



Published in final edited form as:

J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016 April ; 64(4): 908–911. doi:10.1111/jgs.14074.

Rural and Urban Disparities in Frailty and Aging-Related Health Conditions in Korea

Il-Young Jang, MD^{a,*}, Hee-Won Jung, MD, MSc^{b,c,*}, Chang Ki Lee, MD^d, Young Soo Lee, MD, PhD^e, Kwang-il Kim, MD, PhD^b, Ki Woong Kim, MD, PhD^f, HyunJu Oh, RDH^g, Mi-Young Ji, RN^g, Eunju Lee, MD, PhD^e, and Dae Hyun Kim, MD, MPH, ScD^h

^aDepartment of Internal Medicine, PyeongChang Health Center & County Hospital, PyeongChang, Gangwon-Do, Republic of Korea

^bGeriatric Center, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Gyeonggi-Do, Republic of Korea

^cGraduate school of medical science and engineering, Korea advanced institute of science and technology (KAIST), Daejeon, Republic of Korea

^dDepartment of Urology, PyeongChang Health Center & County Hospital, PyeongChang, Gangwon-Do, Republic of Korea

^eDepartment of Internal Medicine, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^fDepartment of Neuropsychiatry, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Gyeonggi-Do, Republic of Korea

^gDepartment of Health Promotion, PyeongChang Health Center & County Hospital, PyeongChang, Gangwon-Do, Republic of Korea

^hDivision of Gerontology, Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

To the Editor

Disparities in health status between urban and rural dwellers have been demonstrated in several populations.^{1–4} Whether rural dwellers suffer from a greater burden of frailty and aging-related health conditions than urban dwellers is not known. Understanding such differences may help us tailor medical and public health interventions for aging population

Address for Correspondence: Eunju Lee, MD, PhD, Department of Internal Medicine, Asan Medical Center, 88 Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 138-736, Korea, Tel: +82-2-3010-3308, Fax: +82-2-476-0824, eunjulee@amc.seoul.kr.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Dr. Dae Hyun Kim provides paid consultative services on geriatrics care to the Alosa Foundation, a nonprofit educational organization that has no relationship to any drug or device manufacturers. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions:

IYJ designed the study, conducted the field study, collected data, performed statistical analysis, interpreted data, and revised the manuscript. HWJ designed the study, performed statistical analysis, interpreted data, and drafted the manuscript. DHK, KIK, KWK and EYL designed the study, interpreted data, and revised the manuscript. CKL, HJO, and MYJ performed the field study, collected data, and revised the manuscript. YSL provided funding, designed the study, interpreted data, and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

in the respective regions. To this end, we determined the prevalence of frailty and aging-related conditions between the 2 population-based cohorts of rural and urban dwellers in Korea: the Aging Study of PyeongChang Rural Area (ASPRA) and the Korean Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging (KLoSHA).

The ASPRA cohort, established in 2014, is a population-based cohort study of 382 rural dwellers who are ≥65 years of age and reside in 2 towns in PyeongChang County (total population size: 43,660; ≥65 years: 22.0%), located 180 kilometers east of Seoul. This cohort includes 95% of the eligible people in the study area and its sociodemographic characteristics are similar to those of a national sample of rural population, except higher proportions of individuals who are currently working in agriculture (53.4% vs. 25.1%) and who had no formal education (44.8% vs. 22.6%). The KLoSHA enrolled 693 urban dwellers in 2005 who were ≥65 years and lived in Seongnam City, one of the largest satellite cities of Seoul (total population size: 931,019; ≥65 years: 6.6%).⁵⁻⁷ We analyzed data from 484 participants who were randomly sampled from the census. In both studies, participants underwent a comprehensive evaluation by geriatricians, including the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) frailty,⁸ multimorbidity, basic and instrumental activity-of-daily-living disability, Mini-Mental State Examination, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale, Mini-Nutritional Assessment Short-Form, and incontinence. We compared the prevalence of frailty and each condition between rural and urban dwellers after adjusting for age and sex, using linear regression for continuous variables and logistic regression for dichotomous variables. To determine a single screening test for frailty, we evaluated the discrimination ability of each frailty component in identifying frailty using C statistics.

