

LITHIUM IN THE TREATMENT OF BIPOLAR DISORDER: PHARMACOLOGY AND PHARMACOGENETICS

Martin Alda, MD, FRCPC^{1,2}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

²Department of Psychiatry, 3rd School of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Abstract

After decades of research, the mechanism of action of lithium in preventing recurrences of bipolar disorder remains only partially understood. Lithium research is complicated by absence of suitable animal models of bipolar disorder and by having to rely on *in vitro* studies of peripheral tissues. A number of distinct hypotheses emerged over the years, but none has been conclusively supported or rejected. The common theme emerging from pharmacological and genetic studies is that lithium affects multiple steps in cellular signalling, usually enhancing basal and inhibiting stimulated activities. Some of the key nodes of these regulatory networks include GSK3, CREB, and Na⁺-K⁺ ATPase. Genetic and pharmacogenetic studies are starting to generate promising findings, but remain limited by small sample sizes. As full responders to lithium seem to represent a unique clinical population, there is inherent value and need for studies of lithium responders. Such studies will be an opportunity to uncover specific effects of lithium in those individuals who clearly benefit from the treatment.

Keywords

Lithium; bipolar disorder; signal transduction; glycogen synthase kinase 3; Na⁺-K⁺ ATPase; CREB; calcium; neuroprotection; pharmacogenetics

Introduction

Lithium occupies a unique place among psychiatric treatments. It is the third smallest atom; its physiological effects are many and yet we do not know which of them are responsible for its therapeutic effects. Lithium has been also among the oldest psychiatric treatments. Its use in the 19th century was not widespread, but already then some authors pointed to its benefits in various forms of mood disorders. It was used by Garrod for metabolic disturbances and gout (assumed to be linked to mood dysregulation), by Hammond for mania, and in Denmark specifically for recurrent mood disorders by Lange brothers Carl and Frederik.¹

The modern history of lithium started in 1949 with the publication of a paper by John Cade noticing its specific effect in patients with mania.² The history of lithium use in psychiatry has been well described and shows varying degree of acceptance in different countries as well as some controversies.¹ More widespread acceptance of lithium, especially in the US took place in the 1970s with a gradual decrease in use by the late 1980s with the advent of anticonvulsants and atypical antipsychotics.³

Yet, after more than 60 years lithium remains the first-line treatment for prevention of manic and depressive episodes of bipolar disorder (BD). In developed countries it is used by 1 to 3 people per 1000;⁴ the savings brought by lithium between 1970 and 1991 have been estimated at \$8 billion per year in the US alone.⁵ A number of studies since the 1960s established lithium efficacy; these were later supported by modern trials of newer medications that used it as a comparator. Meta-analyses of these studies confirm the efficacy of lithium^{6,7} and show that it reduces the risk of suicide as well as overall mortality, two outcomes known to be increased in untreated BD.⁸

Clinical effects of lithium

Lithium is used primarily for long term (“prophylactic”) treatment of BD with the aim to prevent further manic and depressive recurrences. In this indication, lithium remains the first-line treatment. However, lithium has other clinical effects that may be partially independent from each other. The first modern use of lithium was for treatment of mania.² Lithium has also proven useful in major depression, particularly for augmentation of antidepressants;⁹ for aggressive behaviour;^{10,11} and it has a specific antisuicide effect.^{12,13} Lithium’s prophylactic and antisuicidal effects are most unique – in these indications it is the most specific treatment in psychiatry. With respect to the antisuicidal effect, lithium differs from other mood stabilizers as it reduces the risk of suicide not only through prevention of mood episodes, but also in lithium nonresponders, perhaps through a different mechanism.¹² Some of the other effects of lithium are less specific. For instance, many drugs, including anticonvulsants and antipsychotics have similar antimanic properties.¹⁴ On the other hand, bipolar depression remains the most difficult to treat aspect of BD in spite of multiple treatment options.¹⁵ Lithium has a limited effect in bipolar depression,¹⁶ although it does not differ significantly from most alternatives.^{14,17} As well, benefits of lithium need to be weighted against its side effects and sometimes lower acceptability by patients.^{6,18}

Mechanisms

Lithium has multiple pharmacological effects on multiple signaling pathways, and other cellular processes. This presents a paradox whereby a drug with such complex effects comes closest to having the most specific clinical effects in all of psychiatry. The effects of lithium are not easy to categorize in a simple linear hierarchical fashion; it appears to modulate intricate regulatory networks via multiple key nodes. The link between individual (and often highly correlated) effects is sometimes overlooked as our field moves on to newer theories, disregarding the previous ones. Detailed reviews are available for interested readers, the recent ones heavily focused on neuroprotective mechanisms.^{19–22} However, the history of lithium pharmacology is full of loose ends - observations made at various times and never

put in the context of newer discoveries. The purpose of this review is to outline possible links between the clinical effects of lithium, the known aspects of pathophysiology of BD, and pharmacology of lithium. In other words, how can clinical observations inform the search for better treatments, i.e. treatments that would retain the essential benefits of lithium without its side effects? Studies of action of lithium are also linked to those of the pathophysiology of BD; often newly discovered changes were examined with respect to the effect of lithium and conversely, lithium-mediated effects were studied as a possible basis for the neurobiology of BD. This strategy common to most psychopharmacological research is not without pitfalls, though.²³

Box 1 summarizes some of the clinical aspect of lithium therapy that may be most relevant when discerning which actions of lithium could be responsible for its clinical effects.

Box 1

Clinical factors that could provide clues to mechanisms of action of lithium

What we know

- Lithium is effective for prevention of recurrences of BD and reduces the risk of suicide
- The response to prophylactic treatment runs in families
- Most patients need plasma levels between 0.6 and 1.0mmol/L for a full clinical effect
- Lithium works best in patients with classical (typical) features of BD
- Lithium is neuroprotective *in vitro* and likely *in vivo*

What we assume

- Various clinical effects of lithium may be independent
- Lithium may work better early in the course of illness
- Rapid discontinuation of lithium may increase the risk of relapse
- Responders to lithium differ from responders to other mood stabilizers

What we do not know

- How long does it take for lithium to exert its prophylactic effect?
- Is the mechanism of action the same for all patients?
- Is the neuroprotective essential for mood stabilization?
- Are partial responders distinct from “excellent” responders?

For instance, the knowledge of clinically effective lithium blood levels can help in interpreting animal and *in vitro* experiments and separating therapeutic from toxic effects, as some studies used much higher than therapeutic concentrations. It is also important to acknowledge that lithium is stably effective in patients with typical BD, and that the more

recent reports of reduced lithium efficacy could be attributed to expansion of the diagnostic criteria of BD in DSM-based systems.²⁴⁻²⁷ Long term studies indicate that the distribution of response to lithium is typically bimodal, sometimes trimodal, with a smaller group of partial responders.²⁸ It is unclear whether partial responders are similar to responders, except that their treatment may not be optimized with respect to plasma levels or there is inadequate attention to adherence to treatment. In this respect it is useful to remember that treatment with lithium requires skill and experience best implemented in specialized clinical programs.^{29,30}

Another relevant factor is time to response. This has not been investigated systematically, but it appears highly variable. In the clinic, some people respond rapidly after only few doses, while others need several months to stabilize. The general consensus is that, in the first year of treatment, morbidity on lithium may still be elevated in patients who respond fully in the long term.³¹ This difference may reflect heterogeneity in mechanisms of action in fast and slow responders, but could also reflect psychological factors, compliance issues, time needed to achieve effective yet tolerable blood levels, interaction with the natural course of the illness, and other factors. As well, the time to response differs widely between antimanic or antidepressant-augmenting effects on one hand, and mood stabilizing or antisuicidal effects on the other.³¹ Some of the effects described in this text follow soon after acute administration, while others develop only during chronic treatment (cf. opposite effects of short term and long term treatments on glutamate signalling³² or adenylate cyclase³³).

As lithium has multiple pharmacodynamic effects, it is hard to establish which ones are responsible for its mood stabilizing properties. This might not be surprising if different mechanisms played a role in multiple discrete clinical effects or even similar effects in different patients. As outlined below, many effects are indirect, but it is not easy to disentangle what may be complex regulatory networks with multiple feedback loops, in which actions at different nodes can produce similar results.

While significantly overlapping and cross-linked, these effects (allowing for simplifications) can be grouped into those regulating cell membrane properties, cell membrane transport and ion distribution, neurotransmitter regulation, and intracellular signalling, which can be collectively characterized as linked multilevel cascades.

Additional difficulties arise from the fact that lithium may have differential effects in different tissues and/or brain regions that cannot be easily extrapolated to its effects in specific brain regions in patients with BD. Many findings are derived from laboratory animals or from studies in healthy volunteers obscuring possible differences in effects in responders, non-responders and healthy subjects. As well, pathophysiology of BD is probably dynamic, with state-dependent changes in different phases of the illness - depressive, manic, euthymic - as well as in different clinical stages of illness progression.^{34,35}

Electrolytes, membrane transport, membrane potential

Some of the earliest theories of the mechanism of action of lithium derived from then active research of electrolyte balance in BD and recurrent depression. These early studies of BD pointed to increased residual sodium during episodes of depression and mania, and its normalization in the course of lithium treatment.³⁶ Elevated intracellular sodium has been also found in more recent investigations (see El-Mallakh for a review³⁷).

