Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 12 (2011) 064703 (8pp)

TOPICAL REVIEW

Assembly of cells and vesicles for organ engineering

Tetsushi Taguchi

Biofunctional Materials Unit, Nano-Bio Field, Materials Nanoarchitectonics (MANA), National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

E-mail: taguchi.tetsushi@nims.go.jp

Received 19 May 2011 Accepted for publication 29 July 2011 Published 10 October 2011 Online at stacks.iop.org/STAM/12/064703

Abstract

The development of materials and technologies for the assembly of cells and/or vesicles is a key for the next generation of tissue engineering. Since the introduction of the tissue engineering concept in 1993, various types of scaffolds have been developed for the regeneration of connective tissues *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Cartilage, bone and skin have been successfully regenerated *in vitro*, and these regenerated tissues have been applied clinically. However, organs such as the liver and pancreas constitute numerous cell types, contain small amounts of extracellular matrix, and are highly vascularized. Therefore, organ engineering will require the assembly of cells and/or vesicles. In particular, adhesion between cells/vesicles will be required for regeneration of organs *in vitro*. This review introduces and discusses the key technologies and materials for the assembly of cells/vesicles for organ regeneration.

Keywords: organ engineering, assembly, cell, vesicle, material, technology

1. Introduction

Regeneration of organs is a major challenge for the next generation of tissue engineering. Since the introduction of the tissue engineering concept in 1993 [1], various types of scaffolds, including hydrogels, polymeric porous materials, bioceramics and composites, have been developed for the regeneration of connective tissues containing large amounts of extracellular matrix (ECM) [2-6]. Cartilage, bone and skin have been the main targets for tissue engineering, and tissues regenerated *in vitro* have been applied clinically [7–9]. Unlike connective tissues, organs such as liver and pancreas have a large cellular component and complex, highly vascularized structures with little ECM. In addition, there are marked functional differences between two-dimensional and three-dimensional (3D) cellular constructs. Therefore, controlling cell-cell interaction and cell-cell bonding is crucial for the regeneration of organs in vitro [10–14], and materials or technologies serving this purpose will contribute to architect various types of organs using different types of cells. Cellular spheroids, which are small 3D aggregates of cells, are an attractive model for organ regeneration. Some materials and techniques have been used to study the formation of spheroids *in vitro*; however, problems remain in building organ-like constructs. This review focuses on materials and technologies for the assembly of living cells and/or vesicles in organ engineering.

2. Technologies for cell/vesicle assembly

2.1. Gravity control

Cell assembly is affected by the gravity of the culture condition. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration developed a bioreactor of rotating wall vessels (RWVs) for the evaluation of cell proliferation and function in microgravity conditions [15]. With an RWV bioreactor, hepatocytes [15], bone marrow cells [16–18], stem cells [19], chondrocytes [20] and osteoblasts [21] have been cultured and used for the formation of organoids and tissues. Brown *et al* [15] cultured hepatocytes in an RWV and reported that the resulting organoids exhibited liver-like functions. These functions resulted from the fact that cells cultured under



Figure 1. Fabrication of surface patterned with collagen/polyethylene glycol (PEG) using microcontact printing. Here PDMS stands for polydimethylsiloxane and SH for thiol group.

low-shear conditions undergo enhanced cell-cell interactions. Uemura et al reported that bone marrow cells cultured in an RWV bioreactor regenerated a large, cylindrical, cartilaginous tissue [16, 17]. The resulting organoid showed a high expression of cartilage markers, including aggrecan and collagen type II, compared with chondrocytes in a flat culture. Bone marrow cells were also cultured in an RWV in the presence of bioceramic microspheres [18]. In addition, Okamura et al [19] cultured hepatic stem/progenitor cells in an RWV bioreactor to mimic the condition of microgravity. The resulting 3D tissue showed biologic functions such as albumin secretion and glycogen storage. Chondrocytes have also been cultured in an RWV to produce tissue-like cartilage aggregates for clinical application [20]. Nishikawa et al [22] cultured rat marrow mesenchymal cells (MMCs) in a porous hydroxyapatite scaffold using a 3D clinostat, which is a bioreactor that generates multidirectional g-force. They reported that alkaline phosphatase activity of MMCs was significantly decreased when compared with that of MMCs cultured in a static environment.

