## Genomically imposed and somatically modified human thymocyte  $V_B$ gene repertoires

(human T-cell receptor/"superantigen"/thymic selection/RNase protection assay/polymorphism)

R. BACCALA\*, D. H. KONO\*, S. WALKERt, R. S. BALDERAS\*, AND A. N. THEOFILOPOULOS\*

\*Department of Immunology, Research Institute of Scripps Clinic, La Jolla, CA 92037; and tDepartment of Pediatrics and Microbiology, University of Southern California School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA <sup>90027</sup>

Communicated by Frank J. Dixon, December 31, 1990

ABSTRACT The effect of thymic selection on the expressed human T-cell antigen receptor  $\beta$ -chain variable region  $(V<sub>B</sub>)$  gene repertoire was examined by using a multiprobe RNase protection assay. The relative abundance of transcripts for 22  $V_B$  genes (encompassing 17 of the 20 human  $V_B$  gene subfamilies) within a thymus, and among 17 thymuses, was variable. On the basis of the presence of corresponding mRNAs, no genomic deletions were detected, but several coding region polymorphisms were identified. Analysis of mature T-cell subsets revealed the absence of complete "superantigen"-mediated  $V_\beta$  deletions, suggesting that this phenomenon, in contrast to mouse, is uncommon or absent in humans. However, several  $V_\beta$  genes were over- or underexpressed in one or both mature single-positive  $(CD4+8^-$  or  $CDS+4^-$ ) thymocyte subsets compared to syngeneic total, mostly immature thymocytes. Whether these changes are induced by relatively weak superantigens or conventional antigens and whether the downshifts are caused by negative selection or lack of positive selection remains to be determined.

T-cell antigen receptor (TCR) diversity is generated by random rearrangement of multiple germline variable (V), diversity, and joining gene segments, N-region insertions, and  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  chain pairing (reviewed in refs. 1 and 2). Studies in mouse (reviewed in refs. 3 and 4) indicate that the resulting vast number of randomly generated TCR specificities is subsequently modified in the thymus by elimination of potentially harmful T cells with strongly self-reactive receptors (negative selection) and preferential retention of T cells with receptors for antigens associated with major histocompatibility complex class <sup>I</sup> or class II molecules (positive selection).

For most antigens, recognition is based on all variable portions of TCR  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  chains (5). However, a category of antigens has recently been described for which T-cell recognition is essentially based on the expressed  $V_{\beta}$  gene segment alone. Such antigens, collectively termed "superantigens" (6), include not only endogenous molecules such as minor lymphocyte stimulating  $(M\bar{I}s)$  determinants (7-10) and other self-ligands (11-13) but also exogenous substances, such as microbial products (14). Significantly, neonatal exposure to such  $V_B$ -binding superantigens results in intrathymic deletion of nearly all T cells expressing the specific  $V_\beta$  gene(s). Although the phenomenon of bacterial superantigenmediated engagement of specific  $V_\beta$ -bearing T-cell clones occurs in both humans and mice, endogenous superantigenrelated reactions have thus far only been identified in mice.

The human genome is estimated to contain  $\approx 60$  TCR V<sub>B</sub> genes, classified into 20 subfamilies (2, 15). To date, little is known about the relative levels of  $V_B$  gene expression in humans, the effect of coding region gene polymorphism on the expressed  $V_{\beta}$  gene repertoire, or the presence of selfsuperantigen-mediated  $V_{\beta}$ -specific positive and negative selection modifications. The multiprobe RNase protection assay, independently developed by us (16, 17) and Okada and Weissman (18), has successfully been used for broad analysis of the expressed TCR repertoire in mouse. To determine the role of thymic selection on  $V_\beta$  expression in humans, we adapted this assay to the study of human  $V_\beta$  genes and herein report our results with thymocyte subsets.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Preparations. Total thymocytes were prepared from 17 thymuses (HT-1 to HT-17) of children undergoing cardiovascular surgery at the Children's Hospital (Los Angeles). Donors ranged from 3 days to 10 years old and included eight males and nine females (10 Caucasians, 6 Hispanics, and 1 Asian). Double-positive  $(CD4+8^+)$  and double-negative  $(CD4-8^-)$  thymocytes were isolated by two-color fluorescence-activated cell sorting with appropriate antibodies (Becton Dickinson). Single-positive  $(CD4+8^-$  or  $CD8+4^-)$  thymocytes were isolated from five donors (HT-13 to HT-17) by using magnetic beads conjugated with anti-CD4 or anti-CD8 antibodies (Dynal, Great Neck, NY).

