ These low-wave acoustic sensors measured the propagation of acoustic waves and allowed label-free, real-time, and quantification of protein and NA binding events with a detection limit of 75 pg/cm² for both α -thrombin and HIV-1 Rev peptide as analytes. When compared in the QCM format with antibodies specific to IgE, the aptamers demonstrated a better linear response, stability and reusability.³³ In a similar comparison between aptamers and antibodies that recognized the HIV-1 Tat protein attached to a piezoelectric (electricity resulting from the pressure) aptasensor the aptamers were again found to be more specific, reproducible, and reusable than antibodies.¹⁶⁷

Using a DNA aptamer that binds to exosite II on thrombin, which is sufficiently distant from exosite I so as not to interfere with binding of the anticoagulant, anti-thrombin III (AT), a QCM Love-wave biosensor array of aptamers was successfully applied to identify protein binding partners.¹⁶⁹ The proteins were readily removed and the aptasensor regenerated by exposure to 0.1 N NaOH then reused several times with comparable results. The first example of QCM-based piezoelectric detection of small molecules used the cocaine aptamer immobilized on an organic adlayer of S-(11-tri-chlorosilyl-undecanyl)-benzenethiosulfonate on a quartz surface.¹⁷⁰ Cocaine binding to the aptamer was measured with an electromagnetic piezoelectric acoustic sensor, which detected changes in aptamer tertiary structure and mass loading. The system had a detection limit of 0.9 μ M cocaine and the aptamer displayed an apparent K_d of 45 ± 12 μ M in PBS, which is typical for this aptamer.^{34, 171} The platform could be reused at least three times after the previously bound cocaine was removed with 7M urea.

Analogous to QCM and SAW aptasensors, surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensors, which rely on a change in refractive index due to target binding, have been engineered to include aptamer sensors. QCM and SPR aptasensors for HIV-1 Tat protein were found to have similar high specificities with the SPR sensor having a wider linear range.¹⁷² The SPR aptasensor for retinol binding protein-4 was more sensitive than an ELISA.¹⁷³

Antibody sandwiches have been used to increase the sensitivity of SPR sensors. For example, the localized SPR signal for thrombin detection by its aptamer was enhanced by 150% by including anti-thrombin aptamers, which resulted in an order of magnitude increase in sensitivity.¹⁷⁴ Another approach to increasing sensor sensitivity is to amplify using a linked enzyme. For example, horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was conjugated with antibodies to vascular endothelial growth factor and thrombin to increase the sensitivity of these aptasensors to 500 fM and 1 pM, respectively.¹⁷⁵ The insoluble reaction product produced from tetramethylbenzidine by HRP amplified the SPR signal. Ribozymes, the catalytic NAs, have also been linked with aptamers to create sensors. However, unlike protein enzymes, many ribozymes undergo single-turnover kinetics, such as self-cleavage or self-ligation, and thus do not provide an opportunity for amplification. However, the

integration of ribozyme with aptamer can be achieved such that binding analyte results in either aptamer release or attachment to a sensor, depending on the ribozyme.¹⁷⁶

Important current developments for aptasensors include miniaturization and the ability to simultaneously measure multiple aptamer signals. For multiplexing, aptamer arrays can be analyzed with SPR imaging,¹⁷⁷ which can be improved by the use of polydimethylsiloxane microchips for displaying the aptamers.¹⁷⁸ Localized SPR which measures a red-shift of the extinction and scattering spectrum due to an increase in the local refractive index of plasmonic nanoparticles upon analyte binding, can also be applied to multiplexed detection, miniaturized devices and to include aptamers sensors.¹⁷⁹

4.2.4. Optical aptasensors—Most aptasensors developed for homogenous detection have been based on optical sensing with fluorescence changes being the most common signal measured upon ligand binding. In the first example of an optical aptasensor, thrombin binding by a fluorophore-labeled thrombin aptamer immobilized on a glass surface, was detected by evanescent wave-induced fluorescence anisotropy.¹⁸⁰ Because evanescent waves decay exponentially with distance from the interface of refractive index, the induced fluorescence is sensitive to macromolecular conformational changes with the appropriate placement of fluorophore.¹⁸¹ The LOD was 5nM thrombin with a dynamic range up to 200 nm and less than 4% error. Nanoparticles can also be used to enhance the optical signal by concentrating the aptamers and increasing their apparent affinities. This was demonstrated in a study of anti-cancer-cell aptamers for which 80 fluorophore-labeled aptamers per nanorod demonstrated a 26-fold increase in affinity with 300-fold enhanced fluorescence,¹⁸²

The aptamer-analyte interaction was used to control the sol to gel transitions of a hydrogel by using a strand displacement mechanism to destroy the DNA-based crosslinking of the gel and release trapped gold nanoparticles for a colorimetric output.¹⁸³ In another example CdS-functionalized DNA is released upon analyte binding that is detected by voltammetrics.¹⁴⁴ As a surface to hold aptamers, hydrogels provide the added advantage of protection against enzymatic degradation.¹⁸⁴ Aptamer-derivatized hydrogels have also been used as an attachment surface in coordination with a quartz crystal microbalance to construct an aptasensor for avian influenza virus.¹⁸⁵

Graphene oxides (GO) assemblies are single-atom-thick two-dimensional layered carbon nanomaterial, produced by the oxidation of graphene to add functional groups, such as epoxides, alcohols, and carboxylic acids that are inexpensive to produce and provide functional aptasensor platforms.¹⁸⁶ Aptamers interact with GO noncovalently by hydrogen bonding and π - π stacking, which quenches their fluorescent tags. By contrast, dsDNA and/or aptamer-target complexes interact poorly with GO. Thus, analyte binding to GO-adsorbed aptamers releases the aptamers from GO surface by a structural switch mechanism whereupon their fluorescence increases.¹⁸⁷⁻¹⁸⁹

Taking advantage of the fact that solid supports such as GO can be used as effective fluorescence polarization (FP) enhancers due to their large mass, aptasensors for adenosine and thrombin were developed that remained associated in the presence of analyte but rearranged to expose a recognition site for the nicking enzyme, Nb. BbvCI, an endonuclease

that cleaves only one strand of a dsDNA. Thus, analyte binding to the aptamer resulted in the release of the FAM label attached to the 5' end of the Nb. BbvCI recognition sequence. Released FAM was measured by FP with high sensitivity.¹⁸⁹.