2.2. Surface patterning/cell adhesion control

In general, cells do not adhere to hydrophilic surfaces, such as hydrophilic polymer-grafted substrates, but they do adhere to hydrophobic surfaces. The optimum water contact angle for cell adhesion is reported to be 70° [23]. Hydrophobic surfaces promote the adsorption of cell adhesion proteins such as fibronectin (FN) and vitronectin. Exploiting this property, Otsuka et al [24] fabricated a patterned, microfabricated, polyethylene glycol (PEG) brush surface on glass. They cultured hepatocytes underlaid with endothelial cells on the patterned surface to obtain hepatocyte heterospheroids. These spheroids secreted albumin, a typical functional marker, for at least 1 month. Collagen/PEG microcontact printing has also been used to obtain hepatocyte spheroids [25,26] (figure 1). The resulting hepatocyte spheroids showed liver-like functions including albumin secretion, expression of urea cycle enzymes and liver-enriched transcriptional factors, and intercellular adhesion. Anada et al [27] reported that spheroids with narrow size distribution were rapidly obtained when cultured on polydimethylsiloxane membrane deformed by decompression. Yamauchi *et al* [28] reported that spheroids of various sizes can be readily obtained by culturing bovine endometrial stromal cells on nonadherent culture plates.

2.3. Cell printing and molding

Cell printing and injection molding techniques are an easy way to create organ-like constructs of desired shape. Cells with or without polymers have been used for the fabrication of various 3D structures. Xu et al [29] printed cells as a kind of 'ink' onto several 'biopapers' made from soy agar and collagen gel. They succeeded in computer-aided inkjet printing of mammalian cells with high viability. Nakamura et al [30] also established a technique for seeding living cells with an electrostatically driven inkjet system which generates little heat. With this system, they ejected a suspension of bovine vascular endothelial cells onto cell culture disks. Matsunaga et al [31] attempted to construct a macroscopic 3D structure by molding cell beads (figure 2). They cultured cells on collagen-based beads and molded the resulting cell beads to form tissues. Millimeter-sized tissue was obtained with this technology. Chang et al [32] reported injection molding of chondrocyte-alginate constructs of desired shape. The resulting cartilage-like constructs expressed glycosaminoglycan and showed excellent mechanical properties. Biocompatible polymers, including fibrin gel [33], poly(acrylamide) gel [34] and Pluronic F-127 [35], have been used with cells such as neurons [33] and stem cells [34] for cell printing and molding.

2.4. Layer-by-layer technique

The layer-by-layer (LbL) technique involves the placement of nanometer-sized films on various solid surfaces via alternate immersion in interactive polymer solutions [36] (figure 3). With the use of the LbL technique, various materials have been modified to control biological properties of solid



Figure 2. Tissue formation using collagen gel beads.



Figure 3. Layer-by-layer technique for the assembly of interactive polymers on a solid substrate.

surfaces [37] or gel matrices [38]. Matsusaki *et al* [39] fabricated a multilayer cellular structure using fibronectin and gelatin as binding reagents for fibroblastic cells (figure 4). They successfully prepared multilayered cellular structures of more than 3 layers *in vitro*. Liposomes have also been assembled on the surface of a quartz crystal microbalance using the membrane protein bacteriorhodopsin as a binding reagent [40].

