ACS**Medicinal**
Chemistry Letters

PAR2 Modulators Derived from GB88

Mei-Kwan Yau,†,‡ Ligong Liu,†,‡ Jacky Y. Suen,† Junxian Lim,† Rink-Jan Lohman,† Yuhong Jiang,† Adam J. Cottere[ll,](#page-4-0)[†] Grant D. B[ar](#page-4-0)ry,[†] Jeffrey Y. W. Mak,[†] David A. Vesey,[§] Robert C. Reid,[†] and David P. Fairlie^{*,†}

† Division of Chemistry a[nd](#page-4-0) Structural Biology, Centre for Inflammation and Disease Research and ARC Centre of Excellence in Advanced Molecular Imaging, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia

§ Centre for Kidney Research, Department of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland 4102, Australia

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-4-0)STRACT: [PAR2 antago](#page-4-0)nists have potential for treating inflammatory, respiratory, gastrointestinal, neurological, and metabolic disorders, but few antagonists are known. Derivatives of GB88 (3) suggest that all four of its components bind at distinct PAR2 sites with the isoxazole, cyclohexylalanine, and isoleucine determining affinity and selectivity, while the C-terminal substituent determines agonist/antagonist function. Here we report structurally similar PAR2 ligands with opposing functions (agonist vs antagonist) upon binding to PAR2. A biased ligand AY117 (65) was found to antagonize calcium release induced by PAR2 agonists trypsin and hexapeptide 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (IC₅₀ 2.2 and 0.7 μ M, HT29 cells), but it

was a selective PAR2 agonist in inhibiting cAMP stimulation and activating ERK1/2 phosphorylation. It showed antiinflammatory properties both in vitro and in vivo.

KEYWORDS: Protease activated receptor 2 (PAR2), antagonist, agonist, structure−activity relationship (SAR), inflammation

P rotease activated receptor 2 (PAR2) is a G protein coupled receptor activated by endogenous proteases.^{1,2} PAR2 is activated in various inflammatory, respiratory, gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, neurological, and metabolic diseas[es,](#page-5-0) as well as skin conditions and pain. $1,3-10$ Inhibiting the functions of PAR2 could have potential therapeutic applications in treating these diseases. Of the few PAR[2 antag](#page-5-0)onists known, ENMD1068 (1) is a weak antagonist at millimolar concentrations.¹¹ K-14585 (2) is a weak antagonist at low micromolar concentrations of peptide agonists according to a NF- κ B-luciferase repo[rte](#page-5-0)r gene assay,¹² but not of endogenous proteases like trypsin.¹³ C391 (5, Figure 1) inhibited PAR2 mediated Ca^{2+} and MAPK signaling pathwa[ys](#page-5-0) at micromolar concentrations.¹⁴ There are [so](#page-5-0)me other small molecule antagonists reported in patents (not shown).¹⁵ Our group previously identified t[he](#page-5-0) first micromolar−nanomolar antagonists GB88 (3) and GB83 $(4)^{16,17}$ that inhibite[d P](#page-5-0)AR2 activation of intracellular calcium (iCa^{2+}) release induced by all known PAR2 agonists, including pr[oteas](#page-5-0)es (e.g., trypsin) or synthetic peptide agonists (e.g., SLIGRL-NH₂, 2fLIGRLO-NH₂) or nonpeptide agonists (e.g., GB110) in multiple human cell lines.¹⁷ Their potential therapeutic applications were demonstrated for 3 in vivo in both acute and chronic inflammatory rat mod[els](#page-5-0).17−²⁰

This study reports structure−activity relationships (SARs) in which e[ac](#page-5-0)h [co](#page-5-0)mponent (isoxazole, cyclohexylalanine, isoleucine, spiro[indene-1,4'-piperidine]) of 3 (Figure 1) is altered to gain more understanding of their contributions to PAR2 modulation

Figure 1. Chemical structures of PAR2 antagonists (1−5) and modular optimization (boxed) in this study.

(Figure 2). We propose that each motif (isoxazole, Cha, Ile, Cterminus) binds to different regions of PAR2 to modulate [downstrea](#page-1-0)m signaling pathways, leading to discovery of selective pathway-controlled biased ligands.

Received: August 4, 2016 Accepted: October 10, 2016 Published: October 10, 2016

Figure 2. Modular changes to each component of 3.