As well, early studies of lithium, among others, measured the impact of lithium on neuronal (and muscle) excitability showing that lithium may reduce the resting membrane potential and reduce neuronal excitability (reviewed by Schou in 1957³⁸).

In 1970s Mendels and Frazer proposed that the ratio of intra- to extra-cellular lithium concentrations was associated with the treatment response.³⁹ The concentration ratio was assumed to be a heritable trait underlying propensity to BD,^{40,41} but these hypotheses were not confirmed by later studies. Moreover, it was subsequently discovered that lithium is not distributed in the brain (or even in neurons) evenly, questioning the relevance of the lithium ratio, especially if measured in peripheral cells.⁴² Nevertheless, lithium transport is important in relation to other mechanisms. The balance of intra- and extra-cellular lithium concentrations is maintained by several processes (efflux via Li^+ - Na^+ countertransport or Na^+ - H^+ exchanger and influx via passive diffusion and Na^+ channels in excitable tissues). In other types of cells, such as erythrocytes, bicarbonate-sensitive transport also plays an important role.⁴³ The Li^+ - Na^+ countertransport is inhibited during lithium treatment. Importantly, although ouabain sensitive Na^+ - K^+ pump does not account for lithium transport under physiological *in vivo* conditions, it is important for the electrochemical Na^+ gradient; and the Na^+ - K^+ ATPase is an important source of energy maintaining the resting membrane potential.⁴⁴ In turn, the energy needed to maintain the pump activity comes from glycolysis, but even more importantly from mitochondrial ATP.⁴⁵ Mitochondrial function in BD has been a subject of considerable interest with evidence of significant impairment.^{46–48} Recent results point to the role Na^+ - K^+ ATPase in signal transduction, modulation of CREB activity, apoptotic processes, and regulation of calcium homeostasis.^{49,50} Thus it appears as one of several important cross-roads in cellular signaling in excitable tissues. Its dysfunction (reduced activity) has been proposed as one of pathophysiological mechanisms of BD.^{37,51–53} Consistent with this are studies indicating that lithium stimulates Na^+ - K^+ ATPase activity,⁵⁴ although not all studies agree.⁵⁵ Overall, lithium seems to reduce intracellular sodium (and calcium), especially in overactive neurons, via voltage-gated sodium channels.^{37,56} The effect of lithium on Na^+ - K^+ ATPase has been found to be coupled with another relevant finding in BD, namely with a reduction of lipid peroxidation.⁵⁷ Lithium also influences other membrane transporters, for instance choline transport, with resulting increases in intracellular availability of choline and acetylcholine.^{58,59}

Monoaminergic signaling

Lithium has a prominent effect on several neurotransmitters. In animal studies it has been shown to increase serotonin transmission by multiple mechanisms including increased synthesis of serotonin, increased uptake of tryptophan, increased release of serotonin (possibly by inhibition of presynaptic 5HT_{1A} receptors) with activation of postsynaptic

5HT_{1A} and downregulation of 5HT₂ receptors.⁶⁰ Serotonergic effects of lithium have been suggested as responsible for its antisuicidal and antiaggressive actions, as well as contributing to antidepressant augmentation. However, a two-week study in healthy volunteers did not support a prominent effect on serotonergic function, but found small changes in noradrenergic signalling, consistent with increased norepinephrine release.⁶¹

Lithium administration does not seem to reduce basal dopaminergic tone, but inhibits increased dopaminergic activity possibly via action on β -arrestin complexes.⁶² This has been speculated as possibly contributing to the antimanic and even antipsychotic effects¹³ - although the latter one is disputed.

Other neurotransmitters

With respect to glutamatergic system, lithium shows several complementary actions. First, in acute administration it increases glutamate release, blocks glutamate reuptake, and stimulates NMDA receptors by competing with magnesium (Mg^{2+}) ion (these effects require higher than therapeutic concentrations of lithium), but after several days these effects are reversed and lithium actually reduces synaptic concentrations of glutamate by increasing and stabilizing its reuptake.^{32,63}

Overall, the effect of lithium on neurotransmission is stimulation of inhibitory transmission (and inhibition of excitatory signalling).¹⁹

Second messenger system

Lithium has a well established effect on various components of intracellular signalling cascades. Initial studies examined G proteins and the protein kinase A (PKA) signaling pathway, including the effect of lithium on adenylate cyclase (AC). AC is under regulatory influence of G-proteins broadly categorized as stimulatory (G_s) or inhibitory (G_i). The effects of lithium complement studies of AC/PKA signalling alterations in BD. Young et al. were the first to observe an increase of $G_s\alpha$ subunit in postmortem brains from bipolar patients and an increase of second messenger cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) following forskolin stimulation.⁶⁴ Lithium has been noted to inhibit both G_i and G_s and thus reduce the amplitude of signalling.^{33,65} Activation of the G protein coupled receptor signalling is terminated by β -arrestin complex through uncoupling of G proteins from receptors. AC generates cAMP that activates PKA, leading to a regulation of a number of cellular processes including transcription factors such as cAMP response element binding protein (CREB). Lithium inhibits AC^{66,67} and PKA,³³ specifically their calmodulin and forskolin stimulated activities, with little or no effect on basal activity.⁶⁸ Acute effects may be mediated by competition with (and reversed by) Mg^{2+} , but not chronic effects.³³

Activation of phospholipase B by G proteins - or phospholipase C by tyrosine kinase receptors (trk) receptors - with subsequent hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate initiates another important signal transduction cascade, namely, the phosphoinositide (PI) cycle. Lithium inhibits inositol monophosphatase (IMPase) and inositol polyphosphate-1-phosphatase (IPPase) leading to reduction in available inositol and the downstream targets of the cycle, inositol tris-phosphate (IP_3); this in turn decreases release of calcium, diacylglycerol (DAG) activation, and protein kinase C activity.^{69,70} In

parallel with effects of lithium, alterations in PI signaling in BD have also been reported⁷¹ and the inositol transporter has been found to be overexpressed in BD and down regulated by lithium.^{72–74} *In vivo* studies support the hypothesis that lithium treatment reduces myo-inositol in MR spectroscopy.⁷⁵ To date the inositol depletion hypothesis remains one of the strongest candidates for lithium mechanism of action. In addition to inhibition of IMPase, lithium also affects several structurally similar enzymes that include GSK3 as well as the β -arrestin-2-Akt complex.⁷⁶ Practically all these enzymes use Mg^{2+} as co-factor.

Additionally, lithium is known to inhibit guanylate cyclase (and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) levels⁷⁷) as well as decrease production of nitric oxide (NO).⁷⁸ Similar to other regulatory systems, the effect of lithium on cGMP/NO pathway does not work in isolation, and it likely affects monoaminergic signalling, adding to its neuroprotective role.^{79,80} In several studies the effects of lithium were discrepant (increase in NO and cGMP production under chronic treatment).⁸¹ But the contradictory findings may be reconciled if one considers that lithium attenuates excessive activity of the pathway and increases underactive signalling.

Transcription factors

Signal transduction effects commonly lead to regulation of transcription activity via activator protein 1 (AP1, a complex of Jun and fos transcription factors) or CREB. Their regulation leads to changes in gene expression of multiple pathways. For instance CREB increases the expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and anti-apoptotic b-cell lymphoma 2 (bcl-2), and reduces expression of tumor protein p53 (p53) and bcl-2-associated X protein (BAX) both acting as pro-apoptotic factors. In turn, CREB is regulated, among other factors, by GSK3 and by PKA/cAMP. Chronic lithium administration results in decreased CREB-directed gene expression and reduced CREB phosphorylation.⁸² As well, stress has been shown to increase CREB mediated transcription and this effect is also blocked by lithium.⁸²

Regulation of intracellular calcium

Calcium plays multiple roles in neurons. It acts as a second messenger in cell bodies, triggers neurotransmitter release in presynaptic terminals, maintains neuronal periodicity, and plays a role in synaptogenesis, plasticity and cell death.⁸³ During membrane depolarization, calcium enters cells via several different mechanisms from both extracellular space and intra-cellular (endoplasmic reticulum) sites via voltage gated, ligand gated, receptor operated and store operated channels⁸³. These are regulated by lithium, among others via NMDA receptors or downstream effects of IP_3 . Calcium abnormalities in BD and the role of mood stabilizers in regulating calcium homeostasis have been well documented elsewhere.^{84,85}