2.5. Decellularization

Decellularization is a powerful tool of designing ideal scaffolds for organ and tissue regeneration [41, 42]. Various technologies have been reported that use detergents, enzymes,

supercritical carbon dioxide, or high hydrostatic pressure for decellularization [43–49]. The main components of the resulting decellularized constructs are ECM proteins such as collagen, proteoglycans, elastin and cell adhesion proteins. The ECM proteins in these decellularized constructs are highly organized. Therefore, the mechanical properties of the constructs are superior to those of artificial scaffolds made from biopolymers [50]. In addition, decellularized constructs show enhanced biological functions compared with collagen or other scaffolds because these constructs retain endogenous cytokines [51]. Heart valves [43, 50], blood vessels [44, 45, 52], total heart [47] and cornea [46, 48] have been decellularized. Uygun *et al* [53] reported a transplantable, recellularized liver graft using decellularized liver matrix.



Figure 4. Assembly of two kinds of cells using the layer-by-layer technique.



Figure 5. Concept of cell sheet engineering using temperature-responsive polymer.

They applied hepatocytes to recellularize the liver matrix, and the resulting liver graft showed liver-specific functions such as albumin secretion, urea synthesis and cytochrome P450 expression. Lung has also been decellularized [54], and because of its limited regenerative capacity, this methodology holds great promise for lung regeneration.

3. Materials for cell/vesicle assembly

3.1. Thermoresponsive polymer-grafted surfaces

Poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm) is a thermoresponsive polymer that is water soluble below its lower critical solution temperature (LCST) and water insoluble above the LCST. Exploiting this property, PNIPAAm has been grafted to the surface of polystyrene culture dishes for the generation of cell sheets [55, 56] (figure 5). After cells become confluent on the dishes, cell sheets are readily obtained by decreasing the temperature

below the LCST as the adhesion properties of the surface of the dish change from hydrophobic to hydrophilic. This temperature-responsive cell culture dish can be applied to the fabrication of cell sheets made from various kinds of cells including cardiac myocytes [57], hepatocytes [58, 59], corneal cells [60, 61], and periodontal ligament cells [62]. The coculture of hepatocytes and fibroblasts on a patterned PNIPAAm-grafted surface has also been studied [63], and cocultured cell sheets were obtained with a patterned, dual-thermoresponsive surface [64]. The resulting cell sheets are interconnected by cell adhesion molecules including cadherin [65].

3.2. Intermolecular interaction-induced cells/vesicle assembly

Figure 6 summarizes the assembly of cells/vesicles using intermolecular interaction. Materials or molecules that can bond cells/vesicles have been developed as discussed below.



Figure 6. Assembly of cells/vesicles by intermolecular interaction: (a) hydrophobic interaction of hydrophobically modified hydrophilic polymer, (b) hydrophobic interaction of hydrophobically modified dendrimer, (c) hydrogen bonding, (d) electrostatic interaction, (e) coordinate bond formation and (f) molecular recognition.



Figure 7. Structure of a 16-arm polypropylenimine hexadecaamine dendrimer conjugated to a polyethylene glycol derivative with an oleoyl group.

3.2.1. Hydrophobic interaction. The plasma membrane is composed of a phospholipid bilayer; where the phospholipids self-assemble by hydrophobic interaction. Cells and vesicles have been assembled by exploiting this hydrophobic interaction and used in biomedical applications [66–68]. Meier *et al* [66] reported that a PEG derivative capped with cholesteryl groups can function as a physical crosslinker of vesicles and cells. It was also reported that the crosslinking of liposomes with this PEG derivative enables rapid recovery, even after 1000% strain [69]. Taguchi and co-worker [70] prepared dioleoyl PEG, which can physically crosslink pancreatic beta cells by a hydrophobic interaction.