Each motif was replaced with different moieties, and the PAR2 antagonist/agonist activities were assessed initially by intracellular calcium (iCa^{2+}) release in human colorectal carcinoma (HT29) cells. All ligands were first screened for antagonist activity at two concentrations (10 and 100 μ M, Figure 3), and

Figure 3. Initial screening of representative compounds at 10 μ M (>30% inhibition) for reducing calcium efflux in HT29 cells elicited by 1 μ M 2-f-LIGRLO-NH₂. Data are means \pm SEM ($n \ge 2$). Compounds 12, 19, 49, 50, 52−57, 59, 62−64, and 71 were agonists, which falsely read as antagonists due to agonist-induced receptor desensitization¹ (Supporting Information Figure S1).

those showing signi[fi](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)cant inhibition (>30% at 10 μ M) were further screened for agonist activity (at 10 μ M) to establish that the observed inhibition was not caused by agonist-induced receptor desensitization.¹⁶ Promising antagonists were further assessed over full-concentration ranges to determine halfmaximum inhibition (IC₅₀) of iCa²⁺ release and profiled in other functional assays such as cAMP stimulation and ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Properties of PAR2 antagonists were then briefly examined in vitro and in vivo in models of inflammation.

The role of backbone amides of 3 was first investigated through N-methylation. Neither of the two mono N-methylated derivatives of 3 showed any activity. This indicated the importance of both backbone amides, possibly due to

maintaining a preferred trans-amide conformation for presenting side-chains or for hydrogen bonding to the receptor.

SAR around the isoxazole motif of 3 was investigated using cyclic or acyclic moieties differing in hydrophobicity, size, saturation, and polarity (Figure 2). Only 5-membered aromatic heterocycles were generally tolerated (14, 17−18, and 20 with 53, 91, 62, and 70% inhibition at 10 μ M). Other ligands with positive charged amine or guanidine groups (6−8), urea (9), hydroxyethyl or benzyl ureas (10−11), bulky aromatics (28− 31), alkyl and aminoalkyl groups (32−34), serine mimics (35− 38), or nonaromatic heterocycles (39−42) were less potent (Figure 3).

Switching the relative position of the oxygen/nitrogen from 5 isoxazole (3) to 3-isoxazole (12) or 5-oxazole (15) was detrimental for antagonist activity. Isomer 12 showed weak inhibition (27%), likely due to weak agonist activity (21% activation, Supporting Information, Figure S1). In contrast to the observation in peptide agonists, where replacement of the serine of SLIGRL-NH₂ [with 2-furan pro](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)duced the most active PAR2 agonist 2f-LIGRLO-NH $_{2}^{}$ replacement of 5-isoxazole in 3 with either 2-furan (13) or serine (36) abolished activity. The results suggest that 3 could share [th](#page-5-0)e same or overlapping binding site as peptide agonists (e.g., 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂) but bind differently at the site accommodating the first residue (5-isoxazole vs 2-furan or serine). In particular, the H-bond acceptor property at meta and/or ortho positions on 5-isoxazole could play a role in binding and function. Therefore, a series of heteroaromatics with such properties were investigated. Imidazole (14) and triazole (16) retained antagonist activity with reduced potency (53% and 43%, respectively). Position 3 of the 5-isoxazole ring is known to be the primary metabolic site in isoxazole drugs.^{22,23} Addition of an amino group at this position might improve metabolic stability and form an extra H-bonding interaction [with P](#page-5-0)AR2. However,

the resulting 3-amino-5-isoxazole (17) showed comparable antagonist potency to 3 (91% and 92%, respectively, or IC_{50} 1−2 $μ$ M, Table 1). Introducing flexibility by extending the

Table 1. Representative PAR2 Antagonists Inhibit iCa^{2+} Efflux in HT29 Cells Induced by 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ $(1 \mu M)^{a}$

compd	pIC_{50}	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$	compd	pIC_{50}	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$
3	$5.8 + 0.2$	1.7	58	$5.7 + 0.2$	1.9
14	$5.5 + 0.1$	3.1	61	$5.1 + 0.1$	8.6
17	$5.9 + 0.1$	1.2	65	6.1 ± 0.1	0.7
18	$5.0 + 0.1$	8.9			
"Data are means \pm SEM (<i>n</i> = 3).					