Multiplexing to read many analytes simultaneously is readily achieved with optical analysis. One of the earliest examples used fluorescently labeled aptamers immobilized on glass surface to detect thrombin and the cancer-biomarker proteins inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase, vascular endothelial growth factor and basic fibroblast growth factor by fluorescence polarization even with a background of human serum and *E. coli* cell lysates.¹⁹⁰ A further sophistication was to use aptamer-linked beads in a microarray with an "electronic tongue" that consists of a fluid delivery system and a fluorescence microscope with a digital camera for quantification.¹⁹¹ GO-based aptasensors can also be readily multiplexed.¹⁹²

5. In vivo applications of aptamers for analysis

5.1. Small-molecule sensing aptamers in cells

Riboswitches are regulatory RNA units on mRNAs that bind small metabolites and regulate gene expression and translation.^{193, 194} Bacteria employ riboswitches (which have aptamerlike domains) to sense small molecules in their cytoplasm.^{193, 195, 196} This natural application of RNA aptamers has been emulated in synthetic riboswitches^{48, 197} and has the potential for integration in future synthetic biology applications for sensing analytes. To date, externally applied aptamers have been used to monitor ATP with a molecular beacon type sensor in which fluorescence quenching is relieved by analyte binding¹⁹⁸ or by using gold nanoparticles decorated with aptamer sequences hybridized to a short complementary Cy5-labeled reporter strand that is released on analyte binding with an accompanying increase in fluorescence.¹³⁹ In the same vein, G- quadruplex structures, known to shift structure with K⁺-binding, were labeled at both ends with pyrene to produce molecular beacon type probes specific for K^+ over Na^+ and with a fluorescent output that reports on subcellular locations of K⁺.¹⁹⁹ These NA probes must be placed in cells by way of forced endocytosis or other means that temporarily damages the cell membrane and generally involves delivery of some or all of the probe to the lysosomes where they are subject to degradation. Degradation of a probe in which a fluorescence compound is quenched by the structurally confined association of a quencher and fluorophore results in a false positive signal. This problem can be circumvented by using NA probes modified to resist nucleases. However, the cellular damage and resulting cellular stress associated with healing membranes and regenerating lost cellular contents that occurs due to probe entry cannot be readily addressed when NA probes are applied extracellularly. The future development of aptamer probes that are expressed intracellularly by transcription will allow real-time tracking of metabolites and other cellular components in cells that are not under stress. So far, the expression of intracellular aptamer-based probes has only been applied for tracking gene expression.

5.2. Real time tracking of gene expression

Quantifying intracellular events by real time detection of biomolecules is important for understanding the mechanisms by which cells function. To date, green fluorescent protein (GFP) from the jellyfish, *Aequorea victoria*, and derivative fluorescent proteins have been used to understanding the roles of protein trafficking in cell biology and as reporters of gene expression.²⁰⁰ Although trafficking can be observed in real time, fluorescent reporters only provide indirect measurements of gene expression, due to the significant time lag between transcription and protein maturation. By comparison, aptamers fold cotranscriptionally and thus have the capability of providing a real-time signal for transcription.^{201, 202}

Like aptamers, naturally occurring RNA elements bind their targets with high specificities and affinities. Although originally coined to describe in vitro-selected NAs, the term "aptamer" is frequently also used in reference to these RNAs, which have evolved to function intracellularly. RNA elements that bind bacteriophage coat proteins have been put to good purpose in real-time reporting of gene expression and in tracking RNA trafficking. These include the most frequently used MS2 RNA, which is a short sequence that folds as a hairpin with a tetraloop and a seven base pair stem containing an internal bulged adenine residue.²⁰³ Other RNA elements that have been employed for monitoring gene expression in real time include the 25 nt RNA hairpin loop that binds the dimeric PP7 bacteriophage coat protein,²⁰⁴ the λ phage transcriptional anti-termination signal, 15nt box B RNA, which forms a stem-loop that binds a 22 aa arginine-rich fragment of the λN protein, ^{205, 206} a 21 nt RNA element that binds a fragment of the splicing protein U1Ap,²⁰⁷ a 29 nt hairpin *E. coli* transcriptional anti-terminator that binds the BglG protein, 208, 209 and the viral HTLV-Rex, HIV-Rev RRE, and HIV TAT-TAR protein binding elements.^{210, 211} Many copies of the RNA binding elements are encoded in RNAs that are expressed on activation of a chosen promoter in a cell that also constitutively expresses the protein target of the RNA element fused with a fluorescent protein, which is usually GFP. Promoter activation results in RNA transcription and the RNA elements bind the target-GFP fusion proteins and concentrate them over the RNA. Although this method has been used successfully to identify and track newly synthesized RNAs in living cells, it requires sophisticated image analysis. This is because the GFP fusion proteins concentrated over the reporter RNAs must be identified in the presence of a high background contributed by the majority of cellular GFP fusion proteins that are not bound by the RNAs and increase the background noise.

To solve the problem of low signal/noise, bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) systems have been developed in which two fragments of a split fluorescent protein, each fused with one of two different RNA binding proteins, are co-expressed in cells with regulated RNA reporters that contain both RNA elements to bring the fragments together as a functional fluorescent protein.²¹⁰⁻²¹⁵ Although BiFC systems have lower backgrounds and therefore increased signal/noise, cell lines must be first created that express the fluorescent proteins. This requirement and the additional energy expended by the cells to synthesize the fluorescent fusion proteins and the requirement for oxygen for these proteins to mature also limits their application to certain cell types and cell culture environments.

An alternative means of detecting intracellular RNA in living cells involves the use of aptamers that bind small molecules such as MGA or SPNA for which target molecule

increases its fluorescence.^{76, 216} Although SPNA does not provide sufficiently high fluorescence intensity to detect tagged mRNAs,²¹⁷ it provides an excellent image contrast for abundant RNAs such as ribosomal RNA⁷⁶ and the CGG trinucleotide-repeats in mammalian cells.²¹⁶ Other similar dye-based systems have been developed with MG and its aptamer,²¹⁸ and sulforhodamine B (SRB) and SRB-2 aptamer.²¹⁹ As for SPNA, binding of MG to the MGA results in increased fluorescence yield.⁷⁵ However, MGA is a poor aptamer choice for cell biology studies because its ligand, MG, is toxic at the concentrations required for measuring aptamer binding.²²⁰ Another means of obtaining a positive signal is with a fluorophore that is chemically linked to a quencher, which is bound by the aptamer to relieve quenching.^{75, 221} But, for SPNA, the fluorescence increase with these aptamers is not sufficient to detect mRNAs, which are present in cells at low concentrations and some of these ligands are toxic or have limited solubility in aqueous solution.