The resulting spheroids showed enhanced insulin secretion compared with that of pancreatic beta cells cultured in the absence of dioleoyl PEG. They also showed increased mRNA expression of a typical cell adhesion molecule, E-cadherin, with increasing concentrations of dioleoyl PEG. On the other hand, Mo *et al* [71] developed a 16-arm polypropylenimine hexadecaamine dendrimer conjugated to a PEG derivative capped with hydrophobic oleoyl groups to accomplish rapid construction of multicellular structures of hepatic cells (figure 7). Lee *et al* [72] reported on hydrophobically modified chitosan (hm-chitosan) and its



Figure 8. Immobilization of nonadherent cells on a solid substrate using polyethylene glycol terminated with an oleoyl group.

biomedical applications [73]. They applied hm-chitosan as a hemostatic agent and found that it coagulated blood even in the presence of heparin. They also reported loss of blood coagulation by the addition of α -cyclodextrin. Nagamune and co-workers [74, 75] described a biocompatible anchor for membrane (BAM) for the immobilization of nonadherent cells (figure 8). They prepared oleoyl groups bearing bovine serum albumin, coated it on glass, and successfully cultured nonadherent cells on BAM-coated glass. The stability of hydrophobically modified synthetic polymers immobilized at the plasma membrane has also been evaluated. Teramura et al [76] synthesized polyvinyl alcohol carrying alkyl side chains (PVA-alkyl) and PEG-conjugated phospholipid (PEG-lipid) and exposed living cells to these polymers. They concluded that these hydrophobically modified synthetic polymers could be immobilized at the plasma membrane; however, most of the polymers were excluded within 24 h.

3.2.2. Hydrogen bonding. It is known that 2-ureido-4[1H]-pyrimidinone (Upy) units dimerize via 4 strong hydrogen bonds. Menger and Zhang [77] synthesized cholesteryl groups modified with Upy for adhesion among vesicles. Results of light scattering, light microscopy and low-temperature high-resolution scanning electron microscopy showed self-aggregation of vesicles with a Upy-covered surface.

3.2.3. Electrostatic interaction. Electrostatic interaction has been used to fabricate interactive polymer-coated materials [36, 37]. Antunes *et al* [78] mixed anionic vesicles and cationic polymers to form a gel-like matrix. An elastic gel could then be formed with this highly charged polymer. This phenomenon was explained by both the charge density and the surfactant crystallization in the vesicles. Zhu *et al* [79] reported that surfactant-vesicles and liposomes can be codeposited with stimuli-responsive amino polysaccharide chitosan. Thin films were formed on the surface of islets of Langerhans by electrostatic interaction and used for immunosuppressive therapy. Miura *et al* [80] formed an ultrathin polyion complex membrane on islets of Langerhans with sodium alginate, poly(L-lysine), and a PEG-lipid conjugate terminated with amino groups without affecting cell viability.

3.2.4. Coordinate bonding. Constable et al [81] reported metal ion-induced vesicle aggregation. They incorporated 2, 2": 6'2"-terpyridine (terpy)-functionalized phospholipids into lecithin vesicles and observed the formation of vesicle aggregates in response to the addition of iron (II). They proposed that this aggregation could be readily deformed by the addition of the chelator ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid; therefore, vesicle aggregation/deformation could be controlled. Mart et al [82] developed cell adhesion molecule (CAM) mimics and reported the induction of vesicle aggregation. The CAM mimics were Cu (iminodiacetate)-capped, lipid-incorporating, perfluoroalkyl-pyrene motifs that can anchor to the phospholipid bilayer. As these molecules can interact with copper, they induced vesicle aggregates with diameters between 20 and 80 μ m within 2 min. These authors concluded that phase separation of the CAM mimics in the bilayer is a key for vesicle aggregation. Boonyarattanakalin et al [83] reported an artificial cell-surface receptor using metal-dependent, cell-penetrating peptides. A synthetic cell surface receptor with an oligohistidine binding motif and an N-alkyl-3beta-cholesterylamine motif was prepared for the delivery of His-tagged proteins into mammalian cells by receptor-mediated endocytosis.