RNA Probes. All probe templates were generated by the PCR method (19) on DNA isolated as described (20) from <sup>a</sup> single human thymus (HT-5, Caucasian male, <sup>3</sup> days old). PCR products were purified, ligated into Sma I-digested pGEM-7zf (Promega), and sequenced (21). Plasmids containing correct sequences were linearized (HindIII or EcoRI), and their transcription products were tested separately in the RNase protection assay. On the basis of the size of the protected bands, 22 linearized templates were then pooled into one of three probe sets with the following composition.

*Probe set A.*  $V<sub>g</sub>17.1$  [nucleotides (nt) 59-285; ref. 22],  $V<sub>6</sub>8.2$  (nt 32-240; ref. 23),  $V<sub>6</sub>18.1$  (nt 103-282; ref. 24),  $V<sub>6</sub>19.1$ (nt 116-276; ref. 25),  $V_{\beta}8.1$  (nt 89-240; ref. 23),  $V_{\beta}16.1$  (nt 71-218; ref. 26),  $V_{\beta}$ 15.1 (nt 60-196; ref. 27),  $V_{\beta}$ 4.1 (nt 76-196; ref. 28),  $V_{\beta}$ 11.1 (nt 70–177; ref. 28),  $V_{\beta}$ 14.1 (nt 72–159; ref. 22),  $V_{\beta}$ 5.1 (nt 185–265; ref. 29), and  $V_{\beta}$ 5.2 (nt 202–265; ref. 29). *Probe set B*.  $V_{\beta}$ 6.4 (nt 61–285; ref. 27),  $V_{\beta}$ 13.2 (nt 63–282; ref. 30),  $V_{\beta}$ 3.1 (nt 85-282; ref. 26),  $V_{\beta}$ 1.1 (nt 51-230; ref. 28), and  $V_{\beta}$ 7.1 (nt 61–237; ref. 28). *Probe set C*.  $V_{\beta}$ 2.1 (nt 1–277; ref. 31),  $V_{\beta}$ 6.6 (nt 61–285; ref. 27),  $V_{\beta}$ 8.3 (nt 35–238; ref 23),  $V_{\beta}$ 13.1 (nt 99–282; ref. 30), and  $V_{\beta}$ 12.1 (nt 79–245; ref. 22).

Transcription of probe sets was performed by adding 50-100 ng of template pool to a 5- $\mu$ l Riboprobe system (Promega) reaction with 75  $\mu$ Ci of [<sup>32</sup>P]UTP at a final concentration of 15  $\mu$ M. The  $\beta$ -chain constant region (C<sub> $\beta$ </sub>) probe (nt 348-425; ref. 32) was labeled in a separate reaction with  $[32P]$ UTP at 1/20th the specific activity of the V<sub>B</sub> probes.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: TCR, T-cell antigen receptor; nt, nucleotide(s); V, variable; C, constant.

The Multiprobe RNase Protection Assay. RNA was isolated from cell pellets of total thymocytes or from single-positive cells attached to beads as described (33). Hybridization of 1-2  $\mu$ g of T-cell RNA with radiolabeled C<sub>B</sub> probe (10<sup>5</sup> cpm) and each of the probe sets  $(2 \times 10^3 \text{ cpm per aridi) was}$ performed at 56°C for 12-16 hr. Unhybridized probes and target RNA were digested  $(1 \text{ hr}, 37^{\circ}\text{C})$  with RNase A  $(5 \text{ m})$  $\mu$ g/ml) and RNase T1 (10 units/ml). Purified (20) "protected" probe-target mRNA duplexes were electrophoresed in standard sequencing gels and autoradiographed on Kodak XRP film. Quantitation of  $V<sub>\beta</sub>$  transcript levels was performed with a radioanalytic imaging apparatus (AMBIS Systems, San Diego). The net cpm at a given  $V_\beta$  band was calculated by the formula [(cpm of  $V_B$ -specific band) - (cpm background around the band)]/(number of uridine residues in the specific  $V_{\beta}$  probe); this value was then expressed as the percent of total  $C_{\beta}$  transcripts.

Southern Blot, Cloning, and Sequencing. EcoRI- or BamHIdigested genomic DNA was separated on <sup>a</sup> 0.7% agarose gel, transferred to nylon membranes, and hybridized with radiolabeled probes. Final washes were at 65°C for 30 min in  $1 \times$ standard saline citrate/0.1% SDS. Sequences corresponding to  $V<sub>β</sub>2.1, -3.1, -12.1,$  and -15.1 were obtained from first-strand cDNA as described above.