Glycogen synthase kinase

GSK3 is an important enzyme at the cross-roads of multiple signaling systems. It is inhibited by lithium in therapeutic concentrations, and this inhibition leads to multiple pharmacological effects; GSK3 has been known to regulate gene expression, embryonic development, neuronal survival, and circadian rhythms, among others.⁷⁶ Its downstream targets are manifold including: ionotropic glutamate signalling, multiple transcription

factors, and the Wntless-related integration site (Wnt)/ β catenin pathway.⁸⁶ Wnt signalling plays a role in structural brain processes such as neural development, synapse formation, and neuronal plasticity.⁸⁷ Little is known about possible cross-regulation of GSK3 and another key mediator of lithium action, namely $\text{Na}^+\text{-K}^+$ ATPase. One proposed mechanism involves regulation of both by serum- and glucocorticoid-inducible-kinase-1 (SGK1).⁸⁸ SGK1 is likely involved in glucocorticoid-mediated reduction of neurogenesis and recent data point to its role in depression and stress response.^{88,89} GSK3 activity is subject to inhibitory regulation by protein kinase B (Akt) that, itself, is activated by lithium and it is not clear if the effect of lithium on GSK is direct or indirect.⁹⁰ For instance, it has been proposed that lithium inhibits GSK3 by competing with Mg^{2+} , but such effect would require higher than therapeutic levels of Li. Thus, an indirect inhibition by enhancing phosphorylation of N-terminal serine residues of GSK3 is more likely. GSK3 function also links to CREB activity that is inhibited by GSK3 (and the inhibition is attenuated by lithium).⁹¹ Akt activation leads to reduction of apoptotic mechanisms and this effect is mediated by β -arrestin. Furthermore, GSK3/Akt pathway is regulated by dopamine and serotonin and some of these effects are likely mediated by β -arrestin complexes.⁹²

Do these effects have a common underlying mechanism?

There is a possibility that these effects have a single common denominator. One such candidate has been proposed, namely competition with Mg^{2+} ion that has a similar radius to lithium.^{93,94} Lithium interferes with a number of enzymes that rely on Mg^{2+} as co-factor. Some of these are phosphodiesterases (including IMPase, IPPase and AC), G-proteins and GSK3.^{87,94} Ultimately, competition with Mg^{2+} can affect a number of processes including gene expression, neuronal and synaptic plasticity, and chronobiological regulations.

In addition to Mg^{2+} , lithium can affect processes dependent on cations including sodium, potassium, and calcium. Key regulatory points in the complex networks of effects of lithium seem to be GSK3 and $\text{Na}^+\text{-K}^+$ ATPase. Another possibility is interaction with sodium-dependent processes, especially various sodium dependent membrane transporters.

However, it is possible that effects at multiple levels and targets are needed for lithium to be effective.⁶⁵ A combination of these molecular effects likely produces more complex changes such as neurotrophic effects or changes in chronobiological regulations.

Higher-order mechanisms

One way to conceptualize the effects of lithium is to consider neurobiological effects that might represent a composite of molecular actions and that might lie between the effects at molecular level and physiological/clinical effects. As stem cell derived neurons are becoming available these effects will represent testable hypotheses. They may serve as cellular phenotypes⁹⁵ of BD and its response to treatment.

Neuroprotection and neural plasticity

There is strong and continually accumulating evidence that lithium is neuroprotective both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Imaging studies are typically finding that patients treated with lithium

have larger cortical and hippocampal volumes^{96,97}. Similarly, magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies suggest increased levels of N-acetyl aspartate.^{98,99} These findings together with reports of structural brain changes in BD, especially in patients with multiple episodes and years of illness, and also higher rates of dementia in BD patients led some to suggest that it is the neuroprotective effect of lithium that is responsible for its mood stabilizing properties.

Several molecular mechanisms may be responsible for these observations. Lithium increases the activity of CREB, a transcription factor at the point of convergence of multiple signalling pathways. Among its downstream targets are BDNF and bcl-2. A second postulated mechanism is the inhibition of GSK3 with subsequent modulation of β catenin. Further factors include attenuation of glutamatergic activity, inhibition of several pro-apoptotic factors (Bax, p53, calpain) as well as effects on phosphoinositol turnover and increased expression of bcl-2,^{20,100} but many other mechanisms are likely to contribute as well. For instance, lithium has been shown to partially reverse telomere shortening in patients with BD¹⁰¹ as well as increase in mitochondrial function and oxidative phosphorylation.¹⁰²

One of the aspects of the neuroprotective (neurotrophic) effects of lithium is suppression of stress effects on the brain.²¹ Lithium thus may restore brain plasticity compromised in BD. It promotes neurogenesis in the hippocampus and prevents neuronal changes induced by stress in experimental animals.¹⁰³ In support of the notion that lithium regulates downstream targets of glucocorticoids rather than acting on hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis is the study by Deshauer et al. showing ongoing episodic positivity of dexamethasone suppression test in patients fully stabilized on lithium over a period of up to five years.¹⁰⁴

The neuroprotective hypothesis has not been reconciled with the observations that typical lithium responders often have an episodic course of illness with good inter-episode recoveries even without treatment – and that these patients do not typically suffer from functional (and possibly structural) impairment that would need to be corrected by Li.¹⁰⁵ As well, in a recent study Hajek et al. reported that the positive effect of lithium on hippocampal volume was not dependent on prevention of illness episodes by Li.¹⁰⁶

Chronobiology

Perhaps the most salient feature of BD is its recurrent nature, alternation between periods of depression, mania and euthymia. Patients are sensitive to effects of sleep deprivation and time shifts such as jet lag, as well as they are sensitive to effects of light. Therapy based on regulation of biological and social rhythms has been found effective.¹⁰⁷ Circadian rhythms are maintained by an interplay between activating and inhibitory transcription factors. Some of these factors are known to be also regulated by lithium. Lithium modifies biological rhythms, in particular it lengthens the free running cycle.^{108,109} This effect is probably mediated by changes in expression of several clock genes that act as transcription factors regulating the clock oscillations. Another factor in chronobiological effects of lithium is probably the inhibition of GSK3 that phosphorylates a number of proteins involved, and stabilization of transcription factor Rev-Erb- α . As well lithium ameliorates the chronobiological dysregulation in nuclear polymerase γ 1 gene (POLG1) mutant mice, one of the best animal models of BD to date.¹¹⁰

Stabilization of neuronal activity

Lithium appears to act on several systems in a bimodal manner, that is inhibiting excessive (stimulated) and augmenting reduced or basal activity^{65,111} This effect has been shown particularly prominent in the cAMP signaling pathway,^{65,65,68} but also in other systems (cf. section on cGMP signaling). It is mostly mediated by effects on signal transduction (AC, PI, G proteins) and transcription factors.

Prediction of lithium response

Lithium presents a dilemma. Its efficacy is unsurpassed, but the benefits come at a price of side effects for a proportion of patients.¹¹² Thus, treatment discontinuation is not rare. Even among compliant patients only about 30% of BD patients can be considered full responders and there is evidence of bimodal distribution of the degree of response.^{24,27,28,113–118} Such observations stimulated the search for factors associated with lithium response. Often these analyses relied on variables of convenience available in samples collected for other purposes. The factors can be divided into those that represent more or less stable traits (family history, pre-treatment clinical course, comorbidity) and factors that are more fluid and state-dependent (for instance the number of pre-treatment episodes). The strongest clinical predictors to date include episodic remitting pre-treatment clinical course, family history of BD, low rates of comorbidity, and typical clinical presentation.^{119–122} Other variables include intermediate age at onset, mania-depression-interval pattern in biphasic episodes, absence of spontaneous rapid cycling and MM/MN blood group.^{114,123–126} Among demographic and personality factors associated with the response the most prominent are high social status, social support, compliance, dominance vs. stress, high expressed emotions, neurotic personality, being employed, and low number of life events.¹²⁷ Importantly, while these predictors have a good combined power, based on the published evidence, they are not always practical from the clinical point of view. For instance, it is hard to evaluate pre-treatment course in patients with only one or two episodes (as most treatment guidelines now recommend instituting long term treatment of BD early), biphasic episodes are not frequent, and family history is less informative in small families that are more common these days. Assessment of treatment response is also complicated by variable compliance as well as comorbid substance abuse. Under such circumstances, prediction of who responds is becoming more difficult. Thus we need to study large samples evaluated systematically for treatment response, and we need to analyze different subsets of predictive variables allowing for incomplete data.

Does lithium response identify a distinct subtype of bipolar disorder?

The characteristics of patients that respond to long term lithium made some authors speculate that these represent a distinct subtype of BD. Applying the five-point criteria proposed by Robins and Guze more than 40 years ago¹²⁸ it appears that lithium responders represent a valid diagnostic category. They have a distinct clinical presentation with typical manias, melancholic depression, low rates of comorbid conditions and episodic recurrent clinical course (criterion 1). The response to lithium remains longitudinally stable in those studies that investigated compliant patients¹²⁹ (criterion 2). Family histories of lithium

responders differ from those of lithium nonresponders in higher rates of BD and lower rates of schizophrenia^{124,130,131} (criteria 3 and 4). Furthermore, first degree relatives of lithium responders also tend to respond to lithium with higher probability^{113,132,133} (criterion 4). At the same time there is relative paucity with respect to biological markers of the lithium responsive BD (criterion 5). Previous investigations have identified changes in membrane transport, neuroendocrine studies as well as neuroimaging¹³⁴ studies, but these have been usually small studies, and not followed by successful replications.