3.2.5. *Molecular recognition*. Meier [84] reported reversible cell aggregation via specific ligand-receptor coupling. He employed asymmetrically substituted PEGs carrying at one end a hydrophobic cholesteryl group and at the other end a hydrophilic biotin group. These polymers can anchor in the lipid membrane of cells via the hydrophobic cholesteryl groups; therefore, biotin groups could be readily immobilized at the cell membrane. Using the intermolecular interaction between streptavidin and biotin, cell aggregation/dispersion could be controlled by the addition of streptavidin or biotin. Wang et al [85] evaluated the effect of induced cell aggregation on the signaling process among cells. They incorporated artificial lipid-anchored streptavidin conjugates into the cells, induced intercellular interaction by the addition of biotin, and found that the activation of signaling processes was caused by clustering of cell membrane components.

4. Conclusions and outlook

This article reviewed recent research on materials and technologies for cell/vesicle assembly and organ regeneration. Such materials and technologies will enable architecting various types of organs like liver and pancreas. As shown in figure 9, the adhesive materials and technologies will work as 'glues' for assembling various kinds of cells. The adhesive materials should be degraded when cells themselves biosynthesize cell adhesion molecules just like E-cadherin. Although integration of newly developed materials and technologies will be required for the regeneration of organs



Figure 9. Strategy of organ engineering using cell-assembling adhesive materials or technology.

in vitro, this will ultimately lead to the creation of three-dimensionally engineered organs with functions similar to those of natural organs.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Ms T Kojima of the Biofunctional Materials Unit, Nano-Bio Field, Materials Nanoarchitectonics (MANA), National Institute for Materials Science, for technical support. This study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid from the National Institute of Biomedical Innovation of Japan and by the World Premier International Research Center (WPI) Initiative on Materials Nanoarchitectonics, MEXT, Japan.

References

- [1] Langer R and Vacanti J P 1993 Science 260 920
- [2] Lutolf M P and Hubbell J A 2005 Nat. Biotechnol. 23 47
- [3] Griffith L G and Naughton G 2002 *Science* **295** 1009
- [4] Drury J L and Mooney D J 2003 Biomaterials 24 4337
- [5] Chen G P, Ushida T and Tateishi T 2002 Macromol. Biosci. 2 67
- [6] Rezwan K, Chen Q Z, Blaker J J and Boccaccini A R 2006 Biomaterials 27 3413
- [7] Kuroyanagi Y, Kenmochi M, Ishihara S, Takeda A, Shiraishi A, Ootake N, Uchinuma E, Torikai K and Shioya N 1993 *Ann. Plast. Surg.* **31** 340
- [8] Ochi M, Uchio Y, Kawasaki K, Wakitani S and Iwasa J 2002 J. Bone Joint Surg. Br. 84B 571
- [9] Ohnishi H, Oda Y and Ohgushi H 2010 *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* **11** 1
- [10] Abbott A 2003 Nature **424** 870
- [11] Service R F 2003 Science 302 46
- [12] Seo S J, Kim I Y, Choi Y J, Akaike T and Cho C S 2006 Biomaterials 27 1487