distance between amino group and isoxazole using a methylene spacer (18) led to reduced antagonist potency (62%; IC $_{50}$ 8.9 μ M). Replacing the ring oxygen of 3-amino-5-isoxazole (17) with a H-bond donor (NH) gave the 3-amino-5-pyrazole (19), which switched to a weak agonist (26% activation, Supporting Information Figure S1). Substituting the isoxazole with 2-amino-4-thiazole (22) reduced antagonist activity ([30%\) but,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf) [surprisingly,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf) the 4-thiazole analogue (20, 70%) showed some antagonist activity while the 5-thiazole compound (21) was inactive at 10 μ M. The imidazole 14, pyrazole 19, and triazole 16 can exist in tautomeric forms, causing the meta nitrogen to act as either H-bond donor or acceptor. Removal of H-bond donor/ acceptor at the meta position abolished activity, demonstrated by the furan (13) and thiophene (23) analogues, suggesting that a H-bond acceptor at the meta position is important for antagonism and potency. Pyrazole (24), containing a H-bond acceptor at the meta but not ortho position, had >10-fold reduced potency. Replacing five- with six-membered nitrogen-containing heteroaromatics, while maintaining the same type of H-bond acceptor property at the meta-position (e.g., pyridine 25, 6 aminopyridine 26, and pyridazine 27), significantly reduced antagonist potency. This is consistent with enhanced H-bond acceptance of 5- vs 6-membered nitrogen containing heterocycles, 24 the former being more electron-rich. In particular, the vicinal oxygen in 5-isoxazole could enhance H-bond acceptor power [of](#page-5-0) the meta nitrogen. Unlike other binding motifs, the size of the substituent at this position does not directly affect activity (Figure 4a). A minimum of two heteroatoms (nitrogen or oxygen, as in 3) acting as H-bond acceptors is required in fivemembered heteroaromatics for antagonist potency. PAR2 antagonists were found with alternative heteroaromatics, such as imidazole (14) or 3-substituted 5-isoxazoles analogues (17 and 18, IC₅₀ 1−9 μ M, Table 1), which may have better metabolic stability and solubility, but potency would need to be obtained by changes elsewhere in the structures.

For PAR2 agonist peptides, it has been reported that changes at the second residue in SLIGRL-NH₂ from leucine to phenylalanine led to loss of selectivity for PAR2 over PAR1.²⁵ For this reason aromatic rings were excluded at this position. The size of the complementary binding pocket was probed usi[ng](#page-5-0) hydrophobic alkyl groups of slightly varying size, such as tertbutyl glycine (tBuG, 43) homocyclohexylalanine (hCha, 45), and morpholine (44) (Figure 2), but no replacement was successful. Together with knowledge from PAR2 peptide agonists,²⁶ Cha was the [best subs](#page-1-0)tituent at this site $(3, \text{ solid})$ dot, Figure 4b), most likely due to optimal hydrophobic spacefilling a[nd](#page-5-0) van der Waals interactions with PAR2.

PAR2 peptide agonist studies reported that position 3 was intolerant of substitution of amino acids smaller than Ile and

Figure 4. Relationship between volume of side chain and % inhibition at 10μ M iCa²⁺ induced by 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (1 μ M). Solid dot (antagonist 3), open dots (PAR2 ligands from Figure 2).

bulkier than Cha.²⁵ Given this [binding](#page-1-0) pocket is relatively small in our PAR2 homology model, 26 only groups of similar size to Ile and Cha were sel[ect](#page-5-0)ed. Alkyl groups such as gem-dimethyl (46), t-butyl glycine (47), and Cha [\(](#page-5-0)48) (Figure 2) showed reduced potency (10−16% inhibition). Selected polar groups with similar size to Ile were incorporated, suc[h as thr](#page-1-0)eonine (49), Omethylated threonine (50, a CH₂ to O isostere of Ile), α , γ diaminobutyric acid (51) , asparagine (52) , and histidine (53) , to investigate polar or H-bond interactions with the receptor. However, all induced PAR2 activation (53−81%, except 11% for 51, Supporting Information Figure S1). The data support a hydrophobic pocket for antagonist potency, with Ile (3, solid dot, Fig[ure 4c\) as optimal substit](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)uent. Polar groups at this position switched antagonists to agonists.