## RESULTS

Multiprobe  $V_{\beta}$  RNase Protection Assay. Fig. 1 shows the results of assaying 1 and 2  $\mu$ g of total thymocyte RNA from one (HT-1) of the  $17$  thymuses. Specific bands are identifiable for each of the 22  $V_\beta$  genes, with intensities increasing proportionately.

To assess the specificity, reproducibility, and linearity of the assay, thymocytes from HT-17 were mixed with increasing numbers of Jurkat cells, known to express  $V<sub>\beta</sub>8.1$  (23), and analyzed with probe set A. The signal corresponding to  $V<sub>g</sub>8.1$ in a triplicate assay increased linearly over the range tested, and even 600 Jurkat cells (0.1% in the mixture) were sufficient to significantly increase ( $>2$  SD) the counts in the V<sub>B</sub>8.1 band over background levels obtained with thymocytes alone (data not shown). In contrast, band intensity in the other  $V_{\beta}$ s remained constant, including  $V_{\beta}8.2$ , which has only four nucleotide differences from  $V_{\beta}8.1$ .

Relative  $V_\beta$  mRNA Levels in Unselected Thymocytes. Fig. 2 shows the relative expression levels for the 22  $V_B$  genes in largely unselected total thymocytes of the prototypic individual (HT-5) from which these genes were cloned. The considerable variation in relative levels for assessed  $V_{\beta}$ s (percent of  $C_\beta$  transcripts) was similar to that in mouse (12, 18). In this thymus,  $V<sub>\beta</sub>$ 12.1 was almost undetectable (see below),  $V_611.1$  (0.30%) was the next lowest, and  $V_62.1$ (5.66%) was the most abundant. When more than one member of large  $V_\beta$  subfamilies were analyzed, transcript levels for some genes varied considerably. The sum of transcript levels for the 22  $V_B$  genes was  $\approx 32\%$  of total C<sub>B</sub> transcripts, which roughly corresponded to the analyzed fraction (approximately one-third) of the predicted  $V<sub>8</sub>$  genes. This estimate, however, should be considered tentative since, in the RNase protection assay, the  $V_{\beta}$  and  $C_{\beta}$  probes cannot distinguish between functional and nonfunctional (out-offrame or truncated) transcripts.

To determine whether the expression pattern of the prototypic thymus (HT-5) was reflected in other individuals, the 16 remaining thymuses were analyzed (Fig. 3). The sum of transcript levels for the 22  $V_\beta$  genes was similar among them, with extreme levels from a low of 27.5% to a high of 37.8% of the C<sub> $\beta$ </sub> levels. The most abundant were V $_{\beta}$ 2.1, -3.1, -5.1,  $-5.2, -8.1, -13.1,$  and  $-19.1$  ( $>2\%$ ), and least abundant were  $V_68.3, -11.1, -13.2,$  and  $-18.1$  (<0.5%); the remainder had intermediate mean levels (0.5-2%). Expression levels for



FIG. 1. Human  $V_{\beta}$ -specific multiprobe RNase protection assay. Twenty-two radiolabeled antisense  $V_{\beta}$  RNA probes, organized into three probe sets, were hybridized with 1 or 2  $\mu$ g of total thymocyte RNA. After RNase digestion, protected probe-RNA duplexes were separated on a urea/polyacrylamide sequencing gel. Autoradiographic profiles and prototypic templates are shown.  $V<sub>g</sub>$  designations are according to established nomenclature (2).

most of the  $V<sub>8</sub>$  genes generally followed that of the prototypic thymus despite diverse ethnic origins of the individuals, but significant variations occurred in several  $V_{\beta}$ s.

No relationship between the thymus donor's age and  $V_{\beta}$ expression levels was identified (data not shown); thymuses from 3- and 9-day-old individuals (HT-5 and HT-10, respectively) expressed levels similar to a 10-year-old donor (HT-8) for all but one ( $V_\beta$ 12 in HT-5, see below) of the 22  $V_\beta$  genes.

Basis of Variability in  $V_\beta$  Expression Levels in Total Thymocytes from Different Individuals. Several possibilities must be considered to explain thymus-to-thymus differences in the expression of some  $V_{\beta}$  genes, including differences in T-cell subset composition, rearrangement rates, and polymorphisms that might affect gene transcription,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  chain pairing, or RNA probe hybridization.

Although some distribution variations in single-positive subsets were noted among individual thymuses (CD4+8<sup>-</sup> ranging from 17% to 25% and CD8<sup>+4-</sup> ranging from 8% to 19% of total thymocytes), no correlation with  $V<sub>β</sub>$  transcript levels was found (data not shown). Moreover, no significant differences in relative  $V_{\beta}$  transcript levels between total thymocytes and  $CD4^{-8}$  or  $CD4^{+8}$  cells isolated from two thymuses were noted (data not shown).