Compared with urban dwellers from the KLoSHA cohort, rural dwellers from the ASPRA cohort had older age (74.4 years vs. 70.9 years), higher proportion of woman (56.3% vs. 52.5%), and lower education level (5.1 years vs. 7.9 years) (Table). Rural dwellers had higher prevalence of frailty than urban dwellers (17.4% vs. 10.3%), mainly due to higher prevalence of exhaustion (33.2% vs. 23.8%) and weakness (50.9% vs. 15.8%); slowness (8.4% vs. 26.9%) was less common. The frailty component with the highest discrimination ability was low activity (C statistic: 0.90) in rural dwellers and weight loss (C statistic: 0.88) in urban dwellers. Rural dwellers had higher prevalence of multimorbidity (31.9% vs. 21.3%), activity-of-daily-living disability (14.9% vs. 5.4%), and instrumental activity-of-daily-living disability (38.5% vs. 18.2%). Cognitive dysfunction, depression, malnutrition risk and incontinence did not differ between the two populations.

Older Koreans living in rural communities have a disproportionately greater burden of frailty and aging-related health conditions than those in urban communities. Better health status of urban dwellers compared with rural dwellers has been previously reported,¹⁻⁴ but health status was self-reported and did not include aging-related conditions. We assessed the frailty using the validated CHS criteria⁸ and found remarkable differences in frailty components between rural and urban dwellers. Although mobility impairment is generally considered a single best marker of frailty,⁹ low activity outperformed other components of frailty to identify frailty in rural dwellers and weight loss did better in urban dwellers. Our results suggest that the choice of a screening test for frailty should be dependent on the characteristics of the population. Compared with urban dwellers, only a small fraction of

rural dwellers had slowness and almost half of rural dwellers had weakness. Multimorbidity and disability were more common among rural dwellers. These findings call for medical and public health interventions targeting different components of frailty for rural dwellers. Limited access to health care and resources in rural areas are barriers to eliminate the disparities in age-related health conditions between urban and rural populations. Our study highlights that the choice of frailty screening tests and interventions need to be tailored for the characteristics of rural and urban populations.

Acknowledgments

We thank our study participants and their family in Haanmi-Li and Gaesu-Li, PyeongChang County, Gangwon Province, South Korea. We are also grateful to public health professionals at the Community Health Posts and County Hospital who provided administrative support.

Sponsor's role:

The Aging Study of PyeongChang Rural Area was made possible by an internal fund that was personally donated by Dr. Young Soo Lee. Dr. Hee-Won Jung is supported by Global PH.D Fellowship Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2015H1A2A1030117). Dr. Dae Hyun Kim is supported by the Paul B. Beeson Clinical Scientist Development Award in Aging from the National Institute on Aging of the NIH (1K08AG051187).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

Elements of Financial/Personal Conflicts	Il-Young Jang		Hee-Won Jung		Chang Ki Lee		Young Soo Lee	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Employment or Affiliation		X		X		X		X
Grants/Funds		X		X		X		X
Honoraria		X		X		X		X
Speaker Forum		X		X		X		X
Consultant		X		X		X		X
Stocks		X		X		X		X
Royalties		X		X		X		X
Expert Testimony		X		X		X		X
Board Member		X		X		X		X
Patents		X		X		X		X
Personal Relationship		X		X		X		X

Elements of Financial/Personal Conflicts	Kwang-il Kim		Ki Woong Kim		HyunJu Oh		Mi-Young Ji	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Employment or Affiliation		X		X		X		X
Grants/Funds		X		X		X		X

Elements of Financial/Personal Conflicts	Kwang-il Kim		Ki Woong Kim		HyunJu Oh		Mi-Young Ji	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Honoraria		X		X		X		X
Speaker Forum		X		X		X		X
Consultant		X		X		X		X
Stocks		X		X		X		X
Royalties		X		X		X		X
Expert Testimony		X		X		X		X
Board Member		X		X		X		X
Patents		X		X		X		X
Personal Relationship		X		X		X		X

Elements of Financial/Personal Conflicts	EunJu Lee		Dae Hyun Kim					
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Employment or Affiliation		X		X				
Grants/Funds		X		X				
Honoraria		X		X				
Speaker Forum		X		X				
Consultant		X	X					
Stocks		X		X				
Royalties		X		X				
Expert Testimony		X		X				
Board Member		X		X				
Patents		X		X				
Personal Relationship		X		X				

