

Precise reply and clarifications on behalf of Sicilian Public Health Authorities to the case report published by La Rosa and colleagues

Francesco Vitale^{a,b,c}, Claudio Costantino^a, Vincenzo Restivo^a, Nicolò Casuccio^{b,d}, Giovanni Corsello^{a,b,e}, Mario Palermo^{b,f}, and Ignazio Tozzo^g

^aDepartment of Science for Health Promotion and Mother to Child Care “G. D’Alessandro” - University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; ^bMember of the Vaccination Board of the Sicilian Health Department, Palermo, Italy; ^cPast President of the Sicilian Section of the Italian Society of Hygiene, Preventive Medicine and Public Health (S.It.I.), Palermo, Italy; ^dPresident of the Sicilian Section of the Italian Society of Hygiene, Preventive Medicine and Public Health (S.It.I.), Palermo, Italy; ^ePresident of the Italian Society for Pediatrics (S.I.P.), Palermo, Italy; ^fDirector of the Public Hygiene service of the Sicilian Health Department, Palermo, Italy; ^gDirector of the Department of Sanitary Activities and Epidemiological Observatory of the Sicilian Health Department, Palermo, Italy

ABSTRACT

As a results of the case report “Post-rotavirus vaccine intussusception in identical twins: a case report” recently published on Human Vaccines & Immunotherapy by La Rosa et al., the principal Sicilian Public Health Authorities decided to specify several points and underline some important details omitted by the authors. In particular, aims to underline the remarkable benefit for Sicilian Regional Health service after the introduction of the rotavirus vaccination.

Universal mass vaccination against rotavirus is properly managed by the Regional Health Authorities and is contributing to a consistent increase of public health in the Sicilian pediatric population; any modification of such a program should be based on robust scientific evidences. Finally, a single case report should not be considered as a basis to recommend a change in the clinical practice but instead a possible point of start for discussion and research.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 27 May 2016
Accepted 8 June 2016

KEYWORDS

Immunization schedule; intussusception; pediatric population; rotavirus vaccination

Dear Sirs,

We read with interest the paper by La Rosa et al “Post-rotavirus vaccine intussusception in identical twins: a case report” recently published on Human Vaccines & Immunotherapy.¹

In our opinion, some points need to be specified, in particular to underline the remarkable benefit for Sicilian Regional Health service after the introduction of the universal mass vaccination against rotavirus (UMRV), that was omitted by the authors.¹

G.G. and Y are two identical twins, born in Province of Catania, the 16th of June 2013. The twins were born at a gestational age of 36 + 0 weeks, that was internationally recognized as a preterm birth, and not an “at term” birth how reported.^{1,2}

Weight (in grams), length and cranial circumference (in centimeters) at birth were 2,150 - 31 - 46 (for X), and 2,050 - 31.5 - 46 (for Y) respectively. The 3rd of September 2013 the pediatrician correctly recommended, according to the Regional Immunization Schedule approved in 2012 and become law the 1st of January 2013, the oral administration of monovalent rotavirus vaccine (RV1) for both twins.³

We agree with the Authors that universal mass vaccination against rotavirus (UMVR) exerts a large public health impact on rotavirus burden of disease. Sicily was the first region in Italy to introduce UMVR in 2012. After the first year, a 40% reduction of rotavirus gastroenteritis hospitalization among children aged 0-59 months was recorded, in spite of suboptimal

vaccination coverage, consistently with figures observed in other European Countries.⁴⁻⁹

Nevertheless, in the paper by La Rosa et al there are some statements that, in our opinion, deserve further discussion as summarized below:

- 1) The use of monovalent rotavirus vaccine (RV1) is approved for preterm babies and such a vaccination is internationally recommended.^{10,11} Intussusception insurgence was probably related with several factors (viral or bacterial infections, feeding, familiar predisposition, use of drugs, ...) and was reported only a marginal role of the new generation of rotavirus vaccine.¹²⁻¹⁵ However, the preterm condition might act as a confounding factor to the occurrence of intussusception in the twins.
- 2) Being the twins been born in June 2013, the vaccine was administered in September 2013 and not in 2014 as reported.¹ RV1 vaccination after 24 weeks of age would have constitute an off label administration, as specified in the Summary of the Product Characteristics (SmPC).¹⁰
- 3) In Sicily, all the public health providers, including pediatricians, are trained and requested to follow the Regional Immunization Schedule, verifying the absence of vaccine contraindication in babies and children and counseling the families for a consciousness adherence to vaccination programs.^{4,7} Thus, the sentence reported in the paper by La Rosa et al. (“...on the advice of the pediatrician..”) should be interpreted in this light.