To study the role of allelic polymorphism as a cause of  $V_8$ expression variations in total thymocytes from different individuals,  $V_{\beta}$  genes from thymuses with the most striking differences were cloned and sequenced. Three were selected for  $V<sub>g</sub>2.1$  with expression levels of 1.87% (HT-1), 3.21% (HT-11), and 5.66% (HT-5). In HT-1 and HT-11, two types of  $V_{\beta}$ 2.1 sequences were identified, of which one (termed  $V_{\beta}^2$ .1a) matched the published  $V_{\beta}^2$ .1 sequence (31) and the



FIG. 2. Relative TCR  $V_B$  gene transcript levels in human total thymocytes. Total RNA (1  $\mu$ g) extracted from the prototypic thymus (HT-5) from which the probes were derived was analyzed by the multiprobe RNase protection assay. Results (mean  $\pm$  1 SD) from four independent assays are expressed as the percent of total  $C_{\beta}$  transcripts.

other (termed  $V<sub>0</sub>2.1b$ ) showed two nucleotide differences  $(TGG \rightarrow AGG, Trp-10 \rightarrow Arg$  and  $CAG \rightarrow AAG, Gln-42 \rightarrow$ Lys). In contrast, HT-5 only yielded sequences corresponding to the probe ( $V<sub>g</sub>2.1b$ ). Thus, HT-5 appears to be homozygous for the  $V<sub>g</sub>2.1b$  allele ( $b/b$ ) while HT-1 and HT-11 appear to be  $V_{\beta}$ 2.1 heterozygous (a/b). This conclusion is supported by RNase protection assay results wherein HT-5 gave a single band corresponding to the 277-base-pair  $V<sub>g</sub>2.1b$ specific probe and HT-1 and HT-11, in addition to the primary band, gave a distinct secondary band (Fig. 1) whose size (150 base pairs) corresponded to the longest homologous sequence (nt 127-277) between the two  $V_{\beta}$ 2.1 alleles.

Five thymuses exhibited considerably lower  $V<sub>g</sub>15.1$ expression levels  $(<0.2\%)$  than the remaining 12  $(0.6-1\%)$ , and we therefore cloned and sequenced  $V<sub>g</sub>15.1$  from HT-9 (low expressor). A single nucleotide difference from the published sequence (27) was noted (CGG  $\rightarrow$  CAG, Arg-44  $\rightarrow$ Gln), thereby identifying two alleles, 15.1a (homologous to the probe) and 15.lb, respectively. Thus, it is likely that HT-9 and the other four very low  $V<sub>g</sub>$ 15.1-expressing thymuses (HT-3, HT-6, HT-12, and HT-17) are either heterozygous  $(a/b)$  or homozygous  $(b/b)$  for the V<sub>B</sub>15.1b allele, while the remaining 12 donors are most likely homozygous for the  $V<sub>g</sub>15.1a$  allele  $(a/a)$ .

With regard to  $V<sub>β</sub>12.1$ , three main expression levels were identified: the prototypic HT-5 thymus had undetectable levels, eight individuals had low relative levels (0.36-0.57%), and eight had high relative levels (0.76-1.62%). To study the basis for this variation, a RNase protection assay using only the probe for  $V<sub>g</sub>12.1$  was performed. A protected band  $\approx$ 15 base pairs shorter than expected was found with HT-5, suggesting polymorphism within the PCR primer used to generate this probe. Indeed, sequencing the entire  $V<sub>g</sub>12.1$ gene of this individual revealed a single conservative nucleotide substitution (TAT  $\rightarrow$  TAC, Tyr-31) within the region of the <sup>5</sup>' oligonucleotide primer. Since all five sequenced clones contained this polymorphism, HT-5 is likely homozygous for one of the two  $V<sub>g</sub>12.1$  alleles, hereinafter designated 12.1b. Analysis of the remaining individuals indicated complete concordance between the presence or absence of the secondary band and  $V_\beta$ 12.1 transcript levels; i.e., those with low levels exhibited the primary as well as the secondary band, classifying them as heterozygous  $(a/b)$  for the two  $V<sub>g</sub>12.1$ alleles, whereas those with high relative levels exhibited only the primary band, classifying them as homozygous for the 12.1a allele  $(a/a)$ .