Pharmacogenetics of lithium

Summary of association studies

From the review so far we can see that the potential number of candidate genes to study in relation to lithium response and/or lithium responsive BD is enormous. And conversely, many positive findings can appear plausible by a *post hoc* neurobiological explanation as relevant to lithium's effect. A number of association studies examining lithium responsive BD and/or difference between responders and nonresponders have been reported in the literature. Recent reviews by Severino et al.¹³⁵ and by Geoffroy et al.¹³⁶ list analyses of 97 and 88 genes respectively. Notably, most of these studies are based on small samples (only 17 in the Geoffroy et al. review are based on samples of more than 200 subjects). In addition to low statistical power, these studies suffer from other limitations such as retrospective evaluation of treatment response, a number of separately published papers based on the same clinical samples, and analyses of only few or even a single marker per gene, especially in older studies. No positive findings have been replicated in at least two samples of 200 or more subjects. The best results in more than one study point to possible associations with nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group D, member 1 (NR1D1, also referred to as Rev-Erb- α),^{137–139} GSK3,^{139,140} serotonin transporter (SERT),^{141,142} BDNF,^{143,144} CREB,^{145,146} and the transcription factor XBP1.^{147,148} Notably, the reported effect sizes are such that they would be detectable by the existing GWAS – which has not been the case.

Linkage studies

Only few linkage studies examined families of probands with lithium responsive BD. Arguably, linkage studies are quite difficult in this context as only a proportion of family members may be treated with lithium for a variety of reasons. The results are not entirely consistent (reported linkage on chromosomes 18q,¹⁴⁹ 12q,¹⁵⁰ and in 3p25, 3p14 and 14q11¹⁵¹).

Genomewide association studies

In view of the limitations of the above approaches, it is not surprising that the field has turned towards genomewide association analyses. These are summarized in Table 1. Only one study found a genomewide significant result: a polymorphism in the GADL1 gene associated with lithium response with an associated OR of 82.2 in the combined discovery and replication samples.¹⁵² The variant rs17026688 is, however, absent in Caucasians and so a direct comparison with other GWAS is not possible. Nevertheless, attempts to replicate this finding in other East Asian populations have not been successful.^{153,154} A promising result albeit with small effect size comes from several GWAS of BD that identified ankyrin 3

(ANK3) as a susceptibility gene.^{155,156} ANK3 has a role in assembly of voltage gated sodium channels¹⁵⁷ and is downregulated by lithium.^{158,159}

Gene-expression studies

Attempts to gain understanding of effects of lithium by gene expression studies have been so far limited by tissues investigated (iPSC, LCLs, fibroblasts, animals, postmortem studies). Toker et al. presented a useful review of some of the methodological issues.¹⁶⁰ mRNA expression studies typically show effects on multiple gene and gene families without a consistent picture emerging.^{161,162} So far the results of genetic studies support only weakly some of the main hypotheses (glutamate, mitochondrial, or GSK3). An intriguing finding was obtained by McCarthy et al. showing altered expression of Rev-erb- α predictive of response to lithium.¹³⁹

Limitations of existing studies

Common to most psychiatric research are several factors that make it difficult. Some of these are summarized in Box 2.

Box 2

Limitations of existing studies

- Animal studies are limited by the lack of convincing animal models of BD
- Studies based on peripheral tissues do not model neuronal processes well
- Animal and in vitro studies sometimes based on higher-than-therapeutic lithium levels
- *In vitro* studies typically use an arbitrary treatment duration of seven days
- Healthy volunteer studies usually short-term and may not be generalizable to clinical populations
- Postmortem brain studies do not allow for testing effects of lithium; most based on lithium non-responders. Further limitations include incomplete clinical information, frequent comorbidities, many samples from suicide victims or elderly subjects
- Clinical studies often do not differentiate between responders and nonresponders to lithium; long term follow-up and systematic treatment approach are preferable to purely observational studies
- Inferring pathophysiology from pharmacological effects can be misleading

Most of current theories are based on animal studies and on studies of post-mortem brains. Yet, animal models of BD are not well developed.¹⁶³ For instance, mania is typically modeled by amphetamine administration. However, the key aspect of BD, its recurrent nature with alterations in energy and motivation is difficult to capture. Perhaps the closest is the model described by Kasahara and colleagues in mice with mutation in the POLG1 gene

and resulting mutations in mitochondrial DNA.¹¹⁰ Similarly, studies of post-mortem brains are limited by sample availability, by often incomplete information as well as the fact that many such samples come from suicide victims and non-responders to treatment.

Similar to research in other psychiatric conditions, there is a tendency to infer pathophysiology from drug effects and *vice versa*. This can be problematic, especially in a situation where both sides of the equation are known very incompletely, such as in BD. One example is the neuroprotective effect of lithium discussed earlier. Demonstration that it exists does not mean that it is necessary or sufficient for lithium to be clinically effective.

Finally, some authors argue that different treatments for BD should share their mechanisms of action. If this turns out true, it will facilitate the search for true underlying effects of, for instance lithium and valproate, and can represent a useful strategy. This assumes that there is a single prophylactic mechanism in all patients. One such proposed mechanism common to lithium and other mood stabilizers is their effect on sodium channels. Yet, most of mood stabilizers are differentially effective in different patients and so searching for commonalities can prove misleading.

Conclusions

Lithium to date represents the standard of long term treatment of BD against which other medications are compared. The progress in understanding how lithium produces its clinical effect has been slow and is only partially understood. The emerging picture stresses effects in multiple nodes of regulatory networks, in which lithium may dampen excessive activity and thus contribute to stabilization of neuronal activity, stress resilience, improved neuronal/synaptic plasticity and regulation of chronobiological processes.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Dr. Claire O'Donovan, Dr. Alessio Squassina, Mr. Nathan Corbett as well as anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the earlier version of the paper.

References

1. Schioldann, J. History of the Introduction of Lithium into Medicine and Psychiatry. Adelaide Academic Press; Adelaide, Australia: 2009.
2. Cade JFJ. Lithium salts in the treatment of psychotic excitement. The Medical Journal of Australia. 1949; 2:349–351. [PubMed: 18142718]
3. Blanco C, Laje G, Olfson M, Marcus SC, Pincus HA. Trends in the treatment of bipolar disorder by outpatient psychiatrists. Am J Psychiatry. 2002; 159:1005–1010. [PubMed: 12042190]
4. Bramness JG, Weitoft GR, Hallas J. Use of lithium in the adult populations of Denmark, Norway and Sweden. J Affect Disord. 2009; 118:224–228. [PubMed: 19249102]
5. Wyatt RJ, Henter ID, Jamison JC. Lithium revisited: savings brought about by the use of lithium, 1970–1991. Psychiatric Q. 2001; 72:149–166.
6. Geddes JR, Burgess S, Hawton K, Jamison K, Goodwin GM. Long-term lithium therapy for bipolar disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Psychiatry. 2004; 161:217–222. [PubMed: 14754766]
7. Severus E, Taylor MJ, Sauer C, Pfennig A, Ritter P, Bauer M, et al. Lithium for prevention of mood episodes in bipolar disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Bipolar Disorders. in press.