Detection of mRNAs can be achieved by sensitized FRET with IMAGEtags (Intracellular MultiAptamer GEnetic tags).²¹⁷ The IMAGEtags, a string of aptamers, are the RNA reporters of transcription. To detect these reporter RNAs, two aptamer ligand derivatives are provided to the cells, each derivative containing a linked fluorophore (Cy3 or Cy5), which together interact in FRET once bound by the IMAGEtags. The high fluorescence yield of the Cy3 and Cy5 fluorophores combined with the higher signal/noise provided by the sensitized FRET was sufficient to detect tagged mRNAs in real-time in living yeast cells.

6. Conclusions

Since the first demonstrations in the 1990's that aptamers can be selected *in vitro*, both RNA and DNA aptamers have been the subjects of basic research studies of NA structural and functional capabilities, and have been applied in analytical devices for medical diagnostics, and for testing for drugs and other analytes in environmental samples and foods.²²² Although antibodies are still much more frequently used than aptamers as sensors for specific analyte identification and quantification, aptamers have the potential of superseding many antibodies as sensors due to their high sensitivity and selectivity for analytes, low cost, stability to temperature and dehydration, ease of chemical modification, reusability after thermal denaturation and compatibility with a large range of detection methods. Also, aptamers, unlike antibodies, can be synthetically produced without batch to batch variability.

With so many features recommending them as suitable sensors for diagnostics, it is of note that aptamer-based sensors are breaking into the commercial realm very slowly, an example being the SOMAmer-based platform for detecting a panel of serum proteins reported 20 years after the development of SELEX.⁴² One likely reason for the lag in their commercialization is that the very characteristics of malleability in structure that enable aptamers to be incorporated into a large number of sensor platforms, which detect analytedriven structural changes, also result in aptamers being sensitive to changes in environmental conditions such as the salt concentrations, pH and protein content of the sample. Thus, aptamers must be engineered to undergo structural shifts on analyte binding but for the aptamer-analyte complex to be structurally stable in the presence of a variety of environments to which they might be exposed from the query sample. The extent to which this structural balance of sensitivity to analyte and stability to environment can be achieved

by the application of general principles rather than requiring specific engineering of each aptamer will influence the rate at which aptamer sensors are commercialized. Taking an alternative approach to increasing the aptamer-complex stability, SomaLogic developed SOMAmers with modified bases that enable high affinities for proteins and applied a generic method for detection that does not quantify changes in aptamer structure. The SomaLogic quantification protocol is compatible with high throughput analysis but not with a homogeneous assay. The SOMAmer is a successful universal approach to achieving higher aptamer affinity for proteins but cannot be readily applied to small molecules.

Compared with antibodies, aptamers are particularly well suited to recognizing small molecules with high affinity and specificity.^{8, 223} Thus, the development of aptamer sensors of small molecules, such as environmental pollutants and drugs, is likely to be a productive means of expanding the range of readily quantifiable analytes. Another niche for aptamers that is difficult for antibodies to fill is as sensors of intracellular events in living cells. The ability of RNA aptamers to fold *in vivo* to functional forms has resulted in their successful applications to real-time imaging.^{76, 217}

Another niche for aptamer sensors is in devices that need to be stored for periods at variable temperatures and be reusable. Examples are sensors for monitoring environmental or food contaminants or pathogens such as viruses or bacteria. Being very stable in the dehydrated form and readily rehydrated to a functional form, aptamers are compatible with miniature and portable sensors that might be stored for long periods.

Overall, aptamer technology provides many challenges and opportunities for the development of new analytical devices that could substantially expand our abilities to detect and quantify important analytes that can currently not be readily assessed.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

MI was supported by grants 1R43DK098031 and 2R44DK098031 from the National Institutes of Health.

References

- 1. Ellington AD, Szostak JW. Nature. 1990; 346:818–822. [PubMed: 1697402]
- 2. Robertson DL, Joyce GF. Nature. 1990; 344:467-468. [PubMed: 1690861]
- 3. Tuerk C, Gold L. Science. 1990; 249:505-510. [PubMed: 2200121]
- 4. Wilson DS, Szostak JW. Annu Rev Biochem. 1999; 68:611-647. [PubMed: 10872462]
- 5. Shamah SM, Healy JM, Cload ST. Acc Chem Res. 2008; 41:130-138. [PubMed: 18193823]
- 6. Banerjee J, Nilsen-Hamilton M. J Mol Med (Berl). 2013; 91:1333-1342. [PubMed: 24045702]
- 7. Da Costa JB, Dieckmann T. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2013; 13:467–477. [PubMed: 23095001]
- 8. Jenison RD, Gill SC, Pardi A, Polisky B. Science. 1994; 263:1425-1429. [PubMed: 7510417]
- 9. Yang Y, Kochoyan M, Burgstaller P, Westhof E, Famulok M. Science. 1996; 272:1343–1347. [PubMed: 8650546]
- Labib M, Berezovski MV. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol. 2014; 140:155–181. [PubMed: 23917779]