In contrast, polymorphisms within the probe region do not appear to account for  $V_\beta$ 3.1 expression level variations. First, Southern blot analysis of eight thymuses (HT-2, HT-3, and HT-5 through HT-10) with expression levels from 0.73% to 5.74% showed a single  $V_\beta$ 3.1 band of identical size (data not shown), suggesting that all have the same number of  $V_\beta$ 3.1 genes. Second, the portion of  $V_\beta$ 3.1 corresponding to the prototypic probe was cloned from these eight thymuses and yielded sequences identical to the probe. Third, secondary bands were not observed when low or high expressors were analyzed by the protection assay using this probe.

Thus, although low  $V_\beta$  gene expression levels are sometimes caused by polymorphisms leading to inadequate probe hybridization, other variations appear to be caused by as yet undefined genomic or somatic mechanisms.

 $V_{\beta}$  Repertoire Selection in Mature Thymocyte Subsets. In mouse, the expressed  $V_\beta$  repertoire in mature single-positive medullary and peripheral T cells is highly modified from the randomly created repertoire of immature double-positive cortical thymocytes (reviewed in refs. <sup>3</sup> and 4). To determine whether such TCR  $V_{\beta}$  repertoire changes can be detected in humans, we compared the relative quantity of each of the 22 human  $V<sub>g</sub>$  transcripts in primarily immature total thymocytes vs. single-positive  $(CD4+8^-$  or  $CD8+4^-$ ) mature thymocytes from five randomly selected donors (2 Hispanics, 1 Asian, and 2 Caucasians; 11 months to 4 years of age). Percent relative changes are diagrammatically depicted in Fig. 4. For



FIG. 3. Relative TCR  $V_B$  gene transcript levels in unselected total thymocytes from 17 individuals. Each dot represents the mean value for a given thymus assessed in two to nine independent RNase protection assays and expressed as a percent of total  $C_{\beta}$  transcripts. For each  $V_{\beta}$ , the mean  $\pm$  1 SD for all 17 individuals is also shown. Solid squares depict values with the prototypic thymus (HT-5) from which probes were derived, whereas solid dots indicate individuals whose genes were cloned and sequenced.



FIG. 4.  $V_\beta$  repertoire modifications in five human thymuses. Percent relative changes in  $V_\beta$  gene transcript levels from total, mostly immature thymocytes to syngeneic mature single-positive  $CD4+8^-$  (hatched bars) or  $CD8+4^-$  (open bars) thymocytes derived from five individuals were calculated by using the formula:  ${[(CD4<sup>+</sup>8<sup>-</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup>4<sup>-</sup> value) - (total thymocyte value)]/(total)}$ thymocyte value)}  $\times$  100. Examples of insignificant change (A) and significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) over-(B) or underexpression (C) in the CD8<sup>+</sup>4<sup>-</sup> subset, over- (D) or underexpression  $(E)$  in the CD4<sup>+8-</sup> subset, and over- $(F)$  or underexpression  $(G)$  in both subsets compared to total thymocytes are depicted.

 $V<sub>6</sub>3.1, -4.1, -5.2, -6.6, -8.1, -8.2, -11.1, -13.1, -13.2, -15.1,$  and -19.1, there were no significant changes in transcript levels in most thymuses between the primarily immature total thymocytes and the syngeneic single-positive mature thymocytes (shown for  $V_\beta$ 3.1, Fig. 4A). Importantly, however, significant  $(P < 0.05$ , Student's *t* test) changes of over- or underexpression in single-positive thymocyte subsets compared to corresponding total thymocytes were observed for the remaining  $V_{\beta}$ s. Thus,  $V_{\beta}$ 1.1 and  $V_{\beta}$ 7.1 (Fig. 4*B*) showed a comparative  $CD8+4^-$  subset-bias increased expression, and  $V_62.1$ , -5.1, and -17.1 (Fig. 4C) showed a comparative  $CD8+4^-$  subsetbias decreased expression in relation to syngeneic total thymocytes. Conversely,  $V_\beta$ 12.1 and  $V_\beta$ 18.1 (Fig. 4D) were comparatively overexpressed in the  $CD4+8^-$  subset, whereas  $V<sub>g</sub>$ 14.1 (Fig. 4E) was underexpressed in the CD4<sup>+8-</sup> subset (and overexpressed in the CD8<sup>+4-</sup> subset). Moreover,  $V_{\beta}6.4$ (Fig. 4F) was overexpressed and  $V_\beta$ 8.3 and  $V_\beta$ 16.1 (Fig. 4G)

were underexpressed in both single-positive subsets. Relative increases or decreases varied considerably, with significant upward shifts from 11% ( $V<sub>β</sub>6.4$  in HT-14) to 137% (V<sub>B</sub>6.4 in HT-17) and downward shifts from 21% (V<sub>B</sub>17.1 in HT-14) to 54% ( $V_\beta$ 16.1 in HT-16). Overall, these findings suggest that  $V_B$  repertoire changes occur in humans upon thymocyte transition to the mature single-positive stage. However, the observed decreases in  $V_\beta$  expression levels were never of the same magnitude as those conferred in mice by neonatal exposure to self or environmental superantigens.