- [13] Kinoshita N, Echigo Y, Shinohara S, Gu Y, Miyazaki J, Inoue K and Imamura M 2001 Cell Transplant. 10 473
- [14] Nagaki M, Shidoji Y, Yamada Y, Sugiyama A, Tanaka M, Akaike T, Ohnishi H, Moriwaki H and Muto Y 1995 Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 210 38
- [15] Brown L A, Arterburn L M, Miller A P, Cowger N L, Hartley S M, Andrews A, Silber P M and Li A P 2003 *In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. Anim.* 39 13
- [16] Ohyabu Y, Kida N, Kojima H, Taguchi T, Tanaka J and Uemura T 2006 Biotech. Bioeng. 95 1003
- [17] Yoshioka T, Mishima H, Ohyabu Y, Sakai S, Akaogi H, Ishii T, Kojima H, Tanaka J, Ochiai N and Uemura T 2007 J. Orthopaed. Res. 25 1291
- [18] Qiu Q Q, Ducheyne P and Ayyaswamy P S 1999 Biomaterials 20 989
- [19] Okamura A, Zheng Y W, Hirochika R, Tanaka J and Taniguchi H 2007 J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 7 721
- [20] Duke P J, Daane E L and Montufarsolis D 1993 J. Cell. Biochem. 51 274
- [21] Rucci N, Migliaccio S, Zani B M, Taranta A and Teti A 2002 J. Cell Biochem. 85 167
- [22] Nishikawa M, Ohgushi H, Tamai N, Osuga K, Uemura M, Yoshikawa H and Myoui A 2005 Cell Transplant. 14 829
- [23] Tamada Y and Ikada Y 1994 J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 28 783
- [24] Otsuka H, Hirano A, Nagasaki Y, Okano T, Horiike Y and Kataoka K 2004 *Chem. Bio. Chem.* **5** 850
- [25] Fukuda J, Sakai Y and Nakazawa K 2006 Biomaterials 27 1061
- [26] Tamura T, Sakai Y and Nakazawa K 2008 J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Med. 19 2071
- [27] Anada T, Masuda T, Honda Y, Fukuda J, Arai F, Fukuda T and Suzuki O 2010 Sensors Actuators B 147 376
- [28] Yamauchi N, Yamada O, Takahashi T and Hashizume K 2001 J. Reprod. Dev. 47 165
- [29] Xu T, Jin J, Gregory C, Hickman J J and Boland T 2005 Biomaterials 26 93
- [30] Nakamura M, Kobayashi A, Takagi F, Watanabe A, Hiruma Y, Ohuchi K, Iwasaki Y, Horie M, Morita I and Takatani S 2005 *Tissue Eng.* 11 1658

- [31] Matsunaga Y T, Morimoto Y and Takeuchi S 2011 Adv. Mater. 23 H90
- [32] Chang S C N, Rowley J A, Tobias G, Genes N G, Roy A K, Mooney D J, Vacanti C A and Bonassar L J 2001 J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 55 503
- [33] Xu T, Gregorya C A, Molnara P, Cuia X, Jalotab S, Bhadurib S B and Bolanda T 2006 *Biomaterials* 27 3580
- [34] Ilkhanizadeh S, Teixeira A I and Hermanson O 2007 Biomaterials 28 3936
- [35] Biase M D, Saunders R E, Tirelliab N and Derby B 2011 Soft Matter 7 2639
- [36] Decher G 1997 Science 277 1232
- [37] Serizawa T, Yamaguchi M, Matsuyama T and Akashi M 2000 Biomacromolecules 1 306
- [38] Taguchi T, Kishida A and Akashi M 1998 Chem. Lett. 8 711
- [39] Matsusaki M, Kadowaki K, Nakahara Y and Akashi M 2007 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 46 4689
- [40] Nakane Y and Kubo I 2009 Thin Solid Films 518 678
- [41] Schmidt C E and Baier J M 2000 *Biomaterials* **21** 2215
- [42] Gilbert T W, Sellaro T L and Badylak S F 2006 *Biomaterials* 27 3675
- [43] Rieder E, Kasimir M T, Silberhumer G, Seebacher G, Wolner E, Simon P and Weigel G 2004 J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 127 399
- [44] McFetridge P S, Daniel J W, Bodamyali T, Horrocks M and Chaudhuri J B 2004 J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A 70 224
- [45] Ketchedjian A, Jones A L, Krueger P, Robinson E, Crouch K, Wolfinbarger L and Hopkins R 2005 Ann. Thorac. Surg. 79 888
- [46] Sawada K, Terada D, Yamaoka T, Kitamura S and Fujisato T 2008 J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 83 94
- [47] Weymann A et al 2011 Circ. J. 75 852
- [48] Pang K, Du L and Wu X 2010 Biomaterials **31** 72573
- [49] Sasaki S, Funamoto S, Hashimoto Y, Kimura T, Honda T, Hattori S, Kobayashi H, Kishida A and Mochizuki M 2009 *Mol. Vis.* 15 216
- [50] Tedder M E, Liao J, Weed B, Stabler C, Zhang H, Simionescu A and Simionescu D T 2009 *Tissue Eng.* A 15 1257
- [51] Lu H, Hoshiba T, Kawazoe N and Chen G 2011 Biomaterials 32 2489
- [52] Funamoto S, Nam K, Kimura T, Murakoshi A, Hashimoto Y, Niwaya K, Kitamura S, Fujisato T and Kishida A 2010 *Biomaterials* **31** 3590
- [53] Uygun B E et al 2010 Nat. Med. 16 814
- [54] Petersen T H et al 2010 Science 329 5991
- [55] Okano T, Yamada N, Sakai H and Sakurai Y 1993 J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 27 1243
- [56] Kwon O H, Kikuchi A, Yamato M, Sakurai Y and Okano T 2000 J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 50 82