- 11. Gopinath SC, Awazu K, Fujimaki M. Sensors (Basel). 2012; 12:2136–2151. [PubMed: 22438756]
- 12. Hayat A, Marty JL. Front Chem. 2014; 2:41. [PubMed: 25019067]
- Cho EJ, Lee JW, Ellington AD. Annu Rev Anal Chem (Palo Alto Calif). 2009; 2:241–264. [PubMed: 20636061]
- 14. Zhou WZ, Huang PJJ, Ding JS, Liu J. Analyst. 2014; 139:2627-2640. [PubMed: 24733714]
- 15. Liu J, Cao Z, Lu Y. Chemical Reviews. 2009; 109:1948–1998. [PubMed: 19301873]
- Mastronardi E, Foster A, Zhang X, DeRosa MC. Sensors (Basel). 2014; 14:3156–3171. [PubMed: 24553083]
- Blank M, Weinschenk T, Priemer M, Schluesener H. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:16464–16468. [PubMed: 11279054]
- Khati M, Schuman M, Ibrahim J, Sattentau Q, Gordon S, James W. J Virol. 2003; 77:12692– 12698. [PubMed: 14610191]
- 19. Misono TS, Kumar PK. Anal Biochem. 2005; 342:312–317. [PubMed: 15913532]
- 20. Mendonsa SD, Bowser MT. Anal Chem. 2004; 76:5387-5392. [PubMed: 15362896]
- 21. Ahmad KM, Oh SS, Kim S, McClellen FM, Xiao Y, Soh HT. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e27051. [PubMed: 22110600]
- 22. Yuce M, Ullah N, Budak H. Analyst. 2015; 140:5379-5399. [PubMed: 26114391]
- 23. Seo YJ, Nilsen-Hamilton M, Levine HA. Bull Math Biol. 2014
- 24. Levine HA, Nilsen-Hamilton M. Comput Biol Chem. 2007; 31:11-35. [PubMed: 17218151]
- 25. Schutze T, Wilhelm B, Greiner N, Braun H, Peter F, Morl M, Erdmann VA, Lehrach H, Konthur Z, Menger M, Arndt PF, Glokler J. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e29604. [PubMed: 22242135]
- 26. Hoinka J, Zotenko E, Friedman A, Sauna ZE, Przytycka TM. Bioinformatics. 2012; 28:i215–223. [PubMed: 22689764]
- Geiger A, Burgstaller P, von der Eltz H, Roeder A, Famulok M. Nucleic Acids Res. 1996; 24:1029–1036. [PubMed: 8604334]
- 28. Ellington AD, Szostak JW. Nature. 1992; 355:850-852. [PubMed: 1538766]
- 29. Draper DE. RNA. 2004; 10:335-343. [PubMed: 14970378]
- 30. Auffinger P, Grover N, Westhof E. Met Ions Life Sci. 2011; 9:1-35. [PubMed: 22010267]
- 31. Ilgu M, Fulton DB, Yennamalli RM, Lamm MH, Sen TZ, Nilsen-Hamilton M. RNA. 2014
- 32. Ilgu M, Wang T, Lamm MH, Nilsen-Hamilton M. Methods. 2013
- 33. Liss M, Petersen B, Wolf H, Prohaska E. Anal Chem. 2002; 74:4488–4495. [PubMed: 12236360]
- Kang K, Sachan A, Nilsen-Hamilton M, Shrotriya P. Langmuir. 2011; 27:14696–14702. [PubMed: 21875108]
- 35. Keefe AD, Cload ST. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2008; 12:448-456. [PubMed: 18644461]
- 36. Cox JC, Ellington AD. Bioorg Med Chem. 2001; 9:2525–2531. [PubMed: 11557339]
- Cox JC, Hayhurst A, Hesselberth J, Bayer TS, Georgiou G, Ellington AD. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002; 30:e108. [PubMed: 12384610]
- Cox JC, Rajendran M, Riedel T, Davidson EA, Sooter LJ, Bayer TS, Schmitz-Brown M, Ellington AD. Comb Chem High Throughput Screen. 2002; 5:289–299. [PubMed: 12052180]
- 39. Deng B, Lin Y, Wang C, Li F, Wang Z, Zhang H, Li XF, Le XC. Anal Chim Acta. 2014; 837:1–15. [PubMed: 25000852]
- Hoinka J, Berezhnoy A, Sauna ZE, Gilboa E, Przytycka TM. Res Comput Mol Biol. 2014; 8394:115–128. [PubMed: 25558474]
- 41. Golden MC, Collins BD, Willis MC, Koch TH. J Biotechnol. 2000; 81:167–178. [PubMed: 10989176]
- 42. Gold L, Ayers D, Bertino J, Bock C, Bock A, Brody EN, Carter J, Dalby AB, Eaton BE, Fitzwater T, Flather D, Forbes A, Foreman T, Fowler C, Gawande B, Goss M, Gunn M, Gupta S, Halladay D, Heil J, Heilig J, Hicke B, Husar G, Janjic N, Jarvis T, Jennings S, Katilius E, Keeney TR, Kim N, Koch TH, Kraemer S, Kroiss L, Le N, Levine D, Lindsey W, Lollo B, Mayfield W, Mehan M, Mehler R, Nelson SK, Nelson M, Nieuwlandt D, Nikrad M, Ochsner U, Ostroff RM, Otis M, Parker T, Pietrasiewicz S, Resnicow DI, Rohloff J, Sanders G, Sattin S, Schneider D, Singer B, Stanton M, Sterkel A, Stewart A, Stratford S, Vaught JD, Vrkljan M, Walker JJ, Watrobka M,

Waugh S, Weiss A, Wilcox SK, Wolfson A, Wolk SK, Zhang C, Zichi D. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e15004. [PubMed: 21165148]