CONTACT Claudio Costantino  claudio.costantino01@unipa.it  Via del Vespro n 133, 90127, Palermo, Italy.

© 2016 Francesco Vitale, Claudio Costantino, Vincenzo Restivo, Nicolò Casuccio, Giovanni Corsello, Mario Palermo, and Ignazio Tozzo. Published with license by Taylor & Francis. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author(s) have been asserted.

- 4) Health care providers and pediatricians in Sicily properly report any intussusception case. Such an attitude reflected in an increasing trend of intussusception hospitalizations among children aged 0-59 months in the pre-rotavirus vaccination period, due to contributing factors different from RV vaccination (2003-2012).^{14,16} Twins were hospitalized for intussusception 7 and 8 d after vaccine first dose administration, respectively. Such a time frame is in line with the findings of different post marketing surveillance studies and the monovalent vaccine SmPC.^{10,15, 17} In fact, an increase of 1.6 intussusception cases out of 100.000 vaccinations was recently postulated on the basis of the VAERS monitoring in the USA after nearly 11 million of doses of RV1 distributed.¹⁸ For the time being, the rate of RV1 temporarily associated intussusceptions in Sicily is in line with such an estimate.
- 5) In January 2016, started a case control study that will investigate all invagination cases hospitalized in Sicily from 2009 to 2014, comparing socio-demographics, neonatal, feeding, familiar, pharmacological and genetic factors (through an oral swab), with control children comparable for gender, gestational age and environmental characteristics. Familiarity of intussusceptions hypothesized by authors, is an intriguing theory. However the interview of the twins' mother and family pediatrician, recently carried out during the study, reduced the link with an intussusception familiarity. As a matter of fact, any twins relative, including parents and the 2 older brothers had never experienced any episode of intussusceptions. The statements made by La Rosa et al in the paper on this potential association lack of scientific evidence (and references) and thus should be framed as an authors personal opinions.
- 6) Finally, during the interview, emerged the use of drugs for both twins in the 2 d preceding the hospitalization for intussusception. In particular, from the 9th of September 2013, due to a urinary tract infection, was administered to X an antibiotic (Cefpodoxime) and, from the same day, due to a bronchospasm with associated cough, were administered to Y a nebulized solution of salbutamol and ipratropium bromide. This possible confounding factor was supported by several studies that analyzed the possible role in particular of antibiotics in intussusception insurgence.¹⁹⁻²¹

In conclusion, in agreement with the Evidence Based Medicine concept, we believe that a single case report should not be considered as a basis to recommend a modification in the clinical practice but instead a possible point of start for scientifically sound discussion and research, if needed. UMVR is properly managed by the Regional Health Authorities and is contributing to a consistent increase of public health in the Sicilian population; any modification of such a program should be based on robust scientific evidences.