- [57] Shimizu T, Yamoto M, Kikuchi A and Okano T 2001 *Tissue* Eng. 7 141
- [58] Okano T, Yamada N, Okuhara M, Sakai H and Sakurai Y 1995 Biomaterials 16 297
- [59] Ohashi K et al 2007 Nat. Med. 13 880
- [60] Nishida K et al 2004 Transplantation 77 379
- [61] Nishida K et al 2004 New Engl. J. Med. 351 1187
- [62] Hasegawa M, Yamato M, Kikuchi A, Okano T and Ishikawa I 2005 Tissue Eng. 11 469
- [63] Yamato M, Konno C, Utsumi M, Kikuchi A and Okano T 2002 Biomaterials 23 561
- [64] Tsuda Y, Kikuchi A, Yamato M, Nakao A, Sakurai Y, Umezu M and Okano T 2005 *Biomaterials* 26 1885
- [65] Yamato M, Utsumi M, Kushida A, Konno C, Kikuchi A and Okano T 2001 *Tissue Eng.* 7 473
- [66] Meier W, Hotz J and Günther-Ausborn S 1996 Langmuir 12 5028
- [67] Zhu C, Lee J H, Raghavan S R and Payne G F 2006 Langmuir 22 2951
- [68] Regev O, Marques E F and Khan A 1999 Langmuir 15 642
- [69] Rao Z, Inoue M, Matsuda M and Taguchi T 2011 Colloids Surf. B 82 196
- [70] Ito M and Taguchi T 2009 Acta Biomater. 5 2945
- [71] Mo X et al 2010 Biomaterials 31 7455
- [72] Lee J H, Gustin J P, Chen T, Payne G F and Raghavan S R 2005 Langmuir 21 26
- [73] Dowling M B, Kumar R, Keibler M A, Hess J R, Bochicchio G V and Raghavan S R 2011 *Biomaterials* 32 3351
- [74] Kato K, Umezawa K, Funeriu D P, Miyake M, Miyake J and Nagamune T 2003 Biotechniques 35 1014
- [75] Kato K, Itoh C, Yasukouchi T and Nagamune T 2004 Biotechnol. Prog. 20 897
- [76] Teramura Y, Kaneda Y, Totani T and Iwata H 2008 Biomaterials 29 1345
- [77] Menger F M and Zhang H 2006 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128 1414
- [78] Antunes F E, Marques E F, Gomes R, Thuresson K, Lindman B and Miguel M G 2004 Langmuir 20 4647
- [79] Zhu C, Wu L Q, Wang X, Lee J H, English D S, Ghodssi R, Raghavan S R and Payne G F 2007 Langmuir 23 286
- [80] Miura S, Teramur Y and Iwata H 2006 Biomaterials 27 5828
- [81] Constable E C, Meier W, Nardin C and Mundwiler S 1999 Chem. Commun. 1999 1483
- [82] Mart R J, Liem K P, Wang X and Webb S J 2006 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128 14462
- [83] Boonyarattanakalin S, Athavankar S, Sun Q and Peterson B R 2006 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128 386
- [84] Meier W 2000 Langmuir 16 1457
- [85] Wang T, Leventis R and Silvius J R 2005 J. Biol. Chem. 280 22839