- Jenison RD, Jennings SD, Walker DW, Bargatze RF, Parma D. Antisense Nucleic Acid Drug Dev. 1998; 8:265–279. [PubMed: 9743465]
- 44. Niebel B, Wosnitza CI, Famulok M. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry. 2013; 21:6239–6246. [PubMed: 23757206]
- 45. Kiga D, Futamura Y, Sakamoto K, Yokoyama S. Nucleic Acids Res. 1998; 26:1755–1760. [PubMed: 9512549]
- 46. Mandal M, Boese B, Barrick JE, Winkler WC, Breaker RR. Cell. 2003; 113:577–586. [PubMed: 12787499]
- 47. Wallis MG, von Ahsen U, Schroeder R, Famulok M. Chem Biol. 1995; 2:543–552. [PubMed: 9383458]
- Weigand JE, Sanchez M, Gunnesch EB, Zeiher S, Schroeder R, Suess B. RNA. 2008; 14:89–97. [PubMed: 18000033]
- 49. Zhang Y, Chen Y, Han D, Ocsoy I, Tan W. Bioanalysis. 2010; 2:907-918. [PubMed: 20657791]
- 50. Fang X, Tan W. Acc Chem Res. 2010; 43:48–57. [PubMed: 19751057]
- Mi J, Liu Y, Rabbani ZN, Yang Z, Urban JH, Sullenger BA, Clary BM. Nat Chem Biol. 2010; 6:22–24. [PubMed: 19946274]
- 52. Irvine D, Tuerk C, Gold L. J Mol Biol. 1991; 222:739–761. [PubMed: 1721092]
- Seo YJ, Chen S, Nilsen-Hamilton M, Levine HA. Bull Math Biol. 2010; 72:1623–1665. [PubMed: 20077028]
- 54. Xayaphoummine A, Bucher T, Isambert H. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33:W605–610. [PubMed: 15980546]
- 55. Parisien M, Major F. Nature. 2008; 452:51–55. [PubMed: 18322526]
- 56. Beier R, Boschke E, Labudde D. Biomed Res Int. 2014; 2014:849743. [PubMed: 24779017]
- 57. Ditzler MA, Lange MJ, Bose D, Bottoms CA, Virkler KF, Sawyer AW, Whatley AS, Spollen W, Givan SA, Burke DH. Nucleic Acids Research. 2013; 41:1873–1884. [PubMed: 23241386]
- 58. Alam KK, Chang JL, Burke DH. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2015; 4:e230. [PubMed: 25734917]
- 59. Chushak Y, Stone MO. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37:e87. [PubMed: 19465396]
- 60. Wilkinson KA, Merino EJ, Weeks KM. Nat Protoc. 2006; 1:1610–1616. [PubMed: 17406453]
- 61. Chodosh LA. Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 2001; Chapter 12 Unit 12 15.
- Macaya RF, Schultze P, Smith FW, Roe JA, Feigon J. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993; 90:3745– 3749. [PubMed: 8475124]
- 63. Jhaveri SD, Kirby R, Conrad R, Maglott EJ, Bowser M, Kennedy RT, Glick G, Ellington AD. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2000; 122:2469–2473.
- 64. Nutiu R, Li Y. Methods. 2005; 37:16-25. [PubMed: 16199173]
- 65. Hermann T, Patel DJ. Science. 2000; 287:820-825. [PubMed: 10657289]
- 66. Sassolas A, Blum LJ, Leca-Bouvier BD. Analyst. 2011; 136:257-274. [PubMed: 20949139]
- 67. Jiang Y, Fang X, Bai C. Anal Chem. 2004; 76:5230-5235. [PubMed: 15373466]
- 68. Zhou C, Jiang Y, Hou S, Ma B, Fang X, Li M. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2006; 384:1175–1180. [PubMed: 16447044]
- 69. Stojanovic MN, Landry DW. J Am Chem Soc. 2002; 124:9678-9679. [PubMed: 12175205]
- 70. Li B, Wei H, Dong S. Chem Commun (Camb). 2007:73-75. [PubMed: 17279265]
- 71. Zeng X, Wang C, Li YX, Li XX, Su YY, An J, Tang YL. Analyst. 2015; 140:1192–1197. [PubMed: 25521724]
- 72. Ohyama T, Kato Y, Mita H, Nagatomo S, Yamamoto Y. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser (Oxf). 2005:245–246.
- 73. Travascio P, Li Y, Sen D. Chem Biol. 1998; 5:505–517. [PubMed: 9751647]
- 74. Liu H, Wang YS, Wang JC, Xue JH, Zhou B, Zhao H, Liu SD, Tang X, Chen SH, Li MH, Cao JX. Anal Biochem. 2014; 458:4–10. [PubMed: 24811738]

- 75. Babendure JR, Adams SR, Tsien RY. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125:14716–14717. [PubMed: 14640641]
- 76. Paige JS, Wu KY, Jaffrey SR. Science. 2011; 333:642-646. [PubMed: 21798953]
- Stojanovic MN, Kolpashchikov DM. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:9266–9270. [PubMed: 15281816]
- 78. Paige JS, Nguyen-Duc T, Song W, Jaffrey SR. Science. 2012; 335:1194. [PubMed: 22403384]
- 79. Xu W, Lu Y. Anal Chem. 2010; 82:574-578. [PubMed: 20017558]
- 80. Ho HA, Leclerc M. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:1384-1387. [PubMed: 14759196]
- 81. Li JJ, Fang X, Tan W. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2002; 292:31-40. [PubMed: 11890667]
- Stojanovic MN, de Prada P, Landry DW. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:4928–4931. [PubMed: 11457319]
- 83. Li W, Yang X, Wang K, Tan W, Li H, Ma C. Talanta. 2008; 75:770-774. [PubMed: 18585145]
- Rajendran M, Ellington AD. Comb Chem High Throughput Screen. 2002; 5:263–270. [PubMed: 12052178]
- 85. Tyagi S, Kramer FR. Nat Biotechnol. 1996; 14:303-308. [PubMed: 9630890]
- 86. Tan W, Wang K, Drake TJ. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2004; 8:547–553. [PubMed: 15450499]
- 87. Drake TJ, Tan W. Appl Spectrosc. 2004; 58:269A–280A.
- 88. Hamaguchi N, Ellington A, Stanton M. Anal Biochem. 2001; 294:126-131. [PubMed: 11444807]
- 89. Nutiu R, Li Y. Chemistry. 2004; 10:1868–1876. [PubMed: 15079825]
- 90. Nutiu R, Li Y. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125:4771-4778. [PubMed: 12696895]
- 91. Heyduk E, Heyduk T. Anal Chem. 2005; 77:1147-1156. [PubMed: 15858998]
- Tang Z, Mallikaratchy P, Yang R, Kim Y, Zhu Z, Wang H, Tan W. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:11268–11269. [PubMed: 18680291]
- 93. Bhadra S, Ellington AD. RNA. 2014; 20:1183–1194. [PubMed: 24942625]
- 94. Cong X, Nilsen-Hamilton M. Biochemistry. 2005; 44:7945–7954. [PubMed: 15924413]
- 95. Wang HQ, Wu Z, Tang LJ, Yu RQ, Jiang JH. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:e122. [PubMed: 21742759]
- Yamana K, Iwai T, Ohtani Y, Sato S, Nakamura M, Nakano H. Bioconjug Chem. 2002; 13:1266– 1273. [PubMed: 12440862]
- 97. Kamekawa N, Shimomura Y, Nakamura M, Yamana K. Chemistry Letters. 2006; 35:660-661.
- Yang CJ, Jockusch S, Vicens M, Turro NJ, Tan W. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102:17278– 17283. [PubMed: 16301535]
- 99. Shi C, Gu H, Ma C. Anal Biochem. 2010; 400:99-102. [PubMed: 20056100]
- 100. Fang X, Cao Z, Beck T, Tan W. Anal Chem. 2001; 73:5752–5757. [PubMed: 11774917]
- 101. Yamamoto R, Baba T, Kumar PK. Genes Cells. 2000; 5:389-396. [PubMed: 10886366]
- 102. Sharma AK, Kent AD, Heemstra JM. Anal Chem. 2012; 84:6104–6109. [PubMed: 22715870]
- 103. Kato T, Yano K, Ikebukuro K, Karube I. Analyst. 2000; 125:1371–1373. [PubMed: 11002920]
- 104. Fredriksson S, Gullberg M, Jarvius J, Olsson C, Pietras K, Gustafsdottir SM, Ostman A, Landegren U. Nat Biotechnol. 2002; 20:473–477. [PubMed: 11981560]
- 105. Wei Y, Zhou W, Liu J, Chai Y, Xiang Y, Yuan R. Talanta. 2015; 141:230–234. [PubMed: 25966407]
- 106. Levy M, Cater SF, Ellington AD. Chembiochem. 2005; 6:2163–2166. [PubMed: 16254932]
- 107. Ikanovic M, Rudzinski WE, Bruno JG, Allman A, Carrillo MP, Dwarakanath S, Bhahdigadi S, Rao P, Kiel JL, Andrews CJ. J Fluoresc. 2007; 17:193–199. [PubMed: 17265180]
- 108. Chu TC, Shieh F, Lavery LA, Levy M, Richards-Kortum R, Korgel BA, Ellington AD. Biosens Bioelectron. 2006; 21:1859–1866. [PubMed: 16495043]
- 109. Huang CC, Huang YF, Cao Z, Tan W, Chang HT. Anal Chem. 2005; 77:5735–5741. [PubMed: 16131089]
- 110. Pavlov V, Xiao Y, Shlyahovsky B, Willner I. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:11768–11769. [PubMed: 15382892]