## DISCUSSION

We describe herein the analysis of  $V_\beta$  expression in thymocytes of several individuals by using a human  $V_\beta$  multiprobe RNase protection assay. Application to human thymocyte populations allowed us to address the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of genomically imposed  $V<sub>g</sub>$  repertoires among diverse genetic backgrounds and to determine the extent to which human  $V_\beta$  repertoires might be affected by positive and negative somatic selection.

On the basis of the presence of corresponding mRNAs, no genomic deletions were detected, confirming the rarity of  $V_g$ genomic deletions reported earlier by Concannon et al. (34). Thus, humans appear to differ from mice in that extensive genomic deletions have been identified encompassing approximately one-half of the total mouse  $V_\beta$  genes in at least five different inbred strains (35-37) and in wild mice from different geographic locations (38-40).

The relative abundance of each of the 22  $V_\beta$  transcripts within a thymus was highly variable over a range of  $\approx$  20-fold, a value similar to that in murine total thymocytes (18). However, expression levels do not necessarily correspond to the degree of homology between the  $V_\beta$  genes of these two species (2). The reasons for this unequal  $V_\beta$  gene expression are not yet clear. While correlation of the degree or frequency of expression for immunoglobulin  $V_H$  genes with chromosomal location has been described (41, 42), no such correlation exists for TCR genes (43). Therefore, other factors, such as recombinase signal sequences, transcriptional rates, and preferential pairing of  $V_\beta$  and  $V_\alpha$ , may contribute to these differences.

Although the overall patterns of  $V_B$  gene expression levels were relatively similar among the 17 thymuses, considerable variations for some  $V_{\beta}$ s were observed. Some of these variations (i.e.,  $V_B$ 3.1) may be explained on the same basis as variations within a given thymus, while others (i.e.,  $V_{\beta}2.1$ , -12.1, and -15.1) may be caused by polymorphisms affecting the degree of hybridization with our RNA probes. Previous studies have identified coding region polymorphisms for  $V_\beta$ 1.1 and  $V_\beta$ 6.7 (44, 45). Although each  $V_\beta$  riboprobe used in the present study covered only a portion of the coding sequence (from 18% to 98%), polymorphisms were identified for three other  $V_B$  genes ( $V_B$ 2.1, -12.1, and -15.1). In separate studies with human peripheral blood T cells (R.B., D.H.K., R.S.B., and A.N.T., unpublished results) and with human thymic cDNA libraries (A. Plaza, D.H.K., and A.N.T., unpublished results), polymorphisms for eight additional  $V<sub>g</sub>$ genes were revealed. On the basis of these findings,  $V<sub>g</sub>$  gene coding region polymorphisms are probably extensive and may contribute to overall human TCR repertoire diversification.

An important component of our study was the search for evidence of positive and negative selection in humans, particularly those mediated by superantigens. Our data document significant differences in transcript level values for several  $V_B$  genes upon transition of T cells from immature to mature single-positive subsets. These presumed selection changes, manifested as over- or underexpression, usually affected only one or the other single-positive subset, although

sometimes both were affected. Interpretation of these findings with regard to positive and negative selection is difficult at present. If transcript levels are higher in one or both single-positive thymocyte subsets compared to mostly immature total thymocytes, then preferential positive selection can be invoked. On the other hand, a low comparative value in one or both single-positive subsets may be interpreted as negative selection, or absence of positive selection. In the mouse, such dual interpretations can be resolved by appropriate genetic studies. In humans, family and other studies will be required for final interpretation of the results.

Our study of five thymuses suggests that complete superantigen-imposed  $V<sub>g</sub>$  deletions are unusual or even absent in humans. Additional studies of peripheral blood T cells from 38 normal individuals concur with this conclusion (R.B., D.H.K., R.S.B., and A.N.T., unpublished results). Previous studies of peripheral blood from three subjects by quantitative PCR (46) have also failed to document complete  $V_\beta$ clonal deletions. Although not all human  $V_B$  genes have been analyzed in this regard, considering the extent of superantigen-mediated clonal deletions in the mouse (25-50% of  $V_B$ genes are somatically deleted in several strains), it can be concluded with considerable confidence that more extensive studies will probably not significantly alter this interpretation. The "subset-biased"  $\bar{V}_\beta$  repertoire modifications described here may be due to the effects of "weak" selfsuperantigens or simply be a consequence of selection imposed by conventional peptide ligands. The nature of murine self-superantigens has not been fully recognized. By examining recombinant inbred mouse strains, Woodland et al. (13) reported that one of the genes encoding the  $V<sub>\beta</sub>5.2$ -deleting cotolerogens was mapped to chromosome 12 and linked to the endogenous mammary tumor virus-9. However, if mammary tumor viruses are proven to be the self-superantigens in mouse, it should be noted that related retroviral genes are not known to be functional in humans (J. M. Coffin, personal communication).