It has been suggested that PAR2 may have a hydrophobic pocket that can accommodate the fifth and sixth residue of the tethered ligand.25−²⁷ Therefore, the spiro[indene-1,4′-piperidine] of 3 was replaced with different aliphatic or aromatic hydrophobic gr[oups. S](#page-5-0)piro or bicyclic moieties commonly found in GPCR ligands were thus incorporated.²⁸ Spiro compounds with H-bond acceptors (54, 56, 57) became potent agonists $(EC_{50}$ 0.7−1.8 μ M, or 62−70% acti[va](#page-5-0)tion, Supporting Information Figure S1). Removal of the carbonyl oxygen (57 to 58) completely restored antagonist activity [with similar](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf) [potency as](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf) 3 (77% inhibition or IC_{50} 1.9 μ M, Table 1). A similar rationale was clear for another antagonist/agonist pair 3 and 54, while the latter containing an epoxide vs double bond (in 3) at this location was an agonist (65% activation). Bicyclic tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative 59 showed an agonist response (65% activation), possibly because one of the two methoxy groups was presented in the same position triggering H-bond acceptor-induced receptor activation. The fully saturated bicycle 60 (cis-decahydroisoquinoline) had moderate antagonist activity (38% inhibition), and removal of one cyclohexane ring (61, also with a tert-butyl amide group at position-2 of piperidine like in 60) improved antagonist potency (64% inhibition; IC₅₀ 8.6 μ M). The results suggest space available around position-2 of the piperidine ring of 61.

Substituted piperazines and piperidines are other classes of common fragments found in various GPCR ligands. 28

Figure 5. Profiling signaling and anti-inflammatory properties of compound 65 in PAR2 functional assays. (a) Compound 65 selectively inhibits iCa²⁺ release induced in PC3 cells by two different PAR2 agonists at their EC₈₀ concentrations: endogenous trypsin (O , pIC₅₀ 5.2 \pm 0.1) and peptide 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (\bullet , pIC₅₀ 5.3 \pm 0.1). However, 65 had no effect against two PAR1 agonists: endogenous thrombin (\square) at 100 nM and peptide TFLLR-NH₂ (■) at 50 μ M in PC3 cells. PAR2-selective agonist activities of 65 (● and ○) showing concentration-dependent (b) phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and (c) down-regulation of cAMP versus 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (\blacksquare) in CHO-PAR2 (solid lines) versus CHO transfected with vector only (dotted lines, corresponding curves for 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ are flat lines reported previously).²⁹ pEC₅₀ for 65 in ERK1/2 is 5.7 \pm 0.1 (EC₅₀ 2.2 \pm 0.6 μ M) and pIC₅₀ in cAMP is 7.0 ± 0.3 (IC₅₀ 109 \pm 50 nM), in comparison to 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ at pEC₅₀ in ERK1/2 6.8 \pm 0.2 (0.18 \pm 0.07 μ M) and pIC₅₀ in cAMP 7.3 \pm 0.2 $(IC_{50} = 52 \pm 22 \text{ nM})$. (d) Selected PAR2 antagonists are orally active and at[ten](#page-5-0)uate PAR2-mediated paw edema in rats at 10 mg/kg p.o. 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ alone (\bullet , 350 μ g/paw in 100 μ L saline) and also pretreated (2 h) with GB88 (O) or 17 (\triangledown , dotted line) or 65 (\triangle , dashed line). (e,f) Representative PAR2 antagonist 65 dose-dependently inhibited 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (1 μ M, abbreviated as 2f in figures) induced stimulation of proinflammatory cytokine secretion (e) TNF- α and (f) IL-6 in primary human kidney tubule epithelial cells (HTEC). Each data point represents mean \pm SEM $(n \geq 3)$.

Piperazines are readily derivatized at the ring nitrogen at position 4. However, the extra ring nitrogen can change electronic properties and make a polar interaction with receptor to trigger agonist activity. The piperazine candidates (62−64) were indeed all agonists (30−50% activation, Supporting Information Figure S1). Therefore, attention was focused on piperidines. Promisingly, an analogue of 3 created [by removing the 5-mem](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)bered spiro ring, 4-phenylpiperidine (65), showed improved antagonist activity (93% inhibition or IC_{50} 0.7 μ M).

Phenylpiperidine 65 was modified by incorporating electrondonating (MeO, 67 and 68) or electron-withdrawing (chloro, 66; trifluoromethyl, 69 and 70) groups, but all showed reduced antagonist activity (43−69% inhibition). Introducing an acetamido group (71) switched the role to agonist (81% activation), supporting earlier observations that a H-bond acceptor at this location led to receptor activation. To probe the size of this C-terminal binding pocket, inserting a methylene spacer between piperidine and phenyl (72) or incorporating a phenyl (73−75) or phenoxy (76) group led to reduced antagonism or inactivation. Our findings indicated that space around the phenyl ring of phenylpiperidine is limited and an unsubstituted phenyl is optimal. Figure 4d suggests that substituents larger than spiroindenepiperidine (3, solid dot) were not tolerated, while smaller g[roups did](#page-2-0) not significantly reduce antagonist potency. Importantly, the C-terminal motif also determined agonist/antagonist function primarily by a Hbond accepting property, which was missing in all analogous antagonists but present in agonists, such as the pairs 3 vs 54

(double bond vs epoxide), 58 vs 57 (methylene vs keto carbonyl), and 65 vs 62/71 (CHPh vs NPh/acetamido-CPh).