8. Cipriani A, Hawton K, Stockton S, Geddes JR. Lithium in the prevention of suicide in mood disorders: updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2013; 346:f3646. [PubMed: 23814104]
9. Crossley NA, Bauer M. Acceleration and augmentation of antidepressants with lithium for depressive disorders: two meta-analyses of randomized, placebo-controlled trials. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2007; 68:935–940. [PubMed: 17592920]
10. Sheard MH, Marini JL, Bridges CI, Wagner E. The effect of lithium on impulsive aggressive behavior in man. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1976; 133:1409–1413. [PubMed: 984241]
11. Muller-Oerlinghausen B, Lewitzka U. Lithium reduces pathological aggression and suicidality: a mini-review. *Neuropsychobiology*. 2010; 62:43–49. [PubMed: 20453534]
12. Ahrens B, Muller-Oerlinghausen B. Does lithium exert an independent antisuicidal effect? *Pharmacopsychiat*. 2001; 34:132–136.
13. Grof P, Grof E. Varieties of lithium benefit. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 1990; 14:689–696. [PubMed: 2293249]
14. Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Parikh SV, Schaffer A, Beaulieu S, Alda M, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) collaborative update of CANMAT guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder: update 2013. *Bipolar Disord*. 2013; 15:1–44. [PubMed: 23237061]
15. Judd LL, Akiskal HS, Schettler PJ, Endicott J, Maser J, Solomon DA, et al. The long-term natural history of the weekly symptomatic status of bipolar I disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2002; 59:530–537. [PubMed: 12044195]
16. Bauer M, Ritter P, Grunze H, Pfennig A. Treatment options for acute depression in bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2012; 14(Suppl 2):37–50. [PubMed: 22510035]
17. Taylor DM, Cornelius V, Smith L, Young AH. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of drug treatments for bipolar depression: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2014; 130:452–469. [PubMed: 25283309]
18. McKnight RF, Adida M, Budge K, Stockton S, Goodwin GM, Geddes JR. Lithium toxicity profile: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2012; 379(9817):721–728. [PubMed: 22265699]
19. Malhi GS, Tanious M, Das P, Coulston CM, Berk M. Potential mechanisms of action of lithium in bipolar disorder. Current understanding. *CNS Drugs*. 2013; 27:135–153. [PubMed: 23371914]
20. Chiu CT, Chuang DM. Molecular actions and therapeutic potential of lithium in preclinical and clinical studies of CNS disorders. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2010; 128:281–304. [PubMed: 20705090]
21. Gray JD, McEwen BS. Lithium's role in neural plasticity and its implications for mood disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2013; 128:347–361. [PubMed: 23617566]
22. Lenox RH, Wang L. Molecular basis of lithium action: integration of lithium-responsive signaling and gene expression networks. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2003; 8:135–144. [PubMed: 12610644]
23. Shorter E. The 25th anniversary of the launch of Prozac gives pause for thought: where did we go wrong? *Br J Psychiatry*. 2014; 204:331–332. [PubMed: 24785765]
24. Baldessarini RJ, Tondo L. Does lithium treatment still work? Evidence of stable responses over three decades. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000; 57:187–190. [PubMed: 10665622]
25. Grof P, Alda M. Discrepancies in the efficacy of lithium. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000; 57:191.
26. Kleindienst N, Greil W. Lithium in the long-term treatment of bipolar disorders. *Eur Arch Psychiatr Clin Neurosci*. 2003; 253:120–125.
27. Rybakowski JK, Chlopocka-Wozniak M, Suwalska A. The prophylactic effect of long-term lithium administration in bipolar patients entering treatment in the 1970s and 1980s. *Bipolar Disord*. 2001; 3:63–70. [PubMed: 11333064]
28. Manchia M, Adli M, Akula N, Ardaur R, Aubry JM, Backlund L, et al. Assessment of response to lithium maintenance treatment in bipolar disorder: a Consortium on Lithium Genetics (ConLiGen) report. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8:e65636. [PubMed: 23840348]
29. Kessing LV, Hansen HV, Hvenegaard A, Christensen EM, Dam H, Gluud C, et al. Treatment in a specialised out-patient mood disorder clinic v standard out-patient treatment in the early course of bipolar disorder: randomised clinical trial. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2013; 202:212–219. [PubMed: 23349295]

30. Kallner G, Lindelius R, Petterson U, Stockman O, Tham A. Mortality in 497 patients with affective disorders attending a lithium clinic or after having left it. *Pharmacopsychiat*. 2000; 33:8–13.
31. Ahrens B, Muller-Oerlinghausen B, Grof P. Length of lithium treatment needed to eliminate the high mortality of affective disorders. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1993; 163(suppl 21):27–29. [PubMed: 8353695]
32. Dixon JF, Hokin LE. Lithium acutely inhibits and chronically up-regulates and stabilizes glutamate uptake by presynaptic nerve endings in mouse cerebral cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998; 95:8363–8368. [PubMed: 9653192]
33. Manji HK, Lenox RH. Signaling: cellular insights into the pathophysiology of bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2000; 48:518–530. [PubMed: 11018224]
34. Scott J, Leboyer M, Hickie I, Berk M, Kapczinski F, Frank E, et al. Clinical staging in psychiatry: a cross-cutting model of diagnosis with heuristic and practical value. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2013; 202:243–245. [PubMed: 23549937]
35. Hajek T, Cullis J, Novak T, Kopecek M, Blagdon R, Propper L, et al. Brain structural signature of familial predisposition for bipolar disorder: replicable evidence for involvement of the right inferior frontal gyrus. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013; 73:144–152. [PubMed: 22818781]
36. Coppen A. The biochemistry of affective disorders. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1967; 113:1237–1264. [PubMed: 4169954]
37. El-Mallakh RS. Ion homeostasis and the mechanism of action of lithium. *Clin Neurosci Res*. 2004; 4:227–231.
38. Schou M. Biology and pharmacology of the lithium ion. *Pharmacol Rev*. 1957; 9:17–58. [PubMed: 13431415]
39. Mendels J, Frazer A. Intracellular lithium concentration and clinical response: towards a membrane theory of depression. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1973; 10:9–18. [PubMed: 4730006]
40. Dorus E, Pandey GN, Frazer A, Mendels J. Genetic determinant of lithium ion distribution. I. An in vitro monozygotic-dizygotic twin study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1974; 31:463–465. [PubMed: 4473122]
41. Rihmer Z, Arato M, Szentistvanyi I, Banki CM. The red blood cell/plasma lithium ratio: marker of biological heterogeneity within bipolar affective illness? *Psychiatr Res*. 1982; 6:197–201.
42. Spirtes MA. Lithium levels in monkey and human brain after chronic, therapeutic, oral dosage. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 1976; 5:143–147. [PubMed: 825883]
43. Ehrlich BE, Diamond JM. Lithium, membranes, and manic-depressive illness. *J Membr Biol*. 1980; 52:187–200. [PubMed: 6991708]
44. Ehrlich BE, Diamond JM. Lithium fluxes in human erythrocytes. *Am J Physiol*. 1979; 237:C102–C110. [PubMed: 464037]
45. Fernandez-Moncada I, Barros LF. Non-preferential fuelling of the Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase pump. *Biochem J*. 2014; 460:353–361. [PubMed: 24665934]
46. Konradi C, Eaton M, MacDonald ML, Walsh J, Benes FM, Heckers S. Molecular evidence for mitochondrial dysfunction in bipolar disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2004; 61:300–308. [PubMed: 14993118]
47. Naydenov AV, MacDonald ML, Ongur D, Konradi C. Differences in lymphocyte electron transport gene expression levels between subjects with bipolar disorder and normal controls in response to glucose deprivation stress. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007; 64(5):555–564. [PubMed: 17485607]
48. Andreazza AC, Shao L, Wang JF, Young LT. Mitochondrial complex I activity and oxidative damage to mitochondrial proteins in the prefrontal cortex of patients with bipolar disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:360–368. [PubMed: 20368511]
49. Aperia A. New roles for an old enzyme: Na,K-ATPase emerges as an interesting drug target. *J Intern Med*. 2007; 261:44–52. [PubMed: 17222167]
50. Zhang L, Zhang Z, Guo H, Wang Y. Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase-mediated signal transduction and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase regulation. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol*. 2008; 22:615–621. [PubMed: 19049666]
51. Kirshenbaum GS, Clapcote SJ, Duffy S, Burgess CR, Petersen J, Jarowek KJ, et al. Mania-like behavior induced by genetic dysfunction of the neuron-specific Na⁺,K⁺-ATPase alpha3 sodium pump. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011; 108:18144–18149. [PubMed: 22025725]