- 111. Li YY, Zhang C, Li BS, Zhao LF, Li XB, Yang WJ, Xu SQ. Clin Chem. 2007; 53:1061–1066. [PubMed: 17446332]
- 112. Zhao W, Chiuman W, Brook MA, Li Y. Chembiochem. 2007; 8:727-731. [PubMed: 17410623]
- 113. Liu JW, Lu Y. Advanced Materials. 2006; 18:1667–1671.
- 114. Liu JW, Lu Y. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition. 2006; 45:90-94.
- 115. Liu J, Lu Y. Anal Chem. 2004; 76:1627–1632. [PubMed: 15018560]
- 116. Sassolas A, Blum LJ, Leca-Bouvier BD. Electroanalysis. 2009; 21:1237-1250.
- 117. Lai RY, Plaxco KW, Heeger AJ. Anal Chem. 2007; 79:229-233. [PubMed: 17194144]
- 118. Xiao Y, Piorek BD, Plaxco KW, Heeger AJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:17990–17991. [PubMed: 16366535]
- 119. Xu Y, Yang L, Ye XY, He PA, Fang YZ. Electroanalysis. 2006; 18:1449–1456.
- 120. Wang X, Zhou J, Yun W, Xiao S, Chang Z, He P, Fang Y. Anal Chim Acta. 2007; 598:242–248. [PubMed: 17719898]
- 121. White RJ, Rowe AA, Plaxco KW. Analyst. 2010; 135:589-594. [PubMed: 20174715]
- 122. Numnuam A, Chumbimuni-Torres KY, Xiang Y, Bash R, Thavarungkul P, Kanatharana P, Pretsch E, Wang J, Bakker E. Anal Chem. 2008; 80:707–712. [PubMed: 18184015]
- 123. Zheng Y, Yuan Y, Chai Y, Yuan R. Biosensors & Bioelectronics. 2015; 66:585–589. [PubMed: 25530538]
- 124. Zhao J, Xin M, Cao Y, Yin Y, Shu Y, Ma W. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2015; 860:23–28. [PubMed: 25682243]
- 125. Xue Q, Liu Z, Guo Y, Guo S. Biosensors & Bioelectronics. 2015; 68:429–436. [PubMed: 25618374]
- 126. Xu W, Yi H, Yuan Y, Jing P, Chai Y, Yuan R, Wilson GS. Biosensors & Bioelectronics. 2015; 64:423–428. [PubMed: 25280342]
- 127. Li S, Zhu W, Xue Y, Liu S. Biosensors & Bioelectronics. 2015; 64:611–617. [PubMed: 25314620]
- 128. Bao T, Wen W, Zhang X, Wang S. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2015; 860:70–76. [PubMed: 25682249]
- 129. Sun A, Qi Q, Wang X, Bie P. Biosensors & Bioelectronics. 2014; 57:16–21. [PubMed: 24534575]
- 130. Chang Z, Zang Y, Chen C, He P, Fang Y. Analytical Letters. 2014; 47:309–322.
- 131. Hansen JA, Wang J, Kawde AN, Xiang Y, Gothelf KV, Collins G. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2006; 128:2228–2229. [PubMed: 16478173]
- 132. Baker BR, Lai RY, Wood MS, Doctor EH, Heeger AJ, Plaxco KW. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:3138–3139. [PubMed: 16522082]
- 133. Ferapontova EE, Gothelf KV. Langmuir. 2009; 25:4279–4283. [PubMed: 19301828]
- 134. Ferapontova EE, Olsen EM, Gothelf KV. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:4256–4258. [PubMed: 18324816]
- 135. Zayats M, Huang Y, Gill R, Ma CA, Willner I. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:13666–13667. [PubMed: 17044676]
- 136. Feng KJ, Sun CH, Kang Y, Chen JW, Jiang JH, Shen GL, Yu RQ. Electrochemistry Communications. 2008; 10:531–535.
- Schoukroun-Barnes LR, Wagan S, White RJ. Analytical Chemistry. 2014; 86:1131–1137. [PubMed: 24377296]
- 138. Zhou N, Luo J, Zhang J, You Y, Tian Y. Analytical Methods. 2015; 7:1991–1996.
- 139. Zheng D, Seferos DS, Giljohann DA, Patel PC, Mirkin CA. Nano letters. 2009; 9:3258–3261. [PubMed: 19645478]
- 140. Zuo X, Song S, Zhang J, Pan D, Wang L, Fan C. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:1042–1043. [PubMed: 17263380]
- 141. Radi AE, O'Sullivan CK. Chem Commun (Camb). 2006:3432–3434. [PubMed: 16896485]
- 142. Xiao Y, Rowe AA, Plaxco KW. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:262–263. [PubMed: 17212391]
- 143. Lu L, Li J, Kang T, Cheng S. Talanta. 2015; 138:273–278. [PubMed: 25863401]