Some  $V<sub>g</sub>$  clonotypes susceptible to self-superantigenmediated clonal deletions cross-react with exogenous superantigens (i.e., bacterial toxins; ref. 14). Thus, it has been hypothesized (14) that eliminating these cells early in ontogeny avoids adverse effects that might be induced later in life if such toxins are encountered. The lack of somatically imposed complete  $V<sub>g</sub>$  deletions in humans suggests this concept may not be applicable to all species.

Note Added in Proof. Further documentation of the above cited linkage of mammary tumor viral gene products with  $V_B$  deletions in the mouse has recently been provided (47-50).

We thank Dr. C. Tsoukas for his help in procuring thymus samples; Drs. J. Sprent, S. Webb, and P. Peterson for critical review of the manuscript; S. Kovac for excellent technical assistance; and M.K. Occhipinti for editing and manuscript preparation. R.B. is a Fellow of the Swiss National Science Foundation and the Stefano Franscini Foundation of the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology. This is publication no. 6491IMM from the Department of Immunology, Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation. This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grants AR31203, AR39555, CA27489 and by National Cancer Institute Grant CA16359-16.

- 1. Davis, M. M. & Bjorkman, P. J. (1988) Nature (London) 334, 395-402.<br>2. Wilson, R. K., Lai, E., Concannon, P., Barth, R. K. & Hood, L. E. 2. Wilson, R. K., Lai, E., Concannon, P., Barth, R. K. & Hood, L. E. (1988) Immunol. Rev. 101, 149-172.
- 3. Blackman, M., Kappler, J. & Marrack, P. (1990) Science 248, 1335-1341.
- 4. von Boehmer, H. & Kisielow, P. (1990) Science 248, 1369–1372.<br>5. Fink. P., Matis, L., McElliott, D., Bookman, M. & Hedrick, S.
- 5. Fink, P., Matis, L., McElliott, D., Bookman, M. & Hedrick, S. (1986) Nature (London) 321, 219-226.
- 6. White, J., Herman, A., Pullen, A. M., Kubo, R., Kappler, J. W. & Marrack, P. (1989) Cell 56, 27-35.
- 7. Kappler, J. W., Staerz, U., White, J. & Marrack, P. C. (1988) Nature (London) 332, 35-39.
- 8. MacDonald, H. R., Schneider, R., Lees, R. K., Howe, R. C., Acha-Orbea, H., Festenstein, H., Zinkernagel, R. M. & Hengartner, H. (1988) Nature (London) 332, 40-45.
- 9. Pullen, A. M., Marrack, P. & Kappler, J. W. (1988) Nature (London) 335, 796-801.
- 10. Abe, R., Vacchio, M. S., Fox, B. & Hodes, R. J. (1988) Nature (London) 335, 35-39.
- 11. Kappler, J. W., Roehm, N. & Marrack, P. (1987) Cell 49, 273-280.
- 12. Vacchio, M. S. & Hodes, R. J. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 170, 1335-1346.
- 13. Woodland, D., Happ, M. P., Bill, J. & Palmer, E. (1990) Science 247, 964-967.
- 14. Marrack, P. & Kappler, J. (1990) Science 248, 705-711.<br>15. Tovonaga B. & Mak T. W. (1987) Annu Rev Immuno
- 15. Toyonaga, B. & Mak, T. W. (1987) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 5, 585–620.<br>16. Singer, P. A., Balderas, R. S., McEvilly, R. J., Bobardt, M. & Theo Singer, P. A., Balderas, R. S., McEvilly, R. J., Bobardt, M. & Theo-
- filopoulos, A. N. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 170, 1869-1877. 17. Singer, P. A., Balderas, R. S. & Theofilopoulos, A. N. (1990) EMBO J. 9, 3641-3648.
- 18. Okada, C. Y. & Weissman, I. L. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 169, 1703-1719.<br>19. Saiki, R. K., Scharf, S., Faloona, S., Mullis, K. B., Horn, G. T., Erlich
- Saiki, R. K., Scharf, S., Faloona, S., Mullis, K. B., Horn, G. T., Erlich, H. A. & Kazazian, H. (1985) Science 230, 1350-1354.