Selected antagonists identified in the iCa^{2+} assay were assessed over a full concentration range to determine IC_{50} , which showed comparable potency to 3 (Table 1, Supporting Information Figure S2). The most potent antagonist 65 inhibited iCa^{2+} release in human colorectal [carcinom](#page-2-0)[a \(HT29\) cells induced](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf) by two types of PAR2 agonists, e.g., peptide 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ $(IC_{50}$ 0.7 μ M) and endogenous protease trypsin $(IC_{50}$ 2.2 μ M), and was slightly more potent than 3 (IC₅₀ 1.1 and 3.6 μ M, respectively). Further, 65 was selective for PAR2 over PAR1. Since HT29 cells do not express PAR1 in our hands (Supporting Information Figure S3), we examined 65 for PAR2 vs PAR1 selectivity in human prostate cancer (PC3) cells, w[hich express](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf) [both PAR2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf) and PAR1 (Supporting Information Figure S3). Compound 65 inhibited iCa^{2+} release induced in PC3 cells by either 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (IC₅₀ 4.5 μ [M\) or trypsin \(I](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)C₅₀ 6.5 μ M), but had no effect in inhibiting iCa^{2+} release stimulated by two PAR1 selective agonists, e.g., protease α -thrombin and peptide TFLLR-NH₂ (Figure 5a).

Compound 65 was a competitive and surmountable antagonist against 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ as determined by Schild plot analysis (Supporting Information Figure S4). Similar to 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂, 65 was a PAR2-selective agonist in activating ERK1/2 pho[sphorylation \(Figure 5b](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)) and inhibiting cAMP stimulation (Figure 5c). PAR2 selectivity was further supported by agonist activity for 65 in both assays in PAR2- but not vectortransfected CHO cells. Most importantly, the concentration of 65 required to inhibit iCa^{2+} mobilization induced by 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ in HT29 cells was similar to that needed to activate the ERK1/2 and cAMP responses $(0.1-2.2 \mu M)$.

Three antagonists $(3, 17, 65)$ were examined for stability in rat plasma and rat liver homogenates over a period of 3 h (Supporting Information, Figure S5) and compared with peptide SLIGRL-NH₂. In rat plasma, the antagonists were stable over 3 h $($ >75% intact), whereas the hexapeptide SLIGRL-NH₂ degraded quickly ($t_{1/2} \approx 20$ min). In rat liver homogenates, the elimination half time of these compounds was less than 60 min in the rank order 3 ($t_{1/2}$ ≈ 60 min) > 65 (~30 min) > SLIGRL-NH₂ and 3aminoisoxazole 17 (∼15 min). Oral delivery of the antagonists to rats (10 mg/kg in olive oil) indicated that analogue 17 gave an almost identical pharmacokinetic profile to 3^{19} (data not shown), whereas phenylpiperidine (65) showed a lower plasma concentration over 6 h (AUC_{6h} 1964 ng·[h/](#page-5-0)mL compared to 3420 ng·h/mL for 3, Supporting Information Figure S5 and Table S1). Similar to 3, compound 65 reached maximum plasma concentration 2−3 h a[fter an oral dose, with m](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)aximum plasma concentration reaching \sim 2 × IC₅₀ value *in vitro*.

Antagonists 17 and 65 were studied here in an established acute rat paw inflammation model. $11,17$ When administered orally at 10 mg/kg (in olive oil) in the rat edema model, both 17 and 65 significantly reduced joint s[wellin](#page-5-0)g induced by PAR2 agonist 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (350 μ g/paw in 100 μ L saline. p < 0.05 repeated measures ANOVA, bonferroni planned comparison), with efficacy comparable to 3 (Figure 5d). Estimated oral bioavailability (AUC_{6h}) of 65 was less than for 3, but comparable in vivo efficacy supported its great[er PAR2](#page-3-0) antagonist potency determined in vitro. Activation of PAR2 is known to induce release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α).^{30–32} Given the high abundance of PAR2 in kidney and its proinflammatory roles, 33 we investigated PAR2 agonists [and](#page-5-0) antagonists in primary human kidney tubule epithelial cells (HTEC). Stimulatin[g H](#page-5-0)TEC with PAR2 agonist (2f-LIGRLO- $NH₂$) increased TNF- α and IL-6 secretion. Pretreatment with PAR2 antagonist 65 dose-dependently inhibited 2f-LIGRLO-NH2-stimulated cytokine secretion (Figure 5e,f), correlating well with anti-inflammatory activity in rat paws.