- 144. Liu B, Zhang B, Chen G, Yang H, Tang D. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2014; 53:390–398. [PubMed: 24201002]
- 145. Hong B, Zu Y. Theranostics. 2013; 3:377-394. [PubMed: 23781285]
- 146. Hu M, Zhang K. Future Oncol. 2013; 9:369–376. [PubMed: 23469972]
- 147. Pei X, Zhang J, Liu J. Mol Clin Oncol. 2014; 2:341–348. [PubMed: 24772298]
- 148. Hong P, Li W, Li J. Sensors (Basel). 2012; 12:1181–1193. [PubMed: 22438706]
- 149. Yang Z, Kasprzyk-Hordern B, Goggins S, Frost CG, Estrela P. Analyst. 2015; 140:2628–2633. [PubMed: 25756086]
- 150. Wang T, Liu J, Gu X, Li D, Wang J, Wang E. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2015; 882:32–37. [PubMed: 26043089]
- 151. Lu CY, Xu JJ, Wang ZH, Chen HY. Electrochemistry Communications. 2015; 52:49–52.
- 152. Pan C, Guo M, Nie Z, Xiao X, Yao S. Electroanalysis. 2009; 21:1321–1326.
- 153. Sharma R, Agrawal VV, Sharma P, Varshney R, Sinha RK, Malhotra BD. India-Japan Workshop on Biomolecular Electronics and Organic Nanotechnology for Environment Preservation. 2012; 358:012001.
- 154. Xiao Y, Uzawa T, White RJ, Demartini D, Plaxco KW. Electroanalysis. 2009; 21:1267–1271. [PubMed: 20436787]
- 155. Traut TW. Mol Cell Biochem. 1994; 140:1–22. [PubMed: 7877593]
- 156. Zhai L, Wang T, Kang K, Zhao Y, Shrotriya P, Nilsen-Hamilton M. Anal Chem. 2012; 84:8763– 8770. [PubMed: 22946879]
- 157. Kang K, Nilsen-Hamilton M, Shrotriya P. Applied Physics Letters. 2008; 93
- 158. Zhi-Wei L, Zhao-Yang T, Lan-Qun H, Bing L, Xi-Hui M, Jin-Ping Z, Chuan G. Chin J Anal Chem. 2014; 42:1143–1147.
- 159. Savran CA, Knudsen SM, Ellington AD, Manalis SR. Anal Chem. 2004; 76:3194–3198. [PubMed: 15167801]
- 160. Hwang KS, Lee SM, Eom K, Lee JH, Lee YS, Park JH, Yoon DS, Kim TS. Biosens Bioelectron. 2007; 23:459–465. [PubMed: 17616386]
- 161. Kim Y, Cao Z, Tan W. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:5664–5669. [PubMed: 18398007]
- Kiessling LL, Strong LE, Gestwicki JE. Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry, Vol 35. 2000; 35:321–330.
- Kiessling LL, Gestwicki JE, Strong LE. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition. 2006; 45:2348–2368.
- 164. Dupuis NF, Holmstrom ED, Nesbitt DJ. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014; 111:8464–8469. [PubMed: 24850865]
- 165. Paudel BP, Rueda D. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 136:16700-16703. [PubMed: 25399908]
- 166. Hianik T, Ostatna V, Zajacova Z, Stoikova E, Evtugyn G. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2005; 15:291– 295. [PubMed: 15603942]
- 167. Minunni M, Tombelli S, Gullotto A, Luzi E, Mascini M. Biosens Bioelectron. 2004; 20:1149– 1156. [PubMed: 15556361]
- Schlensog MD, Gronewold TMA, Tewes M, Famulok M, Quandt E. Sensors and Actuators B-Chemical. 2004; 101:308–315.
- Gronewold TMA, Glass S, Quandt E, Famulok M. Biosensors & Bioelectronics. 2005; 20:2044– 2052. [PubMed: 15741074]
- 170. Neves MA, Blaszykowski C, Bokhari S, Thompson M. Biosens Bioelectron. 2015; 72:383–392. [PubMed: 26022784]
- 171. Neves MA, Reinstein O, Johnson PE. Biochemistry. 2010; 49:8478-8487. [PubMed: 20735071]
- 172. Tombelli S, Minunni M, Luzi E, Mascini M. Bioelectrochemistry. 2005; 67:135–141. [PubMed: 16027048]
- 173. Lee SJ, Youn BS, Park JW, Niazi JH, Kim YS, Gu MB. Anal Chem. 2008; 80:2867–2873. [PubMed: 18324839]
- 174. Guo L, Kim DH. Biosens Bioelectron. 2012; 31:567-570. [PubMed: 22099957]
- 175. Li Y, Lee HJ, Corn RM. Anal Chem. 2007; 79:1082–1088. [PubMed: 17263339]

- 176. Knudsen SM, Lee J, Ellington AD, Savran CA. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:15936–15937. [PubMed: 17165697]
- 177. Li Y, Lee HJ, Corn RM. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006; 34:6416-6424. [PubMed: 17130155]
- 178. Wang Z, Wilkop T, Xu D, Dong Y, Ma G, Cheng Q. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2007; 389:819–825. [PubMed: 17673982]
- 179. Kwon MJ, Lee J, Wark AW, Lee HJ. Anal Chem. 2012; 84:1702-1707. [PubMed: 22224823]
- 180. Potyrailo RA, Conrad RC, Ellington AD, Hieftje GM. Anal Chem. 1998; 70:3419–3425. [PubMed: 9726167]
- Gee ML, Lensun L, Smith TA, Scholes CA. European Biophysics Journal with Biophysics Letters. 2004; 33:130–139. [PubMed: 14586518]
- 182. Huang YF, Chang HT, Tan WH. Analytical Chemistry. 2008; 80:567–572. [PubMed: 18166023]
- 183. Yang H, Liu H, Kang H, Tan W. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2008; 130:6320– 6321. [PubMed: 18444626]
- 184. Dave N, Chan MY, Huang PJJ, Smith BD, Liu J. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2010; 132:12668–12673. [PubMed: 20726570]
- 185. Wang R, Li Y. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2013; 42:148–155. [PubMed: 23202345]
- 186. Robinson JT, Perkins FK, Snow ES, Wei Z, Sheehan PE. Nano letters. 2008; 8:3137–3140. [PubMed: 18763832]
- 187. Lu CH, Yang HH, Zhu CL, Chen X, Chen GN. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition. 2009; 48:4785–4787.
- 188. Chang H, Tang L, Wang Y, Jiang J, Li J. Analytical Chemistry. 2010; 82:2341–2346. [PubMed: 20180560]
- 189. Huang Y, Liu X, Zhang L, Hu K, Zhao S, Fang B, Chen ZF, Liang H. Biosensors & Bioelectronics. 2015; 63:178–184. [PubMed: 25087158]
- 190. McCauley TG, Hamaguchi N, Stanton M. Anal Biochem. 2003; 319:244–250. [PubMed: 12871718]
- 191. Kirby R, Cho EJ, Gehrke B, Bayer T, Park YS, Neikirk DP, McDevitt JT, Ellington AD. Anal Chem. 2004; 76:4066–4075. [PubMed: 15253644]
- 192. Chen X, Zhou H, Zhai N, Liu P, Chen Q, Jin L, Zheng Q. Analytical Letters. 2015; 48:1892– 1906.
- 193. Winkler W, Nahvi A, Breaker RR. Nature. 2002; 419:952–956. [PubMed: 12410317]
- 194. Breaker RR. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012; 4
- 195. Gelfand MS, Mironov AA, Jomantas J, Kozlov YI, Perumov DA. Trends Genet. 1999; 15:439– 442. [PubMed: 10529804]
- 196. Roth A, Breaker RR. Annu Rev Biochem. 2009; 78:305-334. [PubMed: 19298181]
- 197. Suess B, Fink B, Berens C, Stentz R, Hillen W. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32:1610–1614. [PubMed: 15004248]
- 198. Ozalp VC, Pedersen TR, Nielsen LJ, Olsen LF. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2010; 285:37579–37588. [PubMed: 20880841]
- Nagatoishi S, Nojima T, Juskowiak B, Takenaka S. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition. 2005; 44:5067–5070.
- 200. Tsien RY. Annu Rev Biochem. 1998; 67:509-544. [PubMed: 9759496]
- 201. Urbanek MO, Galka-Marciniak P, Olejniczak M, Krzyzosiak WJ. RNA Biol. 2014; 11:1083– 1095. [PubMed: 25483044]
- 202. Rath AK, Rentmeister A. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2015; 31C:42-49.
- 203. Beach DL, Salmon ED, Bloom K. Curr Biol. 1999; 9:569–578. [PubMed: 10359695]
- 204. Larson DR, Zenklusen D, Wu B, Chao JA, Singer RH. Science. 2011; 332:475–478. [PubMed: 21512033]
- 205. Daigle N, Ellenberg J. Nat Methods. 2007; 4:633-636. [PubMed: 17603490]
- 206. Schonberger J, Hammes UZ, Dresselhaus T. Plant Journal. 2012; 71:173–181. [PubMed: 22268772]
- 207. Chung S, Takizawa PA. J Cell Biol. 2010; 189:755-767. [PubMed: 20457760]