References

1. Yu P, Song X, Shi J, et al. Frailty and survival of older Chinese adults in urban and rural areas: results from the Beijing Longitudinal Study of Aging. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2012; 54:3–8. [PubMed: 21621282]
2. Collard RM, Boter H, Schoevers RA, et al. Prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older persons: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society.* 2012; 60:1487–1492. [PubMed: 22881367]
3. Garcia-Garcia FJ, Gutierrez Avila G, Alfaro-Acha A, et al. The prevalence of frailty syndrome in an older population from Spain. *The Toledo Study for Healthy Aging. The journal of nutrition, health & aging.* 2011; 15:852–856.
4. Song X, MacKnight C, Latta R, et al. Frailty and survival of rural and urban seniors: results from the Canadian Study of Health and Aging. *Aging clinical and experimental research.* 2007; 19:145–153. [PubMed: 17446726]

5. Kim KI, Chang HJ, Cho YS, et al. Current status and characteristics of hypertension control in community resident elderly Korean people: data from a Korean longitudinal study on health and aging (KLoSHa study). *Hypertension research*. 2008; 31:97–105. [PubMed: 18360024]
6. Park JH, Lim S, Lim JY, et al. An overview of the Korean Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging. *Psychiatry Investigation*. 2007; 4:84–95.
7. Jung HW, Kim SW, Ahn S, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of frailty in Korean elderly population: comparisons of a multidimensional frailty index with two phenotype models. *PloS one*. 2014; 9:e87958. [PubMed: 24505338]
8. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. 2001; 56:M146–M156.
9. Abellan van Kan G, Rolland Y, et al. The I.A.N.A Task Force on frailty assessment of older people in clinical practice. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2008; 12:29–37.

Burden of Frailty and Geriatric Conditions in Older Adults Living in Rural versus Urban Communities in Korea

Table

Characteristics	ASPPRA (Rural Communities)			KLoSHA (Urban Communities)		
	Men % or mean (± SD)	Women % or mean (± SD)	Total % or mean (± SD)	Men % or mean (± SD)	Women % or mean (± SD)	Total % or mean (± SD)
Sample size	167	215	382	230	254	484
Age, years	73.7 (± 6.3)	74.9 (± 6.6)	74.4 (± 6.5)	70.4 (± 4.6)	71.0 (± 5.3)	70.9 (± 5.2)
Education level, years*	7.8 (± 5.0)	3.1 (± 4.7)	5.1 (± 5.3)	8.6 (± 5.8)	7.4 (± 5.5)	7.9 (± 5.6)
Medical aid (monthly income < USD 500)*	5.4	6.0	5.8	6.1	7.1	6.6
Living alone	12.6	30.7	22.8	14.3	17.3	15.9
Frailty status by CHS criteria						
Robust	42.2	20.6	30.0	43.9	22.4	32.6
Prefrail	47.0	57.0	52.6	52.6	61.0	57.0
Frail	10.8	22.4	17.4	3.5	16.5	10.3
Components of frailty						
Exhaustion*	26.3	38.6	33.2	15.7	31.1	23.8
Low activity*	19.8	20.0	19.9	20.4	26.8	23.8
Slowness*	5.4	10.7	8.4	16.5	36.2	26.9
Weakness*	30.7	66.7	50.9	9.5	22.0	15.8
Weight loss*	15.0	21.4	18.6	21.3	28.7	25.2
Multimorbidity**†	23.4	38.6	31.9	23.5	19.3	21.3
ADL disability*	10.8	18.1	14.9	3.5	7.1	5.4
IADL disability*	22.8	33.0	38.5	15.7	20.5	18.2
Cognitive dysfunction (MMSE <24)	16.8	46.0	33.4	15.2	46.1	31.4
Depression (CES-D 21)	8.0	18.7	14.1	7.0	22.4	15.1
At risk for malnutrition (MNA <12 or NSI 3)	30.5	43.7	37.9	32.3	46.6	39.7
Incontinence	5.4	10.7	8.4	1.5	6.3	3.9

Abbreviations: ADL, activity of daily living; ASPPRA, Aging Study of PyeongChang Rural Area; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Study of Depression Scale score; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; IADL, instrumental activity of daily living; KLoSHA, Korea Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging; MMSE, Mini-Mental Status Examination score; MNA, Mini-Nutritional Assessment short form score; NSI, the Nutrition Screening Initiative score; SD, standard deviation; USD, United States dollar.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

* $P < 0.05$ comparing rural dwellers vs. urban dwellers after adjusting for age and sex

[†] Multimorbidity was defined as having 2 chronic conditions among the following: angina, arthritis, asthma, cancer excluding minor skin cancer, chronic lung disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes, heart attack, hypertension, kidney disease, and stroke.