In summary, this SAR study (Fig[ure 6\) ar](#page-3-0)ound our previous antagonist 3 (GB88) led to discovery of several low micro- to submicromolar PAR2 antagonists in an iCa^{2+} release assay (HT29, PC3, CHO-PAR2 cells). An agonism/antagonism switch was found and harnessed for antagonist design. Optimal sizes were identified for component motifs replacing isoxazole,

Figure 6. Effects of components of 65 on agonist vs antagonist activity measured by iCa^{2+} release against PAR2 agonist 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂. HBA/HBD: hydrogen bond acceptor/donor.

isoleucine, cyclohexylalanine, and C-terminal moieties. Influences of each component on agonism vs antagonism were attributed to variable fitting of binding pockets in transmembrane regions of PAR2, which might help studies of membrane-dependent (possibly pathway-dependent) signaling mediated by PAR2. Removing a H-bond acceptor at three of the four motifs was critical to maintain antagonist activity. A representative antagonist (65, designated AY117) was slightly better than 3 as a PAR2 antagonist in inhibiting PAR2 activated iCa^{2+} release induced by native (trypsin) or synthetic peptide (2f- $LIGRLO-NH₂$) agonists. Compound 65 was a competitive and insurmountable antagonist of agonist 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ in iCa^{2+} regulation. However, like 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂, it is a PAR2-selective agonist in inhibiting cAMP stimulation and activating ERK1/2 phosphorylation at similar concentrations $(0.1–2.2 \mu M)$. The new ligands are stable in rat plasma, orally active, and show comparable *in vivo* anti-inflammatory activity (3) in a rat paw edema model, consistent with their ability to attenuate PAR2 agonist-induced pro-inflammatory cytokines secreted in vitro. These findings connect PAR2 function in modulating acute inflammation to antagonizing the iCa^{2+} pathway and demonstrate the potential for pathway-selective modulators in the context of GPCR drug design. These new PAR2 ligands offer new clues for investigating PAR2 functions in signaling pathways and in inflammatory diseases.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

8 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306.

[Experimental procedu](http://pubs.acs.org)res, compound characterization $({}^1\mathrm{H})$ [NMR,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306) HRMS, HPLC), and assay results of all final compounds (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INF[ORM](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.6b00306/suppl_file/ml6b00306_si_001.pdf)ATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: +61-733462989. E-mail: d.fairlie@imb.uq.edu.au.

Author Contributions

‡ These authors contributed e[qually to this work. All](mailto:d.fairlie@imb.uq.edu.au) authors contributed to the data and writing of this manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): University of Queensland owns a patent (AU2010903378) that includes these compounds.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) for a Senior Principal Research Fellowship to D.F. (1027369) and NHMRC (1047759, 1084083, 1083131) and the Australian Research Council (CE140100011) for grant support.

■ ABBREVIATIONS

2f, 2-furoyl; Cha, L-cyclohexylalanine; CHO, Chinese Hamster Ovary; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated protein kinases; Hbond, hydrogen bond; hCha, L-homocyclohexylalanine; HT29, human colon adenocarcinoma cells; HTEC, human tubule epithelial cells; iCa^{2+} , intracellular calcium; IL-6, interleukin-6; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; PAR1, protease

activated receptor 1; PAR2, protease activated receptor 2; PC3, human prostate cancer cells; Ph, phenyl; tBuG, tert-butyl glycine

■ REFERENCES

(1) Adams, M. N.; Ramachandran, R.; Yau, M. K.; Suen, J. Y.; Fairlie, D. P.; Hollenberg, M. D.; Hooper, J. D. Structure, function and pathophysiology of protease activated receptors. Pharmacol. Ther. 2011, 130, 248−282.

(2) Ramachandran, R.; Noorbakhsh, F.; Defea, K.; Hollenberg, M. D. Targeting proteinase-activated receptors: therapeutic potential and challenges. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2012, 11, 69−86.

(3) Cocks, T. M.; Moffatt, J. D. Protease-activated receptors: sentries for inflammation? Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2000, 21, 103−108.