52. Antia JJ, Smith CE, Wood AJ, Aronson JK. The upregulation of Na⁺,K⁺-ATPase pump numbers in lymphocytes from the first-degree unaffected relatives of patients with manic depressive psychosis in response to in vitro lithium and sodium ethacrynate. *J Affect Disord.* 1995; 34:33–39. [PubMed: 7622737]
53. Looney SW, El-Mallakh RS. Meta-analysis of erythrocyte Na,K-ATPase activity in bipolar illness. *Depress Anxiety.* 1997; 5:53–65. [PubMed: 9262935]
54. Reddy PL, Khanna S, Subhash MN, Channabasavanna SM, Rao BS. Erythrocyte membrane sodium-potassium adenosine triphosphatase activity in affective disorders. *J Neural Transm Gen Sect.* 1992; 89:209–218. [PubMed: 1327002]
55. Linnoila M, MacDonald E, Reinila M, Leroy A, Rubinow DR, Goodwin FK. RBC membrane adenosine triphosphatase activities in patients with major affective disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1983; 40:1021–1026. [PubMed: 6137202]
56. Huang X, Lei Z, El-Mallakh RS. Lithium normalizes elevated intracellular sodium. *Bipolar Disord.* 2007; 9:298–300. [PubMed: 17430305]
57. Banerjee U, Dasgupta A, Rout JK, Singh OP. Effects of lithium therapy on Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase activity and lipid peroxidation in bipolar disorder. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* 2012; 37:56–61. [PubMed: 22230647]
58. Jope RS, Jenden DJ, Ehrlich BE, Diamond JM. Choline accumulates in erythrocytes during lithium therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 1978; 299:833–834.
59. Jope R. Effects of lithium treatment in vitro and in vivo on acetylcholine metabolism in rat brain. *J Neurochem.* 1979; 33:487–495. [PubMed: 469539]
60. Price LH, Heninger GR. Lithium in the treatment of mood disorders. *N Engl J Med.* 1994; 331:591–598. [PubMed: 8047085]
61. Manji HK, Hsiao JK, Risby ED, Oliver J, Rudorfer MV, Potter WJ. The mechanisms of action of lithium: I: effects on serotonergic and noradrenergic systems in normal subjects. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1991; 48:505–512. [PubMed: 1645513]
62. Akiskal HS, Downs J, Jordan P, Watson S, Daugherty D, Pruitt DB. Affective disorders in referred children and younger siblings of manic-depressives. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1985; 42:996–1003. [PubMed: 4037989]
63. Nonaka S, Hough CJ, Chuang DM. Chronic lithium treatment robustly protects neurons in the central nervous system against excitotoxicity by inhibiting N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-mediated calcium influx. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1998; 95:2642–2647. [PubMed: 9482940]
64. Young LT, Li PP, Kish SJ, Siu LP, Kamble A, Hornykiewicz O, et al. Cerebral cortex Gsa protein levels and forskolin-stimulated cyclic AMP formation are increased in bipolar affective disorder. *J Neurochem.* 1993; 61:890–898. [PubMed: 8395565]
65. Jope RS. Anti-bipolar therapy: mechanism of action of lithium. *Mol Psychiatry.* 1999; 4:117–128. [PubMed: 10208444]
66. Mork A, Geisler A. Effects of lithium ex vivo on the GTP-mediated inhibition of calcium-stimulated adenylate cyclase activity in rat brain. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 1989; 168:347–354. [PubMed: 2583240]
67. Mork A, Geisler A. Effects of GTP on Hormone-Stimulated Adenylate Cyclase Activity in Cerebral Cortex, Striatum, and Hippocampus from Rats Treated Chronically with Lithium. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1989; 26:279–288. [PubMed: 2568134]
68. Mork A, Geisler A. Mode of action of lithium on the catalytic unit of adenylate cyclase from rat brain. *Pharmacol Toxicol.* 1987; 60:241–248. [PubMed: 3588521]
69. Berridge MJ. Inositol trisphosphate and diacylglycerol as second messengers. *Biochem J.* 1984; 220:345–360. [PubMed: 6146314]
70. Berridge MJ. The biology and medicine of calcium signalling. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 1994; 98:119–124. [PubMed: 8143920]
71. Jope RS, Song L, Li PP, Young LT, Kish SJ, Pacheco MA, et al. The phosphoinositide signal transduction system is impaired in bipolar affective disorder brain. *J Neurochem.* 1996; 66:2402–2409. [PubMed: 8632163]

72. van Calker D, Belmaker RH. The high affinity inositol transport system--implications for the pathophysiology and treatment of bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 2000; 2:102–107. [PubMed: 11252649]
73. Belmaker RH, Agam G, van CD, Richards MH, Kofman O. Behavioral reversal of lithium effects by four inositol isomers correlates perfectly with biochemical effects on the PI cycle: depletion by chronic lithium of brain inositol is specific to hypothalamus, and inositol levels may be abnormal in postmortem brain from bipolar patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 1998; 19:220–232. [PubMed: 9653710]
74. Lubrich B, van-Calker D. Inhibition of the high affinity myo-inositol transport system: a common mechanism of action of antibipolar drugs? *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 1999; 21:519–529.
75. Moore GJ, Bebchuk JM, Parrish JK, Faulk MW, Arfken CL, Strahl BJ, et al. Temporal dissociation between lithium-induced changes in frontal lobe myo-inositol and clinical response in manic-depressive illness. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1999; 156:1902–1908. [PubMed: 10588403]
76. O'Brien WT, Klein PS. Validating GSK3 as an in vivo target of lithium action. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2009; 37:1133–1138. [PubMed: 19754466]
77. Schubert T, Stoll L, Muller WE. Therapeutic concentrations of lithium and carbamazepine inhibit cGMP accumulation in human lymphocytes. A clinical model for a possible common mechanism of action? *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 1991; 104:45–50. [PubMed: 1679241]
78. Schubert T, Muller WE. Lithium but not cholinergic ligands influence guanylate cyclase activity in intact human lymphocytes. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1990; 39:439–444. [PubMed: 1968338]
79. Ghasemi M, Dehpour AR. The NMDA receptor/nitric oxide pathway: a target for the therapeutic and toxic effects of lithium. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2011; 32:420–434. [PubMed: 21492946]
80. Prast H, Philippu A. Nitric oxide as modulator of neuronal function. *Prog Neurobiol.* 2001; 64:51–68. [PubMed: 11250062]
81. Harvey BH, Carstens ME, Taljaard JJ. Evidence that lithium induces a glutamatergic: nitric oxide-mediated response in rat brain. *Neurochem Res.* 1994; 19:469–474. [PubMed: 8065503]
82. Boer U, Cierny I, Krause D, Heinrich A, Lin H, Mayr G, et al. Chronic lithium salt treatment reduces CRE/CREB-directed gene transcription and reverses its upregulation by chronic psychosocial stress in transgenic reporter gene mice. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2008; 33:2407–2415.
83. Warsh JJ, Andreopoulos S, Li PP. Role of intracellular calcium signaling in the pathophysiology and pharmacotherapy of bipolar disorder: current status. *Clin Neurosci Res.* 2004; 4:201–213.
84. Emamghoreishi M, Schlichter L, Li PP, Parikh S, Sen J, Kamble A, et al. High intracellular calcium concentrations in transformed lymphoblasts from subjects with bipolar I disorder. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1997; 154(7):976–982. [PubMed: 9210749]
85. Perova T, Kwan M, Li PP, Warsh JJ. Differential modulation of intracellular Ca²⁺ responses in B lymphoblasts by mood stabilizers. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2010; 13:693–702. [PubMed: 19400980]
86. Jope RS. Lithium and GSK-3: one inhibitor, two inhibitory actions, multiple outcomes. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2003; 24:441–443. [PubMed: 12967765]
87. Meffre D, Grenier J, Bernard S, Courtin F, Dudev T, Shackelford G, et al. Wnt and lithium: a common destiny in the therapy of nervous system pathologies? *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2014; 71:1123–1148. [PubMed: 23749084]
88. Lang F, Strutz-Seebohm N, Seebohm G, Lang UE. Significance of SGK1 in the regulation of neuronal function. *J Physiol.* 2010; 588:3349–3354. [PubMed: 20530112]
89. Anacker C, Cattaneo A, Musaeelyan K, Zunszain PA, Horowitz M, Molteni R, et al. Role for the kinase SGK1 in stress, depression, and glucocorticoid effects on hippocampal neurogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013; 110:8708–8713. [PubMed: 23650397]
90. Beaulieu JM, Caron MG. Looking at lithium: molecular moods and complex behaviour. *Mol Interv.* 2008; 8:230–241. [PubMed: 19015387]
91. Grimes CA, Jope RS. CREB DNA binding activity is inhibited by glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta and facilitated by lithium. *J Neurochem.* 2001; 78:1219–1232. [PubMed: 11579131]