- 20. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Lab., Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 21. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. (1977) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 5463-5467.
- 22. Tillinghast, J. P., Behlke, M. A. & Loh, D. Y. (1986) Science 233, 879-883.
- 23. Yanagi, Y., Yoshikai, Y., Leggett, K., Clark, S. P., Aleksander, I. & Mak, T. W. (1984) Nature (London) 308, 145-149.
- 24. Leiden, J. M. & Strominger, J. L. (1986) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 4456-4460.
- 25. Kimura, N., Toyonaga, B., Yoshikai, Y., Du, R. -P. & Mak, T. W. (1987) Eur. J. Immunol. 198, 375-383.
- 26. Kimura, N., Toyonaga, B., Yoshikai, Y., Triebel, F., Debre, P., Minden, M. D. & Mak, T. W. (1986) J. Exp. Med. 164, 739-750.
- 27. Ikuta, K., Ogura, T., Shimizu, A. & Honjo, T. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad.
- Sci. USA 82, 7701-7705. 28. Concannon, P., Pickering, L. A., Kung, P. & Hood, L. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 6598-6602.
- 29. Yoshikai, Y., Antoniou, D., Clark, S. P., Yanagi, Y., Sangster, R., Van den Elsen, P., Terhorst, C. & Mak, T. W. (1984) Nature (London) 312, 521-524.
- 30. Duby, A. D. & Seidman, J. G. (1986) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 4890-4894.
- 31. Tunnacliffe, A., Kefford, R., Milstein, C., Forster, A. & Rabbitts, T. H.
- (1985) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 5068-5072. 32. Toyonaga, B., Yoshikai, Y., Vadasz, V., Chin, B. & Mak, T. W. (1985) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 8624-8628.
- 33. Chomczynski, P. & Sacchi, N. (1987) Anal. Biochem. 162, 156–159.<br>34. Concannon, P., Gatti, R. A. & Hood, L. E. (1987) J. Exp. Med. 1.
- 34. Concannon, P., Gatti, R. A. & Hood, L. E. (1987) J. Exp. Med. 165, 1130-1140.
- 35. Behlke, M. A., Chou, H. S., Huppi, K. & Loh, D. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 767-771.
- 36. Haqqi, T. M., Banerjee, S., Anderson, G. D. & David, C. S. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 169, 1903-1909.
- 37. Haqqi, T. M., Banerjee, S., Jones, W. L., Anderson, G., Behlke, M. A., Loh, D. Y., Luthra, H. S. & David, C. S. (1989) Immunogenetics 29, 180-185.
- 38. Huppi, K., D'Hoostelaere, B., Mock, M., Jouvin-Marche, E., Behlke, M., Chou, H., Berry, R. & Loh, D. (1988) Immunogenetics 27, 51-56.
- 39. Jouvin-Marche, E., Trede, N. S., Bandeira, A., Tomas, A., Loh, D. Y. & Cazenave, P.-A. (1989) Eur. J. Immunol. 19, 1921-1926.
- 40. Pullen, A. M., Potts, W., Wakelands, E. K., Kappler, J. & Marrack, P. (1990) J. Exp. Med. 171, 49-62.
- 41. Yancopoulos, G. D., Desiderio, S. V., Paskind, M., Kearney, J. F., Baltimore, D. & Alt, F. W. (1984) Nature (London) 311, 727-733.
- 42. Schroeder, H. W., Jr., Hillson, J. L. & Perlmutter, R. M. (1987) Science 238, 791-793.
- 43. Lai, E., Concannon, P. & Hood, L. (1988) Nature (London) 331, 543–546.<br>44. Robinson, M. A. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 9422–9426.
- 44. Robinson, M. A. (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86, 9422–9426.<br>45. Li, Y., Szabo, P., Robinson, M. A., Dong, B. & Posnett, D. N. (1990) J.
- Exp. Med. 171, 221-230.
- 46. Choi, Y., Kotzin, B., Herron, L., Callahan, J., Marrack, P. & Kappler, J. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 8941-8945.
- 47. Woodland, D., Happ, M. P., Gollob, K. J. & Palmer, E. (1991) Nature (London) 349, 529-530.
- 48. Marrack, P., Kushnir, E. & Kappler, J. (1991) Nature (London) 349, 524-526.
- 49. Frankel, W. N., Rudy, C., Coffin, J. M. & Huber, B.T. (1991) Nature (London) 349, 526-528.
- 50. Dyson, P. J., Knight, A. M., Fairchild, S., Simpson, E. & Tomonari, K. (1991) Nature (London) 349, 531-532.