- 208. Chen J, Nikolaitchik O, Singh J, Wright A, Bencsics CE, Coffin JM, Ni N, Lockett S, Pathak VK, Hu WS. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:13535–13540. [PubMed: 19628694]
- 209. Ni N, Nikolaitchik OA, Dilley KA, Chen J, Galli A, Fu W, Prasad VV, Ptak RG, Pathak VK, Hu WS. J Virol. 2011; 85:7603–7612. [PubMed: 21613401]
- 210. Yiu HW, Demidov VV, Toran P, Cantor CR, NE B. Pharmaceuticals. 2011; 4:494-508.
- 211. Yin J, Zhu D, Zhang Z, Wang W, Fan J, Men D, Deng J, Wei H, Zhang XE, Cui Z. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e80851. [PubMed: 24260494]
- 212. Kerppola TK. Methods Cell Biol. 2008; 85:431–470. [PubMed: 18155474]
- 213. Delebecque CJ, Lindner AB, Silver PA, Aldaye FA. Science. 2011; 333:470–474. [PubMed: 21700839]
- 214. Wu B, Chen J, Singer RH. Sci Rep. 2014; 4:3615. [PubMed: 24402470]
- Valencia-Burton M, McCullough RM, Cantor CR, Broude NE. Nature Methods. 2007; 4:421– 427. [PubMed: 17401371]
- 216. Strack RL, Disney MD, Jaffrey SR. Nat Methods. 2013; 10:1219–1224. [PubMed: 24162923]
- 217. Shin I, Ray J, Gupta V, Ilgu M, Beasley J, Bendickson L, Mehanovic S, Kraus GA, Nilsen-Hamilton M. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42:e90. [PubMed: 24753407]
- 218. Lux J, Pena EJ, Bolze F, Heinlein M, Nicoud JF. Chembiochem. 2012; 13:1206–1213. [PubMed: 22549874]
- 219. Sunbul M, Jaschke A. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2013; 52:13401–13404. [PubMed: 24133044]
- 220. Kraus GA, Jeon I, Nilsen-Hamilton M, Awad AM, Banerjee J, Parvin B. Molecules. 2008; 13:986–994. [PubMed: 18463600]
- 221. Sparano BA, Koide K. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2005; 127:14954–14955. [PubMed: 16248596]
- 222. Famulok M, Mayer G. Chem Biol. 2014; 21:1055-1058. [PubMed: 25237853]
- 223. Mannironi C, Scerch C, Fruscoloni P, Tocchini-Valentini GP. Rna-a Publication of the Rna Society. 2000; 6:520–527.



Figure 1.

Increasing numbers of publications reporting aptamer applications in analytics. The reports listed in Pubmed that combined the terms antibody (antibody) or aptamer (aptamer) in the title and a SENSOR search text (sensor as a text word or analytical or quantitative in the title or abstract) are shown as percentages of all reports that used the SENSOR search text.



Figure 2.

Predominance of research publications citing aptamers and antibodies for therapeutics and sensors. Whereas the reported applications of aptamers (blue bars) as sensors (hatched bars) outnumber reports of their use in therapeutics (open bars), the opposite is true for antibodies (yellow bars). Despite the bias against therapy applications of aptamers in the primary literature, most reviews that cite aptamers (green bars) cite therapeutics and many fewer cite sensors. This data was collected from Pubmed using the key words shown above and below the bars. The time period analyzed was 2010 to 2015, inclusive.



Figure 3. SELEX (Systematic Evolution of Ligands by EXponential enrichment).



Figure 4.

Types of structural changes in aptamers that are used to signal the presence of analyte. Aptamers (green) can be engineered with A) complementary attenuating strands that are displaced by competition with analyte (mauve), or B) to enhance internal structural changes. These structural changes can be integrated into a variety of sensing platforms with a range of detection modes (C). Red elements, analytes; circles, aptamer ligands.

Table 1
Considerations for aptamer development in selection and engineering

	Important considerations	Implementation
SELEX	Buffers to approximate anticipated application conditions	Salt concentrations pH, temperature
	Selection conditions to promote high affinity	Choose stringency Incubation time
	Selection conditions to promote high selectivity	Negative selections against anticipated interfering molecules
Engineering	Maximum affinity and selectivity	Screen aptamer candidates
	Minimum size	Determine the 2D structure Identify contact sites on the aptamer Truncate to remove uninvolved NA
	Adaptation to sensing mode	Modify with elements such as linkers and fluorophores
	Optimum affinity, high selectivity and structural stability	Evaluate effect of strategic changes in sequence of truncated aptamers Chemically modify