92. Beaulieu JM. A role for Akt and glycogen synthase kinase-3 as integrators of dopamine and serotonin neurotransmission in mental health. *J Psychiatry Neurosci.* 2012; 37:7–16. [PubMed: 21711983]
93. Mota de Freitas D, Castro MM, Geraldles CF. Is competition between Li and Mg²⁺ the underlying theme in the proposed mechanisms for the pharmacological action of lithium salts in bipolar disorder? *Acc Chem Res.* 2006; 39:283–291. [PubMed: 16618096]
94. Layden B, Diven C, Minadeo N, Bryant FB, Mota-de-Freitas D. Li⁺/Mg²⁺ competition at therapeutic intracellular Li⁺ levels in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells. *Bipolar Disord.* 2000; 2:200–204. [PubMed: 11256688]
95. Dermitzakis ET. Cellular genomics for complex traits. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2012; 13:215–220. [PubMed: 22330769]
96. Moore GJ, Bebchuk JM, Wilds IB, Chen G, Manji HK. Lithium-induced increase in human brain grey matter. *Lancet.* 2000; 356:1241–1242. [PubMed: 11072948]
97. Hajek T, Cullis J, Novak T, Kopecek M, Hoschl C, Blagdon R, et al. Hippocampal volumes in bipolar disorders: opposing effects of illness burden and lithium treatment. *Bipolar Disord.* 2012; 14:261–270. [PubMed: 22548899]
98. Moore GJ, Bebchuk JM, Hasanat K, Chen G, Seraji-Bozorgzad N, Wilds IB, et al. Lithium increases N-acetyl-aspartate in the human brain: in vivo evidence in support of bcl-2's neurotrophic effects? *Biol Psychiatry.* 2000; 48:1–8. [PubMed: 10913502]
99. Hajek T, Bauer M, Pfennig A, Cullis J, Ploch J, O'Donovan C, et al. Large positive effect of lithium on prefrontal cortex N-acetylaspartate in patients with bipolar disorder: 2-centre study. *J Psychiatr Neurosci.* 2012; 37:185–192.
100. Chen RW, Chuang DM. Long term lithium treatment suppresses p53 and Bax expression but increases Bcl-2 expression. A prominent role in neuroprotection against excitotoxicity. *J Biol Chem.* 1999; 274:6039–6042. [PubMed: 10037682]
101. Martinsson L, Wei Y, Xu D, Melas PA, Mathe AA, Schalling M, et al. Long-term lithium treatment in bipolar disorder is associated with longer leukocyte telomeres. *Transl Psychiatry.* 2013; 3:e261. [PubMed: 23695236]
102. Maurer IC, Schippel P, Volz HP. Lithium-induced enhancement of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in human brain tissue. *Bipolar Disord.* 2009; 11:515–522. [PubMed: 19624390]
103. Wood GE, Young LT, Reagan LP, Chen B, McEwen BS. Stress-induced structural remodeling in hippocampus: prevention by lithium treatment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004; 101:3973–3978. [PubMed: 15001711]
104. Deshauer D, Grof E, Alda M, Grof P. Patterns of DST positivity in remitted affective disorders. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1999; 45:1023–1029. [PubMed: 10386185]
105. Bauer M, Alda M, Priller J, Young LT. Implications of the neuroprotective effects of lithium for the treatment of bipolar and neurodegenerative disorders. *Pharmacopsychiat.* 2003; 36(Suppl 3):S250–S254.
106. Hajek T, Bauer M, Simhandl C, Rybakowski J, O'Donovan C, Pfennig A, et al. Neuroprotective effect of lithium on hippocampal volumes in bipolar disorder independent of long-term treatment response. *Psychol Med.* 2014; 44:507–517. [PubMed: 23721695]
107. Frank E, Swartz HA, Kupfer DJ. Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy: managing the chaos of bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2000; 48:593–604. [PubMed: 11018230]
108. McCarthy MJ, Welsh DK. Cellular circadian clocks in mood disorders. *J Biol Rhythms.* 2012; 27:339–352. [PubMed: 23010657]
109. Seggie J, Werstiuk E, Grota L, Brown GM. Chronic lithium treatment and twenty-four hour rhythm of serum prolactin, growth hormone and melatonin in rats. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* 1983; 7:827–830. [PubMed: 6320308]
110. Kasahara T, Kubota M, Miyauchi T, Noda Y, Mouri A, Nabeshima T, et al. Mice with neuron-specific accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutations show mood disorder-like phenotypes. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2006; 11:577–593. [PubMed: 16619054]
111. Jope RS. A bimodal model of the mechanism of action of lithium. *Mol Psychiatry.* 1999; 4:21–25. [PubMed: 10089004]

112. Muller-Oerlinghausen B, Berghofer A, Bauer M. Bipolar disorder. *Lancet*. 2002; 359:241–247. [PubMed: 11812578]
113. Grof P, Duffy A, Cavazzoni P, Grof E, Garnham J, MacDougall M, et al. Is response to prophylactic lithium a familial trait? *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2002; 63:942–947. [PubMed: 12416605]
114. Garnham J, Munro A, Slaney C, MacDougall M, Passmore M, Duffy A, et al. Prophylactic treatment response in bipolar disorder: Results of a naturalistic observation study. *J Affect Disord*. 2007; 104:185–190. [PubMed: 17442400]
115. Tondo L, Baldessarini RJ, Floris G. Long-term clinical effectiveness of lithium maintenance treatment in types I and II bipolar disorders. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl*. 2001; 41:s184–s190. [PubMed: 11450181]
116. Licht RW, Vestergaard P, Brodersen A. Long-term outcome of patients with bipolar disorder commenced on lithium prophylaxis during hospitalization: a complete 15-year register-based follow-up. *Bipolar Disord*. 2008; 10:79–86. [PubMed: 18199244]
117. Kulhara P, Basu D, Mattoo SK, Sharan P, Chopra R. Lithium prophylaxis of recurrent bipolar affective disorder: long-term outcome and its psychosocial correlates. *J Affect Disord*. 1999; 54:87–96. [PubMed: 10403151]
118. Maj M, Pirozzi R, Magliano L, Bartoli L. Long-term outcome of lithium prophylaxis in bipolar disorder: A 5 year prospective study of 402 patients at a lithium clinic. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1998; 155:30–35. [PubMed: 9433335]
119. Grof P, Alda M, Grof E, Fox D, Cameron P. The challenge of predicting response to stabilising lithium treatment. The importance of patient selection. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1993; 163(Suppl 21):16–19.
120. Calabrese JR, Fatemi SH, Kujawa M, Woynshville MJ. Predictors of response to mood stabilizers. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 1996; 16:24S–31S. [PubMed: 8707997]
121. Maj M. Clinical prediction of response to lithium prophylaxis in bipolar patients: a critical update. *Lithium*. 1992; 3:15–21.
122. McCarthy MJ, Leckband SG, Kelsoe JR. Pharmacogenetics of lithium response in bipolar disorder. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2010; 11:1439–1465. [PubMed: 21047205]
123. Kleindienst N, Engel R, Greil W. Which clinical factors predict response to prophylactic lithium? A systematic review for bipolar disorders. *Bipolar Disord*. 2005; 7:404–417. [PubMed: 16176433]
124. Passmore M, Garnham J, Duffy A, MacDougall M, Munro A, Slaney C, et al. Phenotypic spectra of bipolar disorder in responders to lithium versus lamotrigine. *Bipolar Disord*. 2003; 5:110–114. [PubMed: 12680900]
125. Alda M, Grof P, Grof E. MN blood groups and bipolar disorder: evidence of genotypic association and Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1998; 44:361–363. [PubMed: 9755358]
126. Rohayem J, Bayle JF, Richa S. Predictors of prophylactic response to lithium. *Encephale*. 2008; 34:394–399. [PubMed: 18922242]
127. Kleindienst N, Engel RR, Greil W. Psychosocial and demographic factors associated with response to prophylactic lithium: a systematic review for bipolar disorders. *Psychol Med*. 2005; 35:1685–1694. [PubMed: 16300686]
128. Robins E, Guze SB. Establishment of diagnostic validity in psychiatric illness: its application to schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1970; 126:983–987. [PubMed: 5409569]
129. Berghofer A, Alda M, Adli M, Baethge C, Bauer M, Bschor T, et al. Long-term effectiveness of lithium in bipolar disorder: a multicenter investigation of patients with typical and atypical features. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2008; 69:1860–1868. [PubMed: 19026269]
130. Grof P, Alda M, Grof E, Zvolsky P, Walsh M. Lithium response and genetics of affective disorders. *J Affect Disord*. 1994; 32:85–95. [PubMed: 7829768]
131. Alda M, Grof P, Rouleau GA, Turecki G, Young LT. Investigating responders to lithium prophylaxis as a strategy for mapping susceptibility genes for bipolar disorder. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2005; 29:1038–1045. [PubMed: 15946781]
132. McKnew DH, Cytryn L, Buchsbaum MS, Hamovit J, Lamour M, Rapoport JL, et al. Lithium in children of lithium-reponding parents. *Psychiatr Res*. 1981; 4:171–180.