(4) Ferrell, W. R.; Lockhart, J. C.; Kelso, E. B.; Dunning, L.; Plevin, R.; Meek, S. E.; Smith, A. J.; Hunter, G. D.; McLean, J. S.; McGarry, F.; Ramage, R.; Jiang, L.; Kanke, T.; Kawagoe, J. Essential role for proteinase-activated receptor-2 in arthritis. J. Clin. Invest. 2003, 111, 35− 41.

(5) Darmoul, D.; Gratio, V.; Devaud, H.; Laburthe, M. Proteaseactivated receptor 2 in colon cancer: trypsin-induced MAPK phosphorylation and cell proliferation are mediated by epidermal growth factor receptor transactivation. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 20927− 20934.

(6) McGuire, J. J. Proteinase-activated Receptor 2 (PAR2): a challenging new target for treatment of vascular diseases. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2004, 10, 2769-2778.

(7) Kanke, T.; Takizawa, T.; Kabeya, M.; Kawabata, A. Physiology and pathophysiology of proteinase-activated receptors (PARs): PAR-2 as a potential therapeutic target. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2005, 97, 38−42.

(8) Nishibori, M.; Mori, S.; Takahashi, H. K. Physiology and pathophysiology of proteinase-activated receptors (PARs): PAR-2 mediated proliferation of colon cancer cell. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2005, 97, 25−30.

(9) Sekiguchi, F. Development of agonists/antagonists for proteaseactivated receptors (PARs) and the possible therapeutic application to gastrointestinal diseases. Yakugaku Zasshi 2005, 125, 491−498.

(10) Lieu, T.; Savage, E.; Zhao, P.; Edgington-Mitchell, L.; Barlow, N.; Bron, R.; Poole, D. P.; McLean, P.; Lohman, R. J.; Fairlie, D. P.; Bunnett, N. W. Antagonism of the proinflammatory and pronociceptive actions of canonical and biased agonists of protease activated receptor-2. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2016, 173, 2752−2765.

(11) Kelso, E. B.; Lockhart, J. C.; Hembrough, T.; Dunning, L.; Plevin, R.; Hollenberg, M. D.; Sommerhoff, C. P.; McLean, J. S.; Ferrell, W. R. Therapeutic promise of proteinase-activated receptor-2 antagonism in joint inflammation. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2006, 316, 1017−1024.

(12) Kanke, T.; Kabeya, M.; Kubo, S.; Kondo, S.; Yasuoka, K.; Tagashira, J.; Ishiwata, H.; Saka, M.; Furuyama, T.; Nishiyama, T.; Doi, T.; Hattori, Y.; Kawabata, A.; Cunningham, M. R.; Plevin, R. Novel antagonists for proteinase-activated receptor 2: inhibition of cellular and vascular responses in vitro and in vivo. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009, 158, 361− 371.

(13) Goh, F. G.; Ng, P. Y.; Nilsson, M.; Kanke, T.; Plevin, R. Dual effect of the novel peptide antagonist K-14585 on proteinase-activated receptor-2-mediated signalling. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009, 158, 1695−1704.

(14) Boitano, S.; Hoffman, J.; Flynn, A. N.; Asiedu, M. N.; Tillu, D. V.; Zhang, Z.; Sherwood, C. L.; Rivas, C. M.; DeFea, K. A.; Vagner, J.; Price,

T. J. The novel PAR2 ligand C391 blocks multiple PAR2 signalling pathways in vitro and in vivo. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2015, 172, 4535−4545.

(15) Yau, M. K.; Liu, L.; Fairlie, D. P. Towards drugs for proteaseactivated receptor 2 (PAR2). J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 7477−7479.

(16) Barry, G. D.; Suen, J. Y.; Le, G. T.; Cotterell, A.; Reid, R. C.; Fairlie, D. P. Novel agonists and antagonists for human protease activated receptor 2. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 7428−7440.

(17) Suen, J. Y.; Barry, G. D.; Lohman, R. J.; Halili, M. A.; Cotterell, A. J.; Le, G. T.; Fairlie, D. P. Modulating human proteinase activated receptor 2 with a novel antagonist (GB88) and agonist (GB110). Br. J. Pharmacol. 2012, 165, 1413−1423.

(18) Lim, J.; Iyer, A.; Liu, L.; Suen, J. Y.; Lohman, R. J.; Seow, V.; Yau, M. K.; Brown, L.; Fairlie, D. P. Diet-induced obesity, adipose inflammation, and metabolic dysfunction correlating with PAR2 expression are attenuated by PAR2 antagonism. FASEB J. 2013, 27, 4757−4767.