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References

- [1] La Rosa F, Scuderi MG, Taranto V, La Rosa V, Spinello CM, La Camera G, Astuto M. Post-rotavirus vaccine intussusception in identical twins: a case report. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2016; Apr 12:1-3.
- [2] World Health Organization. Preterm birth - Fact sheet N 363 (Updated November 2015). Available online at: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs363/en/> (cited 2016 May 27)
- [3] Health Department Decree n 0820/2012. "Calendario Vaccinale per la Vita:" modification and integration of the Sicilian Regional immunization schedule. Available online at: <http://www.epicentro.iss.it/temi/vaccinazioni/pdf/Normative/Sicilia%20-%20Maggio%202012/CALENDARIO%202012/Delibera%20D.A.%20n%C2%B0%200820-12%20del%207.5.12.pdf> (cited 2016 May 27)
- [4] Costantino C, Amodio E, Vitale F. Impact on rotavirus gastroenteritis hospitalisation during the first year of universal vaccination in Sicily. *Paediatr Int Child Health* 2015; 35(4):342-3; PMID:26744161; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/20469047.2015.1109240>
- [5] Rationale for Italian Lifetime Immunization Schedule (2nd edition). Available online at: http://www.vaccinarsi.org/assets/uploads/files/359/Calendario_per_la_vita_2014_FINALE.pdf (cited-2016-May-27)
- [6] Signorelli C, Odone A, Bonanni P, Russo F. New Italian immunisation plan is built on scientific evidence: Carlo Signorelli and colleagues reply to news article by Michael Day. *BMJ* 2015; Dec 14; 351: h6775; PMID:26667823; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h6775>
- [7] Official Gazzette of Sicilia Region. Health Department Decree 12/01/2015. Modification and Integration of the "Calendario Vaccinale per la Vita." Available from: [http://pti.regione.sicilia.it/portal/page/portal/PIR_PORTALE/PIR_LaStrutturaRegionale/PIR_AssessoratoSalute/PIR_Decreti/PIR_Decreti2015/PIR_DecretiAssessoratoSalute2015/12%202001%202015%20SERV%201%20\(38\).pdf](http://pti.regione.sicilia.it/portal/page/portal/PIR_PORTALE/PIR_LaStrutturaRegionale/PIR_AssessoratoSalute/PIR_Decreti/PIR_Decreti2015/PIR_DecretiAssessoratoSalute2015/12%202001%202015%20SERV%201%20(38).pdf) (cited 2016 May 27)
- [8] Paulke-Korinek M, Kundi M, Rendi-Wagner P, de Martin A, Eder G, Schmidle-Loss B. Herd immunity after two years of the universal mass vaccination program against rotavirus gastroenteritis in Austria. *Vaccine* 2011; 29:2791-6; PMID:21320539; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.01.104>
- [9] Raes M, Strens D, Vergison A, Verghote M, Standaert B. Reduction in pediatric rotavirus-related hospitalizations after universal rotavirus vaccination in Belgium. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2011; 30:e120-5; PMID:21436757; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/INF.0b013e318214b811>
- [10] Monovalent human rotavirus vaccination technical sheet. Available from: ec.europa.eu/health/documents/community-register/2006/2006022110930/anx_10930_it.pdf (cited 2016 May 27)
- [11] Vesikari T, Van Damme P, Giaquinto C, Dagan R, Guarino A, Szajewska H, Usonis V. European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases consensus recommendations for rotavirus vaccination in Europe: update 2014. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2015; Jun; 34(6):635-43; PMID:25860532; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/INF.0000000000000683>
- [12] Fischer TK, Bihrmann K, Perch M, Koch A, Wohlfahrt J, Kåre M, Melbye M. Intussusception in early childhood: a cohort study of 1.7 million children. *Pediatrics* 2004; Sep; 114(3):782-5; PMID:15342854; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2004-0390>
- [13] Samad L, Cortina-Borja M, Bashir HE, Sutcliffe AG, Marven S, Cameron JC, Lynn R, Taylor B. Intussusception incidence among infants in the UK and Republic of Ireland: a pre-rotavirus vaccine prospective surveillance study. *Vaccine* 2013; Aug 28; 31(38):4098-102; PMID:23871447; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.06.084>
- [14] Nylund CM, Denson LA, Noel JM. Bacterial enteritis as a risk factor for childhood intussusception: A retrospective cohort study. *J Pediatr* 2010; 156(5):761-5; PMID:20138300; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.11.026>
- [15] Patel MM, López-Collada VR, Bulhões MM, De Oliveira LH, Bautista Márquez A, Flannery B, Esparza-Aguilar M, Montenegro Renoier EI, Luna-Cruz ME, Sato HK, et al. Intussusception risk and health benefits of rotavirus vaccination in Mexico and Brazil. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364(24):2283-92; PMID:21675888; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1012952>
- [16] Costantino C, Restivo V, Cuccia M, Furnari R, Amodio E, Vitale F. Analysis of hospitalizations due to intussusception in Sicily in

- the pre-rotavirus vaccination era (2003-2012). *Ital J Pediatr* 2015; Aug 1; 41:52; PMID:26232152; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13052-015-0160-4>
- [17] Palupi-Baroto R, Lee KJ, Carlin JB, Bines JE. Intussusception in Australia: epidemiology prior to the introduction of rotavirus vaccine. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2015; Feb; 39(1):11-4; PMID:25558780; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1753-6405.12297>
- [18] Haber P, Parashar UD, Haber M, DeStefano F. Intussusception after monovalent rotavirus vaccine-United States, Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), 2008-2014. *Vaccine* 2015; Sep 11; 33(38):4873-7; PMID:26276687; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.07.054>
- [19] Hviid A, Svanstrom H. Antibiotic use and intussusception in early childhood. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; 64:642-8; PMID:19549670; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp217>
- [20] Spiro DM, Arnold DH, Barbone F. Association between antibiotic use and primary idiopathic intussusception. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2003; 157:54-9; PMID:12517195; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.157.1.54>
- [21] Jenke AC, Klaassen-Mielke R, Zilbauer M, Heininger U, Trampisch H, Wirth S. Intussusception: incidence and treatment-insights from the nationwide German surveillance. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2011; Apr; 52(4):446-51; PMID:21415671; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0b013e31820e1bec>