133. Duffy A, Alda M, Milin R, Grof P. A consecutive series of treated affected offspring of parents with bipolar disorder: is response associated with the clinical profile? *Can J Psychiatry*. 2007; 52:369–376. [PubMed: 17696023]
134. Kruger S, Alda M, Young LT, Goldapple K, Parikh S, Mayberg HS. Risk and resilience markers in bipolar disorder: brain responses to emotional challenge in bipolar patients and their healthy siblings. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006; 163:257–264. [PubMed: 16449479]
135. Severino G, Squassina A, Costa M, Pisanu C, Calza S, Alda M, et al. Pharmacogenomics of bipolar disorder. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2013; 14:655–674. [PubMed: 23570469]
136. Geoffroy PA, Bellivier F, Leboyer M, Etain B. Can the response to mood stabilizers be predicted in bipolar disorder? *Front Biosci (Elite Ed)*. 2014; 6:120–138. [PubMed: 24389147]
137. Manchia M, Squassina A, Congiu D, Chillotti C, Ardau R, Severino G, et al. Interacting genes in lithium prophylaxis: preliminary results of an exploratory analysis on the role of DGKH and NR1D1 gene polymorphisms in 199 Sardinian bipolar patients. *Neurosci Lett*. 2009; 467:67–71. [PubMed: 19818381]
138. Campos-de-Sousa S, Guindalini C, Tondo L, Munro J, Osborne S, Floris G, et al. Nuclear receptor rev-erb- α circadian gene variants and lithium carbonate prophylaxis in bipolar affective disorder. *J Biol Rhythms*. 2010; 25:132–137. [PubMed: 20348464]
139. McCarthy MJ, Nievergelt CM, Shekhtman T, Kripke DF, Welsh DK, Kelsoe JR. Functional genetic variation in the Rev-Erb α pathway and lithium response in the treatment of bipolar disorder. *Genes Brain Behav*. 2011; 10:852–861. [PubMed: 21781277]
140. Benedetti F, Serretti A, Pontiggia A, Bernasconi A, Lorenzi C, Colombo C, et al. Long-term response to lithium salts in bipolar illness is influenced by the glycogen synthase kinase 3- β -50 T/C SNP. *Neurosci Lett*. 2005; 376:51–55. [PubMed: 15694273]
141. Serretti A, Lilli R, Mandelli L, Lorenzi C, Smeraldi E. Serotonin transporter gene associated with lithium prophylaxis in mood disorders. *The Pharmacogenomics Journal*. 2001; 1:71–77. [PubMed: 11913731]
142. Rybakowski JK, Suwalska A, Czernski PM, Dmitrzak-Weglarz M, Leszczynska-Rodziewicz A, Hauser J. Prophylactic effect of lithium in bipolar affective illness may be related to serotonin transporter genotype. *Pharmacol Rep*. 2005; 57:124–127. [PubMed: 15849387]
143. Michelon L, Meira-Lima I, Cordeiro Q, Miguita K, Breen G, Collier D, et al. Association study of the INPP1, 5HTT, BDNF, AP-2 β and GSK-3 β GENE variants and retrospectively scored response to lithium prophylaxis in bipolar disorder. *Neurosci Lett*. 2006; 403:288–293. [PubMed: 16787706]
144. Rybakowski JK, Suwalska A, Skibinska M, Szczepankiewicz A, Leszczynska-Rodziewicz A, Permoda A, et al. Prophylactic lithium response and polymorphism of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene. *Pharmacopsychiat*. 2005; 38:166–170.
145. Mamdani F, Alda M, Grof P, Young LT, Rouleau G, Turecki G. Lithium response and genetic variation in the CREB family of genes. *Am J Med Genet B (Neuropsychiatr Genet)*. 2008; 147B: 500–504. [PubMed: 18189280]
146. Li M, Luo XJ, Rietschel M, Lewis CM, Mattheisen M, Muller-Myhsok B, et al. Allelic differences between Europeans and Chinese for CREB1 SNPs and their implications in gene expression regulation, hippocampal structure and function, and bipolar disorder susceptibility. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2014; 19:452–461. [PubMed: 23568192]
147. Kakiuchi C, Kato T. Lithium response and -116C/G polymorphism of XBP1 in Japanese patients with bipolar disorder. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2005; 8:631–632. [PubMed: 15836800]
148. Masui T, Hashimoto R, Kusumi I, Suzuki K, Tanaka T, Nakagawa S, et al. A possible association between the -116C/G single nucleotide polymorphism of the XBP1 gene and lithium prophylaxis in bipolar disorder. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2006; 9:83–88. [PubMed: 15927087]
149. Ewald H, Wang AG, Vang M, Mors O, Nyegaard M, Kruse TA. A haplotype-based study of lithium responding patients with bipolar affective disorder on the Faroe Islands. *Psychiatr Genet*. 1999; 9:23–34. [PubMed: 10335549]
150. Morissette J, Villeneuve A, Bordeleau L, Rochette D, Laberge C, Gagne B, et al. Genome-wide search for linkage of bipolar affective disorders in a very large pedigree derived from a

- homogeneous population in Quebec points to a locus of major effect on chromosome 12q23-q24. *Am J Med Genet B (Neuropsychiatr Genet)*. 1999; 88:567–587.
151. Lopez de Lara C, Jaitovich-Groisman I, Cruceanu C, Mamdani F, Lebel V, Yerko V, et al. Implication of synapse-related genes in bipolar disorder by linkage and gene expression analyses. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2010; 13:1397–1410. [PubMed: 20667171]
 152. Chen CH, Lee CS, Lee MT, Ouyang WC, Chen CC, Chong MY, et al. Variant GADL1 and response to lithium therapy in bipolar I disorder. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 370:119–128. [PubMed: 24369049]
 153. Ikeda M, Kondo K, Iwata N. Variant GADL1 and response to lithium in bipolar I disorder. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 370:1856–1857.
 154. Hou L, Heilbronner U, Rietschel M, Kato T, Kuo PH, et al. Consortium on Lithium Genetics. Variant GADL1 and response to lithium in bipolar I disorder. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 370:1857–1859. [PubMed: 24806176]
 155. Ferreira MA, O'Donovan MC, Meng YA, Jones IR, Ruderfer DM, Jones L, et al. Collaborative genome-wide association analysis supports a role for ANK3 and CACNA1C in bipolar disorder. *Nat Genet*. 2008; 40(9):1056–1058. [PubMed: 18711365]
 156. Muhleisen TW, Leber M, Schulze TG, Strohmaier J, Degenhardt F, Treutlein J, et al. Genome-wide association study reveals two new risk loci for bipolar disorder. *Nat Commun*. 2014; 5:3339. [PubMed: 24618891]
 157. Nanavati D, Austin DR, Catapano LA, Luckenbaugh DA, Dosemeci A, Manji HK, et al. The effects of chronic treatment with mood stabilizers on the rat hippocampal post-synaptic density proteome. *J Neurochem*. 2011; 119:617–629. [PubMed: 21838781]
 158. McQuillin A, Rizig M, Gurling HMD. A microarray gene expression study of the molecular pharmacology of lithium carbonate on mouse brain mRNA to understand the neurobiology of mood stabilization and treatment of bipolar affective disorder. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2007; 17:605–617. [PubMed: 17622937]
 159. Leussis MP, Berry-Scott EM, Saito M, Jhuang H, de HG, Alkan O, et al. The ANK3 bipolar disorder gene regulates psychiatric-related behaviors that are modulated by lithium and stress. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013; 73:683–690. [PubMed: 23237312]
 160. Toker L, Belmaker RH, Agam G. Gene-expression studies in understanding the mechanism of action of lithium. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2012; 12:93–97. [PubMed: 22243047]
 161. Farah R, Khamisy-Farah R, Amit T, Youdim MB, Arraf Z. Lithium's gene expression profile, relevance to neuroprotection A cDNA microarray study. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*. 2013; 33:411–420. [PubMed: 23324999]
 162. Li PP. Transcriptional mechanisms of lithium action: therapeutic implications. *Clin Neurosci Res*. 2004; 4:271–280.
 163. Einat H. New ways of modeling bipolar disorder. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2014; 22:348–352. [PubMed: 25377608]
 164. Perlis RH, Smoller JW, Ferreira MA, McQuillin A, Bass N, Lawrence J, et al. A genomewide association study of response to lithium for prevention of recurrence in bipolar disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2009; 166:718–725. [PubMed: 19448189]
 165. Squassina A, Manchia M, Borg J, Congiu D, Costa M, Georgitsi M, et al. Evidence for association of an ACCN1 gene variant with response to lithium treatment in Sardinian patients with bipolar disorder. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2011; 12:1559–1569. [PubMed: 21961650]
 166. Schulze T. Genomic and phenomic correlates of lithium response in bipolar disorder: a consortium on lithium genetics (ConLiGen) report. *Bipolar Disord*. 2014; 16(S1):26. (abstract).
 167. Bergen S, Song J, Lichtenstein P, Landen M. Genome-wide association study of lithium response in a Swedish population. *Bipolar Disord*. 2014; 16(S1):64. (abstract).

Table 1

Genomewide association studies of lithium response

Study	Subjects	Assessment of lithium response	Results	Comments
STEP-BD ¹⁶⁴	458 discovery and 359 replication sample	Time to recurrence	Ch 10 (rs10795189) $p=5.5 \times 10^{-7}$ in discovery sample	<60% of subjects on lithium monotherapy; <40% treated for 1 year or more
Squassina et al. ¹⁶⁵	52 (26 R and 26 NR)	Retrospective scale	Ch 17 (ACCN1 gene; rs11869731) $p=7.21 \times 10^{-6}$	Small sample size
Chen et al. ¹⁵²	294 discovery (185 R, 109 NR) and replication (50 R, 50 NR)	Retrospective scale; inter-rater reliability reported	Ch 3 (GADL1 gene; rs17026688, rs17026651) $p=1.66 \times 10^{-49}$ in combined sample	The associated variant not present in Caucasians
ConLiGen ¹⁶⁶	1217 (374 R, 843 NR)	Retrospective scale; inter-rater reliability reported	Ch 2 (SLC4A10 gene) $p=1.95 \times 10^{-6}$	Preliminary results; data collection and analyses continuing
Bergen et al. ¹⁶⁷	940 (64% R, 28% PR, 8% NR)	Self-report	Ch 17 (GAS gene; rs12601160) $p=2.20 \times 10^{-6}$	Preliminary results; data collection and analyses continuing

R responders, PR partial responders, NR non-responders