(19) Lohman, R. J.; Cotterell, A. J.; Barry, G. D.; Liu, L.; Suen, J. Y.; Vesey, D. A.; Fairlie, D. P. An antagonist of human protease activated receptor-2 attenuates PAR2 signaling, macrophage activation, mast cell degranulation, and collagen-induced arthritis in rats. FASEB J. 2012, 26, 2877−2887.

(20) Lohman, R. J.; Cotterell, A. J.; Suen, J.; Liu, L.; Do, A. T.; Vesey, D. A.; Fairlie, D. P. Antagonism of protease-activated receptor 2 protects against experimental colitis. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2012, 340, 256− 265.

(21) McGuire, J. J.; Saifeddine, M.; Triggle, C. R.; Sun, K.; Hollenberg, M. D. 2-furoyl-LIGRLO-amide: a potent and selective proteinaseactivated receptor 2 agonist. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2004, 309, 1124− 1131.

(22) Grunanger, P.; Vita-Finzi, P. Heterocyclic Compounds - Isoxazoles 1999, 84.

(23) Kalgutkar, A. S.; Nguyen, H. T.; Vaz, A. D.; Doan, A.; Dalvie, D. K.; McLeod, D. G.; Murray, J. C. In vitro metabolism studies on the isoxazole ring scission in the anti-inflammatory agent lefluonomide to its active alpha-cyanoenol metabolite A771726: mechanistic similarities with the cytochrome P450-catalyzed dehydration of aldoximes. Drug Metab. Dispos. 2003, 31, 1240−1250.

(24) Nobeli, I.; Price, S. L.; Lommerse, J. P. M.; Taylor, R. Hydrogen bonding properties of oxygen and nitrogen acceptors in aromatic heterocycles. J. Comput. Chem. 1997, 18, 2060−2074.

(25) Maryanoff, B. E.; Santulli, R. J.; McComsey, D. F.; Hoekstra, W. J.; Hoey, K.; Smith, C. E.; Addo, M.; Darrow, A. L.; Andrade-Gordon, P. Protease-activated receptor-2 (PAR-2): structure-function study of receptor activation by diverse peptides related to tethered-ligand epitopes. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2001, 386, 195−204.

(26) Perry, S. R.; Xu, W.; Wirija, A.; Lim, J.; Yau, M. K.; Stoermer, M. J.; Lucke, A. J.; Fairlie, D. P. Three Homology Models of PAR2 Derived from Different Templates: Application to Antagonist Discovery. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2015, 55, 1181−1191.

(27) Barry, G. D.; Suen, J. Y.; Low, H. B.; Pfeiffer, B.; Flanagan, B.; Halili, M.; Le, G. T.; Fairlie, D. P. A refined agonist pharmacophore for protease activated receptor 2. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 5552− 5557.

(28) Blakeney, J. S.; Reid, R. C.; Le, G. T.; Fairlie, D. P. Nonpeptidic ligands for peptide-activated G protein-coupled receptors. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 2960−3041.

(29) Suen, J. Y.; Cotterell, A.; Lohman, R. J.; Lim, J.; Han, A.; Yau, M. K.; Liu, L.; Cooper, M. A.; Vesey, D. A.; Fairlie, D. P. Pathway-selective antagonism of proteinase activated receptor 2. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2014, 171, 4112−4124.

(30) Asokananthan, N.; Graham, P. T.; Fink, J.; Knight, D. A.; Bakker, A. J.; McWilliam, A. S.; Thompson, P. J.; Stewart, G. A. Activation of protease-activated receptor (PAR)-1, PAR-2, and PAR-4 stimulates IL-6, IL-8, and prostaglandin E2 release from human respiratory epithelial cells. J. Immunol. 2002, 168, 3577−3585.

(31) Vergnolle, N. The inflammatory response. Drug Dev. Res. 2003, 59, 375−381.

(32) Ramachandran, R.; Morice, A. H.; Compton, S. J. Proteinaseactivated receptor2 agonists upregulate granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, IL-8, and VCAM-1 expression in human bronchial fibroblasts. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2006, 35, 133−141.

(33) Vesey, D. A.; Kruger, W. A.; Poronnik, P.; Gobe, G. C.; Johnson, D. W. Proinflammatory and proliferative responses of human proximal tubule cells to PAR-2 activation. Am. J. Physiol. Renal. Physiol. 2007, 293, F1441−1449.